Welcome to the City of St. Petersburg City Council meeting. To assist the City Council in conducting the City’s business, we ask that you observe the following:

1. If you are speaking under the Public Hearings, Appeals or Open Forum sections of the agenda, please observe the time limits indicated on the agenda.

2. Placards and posters are not permitted in the Chamber. Applause is not permitted except in connection with Awards and Presentations.

3. Please do not address Council from your seat. If asked by Council to speak to an issue, please do so from the podium.

4. Please do not pass notes to Council during the meeting.

5. Please be courteous to other members of the audience by keeping side conversations to a minimum.

6. The Fire Code prohibits anyone from standing in the aisles or in the back of the room.

7. If other seating is available, please do not occupy the seats reserved for individuals who are deaf/hard of hearing.

**GENERAL AGENDA INFORMATION**

For your convenience, a copy of the agenda material is available for your review at the Main Library, 3745 Ninth Avenue North, and at the City Clerk’s Office, 1st Floor, City Hall, 175 Fifth Street North, on the Monday preceding the regularly scheduled Council meeting. The agenda and backup material is also posted on the City’s website at [www.stpete.org](http://www.stpete.org) and generally electronically updated the Friday preceding the meeting and again the day preceding the meeting. The updated agenda and backup material can be viewed at all St. Petersburg libraries. An updated copy is also available on the podium outside Council Chamber at the start of the Council meeting.

If you are deaf/hard of hearing and require the services of an interpreter, please call our TDD number, 892-5259, or the Florida Relay Service at 711 as soon as possible. The City requests at least 72 hours advance notice, prior to the scheduled meeting, and every effort will be made to provide that service for you. If you are a person with a disability who needs an accommodation in order to participate in this/proceedings or have any questions, please contact the City Clerk’s Office at 893-7448.
A. Meeting Called to Order and Roll Call.

Invocation and Pledge to the Flag of the United States of America.

B. Approval of Agenda with Additions and Deletions.

C. Consent Agenda (see attached)

Open Forum

If you wish to address City Council on subjects other than public hearing or quasi-judicial items listed on this agenda, please sign up with the Clerk prior to the meeting. Only the individual wishing to speak may sign the Open Forum sheet and only City residents, owners of property in the City, owners of businesses in the City or their employees may speak. All issues discussed under Open Forum must be limited to issues related to the City of St. Petersburg government.

Speakers will be called to address Council according to the order in which they sign the Open Forum sheet. In order to provide an opportunity for all citizens to address Council, each individual will be given three (3) minutes. The nature of the speakers’ comments will determine the manner in which the response will be provided. The response will be provided by City staff and may be in the form of a letter or a follow-up phone call depending on the request.

D. New Ordinances - (First Reading of Title and Setting of Public Hearing)

E. Reports

1. Homeless Leadership Board - (Oral) (Councilmember Foster)

2. Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council - (Oral) (Chair Rice)

3. Sewer Report

(a) Awarding a blanket purchase agreement to Rowland Inc., in the amount of $440,000, for Sanitary Sewer Manhole Rehabilitation FY17 (Engineering Project No. 16088-111; Oracle No. 15812).

(b) Accepting a bid from Veolia Water Technologies, Inc., formerly I. Kruger, Inc., in the amount of $2,795,430, for the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility (SWWRF) Tertiary Filters Capacity Improvements Project. (Engineering Project No. 16093-111; Oracle No.15928). Rescinding an unencumbered appropriation from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), SAN #87 Childs Park FM FY15 Project (14807), in the amount of $610,000, rescinding an unencumbered appropriation in the amount of $40,000 from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), LST #87 Childs Park Master FY15 Project (14809), and approving a supplemental appropriation, in the amount of $650,000, from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003) resulting from these rescissions to the WRF SW New Filters FY17 Project (15928).
4. Authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute Task Order No. 16-01-GFY/W to the Architect/Engineering Agreement (A/E) between the City of St. Petersburg (City) and George F. Young, Inc. (GFY) in an amount not to exceed $181,810 for Downtown Water Main Replacement Project (Engineering Project No. 17054-111; Oracle No. 15939); approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $222,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003) to the DIS Downtown Main Replace FY17 Project (15939) to provide the necessary funding for Task Order No. 16-01-GFY/W and other project related costs such as engineering services, contingency and other soft costs.

5. Renewing a blanket purchase agreement with Lighthouse Advisors, Inc., a sole source supplier, for design and cost evaluation services for the Pier District, for an estimated renewal amount of $96,000, and a total contract amount of $192,000.

F. New Business

1. Referring to the Public Services & Infrastructure Committee a discussion of requiring our wholesale sewer customers to make certain budget commitments on an annual basis to reduce the amount of infiltration / inflow in their sewer collection systems. (Councilmember Kornell)

2. Requesting to remove the Public Arts Ordinance discussion from the Budget, Finance & Taxation referral list. (Chair Rice)

3. Requesting to remove the Childs Park Lake Project from the Weeki Wachee Project List. (Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman)

4. Requesting to remove the discussion for additional funding for the Police Department’s Cold Case Initiative from the Budget, Finance & Taxation referral list. (Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman)

5. Creation of an Ad Hoc Capital Improvement Assessment Committee (Councilmember Kennedy)

G. Council Committee Reports

1. Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee (1/12/17)

2. Public Services & Infrastructure Committee (1/12/17)

3. Youth Services Committee (1/12/17)

H. Legal

I. Public Hearings and Quasi-Judicial Proceedings - 6:00 P.M.

Public Hearings

NOTE: The following Public Hearing items have been submitted for consideration by the City Council. If you wish to speak on any of the Public Hearing items, please obtain one of the YELLOW cards from the containers on the wall outside of Council Chamber, fill it out as directed, and present it to the Clerk. You will be given 3 minutes ONLY to state your position on any item but may address more than one item.
1. Confirming the preliminary assessment for Lot Clearing Number(s): LCA 1573.

2. Confirming the preliminary assessment for Building Securing Number(s) SEC 1219.

3. Confirming the preliminary assessment for Building Demolition Number(s) DMO 445.

4. Ordinance 1089-V approving a vacation of a 20-foot east/west alley and three (3) 10-foot north/south alleys in the block between 5th Avenue South and 6th Avenue South between 3rd Street South and 4th Street South. (City File 16-3300014)

5. Ordinance 1090-V approving a vacation of street and alley rights-of-way generally located between Fairfield Avenue South and Interstate 275 between 22nd Street South and 24th Street South; more specifically a 16-foot east/west alley in the block bounded by Fairfield Avenue South and 7th Avenue South between 22nd Street South and 23rd Street South, a 10-foot east/west alley in the block bounded by 7th Avenue South and 8th Avenue South and by Interstate 275 between 22nd Street South and 24th Street South, a portion of 7th Avenue South between 22nd Street South and 23rd Street South, a portion of 23rd Street South between 7th Avenue South and 8th Avenue South and by Interstate 275, and a portion of 8th Avenue South located between 23rd Street South and by Interstate 275 and 24th Street South. (City File 16-3300015) [DELETED]

6. Ordinance 254-H approving a substantial change of use of park property within the 31st Street Sports Complex; allowing the construction of two deep injection wells and associated site improvements.

7. Ordinance 255-H in accordance with Section 1.02(c)(3), St. Petersburg City Charter, authorizing the grant of a Public Utility Easement to Duke Energy Florida, Inc., a Florida corporation, d/b/a Duke Energy, within the City-owned historic Mirror Lake Recreation Complex located at 559 Mirror Lake Drive North, St. Petersburg.

8. Ordinance 256-H amending the St. Petersburg City Code, Chapter 16, Land Development Regulations (LDRs) to reclassify self-storage facilities from a permitted, principle use to an accessory use when located within the RC-1, RC-2, RC-3 (Retail Center) and CCS-2 (Corridor Commercial Suburban) zoning classifications. Further, this amendment includes additional use restrictions and use-specific development standards when located within a designated activity center. (City File LDR-2016-02)

9. Ordinance 257-H of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida creating a new Section 20-124, regulation of smokeless tobacco products; creating definitions of organized sporting event, athletic facility, and smokeless tobacco product; prohibiting the use of smokeless tobacco products at athletic facilities and organized sporting events.

**Quasi-Judicial Proceedings**

Swearing in of witnesses. Representatives of City Administration, the applicant/appellant, opponents, and members of the public who wish to speak at the public hearing must declare that he or she will testify truthfully by taking an oath or affirmation in the following form:

"Do you swear or affirm that the evidence you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?"

The oath or affirmation will be administered prior to the presentation of testimony and will be administered in mass to those who wish to speak. Persons who submit cards to speak after the administration of the oath, who have not been previously sworn, will be sworn prior to speaking. For detailed procedures to be followed for Quasi-Judicial Proceedings, please see yellow sheet attached to this agenda.
10. **Ordinance 099-HL approving the designation of the John Gassman House, located at 630 14th Avenue South, as a local historic landmark.** (City File HPC 16-90300007)

   J. **Open Forum**

   K. **Adjournment**
Consent Agenda A
January 19, 2017

NOTE: The Consent Agenda contains normal, routine business items that are very likely to be approved by the City Council by a single motion. Council questions on these items were answered prior to the meeting. Each Councilmember may, however, defer any item for added discussion at a later time.

(Procurement)

1. Renewing a blanket purchase agreement with Central Parking System of Connecticut, Inc., f/k/a Central Parking System of Florida, Inc., for parking facilities management services, in an estimated renewal amount of $1,247,874, for a total contract amount of $5,524,789.

2. Approving the purchase of riding lawn mowers from Wesco Turf, Inc. for the Fleet Department, at a total cost of $738,315.27.

3. Accepting a bid from Veolia Water Technologies, Inc., formerly I. Kruger, Inc., in the amount of $2,795,430, for the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility (SWWRF) Tertiary Filters Capacity Improvements Project. (Engineering Project No. 16093-111; Oracle No.15928). Rescinding an unencumbered appropriation from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), SAN #87 Childs Park FM FY15 Project (14807), in the amount of $610,000, rescinding an unencumbered appropriation in the amount of $40,000 from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), LST #87 Childs Park Master FY15 Project (14809), and approving a supplemental appropriation, in the amount of $650,000, from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003) resulting from these rescissions to the WRF SW New Filters FY17 Project (15928). [MOVED TO SEWER REPORT E-3(b)]

(Public Works)

(Miscellaneous)
NOTE: The Consent Agenda contains normal, routine business items that are very likely to be approved by the City Council by a single motion. Council questions on these items were answered prior to the meeting. Each Councilmember may, however, defer any item for added discussion at a later time.

(Procurement)

1. Awarding a blanket purchase agreement to Rowland Inc., in the amount of $440,000, for Sanitary Sewer Manhole Rehabilitation FY17 (Engineering Project No. 16088-111; Oracle No. 15812). [MOVED TO SEWER REPORTS E-3(a)]

2. Accepting a bid from Viktor Construction, Corp. for water tank painting and repairs for the Water Resources Department, at a total cost of $360,599.00 (Base Bid and Additive Alternates 1, 2, and 3) and approving a supplemental appropriation, in the amount of $365,000, from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003) to the COS Tank Paint Elv WT/OB FY16 Project (15274) to provide the necessary funding.

3. Renewing a blanket purchase agreement with Lighthouse Advisors, Inc., a sole source supplier, for design and cost evaluation services for the Pier District, for an estimated renewal amount of $96,000, and a total contract amount of $192,000. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-5]

4. Renewing a blanket purchase agreement with LESC, Inc., dba Law Enforcement Supply Co. Inc., for police and fire accessories, at an estimated annual amount of $60,000, for a total contract amount of $170,000.

5. Accepting a proposal from Capitol Alliance Group, Inc. for lobbying consultant services for the Mayor’s Office, at an estimated annual cost of $50,000, for a total contract amount of $150,000.

6. Awarding blanket purchase agreements to SRT Supply Inc., Red the Uniform Tailor, Signal 15, Inc., and LESC, Inc., dba Law Enforcement Supply Co. Inc., for protective body armor vests for the Police Department, at an estimated annual amount of $125,000.

7. Approving the purchase of mobile public safety records management software and support from Intergraph Corporation, a sole source supplier, for the Police Department, at a total cost of $72,660.

(Leisure Services)

8. Authorizing the Mayor or his designee to accept a grant from the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council through the Florida Department of Transportation District Seven for a Lake Maggiore Environmental Education Center Watershed Exhibit Project (“Project”) at Boyd Hill Nature Preserve at a maximum reimbursement amount of $15,000, and to
execute the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council’s Purchase Order for the Project and all other documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $15,000 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Fund (0001), resulting from these additional revenues, to the Parks & Recreation Boyd Hill Nature Trail (1902389), Lake Maggiore Environmental Education Center Watershed Exhibit Project (TBD).

(Miscellaneous)

9. Waiver of the requirements of Section 112.313(7), Florida Statutes as to Bob Devin Jones (“Jones”) for the City of St. Petersburg, Florida to provide grant money to The Studio @ 620, Inc., a business entity with which Jones is employed.

10. Confirming City Council Chair appointments to Intergovernmental Agencies.

11. Confirming Mayoral appointments to City Committees.
Note: An abbreviated listing of upcoming City Council meetings.

**Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee**  
*Thursday, January 12, 2017, 8:00 a.m., Room 100*

**Public Services & Infrastructure Committee**  
*Thursday, January 12, 2017, 9:15 a.m., Room 100*

**Youth Services Committee**  
*Thursday, January 12, 2017, 10:30 a.m., Room 100*

**CRA / Agenda Review**  
*Thursday, January 12, 2017, 1:30 p.m., Room 100*

**City Council Meeting**  
*Thursday, January 12, 2017, 3:00 p.m., Council Chamber*

**Committee of the Whole: Residential LDR Updates**  
*Thursday, January 19, 2017, 8:30 a.m., Room 100*

**Legislative Affairs and Intergovernmental Relations**  
*Thursday, January 19, 2017, 10:30 a.m., Room 100*

**Energy, Natural Resources & Sustainability Committee**  
*Thursday, January 19, 2017, 1:00 p.m., Room 100*
Civil Service Board
1 Alternate Member
(Term expires 6/30/17)

City Beautiful Commission
3 Regular Members
(Terms expire 12/31/16 and 12/31/18)
PROCEDURES TO BE FOLLOWED FOR QUASI-JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS:

1. Anyone wishing to speak must fill out a yellow card and present the card to the Clerk. All speakers must be sworn prior to presenting testimony. No cards may be submitted after the close of the Public Hearing. Each party and speaker is limited to the time limits set forth herein and may not give their time to another speaker or party.

2. At any time during the proceeding, City Council members may ask questions of any speaker or party. The time consumed by Council questions and answers to such questions shall not count against the time frames allowed herein. Burden of proof: in all appeals, the Appellant bears the burden of proof; in rezoning and land use cases, the Property Owner or Applicant bears the burden of proof except in cases initiated by the City, in which event the City Administration bears the burden of proof; for all other applications, the Applicant bears the burden of proof. Waiver of Objection: at any time during this proceeding Council Members may leave the Council Chamber for short periods of time. At such times they continue to hear testimony because the audio portion of the hearing is transmitted throughout City Hall by speakers. If any party has an objection to a Council Member leaving the Chamber during the hearing, such objection must be made at the start of the hearing. If an objection is not made as required herein it shall be deemed to have been waived.

3. Initial Presentation. Each party shall be allowed ten (10) minutes for their initial presentation.
   a. Presentation by City Administration.
   b. Presentation by Applicant followed by the Appellant, if different. If Appellant and Applicant are different entities then each is allowed the allotted time for each part of these procedures. If the Property Owner is neither the Applicant nor the Appellant (e.g., land use and zoning applications which the City initiates, historic designation applications which a third party initiates, etc.), they shall also be allowed the allotted time for each part of these procedures and shall have the opportunity to speak last.
   c. Presentation by Opponent. If anyone wishes to utilize the initial presentation time provided for an Opponent, said individual shall register with the City Clerk at least one week prior to the scheduled public hearing. If there is an Appellant who is not the Applicant or Property Owner, then no Opponent is allowed.

4. Public Hearing. A Public Hearing will be conducted during which anyone may speak for 3 minutes. Speakers should limit their testimony to information relevant to the ordinance or application and criteria for review.

5. Cross Examination. Each party shall be allowed five (5) minutes for cross examination. All questions shall be addressed to the Chair and then (at the discretion of the Chair) asked either by the Chair or by the party conducting the cross examination of the appropriate witness. One (1) representative of each party shall conduct the cross examination. If anyone wishes to utilize the time provided for cross examination and rebuttal as an Appellant, and no one has previously registered with the Clerk, said individual shall notify the City Clerk prior to the conclusion of the Public Hearing. If no one gives such notice, there shall be no cross examination or rebuttal by Opponent(s). If more than one person wishes to utilize the time provided for Opponent(s), the City Council shall by motion determine who shall represent Opponent(s).
   a. Cross examination by Opponents.
   b. Cross examination by City Administration.
   c. Cross examination by Appellant followed by Applicant, followed by Property Owner, if different.

6. Rebuttal/Closing. Each party shall have five (5) minutes to provide a closing argument or rebuttal.
   a. Rebuttal by Opponents.
   b. Rebuttal by City Administration.
   c. Rebuttal by Appellant followed by the Applicant, followed by Property Owner, if different.
SAINT PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

Consent Agenda

Meeting of January 19, 2017

To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Accepting a bid from Veolia Water Technologies, Inc., formerly I. Kruger, Inc., in the amount of $2,795,430, for the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility (SWWRF) Tertiary Filters Capacity Improvements Project. (Engineering Project No. 16093-111; Oracle No. 15928). Rescinding an unencumbered appropriation from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), SAN #87 Childs Park FM FY15 Project (14807), in the amount of $610,000, rescinding an unencumbered appropriation in the amount of $40,000 from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), LST #87 Childs Park Master FY15 Project (14809), and approving a supplemental appropriation, in the amount of $650,000, from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003) resulting from these rescissions to the WRF SW New Filters FY17 Project (15928).

Explanation: The Procurement Department received three (3) bids for Tertiary Filters, Capacity Improvements, SWWRF Project (see below). Effective January 1, 2017, the bidder formerly I. Kruger, Inc. was acquired by Veolia Water Technologies, Inc.

During Tropical Storm Colin and Tropical Storm Hermine (summer 2016), flows through two water reclamation facilities (WRFs) exceeded the flow capacity of the filtration systems. This resulted in insufficient total suspended solids (TSS) removal. The City has determined that additional filter capacity is required at the SWWRF and NWWRF in order to meet the permitted TSS level requirements during heavy rainfall events. With the addition of these new filters, the filtration capacity at SWWRF will increase from 45 to 90 MGD and at NWWRF, from 40 to 85 MGD.

The work consists of furnishing six (6) self-contained and complete automatic backwash disk filter equipment systems with a peak flow capacity rating of 15 MGD per unit, and capable of producing an effluent meeting TSS levels of less than 5 mg/l. The filter manufacturer will ensure that the units are designed to function within the hydraulic profiles of the City’s SWWRF and NWWRF, and flow by gravity to the existing chlorine contact chambers without re-pumping of filter influent or effluent. The filter manufacturer will ensure the units are designed for installation on a reinforced concrete slab installed at grade or on an elevated platform. Three (3) filter units each will be delivered and placed at the City’s SWWRF and NWWRF.

The filter units are scheduled to be delivered and placed as directed by the Engineer within one hundred and ten (110) consecutive calendar days from approval of the certified shop drawings. Bids were opened on December 8, 2016, and are tabulated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidder</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Westech Engineering, Inc. (Salt Lake City, UT)</td>
<td>$1,519,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Kruger, Inc. (Cary, NC)</td>
<td>$2,795,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter and VerPlanck, Inc. (Tampa, FL)</td>
<td>$3,934,811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued on Page 2
Award is not recommended to WesTech Engineering, Inc., the apparent low bidder, as they did not meet the minimum qualification requirements for project experience. Veolia Water Technologies, Inc., f/k/a I. Kruger, Inc., is the lowest responsive, responsible bidder and has met the specifications, terms and conditions of Bid No. 6284 dated November 10, 2016. They have satisfactorily performed similar work for the cities of Palm Coast, Sanford and Palatka. Klaus Anderson is the President/Director; John M. Santelli, Vice President/Treasury/Secretary; James T. Brown, Vice President Director; Carle Bender, Vice President.

Design of the filter piping and connections at the SWWRF were approved by Council on December 15, 2016.

Design of the filter piping and connections at the NWWRF will be provided to Council as a separate item for approval.

It is the City’s intent to advertise for two Construction Managers, one for the NWWRF, and one for SWWRF. Each Construction Manager will conduct improvements at each facility to increase peak treatment and disposal capabilities. Construction Manager selection and costs for construction of improvements will be provided to Council for approval as separate agreements.

**Recommendation:** Administration recommends awarding this contract to I Kruger, Inc., in the amount of $2,795,430.

**Cost/Funding/Assessment Information:** A portion of the funding has been previously appropriated, the remaining funding will be available after a rescission in the amount of $610,000 from the SAN #87 Childs Park FM FY15 Project (14807), a rescission in the amount of $40,000 from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), LST #87 Childs Park Master FY15 Project (14809), and a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $650,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003) resulting from these rescissions to the WRF SW New Filters FY17 Project (15928).

**Attachments:** Resolution

**Approvals:**

[Administrative signature]  [Budget signature]
A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE BID AND APPROVING THE AWARD OF AN AGREEMENT TO VEOLIA WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (FORMERLY I. KRUGER, INC.) FOR THE SOUTHWEST WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY AND NORTHWEST WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY TERTIARY FILTERS CAPACITY IMPROVEMENTS PROJECTS AT A TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $2,795,430 (ENGINEERING PROJECT NO. 16093-111; ORACLE NO. 15928); AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; RESCINDING UNENCUMBERED APPROPRIATIONS IN THE AMOUNT OF $610,000 FROM THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4003), SAN #87 CHILDS PARK FM FY15 PROJECT (14807) AND $40,000 FROM THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4003), LST #87 CHILDS PARK MASTER FY15 PROJECT (14809); APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $650,000 FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECT FUND (4003) RESULTING FROM THESE RESCISSIONS TO THE WRF SW NEW FILTERS FY17 PROJECT (15928); AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on December 8, 2016, the Procurement & Supply Management Department received three (3) bids for Invitation for Bids No. 6284, dated November 10, 2016, Tertiary Filters, Capacity Improvements at the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility) and the Northwest Water Reclamation Facility; and

WHEREAS, the apparent low bidder, WesTech Engineering, Inc. did not meet the minimum qualification requirements and was removed from consideration; and

WHEREAS, Veolia Water Technologies, Inc. (formerly I. Kruger, Inc.) ("Veolia") has met the requirements set forth in Invitation for Bids No. 6284; and

WHEREAS, rescissions in the amount of $610,000 from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), San #87 Childs Park FM FY15 Project (14807) and $40,000 from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), LST #87 Childs Park Master FY15 Project
(14809) and a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $650,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003) to the WRF SW New Filters FY17 Project (15928) resulting from these rescissions are necessary to provide funding for the agreement with Veolia; and

WHEREAS, Administration recommends approval of this award.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the bid from Veolia is hereby accepted and the award of an agreement to Veolia for the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility and Northwest Water Reclamation Facility Tertiary Filters, Capacity Improvements Projects at a total cost not to exceed $2,795,430 is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that rescissions of the unencumbered appropriations in the amount of $610,000 from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), SAN #87 Childs Park FM FY15 Project and $40,000 from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), LST #87 Childs Park Master FY15 Project are hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following supplemental appropriation in the amount of $650,000 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003) resulting from the above rescissions to the WRF SW New Filters FY17 Project (15928) is hereby approved for FY 2017:

Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003)  
WRF SW New Filters FY17 Project (15928)  
$650,000

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

City Attorney (designee)  
Budget Director

Administration

00304297 Final
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Awarding a blanket purchase agreement to Rowland Inc., in the amount of $440,000, for Sanitary Sewer Manhole Rehabilitation – FY17 (Engineering Project No. 16088-111; Oracle No. 15812).

Explanation: The Procurement Department received four bids for Sanitary Sewer Manhole Rehabilitation – FY17 (see below).

The work consists of furnishing all labor, materials and equipment necessary to rehabilitate deteriorated sanitary sewer manholes, utilizing a variety of interior coatings, dependent on existing internal conditions. Work includes traffic control, cleaning, surface preparation, adjustment/replacement of manhole covers, application of manhole interior coating, installation of manhole cover inserts (inflow dish), and restoration of right-of-way.

Repair locations will be issued to the contractor on a work order basis, according to citywide priorities as determined by the Water Resources and Engineering and Capital Improvements Departments. Manhole interior coating provides a cost effective method of restoring the integrity of deteriorated brick and concrete sanitary sewer manholes under roadways without the need for dewatering, sheeting and shoring, excavating and roadway replacement. The bid documents require the contractor to provide unit prices for a variety of interior coatings and associated rehabilitation activities for various manhole sizes, and allows the City to award the contract in an amount equal to the project budget amount. The project budget established by the Water Resources Department for the contractor is $440,000. The initial contract period will be three hundred and sixty (360) calendar days, and the contract may be renewed for up to two (2) additional one-year periods.

The contractor will begin work approximately ten (10) calendar days from Notice to Proceed and is scheduled to complete the work within two hundred and forty (240) consecutive calendar days thereafter. The Bids were opened on December 13, 2016, and are tabulated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidder</th>
<th>Base Bid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rowland Inc. (Pinellas Park, FL)</td>
<td>$348,688.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VacVision Environmental, LLC (Tampa, FL)</td>
<td>$385,315.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV Diversified, LLC (Lake Worth, FL)</td>
<td>$396,520.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Water Main Cleaning Company (Kearny, NJ)</td>
<td>$427,940.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rowland Inc., the lowest responsible and responsive bidder, has met the specifications, terms and conditions of IFB No. 6301, dated November 15, 2016. A blanket purchase agreement will be issued and will be binding only for actual services rendered. They have satisfactorily completed similar work for the City. Its principals are Kevin D. Rowland, President; Kenneth D. Rowland, Vice President and Treasurer; Richard B. Mansfield, Jr. Vice President; and Carolyn J. Hamm, Secretary.

Recommendation: Administration recommends awarding this contract to Rowland Inc., in the amount of $440,000.
Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003) to the SAN Manhole Rehab FY17 Project (15812).

Attachments: Resolution

Approvals:

[Signatures]
A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE BID AND APPROVING THE AWARD OF AN AGREEMENT (BLANKET AGREEMENT) WITH TWO ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTIONS TO ROWLAND INC. AT AN ESTIMATED ANNUAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $440,000 FOR THE SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE REHABILITATION – FY17 PROJECT; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on December 13, 2016, the Procurement & Supply Management Department received four bids for IFB No. 6301 for the Sanitary Sewer Manhole Rehabilitation – FY17 Project; and

WHEREAS, Rowland Inc. has met the requirements for IFB No. 6301; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department, in cooperation with the Water Resources Department recommends approval of this award.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the bid is accepted and the award of an agreement (blanket agreement) with two one-year renewal options to Rowland Inc. at an estimated annual cost not to exceed $440,000 for the Sanitary Sewer Manhole Rehabilitation – FY17 is hereby approved and the Mayor or Mayor's designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

[Signature]

City Attorney (designee)
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A resolution approving Task Order No. 16-01-GFY/W to the Architect/Engineering Agreement ("A/E") between the City of St. Petersburg and George F. Young, Inc. for an amount not to exceed $177,931 for professional engineering services related to the Downtown Water Main Replacement Project (Engineering Project No. 17054-111; Oracle No. 15939); approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $222,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003) to the DIS Downtown Main Replace FY17 Project (15939) to provide the necessary funding for Task Order No. 16-01-GFY/W and other project related costs; and providing an effective date.

EXPLANATION: The City has identified aging water main infrastructure in the downtown area in need of replacement. The City intends to replace cast iron pipelines installed in the 1940s as required. Replacing the water mains now avoids future repairs or replacements within the heavy traffic downtown corridor and can be done at a time to take advantage of road closures associated with private downtown developments while minimizing impacts to the local businesses and residents.

On December 13, 2016, City Council approved a Master Agreement with the professional consulting engineering firm of George F. Young, Inc., for miscellaneous professional services for potable water, wastewater and reclaimed water projects.

Task Order 16-01-GFY/W in the amount not to exceed $177,931 provides services for survey, subsurface utility engineering, (SUE), geotechnical investigation, final design, permitting assistance, and bidding services for replacement of approximately 4,100 linear feet of water line replacement in the downtown area.

The limits of the potable water main replacement are:
- Along Central Avenue from 3rd Street to Beach Drive,
- Along 1st Avenue North from 3rd Street North to 1st Street North,
- Along 2nd Street from Central Avenue to 2nd Avenue North,
- Along 1st Street from Central Avenue to 1st Avenue North.

This represents approximately 4,100 linear feet of pipeline ranging from 8" to 16" in diameter. Attached map represents the location of the water mains to be replaced.

A/E services during the construction phase will be provided to Council for approval as an Amendment to this Task Order.

Contractor costs for the improvements will be provided to Council for approval as a separate Agreement.
RECOMMENDATION: Administration recommends that City Council approve Task Order No. 16-01-GFY/W to the Architect/Engineering Agreement ("A/E") between the City of St. Petersburg and George F. Young, Inc. for an amount not to exceed $177,931 for professional engineering services related to the Downtown Water Main Replacement Project (Engineering Project No. 17054-111; Oracle No. 15939); approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $222,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003) to the DIS Downtown Main Replace FY17 Project (15939) to provide the necessary funding for Task Order No. 16-01-GFY/W and other project related costs; and providing an effective date.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: Funds will be available after the supplemental appropriation in the amount of $222,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003) to the DIS Downtown Main Replace FY17 Project (15939).

ATTACHMENTS: Resolution
Map
Draft Task Order

APPROVALS: Administrative
Budget
This Task Order No. 16-01-GFY/W is made and entered into this ___ day of ________, 2016, pursuant to the ARCHITECT/ENGINEERING AGREEMENT FOR MISCELLANEOUS PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR POTABLE WATER, WASTEWATER, AND RECLAIMED WATER PROJECTS dated December 13, 2016 ("Agreement") between George F. Young, Inc. ("AVE"), and the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City"), and upon execution shall become a part of the Agreement.

I. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT
The City has identified aging water main infrastructure approaching the end of its service life in need of replacement. The limits of the potable water main replacement include approximately 1,400 feet on Central Avenue from 3rd Street to Beach Drive, 1,200 feet on 1st Avenue N. from 3rd Street N. to 1st Street N., 1,100 feet on 2nd Street from Central Avenue to 2nd Avenue N. and 400 feet on 1st Street from Central Avenue to 1st Avenue N., St. Petersburg, Florida. This represents approximately 4,100 lf of pipeline ranging from 8" to 16" in diameter. The City intends to replace the cast iron pipelines installed in the 1940s as required size on size. Replacing the water mains now avoids pending emergency repairs in the heavy traffic downtown corridor and can be done at a time to take advantage of road closures associated with private downtown developments in the vicinity, minimizing impacts to the local businesses.

II. SCOPE OF SERVICES
Under this Task Order, the ENGINEER (George F. Young, Inc.) shall provide survey, Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE), geotechnical investigation, 100% design, permitting, and bidding services to the CITY as described in the following tasks.

Task 1 Project Management and Kick-Off Meeting
ENGINEER will provide overall project management and coordination to include conducting a kickoff meeting, maintaining design deliverable schedule and budget, and supporting overall project design efforts.

Activities:
A1.01 Develop and maintain the project schedule, coordinate with other downtown project schedules to the extent possible to minimize impacts during construction
A1.02 Organize and conduct a kick-off meeting. ENGINEER will prepare an agenda and provide meeting notes following conclusion of the meeting. The Agenda will include:
• Introduction of team members
• Establishment of roles and responsibilities of the team members and stakeholders
• Preliminary discussion of performance criteria (what measures define project success), budget, and schedule
• Review ENGINEER’s proposed schedule

A1.03 Hold project progress meetings every two weeks.
A1.04 Prepare project meeting agendas and meeting notes.
A1.05 Prepare a monthly progress report, including monthly invoice.

**Deliverables:**

D1.01 Kick-Off meeting Agenda & Meeting Notes
D1.02 Proposed Project Schedule
D1.03 Bi-weekly Meeting Agendas & Meeting Notes – electronic, Word Document
D1.04 Monthly Progress Reports

**Task 2 Base Data Collection**

The CITY will assist the ENGINEER in obtaining available updated CITY utility information for preparing drawings for construction and permitting. This updated information may include but is not limited to atlas sheets and record drawings of other City-owned facilities in the project vicinity. The CITY will provide the results of the most current potable water and fire flow hydraulic model to confirm diameter of the replacement pipes. The ENGINEER will perform Survey, SUE and geotechnical investigations within the project limits as defined in the Section A Background.

**Activities:**

A2.01 Data Collection and Review - coordinate with the CITY to receive copies of the following available data:

• Property boundary surveys and/or topographic or special purpose surveys conducted for City easements and properties in the areas of the existing water main replacement project. All plans that are available for the permanent and temporary utilities located in the right of way along the alignment.
• Any existing geotechnical investigations that have been conducted for facilities in the vicinity of the project limits.
• All other available utility information for the properties where the water main piping will be constructed.

A2.02 On-Site Investigation – ENGINEER shall walk the route to confirm conflicts with known utilities.

A2.03 Survey - ENGINEER shall provide topographical survey of the areas from RoW to RoW where the water main will be replaced.
A2.04 Geotechnical investigation - ENGINEER shall define a scope of work and contract with a geotechnical engineer to conduct seven (7) borings along the proposed alignment of the new water main as well as prepare an associated geotechnical report.

A2.05 Subsurface Utility Exploration - conduct utility locates from curb to curb where new water main is assumed to be relocated, perform up to 12 vacuum excavation locates at proposed conflicts with other utilities, and locate existing water main.

**Deliverables:**

D2.01 Topographic Survey

D2.02 Geotechnical Report

D2.03 SUE Report with details

**Task 3 30% Design Documents**

ENGINEER will prepare a 30% design level of completion for water main only.

A3.01 Preparation of the preliminary plans will include:

- Review record drawings of existing utilities including existing potable water, reclaim, sanitary sewer and stormwater systems.
- Review mapping and GIS information.
- Confirm the water hydraulic model results performed by OTHERS to determine pipe diameter sizing.
- Define corridor for pipe alignment and design criteria with CITY staff.
- Identify utility conflict locations for SUE.
- Prepare Water Master Plan sheet (1) indicating the preliminary alignment of the proposed water main lines based on SUE and Survey performed by ENGINEER
- Confirm CAD and Detail Standards

A3.02 Confirm design criteria for potable water pipe with CITY staff.

A3.03 Develop opinion of probable construction cost.

A3.04 Prepare for and attend one design review meeting with CITY staff.

**Deliverables:**

D3.01 Potable Water Master Plan Sheet(s) (E size) 8 copies.

D3.02 Basis of Design Technical Memorandum 8 copies.

D3.03 30% OPCC.
Task 4 90% Design Documents

The ENGINEER will advance the 30% design and prepare and submit a 90% Design to the City for review and comment based on comments and information received in Task B-4 and the authorization to proceed from CITY. ENGINEER will prepare the necessary permit applications or modifications for the CITY for review and signatures. ENGINEER will then submit and coordinate with FDEP to obtain construction permits.

GFY will furnish copies of approved permits when obtained for incorporation into the construction documents.
A4.01 Prepare draft set of technical specifications
A4.02 Prepare Construction Documents that will include:

- The final new water main alignment in plan only, including permanent and temporary easements required. Plans will include location of valves, fittings, fire hydrants, air release valves, services, including new redundant fire services and other appurtenances. Profile drawings will not be provided for pipeline diameters less than 16-inches;
- Master Plan – Permanent Reference Monuments (PRM);
- Master Plan – Potable Water to include location of fire hydrants;
- Limits of pavement removal and replacement;
- Typical roadway sections;
- Final water services;
- Roadway Striping
- Demolition Plan, Stormwater Management Plan, Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, and General Notes and Details.
- Prepare schedule of quantities for quantifiable items

A4.03 Prepare opinion of probable construction cost.

A4.04 ENGINEER will attend one design review meeting with CITY staff.

ENGINEER will prepare schedule of quantities for quantifiable items;

Deliverables:

D4.01 Draft Technical Specifications.

D4.02 90% Construction Document Plans.

D4.03 Opinion of probable construction cost including schedule of quantities.

D4.04 Eight sets of drawings for review and comment.

Task 5 100% Design Documents
The ENGINEER will prepare and submit a 100% Design with Technical Specifications to the City for review and comment based on comments received from Task 4 and the authorization to proceed from CITY.

A5.01 Update technical specifications and confirm Bid Tabulations format with CITY staff.

A5.02 Schedule of quantities for each measurement and payment item by sheet-by-sheet takeoff and produce an updated OPCC.

A5.03 The final construction documents services will include the refinement of 90% Plans and responses for the City to review. The task includes final revisions to the contract documents, back-checking, final client review and approval, and printing including the following:

- Cover and Key Sheet.
  - Final Potable Water Master Plan Sheet.
  - Water Plan Sheets;
  - Paving plans.
  - Demolition Plan.
  - Details and General Notes.

A5.04 Prepare one permit application for the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Southwest District including a signed and sealed Potable Water Master Plan Sheet for submittal.

**Deliverables:**

D5.01 100% Construction Plans, Technical Specifications and Bid Tabulation (one set of original C-size reproducible drawings and technical specifications signed and sealed by a licensed Florida Professional Engineer).

D5.02 Opinion of Probable Construction Cost.

D5.03 Permit Application.

**Task 6 Bidding Services**

The ENGINEER will prepare for and attend a Pre-Bid Meeting. ENGINEER will respond to technical questions from bidder Requests for Information (RFI's) as well as evaluate the bids, check references and make a recommendation to the CITY.

A6.01 Attend Pre-Bid and Bid Opening.

A6.02 Respond to technical RFIs.

A6.03 Prepare technical portion of any addenda.
SCOPE ASSUMPTIONS

1) A design review meeting at the CITY will occur two (2) business weeks after design submittal and CITY will arrange design review meetings.

2) Bidding services assume that only one bid process is required to obtain an acceptable bid.

The following Engineering Services may be requested by CITY but are not included in this contract’s base scope:

- Applying for additional permits other than those mentioned above.
- Additional meetings other than those mentioned above.
- Unforeseen Services.
- Traffic Impact Study

III. SCHEDULE

The anticipated schedule is shown below. In determining completion dates, it was assumed that CITY review and response time is two weeks from submittal. Therefore, the next task would not begin until after the two-week review period. The completion date for 100% Plans and Permit approvals are estimated and contingent upon review procedures by regulatory agencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task/Deliverable</th>
<th>Projected Total from Date of Notice to Proceed – Calendar Weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notice to Proceed (NTP)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task-1 Project Management and Kick-Off Meeting</td>
<td>NTP + 1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task-2 Base Data Collection</td>
<td>NTP + 5 weeks(MAY DEPEND ON SUE AND SURVEY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task-3 30% Design Documents</td>
<td>8 wks from B-2 + 2 wk Client Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task-4 90% Design Documents</td>
<td>6 wks from B-3 approval + 2 wk Client Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task-5 100% Design Documents</td>
<td>4 wks from B-4 approval + 2 week Client Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task-6 Bidding Services</td>
<td>12 wks from B-5 approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41 weeks total from NTP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Weeks are in working weeks, not in calendar weeks.
IV. **A/E’S RESPONSIBILITIES**  
The ENGINEER will perform the tasks outlined in Section II for the CITY.

V. **CITY’S RESPONSIBILITIES**  
It is anticipated that CITY will assume the following responsibilities with regard to this project:

1) CITY shall provide data of CITY-owned utilities including potable, reclaimed, and sanitary sewer services in and around the potential construction areas and any survey data already prepared in paper and electronic form.

2) CITY shall pay any fees required by private utility owners to locate or depict said utilities on ENGINEER-provided drawings.

3) CITY shall pay all permit applications fees, sign Applications as Owner and pay all associated review/application fees.

4) CITY shall provide staff review comments within two weeks (10 business days) of Deliverable submittal.

5) CITY shall provide traffic signal control or timing modifications as necessary.

VI. **DELIVERABLES**  
A summary of deliverables for the tasks detailed in Section II, Scope of Services is listed below:

D1.01 Kick-Off meeting Agenda & Meeting Notes  
D1.02 Proposed Project Schedule  
D1.03 Bi-weekly Meeting Agendas & Meeting Notes – electronic, Word Document  
D1.04 Monthly Progress Reports

D2.01 Topographic Survey  
D2.02 Geotechnical Report  
D2.03 SUE Report with details

D3.01 Potable Water Master Plan Sheet(s) (E size) 8 copies.  
D3.02 Basis of Design Technical Memorandum 8 copies.  
D3.03 30% OPCC.

D4.01 Draft Technical Specifications.  
D4.02 90% Construction Document Plans.  
D4.03 Opinion of probable construction cost including schedule of quantities.  
D4.04 Eight sets of drawings for review and comment.  
D5.01 100% Construction Plans, Technical Specifications and Bid Tabulation (one set of original C-size reproducible drawings and technical specifications signed and sealed by a licensed Florida Professional Engineer).
D5.02 Opinion of Probable Construction Cost.
D5.03 Permit Application.

VII. **A/E'S COMPENSATION**
For the above described SCOPE OF SERVICES, the CITY will compensate the ENGINEER in accordance with the AGREEMENT FOR MISCELLANEOUS PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR POTABLE WATER, WASTEWATER, AND RECLAIMED WATER PROJECTS, Section 5, and as detailed in the Attachment A, Work Task Breakdown, attached to this Task Order and made part of this Task Order.

Attachment A shows the total cost for the requested services Task 1 through Task 6 under CITY Task Order No. 16-01-GFY/W is to be a lump sum amount of $167,931. This price includes anticipated labor and expenses that may be required for the completion of the work under this Task Order. There is also an allowance of $10,000 for additional services subject to prior written approval by the City. This brings the total cost, including allowances to$177,931.

VIII. **PROJECT TEAM**
The ENGINEER will perform Survey and SUE with in-house GFY staff. Proposals are included for these services in addition to Attachment A. Geotechnical services will be performed by Arehna, Inc. and a cost for these services is attached.

IX. **MISCELLANEOUS**
In the event of a conflict between this Task Order and the Agreement, the Agreement shall prevail.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF the Parties have caused this Task Order to be executed by their duly authorized representatives on the day and date first above written.

ATTEST

By: ________________________
Chandrakasana Srinivasan
City Clerk

(SEAL)

CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA

By: ________________________
Brejesh Prayman, P.E., Interim Director
Engineering & Capital Improvements

DATE: ________________________

APPROVED AS TO FORM FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE STANDARD TASK ORDER. NO OPINION OR APPROVAL OF THE SCOPE OF SERVICES IS BEING RENDERED BY THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

By: ________________________
City Attorney (Designee)

GEORGE F. YOUNG, INC.
(Company Name)

By: ________________________
(Signature)
George Joyce II Vice President
(Printed Name and Title)

Date: 11 JAN 2017

WITNESSES:

By: ________________________
(Signature)

Elizabeth L. Schaefer
(Printed Name)

By: ________________________
(Signature)

James A Akker
(Printed Name)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
George F. Young, Inc.

EXHIBIT A
BASIC SCOPE OF SERVICES

Project sites are the right of way of the following areas; Central Avenue from 3rd St. to Beach Drive S.E., 1st Avenue N. from 3rd St. to 1st St., 1st St. N. from Central Avenue to 1st Avenue N. and 2nd St. N. from Central Avenue to 2nd Avenue N. in downtown St. Petersburg, Florida.

Survey Services - Topographic and Right of Way

- Establish horizontal and vertical control for project. Horizontal Control Coordinates to be based on State Plane Coordinate System for West Zone of Florida, North American Datum 1983. 1990 Adjustment. Vertical Datum to be City of St. Petersburg based on North American Vertical Datum 1988 (plus 97 feet)
- Depict in cad file, existing right of way lines based record plats, Pinellas County Property Appraiser and found monumentation.
- Provide Topographic Survey from right of way to right of way at the locations described above. Locate above ground features such as but not limited to above ground utilities, curb, sidewalk, drainage features, manholes, trees 4” and above, building corners, centerline and crown of roads.
- Elevations shall be collected in sufficient density to create an accurate digital terrain model.
- Place horizontal and vertical control throughout the project.
- Deliverables will include Autodesk Civil 3D. A signed and sealed surveyor’s report will be delivered with this file.

Exclusions

The following items are specifically excluded from the above Basic Scope of Services:

1. Filing fees, permit fees, prints, or any other out of pocket expenses other than those specifically included.
2. Any work associated with biological, ecological or environmental studies, traffic studies or geotechnical services.
3. Any work associated with securing permits other than those specifically included.
4. Any work associated with survey other than that provided herein.
5. Any work associated with establishing a baseline or construction line.
6. Any work associated with a title search, right of way will be located as shown above.
7. Any work associated with the handling of hazardous materials.
Client’s Responsibilities

In addition to other responsibilities of CLIENT as set forth in this Agreement, CLIENT shall:

1. Provide GFY with all available CAD, Geopak or other files relating to the project prior to mobilization.
2. Provide GFY with all available utility maps.

Compensation Schedule

Client shall pay Consultant for Basic Services set forth in Exhibit A in accordance with item A & C below:

A: LUMP SUM FEE

1. A Lump Sum Fee of $41,095.78 (Forty One Thousand Ninety Five Dollars and Seventy Eight Cents), based upon the following assumed distribution of compensation:

2. Consultant may alter the distribution of compensation within the individual phases noted herein to be consistent with services actually rendered but shall not exceed the total Lump Sum Fee for the Basic Services unless approved by the Client.

3. The portion of the Lump Sum Fee amount billed for Consultant’s services will be based upon Consultant’s estimate of the proportion of the total services actually completed during the billing period to the Lump Sum Fee.

B: HOURLY

1. On an hourly basis at our prevailing standard hourly rates. (Current rate schedule attached.)

C: RETAINER:

1. The Client shall make an initial payment of $0.00 (retainer) upon execution of this Agreement. Upon receipt of this retainer payment, the Consultant shall commence services as provided for under this Agreement. This retainer shall be held by the Consultant and applied against the final invoice. In the event the amount of the final invoice exceeds the final invoice, the Consultant shall refund the balance with the final invoice. If the final invoice exceeds the retainer the Client shall promptly remit the amount due.
## TASK & HOURLY FEE BREAKDOWN

**GFY Survey Proposal #16P002600SU**  
Project Name: COSP Downtown Water Main

### TASK RELATED FEE: Topographic Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Location Vac Truck & Crew**
- **Designation Truck & Crew (3 man - to include GPR for this project)**
- **Ground Penetrating Radar & Operator**
- **Mobilization / Demobilization**

### TASK RELATED FEE: FIELD SURVEYING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Three (3) Person Survey Team**  
  (Includes vehicle and Conventional Equipment) - SUE collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>159.04</td>
<td>31,012.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Three (3) Person Survey Team**  
  (Includes vehicle and Conventional Equipment) - ROW, Topo and Control

### TASK RELATED FEE: HOURLY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Man-Hours</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Principal**
- **SUE Project Manager III**
- **Surveyor III**
- **Surveyor II**
- **Technician III**
- **Administrative/Clerical**

### EXPENSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of Traffic (Minor)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title Report</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW Use Permit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41,095.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
George F. Young, Inc.

EXHIBIT A
DOWNTOWN WATER MAINS

SUE BASIC SCOPE OF SERVICES

- Project sites are within the roadways of Central Avenue from Beach Drive N.E. to 3rd Street N., 1st Avenue N. from 1st Street N. to 3rd Street N., 1st Street N. from Central Avenue to 1st Avenue N. and 2nd Street N. from Central Avenue to 2nd Avenue N.
- George F. Young, Inc. (GFY) to provide surface utility designation (Quality Level “B”), subsurface utility locating (Quality Level “A”), and SUE survey services at the above referenced site in an effort to locate existing utilities which may conflict with proposed water mains.

Task 1 SUE Quality Level “B” Designation

Surface Utility Designation and SUE Survey Services

- GFY to provide Quality Level “B” designation utilizing electromagnetic designating equipment including Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR). This includes marking with paint and or flags the horizontal location of found existing underground utility lines from curb to curb only within the roadway of the project limits.
- GFY to survey collect horizontal location of the found existing utility lines and add to the topographic survey.
- GFY to provide traffic control within the work areas while designating and locating the subsurface utilities. Traffic control is to be maintained in accordance with applicable standards. Provide safety devices, signs and/or other safety equipment as appropriate.

Task 2 SUE Quality Level “A” Location

Subsurface Utility Location (VVH - verified vertical and horizontal)

- Utilizing information found in Task 1 together with other engineering data, EOR to prepare a utility conflict matrix and plan sheets depicting up to 12 potential utility conflicts. Matrix and plan sheets to contain a minimum of 2 dimensions from known fixed objects in field shown on the topographic survey for field locating.
- GFY to request City of St. Petersburg right of way use permits for the purpose of marking, measuring, and recording the location of underground utilities within ROW and roadways.
- GFY to provide Quality Level “A” test holes (VVH – verified vertical and horizontal) on the found target utility line at each of the utility conflict areas described above.
- For each test hole, neatly cut and remove existing pavement or other surface material (not to exceed 225 square inches per cut). Excavate the material through the cut, down to the utility in a way that prevents damage to wrappings, coatings or other protective coverings of the utilities (i.e. vacuum/pressure excavations, hand digging, etc.). Backfill and compact with select material around the utility. Provide a restoration of the surface or pavement, within the limits of the cut, at the time of the backfill.
- GFY to survey collect vertical location of the found existing utility lines and add to the topographic survey.
• GFY to provide traffic control within the work areas while locating the subsurface utilities. Traffic control is to be maintained in accordance with applicable standards. Provide safety devices, signs and/or other safety equipment as appropriate.

Utilization of the above equipment and methods is the industry recognized procedure for finding and locating underground utilities and features. Although effective and reliable, there is the possibility that all utilities may not be detected due to environmental conditions, soil conditions, water table, excessive depth, and/or feature makeup.

EXCLUSIONS

The following items are specifically excluded from the above Basic Scope of Services:

1. Any work associated with utility service lateral to private property outside of the roadway with in the project limits.

CLIENT'S RESPONSIBILITIES

In addition to other responsibilities of CLIENT as set forth in this Agreement, CLIENT shall:

1. Prior to mobilization Client to provide any available utility information, as-builds, etc. that may aid in designating and locating the existing underground utility lines.

COMPENSATION SCHEDULE

Client shall pay Consultant for Basic Services set forth in Exhibit A in accordance with item A, B & C below:

B: TASK 1 & 2 SUE NOT TO EXCEED FEE

1. A Not to Exceed Fee of $14,578.05 (Fourteen Thousand Five Hundred Seventy Eight Dollars and Five Cents), based upon the following assumed distribution of compensation:

2. Consultant may alter the distribution of compensation within the individual phases noted herein to be consistent with services actually rendered but shall not exceed the total Not to Exceed Fee for the Basic Services unless approved by the Client.

3. The portion of the Not to Exceed Fee amount billed for Consultant's services will be based upon Consultant's estimate of the proportion of the total services actually completed during the billing period to the Not to Exceed Fee.
## SUE HOURLY FEE BREAKDOWN

### Project Name: Downtown Water Mains-SUE Services

### TASK RELATED FEE: DESIGNATION & LOCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAYS</th>
<th>RATE</th>
<th>COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,657.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Location Vac Truck & Crew**

- **Designation Truck & Crew (3 man - to include GPR for this project)**: 3.5 days at $1,693.36 each, totaling $5,576.76

- **Ground Penetrating Radar & Operator**: 0 days at $1,475.00 each, totaling $0.00

- **Mobilization / Demobilization**: 0 days at $300.00 each, totaling $0.00

### TASK RELATED FEE: FIELD SURVEYING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAY</th>
<th>RATE</th>
<th>COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,272.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Three (2) Person Survey Team** (Includes vehicle and Conventional Equipment)

- **Four (4) Person Survey Team** (Includes vehicle and Conventional Equipment)

### TASK RELATED FEE: HOURLY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAN-HOURS</th>
<th>RATE</th>
<th>COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRINCIPAL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUE PROJECT MANAGER III</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>149.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SURVEYOR III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>111.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SURVEYOR II</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TECHNICIAN III</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>61.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMINISTRATIVE/CLERICAL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPENSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENSES</th>
<th>MAN-HOURS</th>
<th>RATE</th>
<th>COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (Minor)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILEAGE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW USE and TTC PERMITS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,578.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
September 21, 2016

Mr. George Joyce II, P.E.
George F. Young, Inc.
299 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street N.
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Phone: 727-822-4317
Email: gjoyce@georgefyoung.com

Subject: Proposal for Geotechnical Exploration
Water Main Replacement Project – City of St. Petersburg
3rd Avenue N and 2nd Avenue
St. Petersburg, FL
AREHNA B.PROP-16-111

AREHNA Engineering, Inc. is pleased to present this proposal to provide a geotechnical exploration for the referenced project. This proposal summarizes our understanding of the project, scope of services, as well as provides a lump sum fee and schedule for those services.

Project Description

Our understanding of the project is based on your email dated September 20, 2016. We understand that the City of St. Petersburg is looking to replace their water main in their downtown core area. Based on the site plan provided to AREHNA, the water main replacement is proposed along 1st Avenue N, Central Avenue, 2nd Street N, and 1st Street N, for an approximate total length of 4,100 linear feet. The new potable water main is planned to be installed within the existing roadway as an open cut. Therefore, depths are not anticipated to be deeper than 6 feet. Based on aerials of the sites, there is one lane per direction along each street. Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) will be needed in order to perform the proposed work.

Scope of Services

The purpose of our geotechnical study is to obtain information on the general subsurface conditions at the proposed project site. The subsurface materials encountered will then be evaluated with respect to the available project characteristics.

In this regard, engineering assessments for the following items will be formulated:

- Identification of the existing ground water levels and estimated normal seasonal high ground water fluctuations.
- General location and description of potentially deleterious materials encountered in the borings which may have an impact on the proposed project.
General site preparation recommendations including the suitability of excavated soils for use as backfill.

The following services will be performed to achieve the above-outlined objectives:

- Request utility location services from Sunshine State One-Call.
- Obtain City of St. Petersburg Right Of Way and temporary traffic control permits.
- Perform seven Standard Penetration Test (SPT) borings to a depth of 10 feet each. Samples will be collected and Standard Penetration Test resistances will be measured in the SPT borings at approximate intervals of two feet for the top ten feet and at approximate intervals of five feet thereafter. The boreholes will be backfilled.
- Visually classify and stratify soil samples in the laboratory using the Unified Soil Classification System and conduct a laboratory testing program including corrosion testing of two composite samples.
- Report the results of the field exploration and engineering analysis. The results of the subsurface exploration will be presented in a written report signed and sealed by a professional engineer specializing in geotechnical engineering.

Schedule

We anticipate that the permit approval process will take approximately three weeks. After the permit has been received we can mobilize to the site within one week. The fieldwork should take approximately one day to complete. Our signed and sealed report should be available approximately one week after the completion of any laboratory testing.

Service Fee

We propose to complete our geotechnical engineering services for a lump sum fee of $5,500. To authorize our services, please issue us a task work order.

We appreciate the opportunity to support you on this project. If you have any questions with regard to this proposal, please do not hesitate to contact us at 813.944.3464.

Sincerely,

AREHNA Engineering, Inc.

Kristina LaCava, P.E.
Geotechnical Engineer

Jessica A. McRory, P.E.
President
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-___

A RESOLUTION APPROVING TASK ORDER NO. 16-01-GFY/W TO THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEERING AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG AND GEORGE F. YOUNG, INC. FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $177,931 FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES RELATED TO THE DOWNTOWN WATER MAIN REPLACEMENT PROJECT (ENGINEERING PROJECT NO. 17054-111; ORACLE NO. 15939); APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $222,000 FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4003) TO THE DIS DOWNTOWN MAIN REPLACE FY17 PROJECT (15939) TO PROVIDE THE NECESSARY FUNDING FOR TASK ORDER NO. 16-01-GFY/W AND OTHER PROJECT RELATED COSTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") and George F. Young, Inc. ("A/E") entered into an architect/engineering agreement on December 13, 2016, for A/E to provide miscellaneous professional services for potable water, wastewater and reclaimed water projects; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to execute Task Order No. 16-01-GFY/W in the amount of $177,931 for A/E to provide geotechnical, survey and design services for replacement of approximately 4,100 linear feet of water line replacement in the downtown area.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Mayor or his designee is hereby authorized to execute Task Order No. 16-01-GFY/W to the architect/engineering agreement dated December 13, 2016 between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and George F. Young, Inc. for an amount not to exceed $177,931 for professional engineering services related to the Downtown Water Main Replacement Project (Engineering Project No. 17054-111; Oracle No. 15939).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following supplemental appropriation for Fiscal Year 2017 is hereby approved:

| Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003) | DIS Downtown Main Replacement FY17 Project (15939) | $222,000 |

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.
Approved by:

Tom Greene
Budget Director

Legal Department
By: (City Attorney or Designee)

Approved by:

Brijesh Prayman, P.E.
Engineering and Capital Improvements Interim Director
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Renewing a blanket purchase agreement with Lighthouse Advisors, Inc., a sole source supplier, for design and cost evaluation services for the Pier District, for an estimated renewal amount of $96,000, and a total contract amount of $192,000.

Explanation: On February 1, 2016, administration approved a one-year agreement for design and cost evaluation services for the Pier District. The agreement is effective through January 31, 2017. At the time of the original award, City Council approval was not required for agreements under $100,000. Therefore, approval is requested for this first renewal option.

Lighthouse Advisors, Inc. provides project administration support services, including: assistance with contract negotiations; design and cost advisory services; and project management assistance for the combined Pier Approach and New St. Petersburg Pier projects. During the term of the original agreement, Lighthouse Advisors, Inc. has led joint design work sessions with both Pier and Pier Approach design teams, managed detailed cost exercises with the Construction Manager, including constructability review and the development of cost estimates, and participated in the review of technical specifications and the evaluation of design directions with respect to cost and long-term feasibility. During the term of this renewal, Lighthouse Advisors, Inc. will be assisting with review of the final Construction Documents, the development of multiple Guaranteed Maximum Price proposals and establishment of a work plan for the commencement of construction of the Pier District.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Engineering and Capital Improvements Department, recommends approval:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original Agreement</td>
<td>$96,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Renewal Option</td>
<td>96,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Contract Total Amount</td>
<td>$192,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Administration recommends renewal of the agreement based on the vendor’s past satisfactory performance, demonstrated ability to comply with the terms and conditions of the contract, and no requested increase in rates. The renewal will be effective from the date of approval through January 31, 2018.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the General Capital Improvements Fund (3001).

Attachments: Sole Source (2 pages)  Resolution

Approvals:

Administrative  Budget
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG
REQUEST FOR SOLE SOURCE

Department: Engineering and Capital Improvements
Requisition No. __________________________

Check One: ___ Sole Source ___ Proprietary Specifications

Proposed Vendor: Lighthouse Advisors Inc.

Estimated Total Cost: $ 96,000 for one year term

Description of Items (or Services) to be purchased: _Provide project administration, contract negotiations and design and cost advisory services for the combined Pier Approach and New St. Petersburg Pier (Pier District) projects to ensure project is delivered on-time and within budget.

Purpose or Function of items: _The Pier District will combine the design efforts of two separate and distinct nationally recognized design teams and potentially two contractors into one seamless public space. The purpose of the advisor is to provide assistance with consultant negotiations, provide design direction to the design teams, and project management assistance in order to deliver the combined projects on time and within budget.

Justification for Sole Source or Proprietary specification:
Lighthouse Advisors Inc. has detailed knowledge and experience with the evolution of the pier process gained by assisting the City during two design competitions and Architect selection processes, negotiations with three separate nationally and internationally known Architect/designers, negotiation of two Construction Manager at risk agreements for pier and advising on all project decisions of a highly critical nature. The history and experience Lighthouse Advisors brings to the management of this project provides the necessary assurance that a project of this caliber and complexity can be accomplished within the resources of the City.
I hereby certify that in accordance with Section 2-232(d) of the City of St. Petersburg Purchasing Code, I have conducted a good faith review of available sources and have determined that there is only one potential source for the required items per the above justification.

Department Director

[Signature]

Date

Administrator/Chief

[Signature] 06/10

Date

Louis Moore, Director
Purchasing and Materials Management

2-10-16

Date
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FIRST ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTION TO THE AGREEMENT (BLANKET AGREEMENT) WITH LIGHTHOUSE ADVISORS, INC. FOR DESIGN AND COST EVALUATION SERVICES FOR THE PIER DISTRICT AT AN ESTIMATED ANNUAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $96,000 FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT TO DATE NOT TO EXCEED $192,000; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on February 1, 2016, Administration approved the award of a one year agreement (Blanket Agreement) with two one-year renewal options to Lighthouse Advisors, Inc., a sole source supplier, for design and cost evaluation services for the Pier District; and

WHEREAS, at the time of the original award, City Council approval was not required for agreements under $100,000; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to exercise the first renewal option to the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, Lighthouse Advisors, Inc. has agreed to uphold the terms and conditions of the original sole source agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department recommends approval of this renewal.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the first renewal option to the Agreement (Blanket Agreement) with Lighthouse Advisors, Inc. for design and cost evaluation services for the Pier District at an estimated annual cost not to exceed $96,000 for a total contract amount to date not to exceed $192,000 is hereby approved and the Mayor or Mayor’s designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

[Signature]

City Attorney (Designee)
TO:       Members of City Council  
DATE:     January 12, 2017  
COUNCIL DATE: January 19, 2017  
RE:       Referral to the Public Services & Infrastructure Committee  
       Wholesale Sewer Customers  
______________________________________________________________________________  
ACTION DESIRED:  

Respectfully request to refer to the Public Services & Infrastructure Committee a discussion of requiring our wholesale sewer customers to make certain budget commitments on an annual basis to reduce the amount of infiltration / inflow in their sewer collection systems, thereby reducing the amount of sewage coming into the St. Petersburg sewer system.  

I would also like to include a discussion of the type of flow meters that are used to measure the gallons of sewage entering the St. Petersburg wastewater collection system through our wholesale customers.  

Steve Kornell, Council Member  
District 5
TO: Members of City Council

DATE: January 12, 2017

COUNCIL DATE: January 19, 2017

RE: Removal of the Public Arts Ordinance Discussion from the Budget, Finance & Taxation Referral List

______________________________

ACTION DESIRED:

Respectfully request to remove the Public Arts Ordinance discussion from the Budget, Finance & Taxation referral list.

Darden Rice, Council Chair
District 4
TO: Members of City Council  
DATE: January 12, 2017  
COUNCIL DATE: January 19, 2017  
RE: Removal of the Childs Park Lake Project from the Weeki Wachee Project List

ACTION DESIRED:

Respectfully request to remove the Childs Park Lake Project from the Weeki Wachee Project List.

Lisa Wheeler-Bowman, Council Vice Chair  
District 7
TO: Members of City Council

DATE: January 12, 2017

COUNCIL DATE: January 19, 2017

RE: Removal of Request for Funding for the Cold Case Initiative

______________________________________________________________________________

ACTION DESIRED:

Respectfully request to remove the discussion for additional funding for the Police Department’s Cold Case Initiative from the Budget, Finance & Taxation referral list.

Lisa Wheeler-Bowman, Council Vice Chair
District 7
TO: Members of City Council

DATE: January 12, 2017

COUNCIL DATE: January 19, 2017

RE: Creation of an Ad Hoc Capital Improvement Assessment Committee

ACTION DESIRED:

Attached are 2 New Business items originally filed on February 4, 2010. A subsequent report was given to Council on Roadway, Sidewalk and Bridge Management Programs on April 1, 2010 by then Public Works Administrator, Mike Connors.

My recollection of information presented that the biggest concern was funding bridge replacements. We have set capital budgets and maintenance budgets based on financial ability as opposed to actual need. It may be appropriate to establish an Ad Hoc Committee to outline the specific information to be provided to enable City Council to budget for actual needs.

It may also be appropriate to establish an annual needs assessment prior to the Capital Improvement Budget workshop.

My request is to authorize City Council Chair to establish an Ad Hoc Capital Improvement Assessment Committee which shall report back to City Council with both a summary of the current situation and needs and recommendations of future oversight of capital improvement needs and budgets.

Jim Kennedy, Council Member
District 2

Attachments:
TO: The Mayor and Members of City Council

DATE: January 22, 2010

COUNCIL DATE: February 4, 2010

RE: Referral to Public Services and Infrastructure Committee

ACTION DESIRED:

Understanding our limited number of staff due to budget cuts and approving the staff time required, I am requesting administration to establish a time line for the review of our city's infrastructure, beginning with roads and bridges, followed by water and sewer lines.

Jim Kennedy
City Council Member
COUNCIL AGENDA
NEW BUSINESS ITEM

TO: The Mayor and Members of City Council

DATE: January 22, 2010

COUNCIL DATE: February 4, 2010

RE: Hurricane Preparedness

ACTION DESIRED:

Respectfully requesting administration provide City Council with a report on the status of our Hurricane Preparedness, including a report from Stormwater and our Recovery Plan from a substantial hurricane/title surge.

Jim Kennedy
City Council
City of St. Petersburg  
Youth Services Committee Report  
Thursday, January 12, 2017  
10:30 a.m.

**Room 100**

Members and Alternates: Council Members Lisa Wheeler-Bowman (Chair), Amy Foster (Vice Chair), Charlie Gerdes, and Steve Kornell; Alternate – Karl Nurse

Support Staff: Mike Jefferis, Parks and Recreation Director

A. Call to Order and Roll Call – Council Member Amy Foster, Committee Chair  
The meeting was called to order by Chair Foster. Council Members in attendance were Charlie Gerdes, Steve Kornell, Karl Nurse, and Lisa Wheeler-Bowman.

B. Approval of minutes for Youth Services Committee – December 8, 2016  
The minutes for the meeting of December 8, 2016 were deferred for approval until the next meeting.

C. Agenda Items

1. The first Youth Services Committee meeting of 2017 commenced with the nomination and election of the committee Chair and Vice-Chair, Lisa Wheeler-Bowman and Amy Foster respectively.

2. Happy Worker’s Learning Center, scheduled to be today’s discussion item, was removed from the agenda and postponed indefinitely. The childcare facility was cited for a Class 2 Violation earlier this week when inspected by the Pinellas County License Board. As they await the status of their license revocation, the committee is unable to move forward with any proposals for the facility.

   Hence, the committee engaged in a broad discussion which included the following:
   - Early childhood education and voluntary prekindergarten;
   - The displacement of children from Happy Worker’s Learning Center and the facility’s potential collaboration with other area agencies;
   - Stringent licensing and staff skills requirements;
   - Commitment of sufficient resources to south side pre-K children;
   - Improve the quality of education at early learning centers; and
   - Financial commitment to service providers at childcare centers.

3. The meeting concluded with committee Vice Chair Foster requesting an update on the Second Chance program at the next Youth Services Committee meeting.

D. Next Meeting Date – Thursday, March 9, 2017

E. Adjournment – Meeting was adjourned at 11:41 a.m.
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

MEETING OF: January 19, 2017

TO: COUNCIL CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Confirming Preliminary Assessment for Lot Clearing Number(s) **LCA 1573**

EXPLANATION: The Sanitation Department has cleared the following number of properties under Chapter 16 of the St. Petersburg City Code. The interest rate is 12% per annum on the unpaid balance.

LCA: 1573
NUMBER OF STRUCTURES: 114
ASSESSABLE AMOUNT: $23,384.63

According to the City Code, these assessments constitute a Lien on each property. It is recommended that the assessments be confirmed.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION:
The total assessable amount of **$23,384.63** will be fully assessable to the property owners.

ATTACHMENTS:

MAYOR: ________________________________

COUNCIL ACTION: ______________________

FOLLOW-UP: ___________________________ AGENDA NO. ____________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71458</td>
<td>DOMAIN HOMES INC</td>
<td>30 31 17 00000 240 0400</td>
<td>638 11TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5703 S MACDILL AVE</td>
<td>PART OF SE 1/4 OF NW 1/4 OF SEC 30-31-17 DESC FROM NE COR OF EASTERBROOK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA</td>
<td>LOT 18 TH E'LY 11.68FT FOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71459</td>
<td>3073 20TH AVE SOUTH LAND TRUST</td>
<td>26 31 16 00432 002 0150 ALLEN-GAY SUB BLK B, LOT 15</td>
<td>3073 20TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 8738</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEMINOLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 337758738</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71460</td>
<td>MARION, CARL</td>
<td>26 31 16 00432 003 0020 ALLEN-GAY SUB BLK C, LOT 2</td>
<td>3010 20TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4977 LAKE SPARLING RD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORLANDO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 328103928</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71461</td>
<td>SCOTT, LEROY E</td>
<td>36 31 16 01152 000 0130 ANNHURST LOT 13</td>
<td>2703 18TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>430 W 32ND ST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RIVIERA BEACH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 334043722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71462</td>
<td>WILLIAMS, BRUCE</td>
<td>36 31 16 01152 000 0202 ANNHURST S 75FT OF N 225FT OF TR B</td>
<td>2740 18TH ST S</td>
<td>264.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2053 WINCHESTER BLVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAMPBELL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA 950083419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71463</td>
<td>NAVARRO, ANTONIO F</td>
<td>21 31 16 07182 010 0090 BELLECREST HEIGHTS BLK 10, LOT 9</td>
<td>5161 5TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5161 5TH AVE S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 337072605</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71464</td>
<td>WADE, MARY S</td>
<td>13 31 16 07884 000 0260 BELLWOOD SUB REV LOT U</td>
<td>1144 21ST AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>413 S BRYAN CIR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRANDON</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 335116034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71465</td>
<td>KANDUKURI, SRINIVASULU</td>
<td>27 31 16 06576 006 0040</td>
<td>2026 42ND ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16310 MUIRFIELD DR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ODESSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 335565423</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71466</td>
<td>BOGGS, JAMES S</td>
<td>24 31 16 12258 000 0021</td>
<td>922 6TH AVE S</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1605 LARK LN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRANDON</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 335104038</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71467</td>
<td>BLACK, SHAUN</td>
<td>27 31 16 12474 000 2010</td>
<td>2317 44TH ST S</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>APT 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>846 W ROSCOE ST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHICAGO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IL 606578435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71468</td>
<td>TRUST NO 1625</td>
<td>13 31 16 12528 000 0570</td>
<td>1625 21ST AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2764 SUNSET POINT RD STE 200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CLEARWATER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 337591590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71469</td>
<td>PRECISION QUALITY BUILDERS</td>
<td>30 31 17 12708 000 0460</td>
<td>750 14TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>997 NW 63RD CT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OCALA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 344827465</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71470</td>
<td>FOX FUND</td>
<td>30 31 17 12708 000 0540</td>
<td>836 14TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6A 170 THE DONWAY W STE 14061</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TORONTO ON</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA M3C2E8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71471</td>
<td>EMES, BRETT L IRA</td>
<td>30 31 17 12708 000 1090</td>
<td>755 15TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7007 COVINGTON STONE AVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>APOLLO BEACH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 335721553</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71472</td>
<td>HUDSON, FREDERIC S</td>
<td>EST</td>
<td>12.32 16 14292 000 0910</td>
<td>MILFORD CT 064604245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33 ROBBIE CIR</td>
<td></td>
<td>CATALINA GARDENS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOT 91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71473</td>
<td>WILLIAMS, MICHAEL E</td>
<td>6822 22ND AVE N # 120</td>
<td>20.31 16 14670 004 0150</td>
<td>6323 1ST AVE N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CENTRAL PASADENA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK D, LOT 15 &amp; W 36.81FT</td>
<td>OF LOT 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71474</td>
<td>JACKSON-EVANS LLC</td>
<td>8720 HUNTFIELD ST</td>
<td>23.31 16 14678 001 0010</td>
<td>3210 CENTRAL AVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA</td>
<td></td>
<td>CENTRAL PLAZA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FIRST ADDITION PARTIAL REP</td>
<td>BLK 1, LOT 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71475</td>
<td>LOT 31 LAND TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.31 16 15012 000 0310</td>
<td>1101 13TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHEROKEE SUB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOT 31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71476</td>
<td>SOLOMON, JAMIE</td>
<td>3100 SW 67TH TER</td>
<td>27.31 16 15408 001 0070</td>
<td>3460 18TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOLLYWOOD</td>
<td></td>
<td>CHILD'S PARK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK 1, LOT 7 LESS N 5FT</td>
<td>FDR RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71477</td>
<td>WRIGHT, EMDRY E</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.31 16 17442 002 0110</td>
<td>2645 2ND AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORLANDO</td>
<td></td>
<td>COLONIAL PLACE REV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK 2, LOT 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71478</td>
<td>ZAROSA, ROMAN EST</td>
<td>2628 2ND AVE S</td>
<td>23.31 16 17442 005 0050</td>
<td>2628 2ND AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td></td>
<td>COLONIAL PLACE REV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK 5, LOT 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME / MAILING ADDRESS</td>
<td>PARCEL ID / LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71479</td>
<td>O B L E 3 LLC PO BOX 926</td>
<td>23 31 16 17442 011 0080</td>
<td>2662 4TH AVE S</td>
<td>264.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337310926</td>
<td>COLONIAL PLACE REV BLK 11, LOT 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71480</td>
<td>LERIN, LORENA 2420 W BRANDON BLVD # 110</td>
<td>25 31 16 17676 000 0220</td>
<td>1430 10TH AVE S</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRANDON FL 335114717</td>
<td>COLUMBIA HEIGHTS NO. 2 LOT 22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71481</td>
<td>ALLPROPS HOLDINGS LLC 970 LAKE CARILLON DR STE 300</td>
<td>25 31 16 19350 002 0010</td>
<td>1002 18TH AVE S</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337161130</td>
<td>CROMWELL HEIGHTS BLK B, LOT 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71482</td>
<td>TRUST 2531161935000050030-7 PO BOX 923</td>
<td>25 31 16 19350 005 0030</td>
<td>910 20TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337310923</td>
<td>CROMWELL HEIGHTS BLK E, LOT 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71483</td>
<td>CROMWELL HEIGHTS 20TH AVE S LA PO BOX 923</td>
<td>25 31 16 19350 005 0040</td>
<td>920 20TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337310923</td>
<td>CROMWELL HEIGHTS BLK E, LOT 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71484</td>
<td>KASS CONCEPTS TRUST 200 2ND AVE S STE 112</td>
<td>25 31 16 19350 005 0150</td>
<td>927 21ST AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337014313</td>
<td>CROMWELL HEIGHTS BLK E, LOT 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71485</td>
<td>KASS CONCEPTS TRUST 200 2ND AVE S STE 112</td>
<td>25 31 16 19350 005 0160</td>
<td>919 21ST AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337014313</td>
<td>CROMWELL HEIGHTS BLK E, LOT 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71486</td>
<td>SUNCAST PROPERTY PARTNERS LLC</td>
<td>30 31 17 19782 001 0120</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1075 59TH AVE S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337055051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71487</td>
<td>COMMUNITY PARTNERS IN REVITALI</td>
<td>25 31 16 20232 004 0010</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5680 W CYPRESS ST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA FL 336077002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71488</td>
<td>ROBERTS, PAULA</td>
<td>19 31 16 20484 036 0090</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11 COLUMBINE LN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NORWALK CT 068511903</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71489</td>
<td>M P S INVESTMENTS LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 22374 000 0010</td>
<td>264.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1435 S COMBEE RD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAKELAND FL 338017101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71490</td>
<td>TAX CERTIFICATE REDEMPTIONS IN</td>
<td>23 31 16 24138 006 0160</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>925 ARTHUR GODFREY RD STE 102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIAMI BCH FL 331403337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71491</td>
<td>WILSON, ERMA</td>
<td>23 31 16 24138 012 0140</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4436 EMERSON AVE S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337111547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71492</td>
<td>MOBERG, RONALD</td>
<td>13 31 16 25254 000 1100</td>
<td>344.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1311 14TH ST N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337051043</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71493</td>
<td>DIXON, MICHAEL</td>
<td>25 31 16 26298 000 0110</td>
<td>1915 14TH ST S</td>
<td>264.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3110 GOODRICH AVE</td>
<td>000 0110</td>
<td>LOT 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SARASOTA</td>
<td>FL 342346040</td>
<td>EUCOLID HEIGHTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71494</td>
<td>GENERAL HOME DEV CORP OF PINEL</td>
<td>07 31 17 28332 000 0290</td>
<td>718 30TH AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>215 49TH ST S</td>
<td>000 0290</td>
<td>LOT 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337071925</td>
<td>FLORIDA HEIGHTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71495</td>
<td>HAYES, SARAH EST</td>
<td>25 31 16 28872 000 0150</td>
<td>1308 MELROSE AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1308 MELROSE AVE S</td>
<td>000 0150</td>
<td>LOT 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337052349</td>
<td>FOREMAN'S SUB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71496</td>
<td>HARRELL, DOZIER III</td>
<td>25 31 16 29664 006 0110</td>
<td>1663 20TH AVE S</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 14174</td>
<td>006 0110</td>
<td>LOT 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337334174</td>
<td>FRUITLAND HEIGHTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71497</td>
<td>NICHOLSON, DAHLIA I</td>
<td>25 31 16 29664 008 0240</td>
<td>1626 21ST AVE S</td>
<td>264.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4885 49TH AVE W APT 1110</td>
<td>008 0240</td>
<td>FRUITLAND HEIGHTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRADENTON</td>
<td>FL 342102871</td>
<td>BLK H, LOT 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71498</td>
<td>WISSOTA INVESTMENTS LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 29682 010 0060</td>
<td>1717 20TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7715 189TH ST</td>
<td>010 0060</td>
<td>FRUITLAND HEIGHTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHIPPLE FALLS</td>
<td>WI 547296456</td>
<td>PLAT B BLK J, LOT 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71499</td>
<td>KELSEY, SARAH EST</td>
<td>25 31 16 29682 010 0140</td>
<td>1926 19TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2511 22ND ST S</td>
<td>010 0140</td>
<td>FRUITLAND HEIGHTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337123507</td>
<td>PLAT B BLK J, LOT 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71500</td>
<td>SUNBEACH HOMES LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 29682 012 0130</td>
<td>1726 21ST AVE S</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33 4TH ST N STE 201</td>
<td>FRUITLAND HEIGHTS PLAT B</td>
<td>BLK L, LOT 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337013806</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71501</td>
<td>GRIFFITH, DAVID</td>
<td>27 31 16 29754 001 0154</td>
<td>720 40TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5709 17TH AVE S</td>
<td>FULLER'S GARDEN HOMES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GULFPORT</td>
<td>BLK A, N 62.50 FT OF W 120</td>
<td>FT OF LOT 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 337074118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71502</td>
<td>SECOND HALF PROPERTIES TWO LLC</td>
<td>30 31 17 31302 002 0070</td>
<td>750 20TH AVE S</td>
<td>264.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 2ND AVE S UNIT 480</td>
<td>GLENWOOD PARK ADD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>BLK 2, LOT 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 337014313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71503</td>
<td>CHMEISSANI, GHADA N</td>
<td>30 31 17 32490 000 0130</td>
<td>840 11TH AVE S</td>
<td>164.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4427 6TH AVE N</td>
<td>GRAHAM'S, T. W. SUB E 32.25FT OF LOT 13 &amp; E 32.25 FT OF N 31FT OF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337136209</td>
<td>LOT 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71504</td>
<td>HOLISTIC ASSETS LLC</td>
<td>31 31 17 32796 000 0260</td>
<td>3625 6TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KORIANDERLAAN 62</td>
<td>GRAND VIEW PARK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSTELVEEN NH 1187EE NL @@@000048</td>
<td>LOT 26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71505</td>
<td>KANDUKURU, SRINIVASULU</td>
<td>31 31 17 32796 000 0790</td>
<td>625 38TH AVE S</td>
<td>304.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16310 MUIRFIELD DR</td>
<td>GRAND VIEW PARK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ODESSA</td>
<td>LOTS 79 &amp; 81 LESS 6FT X 10 FT T/A OF SE COR OF LOT 81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 335565423</td>
<td>FOR RD R/W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71506</td>
<td>TITAN DEVELOPMENT GROUP LLC</td>
<td>27 31 16 33426 002 0080</td>
<td>3443 14TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8313 SOLAND BAY LOOP APT 1511</td>
<td>GREENWICH VILLAGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA</td>
<td>BLK B, LOT 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 336359596</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>Mailing Address</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71507</td>
<td>M D A PROPERTIES LLC</td>
<td>PO BOX 21836</td>
<td>25 31 16 33912 000 0250</td>
<td>GROVE PARK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHILADELPHIA PA 191460436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71508</td>
<td>MARTIN, JOSEPH T EST</td>
<td>4242 4TH AVE S</td>
<td>21 31 16 35244 015 0060</td>
<td>HALL'S CENTRAL AVE NO. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337111504</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK 15, LOT 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SEE S 1/2 22-31-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71509</td>
<td>WARD, SCOTT M</td>
<td>5695 KIWANIS PL NE</td>
<td>31 31 17 36684 000 0950</td>
<td>HARBORDALE SUB E 40FT OF LOT 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337032525</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71510</td>
<td>BOLDEN, BERNICE</td>
<td>1158 15TH AVE S</td>
<td>25 31 16 37152 000 0010</td>
<td>HARRIS', T.C. &amp; A.H. SUB LOT 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337052420</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71511</td>
<td>JOSEPH, PHILIP T</td>
<td>7271 MOUNT ARLINGTON DR NE</td>
<td>06 32 17 38592 000 0060</td>
<td>HICKMAN'S SUB LOT 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337026076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71512</td>
<td>GAINER, GREGORY</td>
<td>1063 16TH AVE S</td>
<td>27 31 16 41814 000 0100</td>
<td>HYLANDS SUB LOT 10 &amp; W 4FT OF LOT 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337052429</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71513</td>
<td>BOYAR, ROBERT M</td>
<td>5771 PARKVIEW POINT DR</td>
<td>30 31 17 43038 000 0190</td>
<td>INGRAM PLACE LOT 19 LESS W 10FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORLANDO FL 328217963</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME / MAILING ADDRESS</td>
<td>PARCEL ID / LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71514</td>
<td>LOT 26 850 17TH AVENUE S TRUST 2803 GULF TO BAY BLVD STE 208 CLEARWATER FL 337594014</td>
<td>30 31 17 43038 000 0260 INGRAM PLACE LOT 26</td>
<td>860 17TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71515</td>
<td>850 17TH AVENUE S TRUST 2803 GULF TO BAY BLVD UNIT 208 CLEARWATER FL 337594014</td>
<td>30 31 17 43038 000 0270 INGRAM PLACE LOT 27</td>
<td>850 17TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71516</td>
<td>790 17TH AVE LAND TRUST 2625 MIDDLEFIELD RD STE 161 PALO ALTO CA 943062516</td>
<td>30 31 17 43038 000 0340 INGRAM PLACE LOT 34</td>
<td>790 17TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71517</td>
<td>ISRA HOMES INC PO BOX 8809 SEMINOLE FL 337758809</td>
<td>06 32 17 45018 002 0030 JURGEN'S, JOHN BIG BAYOU SUB BLK 2, LOT 3</td>
<td>646 HICKMAN CT S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71518</td>
<td>PEOPLES, SAMUEL L EST 2936 38TH AVE N SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337131621</td>
<td>25 31 16 47142 000 0020 KRAMER &amp; WALKER'S SUB LOT 2</td>
<td>1010 11TH AVE S</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71519</td>
<td>TAX EASE FL REG LLC 14800 LANDMARK BLVD STE 400 DALLAS TX 752547588</td>
<td>25 31 16 48960 000 0820 LAKEVIEW HEIGHTS LOTS 80 THRU 83</td>
<td>987 22ND AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71520</td>
<td>LARRY, DAVID L JR 1910 SEMINOLE BLVD S SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337052600</td>
<td>25 31 16 48960 000 0950 LAKEVIEW HEIGHTS LOT 98</td>
<td>1910 SEMINOLE BLVD S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ASSSESSMENT NUMBER | OWNER NAME /MAILING ADDRESS | PARCEL ID /LEGAL DESCRIPTION | PROPERTY ADDRESS | ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT
---|---|---|---|---
LCA 1573 71521 | JAY, KIMBERLY P 500 TRINITY LN N APT 3307 ST PETERSBURG FL 337161227 | O1 22 16 49428 072 0100 LAKEWOOD ESTATES SEC B BLK 72, LOT 10 AND SW'LY 1/2 OF LOT 9 | 1856 ALMERIA WAY S | 184.38
LCA 1573 71522 | 3819 14TH AVE S LAND TRUST PO BOX 8809 SEMINOLE FL 337758809 | 27 31 16 49878 006 0100 LANE'S, PAT RESUB BLK 6, LOT 10 | 3819 14TH AVE S | 184.38
LCA 1573 71523 | BARTON, KELLY 3530 1ST AVE N STE 108 SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337138422 | 25 31 16 50022 000 0080 LA PLAZA LOT 8 | 1740 19TH ST S | 184.38
LCA 1573 71524 | PATHWAY 2 SUCCESS INC 4604 49TH ST N STE 30 SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337093842 | 25 31 16 50022 000 0090 LA PLAZA LOT 9 | 1734 19TH ST S | 184.38
LCA 1573 71525 | ANDREWS, AQUILLA 12300 NW 18TH PL MIAMI FL 331672133 | 25 31 16 50022 000 0160 LA PLAZA N 40FT OF LOT 16 & S 5FT OF LOT 15 | 1717 19TH ST S | 184.38
LCA 1573 71526 | G G H 47 LLC 18305 BISCAYNE BLVD STE 400 NORTH MIAMI BEACH FL 331602172 | 25 31 16 51138 000 0010 LENEVES SUB W 50FT OF LOT 1 | 1406 14TH AVE S | 224.47
LCA 1573 71527 | VERTUSO LLC 4950 S YOSEMITE ST ENGLEWOOD CO 801111349 | 25 31 16 51138 000 0140 LENEVES SUB LOT 14 & S 10 FT OF LOT 13 | 1547 14TH ST S | 184.38
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71528</td>
<td>MONDELL, DANIEL ANDREW</td>
<td>28 31 16 51282 000 0271</td>
<td>4734 15TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11323 102ND AVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LARGO FL 337783919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71529</td>
<td>COLLETTE, JON D</td>
<td>25 30 16 56628 014 0260</td>
<td>7011 14TH ST N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>351 SPRING FOREST DR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SIMPSONVILLE SC 296814527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71530</td>
<td>TITAN DEVELOPMENT GROUP LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 59670 001 0010</td>
<td>1200 12TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4118 CAUSEWAY VISTA DR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA FL 336155416</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71531</td>
<td>KOMADA, IRENE M EST</td>
<td>06 31 17 59814 005 0090</td>
<td>607 46TH AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 55938</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337325938</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71532</td>
<td>PARKER REAL VENTURES LLC</td>
<td>31 30 17 61218 000 0080</td>
<td>215 SW MADISON CIR N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 2ND AVE S UNIT 428</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337014313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71533</td>
<td>HARRIS, MICKENZI A</td>
<td>31 31 17 62460 000 0610</td>
<td>670 29TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29 SUMMIT RD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEEDHAM MA 024922114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71534</td>
<td>STALLION HOMES LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 63612 000 0100</td>
<td>1067 8TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3303 N LAKEVIEW DR APT 2915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA FL 336181336</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71535</td>
<td>CHANDRAMOHAN, MARRI</td>
<td>23 31 16 65862 004 0150</td>
<td>2809 3RD AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12000 SW 35TH TER</td>
<td>PALMETTO PARK</td>
<td>BLK 4, N 050 FT OF LOT 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIAMI</td>
<td>FL 331753108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71536</td>
<td>OSTONECKY, SANDRA L</td>
<td>19 31 16 67734 006 0140</td>
<td>6801 4TH AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6801 4TH AVE N</td>
<td>PASADENA TERRACE</td>
<td>BLK 6, LOT 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337107633</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71537</td>
<td>ZINCK, RONALD L TRE</td>
<td>26 31 16 68004 002 0080</td>
<td>2917 16TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120 HOLLY DR</td>
<td>PAUL SUB REVISED MAP</td>
<td>BLK 2, W 050 FT OF LOT 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WOODBURY</td>
<td>NJ 080963324</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71538</td>
<td>LOREVIL LAND TRUST AGM NO 19</td>
<td>35 31 16 68130 001 0030</td>
<td>2321 25TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2321 25TH AVE S</td>
<td>PECAN HIGHLANDS</td>
<td>BLK 1, LOT 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337123527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71539</td>
<td>EQUITY TRUST CO CDN</td>
<td>22 31 16 72756 008 0140</td>
<td>3501 3RD AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16748 NW 15TH ST</td>
<td>POWERS CENTRAL PARK SUB</td>
<td>BLK 8, LOT 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEMBROKE PINES</td>
<td>FL 330281366</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71540</td>
<td>NEWTON, FRANK E EST</td>
<td>26 31 16 72900 000 0240</td>
<td>2534 8TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1145 64TH AVE S</td>
<td>PRATHER'S THIRD ROYAL</td>
<td>LOT 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337055813</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71541</td>
<td>660 62ND AVE LLC</td>
<td>26 31 16 72918 000 0320</td>
<td>2530 IRVING AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2250 62ND AVE S</td>
<td>PRATHER'S FOURTH ROYAL</td>
<td>LOT 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 33712</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME / MAILING ADDRESS</td>
<td>PARCEL ID / LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71542</td>
<td>MATHews, BREnda J C 2420 8th ST S</td>
<td>313117743040000380 RENWICK, ERLE SUB NO. 2 LOT 38</td>
<td>2420 8th ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71543</td>
<td>DEUTSCHE BANK NATL TRUST CO TR 7105 CORPORATE DR</td>
<td>273116746460000470 RICHARDSON'S, W. E. E 63FT OF LOTS 47 AND 48 LESS R/W</td>
<td>4216 15th AVE S</td>
<td>344.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71544</td>
<td>R M F HOLDINGS LLC 320 KINGSTON ST S</td>
<td>2731167540200001290 RUDGEWOOD TERRACE LOT 129</td>
<td>3510 16th AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71545</td>
<td>WEST COAST INVESTMENT INC PO BOX 4488</td>
<td>2731167540200001640 RUDGEWOOD TERRACE LOT 164</td>
<td>3420 15th AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71546</td>
<td>QUALITY 1st ENTERPRISES LLC PO BOX 13201</td>
<td>2731167540200001650 RUDGEWOOD TERRACE LOT 165</td>
<td>3426 15th AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71547</td>
<td>QUALITY 1st ENTERPRISES LLC PO BOX 13201</td>
<td>2731167540200001660 RUDGEWOOD TERRACE LOTS 166 AND 167</td>
<td>3434 15th AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71548</td>
<td>PALM CAPITAL PARTNERS LLC 301 W PLATT ST STE 425</td>
<td>18311776140000060 ROBINSON SUB LOT 6</td>
<td>444 J OYCE TERR N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71549</td>
<td>GENERAL HOME DEVELOPMENT CORP</td>
<td>23 31 16 76590 012 0100</td>
<td>3161 EMERSON AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>215 49TH ST S</td>
<td>ROOSEVELT PARK ADD</td>
<td>BLK 12, LOT 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337071925</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71550</td>
<td>WESNER, CINDY</td>
<td>30 31 17 77184 004 0150</td>
<td>116 21ST AVE SE</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>218 LAMPLIGHT LN</td>
<td>ROUSLYNN</td>
<td>BLK 4, N 100FT OF LOT 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEWISBURG PA 178379002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71551</td>
<td>PAREDES, ORESTES JULIO</td>
<td>30 31 17 77400 000 1220</td>
<td>511 14TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1555 W 44TH PL APT 261</td>
<td>ROYAL POINCIANA LOT 122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HIALEAH FL 330127123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71552</td>
<td>CROUSHORN, WAYNE</td>
<td>18 31 17 77814 006 0061</td>
<td>557 7TH AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>301 PARK ST N</td>
<td>SAFFORD'S ADD REVISED</td>
<td>BLK 6, W 50FT OF LOTS 6 &amp; 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337106739</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71553</td>
<td>STOKES, JOHNNY</td>
<td>23 31 16 78390 033 0130</td>
<td>2425 4TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4310 NABAL DR</td>
<td>ST PETERSBURG INVESTMENT CO SUB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA MESA CA 919417152</td>
<td>BLK 13, LOT 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71554</td>
<td>WARNER, JEFFREY W EST</td>
<td>23 31 16 78390 034 0011</td>
<td>415 24TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>415 24TH AVE N</td>
<td>ST PETERSBURG INVESTMENT CO SUB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337042815</td>
<td>BLK 34, S 42FT OF LOT 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71555</td>
<td>DOMAIN HOMES INC</td>
<td>23 31 16 78390 035 0070</td>
<td>2350 4TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5703 S MACDILL AVE</td>
<td>ST PETERSBURG INVESTMENT CO SUB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA FL 33611</td>
<td>BLK 35, LOT 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**City of St. Petersburg**

**Special Assessments Division**

**FINAL ASSESSMENT ROLL**

1-19-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME / MAILING ADDRESS</th>
<th>PARCEL ID / LEGAL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71556</td>
<td>SUBNIA, JONATHAN PO BOX 7838</td>
<td>30 31 17 79020 001 0060</td>
<td>701 22ND AVE S</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WESLEY CHAPEL FL 335450115</td>
<td>SCHOOLEY'S HOMEVILLE BLK 1, LOT 6 &amp; E 1/2 OF LOT 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71557</td>
<td>30 DAYS REAL ESTATE CORP TRE</td>
<td>25 31 16 78722 000 0430</td>
<td>1916 21ST ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 S NEPTUNE AVE</td>
<td>SEMINOLE HEIGHTS REV SUB S 1/2 LOT 43 &amp; N 17.5FT OF LOT 44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CLEARWATER FL 337653622</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71558</td>
<td>LOREVIL LAND TRUST AGM NO 1</td>
<td>25 31 16 82008 000 0090</td>
<td>1237 12TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1237 12TH AVE S</td>
<td>SILVER LAKE SUB LOT 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337052305</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71559</td>
<td>THOMPSON ENTERPRISES INC</td>
<td>25 31 16 83142 000 0060</td>
<td>740 21ST ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8624 RIDGE RD</td>
<td>SMITH'S, S.V. REVISED LOTS 6 &amp; 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEMINOLE FL 337723527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71560</td>
<td>SHAH ST PETERSBURG HOLDINGS LL</td>
<td>25 31 16 84726 000 0230</td>
<td>1253 22ND AVE S</td>
<td>424.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9854 84TH ST</td>
<td>SPEAR'S SUB C.E. LOTS 23 &amp; 24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEMINOLE FL 337771916</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71561</td>
<td>ARMA, WILLIAM 252 KEEN RD</td>
<td>25 31 16 85292 000 0120</td>
<td>1332 21ST ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR 975279520</td>
<td>STEVEN'S SUB LOT 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71562</td>
<td>IRA CLUB CDN 79 W MONROE ST STE 1208</td>
<td>25 31 16 89604 000 0580</td>
<td>2135 OAKLEY AVE S</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHICAGO IL 606034936</td>
<td>TANGERINE CENTRAL LOT 88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAS805R
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71563</td>
<td>RENTZ, CARTER B</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2035 AUBURN ST S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 337123015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71564</td>
<td>CHABLA, WILLIAM</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3238 99TH ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JACKSON HEIGHTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NY 113691831</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71565</td>
<td>ALTIS CARDINAL SKYLINE 2 LLC</td>
<td>304.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>901 PONCE DE LEON BLVD STE 401</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CORAL GABLES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 331343070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71566</td>
<td>BROWN, DOZIE</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1146 JAMES AVE S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 337052239</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71567</td>
<td>YOUUMANS, ERNEST M</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2324 SUNRISE DR SE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 337053337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71568</td>
<td>WOODS, JACQUELINE D</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6000 TOPKE PL NE APT 104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALBUQUERQUE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NM 871092675</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71569</td>
<td>FISHER, MATTHEW E</td>
<td>264.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>816 ARLINGTON BLVD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALTAMONTE SPRINGS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 327016204</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71570</td>
<td>ROBINSON, FLOSSIE EST</td>
<td>25 31 16 94968 000 0220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>/1836 KING'S ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONYERS</td>
<td>GA 300127025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1573 71571</td>
<td>BUGGS, JEFFERY C</td>
<td>26 31 16 97560 000 0220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>/2709 UNION ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337123836</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL NUMBER OF ASSESSMENTS: 114

TOTAL ASSESSMENT AMOUNT: 23,384.63
A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AND APPROVING PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR LOT CLEARING NO. 1573; PROVIDING FOR AN INTEREST RATE ON UNPAID ASSESSMENTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, preliminary assessment rolls for Lot Clearing No. 1573 have been submitted by the Mayor to the City Council pursuant to St. Petersburg Code Section 16.40.060.4.4; and

WHEREAS, notice of the public hearing was duly published in accordance with St. Petersburg City Code Section 16.40.060.4.4; and

WHEREAS, City Council did meet at the time and place specified in the notice and heard any and all complaints that any person affected by said proposed assessments wished to offer; and

WHEREAS, City Council has corrected any and all mistakes or errors appearing on said preliminary assessment rolls.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the preliminary assessment rolls for Lot Clearing No. 1573 are approved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the principal amount of all assessment liens levied and assessed herein shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum from the date of this resolution.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

MEETING OF: January 19, 2017

TO: COUNCIL CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Confirming Preliminary Assessment for Building Securing Number SEC 1219

EXPLANATION: Codes Compliance Assistance has secured the attached structures which were found to be unfit or unsafe under Chapter 8 of the St. Petersburg City Code. The interest rate is 12% per annum on the unpaid balance.

SEC: 1219
NUMBER OF STRUCTURES 15
ASSESSABLE AMOUNT: $1,880.73

According to the City Code, these assessments constitute a lien on each property. It is recommended that the assessments be confirmed.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION:
The total assessable amount of $1,880.73 will be fully assessable to the property owners.

ATTACHMENTS:

MAYOR:

COUNCIL ACTION:

FOLLOW-UP: AGENDA NO.

I-2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07685</td>
<td>A C H U INVESTMENTS LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 15012 000 0230</td>
<td>1118 13TH AVE S  LOT 23</td>
<td>106.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 941</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INDIAN ROCKS BEACH FL 337850941</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07686</td>
<td>O B L E 3 LLC</td>
<td>23 31 16 17442 011 0080</td>
<td>2662 4TH AVE S  BLK 11, LOT 8</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 926</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337310926</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07687</td>
<td>MERAI, NAZIEH</td>
<td>26 31 16 20772 000 0040</td>
<td>1401 22ND ST S  LOT 4</td>
<td>183.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1894 MICHIGAN AVE NE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337033332</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07688</td>
<td>DEUTSCHE BANK NATL TRUST CO</td>
<td>35 31 16 37836 000 0110</td>
<td>2535 28TH ST S  HAVEN PARK ADD  LOT 11</td>
<td>75.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12650 INGENUITY DR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORLANDO FL 328262703</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07689</td>
<td>ADVANTA IRA SERVICES LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 40734 010 0010</td>
<td>1635 12TH ST S  HOLLYWOOD ADD, REV MAP OF  BLK 10, LOT 1</td>
<td>107.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13191 STARKEY RD STE 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LARGO FL 337731438</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07690</td>
<td>656 15TH AVE SOUTH LAND TRUST</td>
<td>30 31 17 46404 003 0080</td>
<td>656 15TH AVE S  KERR ADD  BLK 3, LOT 8</td>
<td>125.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9550 S EASTERN AVE STE 259</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAS VEGAS NV 891238042</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07691</td>
<td>KONCEPT INVESTMENTS INC</td>
<td>03 32 16 56160 004 0110</td>
<td>3848 50TH AVE S  10 &amp; LOT 11 LESS NW'LY  20FT THEREOF</td>
<td>107.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3292 E 29TH AVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VANCOUVER CA V5R1W6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME /MAILING ADDRESS</td>
<td>PARCEL ID /LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07692</td>
<td>GRAY, LASHANDRA NICOLE PO BOX 10929 SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337330923</td>
<td>23 31 16 65862 008 0040 PALMETTO PARK BLK 8, LOTS 4 &amp; 5</td>
<td>2826 4TH AVE S</td>
<td>185.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07693</td>
<td>30TH STREET TRUST 7172 REGIONAL ST # 362 DUBLIN CA 945682324</td>
<td>26 31 16 72846 000 0440 PRATHER'S HIGHLAND HOMES LOT 44</td>
<td>1934 30TH ST S</td>
<td>203.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07694</td>
<td>CAMPBELL, D C EST 2565 LANGDON AVE S SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337122153</td>
<td>26 31 16 72936 000 0460 PRATHER'S FIFTH ROYAL LOT 46</td>
<td>2569 LANGDON AVE S</td>
<td>100.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07695</td>
<td>DALLAND PROPERTIES LP 3200 NW 84TH AVE FORT LAUDERDALE FL 333518912</td>
<td>27 31 16 75402 000 1600 RIDGWOOD TERRACE LOT 180</td>
<td>3610 15TH AVE S</td>
<td>75.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07696</td>
<td>RICH PROPERTIES LLC P O BOX 722 LITHIA FL 335470722</td>
<td>23 31 16 78390 018 0030 ST PETERSBURG INVESTMENT CD SUB BLK 18, LOT 3</td>
<td>2220 2ND AVE N</td>
<td>162.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07697</td>
<td>ANDERSON, JOHN L 3330 22ND AVE S SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337113219</td>
<td>34 31 16 83826 001 0010 SNYDER'S, W. J. LAKEVIEW BLK A, LOT 1</td>
<td>3530 22ND AVE S</td>
<td>120.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07698</td>
<td>LAND TRUST NO 3136 17 PD BOX 13271 SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337333271</td>
<td>26 31 16 89676 006 0220 TANGERINE TERRACE BLK 6, LOT 22</td>
<td>3136 17TH AVE S</td>
<td>120.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1219 07699</td>
<td>MILEY, PRIME JR ESTATE</td>
<td>22 31 16 96174 016 0070</td>
<td>3642 EMERSON AVE S</td>
<td>120.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3642 EMERSON AVE S</td>
<td>WEST CENTRAL AVENUE</td>
<td>BLK 16, E 32FT OF LOT 7 &amp; W 28FT OF LOT 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337111736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL NUMBER OF ASSESSMENTS:** 15

**TOTAL ASSESSMENT AMOUNT:** 1,880.73
## BUILDING SECURING NUMBER SEC 1219

### COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>AMOUNT TO BE ASSESSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SECURING COST</td>
<td>$460.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERIAL COST</td>
<td>$325.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGAL AD</td>
<td>$420.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMIN. FEE</td>
<td>$675.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $1,880.73
A RESOLUTION ASSESSING THE COSTS OF SECURING LISTED ON SECURING BUILDING NO. 1219 ("SEC 1219") AS LIENS AGAINST THE RESPECTIVE REAL PROPERTY ON WHICH THE COSTS WERE INCURRED; PROVIDING THAT SAID LIENS HAVE A PRIORITY AS ESTABLISHED BY CITY CODE SECTION 8-270; PROVIDING FOR AN INTEREST RATE ON UNPAID BALANCES; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND RECORD NOTICE(S) OF LIEN(S) IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF THE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg has proceeded under the provision of Chapter 8, of the St. Petersburg City Code to secure certain properties; and

WHEREAS, the structures so secured are listed on Securing Building No. 1219 ("SEC 1219"); and

WHEREAS, Section 8-270 of the St. Petersburg City Code provides that the City Council shall assess the entire cost of such securing against the property on which the costs were incurred and that assessments shall become a lien upon the property superior to all others, except taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has held a public hearing on January 19, 2017, to hear all persons who wished to be heard concerning this matter.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that this Council assesses the costs of securing listed on Securing Building No. 1219 ("SEC 1219") as liens against the respective real property on which the costs were incurred and that pursuant to Section 8-270 of the St. Petersburg City Code said liens shall be superior in dignity to all other liens except taxes; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute and record notice(s) of the lien(s) provided for herein in the public records of the County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Special Assessment Certificates to be issued hereunder shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum on the unpaid balance from the date of the adoption of this resolution.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

MEETING OF: January 19, 2017

TO: COUNCIL CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Confirming Preliminary Assessment for Building Demolition Number DMO 445

EXPLANATION: The privately owned structures on the attached list were condemned by the City in response to unfit or unsafe conditions as authorized under Chapter 8 of the St. Petersburg City Code. The City's Codes Compliance Assistance Department incurred costs of condemnation/securing/appeal/abatement/demolition and under the provisions of City Code Section 8-270, these costs are to be assessed to the property. The interest rate is 12% per annum on the unpaid balance.

DMO: 445
NUMBER OF STRUCTURES: 5
ASSESSABLE AMOUNT: $37,206.64

According to the City Code, these assessments constitute a lien on each property. It is recommended that the assessments be confirmed.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION:
The total assessable amount of $37,206.64 will be fully assessable to the property owners.

ATTACHMENTS:

MAYOR:

COUNCIL ACTION:

FOLLOW-UP: AGENDA NO.______
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0445 03218</td>
<td>L J I PROPERTIES LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 11502 000 0010</td>
<td>2062 15TH AVE S</td>
<td>10,335.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10396 YOSEMITE LN</td>
<td>BRIGHTWOOD</td>
<td>LOT 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INDIANAPOLIS IN 462349825</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0445 03219</td>
<td>COPPERHEAD CAPITAL LLC</td>
<td>36 31 16 49644 001 0060</td>
<td>2633 UNION ST S</td>
<td>650.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 16234</td>
<td>LAKEWOOD ESTATES SEC H</td>
<td>30FT OF LOT 5 &amp; N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CLEARWATER FL 337666234</td>
<td>30FT OF LOT 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0445 03220</td>
<td>GREGORY, ADAM</td>
<td>25 31 16 85392 000 0160</td>
<td>2000 MELROSE AVE S</td>
<td>10,856.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4701 1ST AVE N</td>
<td>STEVEN'S SUB</td>
<td>LOT 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337138105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0445 03221</td>
<td>3119 QUEENSBORO AVE S TRUST</td>
<td>26 31 16 89676 006 0060</td>
<td>3119 QUEENSBORO AVE S</td>
<td>473.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3945 WELLINGTON PKWY</td>
<td>TANGERINE TERRACE</td>
<td>BLK 6, LOT 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PALM HARBOR FL 346851172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0445 03222</td>
<td>BANK OF AMERICA</td>
<td>12 31 16 98874 000 0102</td>
<td>2500 19TH ST N</td>
<td>14,890.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9000 SOUTHSIDE BLVD BLDG 400</td>
<td>WOODLAWN ESTATES</td>
<td>E 60FT OF S 1/2 OF LOT 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JACKSONVILLE FL 322560787</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL NUMBER OF ASSESSMENTS:** 5  
**TOTAL ASSESSMENT AMOUNT:** 37,206.64
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>AMOUNT TO BE ASSESSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demolition Cost</td>
<td>$ 19,642.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos Cost</td>
<td>$ 14,570.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Ad</td>
<td>$ 1,211.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer's Charge</td>
<td>$ 350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Fee</td>
<td>$ 1,432.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 37,206.64</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A RESOLUTION ASSESSING THE COSTS OF DEMOLITION LISTED ON BUILDING DEMOLITION NO. 445 ("DMO NO. 445") AS LIENS AGAINST THE RESPECTIVE REAL PROPERTY ON WHICH THE COSTS WERE INCURRED; PROVIDING THAT SAID LIENS HAVE A PRIORITY AS ESTABLISHED BY CITY CODE SECTION 8-270; PROVIDING FOR AN INTEREST RATE ON UNPAID BALANCES; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND RECORD NOTICE(S) OF LIEN(S) IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF THE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg has proceeded under the provision of Chapter 8, of the St. Petersburg City Code to demolish certain properties; and

WHEREAS, the structures so demolished are listed on Building Demolition No. 445 ("DMO No. 445"); and

WHEREAS, Section 8-270 of the St. Petersburg City Code provides that the City Council shall assess the entire cost of such demolition against the property on which the costs were incurred and that assessments shall become a lien upon the property superior to all others, except taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has held a public hearing on January 19, 2017, to hear all persons who wished to be heard concerning this matter.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that this Council assesses the costs of the demolition listed on Building Demolition No. 445 ("DMO No. 445") as liens against the respective real property on which the costs were incurred and that pursuant to Section 8-270 of the St. Petersburg City Code said liens shall be superior in dignity to all other liens except taxes; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Special Assessment Certificates to be issued hereunder shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum on the unpaid balance from the date of the adoption of this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute and record notice(s) of the lien(s) provided for herein in the public records of the County.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

[Signature]
City Attorney (Designee)
SAINT PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

Meeting of January 19, 2017

TO: The Honorable Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: Ordinance approving a vacation of a 20-foot east/west alley, three (3) 10-foot north/south alleys, and a 5-foot north/south public utility easement / right-of-way in the block between 5th Avenue South and 6th Avenue South between 3rd Street South and 4th Street South. (City File No.: 16-33000014)

RECOMMENDATION: The Administration and the Development Review Commission recommend APPROVAL.

RECOMMENDED CITY COUNCIL ACTION:
1) Conduct the second reading and public hearing; and
2) Approve the proposed ordinance.

The Request: The request is to vacate a 20-foot east/west alley, three (3) 10-foot north/south alleys and a 5-foot utility easement / right-of-way in the block between 5th Avenue South and 6th Avenue South between 3rd Street South and 4th Street South.

The area of the right-of-way proposed for vacation is depicted on the attached maps (Attachments A and B) and the Sketch and Legal Descriptions (Exhibits “A” and “B”). The applicant’s goal is to consolidate the block for redevelopment.

The applicant is the University of South Florida St. Petersburg (USFSP) (Florida Internal Improvement Trust Fund) and development on the block will be in compliance with the USFSP Master Plan and the approved Development Agreement. The current use of the block is parking and recreation. The existing and proposed site plan in the USFSP Master Plan shows the future use as housing and recreation.

Discussion: As set forth in the attached report provided to the Development Review Commission (DRC), Staff finds that vacating the subject right-of-ways would be consistent with the criteria in the City Code, the Comprehensive Plan, and the applicable special area plan.

Agency Review: The application was routed to the standard list of City Departments and outside utility providers. The City’s Engineering Department has indicated that they have facilities in the alleys to be vacated as well as Frontier Communications. Level 3 Communications was uncertain as to whether the vacations would affect their facilities. An
associated condition of approval has been included. The applicant has indicated that they are willing to dedicate a public utility easement over the area of the alley to be vacated or relocate facilities as part of a redevelopment plan.

Public Comments: Prior to the hearing before the Development Review Commission (DRC) staff received several inquiries from the public in regards to future plans for the site. Paul Chiavacci, Rachel Calderon and Carlos Riviera were sent copies of the current Urban Design Illustrative 10 year Plan excerpted from the USFSP Campus Master Plan and there were no further questions.

DRC Action/Public Comments: On December 7, 2016, the DRC held a public hearing on the subject application. No person spoke in opposition to the request. After the public hearing, the DRC voted 7-0 to recommend approval of the proposed vacation. In advance of this report, no additional comments or concerns were expressed to the author.

RECOMMENDATION: The Administration recommends APPROVAL of the alley right-of-ways and the public utility easement / right-of-way vacation, subject to the following conditions:

1. Prior to recording of the vacation ordinance, the applicant shall address the location of public utilities and services by providing a public utility easement covering the entire area to be vacated, or relocating City and private utilities at the owner's expense. In either case a written letter of no objection from the utility providers is required stating that the easement is sufficient for their interest, or that the facilities have been relocated.

2. Prior to the recording of the vacation ordinance, the alleys and easement/right-of-way along with the abutting properties shall be re-platted.

3. Prior to recording of the vacation ordinance, the applicant shall provide an alternative approved by the City of St Petersburg's Sanitation Department for sanitation pickup locations. Future sanitation locations shall be located behind proposed structures and shall not be visible from Avenues and shall not be located in the City right-of-way.


5. As required City Code Section 16.70.050.1.1 G, approval of right-of-way vacations requiring replat shall lapse unless a final plat based thereon is recorded in the public records within 24 months from the date of such approval or unless an extension of time is granted by the Development Review Commission or, if appealed, City Council prior to the expiration thereof. Each extension shall be for a period of time not to exceed one (1) year.

Attachments: Attachments A and B, Ordinance, DRC Staff Report
Attachment A
City of St. Petersburg, Florida
Planning and Economic Development
Department
Case No.: 16-33000014
Address: Block between 5th Avenue South and 6th Avenue South between 3rd Street South and 4th Street South
Attachment B
City of St. Petersburg, Florida
Planning and Economic Development Department
Case No.: 16-33000014
Address: Block between 5th Avenue South and 6th Avenue South between 3rd Street South and 4th Street South
ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A VACATION OF A 20-FOOT EAST/WEST ALLEY, THREE (3) 10-FOOT NORTH/SOUTH ALLEYS, AND A 5-FOOT NORTH/SOUTH PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENT / RIGHT-OF-WAY IN THE BLOCK BETWEEN 5TH AVENUE SOUTH AND 6TH AVENUE SOUTH BETWEEN 3RD STREET SOUTH AND 4TH STREET SOUTH; SETTING FORTH CONDITIONS FOR THE VACATION TO BECOME EFFECTIVE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

SECTION 1. The following right-of-way is hereby vacated as recommended by the Administration and the Development Review Commission on December 7, 2016. (City File No. 16-33000014):

Legal Description: See Exhibit “A” and Exhibit “B” attached.

SECTION 2. The above-mentioned right-of-way is not needed for public use or travel.

SECTION 3. The vacation is subject to and conditional upon the following:

1. Prior to recording of the vacation ordinance, the applicant shall address the location of public utilities and services by providing a public utility easement covering the entire area to be vacated, or relocating City and private utilities at the owner’s expense. In either case a written letter of no objection from the utility providers is required stating that the easement is sufficient for their interest, or that the facilities have been relocated.

2. Prior to the recording of the vacation ordinance, the alleys and easement/right-of-way along with the abutting properties shall be re-platted.

3. Prior to recording of the vacation ordinance, the applicant shall provide an alternative approved by the City of St Petersburg’s Sanitation Department for sanitation pickup locations. Future sanitation locations shall be located behind proposed structures and shall not be visible from Avenues and shall not be located in the City right-of-way.


5. As required City Code Section 16.70.050.1.1 G, approval of right-of-way vacations requiring replat shall lapse unless a final plat based thereon is recorded in the public records within 24 months from the date of such approval or unless an extension of time is granted by the Development Review Commission or, if appealed, City Council prior to the expiration thereof. Each extension shall be for a period of time not to exceed one (1) year.

SECTION 4. In the event this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective upon the expiration of the fifth business day after adoption.
unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which case the ordinance shall become effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

LEGAL:


PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT:


LEGAL DESCRIPTION

THAT 20 FOOT EAST—WEST ALLEY AND THOSE THREE 10 FOOT NORTH—SOUTH ALLEYS ALL LYING WITHIN BLOCK 81, REVISED MAP OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 1, PAGE 49 PUBLIC RECORDS OF HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA OF WHICH PINELLAS COUNTY WAS FORMERLY A PART AND/OR WITHIN J.B. SMITH SUB OF S1/2 OF BLK 81, AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 1, PAGE 26, PUBLIC RECORDS OF PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

FROM THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 7, OF SAID BLOCK 81, REVISED MAP OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG AS A POINT OF REFERENCE; THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID BLOCK 81, N89°26'01"E, 90.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE CONTINUE ALONG SAID LINE, N89°26'01"E, 10.00 TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 6 OF SAID BLOCK 81; THENCE ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 6, S00°33'07"E, 200.00 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY OF A 20 FOOT ALLEY; THENCE ALONG SAID NORTH RIGHT OF WAY, N89°26'01"E, 250.00 FEET TO THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY OF 3RD STREET SOUTH; THENCE ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY, S00°33'07"E, 20.00 FEET TO THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY OF SAID 20 FOOT ALLEY; THENCE ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY, S89°26'01"W, 100.00 FEET TO THE EAST RIGHT OF WAY OF A 10 FOOT ALLEY; THENCE ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY, N00°33'07"W, 200.00 FEET TO THE SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY OF A 20 FOOT ALLEY; THENCE ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY, S89°26'01"W, 130.00 FEET TO THE EAST RIGHT OF WAY OF A 10 FOOT ALLEY; THENCE ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY, N00°33'07"W, 200.00 FEET TO THE SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY OF 6TH AVENUE SOUTH; THENCE ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY, S89°26'01"W, 10.00 FEET TO THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY OF A 20 FOOT ALLEY; THENCE ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY, N00°33'07"W, 200.00 FEET TO THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY OF 4TH STREET SOUTH; THENCE ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY, N89°26'01"W, 100.00 FEET TO THE EAST RIGHT OF WAY OF A 20 FOOT ALLEY; THENCE ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY, N89°26'01"W, 100.00 FEET TO THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY OF 6TH AVENUE SOUTH; THENCE ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY, S89°26'01"W, 20.00 FEET TO THE SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY OF 4TH STREET SOUTH; THENCE ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY, N89°26'01"W, 90.00 FEET; THENCE LEAVING SAID RIGHT OF WAY, N00°33'07"W, 200.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 13,000 SQUARE FEET OR 0.298 ACRES MORE OR LESS

ST PETERSBURG, FLORIDA

Exhibit "A" - Pg 1 of 2

LEGEND

LS LICENSED SURVEYOR
PSM PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR AND MAPPER
LB LICENSED BUSINESS

NOTES

1. THIS SKETCH IS A GRAPHIC ILLUSTRATION FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND IS NOT INTENDED TO REPRESENT A FIELD SURVEY.
2. NOT A BOUNDARY SURVEY.
3. BASIS OF BEARINGS: ASSUMED N00°33'07"W ALONG THE EAST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF 4TH STREET SOUTH.
4. THIS SKETCH IS MADE WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF A TITLE REPORT OR COMMITMENT FOR TITLE INSURANCE.
5. THIS MAP INTENDED TO BE DISPLAYED AT A SCALE OF 1" = 60'.
6. ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS TO SURVEY MAPS AND REPORTS BY OTHER THAN THE SIGNING PARTY OR PARTIES ARE PROHIBITED WITHOUT WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE SIGNING PARTY OR PARTIES.
7. NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND THE ORIGINAL RAISED SEAL OF A FLORIDA LICENSED SURVEYOR AND MAPPER.

PREPARED FOR:

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA
ST. PETERSBURG

FILE: 4Y002115SC SHEET NO: 1 OF 2

JOB NO:

9/16/16 10:37:56

PLATED:

09/16/16 10:37:56

LOGON: JSYOUNG

FLORIDA LICENSED SURVEYOR & MAPPER

George F. Young, Inc.
299 TR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. STREET. N. ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA 33701
PHONE (727) 822-4547 FAX (727) 822-2919
BUSINESS ENTITY UDB1
ARCHITECTURE ENGINEERING ENVIRONMENTAL LANDSCAPE PLANNING SURVEYING UTILITIES
SANTIAGO-LAKEWOOD RANCH-ORLANDO-PALM BEACH GARDENS-ST. PETERSBURG-TAMPA
LEGAL DESCRIPTION

THAT PERPETUAL EASEMENT AND RIGHT OF WAY TO INSTALL, REPAIR AND MAINTAIN PUBLIC UTILITIES AS DESCRIBED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK 39, PAGE 169 BEING DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

THE EAST 5 FEET OF LOT 3, BLOCK 81, REVISED MAP OF THE CITY OF ST PETERSBURG, ASRecorded IN PLAT BOOK 1, PAGE 49 PUBLIC RECORDS OF HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA OF WHICH PINELLAS COUNTY WAS FORMERLY A PART

ST PETERSBURG, FLORIDA

LINE TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE</th>
<th>BEARING</th>
<th>LENGTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>S00'33'07&quot;E</td>
<td>200.00'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>S89'26'01&quot;W</td>
<td>5.00'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>N00'33'07&quot;W</td>
<td>200.00'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>N89'26'01&quot;E</td>
<td>5.00'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES

1. THIS SKETCH IS A GRAPHIC ILLUSTRATION FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND IS NOT INTENDED TO REPRESENT A FIELD SURVEY.

2. NOT A BOUNDARY SURVEY.

3. BASIS OF BEARINGS: ASSUMED S00'33'07"E ALONG THE EAST LINE OF LOT 3.

4. THIS SKETCH IS MADE WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF A TITLE REPORT OR COMMITMENT FOR TITLE INSURANCE.

5. THIS MAP INTENDED TO BE DISPLAYED AT A SCALE OF 1" = 60'.

6. ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS TO SURVEY MAPS AND REPORTS BY OTHER THAN THE SIGNING PARTY OR PARTIES ARE PROHIBITED WITHOUT WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE SIGNING PARTY OR PARTIES.

7. NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND THE ORIGINAL RAISED SEAL OF A FLORIDA LICENSED SURVEYOR AND MAPPER.
VACATION OF RIGHT-OF-WAY
PUBLIC HEARING

According to Planning & Economic Development Department records, no Commission member resides or has a place of business within 2,000 feet of the subject property. All other possible conflicts should be declared upon the announcement of the item.

REPORT TO THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMISSION FROM DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES DIVISION, PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, for Public Hearing and Executive Action on December 7, 2016, at 2:00 P.M. in Council Chambers, City Hall, 175 Fifth Street North, St. Petersburg, Florida.

CASE NO.: 16-33000014
REQUEST: Approval of a vacation of a 20-foot east/west alley, three (3) 10-foot north/south alleys, and a 5-foot north/south public utility easement / right-of-way in the block between 5th Avenue South and 6th Avenue South between 3rd Street South and 4th Street South.

OWNER: John Dickson, Director of Facilities Services
University of South Florida St. Petersburg
Florida Internal Improvement Trust Fund
3900 Commonwealth Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000

AGENT: Catherine Bosco
George F. Young, Inc.
299 Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., Street North
Saint Petersburg, Florida 33701

ADDRESSES AND PARCEL NUMBERS:
None; 19-31-17-74466-081-0010
500 4th Street South; 19-31-17-74466-081-0060
None; 19-31-17-83034-000-0010
None; 19-31-17-83034-000-0050
532 4th Street South; 19-31-17-83034-000-0080

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: On File
ZONING: Institutional Centers (IC)
DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATION:

Request. The request is to vacate a 20-foot east/west alley, three (3) 10-foot north/south alleys and a 5-foot utility easement / right-of-way in the block between 5th Avenue South and 6th Avenue South between 3rd Street South and 4th Street South.

The area of the right-of-way proposed for vacation is depicted on the attached maps (Attachments A and B) and the Sketch and Legal Descriptions (Exhibits “A” and “B”). The applicant’s goal is to consolidate the block for redevelopment.

The applicant is the University of South Florida St. Petersburg (USFSP) (Florida Internal Improvement Trust Fund) and development on the block will be in compliance with the USFSP Master Plan and the approved Development Agreement. The current use of the block is parking and recreation. The existing and proposed site plan in the USFSP Master Plan shows the future use as housing and recreation (Attachment C).

Analysis. Staff’s review of a vacation application is guided by:

A. The City’s Land Development Regulations (LDR’s);
B. The City’s Comprehensive Plan; and
C. Any adopted neighborhood or special area plans.

Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating compliance with the applicable criteria for vacation of public right-of-way. In this case, the material submitted by the applicant does provide background or analysis supporting a conclusion that vacating the subject right-of-way would be consistent with the criteria in the City Code, the Comprehensive Plan, or any applicable special area plan.

A. Land Development Regulations
Section 16.40.140.2.1E of the LDR’s contains the criteria for reviewing proposed vacations. The criteria are provided below in italics, followed by itemized findings by Staff.

1. Easements for public utilities including stormwater drainage and pedestrian easements may be retained or required to be dedicated as requested by the various departments or utility companies.

The application was routed to the standard list of City Departments and outside utility providers. The City’s Engineering Department has indicated that they have facilities in the alleys to be vacated as well as Frontier Communications. Level 3 Communications was uncertain as to whether the vacations would affect their facilities. An associated condition of approval has been included. The applicant has indicated that they are willing to dedicate a public utility easement over the area of the alley to be vacated or relocate facilities as part of a redevelopment plan. Associated special conditions of approval have been suggested at the end of this report.

2. The vacation shall not cause a substantial detrimental effect upon or substantially impair or deny access to any lot of record as shown from the testimony and evidence at the public hearing.

The entire block consisting of five parcels is under the ownership of one entity. The east-west alley, which is proposed for vacation, is currently used to access properties to the north and south of the alley. During redevelopment of the block, and through the platting process, traffic
circulation and utilities will be addressed. Vacation of the alley will not detrimentally impact or impair access to any other lot of record on the block. An associated special condition of approval has been suggested at the end of this report.

3. The vacation shall not adversely impact the existing roadway network, such as to create dead-end rights-of-way, substantially alter utilized travel patterns, or undermine the integrity of historic plats of designated historic landmarks or neighborhoods.

Vacation of the alleys, if approved, is not anticipated to adversely impact the existing roadway network or substantially alter utilized travel patterns. Other similar requests have been approved in the surrounding blocks, to facilitate land assembly for development or redevelopment.

4. The easement is not needed for the purpose for which the City has a legal interest and, for rights-of-way, there is no present or future need for the right-of-way for public vehicular or pedestrian access, or for public utility corridors.

The right-of-way is not needed for the purpose for which the City has a legal interest and there is no known present or future need for the right-of-way for public vehicular or pedestrian access, or for public utility corridors. The east-west alley was originally dedicated to provide a secondary means of access to the rear yards of the lots within the block and for public utilities. In the IC Zoning districts ancillary equipment, loading and service operations shall be placed to the rear of the front facades of the structures and shall be screened from streets. Redevelopment of the subject block may eliminate the need for access from the alley for vehicular traffic. As noted above the applicant has indicated that they are willing to provide an easement or to re-locate facilities in order to protect utilities.

Access for existing and future utilities and traffic circulation will be accomplished by the required re-plat.

5. The POD, Development Review Commission, and City Council shall also consider any other factors affecting the public health, safety, or welfare.

The subject block is included in the Intown Activity Center area. Please see comments below under Special Area Plans. No other factors have been raised for consideration.

B. Comprehensive Plan

Future Land Use Element Policy T1.6 The City shall support high-density mixed-use developments and redevelopments in and adjacent to Activity Centers, redevelopment areas and locations that are supported by mass transit to reduce the number and length of automobile trips and encourage transit usage, bicycling and walking.

Future Land Use Element Policy T2.4 The City should preserve the historical grid street pattern, including alleys, and shall not vacate public right-of-way until it is determined that the right-of-way is not required for present or future public use.
The vacation of this alley will foster redevelopment which is one goal of the Comprehensive Plan. The City's Neighborhood Transportation Division has reviewed the proposed alleyway vacations and has no objection.

C. Adopted Neighborhood or Special Area Plans

The subject right-of-ways are adjacent to the boundaries of the Downtown Residents Civic Association and the Downtown Neighborhood Association. There are no plans for these associations which affect vacation of right-of-way in this area of the City.

The subject property is also within the boundaries of the Intown Activity Center. The Intown Activity Center plan has three elements which may apply to the vacation of right-of-way:

One Objective is to provide greater accessibility to Intown activity areas and visual assets through the development of an integrated movement system for vehicles, transit, pedestrians and parking and one of those elements is to "utilize existing sidewalks and alleys for establishing a pedestrian system base".

The vacation of the subject alley will not enhance pedestrian options.

Under the Residential Development Program it is noted that the "City may initiate vacation of alleys and streets for development".

While this is not a City initiated vacation, the policy allows vacation of alleys specifically for residential development.

One of the Development Guidelines is to "To encourage consolidation of blocks and promote a unified development concept, the City will consider the closing of selected streets and alleyways in accordance with an appropriate proposal".

This proposed vacation is in support of redevelopment of the block. The block is planned for redevelopment with residential on the east half of the block and recreation on the western half of the block.

The primary guidance for development of this site is contained within the currently adopted USFSP Master Plan and the approved Development Agreement.

Comments from Agencies and the Public

The subject property is adjacent to the boundaries of the Downtown Residents Civic Association and the Downtown Neighborhood Association. No comments were received from these Associations.

Staff received several inquiries from the public in regards to future plans for the site. Paul Chiavacci, Rachel Calderon and Carlos Riviera were sent copies of the current Urban Design Illustrative 10 year Plan excerpted from the USFSP Campus Master Plan and there were no further questions.
As noted above City Departments and private utility agencies did indicate the presence of facilities in the alleys to be vacated and requested either an easement or relocation at the applicant’s expense. The applicant will be required to provide an additional public notice prior to the public hearing before the City Council.

**RECOMMENDATION.** Staff recommends **APPROVAL** of the proposed alley right-of-way vacation. If the DRC is inclined to support the vacation, Staff recommends the following special conditions of approval:

1. Prior to recording of the vacation ordinance, the applicant shall address the location of public utilities and services by providing a public utility easement covering the entire area to be vacated, or relocating City and private utilities at the owner’s expense. In either case a written letter of no objection from the utility providers is required stating that the easement is sufficient for their interest, or that the facilities have been relocated.

2. Prior to the recording of the vacation ordinance, the alleys and easement/right-of-way along with the abutting properties shall be re-platted.

3. Prior to recording of the vacation ordinance, the applicant shall provide an alternative approved by the City of St Petersburg’s Sanitation Department for sanitation pickup locations. Future sanitation locations shall be located behind proposed structures and shall not be visible from Avenues and shall not be located in the City right-of-way.


5. As required City Code Section 16.70.050.1.1 G, approval of right-of-way vacations requiring replat shall lapse unless a final plat based thereon is recorded in the public records within 24 months from the date of such approval or unless an extension of time is granted by the Development Review Commission or, if appealed, City Council prior to the expiration thereof. Each extension shall be for a period of time not to exceed one (1) year.

**REPORT PREPARED BY:**

![Signature]

KATHRYN A. YOUNKIN, AICP, LEED AP BD + C, Deputy Zoning Official
Development Review Services Division
Planning & Economic Development Department
REPORT APPROVED BY:

[Signature]

ELIZABETH ABERNETHY, AICP, Zoning Official (POD)
Planning and Economic Development
Development Review Services Division

DATE

11-30-16

Attachment A
City of St. Petersburg, Florida
Planning and Economic Development Department
Case No.: 16-33000014
Address: Block between 5th Avenue South and 6th Avenue South between 3rd Street South and 4th Street South
Attachment B
City of St. Petersburg, Florida
Planning and Economic Development
Department
Case No.: 16-33000014
Address: Block between 5th Avenue South and 6th Avenue South between 3rd Street South and 4th Street South
MEMORANDUM
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG
ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

TO: Pamela Jones, Development Services
FROM: Nancy Davis, Engineering Plan Review Supervisor
DATE: October 9, 2016
SUBJECT: Right of Way - Vacation
FILE: 16-33000014

LOCATION AND PIN:
No Address 19/31/17/74466/081/0010
500 4th Street South 19/31/17/74466/081/0060
No Address 19/31/17/83034/000/0010
532 4th Street South 19/31/17/83034/000/0080

ATLAS: E-3
PROJECT: Right of Way - Vacation

REQUEST: Approval of a vacation of a 20-foot east-west alley and three (3) 10-foot north-south alleys in the block between 5th Avenue South and 6th Avenue South, between 3rd Street South and 4th Street South.

COMMENTS: The Engineering and Capital Improvements Department has no objection to the vacation request provided the following comments are included as conditions of approval:

1. City Utility maps indicate that the 20-foot east/west alley to be vacated contains a 6” PVC and an 8” VCP sanitary sewer main. The entire east/west 20’ wide alley must be retained as public utility easement or the applicant’s Engineer must submit a plan to relocate the sanitary sewer main for City Engineering review/approval. All platted lots of record must maintain access to the public sanitary sewer main.

2. City utility maps indicate that the westernmost north/south 10-foot wide alley to be vacated contains a 6” sanitary sewer main. A 20-foot wide public utility easement must be dedicated centered over the 6” main as a condition of the alley vacation. All platted lots of record must maintain access to the public sanitary sewer main. If the block is to be replatted as a condition of the vacation requests, then the public need for this north/south public utility easement may be eliminated if not longer required to maintain sewer access to platted lots of record.

NED/MJR/jw
pc: Kelly Donnelly
Easement Vacation File 2016
Reading File
Correspondence File
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: An Ordinance for a substantial change of use at a Charter park property known as the 31st Street Sports Complex to allow for the construction of two (2) deep injection wells and associated site improvements, including monitoring wells.

Explanation: The 31st Street Sports Complex (Complex) has been identified as one of three sites within the City limits for siting two (2) proposed deep injection wells for the purpose of expanding the City’s wet weather disposal capacity. The other two sites slated for the construction of injection wells are the Southwest and Northwest Waste Water Reclamation Facilities. Additional wet weather disposal capacity is a critical component of the City’s comprehensive response to recent discharges from the municipal wastewater system during wet weather events experienced during the rainy seasons of 2015 and 2016. The City already operates and maintains 10 injection wells as part of its wastewater system, but in light of the recent discharges during wet weather, these additional injection wells will provide an upgrade to the overall system’s capacity during the most significant rainfall events. The City and its contractor, ARSus, LLC, further believe that one of the two injection wells proposed at the Complex could be completed in time to be available for all or some of the 2017 rainy season. In order to achieve that goal, construction would need to commence in February.

The substantial change of use process, as outlined in Section 1.02(e) of the City Charter, and further defined in Section 21-79 of the City Code, is required to be engaged prior to commencing construction of the proposed injection wells at the Complex. The Complex is identified as a charter park under Section 21-84. Further, because the Complex is a charter park, injection well uses are considered to be a substantial change of use from its traditional uses as an active park. Therefore, in accordance with Charter requirements, the City has noticed all residents and owners located within 200 yards of the Complex parcel boundary of the Second Reading and Public Hearing scheduled for the January 19, 2017 City Council meeting at 6pm. In order to be passed, this Ordinance will require a super-majority of Councilmembers to vote in the affirmative (i.e., at least 6 out of 8).

The injection wells are generally proposed to be located at the far northern and southern ends of the Complex. There is no anticipated impact to the playing fields that comprise the majority of the parcel’s use. The injection wells will have a fenced-in above-ground piping footprint of approximately 10 feet by 40 feet and underground vertical depth of approximately 1000 feet below ground surface. Certain additional associated site improvements and appurtenances will also be necessary, including monitoring wells, each with an approximate above-ground footprint of 5 feet by 10 feet.

The City has already begun a public informational outreach program to increase awareness of the project to the surrounding community. Outreach has included telephone calls and emails to the
local neighborhood association presidents and church leaders, and coordination with City parks staff that run the popular youth sports leagues at the Complex. Accommodation for parking alternatives for Complex patrons during the construction phase is being developed.

Public informational meetings are scheduled to occur at the Water Resources Department on January 3, 2017, from 1pm – 7pm, and on January 11, 2017, at 6pm, at the Lake Vista Recreational Center. As required by state law, please note that the January 3, 2017 meeting will be co-hosted by staff from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection from 1pm – 4pm.

An additional public hearing is scheduled to occur at the February 1, 2017 meeting of the City’s Development Review Commission, 2pm at City Hall, which will consider this proposal as a Special Exception under the City’s Land Development Regulations.

**Recommendation:** City staff recommends approval of the attached Ordinance.

**Cost/Funding/Assessment Information:** Funds have been previously appropriated in the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), WRF SWNew Inj Wells FY17 (Project 15838).

**Attachment:** Ordinance

Exhibit A (Map)

**Approvals:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administrative</th>
<th>Legal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ORDINANCE NO.______

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE OF USE OF PARK PROPERTY WITHIN THE 31ST STREET SPORTS COMPLEX; ALLOWING THE CONSTRUCTION OF TWO DEEP INJECTION WELLS AND ASSOCIATED SITE IMPROVEMENTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the St. Petersburg City Charter allows the City to substantially change the use of any Charter Park property only after approval by the City Council of an ordinance receiving an affirmative vote from at least six (6) Council Members; and

WHEREAS, Chapter 21 of the City Code defines substantial change of use of park property; and

WHEREAS, the 31st Street Sports Complex is a Charter Park and is designated as an active park; and

WHEREAS, due to the occurrence of multiple discharges from the City’s wastewater system during wet weather events during the rainy seasons of 2015 and 2016, the City has determined that additional facilities must be added to the City’s wastewater system to increase its wet weather disposal capacity; and

WHEREAS, two (2) deep injection wells, each with a fenced-in above-ground piping footprint of approximately 10 feet by 40 feet and underground vertical depth of approximately 1000 feet below ground surface, for the express purpose of providing additional wet weather disposal capacity to the City’s wastewater system, are proposed to be constructed within the 31st Street Sports Complex; and

WHEREAS, in addition to the construction of these facilities certain associated site improvements will also be necessary, including monitoring wells, each with approximate above-ground footprint of 5 feet by 10 feet; and

WHEREAS, the portion of the 31st Street Sports Complex needed for these new facilities is shown on the attached Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection and the City have each conducted noticed public informational outreach meetings with the community and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the City has provided notice to owners and residents within 200 yards of the 31st Street Sports Complex and has conducted a public hearing.
THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN;

Section One. The findings made in the foregoing recitals are adopted and incorporated herein as findings of fact by the City Council.

Section Two. The City Council approves a permanent substantial change of use of park property in the 31st Street Sports Complex for the construction of two (2) new deep injection wells and associated site improvements within the specific boundaries of the area shown on the attached Exhibit A.

Section Three. In the event this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective after the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which case the ordinance shall take effect immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

_________________________________
City Attorney (Designee)
EXHIBIT A
City of St Petersburg, Florida
31st Street Sports Complex
Substantial Change of Use
Address 4801 31st Street South
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: An Ordinance in accordance with Section 1.02(c)(3), St. Petersburg City Charter, authorizing the grant of a Public Utility Easement to Duke Energy Florida, Inc., a Florida corporation, d/b/a Duke Energy, within the City-owned historic Mirror Lake Recreation Complex located at 559 Mirror Lake Drive North, St. Petersburg; authorizing the Mayor, or his Designee, to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this ordinance; and providing an effective date.

BACKGROUND: Real Estate & Property Management received a request from Engineering & Capital Improvement Department to prepare the necessary documents to grant Duke Energy Florida, Inc., a Florida corporation, d/b/a Duke Energy, ("Duke Energy"), a Public Utility Easement ("Easement") (also referred to by Duke Energy as a "Distribution Easement - Corporate"), within the City-owned historic Mirror Lake Recreation Complex located at 559 Mirror Lake Drive North, St. Petersburg.

The Easement, located within the area illustrated in Exhibit "A", is necessary to install and maintain power upgrades for buildings located within the Mirror Lake Recreation Complex. The Easement will have no significant effect on the public's use of the property.

An ordinance is required to authorize the grant of this Easement to Duke Energy as the requested Easement is to be located on land classified by the City Charter as "Park and Waterfront Property." This action is in compliance with Section 1.02(c)(3) of the City Charter that provides "...utility easements may be granted upon specific approval by ordinance where the easement will have no significant effect on the public's use of the property."

RECOMMENDATION: Administration recommends that City Council adopt the attached ordinance in accordance with Section 1.02(c)(3), St. Petersburg City Charter, authorizing the grant of a Public Utility Easement to Duke Energy Florida, Inc., a Florida corporation, d/b/a Duke Energy within City-owned historic Mirror Lake Recreation Complex located at 559 Mirror Lake Drive North, St. Petersburg; authorizing the Mayor, or his Designee, to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this Ordinance; and providing an effective date.

ATTACHMENTS: Illustration, Ordinance & Exhibits

APPROVALS: Administration: 

[Signature]

Budget: 

[Signature] N/A

Legal: 

[Signature] (As to consistency w/attached legal documents)
Legal: 00301675.doc V. 1
4TH AVENUE NORTH

LOT 1, BLOCK 1, MIRROR LAKE SUBDIVISION
PLAT BOOK 84, PAGE 16

LINE TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE</th>
<th>BEARING</th>
<th>LENGTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>S71°34'05&quot;E</td>
<td>36.19&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>N00°05'43&quot;E</td>
<td>4.98&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>N00°14'07&quot;W</td>
<td>10.00&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>N89°45'53&quot;E</td>
<td>10.00&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>S00°14'07&quot;E</td>
<td>1.99&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>N03°06'08&quot;E</td>
<td>14.38&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>S88°33'52&quot;E</td>
<td>10.00&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8</td>
<td>S03°06'08&quot;W</td>
<td>24.36&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L9</td>
<td>S00°05'43&quot;W</td>
<td>8.65&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L10</td>
<td>S88°57'31&quot;W</td>
<td>10.00&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MIRROR LAKE DRIVE NORTH

See sheet 1 of 2 for description
notes, legend, signature and seal

George F. Young, Inc.
2990 W. WORTH LUTHER JR. STREET, N. ST. PETERSBURG, FL 33707
PHONE: (727) 892-3537, FAX: (727) 892-3516

ARCHITECTURAL ENGINEERING ENVIRONMENTAL LANDSCAPE PLANNING SURVEYING-PLATTS
CARPETELL-LEAMWOOD PARK-CHARLESFIELD-PAL POST BEACH TERRACE-ET AL.
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ORDINANCE NO.: _____

AN ORDINANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 1.02(C)(3), ST. PETERSBURG CITY CHARTER, AUTHORIZING THE GRANT OF A PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENT TO DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC., A FLORIDA CORPORATION, D/B/A DUKE ENERGY, WITHIN THE CITY-OWNED HISTORIC MIRROR LAKE RECREATION COMPLEX LOCATED AT 559 MIRROR LAKE DRIVE NORTH, ST. PETERSBURG; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR, OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS ORDINANCE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

Section 1. The City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, hereby approves the grant of a Public Utility Easement ("Easement") to Duke Energy Florida, Inc., a Florida corporation, d/b/a Duke Energy to install and maintain power upgrades for buildings located within the Mirror Lake Recreation Complex, within the Easement location set forth in the legal description which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and the illustration which is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated herein.

Section 2. This Easement will have no significant effect on the public's use of the property and is granted pursuant to Section 1.02(c)(3) of the St. Petersburg, Florida, City Charter.

Section 3. The Mayor, or his Designee, is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this Ordinance.

Section 4. In the event this Ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective upon the expiration of the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the Ordinance, in which case the Ordinance shall become effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this Ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.
LEGAL:

City Attorney (Designee)
Legal: 00301675.doc V. 1

APPROVED BY:

Michael J. Jefferis, Director
Parks & Recreation

APPROVED BY:

Bruce E. Grimes, Director
Real Estate & Property Management
EXHIBIT "A"

(Legal Description of the Easement)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A portion of Lot 1, Block 1, Mirror Lake Subdivision, as recorded in Plat Book 84, Page 16, Public Records of Pinellas County, Florida being further described as follows:

From the southwest corner of said Lot 1 as a Point of Reference; thence along the south line thereof the following two courses S71° 54' 05"E, 36.19 feet; thence N89° 57'31"E, 87.95 feet to the Point of Beginning; thence leaving said south line, N00° 05' 43"E 4.96 feet; thence N40° 35' 57"W, 126.88 feet; thence N00° 14'07"W, 10.00 feet; thence N89° 45' 53"E, 10.00 feet; thence S00° 14' 07"E, 1.99 feet; thence S86° 53'52"E, 152.34 feet; thence N03° 06' 08"E, 14.38 feet; thence S86° 53'52"E, 10.00 feet; thence S03° 06' 08"W, 24.38 feet; thence N86° 53'52"W, 156.67 feet; thence S40° 35' 57"E, 119.07 feet; thence S00° 05' 43"W, 8.65 feet to a point on the south line of said Lot 1; thence along the south line of said Lot 1, S89° 57'31"W, 10.00 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Containing 3169 square feet or 0.07 acres more or less.

St. Petersburg, Florida

NOTES

1. Not a Boundary Survey
2. This sketch is a graphic illustration for informational purposes only and is not intended to represent a field survey.
3. Basis of Bearings: S89°57'31"W, along the South line of Lot 1, as per Record Plat.
4. This sketch is made without the benefit of a title report or commitment for title insurance.
5. This map is intended to be displayed at a scale of 1" = 50' or smaller.
6. Additions or deletions to survey maps and reports by other than the signing party or parties are prohibited without written consent of the signing party or parties.
7. Not valid without the signature and the original raised seal of a Florida Licensed Surveyor and Mapper.

LEGEND

LS Licensed Surveyor  PSM Professional Surveyor and Mapper  LB Licensed Business
EXHIBIT "B"

(Illustration of the Easement)

4TH AVENUE NORTH

LOT 1, BLOCK 1, MIRROR LAKE SUBDIVISION
PLAT BOOK 84, PAGE 16

LINE TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE</th>
<th>BEARING</th>
<th>LENGTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>S71°54'05&quot;E</td>
<td>36.19&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>N00°05'43&quot;E</td>
<td>4.96&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>N00°14'07&quot;W</td>
<td>10.00&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>N89°45'53&quot;E</td>
<td>10.00&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>S00°14'07&quot;E</td>
<td>1.99&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>N03°06'08&quot;E</td>
<td>14.38&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>S86°33'52&quot;E</td>
<td>10.00&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8</td>
<td>S03°06'08&quot;W</td>
<td>24.38&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L9</td>
<td>S00°05'43&quot;W</td>
<td>6.65&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L10</td>
<td>S89°37'31&quot;W</td>
<td>10.00&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCALE: 1" = 50'

MIRROR LAKE DRIVE NORTH

SOUTHWEST CORNER LOT 1

POINT OF BEGINNING

SOUTH LINE LOT 1

SOUTH LINE LOT 1

George F. Young, Inc.

Since 1919

290 DAWNTOWN KING JR STREET, ST PETERSBURG, FLORIDA 33701
PHONE (727) 822-4311, FAX (727) 822-7819
BUSINESS ENTITY ID 1821
ARCHITECTURE ENGINEERING ENVIRONMENTAL LANDSCAPE PLANNING SURVEYING

CM 170119 – 1 RE Ordinance (Public Hearing) Duke Energy Easement Mirror Lake Park 00301675
TO: The Honorable Chair and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: City File LDR-2016-02: City-initiated application amending the St. Petersburg City Code, Chapter 16, Land Development Regulations ("LDRs") pertaining to self-storage facilities when located within the RC-1, RC-2, RC-3 (Retail Center) and CCS-2 (Corridor Commercial Suburban) zoning classifications and designated activity centers.

REQUEST: Second Reading and adoption Public Hearing of the attached ordinance amending the St. Petersburg City Code, Chapter 16, LDRs, to eliminate self-storage facilities as a permitted, principle use and allow as an accessory use when located within the RC-1, RC-2, RC-3 (Retail Center) and CCS-2 (Corridor Commercial Suburban) zoning classifications. Further, this amendment includes additional use restrictions and use-specific development standards when located within a designated activity center.

RECOMMENDATION:

Administration:

The Administration recommends APPROVAL.

Development Review Commission:

On March 2, 2016, the DRC reviewed the proposed amendments and voted unanimously to make a finding of consistency with the City’s Comprehensive Plan.

City Council:

On January 5, 2017, the City Council conducted a first reading of the proposed ordinance.

Public Notice:

- In accordance with City policy, e-mail notification of this amendment request was originally sent on February 21, 2016, to the following external individuals and organizations: Council of Neighborhood Associations; Central Avenue Council, Downtown Neighborhood Associations; Downtown Residents Civic Association; Federation of Inner City Community Organizations; St. Petersburg Area Chamber of
Commerce; St. Petersburg Downtown Partnership; Pinellas Realtors Organization; and the Chair of the City's Development Review Commission. An email notification shall be sent providing updated information about this public hearing date.

- In addition to the normal public notice requirements for the Development Review Commission, a display ad shall be published in the Tampa Bay Times on January 5, 2017.

Citizen Input:

As of this writing, the City received one phone call requesting more information.

Recommended City Council Action:

1. CONDUCT the second reading and adoption public hearing; and
2. APPROVE the proposed ordinance.

Attachments: Ordinance
DRC Staff Report
Housing Impact Statement
ORDINANCE NO. ___

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG AMENDING THE CITY CODE; CHANGING THE USE MATRIX RELATING TO PERMITTED USES; AMENDING USE SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS FOR "STORAGE, SELF"; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

Section 1. The allowable uses for ‘Storage, Self / Mini Warehouse’ for the CCS-2, RC-1, RC-2 and RC-3 zoning districts in the matrix in Section 16.10.020.1 of the St. Petersburg City Code are hereby amended to read as follows:

CCS-2 from P to A
RC-1, RC-2, RC-3 from P to A

Section 2. Section 16.10.020.1 of the St. Petersburg City Code pertaining to the definition for self-storage is hereby amended to read as follows:

Establishments consisting of a building(s) containing separate storage units of less than 400 square feet each and which are used for storage of personal property, subject to the applicable use restrictions set forth in this Chapter. Additional use restrictions apply when located within a designated activity center. (See Use Specific Development Standards)

Section 3. Section 16.50.400.1 of the St. Petersburg City Code pertaining to use specific development standards for self-storage is hereby amended to add the following sub-section:

16.50.400.5 – Located within a designated activity center.

A. When located within a designated activity center, identified by the City of St. Petersburg’s Comprehensive Plan and shown on the Future Land Use Map:

1. Self-storage uses shall not exceed 25 percent (%) of the floor area of the allowable principal use.

Section 4. Coding: Language in the City Code not appearing in this ordinance continues in full force and effect unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. Sections of this ordinance that amend the City Code to add new sections or subsections are generally not underlined.

Section 5. The provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed to be severable. If any provision of this ordinance is determined unconstitutional or otherwise invalid, such determination shall not affect the validity of any other provisions of this ordinance.

Section 6. Effective Date. In the event this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective after the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which case the ordinance shall take effect immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until
the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

Approved as to form and content:

[Signature]
City Attorney (designee)
APPLICATION: LDR 2016-02

APPLICANT: City of St. Petersburg
175 5th Street North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

REQUEST: Amend the City of St. Petersburg's Land Development Regulations ("LDRs") to eliminate self-storage facilities as a permitted, principal use and allow as an accessory use when located within the RC-1, RC-2, RC-3 (Retail Center) and CCS-2 (Corridor Commercial Suburban) zoning classifications. Further, this amendment includes an additional restriction limiting self-storage to no more than 25 percent (%) of the floor area of the allowable principal use or uses when located within a designated activity center.

AUTHORITY: Pursuant to Section 16.80.020.1 of the City Code of Ordinances, the DRC, acting as the Land Development Regulation Commission ("LDRC"), is responsible for reviewing proposed amendments to the LDRs, confirming consistency with the City of St. Petersburg's Comprehensive Plan ("Comprehensive Plan"), and making a recommendation to the City Council.

EVALUATION:

Recommendation

The Planning & Economic Development Department finds that the proposed request is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and recommends APPROVAL.

Background and Analysis

In September 2007, the City's LDRs were established, establishing self-storage opportunities into the CCS-2 (Corridor Commercial Suburban), Retail Center (RC-1 & RC-2) and Downtown Center (DC-C and DC-1) zoning classifications, allowing this use to be classified as either a permitted,
principal or accessory use. Specifically, Section 16.10.020.1 titled "Use Permissions and Parking Requirements Matrix and Zoning Matrix" currently allows self-storage within the RC-1, RC-2, RC-3 (Retail Center) and CCS-2 (Corridor Commercial Suburban) zoning classifications as a permitted, principal use. Further, the Use Matrix allows self-storage as an accessory use within the DC-C and DC-1 (Downtown Center) categories.

Generally, the RC (Retail Center) and CCS-2 (Corridor Commercial Suburban) zoning classifications are the most intense mixed-use districts outside of the DC (Downtown Center), allowing greater residential density and increased floor area ratios:

- **RC (Retail Center)** – These districts are regional destinations, characterized by a collection of compatible and interrelated uses that include retail, dining, service, employment, and residential dwelling units.

- **CCS-2 (Corridor Commercial Suburban)** – Similar to the Retail Center districts, this district includes regional destinations, characterized by a collection of complimentary uses including retail, dining, service, employment, and residential dwelling units.

Self-storage as a permitted, principal use is not compatible with the intent and purpose of the RC and CCS-2 zoning classifications. Stand-alone self-storage developments are passive and do not generate a significant number of jobs, promote retail and dining activity, or attract hotels and offices. Moreover, stand-alone self-storage developments are incompatible with the dynamic, mixed-use objectives of designated activity centers, which often correspond geographically to the location of the RC and CCS-2 zoning classifications.

Activity centers facilitate a compact urban development pattern that provides opportunities to more efficiently use and develop infrastructure, land and other resources and services. The purpose of this overlay designation is to recognize those areas that have been identified and planned for in a special and detailed manner, based on their unique location, intended use, appropriate development potential, and other pertinent planning considerations. In particular, it is the intent of this category to recognize those important, identifiable centers of business, public, and residential activity, as may be appropriate to the particular circumstance, that are the focal point of a community, and served by enhanced transit commensurate with the type, scale, and intensity of use.

There are currently six (6) activity centers recognized by Policy LU2.1 of the City of St. Petersburg's Comprehensive Plan and shown on the Future Land Use Map. The following table illustrates the geographic correlation of the designated activity centers and the RC and CCS-2 zoning classifications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gateway Activity Center</th>
<th>RC-2, RC-3</th>
<th>CCS-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intown Activity Center  (downtown)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CCS-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrone Activity Center</td>
<td>RC-1, RC-2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Plaza Activity Center</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CCS-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Avenue Corridor Activity Center</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skyway Marina District Activity Center</td>
<td>RC-1</td>
<td>CCS-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recently, the City of St. Petersburg received a private-initiated application requesting to expand stand-alone self-storage opportunities within the CCS-1 zoning classification. After careful consideration of the request, city staff recommended approval and the City Council subsequently adopted the requested change to amend self-storage from a *grandfathered* use to a *special*
exception use within the CCS-1 zoning classification (*Ordinance 202-H; November 30, 2015*). While a special exception requires public hearing review, this recent change expanded self-storage opportunities citywide adding more than 1,386 acres of potential land for development of new self-storage facilities.

This city-initiated application is an attempt to further recalibrate the allowances for self-storage in a way that compliments the intent and purpose for the RC and CCS-2 zoning classifications and activity centers while reserving the opportunity for self-storage to continue in a support capacity for the purpose of office record retention and other accessory purposes.

In order to achieve this objective, several changes are recommended to the City Code. First, self-storage within the RC and CCS-2 zoning classifications should be amended from a *permitted, principal use* to an *accessory use*. Second, regulating criteria for the self-storage use should be amended to further reinforce the accessory characteristics of the use type when located within a designated activity center. Similar to accessory uses within the Industrial Tradition (IT) zoning classification and Industrial General (IG) future land use, self-storage should not exceed 25 percent (%) of the floor area of the allowable principal use or uses.

Existing, self-storage facilities within the affected areas shall continue as grandfathered uses.

**Compliance with the Comprehensive Plan**

The following objectives and policies from the Comprehensive Plan are applicable to the proposed amendment:

**Policy LU2.1:** To facilitate compact urban development the City shall adopt the following activity centers as part of this Land Use Plan:

1. Gateway  
2. Intown  
3. Tyrone  
4. Central Plaza  
5. Central Avenue Corridor  
6. Skyway Marina District

**Policy LU2.2:** The City shall concentrate growth in the designated Activity Centers and prioritize infrastructure improvements to service demand in those areas.

**Policy LU3.4:** The Land Use Plan shall provide for compatible land use transition through an orderly land use arrangement, proper buffering, and the use of physical and natural separators.

**Policy LU3.5:** The tax base will be maintained and improved by encouraging the appropriate use of properties based on their locational characteristics and the goals, objectives and policies within this Comprehensive Plan.

**Objective LU21:** The City shall, on an ongoing basis, review and consider for adoption, amendments to existing and/or new innovative land development regulations that can provide additional incentives for the achievement of Comprehensive Plan Objectives.

**Policy LU21.1:** The City shall continue to utilize its innovative development regulations and staff shall continue to examine new innovative techniques by working with the private sector, neighborhood groups, and special interest groups and by monitoring regulatory innovations to identify potential solutions to development issues that provide incentives for the achievement of the goals, objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.
Policy LU23.4: The City's LDRs shall continue to support land development patterns that make possible a mixture of land use types resulting in employment, schools, services, shopping and other amenities located near residential development and neighborhoods.

**Housing Affordability Impact Statement**

The proposed amendments will have no impact on housing affordability, availability or accessibility. A Housing Affordability Impact Statement is attached.

**Adoption Schedule**

The proposed amendments require two (2) public hearings, conducted by the City of St. Petersburg City Council. The City Council shall consider the recommendation of the DRC and vote to approve, approve with modification or deny the proposed amendment:

- 03-17-2016: First (1st) Reading and First Public Hearing
- 04-07-2016: Second (2nd) Reading and Public Hearing

**Exhibits and Attachments**

1. Self-Storage Amendment Locations Map
2. Other Self-Storage Principal Use Locations Map
3. Ordinance
4. Housing Affordability Impact Statement
City of St. Petersburg
Housing Affordability Impact Statement

Each year, the City of St. Petersburg receives approximately $2 million in State Housing Initiative Partnership (SHIP) funds for its affordable housing programs. To receive these funds, the City is required to maintain an ongoing process for review of local policies, ordinances, resolutions, and plan provisions that increase the cost of housing construction, or of housing redevelopment, and to establish a tracking system to estimate the cumulative cost per housing unit from these actions for the period July 1–June 30 annually. This form should be attached to all policies, ordinances, resolutions, and plan provisions which increase housing costs, and a copy of the completed form should be provided to the City’s Housing and Community Development Department.

I. Initiating Department: Planning & Economic Development

II. Policy, Procedure, Regulation, or Comprehensive Plan Amendment Under Consideration for adoption by Ordinance or Resolution:

See attached proposed amendments to Chapter 16, City Code of Ordinances (City File LDR 2016-02).

III. Impact Analysis:

A. Will the proposed policy, procedure, regulation, or plan amendment, (being adopted by ordinance or resolution) increase the cost of housing development? (i.e. more landscaping, larger lot sizes, increase fees, require more infrastructure costs up front, etc.)

No   X   (No further explanation required.)
Yes   ___  Explanation:

If Yes, the per unit cost increase associated with this proposed policy change is estimated to be: $___________________.

B. Will the proposed policy, procedure, regulation, plan amendment, etc. increase the time needed for housing development approvals?

No   X   (No further explanation required)
Yes   ___  Explanation:
IV: Certification

It is important that new local laws which could counteract or negate local, state and federal reforms and incentives created for the housing construction industry receive due consideration. If the adoption of the proposed regulation is imperative to protect the public health, safety and welfare, and therefore its public purpose outweighs the need to continue the community's ability to provide affordable housing, please explain below:

CHECK ONE:

X The proposed regulation, policy, procedure, or comprehensive plan amendment will not result in an increase to the cost of housing development or redevelopment in the City of St. Petersburg and no further action is required. (Please attach this Impact Statement to City Council Material, and provide a copy to Housing and Community Development department.)

[Signature]
For D.G.

________________________
Department Director (signature)          ______________________

February 23, 2016

OR

The proposed regulation, policy, procedure, or comprehensive plan amendment being proposed by resolution or ordinance will increase housing costs in the City of St. Petersburg. (Please attach this Impact Statement to City Council Material, and provide a copy to Housing and Community Development department.)

________________________
Department Director (signature)          ______________________

Date

Copies to: City Clerk
Joshua A. Johnson, Director, Housing and Community Development
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and Members of City Council

FROM: Jordan Wolfgram, Assistant City Attorney

SUBJECT: An ordinance of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida creating a new section 20-124, regulation of smokeless tobacco products.

EXPLANATION: The attached ordinance was first read at City Council on January 5 during the ENRS report. The ordinance has been revised, the third WHEREAS clause was split into two paragraphs to create a fourth WHEREAS paragraph. All other portions of the ordinance remain unchanged.
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA CREATING A NEW SECTION 20-124, REGULATION OF SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS; CREATING DEFINITIONS OF ORGANIZED SPORTING EVENT, ATHLETIC FACILITY, AND SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCT; PROHIBITING THE USE OF SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS AT ATHLETIC FACILITIES AND ORGANIZED SPORTING EVENTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Centers for Disease Control Prevention reports that smokeless tobacco contains nicotine, which is highly addictive, young people who use smokeless tobacco can become addicted to nicotine and may be more likely to also become cigarette smokers; and

WHEREAS, Centers for Disease Control Prevention reports that high school athletes are more likely to use smokeless tobacco than their peers who are non-athletes; and

WHEREAS, children and teens closely observe athletes’ actions, including their use of tobacco products, and are influenced by what they see; and

WHEREAS, adolescents tend to mimic the behaviors of those they look up to and identify with, including baseball players and other athletes.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA DOES ORDAIN:

Section 1: The St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended by adding a new Section 20-124 to read as follows:

Section 20-124- Smokeless Tobacco Products

(a) Purpose and Intent

It is the intent of this section to promote, protect, and improve the health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of the City of St. Petersburg by discouraging the unhealthy practice of using smokeless tobacco products, especially by young people, through prohibition of the use of smokeless tobacco products at athletic facilities and organized sporting events within the City.

(b) Definitions

(1) Organized sporting event means any game of or athletic competition related to baseball, softball, football, basketball, volleyball, tennis, racquetball, badminton, soccer, skating, skateboarding, swimming and diving, and any other event involving a game or other athletic competition organized by a
league or association of persons, including but not limited to, professional, amateur, adult recreational, youth recreational, and school sponsored leagues.

(2) **Athletic facility** means an indoor or outdoor basketball court, volleyball court, tennis court, racquetball court, badminton court, baseball field, softball field, soccer field, football field, skate park or rink, swimming pool and any other sporting facility, or any portion thereof, including all sidelines, dugouts, and similar parts of the facility. Athletic facility shall also include the bleachers or any other spectator area, any restroom structure, and any concession and eating area.

(3) **Smokeless tobacco product** means items such as snuff, loose tobacco, or similar goods made with any part of the tobacco plant, which are prepared or used for chewing, dipping, sniffing, or other personal use, not including cigars, cigarettes, pipe tobacco, or any other lighted tobacco product.

**(c) Prohibition of Use**

(1) A person shall not use or otherwise consume any smokeless tobacco product when attending or participating in any organized sporting event or at any athletic facility.

(2) A person shall not use or otherwise consume any smokeless tobacco product anywhere on a property at which any of the following permitted uses (as defined in Chapter 16 of the City Code) exists:
   a. Golf course/country club
   b. Commercial recreation, outdoor
   c. Commercial recreation, indoor
   d. Health clubs
   e. Park, active
   f. Recreation use, accessory to residential use (does not include single family use)
   g. Recreation use, accessory to public park

Section 2. The provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed to be severable. If any provision of this ordinance is determined unconstitutional or otherwise invalid, such determination shall not affect the validity of any other provisions of this ordinance.

Section 3. In the event this Ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective upon the expiration of the fifth business day after adoption unless the mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the mayor will not veto this Ordinance, in which case this Ordinance shall become effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this Ordinance is vetoed by the mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

Approved as to form and content:

City Attorney (Designee)
TO: The Honorable Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: Owner-initiated Historic Landmark Designation of the John Gassman House, located at 640 14th Avenue South (City File HPC 16-90300007).

An analysis of the request is provided in the attached Staff Report.

REQUEST: The request is to designate the John Gassman House as a local historic landmark.

RECOMMENDATION:

Administration: Administration recommends approval.

Community Preservation Commission: On December 13, 2016, the Community Preservation Commission held a public hearing on this matter, and voted 7 to 0 to recommend approval of the landmark designation to City Council.

Recommended City Council Action: 1) CONDUCT the second reading and quasi-judicial public hearing; AND 2) APPROVE the proposed ordinance.

Attachments: Ordinance (including map), Additional Information Regarding Consistency with the Comprehensive Plan, CPPC Draft Minutes, Staff Report to the CPPC, Designation Application
ORDINANCE NO. ____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, DESIGNATING THE JOHN GASSMAN HOUSE (LOCATED AT 630 14TH AVENUE SOUTH) AS A LOCAL HISTORIC LANDMARK AND ADDING THE PROPERTY TO THE LOCAL REGISTER PURSUANT TO SECTION 16.30.070, CITY CODE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

SECTION 1. The City Council finds that the Gassman House, which is recognized for its significance as a rare example of the Moorish Revival style within the city, meets at least one of the nine criteria listed in Section 16.30.070.2.5.D, City Code, for designating historic properties. More specifically, the Gassman House meets the following criteria:

(e) Its value as a building is recognized for the quality of its architecture, and it retains sufficient elements showing its architectural significance, and
(f) It has distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, method of construction, or use of indigenous materials.

SECTION 2. The City Council finds that the Gassman House meets at least one of the seven factors of integrity listed in Section 16.30.070.2.5.D, City Code, for designating historic properties. More specifically, the property meets the following factors of integrity:

(a) Location. The place where the historic property was constructed or the place where the historic event occurred;
(b) Design. The combination of elements that create the form, plan, space, structure, and style of a property;
(c) Setting. The physical environment of a historic property;
(d) Materials. The physical elements that were combined or deposited during a particular period of time and in a particular pattern or configuration to form a historic property.
(e) Workmanship. The physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or people during any given period in history or prehistory.
(f) Feeling. The property's expression of the aesthetic or historic sense of a particular period of time, and
(g) Association. The direct link between an important historic event or person and a historic property.

SECTION 3. The Gassman House, located upon the following described property, is hereby designated as a local landmark, and shall be added to the local register listing of designated landmarks, landmark sites, and historic and thematic districts which is maintained in the office of the City Clerk:

Designation Boundary
The official boundary of the local landmark designation shall encompass the entire parcel, generally described as Royal Poinciana Lots 145 and 146, and as depicted on Exhibit "A."

SECTION 4. In the event this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective after the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which case the ordinance shall take effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

[Signature]

City Attorney (or Designee) Date

[Signature]

Planning and Economic Development Department Date
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Additional Information Regarding Consistency with the Comprehensive Plan

The subject property is developed with a single-family residential building located 630 14th Avenue South. The property is designated Planned Redevelopment Residential on the City’s Future Land Use Map (FLUM) and NT-2 (Neighborhood Traditional Single Family) on the City’s Official Zoning Map. The proposed historic landmark designation will not affect the FLUM or zoning designations, thus will not affect any existing or future plans for the development of the City. The proposed landmark designation is consistent with Objective LU10, Policy LU10.1, Policy LU 2.3, and Policy HP2.6 of the City’s Comprehensive Plan, shown below.

**OBJECTIVE LU10:** The historic resources locally designated by the St. Petersburg City Council and Community Preservation Commission shall be incorporated onto the Land Use Map or map series at the time of original adoption or through the amendment process and protected from development and redevelopment activities consistent with the provisions of the Historic Preservation Element and the Historic Preservation Ordinance.

**Policy LU10.1** Decisions regarding the designation of historic resources shall be based on the criteria and policies outlined in the Historic Preservation Ordinance and the Historic Preservation Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

**Policy LU2.3** The City shall provide technical assistance to applications for designation of local historic landmarks and districts.

**Policy HP2.6** Decisions regarding the designation of historic resources shall be based on National Register eligibility criteria and policies outlined in the Historic Preservation Ordinance and the Comprehensive Plan. The City will use the following selection criteria for City initiated landmark designations as a guideline for staff recommendations to the Community Preservation Commission and City Council:

- National Register or DOE status
- Prominence/importance related to the City
- Prominence/importance related to the neighborhood
- Degree of threat to the landmark
- Condition of the landmark
- Degree of owner support
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG  
COMMUNITY PLANNING & PRESERVATION COMMISSION  
PUBLIC HEARING  
December 13, 2016

QUASI-JUDICIAL PUBLIC HEARING

A. City File HPC 16-90300007  
   Contact Person: Laura Duvekot, 892-5451  
   Request: Owner request for a Local Landmark designation of the John Gassman House, located at 630 14th Avenue South.

Staff Presentation

Laura Duvekot gave a PowerPoint presentation based on the staff report. A letter in support of the designation was received from Florida Trust for Historic Preservation and copies were distributed to the CPPC members.

Applicant Presentation

Coy LaSister, owner, spoke in support of the designation request.

Public Hearing

Peter Belmont, representing St. Petersburg Preservation, spoke in support of the request.

Executive Session

MOTION:  
Commissioner Wolf moved and Commissioner Bell seconded a motion approving the Local Landmark designation in accordance with the staff report.

VOTE:  
YES — Bell, Burke, Michaëls, Wolf, Wannemacher, Whiteman, Carter  
NO — None

Motion passed by a vote of 7 to 0.
STAFF REPORT

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION
LOCAL DESIGNATION REQUEST

For Public Hearing and Recommendation to City Council on December 13, 2016 beginning at 3:00 P.M., Council Chambers, City Hall, 175 Fifth Street North, St. Petersburg, Florida

According to Planning and Economic Development Department records, no commissioner resides or has a place of business within 2,000 feet of the subject property. All other possible conflicts should be declared upon the announcement of the item.

CASE NO.: HPC 16-90300007
STREET ADDRESS: 630 14th Avenue South
LANDMARK: John Gassman House
OWNER/Applicant: Coy Murice LaSister
REQUEST: Local Landmark Designation of the John Gassman House
BACKGROUND
On November 2, 2016, a Local Historic Landmark Designation Application was submitted for the Gassman House at 630 14th Avenue South ("the subject property") by Coy Murice LaSister, the property's owner. The application includes information on the house's history, architecture, and first occupant, John Gassman.

STAFF FINDINGS

Summary
Staff finds that the Gassman House, located at 630 14th Avenue South, is eligible for designation as a local historic landmark. Per St. Petersburg City Ordinance Section 16.30.070.2.5, local historic landmark eligibility is evaluated through a two-part test designed to evaluate age, context, and integrity. Under the first test, the local historic landmark designation application and supporting evidence demonstrate that the Gassman House is approximately 92 years old and satisfies Criteria E for local significance, which states that "Its value as a building is recognized for the quality of its architecture, and it retains sufficient elements showing its architectural significance" as well as Criteria F, "It has distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, method of construction, or use of indigenous materials." The second test assesses a resource's integrity. At least one of seven factors of integrity (location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association) must be met in order for a potential landmark to be determined eligible. While some modifications have been made to the subject property over time, thus slightly diminishing certain aspects of its integrity, the Gassman House satisfies all seven factors overall.

Historical Overview
As downtown St. Petersburg grew during the 1910s, development to the south, in the general area of the subject property, occurred somewhat more slowly than it did to the north of downtown. Building in the area was initially limited by the presence of Salt Creek, a small stream connecting Lake Maggiore to Tampa Bay. Though the stream itself was relatively small, it was paralleled by a wide salt marsh that was prone to flooding. This problem was partially corrected when the portion of the marsh between Fourth Street South and Tampa Bay was filled in 1909 during the dredging project that created Bayboro Harbor. The flooding of the area that is now Bartlett Park was in the mid-1930s, when said park was created through joint efforts by the City and the Federal Government’s Depression-era Works Progress Administration.¹

The subject property was constructed beginning or before 1923, and competed in 1924. The subject property's earliest known owner, John Gassman, is presumed to be its builder. Gassman, sometimes spelled "Gessman" or "Gossman" in records, immigrated to the United States from his native Switzerland in 1905.² The motives for Gassman's relocation to the United States remain unknown, though an estimated 89,000 Swiss immigrants settled in the United States between 1891 and 1920, and records indicate that at least two of his siblings also left Switzerland and settled in the Northeastern U.S.³ In 1910, Gassman was noted by the Federal Census as living alone and operating a farm in Volusia County, Florida, which is located on the state’s Atlantic

coast and approximately 150 miles from St. Petersburg. Gassman relocated to St. Petersburg before 1918, when he was listed on a World War I Registration Card as being a permanent resident of a home on 12th Avenue South (now 14th Avenue South) in St. Petersburg. In 1920, the Polk’s City Directory for St. Petersburg states that Gassman was a boarder at the 12th Avenue South residence, which was located between Fifth and Sixth Streets. A map of the block dating to 1918 confirms local property records’ indication that the subject property had not been constructed at the time, but shows a number of small, one-story wood frame dwellings along the 500 block. This evidence suggests that Gassman rented a room very close to the subject property, and likely during, its construction. The 1923 Sanborn Map of the block shows the subject property under construction, with concrete walls in place but no roof, and no street number yet assigned, indicating that the building was not yet habitable (Figure 1). This is consistent with the 1924 construction date listed in property records.

Though Gassman was listed as a farmer in 1910 Volusia County census records, all known documentation of his time in St. Petersburg, which spanned from or before 1918 until his death in 1956, suggest that his life here was dedicated to ship building. He was noted to have worked as a carpenter for first Avery & Roberts Company, and later Low’s Marine Way, Inc., both of which were ship building companies located in the adjacent Bayboro Harbor area. St. Petersburg’s ship building industry was strongest prior to World War I, but numerous companies remained operational along Bayboro Harbor for decades afterward, and it remains a small but significant industry in the area today. Located within a half-mile of these employers in a neighborhood popular with mechanics and tradesmen, the site of the subject property would have been a logical one for Gassman to build a home. In 1937, a neighborhood profile described the area as being primarily inhabited by “tourist class, clerical workers, and skilled mechanics.”

The subject property remained Gassman’s primary residence until the early 1940s or later; he was noted to reside at a house nearby at 646 Newton Avenue when he passed away in 1956.

---

5 WWI Draft Registration Card for John Gassman, St. Petersburg, Pinellas County, Florida.
8 *St. Petersburg Times*, “John Gassman, 75,” October 8, 1956.
11 n.a., “Mapping Inequality: Redlining in New Deal America,” https://r3rl7ichmond.edu/panorama/redlining/?loc=15/27.7504/-82.6424&opacity=0.07&city=st.petersburg-fl&area=C6&sort=31&adimage=5/57/-1053&text=intro.
The Newton residence does not appear on 1951 maps, so it is very possible that Gassman resided at the subject property into the 1950s.

Because of the organic nature of the area's development, and its streets' partial break with the city's primary grid, the subject property has had numerous addresses since its construction. The street it fronts, which is now 14th Avenue South, was originally 12th Avenue South, though it was sometimes referred to as Royal Palm Avenue. The subject property's house number was originally 524, though the number 638 was used beginning in 1925. Many of the city's discontinuous streets were renamed for consistency in 1928, bringing the address of 638 14th Avenue South into use. The house number 630, and, therefore, the present-day address of 630 14th Avenue South, has been in use since 1940 or earlier. A chronology of addresses used to describe the subject property's location is included in Appendix E.

**Architectural Description**

The subject property's primary form is essentially a square, which is two stories over a basement, with a three-story tower at the northeast corner (Figure 2). This mass is three bays wide and five deep. Its roof is flat and concealed by a parapet wall with continuous coping; a small cupola rises from the center of the roof, both providing views of the surroundings and illuminating the house's interior space.

The front porch is recessed into the first story and spans the full width of the north-facing façade. Its openings feature the horseshoe arch shape that is repeated throughout the building's fenestration; these openings feature metal railings that were likely added after construction. The porch is entered from the articulated northeast tower via concrete steps (Figure 3).

At the south (rear) elevation, the center bay is articulated and contains a rear entrance (Figure 4). Two windows at the west elevation's second story are articulated, forming a bay window of sorts (Figure 5). The horseshoe arch recurs throughout all of the building's fenestration, with the exception of the circular windows at the third story of the northeast tower and the rectangular, horizontally-sliding windows at basement level. The repetition of this unusual detail creates a remarkable and striking rhythm and is the most noteworthy element of the subject property.
Alterations

As discussed further below, the subject property retains sufficient integrity to convey its historic significance. However, certain modifications and alterations have been made over time and should be noted. Two small outbuildings were once located near the southern edge of the property. The first, a small, one-story wood frame shed, is highlighted in blue in Figure 7. It appears on the 1923 Sanborn Map of the area, meaning that it was constructed at or before the time of the main house’s construction in 1923-1924. It was demolished after 1951. The second outbuilding, a one-story concrete block garage at the southeast corner of the property, is highlighted in green in Figure 7. This garage was constructed in 1938 and demolished in 2007. Based on early maps, it appears that the 1938 garage replaced a smaller building in the same place. The 1938 garage’s concrete foundation remains on the property. Both of these outbuildings were very simple and utilitarian in nature. Their demolition does not affect the integrity of the main house, and does not reduce the integrity of the subject property as a whole to such an extent as to disqualify it from landmark eligibility.

In addition to these removed outbuildings, several elements of the house itself have been altered. A capped, stucco chimney which once rose from the flat surface of the roof’s turf was removed after 1977.\(^\text{13}\) Four of the subject property’s historic windows have been replaced with fixed light windows to allow for the installation of air conditioners and a modern bathroom window (Figure 6). Incredibly, the subject property’s current owner was able to locate the original windows and intends to reinstall them at a future date. The remaining 28 of the building’s 32 original windows appear in Figure 6.

\(^{13}\text{Doug Davies, "630 14th Avenue South," Florida Master Site File, Site Inventory Form, December 1977.}\)
windows remain in place. The building’s doors have been replaced with non-historic single-action wood doors. Non-historic screens have been cut to fit inside several of the window openings but are removable and, therefore, not considered to be an irreversible alteration.

**Statement of Significance**

A determination of local register eligibility is generally based upon a two-part test, which first assesses a resource’s historic significance in accordance with St. Petersburg’s Code of Ordinances’ Historic and Archaeological Preservation Overlay, Section 16.30.070.2.5. A resource must have been constructed at least 50 years before consideration; the Gassman House’s completion date of 1924 makes it 92 years old. A resource’s historic significance is then evaluated through the lens of nine criteria, of which it must meet at least one. The Gassman House is significant at the local level in the area of architecture because of its unique and distinctive design, which clearly depicts the influence of the Moorish Revival trend in architecture on its builder. It meets the following criteria for local historic landmark designation:

(e) Its value as a building is recognized for the quality of its architecture, and it retains sufficient elements showing its architectural significance.

and

(f) It has distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, method of construction, or use of indigenous materials.

Though early twentieth century residences exhibiting Moorish influences are rare, they are representative of a national trend through which architects and builders sought to embrace new aesthetics and push the boundaries of architectural creativity with a group of styles known collectively as Exotic Revivals. Included in this family are styles such as Mayan Revival, Egyptian Revival, Byzantine Revival, East Asian Eclectic, and Tiki-Polynesian, as well as Moorish Revival.\(^{14}\) Nationally, Exotic Revival styles experienced two distinct periods of popularity.\(^ {15}\) The first, which spanned roughly 1830-1850, paralleled an era of European imperialism and major discoveries in the emerging field of archeology. As public awareness and excitement about new and foreign places grew, indigenous architecture and newly- unearthed aesthetics borrowed from ancient sites were incorporated into American buildings and artwork.\(^ {16}\) This first period of popularity, however, predated the establishment of St. Petersburg, so no resources dating to that era were constructed in the city.

Exotic Revivals’ second era of national popularity spanned the 1920s, a time during which the American public was ready to embrace even more imaginative, almost theatrical styles.\(^ {17}\) Nationwide, Exotic Revival buildings constructed during this second wave of popularity tended to be large-scale civic buildings or take new, grand forms such as skyscrapers or movie theaters because of the styles’ tendency toward opulence and flamboyance.\(^ {18}\)


\(^{16}\) City of Los Angeles, 5.

\(^{17}\) ibid, 6.

\(^{18}\) ibid, 6.
The trend’s popularity coincided with a time of rapid growth across Florida and within the Sunshine City, whose population ballooned from under 15,000 in 1920 to over 30,000 residents in 1926. The state’s sunny climate and Spanish roots lent themselves well to Spanish, Italianate, and Mediterranean architectural influences, and the Mediterranean Revival style that emerged was so prevalent during these boom years that the time has sometimes been referred to as the “Spanish boom.” In Florida, buildings of all sizes, including a large number of the single family houses that were rapidly constructed during the boom, integrated Mediterranean Revival influence into their designs. Elements of the style were often inexpensively applied to the buildings constructed curing the era, whereas many of St. Petersburg’s grand, high-style resorts, residences, and commercial buildings dating to the 1920s boom feature much more ornate Mediterranean details.

Although Moorish influences are not uncommonly found in Mediterranean Revival buildings, examples of buildings that attempt to more fully embrace the Moorish Revival style are rare. American buildings that are classified as exhibiting the Moorish Revival style often take inspiration from multiple Eastern cultures in addition to predominant Moorish decoration. Some of the most noteworthy examples of Moorish Revival architecture in Florida are found in the Miami-area city of Opa-Locka, which was developed in the mid-1920s by developer Glenn Curtiss. Curtiss, who had made his fortune in aviation, was also the investor behind the nearby cities of Hialeah, which took a Spanish Mission architecture theme, and Country Club Estates (later renamed Miami Springs), with a planned theme of Pueblo Revival architecture. Opa-Locka was designed by architect Bernhardt E. Muller and city planner Clinton McKenzie. The city’s theme was inspired by Curtiss’s reading of The 1001 Tales of the Arabian Nights, and its architecture features an imaginative mixture of domes, minarets, crenelated parapets, and Saracenic arches. A total of 86 buildings were designed at a range of scales during initial development, which was brought to an abrupt halt by the hurricane of 1926 and the harsh economic times that followed. Many of the original buildings have since been altered or destroyed, but recent decades have brought renewed interest to the development’s architectural history.

A grand and local example of the Moorish Revival style can be found in the Sunset Golf and Country Club Clubhouse (Figure 8), which is a St. Petersburg Local Historic Landmark (HPC 93-08). The Sunset Clubhouse was constructed in 1926 at the end of Snell Isle Boulevard, and intended to be the focal point of the Snell Isle development. It features a towering minaret, an onion dome, and horseshoe arches, which, combine to give it a fanciful and romantic appearance. Many of C. Perry Snell’s residential designs feature elements of the Moorish Revival style, but overall are classified as the more common and less theatrical Mediterranean Revival. A second example of Moorish Revival style within St. Petersburg is the Jungle Prado (Figure 9), which was designed by Henry Taylor and constructed circa 1925 for Walter P. Fuller. The building features prominent minarets and horseshoe arches, and was the first shopping center built in Pinellas County. The Jungle Prado is not designated as a Local Historic Landmark.

---

19 Arsenault, 190.
but was noted as eligible for such during a 1999-2000 assessment of the city's resources and is on St. Petersburg's List of Eligible Properties.\textsuperscript{23}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{SunsetGolfandCountryClubClubhouse.png}
\caption{Sunset Golf and Country Club Clubhouse (HPC 93-08), constructed 1926}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{JunglePrado.png}
\caption{Jungle Prado (on St. Petersburg's List of Eligible Properties), constructed 1925}
\end{figure}

Like most of the Exotic Revival architecture of the 1920s, the Sunset Clubhouse and Jungle Prada are both examples of larger-scale buildings constructed for public use.\textsuperscript{24} The Gassman House does not fit into this trend within an already rare style of architecture, making it an even more unusual and noteworthy historic residential resource. Although its construction, which began in 1923 or earlier and was completed in 1924, predated the other local examples of Moorish Revival style discussed above, the Gassman House's unusual fenestration makes clear that the builder was influenced by the trend. Despite the subject property's overall restrained form and lack of additional ornamentation, the striking pattern of its horseshoe fenestration gives a remarkable and distinct appearance to the building as a whole.

The property is not an example of high-style Moorish Revival architecture, but, rather, is significant for its imaginative application of a contemporary aesthetic trend into what might otherwise have been a relatively ordinary Masonry Vernacular building. The subject property serves as an unexpected addition to its surroundings, which are, for the most part, fairly typical for a modest early-twentieth century residential neighborhood. The Gassman House is placed on a double lot, further emphasizing its commanding presence. In the 1930 Census, the subject property's value is listed as $4,000, which would be roughly $55,000 in 2016. The neighboring homes listed on the same page of the Census enumeration are noted to have values ranging from approximately $400 ($5,500 in 2016) to $5,000 ($68,800), with an average of $3,190 ($43,900).\textsuperscript{25} Though this sample is small and unscientific, it highlights the subject property's role as one of the area's finest homes dating to the 1920s.

Character-Defining Features
The Gassman House is an unusual example of a Moorish Revival style single family residence constructed during the early 1920s, which was a period of great growth in St. Petersburg. The subject property's imaginative architecture both sets it apart from the many homes constructed

\textsuperscript{24} City of Los Angeles, 23.
\textsuperscript{25} Dollar Times Inflation Calculator, \url{http://www.dollartimes.com/calculators/inflation.htm}.
during the era, and ties it to the period’s spirit of aesthetic experimentation and vision of Florida as a fantasyland. The property’s character-defining features include:

- Two-story form with basement,
- Three-story square corner tower with oxeye windows,
- Rooftop cupola,
- Flat roof with parapet wall and coping,
- Stucco exterior treatment,
- Open porch with horseshoe arch openings, and
- Horseshoe arch-shaped four-light wood-framed casement windows.

**Integrity**

Per St. Petersburg’s Code of Ordinances’ Historic and Archaeological Preservation Overlay, Section 16.30.070.2.5, seven factors of integrity shall be considered once a resource is determined to meet one or more of the criteria for historic significance. However, because of their subjective nature, integrity of feeling and association, without meeting at least one other factor, are insufficient for designation. As shown below, the subject property meets all seven factors of integrity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Workmanship</th>
<th>Feeling</th>
<th>Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Location:** The subject property remains in its historic location.

**Design:** The subject property retains the character-defining features which convey the significance and uniqueness of its design.

**Setting:** The area immediately surrounding the subject property was historically, and continues to be, a residential community consisting almost entirely of single-family residences. Another unique resource within the community, the former Coca-Cola Bottling Plant at 633 14th Avenue South, remains in place directly across the street from the subject property, though it has been adaptively reused as a residential property. Additionally, the subject property retains its wide, double parcel lot, which has emphasized its captivating presence on the street since its construction in 1924.

**Materials & Workmanship:** Despite the alterations discussed above, the subject property retains its historic materials overall, including exterior stucco treatment and its historic wood windows. The current owner is in possession of the original windows that were removed and intends to replace them. The historic workmanship of the building is visible through these well-preserved elements.

**Feeling & Association:** The subject property successfully conveys its historic character and provides a visual connection to its origin as an early-twentieth century home with Exotic Revival influences.

**PROPERTY OWNER CONSENT AND IMPACT OF DESIGNATION**

The proposed local landmark designation was submitted and is supported by the subject property’s owner, Coy M. LaSister.

The benefits of designation include increased heritage tourism through the maintenance of the historic character and significance of the city, some relief from the requirements of the Florida
Building Code and FEMA regulations, and tax incentives, such as the local ad valorem tax exemption and federal tax credit for qualified rehabilitation projects. Mr. LaSister intends to take advantage of the ad valorem tax exemption for an upcoming rehabilitation project.

**Consistency with Comprehensive Plan**

The proposed local landmark designation is consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan, relating to the protection, use and adaptive reuse of historic buildings. The proposed local landmark designation, will not affect the FLUM or zoning designations, nor will it significantly constrain any existing or future plans for the development of the City. The proposed local landmark designation is consistent with the following:

**OBJECTIVE LU10:** The historic resources locally designated by the St. Petersburg City Council and the commission designated in the LDRs, shall be incorporated into the Comprehensive Plan map series at the time of original adoption or through the amendment process and protected from development and redevelopment activities consistent with the provisions of the Historic Preservation Element and the Historic Preservation Ordinance.

**Policy LU10.1** Decisions regarding the designation of historic resources shall be based on the criteria and policies outlined in the Historic Preservation Ordinance and the Historic Preservation Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

**Policy HP2.3** The City shall provide technical assistance to applications for designation of historic structures and districts.

**Policy HP2.6** Decisions regarding the designation of historic resources shall be based on National Register eligibility criteria and policies outlined in the Historic Preservation Ordinance and the Comprehensive Plan. The City will use the following selection criteria for City initiated landmark designations as a guideline for staff recommendations to the Community Planning and Preservation Commission and City Council:

- National Register or DOE status
- Prominence/importance related to the City
- Prominence/importance related to the neighborhood
- Degree of threat to the landmark
- Condition of the landmark
- Degree of owner support

**Relationship between the Proposed Designation and Existing and Future Plans for City Development**

The subject property has a Future Land Use Plan designation of PR-R (Planned Redevelopment Residential) and is zoned NT-2 (Neighborhood Traditional Single Family) on the City's Official Zoning Map. Density is limited to fifteen (15) residential dwelling units per acre, and driveways, garages, and utility uses are limited to the rear of the property. The typical lot here is narrow residential urban with sidewalk and alley connections common. Neighborhoods in the NT districts were generally platted before or during the 1920s, prior to mainstream automobile ownership. The majority of residences in these areas were constructed prior to 1950, which renders a compactness that is atypical of more recent suburban development patterns. As a result, age and service-related improvements to roads, sidewalks, and public infrastructure are expected and
ongoing as part of normal wear and demands on public systems. There are no known plans at
the time of this report to change the allowable use(s) of the subject property, or properties
contiguous to it. However, ongoing new development and redevelopment of properties within the
neighborhood and the nearby downtown area are planned or in process, with new proposals
anticipated as part of a currently robust real estate economy.

CONCLUSIONS & STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval of the request to designate the Gassman House, located at 630 14th
Avenue South, as a local historic landmark, thereby referring the application to City Council for
first and second reading and public hearing.

ATTACHMENTS

| Appendix A | Aerial and Street Maps |
| Appendix B | Designation Application |
| Appendix C | Additional Photographs |
| Appendix D | Public Comments |
| Appendix E | Supporting Information |
REFERENCES


_____ . “Street Name Changes of January 9, 1928.” On file, City of St. Petersburg.


"Mapping Inequality: Redlining in New Deal America." [https://dsl.richmond.edu/panorama/redlining/#loc=15/27.7594/-82.6424&opacity=0.07&city=st.petersburg-fl&area=C6&sort=31&adimage=5/57/-105&text=intro](https://dsl.richmond.edu/panorama/redlining/#loc=15/27.7594/-82.6424&opacity=0.07&city=st.petersburg-fl&area=C6&sort=31&adimage=5/57/-105&text=intro)


*St. Petersburg Times.* “John Gassman, 75.” October 8, 1956.


Appendix A: Aerial and Street Maps
Appendix B: Designation Application
Local Landmark Designation Application

1. NAME AND LOCATION OF PROPERTY
   historic name: The John Gassman House
   other names/site number
   address: 630 14th Avenue South St. Petersburg, Florida 33701-5404
   historic address: Royal Palms Way

2. PROPERTY OWNER(S) NAME AND ADDRESS
   name: Mr. Coy Murice LaSister
   street and number: 630 14th Avenue South
   city or town: St. Petersburg state: Florida zip code 33701-5404
   phone number (h): 7274098835 (w): 3477493484 e-mail: lasoeur55@hotmail.com

3. NOMINATION PREPARED BY
   name/title: Ms. Laura Duvekot, Historic Preservationist II
   organization: City of St. Petersburg, Urban Planning & Historic Preservation
   street and number: 4th Street North
   city or town: St. Petersburg state: Florida zip code 33701
   phone number (h): 7278925451 e-mail: laura.duvekot@stpete.org
   date prepared: signature

4. BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION
   Describe boundary line encompassing all man-made and natural resources to be included in designation (general legal description or survey). Attach map delimiting proposed boundary. (Use continuation sheet if necessary)
   SEE ATTACHED PROPERTY SURVEY

5. GEOGRAPHICAL DATA
   acreage of property: ____________
   property identification number: 303117774000001450
The John Gassman House
Name of Property

6. FUNCTION OR USE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Functions</th>
<th>Current Functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Residential Home</td>
<td>Single Family Residential Home</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. DESCRIPTION

Architectural Classification
(See Appendix A for list)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stucco-Concrete Block</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moorish Revival/Neo-Moorish Exotic
Moorish Keyhole shaped horseshoe arched windows
Bell Tower & Rooftop Cupola

Narrative Description
On one or more continuation sheets describe the historic and existing condition of the property use conveying the following information: original location and setting; natural features; prehistoric man-made features; subdivision design; description of surrounding buildings; major alterations and present appearance; interior appearance;

8. NUMBER OF RESOURCES WITHIN PROPERTY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributing</th>
<th>Noncontributing</th>
<th>Resource Type</th>
<th>Contributing resources previously listed on the National Register or Local Register</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Structures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Objects</td>
<td>Number of multiple property listings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The John Gassman House

Name of Property

9. STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

Criteria for Significance
(mark one or more boxes for the appropriate criteria)

- Its value is a significant reminder of the cultural or archaeological heritage of the City, state, or nation.
- Its location is the site of a significant local, state, or national event.
- It is identified with a person or persons who significantly contributed to the development of the City, state, or nation.
- It is identified as the work of a master builder, designer, or architect whose work has influenced the development of the City, state, or nation.
- Its value as a building is recognized for the quality of its architecture, and it retains sufficient elements showing its architectural significance.
- It has distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, method of construction, or use of indigenous materials.
- Its character is a geographically definable area possessing a significant concentration, or continuity or sites, buildings, objects or structures united in past events or aesthetically by plan or physical development.
- Its character is an established and geographically definable neighborhood, united in culture, architectural style or physical plan and development.
- It has contributed, or is likely to contribute, information important to the prehistory or history of the City, state, or nation.

Areas of Significance
(see Attachment B for detailed list of categories)

- 1923-1924
- Boat/Ship Building & Maritime

Period of Significance
1920s

Significant Dates (date constructed & altered)

Significant Person(s)
Mr. John Gassman
Boat/Ship Builder Carpenter

Cultural Affiliation/Historic Period
Boat Building, Maritime Era
Builder
Architect

Narrative Statement of Significance

(Explain the significance of the property as it relates to the above criteria and information on one or more continuation sheets. Include biographical data on significant person(s), builder and architect, if known. Please use parenthetical notations, footnotes or endnotes for citations of work used.)

10. MAJOR BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

Please list bibliographical references.
St. Petersburg Local Landmark Designation Application

Name of property: THE JOHN GASSMAN HOUSE

Continuation Section: See attached Statement of Significance.
John Gassman Historic House

630 14th Avenue South
St. Petersburg, Florida 33701

Prepared By Coy M. LaSister

Architectural Description and Significance of Historic House

The John Gassman Historic House built in circa 1923-24 is significant as a fine example of Moorish Revival or Neo-Moorish exotic architecture, common to Spain and North Africa from the 13th and 16th centuries characterized by distinctive and elegant multifoil horseshoe shaped arches and window tracery fenestration. The Moorish Revival or Neo-Moorish architecture was adopted by architects of Europe and the Americas in the wake of Romanticist fascination with all things oriental and exotic. It reached the height of its popularity after the mid-nineteenth century, part of the widening vocabulary of articulated decorative ornament drawn from historical sources beyond familiar Classical and Gothic modes. Moorish architecture is a variation of Islamic architecture with many motifs or repeated patterns. Moorish Revival much like Mediterranean Revival structures combining Churrigueresque, Spanish Mission and Italian Revival styles were constructed, particularly in coastal communities throughout the States of Florida and California which fit well with the popular notion that Moorish and Mediterranean architecture goes best with palms, bamboo and brilliant sunshine climate.

The John Gassman House, one of three Moorish Revival buildings in the City of St. Petersburg, is a two-story concrete block and stucco masonry single-family house built with a scale of spacious and gracious proportions. The Gassman historic house built in 1923-24 on what was once known as 12th Avenue South (Royal Palms Way). The 1923 Sanborn Map, sheet 212 shows only the concrete walls construction of the house. The 1951 Sanborn Map, sheet 212 shows the fully constructed house at 600 14th Avenue South with two structures on the property, one a car garage and a smaller building. The house address was changed to 630 14th Avenue South which remains today situated on a double lots 145-146 in the Royal Poinciana subdivision with a green scenic country style landscape picturesque setting under a canopy of mature royal palm trees and bird of paradise plants to delight the eyes. John Gassman according to the 1930 Census, paid four thousand dollars ($4,000) to construct the house which was rare on a carpenter’s salary in 1920’s

The Gassman House is a symmetrical shaped square block construction containing thirty-two (32) firely placed Moorish Keyhole shaped horseshoe arched repeated pattern window fenestration along the façade, east, west, and south elevations of the building. The entire structure is built on a concrete base foundation which allows for a large basement space both rare and unique to homes built during the Real Estate Boom of the 1920’s and today in the City of St. Petersburg and many Florida coastal communities.
John Gassman Historic House

The entrance of the Gassman House building contains two flowing staircases leading to a porch/patio with intersecting Moorish horseshoe shaped arches along the façade, east and west elevations. This house was built off the ground so cooling air could surround it with lots of windows for cross ventilation to make it comfortable in the Florida heat. The structure contains an imposing bell tower to stand out in both design and composition which rises above the roof line containing two round four light windows at the east elevation and façade. A ornamental cupola structure or commonly known as a “belvedere or widows walk” architectural feature dates back to ancient Rome and 8th century Islamic architecture sits on top of the larger flat roof structure of the house. The cupola which can be reached by climbing a stairway inside the house contains four windows to provide a natural light source to illuminate the interior spaces below and provide ventilation in humid climates. The cupola architectural feature historically and functionally served as a belfry or lantern artistic and creative status symbol over the main roof to provide a commanding vantage point and view over the coastal area to see the movement of ships, boats and maritime activities in Tampa Bay.

In the 1920-30's economy, house construction represented in the John Gassman House favored concrete block and white stucco as a material for simple and inexpensive treatment to provide extraordinary durability and strength against humidity, fire and hurricanes. The concrete blocks made from Portland cement and crushed coquina shells were readily available and poured by hand to hardened enough over 48 hours to pour the next course until the desired height was reached for the house. The masons employed to construct the house used newspaper of the day in the 1920's which some news print can still be seen in the basement of the house. The basement of the house contains a number of windows to provide ventilation. The basement floor contains an interesting channel system built to apparently move any accumulation of water to two sump pump pit hole to remove such water away from the building leaving the basement dry.

John Gassman in 1938 paid three hundred dollars ($300) to construct a two car garage on the property east of the main house according to the property card. The car garage has since been demolished by a previous owner in 2007 due to its deteriorated conditions.

The exterior of the house has changed very little from the original construction, except the chimney which is shown in a photograph in the Florida Master Site File was removed sometime after the 1970's. A previous owner in 2007, removed an ornate interior ceiling chandelier in the first floor living room and four wood/metal windows at the rear elevation of the property to incorporate three window air conditioner units and a modern bathroom window. The good news is the current owner found some of the historic metal and wood windows for possible restoration and preservation of the missing original windows. However, the majority of the original thirty-two (32) windows are in fair to good condition requiring some restoration and preservation work.

The entrance doorways at the raised foundation porch/patio have undergone some modifications through the succession of owners but retains some original features. The original staircases to the second floor and to the cupola or belvedere, widow walk are still intact and retains their original features. The cupola has four windows to view the...
John Gassman Historic House

surrounding Bartlett Park neighborhood. The bell tower at the roof level has a doorway which provides access to a small room with light from the two round windows.

The Bartlett Park neighborhood contains a number of conforming and non-conforming residential structures representing historic 1920-30 single family homes and more modern single family residences. The Bottling Works Building at 639 14th Avenue South directly across the street from the John Gassman House was used by the Coco-Cola Bottling Company for its bottling and warehouse distribution operations.

John Gassman Significance to Ship Building and Maritime History

John Gassman is significant for his association and contributions to boat building and maritime history of the City of St. Petersburg and the State of Florida. John Gassman born on October 13, 1880 immigrated in 1914 to the United States from the City of Triengen, Switzerland and took up residency in the City of St. Petersburg, Florida. In 1917-18, John Gassman, filed a U.S. World War I Registration Card showing his residency at 12th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, Florida with employment as a carpenter for the Avery & Roberts Marine Way Company and listing Joseph Gassman as his brother residing in Trenton, New Jersey.

As a young man, John Gassman in 1920 worked as a carpenter using his skills to build and repair sail boats and maritime vessels for the firm of Avery & Roberts Marine Ways Company located according to the City Directory on 12th Avenue South between 5th & 6th Streets South, St. Petersburg. The Avery & Roberts Marine Ways Company like other boatbuilding small businesses contributed to the maritime history of the City of St, Petersburg and the development of Southeast neighborhood by employing John Gassman and other boatbuilding tradesmen in which today traditional contains a number of boat businesses because of its waterfront access for yachtsmen and boat owners along the Bayboro Harbor and Tampa Bay waterfront and shoreline.

In 1927 "Florida Engineers and Consultant" magazine declared that "St. Petersburg now may well be called the yachtsman’s paradise." Indeed. In 1930, the St. Petersburg Yacht Club sponsors a race with eleven boats participating from St. Petersburg to Havana, Cuba along a 284-mile course divided into two classes: boats under 50 feet in length and those between 50 and 85 feet.

Obviously, St. Petersburg was becoming a popular vacation stop for private sailing vessels. From 1926 to 1936, many boats owned by the wealthy vacationers were docked here for the winter at docks along the Tampa Bay waterfront. Boat builders could repair and rebuild these boats in St. Petersburg so wealthy snowbirds from northern states could enjoy sailing during the spring and summer months.

During the wartime period, St. Petersburg waterfront provided a strategic location along the Tampa Bay and Bayboro waterfront allowing maritime and boat building businesses to thrive.
John Gassman, at age 62, continued his work as a carpenter for the Low’s Marine Ways Company located in the same vicinity of his residency at 630 14th Avenue South as listed in the 1942 City Directory.

The maritime history of Southeast St. Petersburg was further enhanced by the Maritime Service Training Station now occupied by the University of South Florida’s College of Marine Science from 1938 to 1950 trained more than 25,000 men and its financial impact helped shape downtown St. Petersburg as it is today.

It is no wonder that John Gassman’s association with boat building and maritime history of the City of St. Petersburg shaped his vision and building of the historic house at 630 14th Avenue South with such unique and rare Moorish arched windows, cupola and bell tower style significant architectural features.

In 1956 according to his obituary, John Gassman died at age 75, but left a towering and stately legacy as represented in the historic house at 630 14th Avenue South for future generations to understand and appreciate the exotic Moorish Revival architecture and his contributions to the boat building trade, maritime history and development of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida.

The John Gassman House, like many significant and distinctive historic residential houses in the words of former Mayor Baker are “Historic Buildings in our city as archives of our character... a link connecting those who came before us to our future generations.” The John Gassman House is significant for its quality architecture, method of construction and retained distinguishing characteristics as Moorish Revival architecture valuable to the cultural and architectural heritage of the city, state and nation. John Gassman’s work as a carpenter and ship builder influenced the development and importance to the maritime history of St. Petersburg and the State of Florida, thus making this historic building worthy of designation as a local historic landmark in the City of St. Petersburg, Pinellas County, Florida.
TRANSMITTAL MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Laura Duvekot
   Historic Preservationist II
   Urban Planning & Historic Preservation
   City of St. Petersburg, Florida

FROM: Mr. Coy M. LaSister
   Property Owner
   630 14th Avenue South

RE: John Gassman Historic House – Local Landmark Designation Application

DATE: October 31, 2016

Please see attached the local landmark application, fee check and a draft narrative description of the architectural and historical significance of the John Gassman House for your review, comments and consideration. The challenge to the Urban Planning and Historic Preservation Department is to uncover some of the missing information outlined below to provide a fuller picture of the historical references to the architectural significance and the importance of John Gassman and his association with the two ship/boat building maritime businesses that employed him.

1.) The reference to other Moorish Revival architecture and historic buildings of similar or its uniqueness in the City of St. Petersburg;
2.) Architect and Builder of the John Gassman House, i.e., location of building plans or earlier photographs;
3.) Gassman House in the context of the historic nature and composition of the Bartlett Park Neighborhood and Royal Poinciana Subdivision;
4.) Maritime Importance of the ship/boat building work and businesses of Avery & Roberts Marine Ways Company and the Low’s Marine Way located on Royal Palms Way.

What puzzles and intrigues me is why John Gassman made the decision to come to America from Switzerland and settle in the City of St. Petersburg, Florida. What made him decide on constructing a Moorish Revival architectural style building? If we are lucky to find this additional information, it would help to tell his story to the residents and visitors as to how life was in the 1920’s and add to the cultural heritage and maritime history of the City of St. Petersburg and the State of Florida.

I would like to see a draft of the staff report before you present it to the Community Planning and Preservation Commission to ensure it represents a very good reflection of the architectural/historical significance and its importance to the City of St. Petersburg, ship/boat building and maritime history of State of Florida.
Ms. Laura Duvekot  
Urban Planning & Historic Preservation Department  
Page 2 of 2  
October 31, 2016

Should you have any questions or require further clarification, please contact me at (727) 409-9835 or (347) 749-3484 cell. Thank you for your assistance and your important historic preservation work you do for the City of St. Petersburg.

Cc: Mr. Derek S. Kilborn, Manager, Urban Planning & Historic Preservation  
Property File
Mr. Coy M. LaSister
Property Owner
The John Gassman Historic House

Mr. Coy M. LaSister has been involved with historic preservation since 1977. After graduating from Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, Mr. LaSister’s first job out of college was as a Grants Coordinator for the Massachusetts Historic Commission under the Secretary of State’s Office administering the United States Department of Interior’s Historic Preservation Grant-In-Aid Program. Mr. LaSister was instrumental in completing 35 historic preservation and rehabilitation grant projects consisting of $35 million dollars in public/private investments on behalf of the citizens and property owners of Boston and the State of Massachusetts.

Upon returning to his birthplace and home town, Harlem, New York City, Coy LaSister was appointed Market Director by the Honorable Edward I. Koch, New York City Mayor, responsible for the management and development of the City’s wholesale food markets including the Hunts Point Food Distribution Center, the nation’s largest market and food center. Shortly after the election of the Honorable David N. Dinkins, as the first African American New York City Mayor, Coy LaSister was appointed as Assistant Commissioner responsible for small business, neighborhood markets, and street vending program initiatives in twenty city agencies.

Mr. LaSister after leaving government public service started his private sector career in real property management handling a 2.1 million square foot property portfolio involving Class A office buildings in Chicago, Boston and New York owned by Chemical Bank, TIAA-CREF and Calpers public pension funds.

Mr. LaSister left that property management assignment to start his own property development and management firm in Harlem. He was selected by the City of New York as a “Neighborhood Entrepreneur” in 2000. With the support and assistance from his Brother Knox and Mother Myra LaSister, Mr. LaSister was successful in the preservation and rehabilitation of four historic multi-family residential buildings and seven historic brownstone buildings into affordable housing benefiting low-moderate income Central Harlem residents and the citizens of New York City.

Moving to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida provided Mr. LaSister a unique opportunity when he was appointed to serve on the Mayor’s Ad hoc South St. Petersburg Planning Committee by the Honorable Mayor Rick Kriseman. Mr. LaSister was then appointed by the Pinellas County Board of Commissioners to continue his public service as a member of the Citizens Advisory Committee for the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area (CRA).

"I am pleased to continue my passion for historic preservation as a homeowner through the local historic landmark designation and preservation of the architectural and historic maritime significance of the John Gassman Historic House in the City of St. Petersburg, Florida."
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Appendix C: Additional Photographs
Photograph 1: Entrance and tower, northeast corner

Photograph 2: North façade (left) and west elevation (right)
Photograph 3: Porch details, northwest corner

Photograph 4: Entrance steps, northeast corner
Photograph 5: Entrance Steps, northeast corner

Photograph 6: Northeast tower
Photograph 7: Detail of historic windows with (left) and without (right) added screens

Photograph 8: East elevation
Photograph 9: Foundation of 1938 garage building, southeast corner of property

Photograph 10: Detail of replaced window at south elevation
Photograph 11: South elevation

Photograph 12: Detail of “bay” windows
Photograph 13: Original windows obtained by owner, to be refurbished and reinstalled in historic location

Photograph 14: Detail of original windows, west elevation
Appendix D: Public Comment

No public comments have been received as of December 5, 2016.
Appendix E: Supporting Information
Property Timeline

October 13, 1860  John Gassman born in Triengen, Switzerland

1891-1920  89,000 Swiss immigrants settle in United States

1905  John Gassman moves to the United States

1910  Per US Census: John Gassman (spelled “Gessman”) listed as living alone and operating own farm in Volusia County, FL.

1918  600 block of 12th Avenue South (subject property) empty on Sanborns. Several small frame dwellings shown on 500 block of 12th Avenue South.

1918  WWI Registration card lists John Gassman as carpenter w/ Avery Roberts/resident of 12th Avenue South

1920  John Gassman residing on 12th Avenue South between Fifth and Sixth Streets and working as carpenter at Avery & Roberts Co per Polk’s City Directory

1923-1924  Construction of subject property

1923  Building’s footprint visible (marked “concrete walls”) but no house number on Sanborn Map. Street is 12th Av. S. (Royal Palm Av.)

1924  First documentation of John Gassman residing at 524 12th Avenue South (subject property) in Polk’s City Directory

1928  12th Avenue South between Tampa Bay and Ninth Street South renamed 14th Avenue South

1930  US Census lists 49-year-old John Gassman as owner and sole occupant of 638 14th Ave S, valued at $4,000. Working as motor boat builder, came to USA from Switzerland in 1905

1940  US Census Lists John Gassman as owner and sole occupant of 630 14th Avenue South

1942  John Gassman noted to reside at 630 14th Avenue South and work as carpenter at Low’s Marine Ways Inc in Polk’s City Directory

1951  House shown with concrete block garage and 1-story shed as 630 (600) 14th Avenue South.

October 6, 1956  October 6 – John Gassman, of 646 Newton Avenue South, dies at local hospital.
Item 1: 1910 Census entry for John Gassman
Item 2: 1930 Census entry for John Gassman
Item 3: 1918 Sanborn Map of subject property and vicinity

Item 4: 1923 Sanborn Map, subject property highlighted
Item 6: Obituary for John Gassman from St. Petersburg Times, October 8, 1956

**John Gassman, 75**

John Gassman, 75, 646 Newton Avenue South, a resident here for 45 years and a native of Triengen, Switzerland, died Saturday in a local hospital.

Mr. Gassman was a ship builder.

Surviving are two sisters, Mrs. E. Angioni, Forest Hills, Long Island, N.Y., and Mrs. Anna Schoch, Winterthur, Switzerland.

*The family requests that flowers be omitted. Wilhelm Funeral Home is in charge of arrangements.*

Subject Property Address Chronology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Range</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1923-1924</td>
<td>524 12th Avenue South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibly early on</td>
<td>524 Royal Palm South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1925-1928</td>
<td>638 12th Avenue South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1928-1930 or later</td>
<td>638 14th Avenue South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940 or earlier-Present</td>
<td>630 14th Avenue South</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAINT PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL
Consent Agenda
Meeting of January 19, 2017

To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Renewing an agreement with Central Parking System of Connecticut, Inc., f/k/a Central Parking System of Florida, Inc., for parking facilities management services, in an estimated renewal amount of $1,247,874, for a total contract amount of $5,524,789.

Explanation: On December 19, 2013, City Council approved a three-year blanket purchase agreement for parking facilities management services through January 31, 2017, to Central Parking System of Florida. On June 30, 2015, Central Parking System of Florida, Inc was legally merged into Central Parking System of Connecticut, Inc. The agreement has two one-year renewal options. This is the first renewal option.

Central Parking manages and operates six parking facilities located as follows: Municipal Services Center, South Core Garage, Sundial Garage, Al Lang Field Lot, Third Ave. S event lot and the 800 First Ave. S lot. The vendor manages the facilities for a management fee and is reimbursed for actual operating expenses within budget limits (not to exceed agreed upon maximum). The management fee ($68,713 for six facilities) includes the cost of hiring and supervising employees, including background checks, liability insurance, establishing work schedules, executing operating policies and procedures, and executive-level oversight from the local and corporate offices. The City reimburses the contractor for operating expenses such as salaries and benefits of on-site staff, worker's compensation insurance, payroll taxes, security, utilities, repair and maintenance, telephones and equipment replacement.

The Procurement Department recommends renewal:

Central Parking System of Connecticut, Inc. ......................................$1,247,874

| Original contract amount | $4,276,915 |
| Renewal option          | $1,247,874 |
| New contract amount     | $5,524,789 |

Central Parking System of Connecticut, Inc. has agreed to hold the prices, terms and conditions of RFP No. 7480, dated June 24, 2013. Administration recommends renewal of the agreement based on the vendor's past satisfactory performance and demonstrated ability to comply with the terms and conditions of the contract. The renewal will be effective from the date of approval through January 31, 2018.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Parking Revenue Fund (1021), Transportation & Parking Management Department account numbers (2812873), (2812877), (2812885), (2812893), (2812895) and (2812897).

Attachments: Resolution

Approvals:

[Signature]
Administrative

[Signature]
Budget 1.5.17
WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") and Central Parking System of Florida, Inc. ("Central of Florida") entered into a three-year agreement with two one-year renewal options on January 30, 2014 ("Agreement") for Central of Florida to manage and operate six City parking facilities; and

WHEREAS, on June 30, 2015, Central of Florida merged into Central Parking System of Connecticut, Inc. ("Central of Connecticut"); and

WHEREAS, Central of Connecticut agreed to assume all duties, obligations, liabilities and responsibilities of Central of Florida related to the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the City and Central of Connecticut desire to exercise the first one-year renewal option to extend the term until January 31, 2018, update the notice paragraph, modify the public records paragraph, and update Appendix B to include payment provisions for the fourth year of the Agreement for Central of Connecticut to manage and operate six City parking facilities at an estimated annual cost not to exceed $1,247,874 for a total contract amount not to exceed $5,524,789; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department recommends approval of this renewal.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the First Amendment to the Agreement between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, and Central Parking System of Connecticut, Inc. (formerly Central Parking System of Florida, Inc.) dated January 30, 2014 to extend the term for an additional one-year period and modify other provisions for Central Parking System of Connecticut, Inc. to manage and operate six City parking facilities at an estimated annual cost not to exceed $1,247,874 is hereby approved.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute the First Amendment and all other necessary documents.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

[Signature]

City Attorney (Designee)
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving the purchase of riding lawn mowers from Wesco Turf, Inc. for the Fleet Department, at a total cost of $738,315.27.

Explanation: This purchase is being made from Florida State Contract No. 21100000-15-1. The vendor will furnish and deliver 28 riding mowers that will be used to maintain turf and three specialized riding mowers that will be used to level infields at softball fields. The primary users are Parks & Recreation, Stormwater and Water Resources.

The new equipment has a life expectancy of five years or more, and is replacing 26 units that are between 6 to 12 years old. The existing lawn mowers have reached the end of their economic useful life and will be sold at public auction. Three additional mowers are needed by Stormwater, Pavement and Traffic Operations departments. These three will be used to service medians and streetscapes. Two additional mowers will be assigned to Water Resources and will be used by the maintenance staff at the Cosme Water Plant to maintain the property and drill grounds.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Fleet Department, recommends for award, utilizing Florida State Contract 21100000-15-1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wesco Turf Industries, Inc.</th>
<th>( \text{$738,315.27} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toro Groundsmaster 5910-D</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toro Groundsmaster 3280-D</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toro Groundsmaster Sandpro</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toro Groundsmaster 7200</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wesco Turf, Inc. has met the specifications, terms and conditions of Florida State Contract No. 21100000-15-1 effective through June 30, 2017. This purchase is made in accordance with Section 2-256(2) of the City Code, which authorizes the Mayor or his designee to utilize competitively bid contracts of other governmental entities.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Equipment Replacement Fund (5002), Stormwater Utility Operating Fund (4011), and Water Resources Operating Fund (4001).

Attachments: Price History
Purchase Summary
Resolution

Approvals:  

Administrative
Budget
Purchase History and Summary
Toro Equipment, 16' Mowers, 72" Zero Turn Mowers, Sand Pro, and 72" Groundsmaster.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Replacement</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Life Cycle</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Toro Groundsmaster 5910-D, T4F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stormwater/Pavement Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>Mowing large finished areas.</td>
<td>1 replacement additional</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$73,129.00</td>
<td>$84,057.00</td>
<td>$84,056.79</td>
<td>$92,496.00</td>
<td>$92,496.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Toro Groundsmaster 7200 No, 72&quot;</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation Stormwater Airport, Water Resources</td>
<td>Mowing large finished areas.</td>
<td>21 replacements 4 additional</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>$15,699.00</td>
<td>$16,778.00</td>
<td>$16,778.00</td>
<td>$17,812.00</td>
<td>$17,812.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Toro Sand Pro / Infield Pro 5040, Front Lift</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>Maintenance of City Ball Fields</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>$26,021.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Toro Groundsmaster 3280-D 2WD 215lb</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>Mowing large finished areas.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10-12</td>
<td>$16,473.00</td>
<td>$17,793.00</td>
<td>$20,249.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Purchase Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty.</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Replacement</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Toro Groundsmaster 5910-D, T4F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>Mowing large finished areas.</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stormwater/Pavement</td>
<td>Mowing large finished areas.</td>
<td>Additional</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Toro Groundsmaster 7200 No, 72&quot;</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stormwater</td>
<td>Mowing large finished areas.</td>
<td>Additional</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>Mowing large finished areas.</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Water Resources</td>
<td>Mowing large finished areas.</td>
<td>Additional</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>Mowing large finished areas.</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Toro Sand Pro / Infield Pro 5040,</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>Maintenance of City Ball Fields</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Toro Groundsmaster 3280-D 2WD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>Mowing large finished areas.</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE PURCHASE
OF 26 REPLACEMENT RIDING LAWN
MOWERS FROM WESCO TURF, INC. FOR THE
FLEET MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT AT A
TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $738,315.27
FOR THE FLEET MANAGEMENT
DEPARTMENT; UTILIZING FLORIDA STATE
CONTRACT NO. 21100000-15-1; AUTHORIZING
THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO
EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO
EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND
PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City desires to purchase 26 riding lawn mowers for the Fleet
Management Department to replace units that have reached the end of their economic useful life; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2-256(2) of the City Code, the Mayor or Mayor’s
designee is authorized to utilize competitively bid contracts of other government entities; and

WHEREAS, Wesco Turf, Inc. has met the specifications, terms and conditions of
City Code 2-256(2); and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department in cooperation
with the Fleet Management Department recommends approval of this renewal.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of
St. Petersburg, Florida, that the purchase of 26 replacement riding lawn mowers from Wesco Turf,
Inc. for the Fleet Management Department at a total cost not to exceed $738,315.27 utilizing
Florida State Contract No. 21100000-15-1 is hereby approved and the Mayor or Mayor’s designee
is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

__________________________
City Attorney (Designee)
SAINT PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL  
Consent Agenda  
Meeting of January 19, 2017

To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Accepting a bid from Viktor Construction, Corp. for water tank painting and repairs for the Water Resources Department, at a total cost of $360,599.00 (Base Bid and Additive Alternates 1, 2, and 3) and approving a supplemental appropriation, in the amount of $365,000, from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003) to the COS Tank Paint Elv WT/OB FY16 Project (15274) to provide the necessary funding.

Explanation: The Procurement Department received four (4) bids for water tank painting and repairs. Razorback, LLC was determined to be non-responsive, as they did not bid the additive alternates. See the three (3) responsive bids below.

The work includes surface preparation, priming, painting and minor repair at four finished water storage tanks. The Contractor will furnish all labor, equipment, and materials to paint exterior columns, struts, balcony and stairs at the Crescent Lake Elevated Water Tank. The Crescent Lake tank interior, roof and mural were painted in 2015 and 2016 and are therefore excluded from the project. The work also includes spot painting of corroded upper wall surfaces and roof brackets, and repairs to interior ladders at three water storage tanks located at Washington Terrace and Oberly water pumping stations.

The contractor will begin work approximately 14 calendar days from written Notice to Proceed and is scheduled to complete the work within 180 consecutive calendar days thereafter. Bids were opened on November 29, 2016, and are tabulated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidder</th>
<th>Bid Amount plus Additive Alternates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Viktor Construction, Corp. (Tarpon Springs, FL)</td>
<td>$360,599.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Bridge FL Corporation (Tarpon Springs, FL)</td>
<td>$486,248.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Road &amp; Bridge, LLC (Tarpon Springs, FL)</td>
<td>$634,425.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Viktor Construction, Corp. is the lowest responsive, responsible bidder and has met the specifications, terms and conditions of Bid No. 6233, dated October 26, 2016. They have satisfactorily performed similar work for Clay County and the City of Newberry Florida. Nikitas Kavouklis is the President.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds will be available after the supplemental appropriation in the amount of $365,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003) to the COS Tank Paint Elv WT/OB FY16 Project (15274).

Attachments: Resolution

Approvals:

[Signature]  [Signature]
WHEREAS, on November 29, 2016, the Procurement & Supply Management Department received four bids for water tank painting and repairs for the Water Resources Department pursuant to Bid No. 6233, dated October 26, 2016; and

WHEREAS, Razorback, LLC was determined non-responsive and therefore was removed from consideration; and

WHEREAS, Viktor Construction, Corp. has met the specifications, terms and conditions of Bid No. 6233; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department, in cooperation with the Water Resources Department recommends approval of this award.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the bid is accepted and the award of an agreement (Blanket Agreement) to Viktor Construction, Corp. at a total contract amount not to exceed $360,599 (base bid and additive alternates) for water tank painting and repairs for the Water Resources Department is hereby approved and the Mayor or Mayor's designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there is hereby approved the following supplemental appropriation from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003) for Fiscal Year 2017:

| Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003) | $365,000 |
| COS Tank Paint Elv WT/OB FY16 Project (15274) |

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

City Attorney (designee) [Signature]

Budget Director [Signature]
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Renewing a blanket purchase agreement with LESC, Inc., dba Law Enforcement Supply Co. Inc., for police and fire accessories, at an estimated annual amount of $60,000, for a total contract amount of $170,000.

Explanation: On February 28, 2015, and October 22, 2015, respectively, Administration awarded and approved the first one-year renewal of an agreement for police and fire accessories. At the time of the original award, City Council approval was not required for agreements under $100,000. Therefore, approval is requested for this second renewal option.

The vendor provides accessories such as badges, belts, and holsters. These items are stocked at the Consolidated Warehouse (CW).

The Procurement Department recommends for renewal:

LESC, Inc., dba Law Enforcement Supply Co. Inc. ....................... $60,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original one-year agreement</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Renewal</td>
<td>55,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation increase</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Renewal</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Contract Amount</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The supplier agrees to hold prices firm under the terms and conditions of RFQ 5663, dated February 13, 2015. Administration recommends renewal of this agreement based on the supplier's past satisfactory performance, demonstrated ability to comply with the terms and conditions of the contract, and no requested increase in unit prices. The renewal will be effective from the date of approval through January 31, 2018.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Supply Management Fund (5031), Supply Management account (0601053).

Attachments: Resolution

Approvals:

[Signature]
Administrative

[Signature]
Budget

12.29.16
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE SECOND RENEWAL OPTION OF AN AGREEMENT (BLANKET AGREEMENT) WITH LESC, INC. D/B/A LAW ENFORCEMENT SUPPLY COMPANY, INC. FOR THE PURCHASE OF POLICE AND FIRE ACCESSORIES FOR THE POLICE AND FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENTS AT AN ESTIMATED RENEWAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $60,000 FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT TO DATE NOT TO EXCEED $170,000; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on February 28, 2015, Administration awarded a one-year Agreement (Blanket Agreement) with three one-year renewal options to LESC, Inc. d/b/a Law Enforcement Supply Company, Inc. for the purchase of police and fire accessories for the Police and Fire Rescue Departments in an amount not to exceed $45,000; and

WHEREAS, at the time of the original award, City Council approval was not required for agreements under $100,000; and

WHEREAS, on October 22, 2015, Administration approved the first renewal option of the Agreement in the amount of $55,000; and

WHEREAS, on December 7, 2016, Administration approved an increase in the allocation to the agreement in the amount of $10,000 to allow for outstanding purchase orders to process until City Council approval of the second renewal; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to exercise the second renewal option of the Agreement in an amount not to exceed $60,000; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Police and Fire Rescue Departments, recommends this renewal; and

WHEREAS, LESC, Inc. d/b/a Law Enforcement Supply Company, Inc. has agreed to hold prices firm under the terms and conditions of RFQ No. 5663 dated February 13, 2015.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the second renewal option of an agreement (Blanket Agreement) with LESC, Inc. d/b/a Law Enforcement Supply Company, Inc. for the purchase of police and fire accessories for the Police and Fire Rescue Departments at an estimated renewal amount not to exceed $60,000 for a total contract amount to date not to exceed $170,000 is hereby approved and the Mayor or Mayor's designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.
This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

City Attorney (designee)
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Accepting a proposal from Capitol Alliance Group, Inc. for lobbying consultant services for the Mayor’s Office, at an estimated annual cost of $50,000, for a total contract amount of $150,000.

Explanation: The Procurement Department received six proposals for lobbying consulting services. The vendor will provide consulting services to represent the City before the Florida Legislature, its committees and Florida Executive agencies.

Specifically, the consultant will assist the city in securing legislation and funding for programs, preserve funding for programs and projects that have been identified by the City, as well as to promote grants, proposals and other business before Florida’s state agencies.

Proposals were also received from Ballard Partners, Inc., Southern Strategy Group of Tampa, LLC, Peebles & Smith, Inc., Dean, Mead, Egerton, Bloodworth, Capouano & Bozarth, P.A; and Ronald L. Book, P.A. They were evaluated based on the firm’s overall qualifications and experience; principal consultants and key staff’s overall qualifications and experience; past performance and experience; and cost. Capitol’s proposal met all requirements and demonstrated best depth of experience with Florida public entities, and provided an all-inclusive cost proposal.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Mayor’s Office, recommends:

Capitol Alliance Group, Inc. ...........................................................$150,000

Capitol Alliance Group, Inc., based in Tallahassee, FL, has met the requirements of RFP 6235 dated October 12, 2016. A blanket purchase agreement will be issued and will be binding only for actual lobbying services performed. The agreement will be effective from date of award through September 30, 2019, with one two-year renewal option.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the General Fund (0001), Mayor’s Office, Education & Government Service (0201249).

Attachments: Technical Evaluation (4 pages)
Resolution

Approvals:

[Signatures for Administrative and Budget]
Summary Work Statement

The City received six proposals for RFP No. 6235 Consulting, Lobbying Services. The successful offeror(s) will provide consulting services to represent the city before the Florida Legislature, its committees, and Florida Executive agencies. The six proposals were received from:

1. Ballard Partners, Inc.
2. Capitol Alliance Group, Inc.
3. Dean, Mead, Egerton, Bloodwork, Capouano & Bozarth, P.A
4. Peebles & Smith, Inc.
5. Ronald L. Book, P.A
6. Southern Strategy Group of Tampa Bay, LLC

Evaluation Committee

The evaluations of the six proposals were conducted by:

Mayor Rick Kriseman

Evaluation Criteria

The proposals were evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Firm's Overall Qualifications and Experience
- Principal Consultants and Key Staff's Overall Qualifications and Experience
- Past performance and Experience
- Cost

Offerors' Profiles

Below is a profile of each offeror and a summary of the strengths and weaknesses of each offeror as reported after the independent review.

Ballard Partners, Inc. is headquartered in Tallahassee, FL, and was incorporated in Florida in 1998. The firm has been providing this service for 18 years and employs 33 people. Its strengths include: offices throughout the state of Florida with experience in all levels of government; they have some local government clients and water project experience; their proposal shows substantial experience in appropriations with utilities and transportation; they have access to the Governor, executive branch staff, speaker and senate president; they have secured funding for sports and transportation; and they provided an all-inclusive cost, to include travel.

Weaknesses include: their proposal has no mention of experience working with local delegation; they have limited bi-partisan team members; their listed port experience is limited to appropriations for dredging; concern over the number of clients; and their proposal falls above the city's targeted budget.
The proposal meets the City's requirements.

**Capitol Alliance Group, Inc.** is headquartered in Tallahassee, FL, and was incorporated in Florida in 1999. The firm has been providing this service for 25 years and employs six people. Its strengths include: a bi-partisan team with experience at all levels of government; they have a heavy focus on appropriations and good ports/ferry background; they have handled issues involving transportation, infrastructure, and water/sewer; the proposal provides messaging assistance along with grass roots support; they have had good success securing funding for local government clients on infrastructure and transportation related issues; and their cost proposal provides an all-inclusive amount to include hotel and travel and falls within the city’s targeted budget.

Weaknesses include: the firm does not have a Tampa Bay area office, and they have a small team.

The proposal meets the City’s requirements.

**Peebles & Smith, Inc.** is headquartered in Tallahassee, FL, and was incorporated in Florida in 1995. The firm has been providing this service for 31 years and employs 5 people. Its strengths include: their firm has significant experience representing local governments and local government organizations, and have had appropriations successes; to strengthen their firm’s reach, they added subcontractors; the firm has provided prompt information and responsive to communications outreach; they have done a good job coordinating meetings with elected officials and staff in Tallahassee; and their cost proposal included services and administrative expenses.

Weaknesses include: their proposal provided minimal environmental experience and staffers with government experience other than their subcontractor; their office is located in Tallahassee; no experience noted working with Department of Environmental Protection (DEP); their firm was not able to prevent veto of entire appropriations received, with the number of local government clients and proximity to our city, a potential conflict of interest could arise; and their cost proposal was well above the city’s target budget with travel expenses not included.

The proposal meets the City’s requirements.

**Dean, Mead, Egerton, Bloodwork, Capouano & Bozarth, P.A.** is headquartered in Tallahassee, FL, and was incorporated in Florida in 1980. The firm has been providing this service for 36 years and employs 109 people. Its strengths include: their firm has experience in environmental law, utility law and regulations, water resources, and permitting; they are pro-active in reviewing legislation and amendments and team members with actual legislative experience; they have good experience on wastewater treatment issues, and have worked on sports team and transportation issues, as well as local bills; their firm was successful in securing funding on both water/sewer issues and transportation; and their cost proposal was all-inclusive and fell within the city’s targeted budget.

Weaknesses include: the firm’s lobbying side is relatively new even though team members have been in government for many years; and they have more county experience than city and they have no noted ties or influences with executive branch.

The proposal meets the City’s requirements.
Ronald L. Book, P.A. is headquartered in Aventura, FL, and was incorporated in Florida in 1987. The firm has been providing this service for 30 years and employs eight people. Its strengths include: they are a long time lobbyist and spend the entire year only lobbying; they have a large client list and have significant local government experience; their subcontractor is local and knows the community; name partner has great success in securing appropriations and bill passage. Mr. Moore represents his clients well; and their cost proposal is an all-inclusive amount.

Weaknesses include: their firm is very South Florida oriented and connected, and have a huge client list, but a relatively small overall team; besides the name partner, there is a significant drop-off in experience related to appropriations; their local subcontractor does not appear to have much experience in representing local governments; and their cost proposal fell above the city's targeted budget.

The proposal meets the City's requirements.

Southern Strategy Group of Tampa Bay, LLC is headquartered in Tampa, FL, and was incorporated in Florida in 2006. The firm has been providing this service for 17 years and employs four people. Its strengths include: their team has good government experience; they have good local experience and acknowledged the issues facing the city; they have a strong Tampa Bay presence with local office and their team has a strong appropriations background; and they have multiple team members that have experience working with all departments in state government, in addition to executive branch and staff.

Weaknesses include: their team is weak in bi-partisan representation, and their cost proposal is significantly above the city's targeted budget.

The proposal meets the City's requirements.

Ranking

The proposals were evaluated solely on the evaluation criteria established in the RFP, and on November 28, 2016, the evaluator ranked each proposal as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Firm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Capitol Alliance Group, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ballard Partners, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Southern Strategy Group of Tampa, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Peebles &amp; Smith, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dean, Mead, Egerton, Bloodwork, Capouano &amp; Bozarth, P.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ronald L. Book, P.A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommendation for Award

The evaluator recommended Capitol Alliance Group, Inc. as the highest ranked offer for Consulting, Lobbying Services. They have met the requirements of RFP No. 6235 and it has been determined to be the most advantageous to the City; taking into consideration price and the evaluation criteria set forth in a RFP.

The Capitol Alliance Group, Inc. was selected for the following reasons:
- Proposal includes messaging assistance and grass roots support.
- Has relationship with Governor, Speaker, Senate, and Tampa Bay delegation.
- Had success for local government clients securing funding on infrastructure and transportation related issues.
- Handled issues involving transportation, infrastructure, and water/sewer; is knowledgeable on Port/Ferry issues.
- Cost proposal is all-inclusive, including hotel and travel.

The Capitol Alliance Group, Inc.'s references have been checked and are satisfactory.

Mayor Rick Kriseman
A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE PROPOSAL AND APPROVING THE AWARD OF AN AGREEMENT (BLANKET AGREEMENT) WITH ONE TWO-YEAR RENEWAL OPTION TO CAPITAL ALLIANCE GROUP, INC. AT AN ESTIMATED ANNUAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $50,000 FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $150,000 FOR LOBBYING CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR THE MAYOR'S OFFICE; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on October 12, 2016, the Procurement & Supply Management Department received six proposals for RFP No. 6235 for lobbying consultant services for the Mayor's Office; and

WHEREAS, Capitol Alliance Group, Inc. has met the requirements for RFP No. 6235; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department, in cooperation with the Mayor's Office recommends approval of this award.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the proposal is accepted and the award of an agreement (blanket agreement) with one two-year renewal option to Capitol Alliance Group, Inc. at an estimated annual cost not to exceed $50,000 for a total contract amount not to exceed $150,000 for lobbying consultant services for the Mayor's Office is hereby approved and the Mayor or Mayor's designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

City Attorney (designee)
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Awarding blanket purchase agreements to SRT Supply Inc., Red the Uniform Tailor, Signal 15, Inc., and LESC, Inc., dba Law Enforcement Supply Co. Inc., for protective body armor vests for the Police Department, at an estimated annual amount of $125,000.

Explanation: This purchase is being made from the State of Florida Contract No. 46151504-NASPO-17-ACS. The suppliers will schedule fittings then furnish and deliver body armor vests for the Police Department. Police may select from a variety of manufacturers distributed by the suppliers, including but not limited to: Point Blank Enterprises Inc., Armor Express, GH Armor Systems, Safariland, Survival Armor, and U.S. Armor. Multiple awards are being recommended to provide diverse choices for fit and comfort.

The Police Department is on a four-year replacement cycle for body armor. All sworn officers and new hires are provided new body armor vests. Each year, body armor for approximately 150 officers, or one quarter of the department, armor is replaced. In FY17, the City's reimbursement cost for body armor vests is $750 per vest.

The Procurement Department in cooperation with the Police Department, recommends for award, utilizing the State of Florida Alternate Contract Source No. 46151504-NASPO-17-ACS:

Body Armor ......................................................... $125,000

SRT Supply Inc.
Red the Uniform Tailor
Signal 15, Inc.
LESC, Inc., dba Law Enforcement Supply Co. Inc.

These suppliers have met the terms and conditions of the State of Florida Contract No. 46151504-NASPO-17-ACS. This purchase is made in accordance with Section 2-256(2) of the City Code, which authorizes the Mayor or his designee to utilize competitively bid contracts of other governmental entities. Blanket purchase agreements will be issued to each supplier and will be binding only for the actual quantities ordered. The contracts will be effective from the date of award through March 15, 2018, with three one-year renewal options.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds are available in the General Fund (0001), Police Department (140).

Attachments: Resolution

Approved:

[Signature]
Administrative

[Signature] 12.29.16
Budget
A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING BIDS AND APPROVING THE AWARD OF FOUR-YEAR AGREEMENTS (BLANKET AGREEMENTS) TO SRT SUPPLY, INC., RED THE UNIFORM TAILOR INCORPORATED, SIGNAL 15, INC., LESC, INC. D/B/A LAW ENFORCEMENT SUPPLY COMPANY, INC. FOR PROTECTIVE BODY ARMOR VESTS FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AT AN ESTIMATED ANNUAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $125,000; UTILIZING STATE OF FLORIDA CONTRACT NO. 46151504-NASPO-17-ACS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THESE TRANSACTIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Police Department is on a four-year replacement cycle for body armor vests with approximately one-quarter of the department's body armor replaced annually; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2-256(2) of the City Code, the Mayor or the Mayor's designee is authorized to utilize competitively bid contracts of other government entities; and

WHEREAS, SRT Supply, Inc., Red the Uniform Tailor Incorporated, Signal 15, Inc., LESC, Inc. D/B/A Law Enforcement Supply Company, Inc. have met the specifications, terms and conditions of State of Florida Contract No. 46151504-NASPO-17-ACS; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department, in cooperation with the Police Department, recommends approval of these awards.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the bids are accepted and the award of four-year agreements (Blanket Agreements) to SRT Supply, Inc., Red the Uniform Tailor Incorporated, Signal 15, Inc., LESC, Inc. d/b/a Law Enforcement Supply Company, Inc. for protective body armor vests for the Police Department at an estimated annual cost not to exceed $125,000 utilizing State of Florida Contract No. 46151504-NASPO-17-ACS are hereby approved and the Mayor or Mayor's Designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate these transactions.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving the purchase of mobile public safety records management software and support from Intergraph Corporation, a sole source supplier, for the Police Department, at a total cost of $72,660.

Explanation: The vendor will furnish and install Intergraph Mobile for Public Safety software that is used by officers in their vehicles to exchange information with the Communications Center's Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) system in response to calls-for-service from citizens. This application provides an interface for officers to access the criminal justice information system, and receive real-time information from the CAD system regarding the situation and individuals that the officers may come in contact with. Because Intergraph is the sole provider for support services for this software, a sole source procurement is recommended.

This new software is replacing the current I/Mobile application, which is no longer offered, and will soon be unsupported by the vendor. In addition, the current version of the mobile software will not be upgraded for 911 support and will not support the current version of Microsoft Windows that is installed on replacement police laptops.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Police Department, recommends:

Intergraph Corporation.............................................$72,660

This purchase is made in accordance with Section 2-249 of the Procurement Code, which authorizes City Council to approve the purchase of a supply or service over $50,000 without competitive bidding, if it has been determined that the supply or service is available from only one source.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Technology & Infrastructure Fund (5019), Police Department, Information & Technology Services (1401401).

Attachments: Intergraph Proposal (2 pages)
Sole Source Resolution

Approval:

[Signature]

[Administrative]

[Signature]

Devis E. Fuller for Chairman

12.29.16
This quotation has been prepared for:
Michael McDonald
City of St. Petersburg
1300 First Avenue North
Saint Petersburg, FL 33705
United States
Tel: (727) 892-5232
Fax: (727) 893-7799
Email: michael.mcdonald@stpete.org

Customer: City of St. Petersburg
Quote Number: 2010-39971 rev. 3
Quote Date: June 8, 2016
Expiration Date: December 30, 2016

Ship To:
City of St. Petersburg
1300 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33705
United States

Bill To:
City of St. Petersburg
1300 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33705
United States

Product Configuration Listing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>PRM</th>
<th>Unit Net Price</th>
<th>Ext. Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SXCH9010</td>
<td>Mobile STE for Mobile for Public Safety STE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS0080STE</td>
<td>Mobile for Public Safety CC - Site License</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS0080STETST</td>
<td>Mobile for Public Safety CC - Site Test License</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPRCustomCAD</td>
<td>MPS Custom Build for Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPRSVC9001IM</td>
<td>MPS Implementation Services</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$58,860.00</td>
<td>$58,860.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAVEL-CAD</td>
<td>Travel - CAD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,400.00</td>
<td>$9,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$68,460.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maintenance Configuration Listing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>PRM</th>
<th>Unit Net Price</th>
<th>Ext. Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPS0080STE</td>
<td>Mobile for Public Safety CC - Site License</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS0080STETST</td>
<td>Mobile for Public Safety CC - Site Test License</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPRCustomCAD</td>
<td>MPS Custom Build for Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$535.00</td>
<td>$2,140.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maintenance Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$4,200.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intergraph Corporation has elected to do business as "Hexagon Safety & Infrastructure," in certain public safety, utility delivery, transportation, and information technology markets, "Hexagon Geospatial," in certain geospatial markets, and "Process, Power & Marine," in certain engineering markets. These aliases and trade names do not reflect any change of legal corporate entity, applicable tax identification number, or similar formalities.

This quotation is provided subject to the attached terms and conditions.
## Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Net Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$68,480.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>$4,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Price</strong></td>
<td><strong>$72,680.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Tax included in this quotation is an estimate only. Final tax billed will reflect the applicable tax rates at time of sale as required by law.

## Notes:

Any commercial Off-the-shelf product information Hexagon has shared with its audience during the proposal / contract activities to date, were to provide an understanding of Hexagon's current expected direction, roadmap or vision and is subject to change at any time at Hexagon's sole discretion. Hexagon does not commit to develop the future features, functions and products discussed in the material beyond that which is specifically committed to be provided by Hexagon as part of the intended contract. The audience of this material should not factor any future features, functions or products into its current buying decision since there is no assurance that such future features, functions or products will be developed. When and if these future features, functions or products are developed, they will generally be available for licensing by Hexagon.

To place an order against this quotation, please either fill in the required information below and have an authorized representative of your company sign this quotation, have your company issue a purchase order with the required information below and reference this quotation number, or have your company remit payment via one of the methods described in the billing and payment instructions that follow. Making sure to include a reference to this quotation number. Please submit the signed quotation, your purchase order, or payment to the Order Administration desk in accordance with the contact information provided below. This agreement shall only become binding and effective upon the written acceptance by Hexagon or the first delivery of the products/services within this quotation. The terms and conditions of this quotation cannot be superseded, altered, modified, or amended by subsequent Purchase Order or writing received from customer without the express written consent of Hexagon.

Attn: Hexagon Administration
Intergraph Corporation
P.O. Box 240000
Huntsville, AL 35813
Phone: (256) 530-2705
Fax Numbers: 800-239-2972 or 256-730-8089
Email: hsiorders@hexagoncsi.com

City of St Petersburg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Printed Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phone:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PO reference (if required for invoicing):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
City of St. Petersburg

Sole Source Request
Procurement & Supply Management

Department: Police
Requisition No. __________

Check One: X Sole Source
Proprietary Specifications

Proposed Vendor: Intergraph Corporation

Estimated Total Cost: $72,660.00

Description of Items (or Services) to be purchased:
The Police Department's Information and Technology Services division is currently working on implementing Intergraph's Mobile for Public Safety (MPS). This is Intergraph's upgrade/replacement for I/Mobile.

Purpose of Function of items:
I/Mobile is the mobile client application that allows the officers to view and interact with Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) system from their laptops.

Justification for Sole Source of Proprietary specification:
Intergraph is the sole provider for support services for the specific mobile application currently used at the Police Department. This Mobile for Public Safety application is the Vendor's upgrade/replacement for their I/Mobile application that the Department acquired approximately in 2005. They are the authors and sellers of the software.

I hereby certify that in accordance with Section 2-249 of the City of St. Petersburg Procurement Code, I have conducted a good faith review of available sources and have determined that there is only one potential source for the required items per the above justification. I also understand that under Florida Statute 838.22(2) it is a second degree felony to circumvent a competitive bidding process by using a sole-source contract for commodities or services.

[Signatures]

Department Director

[Signature]

Administrator/Chief

[Signature]

Louis Moore, Director
Procurement & Supply Management

Date 1/3/17

Rev (1/11), (6/15)
A RESOLUTION DECLARING INTERGRAPH CORPORATION TO BE A SOLE SOURCE SUPPLIER FOR MOBILE PUBLIC SAFETY RECORDS MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE AND SUPPORT FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT; ACCEPTING THE PROPOSAL AND APPROVING THE PURCHASE WITH INTERGRAPH CORPORATION FOR MOBILE PUBLIC SAFETY RECORDS MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE AND SUPPORT FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AT A TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $72,660; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THESE TRANSACTIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City wishes to purchase the Intergraph Mobile for Public Safety Software which allows police officers the ability to access and exchange “real-time” information in their vehicles with the Police Communications Center concerning citizens calls-for-service; and

WHEREAS, Intergraph Corporation is the sole provider of the Intergraph Mobile for Public Safety Software and support services which is customized to fit the specific needs of the Police Department; and

WHEREAS, Section 2-249 of the City Code provides requirements for sole source procurement of a supply or service over $50,000 without competitive bidding if it has been determined that the supply or service is available from only one source; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement and Supply Management Department, in cooperation with the Police Department, recommends approval of the award to Intergraph Corporation of Florida, Inc. as a sole source supplier; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor or his designee has prepared a written statement to the City Council certifying the condition and circumstances for the sole source purchase.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Intergraph Corporation is a sole source supplier.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the proposal is accepted and the purchase with Intergraph Corporation of Florida, Inc. for the purchase of mobile public safety records management software and support for the Police Department at a total cost not to exceed $72,660 are hereby approved and the Mayor or Mayor's designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate these transactions.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

[Signature]
City Attorney (Designee)
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and Members of City Council

Subject: A resolution authorizing the Mayor or his designee to accept a grant from the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council through the Florida Department of Transportation District Seven for a Lake Maggiore Environmental Education Center Watershed Exhibit Project ("Project") at Boyd Hill Nature Preserve at a maximum reimbursement amount of $15,000, and to execute the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council’s Purchase Order for the Project and all other documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $15,000 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Fund (0001), resulting from these additional revenues, to the Parks & Recreation Boyd Hill Nature Trail (1902389), Lake Maggiore Environmental Education Center Watershed Exhibit Project (TBD); and providing an effective date.

Explanation: The Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council through FDOT has awarded the City a grant in the amount of $15,000 for the Project at the Preserve. The objective of the Project is to provide an interactive exhibit that demonstrates behaviors which impact the water quality in our watershed while educating the public on how to improve water quality. Work performed on this Project will include research, design, writing, and project management to develop and install the exhibit.

Recommendation: Administration recommends adoption of the attached resolution authorizing the Mayor or his designee to accept a grant from the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council through the Florida Department of Transportation District Seven for a Lake Maggiore Environmental Education Center Watershed Exhibit Project ("Project") at Boyd Hill Nature Preserve at a maximum reimbursement amount of $15,000, and to execute the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council’s Purchase Order for the Project and all other documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $15,000 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Fund (0001), resulting from these additional revenues, to the Parks & Recreation Boyd Hill Nature Trail (1902389), Lake Maggiore Environmental Education Center Watershed Exhibit Project (TBD); and providing an effective date.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Revenues of up to $15,000 are to be received from this grant. A supplemental appropriation in the amount of $15,000 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Fund (0001) resulting from these additional revenues, to the Parks & Recreation Boyd Hill Nature Trail (1902389), Lake Maggiore Environmental Education Center Watershed Exhibit Project (TBD) will be necessary.

Attachment: Resolution

Approvals:

Administration: __________________________    Budget: ________________________
A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO ACCEPT A GRANT FROM THE TAMPA BAY REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL THROUGH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT SEVEN FOR A LAKE MAGGIORE ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION CENTER WATERSHED EXHIBIT PROJECT ("PROJECT") AT BOYD HILL NATURE PRESERVE AT A MAXIMUM REIMBURSEMENT AMOUNT OF $15,000, AND TO EXECUTE THE TAMPA BAY REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL’S PURCHASE ORDER FOR THE PROJECT AND ALL OTHER DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $15,000 FROM THE INCREASE IN THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE GENERAL FUND (0001), RESULTING FROM THESE ADDITIONAL REVENUES, TO THE PARKS & RECREATION BOYD HILL NATURE TRAIL (1902389), LAKE MAGGIORE ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION CENTER WATERSHED EXHIBIT PROJECT (TBD); AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council through the Florida Department of Transportation District Seven has awarded the City a grant in the amount of $15,000 for a Lake Maggiore Environmental Education Center Watershed Exhibit Project ("Project") at Boyd Hill Nature Preserve; and

WHEREAS, the objective of the Project is to provide an interactive exhibit that demonstrates behaviors which impact the water quality in our watershed while educating the public on how to improve water quality; and

WHEREAS, work performed on the Project will include research, design, writing, and project management to develop and install the exhibit.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of
St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to accept a grant from the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council through the Florida Department of Transportation District Seven for a Lake Maggiore Environmental Education Center Watershed Exhibit Project (“Project”) at Boyd Hill Nature Preserve at a maximum reimbursement amount of $15,000, and to execute the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council’s purchase order for the Project and all other documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there is hereby approved from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Fund (0001) resulting from these additional revenues, the following supplemental appropriation for FY17:

| General Fund (0001) | Parks & Recreation Boyd Hill Nature Trail (1902389), Lake Maggiore Environmental Education Center Watershed Exhibit Project (TBD) | $15,000 |

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approvals:

Legal: ____________________________  Administration: ____________________________

Budget: ____________________________

00302669
MEMORANDUM
Council Meeting of January 19, 2017

TO: The Honorable Chair, and Members of City Council

FROM: Sharon Michnowicz, Assistant City Attorney

RE: Waiver of the requirements of Section 112.313(7), Florida Statutes as to Bob Devin Jones (“Jones”) for the City of St. Petersburg, Florida to provide grant money to The Studio @ 620, Inc., a business entity with which Jones is employed.

EXPLANATION:

The City’s Arts Advisory Committee (“AAC”), having reviewed all eligible agencies at a meeting on August 2, 2016, recommended arts and cultural funding in the amount of eleven thousand two hundred fifty seven dollars and seventy-four cents ($11,257.74) to The Studio @ 620, Inc. for the period from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017. The AAC’s recommendation was approved by City Council at the October 6, 2016 City Council meeting.

Bob Devin Jones (“Jones”), the artistic director of The Studio @ 620, Inc., is a member of the City’s Public Art Commission as well as the City’s Pier Public Art Project Committee (“Pier Committee”) and City Hall Stairwell Public Art Project Committee (“Stairwell Committee”), all three of which are advisory boards of the City. Jones’ membership on these boards renders him a “public officer” of the City who is subject to the requirements and limitations set forth in Chapter 112, Florida Statutes.

More specifically, Section 112.313(7)(a), Florida Statutes provides:

No public officer or employee of an agency shall have or hold any employment or contractual relationship with any business entity or any agency which is subject to the regulation of, or is doing business with, an agency of which he or she is an officer or employee . . .

An exemption from that prohibition is contained in Section 112.313(12), Florida Statutes:

The requirements of subsections (3) and (7) as they pertain to persons serving on advisory boards may be waived in a particular instance by the body which appointed the person to the advisory board, upon a full disclosure of the transaction or relationship to the appointing body prior to the waiver and an affirmative vote in favor of waiver by two-thirds vote of that body.
The Florida Commission on Ethics has determined that a business entity that receives a grant from an agency is considered to be “doing business” with that agency. CEO 15-01, March 11, 2015. The Studio @ 620, Inc. would therefore be doing business with the City if it were to accept a grant from the City. Thus, absent a waiver by City Council (the body that confirmed Jones’ appointment to the Public Arts Commission in accordance with City Charter Section 4.04(a)), Jones would be violating Section 112.313, Florida Statutes if The Studio @ 620, Inc. were to receive a grant from the City because Jones is an employee of The Studio @ 620, Inc.

To effectuate a waiver of the prohibitions set forth in Section 112.313(7), Florida Statutes for the conflict created by Jones’ membership on the Public Arts Commission, an affirmative vote in favor of the waiver by two-thirds of City Council is required. The Public Arts Commission (the body that appointed Jones to the Pier Committee and the Stairwell Committee in accordance with City Code Section 5-80(b)) waived the conflict created by Jones’ membership on the Pier Committee and the Stairwell Committee at a January 3, 2017 meeting.

ATTACHMENT: Resolution

Doc. 00302413
Resolution No. 2017-________

A RESOLUTION WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 112.313(7), FLORIDA STATUTES AS TO BOB DEVIN JONES (“JONES”) FOR THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA TO PROVIDE GRANT MONEY TO THE STUDIO @ 620, INC., A BUSINESS ENTITY WITH WHICH JONES IS EMPLOYED; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Section 112.313(7), Florida Statutes, prohibits a public officer from holding an employment relationship with a business entity that is doing business with the agency with which such public officer holds office; and

WHEREAS, Section 112.313(12), Florida Statutes, provides for an exemption from such prohibition in cases where the public officer serves on an advisory board and two-thirds of the members of the body that appointed the public officer to that board, upon full disclosure of the relationship, vote affirmatively to waive the prohibition; and

WHEREAS, Bob Devin Jones (“Jones”) is a public officer of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida (“City”) by virtue of his membership on the Public Arts Commission (“PAC”), an advisory board of the City; and

WHEREAS, Jones was appointed to the PAC by the Mayor, which appointment was confirmed by City Council in accordance with City Charter Section 4.04(a); and

WHEREAS, Jones is employed by The Studio @ 620, one of the entities recommended for City funding by the Arts Advisory Committee; and

WHEREAS, the City’s funding of The Studio @ 620 constitutes doing business with the City and would cause Jones to violate Section 112.313 absent a waiver by City Council; and

WHEREAS, City Council desires to effect such a waiver.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the requirements of Section 112.313(7), Florida Statutes are hereby waived as to Bob Devin Jones (“Jones”) to allow the City of St. Petersburg, Florida to provide grant money to The Studio @ 620, Inc., a business entity with which Jones is employed.
This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

APPROVED:

______________________________
Legal Department
00302414
A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING CITY COUNCIL CHAIR APPOINTMENTS TO INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the City Council hereby confirms the following appointments to Intergovernmental Agencies which were made by City Council Chair Rice:

- Council Members James Kennedy and Darden Rice to Forward Pinellas
- Council Members Darden Rice and Lisa Wheeler-Bowman to Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority (PSTA)
- Council Member Steve Kornell to Career Source
- Council Member Karl Nurse to Tampa Bay Estuary
- Council Member Steve Kornell to Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council
- Council Member Karl Nurse to Tampa Bay Water
- Council Member Amy Foster to Pinellas County Homeless Leadership Board
- Council Member Lisa Wheeler-Bowman to Pinellas Schools Collaborative

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

[Signature]
City Attorney (Designee)
A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING MAYORAL
APPOINTMENTS TO CITY COMMITTEES;
AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the City Council hereby confirms the following appointments to City Committees which were made by the Mayor:

• Council Member Lisa Wheeler-Bowman to Arts Advisory Committee
• Council Members Steve Kornell, Karl Nurse, Lisa Wheeler-Bowman and Amy Foster to the Community Development Block Grant Committee (Consolidated Plan Ad Hoc Application Review Committee)
• Council Member Jim Kennedy to the City Beautiful Committee
• Council Member Ed Montanari to the International Relations Committee
• Council Member Steve Kornell to the Public Arts Commission
• Council Member Charles Gerdes to the Social Services Allocation Committee

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)