Welcome to the City of St. Petersburg City Council meeting. To assist the City Council in conducting the City’s business, we ask that you observe the following:

1. If you are speaking under the Public Hearings, Appeals or Open Forum sections of the agenda, please observe the time limits indicated on the agenda.
2. Placards and posters are not permitted in the Chamber. Applause is not permitted except in connection with Awards and Presentations.
3. Please do not address Council from your seat. If asked by Council to speak to an issue, please do so from the podium.
4. Please do not pass notes to Council during the meeting.
5. Please be courteous to other members of the audience by keeping side conversations to a minimum.
6. The Fire Code prohibits anyone from standing in the aisles or in the back of the room.
7. If other seating is available, please do not occupy the seats reserved for individuals who are deaf/hard of hearing.

GENERAL AGENDA INFORMATION

For your convenience, a copy of the agenda material is available for your review at the Main Library, 3745 Ninth Avenue North, and at the City Clerk’s Office, 1st Floor, City Hall, 175 Fifth Street North, on the Monday preceding the regularly scheduled Council meeting. The agenda and backup material is also posted on the City’s website at www.stpete.org and generally electronically updated the Friday preceding the meeting and again the day preceding the meeting. The updated agenda and backup material can be viewed at all St. Petersburg libraries. An updated copy is also available on the podium outside Council Chamber at the start of the Council meeting.

If you are deaf/hard of hearing and require the services of an interpreter, please call our TDD number, 892-5259, or the Florida Relay Service at 711 as soon as possible. The City requests at least 72 hours advance notice, prior to the scheduled meeting, and every effort will be made to provide that service for you. If you are a person with a disability who needs an accommodation in order to participate in this/these proceedings or have any questions, please contact the City Clerk’s Office at 893-7448.
A. Meeting Called to Order and Roll Call.

Invocation and Pledge to the Flag of the United States of America.

B. Approval of Agenda with Additions and Deletions.

C. Consent Agenda (see attached)

Open Forum

If you wish to address City Council on subjects other than public hearing or quasi-judicial items listed on this agenda, please sign up with the Clerk prior to the meeting. Only the individual wishing to speak may sign the Open Forum sheet and only City residents, owners of property in the City, owners of businesses in the City or their employees may speak. All issues discussed under Open Forum must be limited to issues related to the City of St. Petersburg government.

Speakers will be called to address Council according to the order in which they sign the Open Forum sheet. In order to provide an opportunity for all citizens to address Council, each individual will be given three (3) minutes. The nature of the speakers’ comments will determine the manner in which the response will be provided. The response will be provided by City staff and may be in the form of a letter or a follow-up phone call depending on the request.

D. New Ordinances - (First Reading of Title and Setting of Public Hearing)

Setting July 13, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):

1. An Ordinance amending Chapter 12 (Fees) of the City Code to reduce Construction Services and Permitting Fees.

2. An Ordinance amending Chapter 5, Article 3 and Article 4 of the City Code related to works of art in public construction and the acceptance process for donations to the City for art; permitting moneys from the Art in Public Places Fund to be utilized for the acquisition of works of art, administration costs of the Public Arts Commission, and costs for insurance, repair, or maintenance of any works of art in the City’s public art collection; providing a definition of public art collection; requiring City Council approval and appropriations to utilize moneys from the Art in Public Places Fund for any costs related to donated artwork in the City’s public art collection; modifying the process for accepting donated artwork; modifying the process for accepting donations of money to the City for public art; providing for additional clarifying language.

E. Reports

1. A resolution approving the partial guaranteed maximum price (“GMP”) proposal – balance of the work in the amount of $58,615,523 for The New Police Headquarters Project (“Project”); accepting the final GMP proposal in an amount not to exceed $61,729,374 (which includes the partial GMP proposal - Sitework approved on April 6, 2017 and the above referenced partial GMP proposal – balance of the work) submitted by Ajax Building Corporation (“Ajax”) on May 26, 2017; authorizing the Mayor or his
designee to execute a second amendment to the construction manager at risk agreement with a guaranteed maximum price (“CMAR Agreement”) between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Ajax dated August 21, 2015, as amended (“Contract”), to incorporate the final GMP proposal into the Contract and modify other necessary provisions; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $17,206,149 from the unappropriated balance of the Public Safety Capital Improvement Fund (3025) to the Police Facility/EOC Project (12847); approving transfers in the amount of $2,180,903 from the Banc of America Leasing & Capital Fund (2017) from the proceeds of a taxable Non ad valorem Revenue Note, Series 2017E for the solar photo voltaic system, and in the amount of $46,872,450 from the TD Bank, N.A. Fund, (2018), $40,000,000 from the proceeds of a Non ad valorem Revenue Note, Series 2017C for the construction of the Police Headquarters and $6,872,450 from the proceeds of a Non ad valorem Revenue Note, Series 2017D for the construction of the parking garage and to reimburse the Police Facility/EOC Project for the design phase of the Police Training Facility to the General Capital Improvement Fund (3001); approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $49,053,353 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Capital Improvement Fund (3001) resulting from these transfers to the Police Facility/EOC Project (Engineering & CID Project No. 11234-018; Oracle Project No. 12847) for the remainder of construction and other work necessary to complete the project such as the furniture, fixtures, technology and equipment, project administration and inspection services, testing, permitting costs, and other project costs.

2. **Sewer Report**

(a) Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with Layne Inliner, LLC for sanitary sewer cleaning for the Water Resources Department, at a two-year amount of $1,300,000, for a total contract amount of $3,250,000.

(b) Authorizing the Mayor or his designee to enter into a cooperative funding agreement with the Southwest Florida Water Management District for the City of St. Petersburg Toilet Replacement Program Phase 16 for a total project cost of $100,000.00.

(c) A resolution approving Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF SW Stormwater and Site Improvements FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17071-111; Oracle No. 15999) in an amount not to exceed $135,979; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute the Second Amendment to the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Haskell dated March 22, 2017, as amended, to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimate into the contract and modify other necessary sections; and providing an effective date.

(d) A resolution approving Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) in an amount not to exceed $291,500 (for a total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) not to exceed $4,185,011); approving Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927) in an amount not to exceed $847,842 (for a total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927) not to exceed $1,962,955); authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute the Third Amendment to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and PCL Construction Inc. dated April 17, 2017, as amended, and modify other necessary sections of such contract; rescinding unencumbered appropriations from the Water Resources Capital Projects
Fund (4003), in the amount of $350,000 from the FAC Energy Efficiency Improvements FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15807) and in the amount of $78,000 from the LAB Improvements FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15805); approving supplemental appropriations from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), resulting from the above rescissions, in the amount of $291,500 to the WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) and in the amount of $136,500 to the WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927); and providing an effective date.

3. **A Resolution by City Council superseding Resolution 2017-316 to allow the Chair to appoint up to three members to the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area (CRA) Grant Review Committee, while retaining the remaining substantive items approved in Resolution 2017-316.**

4. **Warehouse Arts District Deuces Live Joint Action Plan Update**

   (a) **Issues & Opportunities Report Presentation**

   (b) **Resolution approving Task Order No. 16-07-KCA-STB to the Architect/Engineering Agreement dated June 16, 2014 between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp. for preliminary design services for the Deuces Live Main Street and Warehouse Arts District.**

5. **Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with Boley Centers, Inc. for the After School Youth Employment Program (ASYEP), at an estimated annual cost of $160,000, for a total contract amount of $585,000.**

6. **Authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a License Agreement with Pinellas Studio of Dance, Inc., a Florida corporation, for use of 6,140 sq. ft. within the St. Petersburg Shuffleboard Club building located at 559 Mirror Lake Drive North, St. Petersburg, for a period of one (1) year at a rental rate of $300.00 per month; and waiving the reserve for replacement requirement of City Council Resolution No. 79-740A. (Requires affirmative vote of at least six (6) members of City Council.)**

F. **New Business**

1. Requesting City Council refer to the Public Services & Infrastructure Committee a report from the Police Department relative to Dashboard Cameras, Shot Spotter and other available technology. (Councilmember Kornell) [DELETED]

2. **Referring to the Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee the possibility of increased funding for the Mainstreet programs that currently exist: The Deuces Live, Grand Central District, The Edge District and the Skyway Marina District. (Councilmember Kornell)**

3. **Requesting City Council pass a resolution authorizing the League of Women Voters to conduct and facilitate political debates for the primary and general elections. (Councilmember Nurse)**

   (a) A resolution choosing the Voter Education Division of the League of Women Voters of the St. Petersburg Area as the independent third party to conduct and facilitate the City's candidate debates for the 2017 municipal elections; determining a time, date, and location for each of these debates; and requesting that City staff broadcast and publicize these debates.
G. Council Committee and Intergovernmental Reports

1. Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee (6/8/17)
2. Public Services & Infrastructure Committee (6/8/17)
3. Housing Services Committee (6/8/17)
4. Committee of the Whole: Penny for Pinellas
5. Homeless Leadership Award - (Oral) (Councilmember Foster)
6. Public Arts Commission - (Oral) (Councilmember Kornell)
   (a) Final approval of Stairwell Mural Project
7. Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council - (Oral) (Chair Rice)

H. Legal

I. Public Hearings and Quasi-Judicial Proceedings - 6:00 P.M.

Public Hearings

NOTE: The following Public Hearing items have been submitted for consideration by the City Council. If you wish to speak on any of the Public Hearing items, please obtain one of the YELLOW cards from the containers on the wall outside of Council Chamber, fill it out as directed, and present it to the Clerk. You will be given 3 minutes ONLY to state your position on any item but may address more than one item.

1. Ordinance 1097-V approving a vacation of a 16-foot north/south alley located west of the intersection of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street North and 76th Avenue North. (City File 17-33000003)
2. Ordinance 1098-V approving a vacation of the portion of 7th Avenue South located between 42nd Street South and 43rd Street South. (City File 17-33000005)
3. Ordinance 281-H amending Chapter 17, Article X of the City Code related to Economic Development Ad Valorem Tax Exemptions; providing for clarifying language; adding an exemption for up to twenty (20) years for improvements to data centers; and setting $300,000 as the minimum taxable value of improvements for which an exemption may be granted.
4. Ordinance 282-H amending Chapter 2, Article V, Division 7, Section 2-298.5 of the St. Petersburg City Code relating to requirements for contractors to employ disadvantaged workers on major construction projects; amending the definition of prime contractor or contractor; requiring source lists; providing for rejection of bids; requiring quarterly reports from contractors; providing for corrective action and penalties; requiring an annual report to City Council.
5. Ordinance 283-H amending Chapter 2, Article V, Division 7, Sections 2-296 and 2-297 of the St. Petersburg City Code relating to requirements for contractors to employ apprentices on major construction projects; amending the definition of prime contractor or contractor; requiring source lists; providing for rejection of bids; requiring quarterly...
reports from contractors; providing for corrective action and penalties; requiring an annual report to City Council.

Quasi-Judicial Proceedings

Swearing in of witnesses. Representatives of City Administration, the applicant/appellant, opponents, and members of the public who wish to speak at the public hearing must declare that he or she will testify truthfully by taking an oath or affirmation in the following form:

"Do you swear or affirm that the evidence you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?"

The oath or affirmation will be administered prior to the presentation of testimony and will be administered in mass to those who wish to speak. Persons who submit cards to speak after the administration of the oath, who have not been previously sworn, will be sworn prior to speaking. For detailed procedures to be followed for Quasi-Judicial Proceedings, please see yellow sheet attached to this agenda.

6. Ordinance 105-HL approving the Local Historic Landmark Designation of the Broadfield House, located at 956 39th Avenue North. (City File HPC 17-90300002)

7. Ordinance 257-Z amending the Official Zoning Map designation of an estimated 1.16 acres, generally located east of 6th Street South and north of 42nd Avenue South, at 4142 6th Street South, from CRT-1 (Commercial Residential Traditional-1) to CCS-1 (Corridor Commercial Suburban-1), or other less intensive use. (City File ZM-7)

8. A private application requesting amendments to the Future Land Use Map and Official Zoning Map designations for a 0.41-acre subject property, located at 4241 4th Street North. (City File FLUM-44)

   (a) Ordinance 727-L amending the Future Land Use Map designation from Planned Redevelopment-Residential to Planned Redevelopment-Mixed Use.

   (b) Ordinance 758-Z amending the Official Zoning Map designation from NT-1 (Neighborhood Traditional-1) to CCS-1 (Corridor Commercial Suburban-1), or other less intensive use.

   (c) Resolution requesting an amendment to the Countywide Plan Map, as described above, to comply with the requirements of Forward Pinellas, in its role as the Pinellas Planning Council and Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners.

Public Hearings

NOTE: The following Public Hearing items have been submitted for consideration by the City Council. If you wish to speak on any of the Public Hearing items, please obtain one of the YELLOW cards from the containers on the wall outside of Council Chamber, fill it out as directed, and present it to the Clerk. You will be given 3 minutes ONLY to state your position on any item but may address more than one item.

9. Confirming the preliminary assessment for Lot Clearing Number(s): LCA 1578.

10. Confirming the preliminary assessment for Building Securing Number(s) SEC 1224.

11. Confirming the preliminary assessment for Building Demolition Number(s) DMO 450.

J. Open Forum
K. Adjournment
1. City Council Convenes as Community Redevelopment Agency.

2. A Resolution by the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency recommending City Council approve a Resolution superseding Resolution 2017-316 to allow the Chair to appoint up to three members to the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area (CRA) Grant Review Committee, while retaining the remaining substantive items approved in Resolution 2017-316.

3. Adjournment of Community Redevelopment Agency
NOTE: The Consent Agenda contains normal, routine business items that are very likely to be approved by the City Council by a single motion. Council questions on these items were answered prior to the meeting. Each Councilmember may, however, defer any item for added discussion at a later time.

(Procurement)

1. Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with Palmdale Oil Company, Inc. for marine grade gasoline, diesel fuel and motor oil, at an estimated annual cost of $550,000, for a total contract amount of $2,632,870.

2. Approving a three-year blanket purchase agreement with Air Mechanical & Services Corp. for HVAC Maintenance & Repair Services, at a total contract amount of $1,836,900.

3. Approving the purchase of sanitation vehicles and heavy equipment from Rush Truck Centers of Florida, Inc., Kenworth of Central Florida, Inc., and Ring Power Corporation, for the Sanitation Department, at a total cost of $1,477,573.00.

4. Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with Boley Centers, Inc. for the After School Youth Employment Program (ASYEP), at an estimated annual cost of $160,000, for a total contract amount of $585,000. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-5]
NOTE: The Consent Agenda contains normal, routine business items that are very likely to be approved by the City Council by a single motion. Council questions on these items were answered prior to the meeting. Each Councilmember may, however, defer any item for added discussion at a later time.

(Procurement)

1. Approving a three-year blanket purchase agreement with Avaya Inc. for maintenance and repairs of Avaya telephone equipment at a total cost of $345,568.32.

2. Approving the purchase of bunker gear from Municipal Emergency Services, Inc. for the Fire Department, at an estimated cost of $150,000.

3. Job Order Contracts

   (a) Approving the award of a job order contracting agreement with the Gordian Group, Inc. for an online catalog of construction tasks and unit prices for the Water Resources and the Engineering and Capital Improvements departments.

   (b)

(City Development)

4. Resolution approving the plat of Urbana Townhomes, generally located at 349 7th Street South, northwest of the corner of 4th Avenue South and 7th Street South. (City File: 16-20000008)

5. Authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a License Agreement with Pinellas Studio of Dance, Inc., a Florida corporation, for use of 6,140 sq. ft. within the St. Petersburg Shuffleboard Club building located at 559 Mirror Lake Drive North, St. Petersburg, for a period of one (1) year at a rental rate of $300.00 per month; and waiving the reserve for replacement requirement of City Council Resolution No. 79-740A. (Requires affirmative vote of at least six (6) members of City Council.) [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-6]

(Miscellaneous)

6. Approving the minutes of the May 4, May 11, and May 18 City Council meetings.
7. **A resolution authorizing the Mayor or his designee to accept a Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security Assistance to Firefighters (“AFG”) federally funded matching grant in the amount of $316,291 for the specific purpose of creating a peer fitness trainer program within the Fire Rescue Department, and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $316,291 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Fund (0001), resulting from these additional revenues to the Fire Rescue Department (150), 2016 AFG Grant Project (16066); and providing an effective date.**

8. **Confirming the appointment of Bradley Tennant and Camilo Soto as Assistant City Attorneys.**
Note: An abbreviated listing of upcoming City Council meetings.

**Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee**  
Thursday, June 8, 2017, 8:00 a.m., Room 100

**Public Services & Infrastructure Committee**  
Thursday, June 8, 2017, 9:15 a.m., Room 100

**Housing Services Committee**  
Thursday, June 8, 2017, 10:30 a.m., Room 100

**CRA / Agenda Review (6/15/17)**  
Thursday, June 8, 2017, 1:00 p.m., Room 100

**Committee of the Whole: Penny for Pinellas**  
Thursday, June 8, 2017, 1:30 a.m., Room 100

**City Council Meeting**  
Thursday, June 8, 2017, 3:00 p.m., Room 100

**Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee**  
Thursday, June 15, 2017, 8:00 a.m., Room 100

**Public Services & Infrastructure Committee**  
Thursday, June 15, 2017, 9:15 a.m., Room 100

**Energy, Natural Resources & Sustainability Committee**  
Thursday, June 15, 2017, 10:30 a.m., Room 100

**Closed Executive Session**  
Thursday, June 15, 2017, 1:30 p.m., Room 200

**Committee of the Whole: Campaign Finance Reform; City Charter Amendment**  
Thursday, June 22, 2017, 8:00 a.m., Room 100
Civil Service Board
1 Alternate Member
(Term expires 6/30/17)

City Beautiful Commission
4 Regular Members
(Terms expire 12/31/16 and 12/31/18)
PROCEDURES TO BE FOLLOWED FOR QUASI-JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS:

1. Anyone wishing to speak must fill out a yellow card and present the card to the Clerk. All speakers must be sworn prior to presenting testimony. No cards may be submitted after the close of the Public Hearing. Each party and speaker is limited to the time limits set forth herein and may not give their time to another speaker or party.

2. At any time during the proceeding, City Council members may ask questions of any speaker or party. The time consumed by Council questions and answers to such questions shall not count against the time frames allowed herein. Burden of proof: in all appeals, the Appellant bears the burden of proof; in rezoning and land use cases, the Property Owner or Applicant bears the burden of proof except in cases initiated by the City, in which event the City Administration bears the burden of proof; for all other applications, the Applicant bears the burden of proof. Waiver of Objection: at any time during this proceeding Council Members may leave the Council Chamber for short periods of time. At such times they continue to hear testimony because the audio portion of the hearing is transmitted throughout City Hall by speakers. If any party has an objection to a Council Member leaving the Chamber during the hearing, such objection must be made at the start of the hearing. If an objection is not made as required herein it shall be deemed to have been waived.

3. Initial Presentation. Each party shall be allowed ten (10) minutes for their initial presentation.
   a. Presentation by City Administration.
   b. Presentation by Applicant followed by the Appellant, if different. If Appellant and Applicant are different entities then each is allowed the allotted time for each party of these procedures. If the Property Owner is neither the Applicant nor the Appellant (e.g., land use and zoning applications which the City initiates, historic designation applications which a third party initiates, etc.), they shall also be allowed the allotted time for each part of these procedures and shall have the opportunity to speak last.
   c. Presentation by Opponent. If anyone wishes to utilize the initial presentation time provided for an Opponent, said individual shall register with the City Clerk at least one week prior to the scheduled public hearing. If there is an Appellant who is not the Applicant or Property Owner, then no Opponent is allowed.

4. Public Hearing. A Public Hearing will be conducted during which anyone may speak for 3 minutes. Speakers should limit their testimony to information relevant to the ordinance or application and criteria for review.

5. Cross Examination. Each party shall be allowed five (5) minutes for cross examination. All questions shall be addressed to the Chair and then (at the discretion of the Chair) asked either by the Chair or by the party conducting the cross examination of the appropriate witness. One (1) representative of each party shall conduct the cross examination. If anyone wishes to utilize the time provided for cross examination and rebuttal as an Opponent, and no one has previously registered with the Clerk, said individual shall notify the City Clerk prior to the conclusion of the Public Hearing. If no one gives such notice, there shall be no cross examination or rebuttal by Opponent(s). If more than one person wishes to utilize the time provided for Opponent(s), the City Council shall by motion determine who shall represent Opponent(s).
   a. Cross examination by Opponents.
   b. Cross examination by City Administration.
   c. Cross examination by Appellant followed by Applicant, followed by Property Owner, if different.

6. Rebuttal/Closing. Each party shall have five (5) minutes to provide a closing argument or rebuttal.
   a. Rebuttal by Opponents.
   b. Rebuttal by City Administration.
   c. Rebuttal by Appellant followed by the Applicant, followed by Property Owner, if different.
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: First reading of an ordinance amending Section 12-6 of the St. Petersburg City Code to allow for revisions of the Construction Services and Permitting fee schedule.

Summary: Ordinance 12-6 establishes fees for the Construction Services and Permitting Division for services related to administration of the Florida Building Code, including, but not limited to, accepting building permit applications, conducting reviews of construction plans, issuing permits and conducting inspections. Per Florida Statute 553.84, these fees, and any fines or investment earnings related to the fees, shall be used solely for carrying out the local government’s responsibility to enforce the Florida Building Code. Permit and review fees should be regularly reviewed to ensure that they are covering the cost of providing services to applicants without overcharging for services or discouraging persons from obtaining permits. The proposed ordinance reduces permitting fees to establish a minimum but sustainable revenue flow that will continue to pay for all costs associated with administering the Florida Building Code. In addition, the proposed fee schedule will promote affordable single family residences along with reducing permit fees for upgrades that encourage sustainability efforts. Finally, the fee schedule has been restructured to be better organized and more user friendly.

The proposed revised Fee Schedule is based on an attempt to balance three principles: 1) maintaining adequate revenues to ensure that fees cover the costs of services provided; 2) minimizing the impact of permit fees on property owners and builders; and 3) remaining competitive with surrounding jurisdictions.

The revised Fee Schedule proposes a significant reduction in permit fees for smaller and, presumably, more affordable single-family residences. Currently, permit fees are calculated by construction value. Proposed in the attached ordinance is a flat fee of $250.00 for single-family residential properties that are less than 1400sf in size. By comparison, under the current fee schedule, a new 1200sf home that has a construction value of $100,000 would be charged $787.50 in permit fees. This fee reduction, although small in comparison to the overall project budget, does help promote rehabilitation and new development of affordable single-family homes throughout the city.

The revised Fee Schedule is significantly reorganized to make it easier for applicants and staff to calculate fees. Due to an increased utilization of online permitting, flat fee permits now require less staff time for processing. As a result of these efficiencies, reductions in some additional on-line fees are proposed.
In order to promote the City's sustainability initiative, permit fees involving energy conservation improvements are proposed to be reduced. This includes replacing older mechanical equipment (air conditioners and water heaters) with more efficient units. This also includes reducing permit fees for installing solar water heaters, solar pool heaters and photo voltaic systems.

While the proposed fees average a 21% reduction, there were some fees that were increased to cover the cost of service and motivate owners and builders to expedite construction projects. For example, the current ordinance allows multiple 90 day permit extensions to be granted for $50 per extension. The proposed ordinance changes the extension fee to $75 for one 90 day extension and $250 for each 30 day extension beyond the initial 90 day extension. Again, this fee increase, and time reduction, is intended to stimulate completion of projects in a timely manner.

Attached is the complete list of fee revisions in comparison to the current fee schedule ordinance.

Finally, previous comparative analysis of St. Petersburg permitting fees have indicated that they are in the median range of fees charged by other jurisdictions in the Tampa Bay region. The proposed fee modifications are expected, on average, to reduce permitting costs by 21% and will clearly improve the City's competitive position.

**Cost:** There are no cost implications specifically associated with the Ordinance revisions.

**Recommendation:** Conduct the first reading of the attached Ordinance and set the second reading and public hearing for July 13, 2017.

**Attachments:**

1. Proposed Ordinance
2. Summary and comparison of proposed fee changes

**Approvals:**

[Signature]

Administration

N/A

Budget

5/30/17

Date
AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CITY CODE TO
ADD A NEW SECTION 12-6(6) RELATING TO
PERMITS AND FEES ONLY FOR THE
CONSTRUCTION SERVICES DIVISION;
ESTABLISHING AND AMENDING THE SERVICES
FOR WHICH PERMITS ARE REQUIRED TO BE
OBTAINED; ESTABLISHING THE FEES CHARGED
FOR SUCH PERMITS; REMOVING THE EXISTING
SECTIONS 12-6(6) AND (7); AND PROVIDING AN
EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

SECTION 1. The existing portion of Section 12-6 relating to the Construction Services Division of the St. Petersburg City Code (Sections 12-6(6) and (7) are hereby deleted and removed from the City Code and replaced with the following Section 12-6(6) relating to the Construction Services Division to read as follows:

Sec. 12-6. - Fees established; schedule.

It shall be unlawful for any person to fail to pay any fee that is required to be paid by the City Code. The following fees shall be paid before a permit or certificate of occupancy is issued, an appeal is filed, an inspection is conducted or a service is rendered:

(6) Construction Services Division – Services, Permits and Fees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction Services</td>
<td>Construction Valuations for permit fee purposes:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                   | Permit fees for new construction shall be based on the valuation of the proposed work. The valuation shall include all labor and material cost. The valuation shall be based on the most recent published values per square foot as established by the International Code Council Building Valuation Data (BVD) using a regional construction cost adjustment factor of .85 except where a valid cost breakdown is submitted to and approved by the POD. A valid cost breakdown shall be the actual contract cost (including all labor and materials) as provided by the applicant and accepted as reasonable by the POD. Alterations or tenant buildouts shall use 50% of the BVD calculation used above except where a valid cost breakdown is submitted to and approved by the POD which shall be the actual contract cost (including all labor and materials) as provided by the applicant and accepted as reasonable by the POD. When labor or material cost are not charged or imposed the valuation shall be based on the BVD calculation used above.

The valuation of fences, walls, roofs, screen enclosures, swimming pools, signs, satellite antennas, elevators, standpipes and sprinkler systems, gasoline tanks and pumps, and high pressure boilers shall be based on actual contract cost (including all labor and materials) or estimated cost as established by the POD based on the cost typically charged for the work.

Other permit fees: The permit fees shall be based on the total construction value of all permits applied for (electrical, mechanical, plumbing, etc.) as determined in this chart. The permit fees are based on the valuation of the proposed work and are required in order to defray the costs of
inspection, plan review and enforcement provisions of the Florida Building Code, local ordinances, and other building and fire code related regulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Where the valuation does not exceed $1000.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For a valuation over $1000.00, up to and including $1,000,000.00:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For each $1000.00 or fraction thereof:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Residential and Duplexes</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial (this includes all other uses)</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Single-Family Dwelling (not including townhomes or other multifamily uses) less than or equal to 1,400 habitable square feet (flat fee)</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For a valuation over $1,000,000.00:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First $1,000,000.00</td>
<td>9000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus, for each additional $1,000.00 or fraction thereof:</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property owner surcharge: to be charged for each permit issued to a property owner for work costing more than $2,000.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Building Related Permit Fees:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affidavit Permit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Authorized by the POD prior to start of construction:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-family residential</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial and all other residential</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If not authorized by the POD prior to start of construction (see Section 12-4 for additional permit fees when work was started without permit(s)):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-family residential</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial and all other residential</td>
<td>750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of address:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First address</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each additional address on the same property</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition (additional permit(s) may be required):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demo base fee up to 5,000 square feet</td>
<td>125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 5,000 square feet</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driveway Permit, residential -- Construction up to two driveways and/or curb cuts and construction of sidewalk (additional DRS and Engineering fees may apply)</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Start authorization, per request</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Insurance:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood determination letter</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community flood elevation certificate</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House moving</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocating a house within the City of St. Petersburg</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving a house into the City</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving a house outside the City</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property card subscription service</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table Title</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit Extension up to 90 days:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each additional 30 days</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension after permit expiration</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension after permit expiration of two or more permits for same parcel</td>
<td>350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private provider:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit fee for application with inspections to be performed by outside entity (contracted by applicant):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The permit fee for an application when the Building Official has approved the request of the applicant to have an outside entity, contracted by the applicant, perform the required inspections shall be one-half of the permit fee of the proposed structure as calculated pursuant to this chapter, with a minimum $55.00 fee (this does not include any fees paid to the outside entity).</td>
<td>See description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refunds of permit fee only (not plan review or other fees)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work has not commenced and the permit is less than six months old</td>
<td>Permit fee paid minus 75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work has commenced or the permit is over six months old</td>
<td>No Refund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Permits:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specified single-family and duplex residential permit fees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air conditioning unit changeout (no electric)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air conditioning unit changeout (with electric)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot water heater unit changeout (no electric)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot water heater unit changeout (no gas)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot water heater unit changeout (with electric)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot water heater unit changeout (with gas)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot water heater unit changeout (with electric and gas)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tankless hot water heater unit changeout (with plumbing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tankless hot water heater unit changeout (with plumbing and electric)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tankless hot water heater unit changeout (with plumbing and gas)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tankless hot water heater unit changeout (with plumbing, gas and electric)</td>
<td>65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special project fee:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A fee charged for special services requiring staff research, inspections, document preparation and other assistance that is beyond the scope of services routinely provided by a department. The fee will be calculated based on actual staff time and other related costs that are incurred in order to complete the request.</td>
<td>Minimum fee 30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Use permit (additional plan review, DRS and Fire fees may apply)</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Related Plan Review Fees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Review:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One- and two-family dwellings:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New construction</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions and renovations</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All other uses (including multifamily, commercial and industrial) the plan review fee shall be 20 percent of the estimated permit fee with a minimum fee of 50.00 which shall be paid at the time of submission of the permit application.

Plan consultation fee (preliminary building plan review), will be calculated based on the cost of staff attending the meeting plus overhead. This fee shall be applied as a credit towards the applicable plan review fees if the application is submitted within 180 days of the initial meeting date. Additional meetings may incur additional fees which shall be nonrefundable and shall not be applied as a credit.

Private Provider:
Plan review fee for plans reviewed by a private entity contracted by the permit applicant, pursuant to the provisions in F.S. § 553.791, the plan review fee shall be one-half of the fee calculated pursuant to this Chapter (this does not include any fees paid the outside entity) with a minimum of 45.00.

Master Plan:
Plan review fee for master plan (which is intended to be used for multiple properties) for new single-family structures:
- Review of a master plan
- Review of an individual application building using an approved master plan

Plan resubmittal fee:
Plans submitted for review after second correction comments are made are subject to additional plan revision review fees

Plan revision review fee:
- Commercial revisions review:
  - One page 45.00
  - Each additional page 12.00
- Residential revisions review 45.00

Certificate of occupancy (C.O.):
- C.O. single-family and duplex residential 75.00
- C.O. commercial 125.00
- C.O. temporary single-family residential per unit 75.00
- C.O. temporary commercial, per unit (90 days) 200.00
  - Each additional 30 days 200.00

Certificate of completion (C.C.) 100.00
Certificate of use 100.00

Change of use:
Subject to normal building permit fee based on valuation (may be subject to minimum
MIF fees) 50.00

Early release of power:
- Early release of power commercial 125.00
- Early release of power residential 50.00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temporary Use plan review (separate DRS and Fire review fees may be required)</th>
<th>50.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flat Fee Permits</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo voltaic systems</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar water heater (includes electric permit if required)</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar pool heating</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pools/spas above ground (plus electric permit if required)</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pools/spas inground (plus electric permit if required)</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool enclosure</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antennas more than 30 feet above grade, on existing structures</td>
<td>65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Plumbing with no required plan review and one inspection</td>
<td>65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Electrical with no plan review and one inspection</td>
<td>65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Mechanical with no plan review and one inspection</td>
<td>65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile home removal</td>
<td>65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile home installation including foundation (MEP extra)</td>
<td>190.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reroof up to 15 squares (a sq. equals 100 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reroof over 15 squares up to 40 squares (a sq. equals 100 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reroof over 40 squares (a sq. equals 100 sq. ft.)</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry walls or fences up to 300 LF</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry walls or fences over 300 LF</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs - pylon, pole, billboards (plus electric permit if required)</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs - walls sign (plus electric permit if required)</td>
<td>110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siding including stucco, wood or vinyl</td>
<td>120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fascia soffit</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheds</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanks</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric – commercial alarm – low voltage</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric pre-power inspection</td>
<td>65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saw pole</td>
<td>120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Service Change – Residential</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Service Change – Commercial</td>
<td>125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Service recertification (service and riser only)</td>
<td>175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Services: Fees for specialized services</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspections:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinspection fee after a second failed reinspection for noncompliance with at least one of the same code deficiencies</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-family consultation and partial inspection</td>
<td>65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection appointments per Division procedure</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday inspection with minimum of three inspections (fee per inspection)</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial partial inspections unless waived by POD due to complexity of a project</td>
<td>65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan review:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expedited plan review (when available):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Second and each subsequent review of same plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan review fee plus 30%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional 30% of the plan review fee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other agency fees:**

Multimodal impact fee:

To be assessed in accordance with Pinellas County Ordinance 85-43, as amended, except as additionally required in the Gateway Areawide Development of Regional Impact.

Surcharge: Florida surcharge to be assessed in accordance with FS 553.721 and 468.631

(7) Reserved.

**SECTION 2.** This ordinance only changes the fees and permits related to the Construction Services Division in Sections 12-6(6) and (7). The remainder of Section 12-6 relating to fees and permits for other departments and other services remain in the Code, unchanged by this ordinance.

**SECTION 3.** The provisions of this Ordinance shall be deemed severable. The unconstitutionality or invalidity of any word, sentence or portion of this ordinance shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions.

**SECTION 4.** In the event that this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective after the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which case the ordinance shall take effect immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

Approved as to form and content:

[Signature]

City Attorney (designee)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Permit Fee Revisions Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For valuation over $50,000, up to and including $1,000,000:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For valuation over $1,000,000, up to and including $10,000,000:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Single-family Residential (less than 1,400 SF) (Flat Fee):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Single-family Residential and duplexes (Flat Fee):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Commercial (Flat Fee):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Residential (Flat Fee):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Business (Flat Fee):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional for each $1,000.00 or fraction thereof:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Residential:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $7.50-6.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Single-family Residential and duplexes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $7.00-6.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Commercial:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $10.00-10.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First $1,000,000.00:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $7,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition (base fee up to 5,000 square feet):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Over 5,000 square feet:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $250.00-16.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit Extension (up to 90 days):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Each additional 30 days:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Permits:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Air conditioning unit change-out (with electric):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Hot water heater unit change-out (with gas):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Hot water heater unit change-out (with electric and gas):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tankless hot water heater unit change-out (with plumbing and electric):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tankless hot water heater unit change-out (with plumbing and gas):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tankless hot water heater unit change-out (with plumbing, gas and electric):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial (Flat Fee):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Use (separate DRR and Fire Review) (where required):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Review:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Additions:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- One- and two-family new construction:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Review of a master plan:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $145.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Review of an individual application building using an approved master plan:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate of use:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Single-family residential and duplexes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat Fee Permits:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photovoltaic systems:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $385.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar water heater (include cost of electric):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar pool heating:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pools/spas above ground (include electric permit if required):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antennas more than 30 feet above grade:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Plumbing with no required plan review and one inspection:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Electrical with no required plan review and one inspection:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Mechanical with no required plan review and one inspection:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile home removal:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reroof (up to 15 squares, as sq. equals 100 sq. ft.):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fascia Soffit:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheds:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanks:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric - commercial alarm - low voltage:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric service change - residential:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric service change - commercial:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- $150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second and each subsequent review of same plan:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 30% of the review fee (where applicable):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 25.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE - 21.98%
MEMORANDUM
Council Meeting of June 15, 2017

TO: The Honorable Chair and Members of City Council

FROM: Sharon Michnowicz, Assistant City Attorney

RE: Updated Proposed Ordinance Amending Chapter 5, Article 3 and Article 4 of the City Code related to Works of Art in Public Construction and the Acceptance Process for Donations to the City for Art

At a meeting on June 6, 2017, the Public Arts Commission requested that the proposed ordinance include a process to allow accession of donated indoor works of art into the City’s public art collection. This change has been incorporated into the attached revised proposed ordinance. Other than this change, there have been no additional changes to the ordinance previously distributed to City Council last Friday, June 2, 2017.

Attachment: Revised Proposed Ordinance (updated as of June 7, 2017)
MEMORANDUM

Council Meeting of June 15, 2017

TO: The Honorable Chair and Members of City Council

FROM: Sharon Michnowicz, Assistant City Attorney

RE: First Reading of Proposed Ordinance Amending Chapter 5, Article 3 and Article 4 of the City Code related to Works of Art in Public Construction and the Acceptance Process for Donations to the City for Art

Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is currently written to limit the use of the funds in the “art in public places fund,” which are the funds set aside for acquisition of works of art from a percentage of the construction costs of public works projects throughout the City. As Chapter 5 is currently written, moneys from the art in public places fund cannot be utilized to insure or maintain any art that is donated to the City or any art that is acquired by the City exclusively via private donations—money for those purposes would need to come from another source. The purpose of this limitation is to preserve the art in public places fund for the acquisition of new works of art and the maintenance and insurance of those works of art.

The proposed ordinance was prepared upon a request from the Public Arts Commission to remove the limitation placed on the use of the art in public places fund to allow that fund to be utilized for insurance and maintenance costs of any art in the City’s public art collection, including donated artwork.

The proposed ordinance contains a process by which City Council could appropriate additional money into the art in public places fund as necessary to cover any costs associated with donated artwork in the City’s public art collection, including maintenance and insurance costs. The process set forth in the proposed ordinance preserves the funds set aside from public works projects for the acquisition, maintenance, and insurance of new pieces of art throughout the City while also creating a mechanism to provide information to City Council regarding the estimated costs to the City associated with accepting any particular piece of donated artwork subject to the provisions of Chapter 5.

There are additional changes to Chapter 5 in the proposed ordinance along with the changes requested by the Public Arts Commission. Those include clarification changes; changes related to the process for donating money to the City for art; and changes to the process for accepting donated artwork, including limiting that process to exterior art pieces.

The current process for donating money to the City for public art is deleted in the proposed ordinance and replaced with a simplified process that allows donated money to be
deposited directly into the art in public places fund. The current process proposed for deletion is as follows:

Sec. 5-166. - Purpose.
The purpose of this division is to provide a process to ensure that donations of money which the City accepts for public art expands the public experience and exposure to culture through various art forms, enhances public facilities and improves the aesthetic environment of the City on behalf of its citizens and provides an opportunity for the donor to participate in the selection process for the art in certain situations.

Sec. 5-167. - Process.
(a) To initiate the process described in this division, the donation of money for public art shall not be less than $70,000.00.
(b) An application to donate money to the City for public art pursuant to this division shall include the following documentation and shall be made to the Public Arts Commission:
   (1) A list of proposed installation sites.
   (2) Information about the donor including name, current and complete contact information.
   (3) Amount of money donor intends to donate to the City for public art.
   (4) Any terms, conditions or restrictions of the donation (e.g., identification of donors on artwork, location, type, purpose, etc.).
   (5) Any other pertinent information.
(c) The Public Arts Commission shall review the application, and:
   (1) Determine whether to accept the donation with the restrictions imposed by the donor;
   (2) Select a location for the work of art; and
   (3) Establish an estimate budget for future maintenance and conservation of the work and the costs of project management (including city administrative costs which includes insurance) which shall be placed in the art in public places fund.
(d) The Public Arts Commission shall forward the application along with the recommended location for the work of art to City Council. City council shall approve the recommended location, or designate an alternative location for the work of art and refer the application to the Commission for further action consistent with this division.

Sec. 5-168. - Project committee.
(a) Upon receipt of the donation of money to the City pursuant to this division and the approval of the City Council, the Public Arts Commission shall establish the final budget (including the reserve for future maintenance and administrative costs) and appoint a project committee. A project committee shall be created for each donation of money received by the City which is approved by the City Council and members of each project committee may serve on other project committees.
(b) There shall be ten members on the project committee. The project committee shall consist of three members of the Public Arts Commission, two arts professionals, a neighborhood representative, a department representative and three committee members from a list of names provided by the donor.
(c) The project committee shall be responsible for selecting an artist and assuring that the practical aspects of design, siting and facility operation, as well
as neighborhood, city, and donor interests are given proper consideration for the work of art. Additionally, the project committee shall be responsible for ensuring that the terms, conditions or restrictions of the donation required by the donor and accepted by the Public Arts Commission which is approved by City Council during the application process have been met.

Sec. 5-169. - Additional funds.
The Public Arts Commission may, in its discretion, commit additional monies from the art in public places fund to the project budget, however, this provision shall not bind, commit nor require the Commission to commit additional monies from the art in public places fund.

Sec. 5-170. - Approval and ownership.
The decision of the project committee as to the selection, acquisition, display, placement and location of the work of art shall be subject to City Council approval. All works of art shall be acquired in the name of the City and title shall vest in the City.

A draft version of the proposed ordinance was approved by the Public Services and Infrastructure Committee on May 25, 2017. Since then, in addition to clarification changes throughout the proposed ordinance, the following changes have been made:

1. The definition of “public art collection” has been modified to exclude any works of art that were donated to the City prior to July 31, 2017, unless City Council later approves accessioning such artwork into the collection.
2. A process has been added that would allow the Public Arts Commission to recommend that City Council accession into the City’s public art collection works of art that have been donated to the City but are not a part of the City’s public art collection.

If City Council conducts first reading on June 15, 2017, the public hearing may be scheduled for July 13, 2017. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Attachment: Proposed Ordinance
ORDINANCE NO. ___

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 5, ARTICLE 3 AND ARTICLE 4 OF THE CITY CODE RELATED TO WORKS OF ART IN PUBLIC CONSTRUCTION AND THE ACCEPTANCE PROCESS FOR DONATIONS TO THE CITY FOR ART; PERMITTING MONEYS FROM THE ART IN PUBLIC PLACES FUND TO BE UTILIZED FOR THE ACQUISITION OF WORKS OF ART, ADMINISTRATION COSTS OF THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMISSION, AND COSTS FOR INSURANCE, REPAIR, OR MAINTENANCE OF ANY WORKS OF ART IN THE CITY'S PUBLIC ART COLLECTION; PROVIDING A DEFINITION OF PUBLIC ART COLLECTION; REQUIRING CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL AND APPROPRIATIONS TO UTILIZE MONEYS FROM THE ART IN PUBLIC PLACES FUND FOR ANY COSTS RELATED TO DONATED ARTWORK IN THE CITY'S PUBLIC ART COLLECTION; MODIFYING THE PROCESS FOR ACCEPTING DONATED ARTWORK; MODIFYING THE PROCESS FOR ACCEPTING DONATIONS OF MONEY TO THE CITY FOR PUBLIC ART; PROVIDING FOR ADDITIONAL CLARIFYING LANGUAGE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

Section 1. Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby renamed as follows:

ARTICLE III. WORKS OF ART IN THE CITY CONSTRUCTION

Section 2. Section 5-54 of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby amended as follows:
DIVISION 1. - GENERALLY

Sec. 5-54. - Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this article, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

*Commission* means the St. Petersburg Public Arts Commission.

*Construction cost* means the actual construction cost of the public works project calculated as of the date the original construction contract is executed. The term "construction cost" includes, but shall not be limited to, architectural and engineering fees, site work, contingency allowances and subsequent additions to the construction contract. The term "construction cost" does not include land acquisition costs or the costs of the work of art. When the public works project is paid for in part by the City and in part from private funds, the City's portion of the construction cost of the public works project shall be used to calculate the construction cost. When the public works project is paid for in part by the City and in part from another governmental entity’s funds, the other governmental entity’s funds shall be used to calculate the construction cost unless otherwise specified in writing by the governmental entity providing those funds. The City's share of the construction cost will not include money provided by the City for the project that is in the form of a loan or is in any manner to be repaid to the City.

*Fund* means the art in public places fund.

*Permanent character* means fixed in location and constructed of materials that are durable against vandalism, theft and weather, such that a low level of maintenance is required for a period of at least 25 years.

*Public art collection* means, collectively, each work of art acquired or accessioned by the City pursuant to this article, whether such work of art is commissioned, purchased, or donated to the City. Works of art donated to the City prior to July 31, 2017 shall not be considered part of the public art collection unless they are accessioned into the public art collection after July 31, 2017 pursuant to this article. Works of art that have been deaccessioned in accordance with this article shall not be considered part of the public art collection.

*Public works project* means any project paid for wholly or in part by the City to construct, rehabilitate, renovate, remodel or improve any facility, which includes, but shall not be limited to, any building, structure, park or parking facility, or any portion thereof, within the limits of the City. As used in this definition, "construction, rehabilitation, renovation, remodeling or improvement" means only those acts which are major and affect the structure of a facility and are not cosmetic or minor, and includes any improvements which are an integral part of
the facility and are necessary for the facility to function. The term "public works project" does not include the following:

(a) Street, alley, sidewalk or sewer projects conceived and executed as projects independent of any other projects which may qualify as a public works project.

(b) The term "public works project" does not include any Stormwater management projects or any portion of a water or storm sewer project that is built below ground level. As used in this definition, "construction, rehabilitation, renovation, remodeling or improvement" means only those acts which are major and affect the structure of a facility and are not cosmetic or minor.

(c) The purchase and installation of equipment that is not attached to, or is not an integral part of, the facility and could be easily removed.

Work of art means a work of original art which may be a structural part of a building or a structure, or an architectural element on or in which the work of art is placed or affixed. The term "work of art" includes, but shall not be limited to, paintings, sculptures, engravings, carvings, frescos, mobiles, murals, collages, mosaics, statues, bas reliefs, tapestries, photographs, drawings, stained glass, clay, fiber, monuments, fountains, arches, or other structures of a permanent character intended as ornamental, decorative or commemorative.

Section 3. Section 5-55 of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 5-55. - Purpose.

The City desires to expand public experience and exposure to culture through various art forms, to enhance the appearance of public facilities and to improve the aesthetic environment of the City on behalf of its citizens, and intends to provide for the incorporation of art in the design and construction of public facilities within the City. It is the intent of the City that, where appropriate, the funds set aside from public works projects for the acquisition of works of art pursuant to this article be utilized for the display of works of art in, upon, or adjacent to the facility being constructed or in, upon, or adjacent to another facility which is utilized by the City department from which those funds were derived.

Section 4. Section 5-58 of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby renumbered to Section 5-56 and amended as follows:

Sec. 5-5658. - Ownership and authority to contract.
(a) All works of art acquired pursuant to this article shall be acquired in the name of the City and title shall vest in the City.

(b) All contracts for the acquisition of works of art under this article must be approved by the City Council.

(c) All works of art acquired with moneys from the fund shall be displayed in or on city-owned property. Works of art acquired with moneys from the fund shall not be installed on city-owned property which is subject to a lease unless the lessee expressly allows the installation of a work of art. This provision shall not affect works of art installed before a property is leased nor artwork installed by the lessee.

(d) Because of the terms set forth in the ground lease agreement with the Salvador Dali Museum, Inc., which placed certain restrictions on a portion of lot 4 and all of lots 5 and 6 at the center for the arts (those lots are shown in exhibits B and C to the ground lease agreement), that portion of lots 4 and all of lots 5 and 6 shall be considered to be leased and shall be subject to the prohibition contained in subsection (c) of this section.

Section 5. The heading for DIVISION 2.—PUBLIC ARTS COMMISSION set forth in Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby removed.

Section 6. Section 5-79 of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby renumbered to Section 5-57(a) and amended as follows:

Sec. 5-57.9. Public Arts Commission-Created.

(a) Creation. There is hereby created and established the St. Petersburg Public Arts Commission.

Section 7. Section 5-80(a) of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby renumbered to Section 5-57(b) and amended to read as follows:

(b) Sec. 5-80.—Membership. (a) The Commission shall be composed of nine members who are residents of the City. The City Council recommends that at least one of the members be a member of the City Council.

Section 8. Section 5-80(c) of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby renumbered to Section 5-57(c) and amended to read as follows:

(c) Terms. The members of the Commission shall be appointed for terms of four years. Whenever a vacancy occurs in a Commission position, a successor shall be appointed for the remainder of the term.
Section 9.  Section 5-81 of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby renumbered to Section 5-57(d) and amended to read as follows:

(d) Sec. 5-81.-- Operation. The Commission may adopt rules governing its conduct, and shall keep minutes of its meetings, and meet regularly. One member of the Commission shall be chair and another vice-chair, each with a. Each term shall be for one year.

Section 10.  Section 5-82 of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby renumbered to Section 5-57(e) and amended to read as follows:

(e) Sec. 5-82.-- Duties and responsibilities. The Commission shall be responsible for the administration of the provisions of this article, subject to City Council approval where required, including, but not limited to, the acquisition, accession, display, and maintenance, insurance, and deaccession of works of art in the City’s public art collection, acquired with monies from the fund. The Commission shall act as a liaison with private owners and developers to encourage and facilitate private contributions or dedications to the fund and to provide aid in the installation or incorporation of art into private developments.

Section 11.  Section 5-80(b) of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby renumbered to Section 5-58 and amended to read as follows:

Sec. 5-58 Public Art Project Committee.

(a) Establishment. To ensure that the practical aspects of design, siting and facility operation as well as neighborhood interest are given proper considerations, a nine-member working group herein described as the Public Art Works-Project Committee shall be established for each public works project or facility which will receive a work of art.

(b) Membership. The Commission shall appoint six committee members shall be selected by the Commission to serve on each Public Art Project Committee committee in addition to three members of the Commission. The Commission should strive to appoint a variety of persons as Public Art Project Committee members. The Public Art Project Committee committee members shall be selected as follows:

1. The nine members of the Commission shall appoint the six committee members of each committee for each public works project which will receive a work of art and the three Commission members. The members should strive to appoint a variety of persons as committee members.
(2) The committee members shall include the following:

(1)a. The architect (in the case of a building) or site designer (in the case of a public works project that does not include a building) of the public works project. If the Public Art Project Committee is established for a work of art to be displayed in, upon, or adjacent to a pre-existing facility for which no public works project is underway, this membership position may be filled by a member at large.

(2)b. A working visual artist.

(3)c. An arts facility/organization administrator.

(4)d. A person who works at the facility or a representative of the department which operates or maintains the facility.

(5)e. A representative of the neighborhood in the location of where the facility is to be located.

(6)f. A member at large.

(7) Three members of the Commission.

(c) Operation. Each Public Art Project Committee may adopt rules governing its conduct, shall keep minutes of its meetings, and shall select a chairperson.

(d) Duties and responsibilities. Each Public Art Project Committee's responsibilities shall include but not be limited to ensuring that the practical aspects of design, siting and facility operation as well as neighborhood interest are given proper considerations.

(e) Approval by the Commission. Each Public Art Project Committee's expenditure of moneys from the fund as well as any recommendations as to the final selection and placement of works of art shall be subject to approval by the Commission.

Section 12. Section 5-84 of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby renumbered to Section 5-59 and amended to read as follows:

Sec. 5-5984. - Approval by City Council.

The Commission's final recommendation decision—as to the selection, acquisition, allocation, display, placement, location, accession, and deaccession of works of art in the City's public art collection shall be subject to City Council approval. The Commission's expenditure of moneys from the fund for the purpose of maintaining, repairing, or insuring donated artwork in the City's public art collection shall be subject to City Council approval and appropriation.
into the fund of the moneys necessary for such maintenance, repair, or insurance in each instance.

Section 13. A new Section 5-60 is hereby added to Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code and shall read as follows:

Sec. 5.60. - Accession of donated works of art.

(a) The Commission may recommend that the City Council accession into the City's public art collection any works of art that have been donated to the City but are not a part of the City's public art collection. The recommendation shall include the following:

(1) An estimate of the remaining lifespan of the artwork.

(2) An estimate of the annual anticipated costs associated with the artwork for the remaining lifespan of the artwork, including costs for maintenance, insurance, and repairs due to theft, vandalism, or other causes.

(3) A request for the City Council to appropriate the annual anticipated maintenance and insurance costs for the artwork into the fund in accordance with the annual budget and appropriations process set forth in the Code (currently Chapter 2, Article IV).

(b) If City Council approves the Commission's recommendation, the work of art shall become a part of the City's public art collection on the effective date of a resolution of the City Council accessioning the work of art into the public art collection.

Section 14. Section 5-141 of Chapter 5, Article IV of the City Code is hereby renumbered to Section 5-61 of Chapter 5, Article III and amended to read as follows:

Sec. 5-61. - Deaccession review.

Deaccession of works of art in the City's public art collection not acquired through the Percent for Arts Ordinance (currently article III of this chapter) shall be done by the Public Arts Commission in accordance with the deaccession policy approved by resolution of the City Council.

Section 15. Section 5-59 of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby renumbered to Section 5-62 and amended as follows:

Sec. 5-62. - Establishment of the Art In public places fund.

(a) Establishment. There is hereby established a fund designated as the art in public places fund. All funds set aside pursuant to this article for each public
works project together with such other funds as the City Council shall appropriate for works of art, the proceeds from any claims made on the public art collection’s insurance policy, and funds donated by the general public for works of art shall be deposited into this fund. These funds shall be expended by the Commission as set forth in this article. Any funds not expended by the end of any fiscal year shall be carried over to the next fiscal year.

(b) Bonds and special purpose funds. Funds derived from revenue or general obligation bond issues or from utility revenues or other special purpose funds or funds dedicated pursuant to the terms of a bond or other debt obligation resolution shall be used in a manner consistent with the legal requirements for the bonds or special purpose funds.

(c) Donated funds. Funds donated by the general public for works of art and deposited into the fund shall be used in a manner consistent with the conditions imposed by the donor. To the extent conditions imposed by the donor are inconsistent with this article or to the extent the Commission determines that it would not be in the City’s best interest to utilize the donated funds under the imposed conditions, such funds shall be returned to the donor.

(d) Allocation of funds.

(1) If it would be inappropriate to display a work of art at a City facility, if the funds set aside from any public works project are not sufficient to acquire a work of art appropriate for the City, or if the Commission deems it appropriate to pool funds to provide for works of art of greater cost or a larger more intricate or detailed work of art at another facility, then such moneys shall be used for the acquisition of a work of art for display in or on City-owned property other than the facility being constructed.

(2) The Commission should determine at the beginning of each fiscal year which budgeted public works projects will receive a work of art. The Commission shall determine what portion of the moneys deposited into the fund from public works projects, insurance proceeds, or private donations shall be used for acquisition, administration, insurance, repair and maintenance of works of art acquired with moneys from the fund and shall determine at which City facilities a work of art shall be displayed.

(3)(a) To the extent that the total funds are not used for the acquisition of works of art, the remainder may be used for:
a. (1) Commission administration costs (which may include costs to provide public awareness and appreciation of works of art in the City's public art collection), insurance costs, appraisal costs, or costs for repair and maintenance of any works of art in the City's public art collection, subject to City Council approval and appropriations where required acquired under this article; or

b. (2) To supplement other funds set aside for the acquisition of works of art for other public works projects or to place works of art in, on, or near city facilities which have already been constructed. Provided that funds set aside from any bond issue or any special purpose funds or funds dedicated pursuant to the terms of a bond or other debt obligation resolution which are not used for the acquisition of works of art for the public works project for which they were set aside shall revert to the bond fund or project from which they were set aside.

c. The proceeds from any claims made on the public art collection's insurance policy shall be deposited in the art in public places fund which shall be used to repair or replace damaged or destroyed works of art in the City's public art collection.

Section 16. Section 5-56 of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby renumbered to Section 5-63 and amended as follows:

Sec. 5-6356. - Public dedications to the art in public places fund.

(a) A percentage of the construction cost from all public works projects exceeding $100,000.00 shall be set aside and deposited into the fund for the acquisition of works of art, which shall be displayed in or on City-owned property, upon, or adjacent to a City-owned facility, and for such administrative, insurance, or repair and maintenance costs reasonably attributable to the those works of art operation of this article.

(b) The percentage of construction costs to be deposited into the fund shall be as follows, subject to the limitations set forth in this article:

(1) For public works projects with construction costs between $100,000.00 and $2,500,000.00, two percent shall be set aside for deposited into the fund the acquisition of works of art.

(2) For public works projects with construction costs between $2,500,001.00 and $10,000,000.00, one percent shall be set aside for deposited into the fund the acquisition of works of art.

(3) For public works projects with construction costs exceeding $10,000,001.00, three-quarters of a percent shall be set aside for deposited into the fund the acquisition of works of art.
(c) The total allocation of funds set aside for deposited into the fund the acquisition of works of art from any single public works project under this article shall not exceed $500,000.00.

If it would be inappropriate to display a work of art at a facility, if the funds set aside from any public works project are not sufficient to acquire a work of art appropriate for the City or if the Commission deems it appropriate to pool funds to provide for works of art of greater cost or a larger more intricate or detailed work of art at another facility, then such monies shall be used for the acquisition of a work of art for display in, upon or adjacent to any other city facilities.

The Commission should determine at the beginning of each fiscal year which budgeted public works projects will receive a work of art. The Commission shall determine what portion of the set aside funds shall be used for acquisition, administration, insurance, repair and maintenance in each instance and shall determine at which facility a work of art shall be displayed.

(d) If the Commission determines that any donated artwork in the City's public art collection is in need of insurance, repair, or maintenance, and the necessary funds for such insurance, repair, or maintenance have not already been appropriated into the fund for that specific purpose, the Commission may request the City Council make such appropriation.

Section 17. Section 5-57 of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby renumbered to Section 5-64 and amended as follows:

Sec. 5-6457. - Private dedications to the art in public places fund.

Private owners and developers who construct, renovate, rehabilitate, remodel or improve any facility or structure are hereby encouraged to dedicate one percent of the construction costs of such facility or structure to the fund. To the extent allowed by law, all dedications or contributions to the fund may be considered a charitable donation for purposes of income tax.

Section 18. Section 5-60 of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby renumbered to Section 5-65:

Sec. 5-6560. - Bond proceeds or other debt obligations in the art in public places fund.

Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this article, to the extent amounts deposited in the fund are derived from the proceeds of bonds or other debt obligations to the City, such amounts shall remain on deposit in the fund only for such period of time which, in the opinion of bond counsel acceptable to the City, will not adversely affect:

(1) The exclusion of interest on such bonds or other debt obligations from gross income of the holders thereof for purposes of federal income taxation; or
(2) The validity of such bonds or other debt obligations under the laws of the State.

Section 19. Section 5-83 of Chapter 5, Article III of the City Code is hereby deleted.

Sec. 5-83. — Guidelines.

The Commission shall adopt guidelines for the administration of this article.

Section 20. The heading for ARTICLE IV. — DONATIONS TO THE CITY FOR ART; ACCEPTANCE PROCESS set forth in Chapter 5 of the City Code is hereby removed.

ARTICLE IV. — DONATIONS TO THE CITY FOR ART; ACCEPTANCE PROCESS

Section 21. The heading for DIVISION 1. — GENERALLY set forth in Chapter 5, Article IV. of the City Code is hereby removed.

DIVISION 1. — GENERALLY

Section 22. Sections 5-136 through 5-140 of Chapter 5, Article IV of the City Code are hereby renumbered to Sections 5-66 through 5-70, moved into Article III, and amended to read as follows:

DIVISION 2. — GIFTS OF ART TO THE CITY

Sec. 5-66. — Purpose and scope-intent.

(a) The donation of works of art to the City is encouraged. The acceptance of donations of art by the City and the placement of art on City property carry certain responsibilities. Some of the issues to be considered include the appropriateness of the work as public art, historical relevance or relationship to City, feasibility of installation, safety, durability, maintenance, and an appropriate public site.

(b) The purpose of this division is to provide guidelines for the acceptance of donations of exterior art valued at $10,000 or more into the City's public art collection and to provide a process for such review to ensure that art which the City accepts into its public art collection is appropriate for the City and is initially located at an appropriate City location.

(c) Any donation of exterior art valued at less than $10,000 or any donation of indoor art of any value may be accepted or rejected by the Mayor and is not subject to the provisions of this division.
Sec. 5-67.37. - Application process.

(a) An application for donation of exterior art valued at $10,000 or more shall include the following documentation and shall be made to the Public Arts Commission:

(1) A written description of the artwork including title.

(2) A certified appraisal or other appropriate documentation showing the minimum value to be not less than $10,000.00.

(3) Not less than ten visual representations of the artwork.

(4) A list of proposed installation sites with visual representations of the proposed location of the artwork on the site. If any such installation sites are not on City property, the application shall include the documentation showing how the City will be granted or assigned all property rights necessary for the City to exercise full ownership rights and permanent access to the artwork despite its location on non-City owned property.

(5) Biographical information about the artist including full name, current and complete contact information and history of previous artworks and locations.

(6) Information about the donor including full name, current and complete contact information.

(7) Any terms or conditions imposed by the donor on which the donation may be subject to.

(b) Upon determination by the Public Arts Commission that the application is complete, a gifts committee panel shall be created.

Sec. 5-68.138. - Gifts committee panel.

(a) Duties and responsibilities. A gifts committee panel will be responsible for the review and for making a recommendation concerning acceptance of proposed donations of exterior art to the City valued at not less than $10,000.00 and/or placement of such donated art on City owned property.

(b) Membership. There shall be five-seven members on the gifts committee panel. The gifts committee panel shall consist of two members of the Public Arts Commission appointed by the Commission and two members of the arts advisory committee appointed by the committee. These initial four members shall meet and appoint three additional members who shall be arts professionals appointed by the Commission.

(c) Creation. A gifts committee panel shall be created upon receipt of a completed application for donation. A gifts committee panel shall be created for each proposed donation for which a complete application has
been submitted, however, the members of each gifts committee panel may be the same persons.

(d) Operation. Each gifts committee panel shall meet in a timely fashion to review the proposed donation until a recommendation has been made. Each gifts committee panel may seek additional information. Each gifts committee panel shall select a chairperson and determine its own rules of procedures.

Sec. 5-62139. - Guidelines for review.

(a) Criteria. Art proposed for donation for placement to the City on city property shall be evaluated on the basis of the following criteria; each of these criteria must be satisfactorily addressed, if applicable, before making a recommendation regarding a proposed donation:

(1) Artistic quality.
(2) Value and condition of the art.
(3) Historical relevance/relationship to the City.
(4) Relationship to the City's public art collection.
(5) Community process:
   a. If a commissioned work, what was the selection process for the artist (open competition) and was the neighborhood involved and consulted?
   b. If the art is to be installed on City city property, has the appropriate city department been consulted and is there any additional review process?
   c. Has the donor submitted a letter of guarantee of indemnification for maintenance, preservation and conservation in perpetuity or otherwise?
(6) Relationship to proposed site.
(7) Technical feasibility of the location with input from the department that maintains or operates the property or from the City Attorney if not on City-owned property.
(8) Technical specifications of installation.
(9) Durability and lifespan of the art (conservation).
(10) Possibility of vandalism.
(11) Public safety and liability issues.
(12) Accessibility to the public.
(13) Fabrication and installation of art.

(14) Budget for installation.

(15) Maintenance and preservation requirements (including the need for insurance).

(16) Timeline for donation and installation.

(17) Conditions imposed by donor, if any.

(18) The donation's compliance with the requirements of this division.

(b) Recommendation of acceptance or rejection of donations.

(1) The gifts committee panel shall make a recommendation to accept, accept with conditions or reject the donation and/or the proposed location to the Public Arts Commission. The recommendation shall include an estimate of the annual anticipated costs associated with accepting the artwork for the lifespan of the artwork, including costs for maintenance, insurance, fabrication and installation, and repairs due to theft, vandalism, or other causes.

(2) The Public Arts Commission will review the recommendation based on the guidelines for review and make a recommendation to accept, accept with conditions or reject the donation. Recommendations of the Public Arts Commission shall be transmitted to the City Council along with a request to appropriate the annual anticipated costs associated with the donated artwork into the fund in accordance with the annual budget and appropriations process set forth in the Code (currently Chapter 2, Article IV).

(3) The Public Arts Commission shall make a recommendation concerning the location of the art.

(4) City Council shall approve, approve with conditions, or deny the donation and/or the proposed location of the art and may direct that it be placed at a different location.

Sec. 5-7040. - Donation to the City.

Donations of artwork to the City pursuant to this division shall require that all rights except copyrights shall be assigned to the City, that if the work is commissioned it be considered a work made for hire, and that artists waive all rights under the Visual Artist's Rights Act, as amended. All works of art acquired pursuant to this division shall be acquired in the name of the City and title shall vest in the City.

Section 23. Division 3 of Article IV of the St. Petersburg City Code is hereby deleted in its entirety.
Section 24. As used in this ordinance, language appearing in struck-through type is language to be deleted from the City Code, and underlined language is language to be added to the City Code, in the section, subsection, or other location where indicated. Language in the City Code not appearing in this ordinance continues in full force and effect unless the context clearly indicates otherwise.

Section 25. The provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed severable. The unconstitutionality or invalidity of any word, sentence or portion of this ordinance shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions.

Section 26. In the event that this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective after the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which case the ordinance shall take effect immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

Approved as to form and content:

City Attorney (designee)

00327401
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

Meeting of June 15, 2017

REPORT

TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A resolution approving the partial guaranteed maximum price ("GMP") proposal – balance of the work in the amount of $58,615,523 for The New Police Headquarters Project ("Project"); accepting the final GMP proposal in an amount not to exceed $61,729,374 (which includes the partial GMP proposal - Sitework approved on April 6, 2017 and the above referenced partial GMP proposal – balance of the work) submitted by Ajax Building Corporation ("Ajax") on May 26, 2017; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute a second amendment to the construction manager at risk agreement with a guaranteed maximum price ("CMAR Agreement") between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Ajax dated August 21, 2015, as amended ("Contract"), to incorporate the final GMP proposal into the Contract and modify other necessary provisions; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $17,206,149 from the unappropriated balance of the Public Safety Capital Improvement Fund (3025) to the Police Facility/EOC Project (12847); approving transfers in the amount of $2,180,903 from the Banc of America Leasing & Capital Fund (2017) from the proceeds of a taxable Non ad valorem Revenue Note, Series 2017E for the solar photo voltaic system, and in the amount of $46,872,450 from the TD Bank, N.A. Fund, (2018), $40,000,000 from the proceeds of a Non ad valorem Revenue Note, Series 2017C for the construction of the Police Headquarters and $6,872,450 from the proceeds of a Non ad valorem Revenue Note, Series 2017D for the construction of the parking garage and to reimburse the Police Facility/EOC Project for the design phase of the Police Training Facility to the General Capital Improvement Fund (3001); approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $49,053,353 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Capital Improvement Fund (3001) resulting from these transfers to the Police Facility/EOC Project (Engineering & CID Project No. 11234-018; Oracle Project No. 12847) for the remainder of construction and other work necessary to complete the project such as the furniture, fixtures and equipment, project administration and inspection services, testing, permitting costs, and other project costs.

EXPLANATION: On August 20, 2015, City Council approved a Construction Manager at Risk Agreement with a Guaranteed Maximum Price ("CMAR Agreement") with Ajax for preconstruction and construction phase services for the new St. Petersburg Police Headquarters. Preconstruction phase services were authorized in an amount not to exceed $300,000 and have been completed. The preconstruction phase services included obtaining competitive bids from qualified subcontractors based on the 100% completed construction documents. On April 6, 2017 City Council approved a Partial GMP Proposal – Sitework in the amount of $3,113,851 in order to advance the sitework on the new Police Headquarters project and the City and Ajax executed the First Amendment to the CMAR Agreement on April 13, 2017. Notice to Proceed was issued on May 1, 2017, effectively commencing the construction on the new Police Headquarters.

On May 26, 2017, Ajax submitted a Final GMP Proposal (which includes the Partial GMP Proposal for the Site Work and the Partial GMP - Balance of the Work) incorporating all labor, material and equipment necessary to construct a three-story 114,863 SF Administrative Building, a two-story 54,730 SF Property & Evidence Annex Building, a one-story 9,710 SF Equipment Building/Central Energy Plant and a four level parking garage totaling 321 parking spaces. The Final GMP Proposal also includes the Solar Photo Voltaic system on the roof of the parking garage in the
amount of $2,180,903. Upon acceptance of the Final GMP Proposal and approval of a Second Amendment to the CMAR Agreement, as amended, by City Council, the Final Guaranteed Maximum Price for the complete work will be incorporated into the Contract via the Second Amendment.

The Final GMP Proposal is based on the following i) the completed civil, architectural, landscape architectural, structural, mechanical, plumbing and electrical contract drawings and project specifications as prepared by Harvard Jolly Inc.; ii) a listing of clarifications, qualifications and assumptions made by Ajax in preparation of the Final GMP Proposal which further defines the scope of the site work; and iii) the anticipated schedule for the sitework.

Ajax developed a competitive bid process and obtained City approval of the process prior to soliciting competitive bids. Ajax obtained bids on over forty (40) separate bid packages. Ajax tabulated and analyzed all of the bids and submitted to the City all the low, responsive bids proposed for construction work for the City's approval. The subtotal value of all the subcontractor bids for the complete work is $53,720,019. Of this amount, $1,729,541 was approved within the Partial GMP Proposal for the SiteWork. In addition to the cost of the subcontracted work, the Final GMP Proposal will include the bonds and insurance, Builder’s Risk Insurance, Ajax’s General Conditions, Ajax’s Contingency and Ajax’s overhead and fee. A City Contingency is also included.

The Final GMP Proposal includes the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor Cost of the Work</td>
<td>$53,720,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conditions</td>
<td>$2,926,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds, Insurance &amp; Warranty</td>
<td>$1,226,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajax’s Contingency</td>
<td>$1,654,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City’s Contingency</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajax - Construction Manager’s Fee</td>
<td>$1,901,503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Final GMP Proposal</td>
<td>$61,729,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Partial GMP Proposal — SiteWork</td>
<td>($3,113,851)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial GMP Proposal – Balance of the Work</td>
<td>$58,615,523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Final GMP Proposal is within the adjusted amount of the Construction Cost Budget for the project. This includes the full cost of the garage as well as the Solar Photo Voltaic panels. The Final GMP Proposal also includes an Add Alternate in the amount of $379,832 to provide concrete paving in the parking lot in lieu of asphalt paving. This Add Alternate was not selected due to budget limitations, however, Ajax will continue to hold this value and it is Administration’s intent to perform this work with anticipated project savings resulting from the Owner Direct Purchase (ODP) sales tax saving program. The ODP sales tax savings will be credited to the City via a deductive Change Order and added to the City’s Contingency. At no time will the addition of the Add Alternate exceed the Final GMP without City Council approval.
Upon execution of the Second Amendment to incorporate the Final GMP into the CMAR Agreement, as amended, Ajax will complete the sitework and proceed with building foundations and leading to completion of the balance of the work. The duration for the Work is twenty-two (22) months.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Administration recommends City Council approve the attached resolution approving a partial guaranteed maximum price ("GMP") proposal – balance of the work in the amount of $58,615,523 for The New Police Headquarters Project ("Project"); accepting the final GMP proposal in an amount not to exceed $61,729,374 (which includes the partial GMP proposal-Sitework approved on April 6, 2017 and the above referenced partial GMP proposal – balance of the work) submitted by Ajax Building Corporation ("Ajax") on May 26, 2017; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute a second amendment to the construction manager at risk agreement with a guaranteed maximum price ("CMAR Agreement") between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Ajax dated August 21, 2015, as amended ("Contract"), to incorporate the final GMP proposal into the Contract and modify other necessary provisions; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $17,206,149 from the unappropriated balance of the Public Safety Capital Improvement Fund (3025) to the Police Facility/EOC Project (12847); approving transfers in the amount of $2,180,903 from the Banc of America Leasing & Capital Fund (2017) from the proceeds of a taxable Non ad valorem Revenue Note, Series 2017E for the solar photo voltaic system, and in the amount of $46,872,450 from the TD Bank, N.A. Fund, (2018), $40,000,000 from the proceeds of a Non ad valorem Revenue Note, Series 2017C for the construction of the Police Headquarters and $6,872,450 from the proceeds of a Non ad valorem Revenue Note, Series 2017D for the construction of the parking garage and to reimburse the Police Facility/EOC Project for the design phase of the Police Training Facility to the General Capital Improvement Fund (3001); approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $49,053,353 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Capital Improvement Fund (3001) resulting from these transfers to the Police Facility/EOC Project (Engineering & CID Project No. 11234-018; Oracle Project No. 12847) for the remainder of construction and other work necessary to complete the project such as the furniture, fixtures, technology and equipment, project administration and inspection services, testing, permitting costs, and other project costs.

**COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION:** Funds will be available after a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $17,206,149 from the unappropriated balance of the Public Safety Capital Improvement Fund (3025) to the Police Facility/EOC Project (Engineering & CID Project No. 11234-018; Oracle Project No. 12847), the approval of a transfer of $2,180,903 from the Banc of America Leasing & Capital Fund (2017) to the General Capital Improvement Fund (3001) for the solar photo voltaic system; approval of a transfer in the amount of $46,872,450 from the TD Bank, N.A. Fund (2018) to the General Capital Improvement Fund (3001) for the construction of the Police Headquarters, the construction of the parking garage and to reimburse the Police Facility/EOC Project for the design phase of the Police Training Facility; and a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $49,053,353 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Capital Improvement Fund (3001) resulting from these transfers to the Police Facility/EOC Project (Engineering & CID Project No. 11234-018; Oracle Project No. 12847) for the remainder of construction and other work necessary to complete the project such as the furniture, fixtures, technology and equipment, project administration and inspection services, testing, permitting costs, and other necessary costs.
ATTACHMENTS: Resolution, Final GMP Proposal

APPROVALS: Administrative

Final

Budget
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-____


WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") and Ajax Building Corporation ("Ajax") entered into a Construction Manager at Risk Agreement with a Guaranteed Maximum Price ("Contract") on August 21, 2015 for Ajax to provide preconstruction and construction phase services for the new Police Headquarters Project; and

WHEREAS, following execution of the Contract, the City authorized Ajax to provide the preconstruction phase services in an amount not to exceed $300,000; and
WHEREAS, on April 13, 2017, the City and Ajax executed the First Amendment to the Contract to incorporate the Partial GMP Proposal for the Sitework into the Contract, modify certain provisions including a reduction in the amount of liquidated damages, and add additional provisions; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the Contract (as amended) requirements, Ajax has submitted the Partial GMP Proposal for the Balance of the Work and the Final GMP Proposal in an amount not to exceed $61,729,374 (which includes the Partial GMP Proposal—Sitework approved on April 6, 2017 and the Partial GMP Proposal—Balance of the Work) to the City for review and acceptance; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to execute the Second Amendment to the Contract (as amended) with Ajax to incorporate the Final GMP Proposal into the Contract (as amended) and modify other necessary sections of the Contract (as amended); and

WHEREAS, funding for the Final GMP and other project expenses will be available after (i) a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $17,206,149 from the unappropriated balance of the Public Safety Capital Improvement Fund (3025) to the Police Facility/EOC Project (12847), (ii) transfers in the amount of $46,872,450 from the TD Bank, N.A. Fund (2018) to the General Capital Improvement Fund (3001), and (iii) a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $49,053,353 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Capital Improvement Fund (3001) resulting from the above transfers to the Police Facility/EOC Project (12847).

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Partial Guaranteed Maximum Price (“GMP”) Proposal—Balance of the Work in the amount of $58,615,523 for the New Police Headquarters Project is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Final GMP Proposal in an amount not to exceed $61,729,374 (which includes the Partial GMP Proposal—Sitework approved on April 6, 2017 and the Partial GMP Proposal—Balance of the Work) submitted by Ajax Building Corporation (“Ajax”) on May 26, 2017 is hereby accepted.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute the Second Amendment to the Construction Manager at Risk Agreement with a Guaranteed Maximum Price between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, and Ajax dated August 21, 2015, as amended (“Contract”), to incorporate the Final GMP Proposal into the Contract and modify other necessary provisions.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there is hereby approved from the unappropriated balance of the Public Safety Capital Improvement Fund (3025) the following supplemental appropriation for FY 2017:

| Public Safety Capital Improvement Fund (3025) | Police Facility/EOC Project (12847) | $17,206,149 |

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there is hereby approved the following transfers from the Banc of America Leasing & Capital Fund (2017) and the TD Bank, N.A. Fund (2018) for FY 2017:

| Banc of America Leasing & Capital Fund (2017) |
Transfer to: General Capital Improvement Fund (3001) $2,180,903

TD Bank, N.A. Fund (2018)
Transfer to: General Capital Improvement Fund (3001) $46,872,450

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there is hereby approved from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Capital Improvement Fund (3001), resulting from the above transfers the following supplemental appropriation for FY 2017:

General Capital Improvement Fund (3001)
Police Facility/EOC Project (12847) $49,053,353

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved by: City Attorney (Designee)

Approved by: Brejesh Prayman, P.E., ENV SP
Engineering and Capital Improvements Director
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with Layne Inliner, LLC for sanitary sewer cleaning for the Water Resources Department, at a two-year amount of $1,300,000, for a total contract amount of $3,250,000.

Explanation: On October 16, 2014, City Council approved a three-year agreement for sanitary sewer cleaning services through October 31, 2017. The agreement has one two-year renewal. This is the final renewal.

The vendor provides removal of debris, dirt, sand, rocks and other obstructions accumulated in 8" to 54"-diameter sanitary sewer lines in the wastewater collection system. The vendor also provides a post-cleaning video inspection to ensure the cleaning has been completed to specifications. The City anticipates cleaning approximately 150,000 linear feet of pipe and associated manholes during the term of the agreement.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Water Resources Department, recommends renewal:

Layne Inliner, LLC (The Woodlands, TX) ................................................................. $1,300,000

- Original agreement amount: $1,950,000
- Final renewal: $1,300,000
- New agreement amount: $3,250,000

The vendor has agreed to hold rates firm under the terms and conditions of IFB No. 7713, dated July 29, 2014. Administration recommends renewal of the agreement based on the vendor’s past satisfactory performance and demonstrated ability to comply with the terms and conditions of the contract. The renewal will be effective from the date of approval through October 31, 2019.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Water Resources Fund (4001).

Attachments: Bid Tabulation (6 pages)
Resolution

Approvals:

[Signatures]
## Bid Tabulation

### City of St. Petersburg

**Procurement and Supply Management**

Cindy Brickey, Procurement Analyst

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>EAU</th>
<th>UOM</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Extended Cost</th>
<th>Layne Inliner LLC Sanford, FL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Traffic Control including Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) plans for Collectors and Arterials, Pinellas County and FDOT roadways</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Temporary Setting Removable Pipe Plugs and Dewatering for CCTV Inspection - for sewer pipes with less than 18&quot; inside diam.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Temporary Setting Removable Pipe Plugs and Dewatering for CCTV Inspection - for sewer pipes with inside diam. greater than 18&quot; through 24&quot;.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>3,750.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Temporary Setting Removable Pipe Plugs and Dewatering for CCTV Inspection - for sewer pipes with inside diam. greater than 24&quot; through 42&quot;.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>190.00</td>
<td>5,700.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Temporary Setting Removable Pipe Plugs and Dewatering for CCTV Inspection - for sewer pipes with inside diam. greater than 42&quot; through 60&quot;.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>250.00</td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Setup for sewer pipes with inside diam. less than 12&quot;.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Setup for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 12&quot; through 21&quot;.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Setup for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 21&quot; through 30&quot;.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Setup for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 30&quot; through 42&quot;.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Setup for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 42&quot; through 60&quot;.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Operation for sewer pipes with inside diam. less than 12&quot;.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Operation for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 12&quot; through 21&quot;.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Operation for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 21&quot; through 30&quot;.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Operation for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 30&quot; through 42&quot;.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>Delivery: 30 Days Terms: Net-30 Days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EnviorWaste4 Services Group, Inc. Miami, FL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery: 30 Days</th>
<th>Terms: Net-30 Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>2,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,500.00</td>
<td>18,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Select Environmental Services, Inc. Thonotosassa, FL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery: 15 Days</th>
<th>Terms: Net-30 Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>2,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,500.00</td>
<td>18,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pending Award
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>EAU</th>
<th>UOM</th>
<th>Cost per Unit</th>
<th>Cost per EAU</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Total Extended Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Operation for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 42&quot; through 60&quot;</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5000.00</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with less than 8&quot; inside diam.</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>2,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 8&quot;.</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>95,000.00</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>147,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 10&quot;.</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>12,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 12&quot;.</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>11,250.00</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>13,125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 15&quot;.</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>29,750.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>42,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 18&quot;.</td>
<td>31,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>62,000.00</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>85,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 21&quot;.</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>28,125.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>43,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 24&quot;.</td>
<td>20,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>61,500.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>82,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 27&quot;.</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>19,250.00</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>24,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 30&quot;.</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>130,000.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>143,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 36&quot;.</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>126,000.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>144,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 42&quot;.</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>80,500.00</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>74,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 48&quot;.</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>108,000.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>94,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 54&quot;.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 60&quot;.</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>8,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Specialty/Descaling Cleaning in sewer pipes with less than 18&quot; inside diam.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Root Removal in sewer pipes with less than 18&quot; inside diam.</td>
<td>13,800</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>6,900.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>138.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with less than 8&quot; inside diam.</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 8&quot;.</td>
<td>74,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>37,250.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>745.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 10&quot;.</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 12&quot;.</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 15&quot;.</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 18&quot;.</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pending Award
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Layne Inliner LLC</th>
<th>EnviorWaste4 Services Group, Inc.</th>
<th>Select Environmental Services, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EAU</td>
<td>Unit Cost</td>
<td>Extended Cost</td>
<td>Unit Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with inside diam. Greater than 18&quot;.</td>
<td>1,000 LF</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Annulus or other leak sealing/grouting at manhole connection for sewer pipes with inside diam. less than 18&quot;.</td>
<td>12 EA</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Annulus or other leak sealing/grouting at manhole connection for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 18&quot; but less than 30&quot;.</td>
<td>12 EA</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Annulus or other leak sealing/grouting at manhole connection for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 30&quot; but less than 42&quot;.</td>
<td>12 EA</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>4,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Annulus or other leak sealing/grouting at manhole connection for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to or greater than 42&quot;.</td>
<td>8 EA</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal:</td>
<td>$860,875.00</td>
<td>$948,265.16</td>
<td>$1,375,770.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBE Discount:</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Payment Discount:</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$18,965.30</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>$860,875.00</td>
<td>$929,299.86</td>
<td>$1,375,770.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line No.</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>EAU</td>
<td>UOM</td>
<td>Unit Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Traffic Control including Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) plans for Collectors and Arterials, Pinellas County and FDOT roadways</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Temporary Setting Removable Pipe Plugs and Dewatering for CCTV Inspection - for sewer pipes with less than 18&quot; inside diam.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Temporary Setting Removable Pipe Plugs and Dewatering for CCTV Inspection - for sewer pipes with inside diam. greater than 18&quot; through 24&quot;.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Temporary Setting Removable Pipe Plugs and Dewatering for CCTV Inspection - for sewer pipes with inside diam. greater than 24&quot; through 42&quot;.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Temporary Setting Removable Pipe Plugs and Dewatering for CCTV Inspection - for sewer pipes with inside diam. greater than 42&quot; through 60&quot;.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Setup for sewer pipes with inside diam. less than 12&quot;.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Setup for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 12&quot; through 21&quot;.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Setup for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 21&quot; through 30&quot;.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Setup for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 30&quot; through 42&quot;.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Setup for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 42&quot; through 60&quot;.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Operation for sewer pipes with inside diam. less than 12&quot;.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Operation for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 12&quot; through 21&quot;.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Operation for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 21&quot; through 30&quot;.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Operation for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 30&quot; through 42&quot;.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line No.</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>EAU</td>
<td>UOM</td>
<td>Unit Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Pump Around Pumping Operation for sewer pipes with inside diam. larger than 42&quot; through 60&quot;.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with less than 8&quot; inside diam.</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 8&quot;.</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 10&quot;.</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 12&quot;.</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 15&quot;.</td>
<td>31,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 18&quot;.</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 21&quot;.</td>
<td>20,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 24&quot;.</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 27&quot;.</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 30&quot;.</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 36&quot;.</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 42&quot;.</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 48&quot;.</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Pipe Cleaning with an inside diam. equal to 60&quot;.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Specialty/Descaling Cleaning in sewer pipes with less than 18&quot; inside diam.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Root Removal in sewer pipes with less than 18&quot; inside diam.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with less than 8&quot; inside diam.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 8&quot;.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 10&quot;.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 12&quot;.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 15&quot;.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 18&quot;.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Line No. Description & Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>EAU</th>
<th>UOM</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Extended Cost</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Extended Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Easement Access Additional for sewer pipes with inside diam. Greater than 18&quot;.</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Annulus or other leak sealing/grouting at manhole connection for sewer pipes with inside diam. less than 18&quot;.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Annulus or other leak sealing/grouting at manhole connection for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 18&quot; but less than 30&quot;.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>700.00</td>
<td>8,400.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Annulus or other leak sealing/grouting at manhole connection for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to 30&quot; but less than 42&quot;.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>12,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Annulus or other leak sealing/grouting at manhole connection for sewer pipes with inside diam. equal to or greater than 42&quot;.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>1,250.00</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subtotal:** $1,404,880.00 $1,853,030.00

**SBE Discount:** $0.00 $0.00
**Payment Discount:** $0.00 $0.00
**Total:** $1,404,880.00 $1,853,030.00
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT (BLANKET PURCHASE AGREEMENT) BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA AND LAYNE INLINER, LLC ("LAYNE") DATED NOVEMBER 1, 2014 TO EXTEND THE TERM FOR TWO YEARS FOR LAYNE TO PROVIDE SANITARY SEWER CLEANING SERVICES FOR THE WASTEWATER COLLECTION SYSTEM AT AN ESTIMATED COST NOT TO EXCEED $1,300,000 FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $3,250,000; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on October 16, 2014, City Council approved the award of a three-year blanket purchase agreement ("Agreement") for an annual cost of $650,000 with one two-year renewal option to Layne Inliner, LLC ("Layne") to provide sanitary sewer cleaning services for the wastewater collection system pursuant to IFB No. 7713, dated July 29, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to extend the term for two years for Layne to continue providing services for the City at an annual cost not to exceed $1,300,000 for the renewal term; and

WHEREAS, Layne has agreed to uphold the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement Department in cooperation with the Water Resources Department recommends approval of this First Amendment.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the First Amendment to the Agreement (Blanket Purchase Agreement) between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Layne Inliner, LLC ("Layne") dated November 1, 2014 to extend the term for two years for Layne to provide sanitary sewer cleaning services for Layne for the wastewater collection system at an estimated cost not to exceed $1,300,000 for a total contract amount not to exceed $3,250,000 is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

[Signature]

City Attorney (Designee)
00325877
RESOLUTION NO. ______

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO ENTER INTO A COOPERATIVE FUNDING AGREEMENT WITH THE SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT FOR THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG TOILET REPLACEMENT PROGRAM PHASE 16 FOR A TOTAL PROJECT COST OF $100,000.00; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Southwest Florida Water Management District ("District") and the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") wish to implement the sixteenth phase of a residential and commercial toilet replacement and education project; and

WHEREAS, the District and the City have agreed upon the type and extent of the program to be completed and the amount and method of compensation to be paid by the District to the City for materials, development and distribution.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the Mayor or his Designee is authorized to enter into a cooperative funding agreement with the Southwest Florida Water Management District for the City of St. Petersburg Toilet Replacement Program Phase 16 for a total project cost of $100,000.00, with the District's share not to exceed $50,000.00.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

APPROVED BY:

[Signature]
City Attorney (Designee)

APPROVED BY:

[Signature]
John E. Palenchar, P.E.
Interim Director, Water Resources Dept.

05-31-2017
TO: Honorable Darden Rice, Chair of Council, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: Authorizing the Mayor or his designee to enter into a Cooperative Funding Agreement with the Southwest Florida Water Management District for the City of St. Petersburg Toilet Replacement Program Phase 16 for a total cost of $100,000.

EXPLANATION: The Water Resources Department and the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) are proposing to enter into a FY2017 Cooperative Funding Agreement for Phase 16 of a Toilet Replacement Program ("Program"). The first fifteen phases of the Program were highly successful with over 33,700 toilets replaced at almost 28,000 locations. During Phase 16, the City and SWFWMD anticipate providing 500 rebates to single family, multi-family water customers to replace high flush toilets with high efficiency (HET) fixtures that meet criteria through the EPA’s WaterSense Program; and to non-residential water customers to replace high flush toilets with ultra-low flow (ULFT) fixtures. Efforts will be made to target customers who have not previously participated in this Program, including low-income residential households. To ensure that monies spent on this long-term conservation program meet the intended goal, the City will include educational materials on leak detection and proper replacement flapper selection and installation to program participants.

The Agreement with the SWFWMD has a total project cost of $100,000. The City of St. Petersburg agrees to fund 50% of the total cost or $50,000 and the SWFWMD agrees to fund 50% of the total cost or $50,000. The District's Agreement includes a provision for attorney's fees and costs incurred by the District if the City fails to complete the Project in accordance with the Agreement, or to appropriate sufficient funds to complete the Project and the City fails to repay those funds. Generally, the City will not enter into a contract including attorney fees provisions and the decision to accept District funding should be made taking the potential risk of having to pay such fees and costs into account. There have been no contract claims on the previous co-funding agreements. The non-appropriation clause does not specifically limit funding by the City to an annual appropriation; however, since the City's funding is appropriated in advance of the Project, the legal risk that the Agreement would be found void appears small.

Administration recommends that the Mayor or his designee be authorized to execute the FY2017 Cooperative Funding Agreement with the Southwest Florida Water Management District for funding the City of St. Petersburg Toilet Replacement Program Phase 16.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Water Resources Operating Fund (4001), Water Conservation Administration (4202133), Toilet Replacement Program (Project #TBD).

ATTACHMENTS: SWFWMD Agreement, Resolution.

APPROVALS: Administration

Budget

05-31-2017
COOPERATIVE FUNDING AGREEMENT (TYPE 1)
BETWEEN THE
SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
AND
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG
FOR
CONSERVATION - ST. PETERSBURG TOILET REBATE PROGRAM - PHASE 16 (N819)

THIS COOPERATIVE FUNDING AGREEMENT (Agreement) is made and entered into by and
between the SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, a public
corporation of the State of Florida, whose address is 2379 Broad Street, Brooksville, Florida
34604-6899, hereinafter referred to as the "DISTRICT," and the CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG,
a municipal corporation of the State of Florida, whose address is 1650 Third Avenue North, St.
Petersburg, Florida 33713, hereinafter referred to as the "COOPERATOR."

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the COOPERATOR proposed a project to the DISTRICT for funding consideration
under the DISTRICT'S cooperative funding program; and

WHEREAS, the project consists of a water conservation incentive program that will make
available approximately 500 rebates to retail water customers, hereinafter referred to as the
"PROJECT"; and

WHEREAS, the DISTRICT considers the resource benefits to be achieved by the PROJECT
worthwhile and desires to assist the COOPERATOR in funding the PROJECT.

NOW THEREFORE, the DISTRICT and the COOPERATOR, in consideration of the mutual
terms, covenants and conditions set forth herein, agree as follows:

1. PROJECT CONTACTS AND NOTICES.

Each party hereby designates the individual set forth below as its prime contact for matters
relating to this Agreement. Notices and reports shall be sent to the attention of each party's
prime contact as set forth herein by U.S. mail, postage paid, by nationally recognized
overnight courier, or personally to the parties' addresses as set forth below. Notice is
effective upon receipt.

Contract Manager for the DISTRICT:
Brent White
Southwest Florida Water Management District
2379 Broad Street
Brooksville, Florida 34604
Project Manager for the COOPERATOR:
Christine Claus
Water Conservation Coordinator
Water Resources Department
1650 3rd Ave No.
St. Petersburg, Florida 33713

Any changes to the above representatives or addresses must be provided to the other party in writing.

1.1 The DISTRICT'S Contract Manager is authorized to approve requests to extend a PROJECT task deadline set forth in this Agreement. Such approval must be in writing, explain the reason for the extension and be signed by the Contract Manager and his or her Bureau Chief, or Director if the Bureau Chief is the Contract Manager, unless the DISTRICT'S Signature Authority provides otherwise. The DISTRICT'S Signature Authority supersedes the approval requirements provided in this provision. The DISTRICT'S Contract Manager is not authorized to approve any time extension which will result in an increased cost to the DISTRICT or which will exceed the expiration date set forth in this Agreement.

1.2 The DISTRICT'S Contract Manager is authorized to adjust a line item amount of the PROJECT budget contained in the Project Plan attached hereto as an exhibit, or, if applicable, the refined budget as set forth in Subparagraph 4 of the Funding Paragraph. The authorization must be in writing, explain the reason for the adjustment, and be signed by all appropriate DISTRICT staff in accordance with the DISTRICT'S Signature Authority. The DISTRICT'S Contract Manager is not authorized to make changes to the Scope of Work and is not authorized to approve any increase in the amounts set forth in the funding section of this Agreement.

2. SCOPE OF WORK.

Upon receipt of written notice to proceed from the DISTRICT, the COOPERATOR shall perform the services necessary to complete the PROJECT in accordance with the COOPERATOR'S Project Plan. Any changes to this Agreement, except as provided herein, must be mutually agreed to in a formal written amendment approved by the DISTRICT and the COOPERATOR prior to being performed by the COOPERATOR. The COOPERATOR shall be solely responsible for managing and controlling the PROJECT, including the hiring and supervising of any consultants or contractors it engages.

The parties agree that time is of the essence in the performance of each obligation under this Agreement.

3. FUNDING.

The parties anticipate that the total cost of the PROJECT will be One Hundred Thousand Dollars ($100,000). The DISTRICT agrees to fund PROJECT costs up to Fifty Thousand Dollars ($50,000), and shall have no obligation to pay any costs beyond this maximum amount. The COOPERATOR agrees to provide all remaining funds necessary for the satisfactory completion of the PROJECT.
3.1 The DISTRICT'S performance and payment pursuant to this Agreement are contingent upon the DISTRICT'S Governing Board appropriating funds in its approved budget for the PROJECT in each fiscal year of this Agreement. The COOPERATOR'S payment of any financial obligation under this Agreement is subject to appropriation by the COOPERATOR'S Council of legally available funds.

3.2 The COOPERATOR shall pay PROJECT costs prior to requesting reimbursement from the DISTRICT. The DISTRICT shall reimburse the COOPERATOR for the DISTRICT'S share of allowable PROJECT costs in accordance with the Project Budget contained in the Project Plan. Reimbursement for expenditures of contingency funds is contingent upon approval by the DISTRICT. If a reimbursement request includes the expenditure of contingency funds, the COOPERATOR shall provide sufficient documentation to the DISTRICT to explain the basis of the expense. The DISTRICT shall not reimburse the COOPERATOR for any contingency funds that the DISTRICT determines, in its sole discretion, to be in excess of what was reasonably necessary to complete the PROJECT. The DISTRICT shall reimburse the COOPERATOR for fifty percent (50%) of all allowable costs in each DISTRICT approved invoice received from the COOPERATOR, but at no point in time shall the DISTRICT'S expenditure amounts under this Agreement exceed expenditures made by the COOPERATOR.

3.3 Unless otherwise stated in this Agreement, any federal, state, local or grant monies received by the COOPERATOR for this PROJECT shall be applied to equally reduce each party's share of PROJECT costs. The COOPERATOR shall provide the DISTRICT with written documentation detailing its allocation of any such funds appropriated for this PROJECT. This Subparagraph shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

3.4 The COOPERATOR may contract with consultant(s), contractor(s) or both to accomplish the PROJECT. The COOPERATOR must obtain the DISTRICT'S written approval prior to posting solicitations for consultants or contractors and prior to entering into agreements with consultants or contractors to ensure that costs to be reimbursed by the DISTRICT under those agreements are reasonable and allowable under this Agreement. The DISTRICT shall provide a written response to the COOPERATOR within fifteen (15) business days of receipt of the solicitation or agreement. Upon written DISTRICT approval, the budget amounts for the work set forth in such contract(s) shall refine the amounts set forth in the Project Budget and be incorporated herein by reference. The DISTRICT shall not reimburse the COOPERATOR for costs incurred under consultant and contractor agreements until the DISTRICT approvals required under this provision have been obtained.

3.5 Payment shall be made to the COOPERATOR within forty-five (45) days of receipt of an invoice with adequate supporting documentation to satisfy auditing purposes. Invoices shall be submitted to the DISTRICT every two (2) months electronically at invoices@WaterMatters.org, or at the following address:
Accounts Payable Section  
Southwest Florida Water Management District  
Post Office Box 15436  
Brooksville, Florida 34604-5436

The above-referenced payment due date shall not apply to that portion of an invoice that includes contingency expenses. The DISTRICT agrees to reimburse the COOPERATOR for contingency expenses within a reasonable time to accommodate the process provided for in Subparagraph 2 of this Funding Paragraph.

In addition to sending an original invoice to the DISTRICT’S Accounts Payable Section as required above, copies of invoices may also be submitted to the DISTRICT’S Contract Manager in order to expedite the review process. Failure of the COOPERATOR to submit invoices to the DISTRICT in the manner provided herein shall relieve the DISTRICT of its obligation to pay within the aforementioned timeframe.

The DISTRICT makes payments electronically through the Automated Clearing House (ACH) process. The COOPERATOR agrees to complete the DISTRICT’S Vendor Registration Form and Vendor Electronic Payment Authorization Form to enable payments to be sent to COOPERATOR electronically. The forms may be downloaded from the DISTRICT’S website at www.watermatters.org under Business & Finance – Contracts and Procurement. Any questions regarding electronic payments may be directed to the DISTRICT’S Accounts Payable Lead at 352-796-7211, extension 4108.

3.6 The parties acknowledge that the PROJECT was approved for funding by the DISTRICT based upon the resource benefits expected to be achieved by the PROJECT (the "Measurable Benefit"). The parties also acknowledge that the COOPERATOR is solely responsible for implementing the PROJECT in such a manner that the expected resource benefits are achieved. If at any point during the progression of the PROJECT the DISTRICT determines that it is likely that the Measurable Benefit as set forth in the Project Plan will not be achieved, the DISTRICT shall provide the COOPERATOR with fifteen (15) days advance written notice that the DISTRICT shall withhold payments to the COOPERATOR until such time as the COOPERATOR demonstrates that the PROJECT shall achieve the required resource benefits, to provide the COOPERATOR with an opportunity to cure the deficiencies.

3.7 Any travel expenses which may be authorized under this Agreement shall be paid in accordance with Section 112.061, Florida Statutes (F.S.), as may be amended from time to time. The DISTRICT shall not reimburse the COOPERATOR for any purpose not specifically identified in the Scope of Work Paragraph. Surcharges added to third party invoices are not considered an allowable cost under this Agreement. Costs associated with in-kind services provided by the COOPERATOR are not reimbursable by the DISTRICT and may not be included in the COOPERATOR’S share of funding contributions under this Agreement.
3.8 Each COOPERATOR invoice must include the following certification, and the COOPERATOR hereby delegates authority by virtue of this Agreement to its Project Manager to affirm said certification:

"I hereby certify that the costs requested for reimbursement and the COOPERATOR'S matching funds, as represented in this invoice, are directly related to the performance under the Conservation - St. Petersburg Toilet Rebate Program - Phase 16 (N819) agreement between the Southwest Florida Water Management District and the City of St. Petersburg (Agreement No. 17CF0000458), are allowable, allocable, properly documented, and are in accordance with the approved Project Budget. This invoice includes $__ of contingency expenses. The COOPERATOR has been allocated a total of $__ in federal, state, local or grant monies for this PROJECT (not including DISTRICT funds) and $__ has been allocated to this invoice, reducing the DISTRICT'S and COOPERATOR'S share to $__/__ respectively."

3.9 In the event any dispute or disagreement arises during the course of the PROJECT, including whether expenses are reimbursable under this Agreement, the COOPERATOR will continue to perform the PROJECT work in accordance with the Project Plan. The COOPERATOR is under a duty to seek clarification and resolution of any issue, discrepancy, or dispute by providing the details and basis of the dispute to the DISTRICT'S Contract Manager no later than ten (10) days after the precipitating event. If not resolved by the Contract Manager, in consultation with his or her Bureau Chief, within ten (10) days of receipt of notice, the dispute will be forwarded to the DISTRICT'S Assistant Executive Director. The DISTRICT'S Assistant Executive Director in consultation with the DISTRICT'S Office of General Counsel will issue the DISTRICT'S final determination. The COOPERATOR'S continuation of the PROJECT work as required under this provision shall not constitute a waiver of any legal remedy available to the COOPERATOR concerning the dispute.

4. COMPLETION DATES.

The COOPERATOR shall commence and complete the PROJECT and meet the task deadlines in accordance with the Project Schedule set forth in the Project Plan, including any extensions of time provided by the DISTRICT in accordance with Subparagraph 1 of the Project Contacts and Notices Paragraph. In the event of hurricanes, tornados, floods, acts of God, acts of war, or other such catastrophes, or other man-made emergencies such as labor strikes or riots, which are beyond the control of the COOPERATOR, the COOPERATOR'S obligations to meet the time frames provided in this Agreement shall be suspended for the period of time the condition continues to exist. During such suspension, this Agreement shall remain in effect. When the COOPERATOR is able to resume performance of its obligations under this Agreement, in whole or in part, it shall immediately give the DISTRICT written notice to that effect and shall resume performance no later than two (2) working days after the notice is delivered. The suspension of the COOPERATOR'S obligations provided for in this provision shall be the COOPERATOR'S sole remedy for the delays set forth herein.
5. **REPAYMENT.**

5.1 The COOPERATOR shall repay the DISTRICT all funds the DISTRICT paid to the COOPERATOR under this Agreement, if: a) the COOPERATOR fails to complete the PROJECT in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, including failing to meet the Measurable Benefit; b) the DISTRICT determines, in its sole discretion and judgment, that the COOPERATOR has failed to maintain scheduled progress of the PROJECT thereby endangering the timely performance of this Agreement; c) the COOPERATOR fails to appropriate sufficient funds to meet the task deadlines, unless extended in accordance with Subparagraph 1 of the Project Contacts and Notices Paragraph; or d) a provision or provisions of this Agreement setting forth the requirements or expectations of a Measurable Benefit resulting from the PROJECT is held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable during the term of this Agreement. Should any of the above conditions exist that require the COOPERATOR to repay the DISTRICT, this Agreement shall terminate in accordance with the procedure set forth in the Default Paragraph.

5.2 Notwithstanding the above, the parties acknowledge that if the PROJECT fails to meet the Measurable Benefit specified in this Agreement, the COOPERATOR may request the DISTRICT Governing Board to waive the repayment obligation, in whole or in part.

5.3 In the event the COOPERATOR is obligated to repay the DISTRICT under any provision of this Agreement, the COOPERATOR shall repay the DISTRICT within a reasonable time, as determined by the DISTRICT in its sole discretion.

5.4 The COOPERATOR shall pay attorneys' fees and costs incurred by the DISTRICT, including appeals, as a result of the COOPERATOR'S failure to repay the DISTRICT as required by this Agreement.

5.5 This Repayment Paragraph, including all subparagraphs, shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

6. **CONTRACT PERIOD.**

This Agreement shall be effective October 1, 2016 and shall remain in effect through December 31, 2016, or upon satisfactory completion of the PROJECT and subsequent reimbursement to the COOPERATOR, whichever occurs first, unless amended in writing by the parties. The COOPERATOR shall not be eligible for reimbursement for any work that is commenced, or costs that are incurred, prior to the effective date of this Agreement.

7. **PROJECT RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS.**

Upon request by the DISTRICT, the COOPERATOR shall permit the DISTRICT to examine or audit all PROJECT related records and documents during or following completion of the PROJECT at no cost to the DISTRICT. Payments made to the COOPERATOR under this Agreement shall be reduced for amounts found to be not allowable under this Agreement by an audit. If an audit is undertaken by either party, all
required records shall be maintained until the audit has been completed and all questions arising from it are resolved. Each party shall maintain all such records and documents for at least three (3) years following completion of the PROJECT. Each party shall allow public access to PROJECT documents and materials made or received by either party in accordance with the Public Records Act, Chapter 119, F.S. Should either party assert any exemption to the requirements of Chapter 119, F.S., the burden of establishing such exemption, by way of injunctive or other relief as provided by law, shall be upon the asserting party. This Paragraph shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

8. REPORTS.

8.1 The COOPERATOR shall provide the DISTRICT with a quarterly report describing the progress of the PROJECT tasks, adherence to the performance schedule and any developments affecting the PROJECT. The COOPERATOR shall promptly advise the DISTRICT of issues that arise that may impact the successful and timely completion of the PROJECT. Quarterly reports shall be submitted to the DISTRICT'S Contract Manager no later than forty-five (45) days following the completion of the quarterly reporting period. It is hereby understood and agreed by the parties that the term "quarterly" shall reflect the calendar quarters ending March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31.

8.2 Upon request by the DISTRICT, the COOPERATOR shall provide the DISTRICT with copies of all data, reports, models, studies, maps or other documents resulting from the PROJECT. Additionally, one (1) set, electronic and hardcopy, of any final reports must be submitted to the DISTRICT as Record and Library copies. This Subparagraph shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

8.3 The COOPERATOR shall provide the data, reports and documents referenced in this provision at no cost to the DISTRICT.

9. RISK, LIABILITY, AND INDEMNITY.

9.1 To the extent permitted by Florida law, the COOPERATOR assumes all risks relating to the PROJECT and agrees to be solely liable for, and to indemnify and hold the DISTRICT harmless from all claims, loss, damage and other expenses, including attorneys' fees and costs and attorneys' fees and costs on appeal, arising from the design, construction, operation, maintenance or implementation of the PROJECT; provided, however, that the COOPERATOR shall not indemnify for that portion of any loss or damages proximately caused by the negligent act or omission of the DISTRICT'S officers, employees, contractors and agents. The acceptance of the DISTRICT'S funding by the COOPERATOR does not in any way constitute an agency relationship between the DISTRICT and the COOPERATOR.

9.2 The COOPERATOR agrees to indemnify and hold the DISTRICT harmless, to the extent allowed under Section 768.28, F.S., from all claims, loss, damage and other expenses, including attorneys' fees and costs and attorneys' fees and costs on appeal, arising from the negligent acts or omissions of the COOPERATOR'S
officers, employees, contractors and agents related to its performance under this Agreement.

9.3 This Risk, Liability, and Indemnity Paragraph, including all subparagraphs, shall not be construed as a waiver of the COOPERATOR’S sovereign immunity or an extension of COOPERATOR’S liability beyond the limits established in Section 768.28, F.S. Additionally, this Risk, Liability, and Indemnity Paragraph, including all subparagraphs, will not be construed to impose contractual liability on the COOPERATOR for underlying tort claims as described above beyond the limits specified in Section 768.28, F.S., nor be construed as consent by the COOPERATOR to be sued by third parties in any manner arising out of this Agreement.

9.4 Nothing in this Agreement shall be interpreted as a waiver of the DISTRICT’S sovereign immunity or an extension of its liability beyond the limits established in Section 768.28, F.S., nor be construed as consent by the DISTRICT to be sued by third parties in any manner arising out of this Agreement.

9.5 This Risk, Liability, and Indemnity Paragraph, including all subparagraphs, shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

10. DEFAULT.

Either party may terminate this Agreement upon the other party’s failure to comply with any term or condition of this Agreement, including the failure to meet task deadlines established in this Agreement, as long as the terminating party is not in default of any term or condition of this Agreement at the time of termination. To effect termination, the terminating party shall provide the defaulting party with a written "Notice of Termination" stating its intent to terminate and describing all terms and conditions with which the defaulting party has failed to comply. If the defaulting party has not remedied its default within thirty (30) days after receiving the Notice of Termination, this Agreement shall automatically terminate. If a default cannot reasonably be cured in thirty (30) days, then the thirty (30) days may be extended at the non-defaulting party’s discretion, if the defaulting party is pursuing a cure of the default with reasonable diligence. The rights and remedies in this Paragraph are in addition to any other rights and remedies provided by law or this Agreement.

11. RELEASE OF INFORMATION.

The parties agree not to initiate any oral or written media interviews or issue press releases on or about the PROJECT without providing notices or copies to the other party no later than three (3) business days prior to the interview or press release. This Paragraph shall not be construed as preventing the parties from complying with the public records disclosure laws set forth in Chapter 119, F.S.

12. DISTRICT RECOGNITION.

The COOPERATOR shall recognize DISTRICT funding in any reports, models, studies, maps or other documents resulting from this Agreement, and the form of said recognition
shall be subject to DISTRICT approval. If construction is involved, the COOPERATOR shall provide signage at the PROJECT site that recognizes funding for this PROJECT provided by the DISTRICT. All signage must meet with DISTRICT written approval as to form, content and location, and must be in accordance with local sign ordinances.

13. **LAW COMPLIANCE.**

The COOPERATOR shall comply with all applicable federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and guidelines, including those of the DISTRICT, related to performance under this Agreement. If the PROJECT involves design services, the COOPERATOR'S professional designers and the DISTRICT'S regulation and projects staff shall meet regularly during the PROJECT design to discuss ways of ensuring that the final design for the proposed PROJECT technically complies with all applicable DISTRICT rules and regulations. However, the DISTRICT undertakes no duty to ensure compliance with such rules and regulations.

14. **DIVERSITY IN CONTRACTING AND SUBCONTRACTING.**

The DISTRICT is committed to supplier diversity in the performance of all contracts associated with DISTRICT cooperative funding projects. The DISTRICT requires the COOPERATOR to make good faith efforts to encourage the participation of minority owned and woman owned and small business enterprises, both as prime contractors and subcontractors, in the performance of this Agreement, in accordance with applicable laws.

14.1 If requested, the DISTRICT shall assist the COOPERATOR by sharing information to help the COOPERATOR in ensuring that minority owned and woman owned and small businesses are afforded an opportunity to participate in the performance of this Agreement.

15. **ASSIGNMENT.**

Except as otherwise provided in this Agreement, no party may assign any of its rights or delegate any of its obligations under this Agreement, including any operation or maintenance duties related to the PROJECT, without the prior written consent of the other party. Any attempted assignment in violation of this provision is void. This Paragraph shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

16. **CONTRACTORS.**

Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to create, or be implied to create, any relationship between the DISTRICT and any consultant or contractor of the COOPERATOR.

17. **THIRD PARTY BENEFICIARIES.**

Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to benefit any person or entity not a party to this Agreement.
18. **LOBBYING PROHIBITION.**

Pursuant to Section 216.347, F.S., the COOPERATOR is prohibited from using funds provided by this Agreement for the purpose of lobbying the Legislature, the judicial branch or a state agency.

19. **PUBLIC ENTITY CRIMES.**

Pursuant to Subsections 287.133(2) and (3), F.S., a person or affiliate who has been placed on the convicted vendor list following a conviction for a public entity crime may not submit a bid, proposal, or reply on a contract to provide any goods or services to a public entity; may not submit a bid, proposal, or reply on a contract with a public entity for the construction or repair of a public building or public work; may not submit bids, proposals, or replies on leases of real property to a public entity; may not be awarded or perform work as a contractor, supplier, subcontractor, or consultant under a contract with any public entity; and may not transact business with any public entity in excess of the threshold amount provided in Section 287.017, F.S., for Category Two, for a period of 36 months following the date of being placed on the convicted vendor list. The COOPERATOR agrees to include this provision in all contracts issued as a result of this Agreement.

20. **GOVERNING LAW.**

This Agreement is governed by Florida law and venue for resolving disputes under this Agreement shall be exclusively in Hernando County, Florida. This Paragraph shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

21. **SEVERABILITY.**

If any provision or provisions of this Agreement shall be held to be invalid, illegal, or unenforceable, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby. Notwithstanding the above, if a provision or provisions of this Agreement setting forth the requirements or expectations of a Measurable Benefit resulting from the PROJECT is held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable during the term of this Agreement, this Agreement shall terminate in accordance with Subparagraph 1 of the Repayment Paragraph. This Paragraph shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

22. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT.**

This Agreement and the attached exhibit(s) listed below constitute the entire agreement between the parties and, unless otherwise provided herein, may be amended only in writing, signed by all parties to this Agreement.
23. DOCUMENTS.

The following document(s) is/are attached and made a part of this Agreement. In the event of a conflict of contract terminology, priority shall first be given to the language in the body of this Agreement, then to Exhibit "A."

Exhibit "A" Project Plan

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto, or their lawful representatives, have executed this Agreement on the day and year set forth next to their signatures below.

SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

By: Mark A. Hammond, P.E. Date
    Director, Resource Management Division

CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG

By: Gary G. Cornwell Date
    City Administrator

Approved as to form: Attest: Chan Srinivasa

By: City Attorney (Designee) By: City Clerk

COOPERATIVE FUNDING AGREEMENT (TYPE 1) BETWEEN THE SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT AND CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG FOR CONSERVATION - ST. PETERSBURG TOILET REBATE PROGRAM - PHASE 16 (N819)
PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This PROJECT is a water conservation incentive program that will make available approximately 500 rebates to the COOPERATOR’S retail water customers up to a $100 per toilet. This will provide for the purchase and installation of a high efficiency toilet (HET) (1.28 gallons per flush) for residential accounts and an ultra-low flow toilet (ULFT) (1.6 gallons per flush) for commercial accounts that replaces a high-volume toilet installed prior to 1995.

The PROJECT will conserve an estimated 10,100 gallons per day if the appropriate number of rebates above are provided.

MEASURABLE BENEFIT

The implementation of the program and completion of the COOPERATOR’s final report in accordance with the requirements of this Agreement.

PROJECT TASKS

Key tasks to be performed by the COOPERATOR:

1. TOILET REBATES – The COOPERATOR shall ensure all HETs flush with no more than 1.28 gallons and ULFTs flush with no more than 1.6 gallons. Additionally, the COOPERATOR shall ensure that all toilets being replaced were installed prior to 1995 and provide for proper disposal of replaced toilets.

2. PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION/INSPECTION – The COOPERATOR shall ensure that all newly installed toilets are inspected prior to issuance of rebates and ensure proper disposal of replaced toilets. All inspections, at a minimum, shall include the following: 1) verification the toilet being replaced meets the qualification requirements for replacement and rebate under this Agreement; 2) Installed HET(s) must meet WaterSense criteria through the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA’s) WaterSense labeling Program; 3) inspection of newly installed toilets to ensure no evidence of leakage; and 4) observation of flush out of newly installed toilets to ensure proper operation and confirm the water level in the tank and bowl is consistent with the manufacturing standards.

3. PROMOTION AND EDUCATION – The COOPERATOR shall promote the PROJECT through marketing and interaction with the plumbing industry and direct utility customers. The COOPERATOR shall provide participants with educational materials on indoor water conservation, leak detection and proper maintenance practices specific to the flush volume of the new toilet to ensure the low volume toilets remain water conservative fixtures.

4. DRAFT/FINAL REPORTS – The COOPERATOR shall provide a draft final report and final report. The report shall contain the following information: 1) number of toilets installed and rebates issued; 2) full accounting of all funds expended under this
Agreement; 3) description of all public awareness efforts; 4) customer surveys to determine the satisfaction with the toilets and the PROJECT; 5) description of old toilet removal and disposal methods; 6) all pertinent information regarding the program findings, associated conclusions and recommendations for future programs; and 7) calculation of water savings based on number of participating residences.

**DELIVERABLES**

The COOPERATOR shall provide quarterly status reports, a draft final report and a final report. The final report shall be submitted with the final invoice.

**PROJECT SCHEDULE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>START DATE</th>
<th>END DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toilet Rebates, Program Administration, Inspection, Promotion and Education</td>
<td>March 1, 2017</td>
<td>March 1, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Final Report</td>
<td>June 1, 2018</td>
<td>July 31, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Report</td>
<td>August 1, 2018</td>
<td>September 1, 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROJECT BUDGET:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>COOPERATOR</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500 Toilet Rebates: up to $100.00 each</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Administration: 500 up to $55.00 each.</td>
<td>$13,750</td>
<td>$13,750</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Materials, Program Promotion, and Surveys. Includes: printing, assembly, &amp; postage.</td>
<td>$11,250</td>
<td>$11,250</td>
<td>$22,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PROJECT COSTS</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** In no instance will the rebate exceed the actual cost of the rebated toilet(s) and installation(s). The above costs and quantities are estimated pending vendor contract costs.
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

Meeting of June 15, 2017

REPORT

TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A resolution approving Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF SW Stormwater and Site Improvements FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17071-111; Oracle No. 15999) in an amount not to exceed $135,979; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute the Second Amendment to the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Haskell dated March 22, 2017, as amended, to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimate into the contract and modify other necessary sections; and providing an effective date.

EXPLANATION: On March 22, 2017 the City executed a Construction Manager Contract ("CM Contract") with The Haskell Company ("Haskell") in the amount of $6,824,372 for preconstruction and construction phase services for the capacity upgrades and related Projects at the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility ("SWWRF").

Haskell’s CM Contract includes preconstruction and construction services for Projects to increase treatment, disposal and onsite stormwater treatment capacity to handle peak wastewater and stormwater flows during wet weather events at SWWRF. The initial CM Contract included:

- Control Estimates for:
  - WRF SW Construction Manager FY17 (Engineering No. 17058-111; Oracle No. 15956)
    - Pre-Construction Services
    - Costs for Construction and Performance Bonds
  - WRF SW Capacity Upgrade FY17 (Engineering No. 16109-111; Oracle No. 15965)
    - Lift Station 2 By-Pass Lines
    - Cyclone Wasting System
    - Influent Equalization Storage & Piping
  - WRF SW New Injection Wells FY17 (Engineering No. 16110-111; Oracle No. 15838)
    - Pumps for injection Wells

On May 4, 2017, City Council approved the First Amendment to the CM Contract in the amount of $14,724,455 authorizing Haskell to provide construction services to continue design review, construction, and construction management services for the planned infrastructure improvements in the following areas:

- Control Estimates for:
  - WRF SW Construction Manager FY17 (Engineering No. 17058-111; Oracle No. 15956)
    - Continuing General Conditions Services from May 1, 2017 through December 31st, 2017
  - WRF SW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 16093-111; Oracle No. 15928)
    - Mechanical
  - WRF SW Capacity Upgrade FY17 (Engineering No. 16109-111; Oracle No. 15965)
    - Secondary Splitter Box
    - Coagulant Storage and Feed System
    - RAS Motors and VFDs
    - Chlorine Disinfection System
    - Headworks, LS2 By-Pass Lines
The Second Amendment to the CM Contract in the amount of $135,979 includes:

- Control Estimates for:
  - WRF SW Stormwater and Site Imps FY17 (Engineering No. 17071-111; Oracle No. 15999)
    - Installation of a property border retaining wall

The construction activities shall commence upon City Council's approval of Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF SW Stormwater and Site Improvements FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17071-111; Oracle No. 15999); the execution of the Second Amendment to the Haskell's CM Contract, and the issuance of a Notice to Proceed.

The table below provides details of the Contract Costs approved to date and the additional Control Estimate Costs (Second Amendment) with their respective funding source(s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Numbers</th>
<th>WRF SW New Filters FY17</th>
<th>WRF SW Capacity Upgrade FY17</th>
<th>WRF SW New Injection Wells FY17</th>
<th>WRF SW Construction Manager FY17</th>
<th>WRF SW Stormwater and Site Imps. FY17</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original Contract</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,595,138</td>
<td>$1,269,000</td>
<td>$562,234</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,426,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin/CEI Cost</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$215,000</td>
<td>$88,000</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$398,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,810,138</td>
<td>$1,357,000</td>
<td>$657,234</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,824,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment #1</td>
<td>$2,214,918</td>
<td>$7,093,039</td>
<td>$2,984,047</td>
<td>$2,432,451</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$14,724,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin/CEI Cost</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$(200,000)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>$2,214,918</td>
<td>$6,893,039</td>
<td>$2,984,047</td>
<td>$2,632,451</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$14,724,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment #2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Cost</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$135,979</td>
<td>$135,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin/CEI Cost</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$148,979</td>
<td>$148,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Funding Source</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>WRF &amp; Haskell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CONSTR. COST</td>
<td>$2,214,918</td>
<td>$11,703,177</td>
<td>$4,341,047</td>
<td>$3,289,685</td>
<td>$148,979</td>
<td>$21,697,806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Haskell Costs</td>
<td>$2,214,918</td>
<td>$11,688,177</td>
<td>$4,253,047</td>
<td>$2,994,685</td>
<td>$135,979</td>
<td>$21,286,806</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control Estimates are construction cost estimates and each estimate package includes an owner allowance. Haskell shall prepare bid packages and solicit quotes for various scopes of work included in each control estimate package.
RECOMMENDATION: Administration recommends City Council approve Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF SW Stormwater and Site Improvements FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17071-111; Oracle No. 15999) in an amount not to exceed $135,979; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute the Second Amendment to the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Haskell dated March 22, 2017, as amended, to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimate into the contract and modify other necessary sections.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), WRF SW Stormwater and Site Improvements FY17 Project (15999).

ATTACHMENTS: Resolution and Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF SW Stormwater and Site Improvements FY17 Project

APPROVALS: Administrative

Budget
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-____

A RESOLUTION APPROVING PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #1 FOR WRF SW STORMWATER AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17071-111; ORACLE NO. 15999) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $135,979; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA AND HASKELL DATED MARCH 22, 2017, AS AMENDED, TO INCORPORATE THE ABOVE REFERENCED PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE INTO THE CONTRACT AND MODIFY OTHER NECESSARY SECTIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") and The Haskell Company ("Haskell") executed a Construction Manager Contract ("Contract") on March 22, 2017 for Haskell to provide preconstruction and construction services for the Projects described in the Contract to increase treatment, disposal and onsite stormwater treatment capacity to handle peak wastewater and stormwater flows during wet weather events at the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility; and

WHEREAS, following execution of the Contract, the City authorized Haskell to (i) provide the preconstruction services in an amount not to exceed $399,734 and (ii) commence work on Project No. 16109-111 and Project No. 16110-111 pursuant to Partial Control Estimates attached to the Contract; and

WHEREAS, on May 4, 2017, City Council approved the First Amendment to the Contract to (i) incorporate additional Partial Control Estimates for Projects described in the Contract into the Contract, (ii) provide for reimbursement of the costs and premiums for bonds and insurance and (iii) modify other necessary sections of the Contract; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Contract (as amended), Haskell has submitted Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF SW Stormwater and Site Improvements FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17071-111; Oracle No. 15999); and

WHEREAS, the City and Haskell desire to execute the Second Amendment to the Contract (as amended) to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimate into the Contract (as amended) and modify other necessary sections.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF SW Stormwater and Site Improvements FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17071-111; Oracle No. 15999) in an amount not to exceed $135,979 is hereby approved.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute the Second Amendment to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimate into the Contract, provide and modify other necessary sections.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

APPROVALS:

[Signature]
City Attorney (designee)

[Signature]
Administration

FINAL-6/15/17 City Council
May 30, 2017

Mr. Brejesh Prayman  
Mr. Mike Ryle  
City of St. Petersburg  
MSC, 6th Floor  
One 4th St. N  
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Re: Construction Manager – City of St. Petersburg SWWRF Capacity Improvements  
Partial Control Estimate #1 for Project No. 17071-111: SWWRF Storm Water and Site Improvements (Land & Water Engineering Science)  
Sub-Project #1: SWWRF TEMPORARY Property Boarder Barrier Wall (Process Area 1)

Dear Mr. Prayman & Mr. Ryle:

At this time, Haskell has prepared Partial Control Estimate #1 for the Project No. 17071-111 SWWRF Storm Water and Site Improvements, which will improve storm water systems and provide a new barrier wall around the city’s property. The scope of work included under Project 17071-111 includes a Sub-Project #1 SWWRF property border retaining wall, as designed by Land & Water Engineering Science. At this time, the city staff, design engineer, Eckerd College and the Construction Manager have agreed to install a temporary retaining wall for the short term.

SCOPE:
The temporary scope of this sub-project generally includes the rental of 2100lf (+/-) concrete jersey barriers and placing visqueen (plastic barrier) in front of the wall to ensure it remains water tight during the rainy season. Additionally, Haskell will install “super silt fence” along the east and west ends of the property to direct water flows. Any clearing of trees or security fence removal will be completed by city forces.

SCHEDULE:
Based on receiving, funding approval by the City Council and a Notice to Proceed (NTP) on/before June 15, 2017, Haskell anticipates a Substantial Completion Date of July 31, 2017. This is based on city forces removing fence and trees by July 1, 2017.

SUMMARY OF PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #1 COSTS:

Total Partial Control Estimate #1 for Project No. 17071-111: $135,979

Sub-Project #1: Temporary Property Boarder Barrier Wall (Process Area 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Work</td>
<td>$116,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$11,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee</td>
<td>$7,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal for Sub-Project #1</td>
<td>$135,979</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This Control Estimate #1 is based upon the attached assumptions and clarification document; attached drawings developed jointly with city staff, Land & Water Engineering Science, and Haskell; and field investigations conducted by Haskell personnel.

Should you have any questions regarding this Partial Control Estimate #1 or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at (317) 790-8239.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Robert E. Bruner, PE, DBIA
Project Director - Water

Cc: John French, Haskell
    Richard Moore, Haskell
    Matt Gilstrap, Haskell

Attachments:
Clarifications Documents
Drawings/Sketches used for Pricing
Estimate Summary
Detailed Estimate
Sub-Project: SWWRF TEMP Property Border Retaining Wall (Process Area 1)

Division 1

1.01 This project consists of constructing a temporary water retaining property border wall along 3 sides of the SWWRF property.

1.02 Estimated costs for a TEMPORARY WALL are based on the drawing developed jointly by City Staff, Land & Water Engineering Science, and Haskell.

1.03 This estimate is based on a 70 hour per week construction schedule, starting as soon as Notice to Proceed (NTP) is issued. Work will continue until completed.

1.04 American Iron and Steel Act does not apply to this work

1.05 Davis-Bacon wages do not apply to this work.

Division 2 – Site work/Demolition

2.01 It is assumed that no undercut of existing materials will be required to install temporary barriers.

2.02 It is assumed that the temporary barrier wall along the south side will be installed within the 10’ easement on Eckerd College’s property.

2.03 Based on direction provided by the City, the following work is to be completed by City Staff prior to Haskell or its subcontractor beginning work on the temporary retaining wall:
   A. Removal of the existing perimeter security fencing complete (if required).
   B. Removal / Clearing of existing trees and shrubs complete within 8’ of the wall line (including dump fees)
   C. Survey layout (if required)

2.04 Replacement of any asphalt, retaining wall or items owned by Eckerd College is not included.
# THE HASKELL COMPANY

**ESTIMATE - Temporary Boarder Wall**

5/30/2017  8:49 AM

---

**PROJECT:** St. Pete SWWRF Capacity Improvements  
Project 17071-111 Storm Water & Site Improvements  

---

### Storm Water & Site Improvements

---

#### Temporary Boarder Wall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QTY</th>
<th>U/M</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2100</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Install Rental Jersey Barriers (6 months rental only)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>7466.16</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>42,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0 $ 49,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>Prep subgrade</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>3555.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0 $ 3,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780</td>
<td>Ton</td>
<td>Install Stone Base &amp; Fill Material</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3555.32</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>24,076.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0 $ 28,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>60 mil Visqueen Install</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>3555.32</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>1,070.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0 $ 4,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>Sandbags</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>2133.19</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>1,070.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0 $ 3,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Fence Modifications / Temp Connections</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>1137.70</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>1,070.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0 $ 2,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Install Super Silt Fence</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EA</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2100</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Remove Temp Wall</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3733.08</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2,247.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0 $ 5,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EA</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EA</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0 $</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

#### EQUIPMENT

| 0.33 | MTH  | 40 TON RT CRANE                          | 12,500 | 4,125 | $ 4,125 |
| 1    | WK   | D-3 DOZER                                 | 2,000  | 2,000 | $ 2,000 |
| 1    | WK   | Wheal Loader ($4000/mo)                   | 1,500  | 1,500 | $ 1,500 |
| 0    | MTH  | Trench Roller ($1200/mo)               | 1,200  | 0 $ |
| 0    | MTH  | Compressor ($1500/mo)                  | 1,600  | 0 $ |
| 0.5  | MTH  | Equipment Fuel                           | 2,000  | 1,000 | $ 1,000 |
| 0    | DLR  | ET&I                                      | 113    | 0 $ |

---

### TOTAL DIRECT COST ESTIMATE

- Sub-total: $25,580 | $71,632 | $17,625 | $114,837
- Small Tools ($3/MH): $2,169
- Total Direct Cost: $116,996

### CONTINGENCY (10%)

- Total Estimated Cost: $128,695

### CM FEE (5.75%)

- Total Estimated Cost: $135,979
SWWRF Temporary Retaining Wall Plan

South side located within Eckerd College Easement

East side located inside SVWRF fence

Fill Low Area with fill material (-1' prior to installing Super Silt Fence)

42" Super Silt Fence (high strength woven geotextile chain link backed)

Temporary Jersey Barrier Wall with 40mil visqueen for watertight barrier

Pump Low Area with fill material (-1' prior to installing Super Silt Fence)
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

Meeting of June 15, 2017

REPORT

TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A resolution approving Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) in an amount not to exceed $291,500 (for a total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) not to exceed $4,185,011); approving Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927) in an amount not to exceed $847,842 (for a total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927) not to exceed $1,962,955); authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute the Third Amendment to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and PCL Construction Inc. dated April 17, 2017, as amended, and modify other necessary sections of such contract; rescinding unencumbered appropriations from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), in the amount of $350,000 from the FAC Energy Efficiency Improvements FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15807) and in the amount of $78,000 from the LAB Improvements FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15805); approving supplemental appropriations from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), resulting from the above rescissions, in the amount of $291,500 to the WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) and in the amount of $136,500 to the WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927); and providing an effective date.

EXPLANATION: On April 17, 2017, the City executed a Construction Manager Contract ("CM Contract") with PCL Construction Inc. ("PCL") for preconstruction and construction services for the Projects described in the CM Contract to increase treatment, disposal and capacity to handle peak wastewater flows during wet weather events at the Northwest Water Reclamation Facility.

The initial CM Contract included:

- Control Estimates for:
  - WRF NW Construction Manager FY17 (Engineering No. 17063-111; Oracle No. 15960)
    - Pre-Construction Services
  - WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926)
    - Filter Piping Construction

On May 18, 2017, City Council approved the First Amendment to the CM Contract, which incorporated Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) and (ii) Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927). The First Amendment included:

- Control Estimates for:
  - WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926)
    - UG/AG Piping and Appurtenances Installation
    - Backwash System
    - Pump Station and Piping Installation
    - Concrete structure for filtration system
    - Influent and Effluent tie in at Existing Filter Structure
o WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927)
  • Procurement of Effluent Disposal Pumps
  • Acidization of IW-1 and IW-2

On June 1, 2017, City Council approved the Second Amendment to the CM Contract, which incorporated Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF NW Construction Manager FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17063-111; Oracle No. 15960). The Second Amendment included:

• Control Estimates for:
  o WRF NW Construction Manager FY17 (Engineering No. 17063-111; Oracle No. 15960)
    • Continuing General Conditions Services from May 1, 2017 through August 31st, 2017
    • Bonds (based on $20M)
    • Builders Risk/GL Insurances

This Third Amendment to the CM Contract in the amount of $1,139,342 includes:

• Control Estimates for:
  o WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926)
    • Electrical Work for Effluent Filtration Improvements
  o WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927)
    • Pump and Pipe Install

The table below provides details of the Contract Costs approved to date and the additional Control Estimate Costs (Third Amendment) with their respective funding source(s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WRF NW New Filters FY17</th>
<th>WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17</th>
<th>WRF NW Construction Manager FY17</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Numbers</td>
<td>17052-111; 15926</td>
<td>17053-111; 15927</td>
<td>17063-111; 15960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Original Contract</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Cost</td>
<td>$2,251,253</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$407,175</td>
<td>$2,658,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin/CEI Cost</td>
<td>$341,176</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$496,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>$2,592,429</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>$457,175</td>
<td>$3,154,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amendment #1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Cost</td>
<td>$1,642,258</td>
<td>$1,115,113</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,757,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin/CEI Cost</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>$1,642,258</td>
<td>$1,115,113</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,757,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amendment #2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Cost</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,021,752</td>
<td>$2,021,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin/CEI Cost</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,021,752</td>
<td>$2,021,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amendment #3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Cost</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin/CEI Cost</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,021,752</td>
<td>$2,021,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Cost</td>
<td>$291,500</td>
<td>$847,842</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,139,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin/CEI Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SubTotal</strong></td>
<td>$291,500</td>
<td>$847,842</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,139,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funding Source</strong></td>
<td>WRF $500</td>
<td>WRF $711,342</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>WRF $711,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appr. $291,500</td>
<td>Appr. $136,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>Appr. $428,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL CONSTR. COST</strong></td>
<td>$4,526,187</td>
<td>$2,067,955</td>
<td>$2,478,927</td>
<td>$9,073,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total PCL Costs</strong></td>
<td>$4,185,011</td>
<td>$1,962,955</td>
<td>$2,428,927</td>
<td>$8,576,893</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control Estimates are construction cost estimates and each estimate package includes an owner allowance. PCL shall prepare bid packages and solicit quotes for various scopes of work included in each control estimate package.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Administration recommends City Council approving Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) in an amount not to exceed $291,500 (for a total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) not to exceed $4,185,011); approving Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927) in an amount not to exceed $847,842 (for a total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927) not to exceed $1,962,955); authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute the Third Amendment to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and PCL Construction Inc. dated April 17, 2017, as amended, and modify other necessary sections of such contract; rescinding unencumbered appropriations from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), in the amount of $350,000 from the FAC Energy Efficiency Improvements FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15807) and in the amount of $78,000 from the LAB Improvements FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15805); approving supplemental appropriations from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), resulting from the above rescissions, in the amount of $291,500 to the WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) and in the amount of $136,500 to the WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927).

**COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION:** A portion of the funding has been previously appropriated in the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003) in the amount of $711,342 in the WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927). Additional funding will be available after the rescission of unencumbered appropriations from the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003) in the amount of $350,000 from the FAC Energy Efficiency Improvements FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15807) and in the amount of $78,000 from the LAB Improvements FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15805) and the approval of supplemental appropriations from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), resulting from the above rescissions, in the amount of $291,500 to the WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) and in the amount of $136,500 the WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927).

**ATTACHMENTS:** Resolution
Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project
Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project

**APPROVALS:**
FINAL
Administrative

Budget
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-___

A RESOLUTION APPROVING PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #3 FOR WRF NW NEW FILTERS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17052-111; ORACLE NO. 15926) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $291,500 (FOR A TOTAL PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRF NW NEW FILTERS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17052-111; ORACLE NO. 15926) NOT TO EXCEED $4,185,011); APPROVING PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #2 FOR WRF NW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17053-111; ORACLE NO. 15927) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $847,842 (FOR A TOTAL PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRF NW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17053-111; ORACLE NO. 15927) NOT TO EXCEED $1,962,955); AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE THIRD AMENDMENT TO INCORPORATE THE ABOVE REFERENCED PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATES INTO THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA AND PCL CONSTRUCTION INC. DATED APRIL 17, 2017, AS AMENDED, AND MODIFY OTHER NECESSARY SECTIONS OF SUCH CONTRACT; RESCINDING UNENCUMBERED APPROPRIATIONS FROM THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4003), IN THE AMOUNT OF $350,000 FROM THE FAC ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENTS FY17 PROJECT (ORACLE NO. 15807) AND IN THE AMOUNT OF $78,000 FROM THE LAB IMPROVEMENTS FY17 PROJECT (ORACLE NO. 15805); APPROVING SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4003), RESULTING FROM THE ABOVE RESCISSIONS, IN THE AMOUNT OF $291,500 TO THE WRF NW NEW FILTERS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17052-111; ORACLE NO. 15926) AND IN THE AMOUNT OF $136,500 TO THE WRF NW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17053-111; ORACLE NO. 15927); AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") and PCL Construction, Inc. ("PCL") executed a Construction Manager Contract on April 17, 2017, for PCL to provide preconstruction and construction services for the Projects described in the contract to increase treatment, disposal and capacity to handle peak wastewater flows during wet weather events at the Northwest Water Reclamation Facility; and

WHEREAS, following execution of the contract, the City authorized PCL to (i) provide the preconstruction services in an amount not to exceed $407,175 and (ii) commence work on WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) pursuant to a Partial Control Estimate attached to the contract; and
WHEREAS, on May 18, 2017, City Council approved the First Amendment to the contract, which incorporated Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) and (ii) Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) into the contract; and

WHEREAS, on June 1, 2017, City Council approved the Second Amendment to the contract which incorporated Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF NW Construction Manager FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17063-111; Oracle No. 15960) for PCL’s extended general conditions for a four month duration (May 1 through August 31) and the not to exceed amount for the premiums and costs for bond and insurance into the contract; and

WHEREAS, the Construction Manager Contract dated April 17, 2017, as amended by the First Amendment and Second Amendment (including all the contract documents) is referred to as the “Contract”; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Contract, PCL has submitted (i) Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) and (ii) Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927); and

WHEREAS, the City desires to execute the Third Amendment to the Contract with PCL to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into the Contract and modify other necessary sections of the Contract.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) in an amount not to exceed $291,500 (for a Total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) not to exceed $4,185,011) is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) in an amount not to exceed $847,842 (for a Total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) not to exceed $1,962,955) is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute the Third Amendment to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and PCL Construction Inc. dated April 17, 2017, as amended, and modify other necessary sections of such contract.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the appropriation in the amount of $350,000 in the Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003) from the FAC Energy Efficiency Improvements FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15807) is hereby rescinded.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the appropriation in the amount of $78,000 in the Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003) from the LAB Improvements FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15805) is hereby rescinded.

BE IT FURTHER RESOVED that there are hereby approved from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003), resulting from the above rescissions, the following supplemental appropriations for Fiscal Year 2017:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15926)</td>
<td>$291,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15927)</td>
<td>$136,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

APPROVALS:

City Attorney (designee)  Administration

Budget  FINAL - 6/15/17
June 1, 2017

Mr. Brejesh Prayman
Mr. Mike Ryle
City of St. Petersburg
MCS, 6th Floor
One 4th St. N
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Re: Construction Manager – City of St. Petersburg NWWRF Wet Weather Capacity Upgrades
Partial Control Estimate #2 for Project 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements

Sub-Project #1 Effluent Disposal Pumps: Diesel Driven Effluent Disposal Pump Procurement Only
Sub-Project #2 Well Acidization: Acidization of IW-1 and IW-2
Sub-Project #3 Pump and Piping Install: Install Pumps, Electrical Systems, Piping, Valves and Accessories

Dear Mr. Prayman & Mr. Ryle,

PCL Construction, Inc. has prepared a Partial Control Estimate #2 for Project 17053-111 Reclaimed Water and Injection Wells Improvement, which is designed to provide additional effluent disposal capacity and, in conjunction with Project 17052-111 NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements, will allow for improved filtration treatment capacity along with the additional effluent disposal capacity at the City of St. Petersburg’s NWWRF.

Based on the 100% design information that is currently available, this Partial Control Estimate #2 is based on discussions and design data developed between CH2M, the City of St. Petersburg and PCL staff in order to determine an anticipated scope for the NWWRF wet weather capacity upgrades project. PCL has included all design, preliminary, and conceptual level information that was utilized to prepare this partial control estimate.

This Partial Control Estimate #2 is for the installation of the pumps, electrical system, concrete/stone pads, piping, valves and accessories for the effluent disposal system at the City of St. Petersburg NWWRF.
A future Control Estimate is anticipated to encompass the remaining scope of work that is non-critical to the functional completion of the project. This is anticipated to include but is not limited to: Additional Sitework, Instrumentation & Controls, Paint and Coatings, Landscape, and any other items necessary to provide a functional and integrated Effluent Disposal Pump Station System.

The details for the procurement of the effluent disposal piping and the installation of the pumps, electrical system, piping, valves and accessories are defined below.

**Effluent Disposal Pumps, Electrical System, Concrete/Stone Pads, Piping, Valve and Accessory Installation**

**SCOPE:**
The scope of this project is comprised of items identified and provided by the 100% design drawings developed by CH2M, PCL and City of St. Petersburg staff and will provide a Temporary Effluent Disposal Pump Station System to the existing plant infrastructure that will potentially help add disposal capacity during the upcoming wet weather season.

The estimated pricing is for the procurement of piping, valves and accessories as well as the installation of the electrical system, concrete work, pump pad, pumps, piping, valves and accessories as defined below:

**Effluent Disposal Suction Piping:** Furnish and install piping, valves and accessories for the suction from the Chlorine Contact Tank to the Effluent Disposal pump suction

**Fuel Piping:** Furnish and install piping, valves and accessories from the fuel system for the Effluent Disposal pumps as shown the 100% drawings provided by CH2M

**Pump, Header and Well Pads:** Furnish and install the crushed stone pump pad, the discharge header concrete pad, the monitoring well concrete pad and the injection well concrete pad as shown the 100% drawings provided by CH2M

**Effluent Disposal Discharge Piping:** Furnish and install piping, valves and accessories from the Effluent Disposal pump discharge to the new Injection Well

**Monitoring Well Piping:** Furnish and install piping, valves and accessories from the new monitoring well to the sanitary manhole as shown the 100% drawings provided by CH2M

**PCL CONSTRUCTION, INC.**
3810 Northdale Blvd. Suite 160 Tampa, FL 33624
813.425.1440 Phone ~813.961.1575 Fax
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Minorities and Women Encouraged
Electrical System: Furnish and install the electrical system as shown on the 100% drawings provided by CH2M

SCHEDULE:
Based on receiving a 100% design from CH2M on May 19th, and the anticipated completion of the Injection Well Subcontract being mid to late August 2017, the projected functional completion for this sub-project would be late August 2017.

Sub-project Timeframe:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final CH2M Design</td>
<td>May 19th, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Council Approval</td>
<td>June 15th, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL PO's Issued</td>
<td>June 19th, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabrication and Delivery (2-4 weeks)</td>
<td>July 17th, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piping Installation (4-5 weeks)</td>
<td>August 18th, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantial Completion to be at a later date</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUMMARY OF PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #2 PRICING:

Sub-Project #1 Effluent Disposal Pumps: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements – Diesel Driven Effluent Disposal Pump Procurement Only (PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$740,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$37,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$46,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Sub-Project #1</td>
<td>$823,815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-Project #2 Well Acidization: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements – Acidification of IW–1 and IW–2 (PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$274,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$16,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Sub-Project #2</td>
<td>$291,298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sub-Project #3 Pump and Piping Install: Install Pumps, Electrical Systems, Concrete/Stone Pads, Piping, Valves and Accessories

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$727,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$72,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$47,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Sub-Project #2</td>
<td>$847,842</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Partial Control Estimate for Project No. 17053-111: $1,962,955

Previously Approved Control Estimate #1: <$1,115,113>

Total Request for Funding Partial Control Estimate #2: $847,842

This Partial Control Estimate #2 is based upon the attached clarifications and assumptions document, attached billable rate matrix, attached drawings and/or sketches provided by the applicable engineer, and preliminary field investigations conducted by PCL personnel.

If you have any questions regarding this Partial Control Estimate #2 or need additional information, please feel free to contact me at (727) 224-1933.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Richard Hewitt
Area Manager - Water Infrastructure Group

Cc: Tom O'Donnell
    Mauricio Ramos
    Craig Yakubow
    Shawn Britton
    Andrew Fransoz
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Attachments:  
Budget Estimate  
Clarifications and Assumptions Document  
Billable Rate Matrix  
Drawings and Specification used for Pricing
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Div 01</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SMALL Tools &amp; Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Small Test Annuities</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Transistor Annuities</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SITE WORK</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Site Fence</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Asphalt Payment Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SITE WORK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Asphalt Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Asphalt Payment Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SITE WORK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Asphalt Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Asphalt Payment Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>SITE WORK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Asphalt Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Asphalt Payment Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>SITE WORK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Asphalt Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Asphalt Payment Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>SITE WORK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Asphalt Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Asphalt Payment Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>SITE WORK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Asphalt Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Asphalt Payment Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>SITE WORK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Asphalt Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Asphalt Payment Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>SITE WORK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Asphalt Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Asphalt Payment Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>SITE WORK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Asphalt Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Asphalt Payment Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>SITE WORK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Asphalt Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Asphalt Payment Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>SITE WORK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Asphalt Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Asphalt Payment Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>SITE WORK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Asphalt Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Asphalt Payment Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>CAPEX</td>
<td>OPEX</td>
<td>Equipment/Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Cuttings - Backfill</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Base Gravel</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inst - 4 Pumps</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Pump Inst - 4 Pumps</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Discharge</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Pump Discharge</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2&quot; HOSE Male Fittings</td>
<td>6 EA/SET</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/2&quot; HOSE Male Fittings</td>
<td>6 EA/SET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>Material, Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236</td>
<td>Pump Discharge</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>28.78</td>
<td>43.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>SS Well Header</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>28.78</td>
<td>43.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238</td>
<td>3/4&quot; Flanged Connections</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>108.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239</td>
<td>Lift</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>98.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>BOP Pumps</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>31.30</td>
<td>93.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241</td>
<td>Compressor, 150 cfm</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>37.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242</td>
<td>247 HP Bonded Motor</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>27.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243</td>
<td>SS Well Header</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>63.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244</td>
<td>Pipe &amp; Monitoring Well</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>7.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245</td>
<td>Pipe</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>64.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246</td>
<td>Pipe, 3/4&quot; Steel Line</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>247</td>
<td>Steel Pipe Install</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>1,705.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248</td>
<td>Fuel Line</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>10.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249</td>
<td>Fuel Line</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>19.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>Fuel Line, 3/4&quot; Steel Line</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>34.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>34.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>34.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254</td>
<td>Total for 17053-111 Sub Project 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Price of Work and Material**: $737,137

**Contingency 10%**: $70,714

**Construction Manager Fee 5%**: $47,409

**Total for 17053-111 Sub Project 3**: $847,842
Clarifications and Assumptions:

The following clarifications and assumptions are based on information currently available from the City of St. Petersburg and their representative consultants. These clarifications and assumptions are applicable to the Partial Control Estimate #2 and for the NWWRF Wet Weather Capacity Improvement Projects (17053-111 Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements):

1. The price assumes that construction and testing water, and electrical consumption during construction, startup and testing through commissioning is assumed to be available for PCL's use at no cost. The price excludes all costs for initial fill of chemicals, fuel, and expendables for startup, testing and commissioning.

2. PCL is not responsible for any Geotechnical Subsurface reports or studies, none have been provided by the City or its Consultants at this time. With no geotechnical information having been provided at this time, it is assumed that the native materials will be suitable for pipe bedding and backfill without processing. It is also assumed that the native material is suitable for all structural backfill.

3. Any costs associated with unidentified subsurface conditions encountered during the course of the construction will not be the liability of PCL and will be reflected in future Control Estimates.

4. Pipe pricing is based on discussions and preliminary drawings provided by the city and its associated consultants. It is understood that the piping configuration may change and this will reflect in future Control Estimates.

5. Any additional changes in the scope of work that may occur by owner/consultant request from the current 100% design progressing to final construction completion will be reflected in future Control Estimates.

6. PCL will provide the City of St. Petersburg a copy of our general Site Safety Program.

7. Both parties shall agree to comply with the mutual parties safety programs.

8. PCL will comply with all site security requirements that are in place.

9. PCL will not be responsible for EPA Storm Water maintenance.

10. It is assumed that site dewatering can be discharged to the existing onsite storm water management structure.

11. The price includes completion of application for all applicable permits with the exception of the Storm Water Pollution permit, NPDES, and Wastewater Discharge permit. All actual permit fees or required inspections will be billed.
12. PCL will only be responsible for site clean-up and restoration in the immediate work areas and storage areas that will be used for the completion of the scope of work described.

13. This control estimate does not include any budgetary pricing for hazardous materials. Any hazardous materials encountered at the site of the Projects will be governed by section 10.3 of the A201 – 2007 AIA Contract Document.

14. It is assumed that plant access roads can be shut down for installation of construction work with reasonable notice to the owner.

15. PCL assumes that the shutdown of the existing filter #5 is acceptable for construction of the effluent filter connection structure with reasonable notice to the owner.

16. PCL assumes that the shutdown and bypass of the existing fine screening facility is acceptable for construction of the influent filter connection with reasonable notice to the owner.

17. Any potential contractors that are currently onsite or that will be onsite, are to be instructed by the city to not store any and/or move all material, equipment and temporary facilities from the areas of work required for the Wet Weather Capacity Improvements Projects.

18. If the City or any of its subcontractors plan shutdowns and/or closures they will notify PCL prior to that scope of work commencing.

19. The following scope of work and any associated management costs are not included in this Control Estimate:

- Instrumentation and Controls
- Start-up and Commissioning
- Service water
- Paint and Coatings
- Landscaping
- Any Additional Changes in Scope by Owner/Consultant request

This scope of work will be provided in future Control Estimates.
City of St. Petersburg

NWWRF Wet Weather Capacity Upgrades
PCL Construction, Inc.

***Construction Phase***

All construction management, labor and equipment will be charged at the billable rates per this Rate Matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Salary Management Staff</th>
<th>Billable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager</td>
<td>$ 137 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>$ 125 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Project Superintendent</td>
<td>$ 127 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Superintendent</td>
<td>$ 114 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Engineer</td>
<td>$ 102 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary Foreman</td>
<td>$ 97 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Estimator</td>
<td>$ 115 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduler</td>
<td>$ 100 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIM Manager</td>
<td>$ 115 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D Staff Modeler</td>
<td>$ 83 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSE Manager</td>
<td>$ 112 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Diversity Manager</td>
<td>$ 74 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>$ 48 /hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Hourly Craft Labor Staff</th>
<th>Billable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Foreman</td>
<td>$ 86 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe Layer</td>
<td>$ 64 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled Laborer</td>
<td>$ 40 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unskilled Laborer</td>
<td>$ 33 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreman Carpenter</td>
<td>$ 52 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>$ 45 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metalworker</td>
<td>$ 46 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Buster (Reinforcement Steel)</td>
<td>$ 43 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Finisher</td>
<td>$ 47 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forklift Operator</td>
<td>$ 38 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backhoe Operator</td>
<td>$ 52 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavator/Dozer Operator</td>
<td>$ 65 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane Operator</td>
<td>$ 72 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigger</td>
<td>$ 69 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck (Tandem) Operator</td>
<td>$ 44 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanic (Tools/Equipment)</td>
<td>$ 47 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welder</td>
<td>$ 79 /hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
City of St. Petersburg  
**NWWRF Wet Weather Capacity Upgrades**  
PCL Construction, Inc.  

***Construction Phase***

All construction management, labor and equipment will be charged at the billable rates per this Rate Matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Equipment</th>
<th>Billable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>349 Excavator</td>
<td>$ 276.28 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>329 Excavator</td>
<td>$ 165.99 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320 Excavator</td>
<td>$ 153.55 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini Excavator</td>
<td>$ 28.32 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310J Backhoe</td>
<td>$ 42.42 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950K Loader</td>
<td>$ 109.62 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>938K Loader</td>
<td>$ 94.35 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>928K Loader</td>
<td>$ 70.60 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 Dozer</td>
<td>$ 82.60 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60T Crane</td>
<td>$ 185.42 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90T Crane</td>
<td>$ 341.15 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12K Forklift</td>
<td>$ 97.02 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10K Forklift</td>
<td>$ 70.10 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9K Forklift</td>
<td>$ 64.50 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6K Forklift</td>
<td>$ 57.43 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60' Aerial Boom Lift</td>
<td>$ 43.71 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40' Aerial Boom Lift</td>
<td>$ 70.61 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180cfm Compressor</td>
<td>$ 18.34 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable Air Compressor</td>
<td>$ 11.50 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable Generator</td>
<td>$ 6.15 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14&quot; Gas Demo Saw</td>
<td>$ 3.29 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&quot; Submersible Pump</td>
<td>$ 4.48 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&quot; Trash Pump</td>
<td>$ 9.42 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8&quot; Deawtering Pump</td>
<td>$ 35.75 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900# Plate Tamp</td>
<td>$ 15.15 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trench Compactor</td>
<td>$ 50.33 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 X 2 Pickup</td>
<td>$ 14.17 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Trailer</td>
<td>$ 4.84 /hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
June 1, 2017

Mr. Brejesh Prayman
Mr. Mike Ryle
City of St. Petersburg
MCS, 6th Floor
One 4th St. N
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Re: Construction Manager – City of St. Petersburg NWWRF Wet Weather Capacity Upgrades

Partial Control Estimate #3 for Project 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements

Sub-Project #1 Existing Plant Services: Existing Filter Media Removal/Replacement & Sound Attenuation for Well Drilling

Sub-Project #2 Disk Filtration System: UG/AG Piping Procurement

Sub-Project #3 Effluent Filtration Improvements: UG Piping & Appurtenances Installation, AG Mechanical Piping & Appurtenances Installation, Backwash System, Pump Station & Piping Installation, Filtration System Concrete Structure & Appurtenances Installation, Structural & Miscellaneous Metals Installation, Influent & Effluent Tie-Ins at Existing Filter Structure and Installation of Owner Supplied Disc Filters

Sub-Project #4 Effluent Filtration Improvements: Electrical Work for Effluent Filtration Improvements

Dear Mr. Prayman & Mr. Ryle,

PCL Construction, Inc. has prepared the Partial Control Estimate #3 for Project 17052-111 NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements. This project is designed to improve filtration treatment capacity and in conjunction with Project 17053-111 Reclaimed Water and Injection Well Improvement, will allow for additional effluent disposal capacity at the City of St. Petersburg’s NWWRF.

Based on the 100% design information that is currently available, this Partial Control Estimate #3 is based on discussions and design data developed between AECOM, the City of St. Petersburg and PCL staff in order to determine an anticipated scope for the NWWRF wet weather capacity upgrades project. PCL has
included all design, preliminary and conceptual level information that was utilized to prepare this partial control estimate.

A future Control Estimate is anticipated to encompass the remaining scope of work that will be discussed with the City and AECOM. This remaining scope of work is non-critical to the functional completion of the project and is anticipated to include but is not limited to: Instrumentation & Controls, Paint and Coatings, Landscape and any other items necessary to provide a functional and integrated Effluent Filtration System.

This Partial Control Estimate #3 for Project 17052-111 includes work related to the scope identified above. The details of the individual components are defined below.

**Effluent Filtration Improvements**

**SCOPE:**
The scope of this project is comprised of items identified and provided by the 100% design drawings developed by AECOM, PCL and City of St. Petersburg staff which will provide an Effluent Disc Filtration System to existing plant infrastructure that will potentially help add filtration and disposal capacity during the upcoming wet weather season.

**Electrical:** Furnish and Install all electrical work to provide a complete functional system as shown on the 10% drawings provided by AECOM.

**SCHEDULE:**

Project 17052-111 NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements Anticipated Construction Timeframe:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Council Approval (Electrical)</td>
<td>June 15(^{th}), 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue Subcontract</td>
<td>June 16(^{th}), 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe Delivery</td>
<td>June 2(^{nd}), 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disc Filter Delivery</td>
<td>June 9(^{th}), 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin Electrical Scope of Work</td>
<td>June 19(^{th}), 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG Pipe Installation</td>
<td>June 30(^{th}), 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Installation</td>
<td>July 28(^{th}), 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter Installation</td>
<td>August 14(^{th}), 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG Pipe Installation</td>
<td>August 17(^{th}), 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated Completion</td>
<td>August 30(^{th}), 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantial Completion to be at a later date</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
3810 Northdale Blvd. Suite 160 Tampa, Fl 33624
813.425.1440 Phone ~813.961.1575 Fax
An Equal Opportunity Employer
Minorities and Women Encouraged
SUMMARY OF PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #3 PRICING:

Sub-Project #1 Existing Plant Services: Existing Filter Media Removal/Replacement & Sound Attenuation for Well Drilling
(PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$464,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work not performed</td>
<td>($299,463)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price of Remaining Work</td>
<td>$164,623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$9,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Sub-Project #1</td>
<td>$174,501</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-Project #2 Disk Filtration System: UG/AG Piping Procurement
(PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$1,659,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$99,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Sub-Project #2</td>
<td>$1,759,322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$1,680,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$168,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$110,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Sub-Project #3</td>
<td>$1,959,688</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-Project #4 Effluent Filtration Improvements: Electrical Work for Effluent Filtration Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$16,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Sub-Project #4</td>
<td>$291,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Total Partial Control Estimate for Project No. 17052-111: $4,502,441

Previously Approved Control Estimate #1: <$2,251,253>

Work not performed under Sub-Project #1: <$317,430>

Previously Approved Control Estimate #2: <$1,642,258>

Total Request for Funding Partial Control Estimate #3: $291,500

This Partial Control Estimate #3 is based upon the attached clarifications and assumptions document, attached drawings and/or sketches provided by the applicable engineer, attached conceptual sketches and field investigations conducted by PCL personnel.

If you have any questions regarding this Partial Control Estimate #3 or need additional information, please feel free to contact me at (727) 224-1933.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Richard Hewitt
Area Manager – PCL Water Infrastructure Group

Cc: Tom O'Donnell
    Mauricio Ramos
    Craig Yakubow
    Shawn Britton
    Andrew Franosz

Attachments: Budget Estimate
              Clarifications and Assumptions Document
              Billable Rate Matrix
              Drawings and Specification used for Pricing
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Labor</th>
<th>Material Total</th>
<th>Subtotal</th>
<th>Equipment, Tools</th>
<th>Set-Off</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ELECTRICAL</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>250.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>250.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Electrical Subcontract for Det Filter Package</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>250.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>250.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ELECTRICAL</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>250.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>250.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Price of Work and Material: $250,000
Contingency 10%: $25,000
Construction Manager Fee (6%): $16,500
Total for 17052-111 SP4 Electrical: $291,500
CITY OF ST PETERSBURG NWWRF CM WET WEATHER CAPACITY IMPROVEMENTS
PROJECT

Clarifications and Assumptions:

The following clarifications and assumptions are based on information currently available from the City of St Petersburg and their representative consultants. These clarifications and assumptions are applicable to the provided Partial Control Estimate #3 and for the NWWRF Wet Weather Capacity Improvement Projects (17052-111 Effluent Filter Improvements):

1. The price assumes that construction and testing water, and electrical consumption during construction, startup and testing through commissioning is assumed to be available for PCL’s use at no cost. The price excludes all costs for initial fill of chemicals, fuel, and expendables for startup, testing and commissioning.

2. PCL is not responsible for any Geotechnical Subsurface reports or studies, none have been provided by the City or its Consultants at this time. With no geotechnical information having been provided at this time, it is assumed that the native materials will be suitable for pipe bedding and backfill without processing. It is also assumed that the native material is suitable for all structural backfill.

3. Any costs associated with unidentified subsurface conditions encountered during the course of the construction will not be the liability of PCL and will be reflected in future Control Estimates.

4. Pipe pricing is based on discussions and preliminary drawings provided by the city and its associated consultants. It is understood that the piping configuration may change and this will reflect in future Control Estimates.

5. Any additional changes in the scope of work that may occur by owner/consultant request from the current 100% design progressing to final construction completion will be reflected in future Control Estimates.

6. PCL will provide the City of St Petersburg a copy of our general Site Safety Program.

7. Both parties shall agree to comply with the mutual parties safety programs.

8. PCL will comply with all site security requirements that are in place.

9. PCL will not be responsible for EPA Storm Water maintenance.

10. It is assumed that site dewatering can be discharged to the existing onsite storm water management structure.

11. The price includes completion of application for all applicable permits with the exception of the Storm Water Pollution permit, NPDES, and Wastewater Discharge permit. All actual permit fees or required inspections will be billed.
12. PCL will only be responsible for site clean-up and restoration in the immediate work areas and storage areas that will be used for the completion of the scope of work described.

13. This control estimate does not include any budgetary pricing for hazardous materials. Any hazardous materials encountered at the site of the Projects will be governed by section 10.3 of the A201 – 2007 AIA Contract Document.

14. It is assumed that plant access roads can be shut down for installation of construction work with reasonable notice to the owner.

15. PCL assumes that the shutdown of the existing filter #5 is acceptable for construction of the effluent filter connection structure with reasonable notice to the owner.

16. PCL assumes that the shutdown and bypass of the existing fine screening facility is acceptable for construction of the influent filter connection with reasonable notice to the owner.

17. Any potential contractors that are currently onsite or that will be onsite, are to be instructed by the city to not store any and/or move all material, equipment and temporary facilities from the areas of work required for the Wet Weather Capacity Improvements Projects.

18. If the City or any of its subcontractors plan shutdowns and/or closures they will notify PCL prior to that scope of work commencing.

19. The following scope of work and any associated management costs are not included in this Control Estimate:
   - Instrumentation and Controls
   - Start-up and Commissioning
   - Service water
   - Paint and Coatings
   - Landscaping
   - Any Additional Changes in Scope by Owner/Consultant request

   This scope of work will be provided in future Control Estimates.
City of St. Petersburg  
**NWWRF Wet Weather Capacity Upgrades**  
PCL Construction, Inc.

***Construction Phase***

All construction management, labor and equipment will be charged at the billable rates per this Rate Matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Salary Management Staff</th>
<th>Billable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager</td>
<td>$137 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>$125 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Project Superintendent</td>
<td>$127 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Superintendent</td>
<td>$114 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Engineer</td>
<td>$102 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary Foreman</td>
<td>$97 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Estimator</td>
<td>$115 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduler</td>
<td>$100 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIM Manager</td>
<td>$115 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D Staff Modeler</td>
<td>$83 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSE Manager</td>
<td>$112 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Diversity Manager</td>
<td>$74 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>$48 /hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Hourly Craft Labor Staff</th>
<th>Billable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Foreman</td>
<td>$86 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe Layer</td>
<td>$64 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled Laborer</td>
<td>$40 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unskilled Laborer</td>
<td>$33 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreman Carpenter</td>
<td>$52 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>$45 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metalworker</td>
<td>$46 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Buster (Reinforcement Steel)</td>
<td>$43 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Finisher</td>
<td>$47 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forklift Operator</td>
<td>$38 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backhoe Operator</td>
<td>$52 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavator/Dozer Operator</td>
<td>$65 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane Operator</td>
<td>$72 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigger</td>
<td>$69 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck (Tandem) Operator</td>
<td>$44 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanic (Tools/Equipment)</td>
<td>$47 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welder</td>
<td>$79 /hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
City of St. Petersburg

NWWRF Wet Weather Capacity Upgrades
PCL Construction, Inc.

***Construction Phase***

All construction management, labor and equipment will be charged at the billable rates per this Rate Matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Equipment</th>
<th>Billable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>349 Excavator</td>
<td>$276.28 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>329 Excavator</td>
<td>$165.99 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320 Excavator</td>
<td>$153.55 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini Excavator</td>
<td>$28.32 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310J Backhoe</td>
<td>$42.42 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950K Loader</td>
<td>$109.62 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>938K Loader</td>
<td>$94.35 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>928K Loader</td>
<td>$70.60 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 Dozer</td>
<td>$82.60 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60T Crane</td>
<td>$185.42 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90T Crane</td>
<td>$341.15 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12K Forklift</td>
<td>$97.02 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10K Forklift</td>
<td>$70.10 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9K Forklift</td>
<td>$64.50 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6K Forklift</td>
<td>$57.43 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60' Aerial Boom Lift</td>
<td>$43.71 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40' Aerial Boom Lift</td>
<td>$70.61 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180cfm Compressor</td>
<td>$18.34 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable Air Compressor</td>
<td>$11.50 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable Generator</td>
<td>$6.15 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14&quot; Gas Demo Saw</td>
<td>$3.29 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&quot; Submersible Pump</td>
<td>$4.48 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&quot; Trash Pump</td>
<td>$9.42 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8&quot; Deawtering Pump</td>
<td>$35.75 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900# Plate Tamp</td>
<td>$15.15 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trenh Compactor</td>
<td>$50.33 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 X 2 Pickup</td>
<td>$14.17 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Trailer</td>
<td>$4.84 /hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GENERAL NOTES:

1. GENERAL NOTES IS BASED ON THE DATE SHOWN AND MAY BE SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

2. ALL MEASUREMENTS AND DIMENSIONS ARE SHOWN IN "". ALL MEASUREMENTS AND DIMENSIONS ARE SHOWN IN "". USE THE DATE SHOWN AND MAY BE SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

3. CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT A CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMANCE TO THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG.

4. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

5. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

6. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

7. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

8. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

9. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

10. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

11. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

12. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

13. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

14. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

15. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

16. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

17. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

18. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

19. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

20. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

21. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

22. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

23. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

24. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

25. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

26. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

27. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

28. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

29. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

30. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CABLE TRAY DETAILS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL
Meeting of June 15, 2017

TO
The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT
A Resolution by City Council superceding Resolution 2017-316 to allow the Chair to appoint up to three members to the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area (CRA) Grant Review Committee, while retaining the remaining substantive items approved in Resolution 2017-316.

RECOMMENDATION
City Administration recommends City Council approve the attached Resolution.

BACKGROUND

On May 18, 2017, City Council approved Resolution 2017-316, which among other items established the South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Review Committee, comprised of three City Council members from Districts 5, 6 and 7, as well as four members of the Citizen Advisory Committee for the South St. Petersburg CRA. The other items approved by Res. 2017-316 include

1) Creating a grant scoring system for the Grant Review Committee to evaluate and rank applications in order to prioritize awardees and then make recommendations to City Council who will then approve the final awards;

2) Amending the grant scoring system to exclude applicants with a felony conviction for financial mismanagement within the past five years and adding 34th Street South as a “Priority Commercial Corridor”;

3) Creating guidelines for administering “emergency reserve” fund; and

4) Developing a pilot program allowing a limited number of awardees to be reimbursed after completion of project phases, instead of project completion, as is currently required.

Since that approval City Administration and City Council have recognized that conflicting schedules may prevent some or all of District 5, 6 and/or 7 City Council members from attending the Grant Review Committee meetings and that providing for flexible membership by Councilmembers on the Committee is desirable. This problem can be resolved by allowing the City Council Chair to appoint as an alternate any Councilmember to the Committee in the event that a Councilmember from Districts 5, 6, or 7 is unable to attend the Committee meetings.
Approving the proposed resolution which supercedes Res. 2017-316 will only impact the composition requirements for City Council to be members of the Grant Review Committee. The other items approved by City Council in Res. 2017-316 delineated above in items (1) through (4) have been incorporated into the proposed resolution and will remain in effect.

RECOMMENDATION

City Administration recommends City Council APPROVE the attached resolution.

Resolution: Establishing a grant review committee and approving a CRA grant scoring system
NO. 2017 - ___

A RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG APPROVING THE 1) ESTABLISHMENT OF THE SOUTH ST. PETERSBURG COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AREA (CRA) GRANT REVIEW COMMITTEE; 2) THE SOUTH ST. PETERSBURG CRA GRANT SCORING AND EVALUATION SYSTEM; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg City Council approved Resolution No. 2013-247, on June 20, 2013, which made a finding of necessity identifying the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area (CRA) as blighted, pursuant to Florida’s Community Redevelopment Act of 1969 (Chapter 163, Part III);

WHEREAS, on October 3, 2013, the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners (BCC) accepted the City’s findings of necessity pursuant to Resolution No. 13-186, and on June 9, 2014, approved Resolution 14-43, which delegated certain powers to the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg in order to carry out certain redevelopment functions within the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area, as defined therein (CRA);

WHEREAS, pursuant to City of St. Petersburg Resolution No. 2014-296, the City Council accepted such redevelopment powers on July 10, 2014;

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg City Council approved a Community Redevelopment Plan (Plan) for the South St. Petersburg CRA on May 21, 2015 (Ord. #169-H), which included establishment of a tax increment financing district and redevelopment trust fund for the entire 7.4-sq.mi. South St. Petersburg CRA;

WHEREAS, the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners approved the Plan on June 2, 2015 (Res. #15-48) and delegated authority to the City of St. Petersburg to establish a redevelopment trust fund for the entire South St. Petersburg CRA;

WHEREAS, the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners approved on June 23, 2015, Ord. #15-27 establishing a redevelopment trust fund for the entire South St. Petersburg CRA;

WHEREAS, a major strategy of the South St. Petersburg Plan is to direct the vast majority of revenues generated from the South St. Petersburg tax increment financing district to provide direct assistance for private investment in residential and non-residential redevelopment in the form of grants, loans, property tax abatements or other vehicles that help leverage capital from diverse sources;

WHEREAS, the South St. Petersburg Plan also envisions providing funding assistance to governmental and non-profit entities that provide array of services supporting the
intent of the redevelopment plan, including marketing and promotion, business assistance and loans, workforce development and job readiness;

WHEREAS, at its August 11, 2016, public meeting the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg requested a more transparent review process for grant awards funded from the South St. Petersburg CRA redevelopment trust fund;

WHEREAS, the South St. Petersburg Plan requires the Citizen Advisory Committee for the South St. Petersburg CRA and the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency to make recommendations on these programs to City Council before approval;

WHEREAS, City Administration recommended to the Committee of the Whole at their meetings on December 1, 2016, and March 2, 2017, the establishment of a South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Review Committee, with a range of options for membership composition;

WHEREAS, at the December 1, 2016, Committee of the Whole meeting, City Administration recommended the creation of a South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System to assist the Grant Review Committee and the COW approved of the approach, while directing Administration to make various changes;

WHEREAS, at the January 10, 2017, meeting of the Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) for the South St. Petersburg CRA, City Administration presented the idea for the Grant Review Committee and the Grant Scoring System for later action by the CAC;

WHEREAS, the Committee of the Whole at its March 2, 2017, meeting voted unanimously on a motion for the Grant Review Committee to be comprised of three members of City Council (Districts 5, 6, and 7) and four members of the Citizen Advisory Committee for the South St. Petersburg CRA;

WHEREAS, at the same March 2, 2017, meeting, the Committee of the Whole voted unanimously to require that all South St. Petersburg CRA grants recommended by the Grant Review Committee, regardless of dollar amount, would go to City Council for final approval;

WHEREAS, at its March 14, 2017, meeting, the CAC for the South St. Petersburg CRA recommended approval of a Grant Review Committee comprised of three members of City Council (Districts 5, 6, and 7) and four members of the Citizen Advisory Committee for the South St. Petersburg CRA; the requirement that City Council have final approval of the CRA grant awards recommended by the Grant Review Committee; and the use of the Grant Scoring System; and

WHEREAS, at its May 18, 2017, public meeting, the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency recommended that the St. Petersburg City Council approve a Grant
WHEREAS, City Administration and the St. Petersburg City Council recognize that conflicting schedules may prevent some or all of District, 5, 6, and 7 Councilmembers from attending the Grant Review Committee meetings and that providing for flexible membership by Councilmembers on the Committee is advisable; and

WHEREAS, at its June 15, 2017, public meeting, the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency recommended that the St. Petersburg City Council approve a revision to the Grant Review Committee procedures that allows the City Council Chair to appoint as an alternate any Councilmember to the Committee in the event that a Councilmember from any of Districts 5, 6, and/or 7 is unable to attend the Committee meetings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the St. Petersburg City Council does hereby approve the establishment of the South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Review Committee to be comprised of three members of City Council (Districts 5, 6, and 7) and four members of the Citizen Advisory Committee for the South St. Petersburg CRA.

NOW, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the St. Petersburg City Council does hereby empower the City Council Chair to appoint as an alternate any Councilmember to the Committee in the event that a Councilmember from any of Districts 5, 6, and/or 7 is unable to attend the Committee meetings.

NOW, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the South St. Petersburg Grant Review Committee shall make recommendations on awards of CRA grant funding to the St. Petersburg City Council, which shall have final approval on the awards.

NOW, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the St. Petersburg City Council does hereby approve the South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System, subject to amendments that 1) exclude applicants that have a felony conviction for financial mismanagement within the past five years; and 2) add 34th Street South as a Priority Commercial Corridor (see Exhibit 1).

NOW, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the St. Petersburg City Council does hereby enable the South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Review Committee to approve revisions to the scoring system as may be necessary to ensure the efficient administration of the program.

NOW, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the St. Petersburg City Council does hereby authorize Administration to create guidelines for reviewing grant applications to the emergency reserve fund with such guidelines to be approved by the South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Review Committee.
NOW, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Resolution No. 2017-316 is superceded by this resolution.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Passed by St. Petersburg City Council in regular session on the 15th day of June, 2017.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:  

APPROVED BY:

[Signature]
City Attorney (Designee)

[Signature]
Dave Goodwin, Director
Planning and Economic Development
Exhibit 1

CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System
South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area
The South St. Petersburg Redevelopment Plan calls for revitalizing the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area (CRA) by promoting reinvestment in housing and neighborhoods, commercial corridors, business development, education and workforce development and nonprofit capacity building. This will be accomplished by utilizing tax increment financing to leverage and incentivize private investment in the CRA through public-private partnerships. This approach is explicitly encouraged by Florida Statutes which states that any county or municipality, to the greatest extent it determines to be feasible in carrying out the provisions of this part, shall afford maximum opportunity, consistent with the sound needs of the county or municipality as a whole, to the rehabilitation or redevelopment of the community redevelopment area by private enterprise.

However, private enterprise is hindered in South St. Petersburg by the lack of access to capital from financial institutions. This reality was a recurring theme from the CRA community during the preparation of the Redevelopment Plan and is a complaint borne out by Community Reinvestment Act (Act) data gathered by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC). Capital access for these businesses in the CRA is essential today because total amounts in the CRA for small loans (less than $100,000) have dropped from $11.3 million for 1,087 loans in 2007 to $5.5 million for 438 loans in 2015. Low income small business owners saw an even greater 75% drop in total loan volume over the same period.

To facilitate the revitalization of South St. Petersburg by private enterprise and provide capital for financially-strapped businesses, the City has developed a matching grant program for businesses, property owners and housing developers. By incentivizing private investment by existing property owners and businesses, the South St. Petersburg approach ensures that development is organic and incremental, not imposed from above and disruptive to the community.

The South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Program

The South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Program is funded by tax increment financing revenue from the South St. Petersburg Redevelopment Trust Fund. It is designed to ensure that public funds are efficiently, equitably and transparently distributed to assist businesses and property owners in the revitalization of the CRA.

This intent is implemented through the South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Review Committee and the CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System. The Review Committee is comprised of three City Council members from District 5, District 6 and District 7 as well as four members of the Citizen

1 The Act was passed by the United States Congress in 1977 and seeks to prevent “redlining” of poor neighborhoods by federally insured financial institutions. The FFIEC is the compliance agency and collects information on lending for both businesses and home mortgages.
Overview of the CRA Scoring and Evaluation System

The Grant Committee will use the “CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System” that follows to evaluate and rank applications according to their consistency with the primary goals and objectives of the South St. Petersburg Redevelopment Plan, which is to remedy physical and social contributors to blight and poverty in the CRA.

The scoring criteria that begins on page 6 For the purposes of the “CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System”, Administration focused on strategies from the Action Plan (Chapter Four) and Redevelopment Program (Chapter 5) to show the linkages between the details of the grant scoring system and the Redevelopment Plan, which provides broad policy statements on its intents. (The relevant page number of the Redevelopment Plan is included.)

BLIGHT REMOVAL AND CODES

Improving the investment climate of a place by removing blight and enhancing its image and identity is the essential charge of the Community Redevelopment Act. Enhancing the CRA’s appearance will take many forms, both in improving its physical qualities and marketing the opportunities, assets and successes that South St. Petersburg has and will have during the life of the Redevelopment Program... Physical improvement strategies for the CRA will include TIF funding programs for façade and site improvements for both residential and non-residential properties. The main commercial corridors within the CRA will be a particular focus for this effort because they represent the front-doors to most neighborhoods and their appearance will drive or reduce investment (page 46).

CORRIDOR REVITALIZATION

- Create a shared commercial parking program along the CRA’s primary corridors to facilitate reuse and expansion of space-constrained buildings unable to meet the City’s parking standards (page 35).

- Pursue adaptive reuse or redevelopment of old motels and vacant and underutilized buildings (page 35).

- Promote the adaptive reuse of historic buildings whose zoning is inappropriate for the historic use and character of the building (page 35).

- To reduce conflicts between commercial development and surrounding neighborhoods through improved site planning utilize site design techniques, lighting and sound attenuation,
landscaping, fencing and other means to provide adequate buffers and protections from surrounding residential properties (page 36).

- Work with owners along primary commercial corridors in the CRA to maintain and upgrade their properties (page 36).

- Introduce multi-family housing and mixed uses at strategic locations to buffer single-family residential neighborhoods from more intense uses (page 40).

- Continue to support increased residential densities along the CRA’s primary commercial corridors to promote affordability and improved transit access for CRA residents (page 40).

**BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT (PAGE 32)**

- Maintain and expand support services to start-ups and entrepreneurs, especially women and minority enterprises, through the Greenhouse in business plan development, feasibility analysis, marketing, mentoring and networking opportunities (page 32).

- Increase outreach and continue to connect South St. Petersburg businesses with sources of technical assistance, such as the Greenhouse, CareerSource, and the Department of Labor Job Corps facility to improve small businesses’ access to capital and labor pools (page 32).

- Study expanding the SBE Certification program to include the certification and utilization of minority and women business enterprises and disadvantaged business enterprises to remove barriers to participation for these firms in redevelopment projects, contracts and procurement of goods and services associated with the Redevelopment Plan (page 32).

- Provide small business incentives for renovations, façade improvements, new development funding and additional infrastructure improvements (page 33).

**ENVIRONMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY (PAGE 31)**

- Continue the City’s Brownfields program by identifying properties where redevelopment is hindered by perceived/real environmental contamination and providing all available assistance to ensure remediation.

**EDUCATION, JOB READINESS AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT (PAGE 38 & 39)**

- Partner with St. Petersburg and Pinellas County employers to invest in skills gap closing projects to accelerate promotions and hiring

- Collaborate and fund work readiness programs with post-secondary schools that move impoverished parents and young adults into certification and training and degree programs.
- Support organizations such as Pinellas Schools and the Pinellas Education Foundation to increase enrollment of struggling students in high school career academies.

- Provide funding to accredited workforce development providers such as Pinellas Technical Education Center, St. Petersburg College and Career Source to train residents of South St. Petersburg for entry into the workforce as well as later career opportunities.

- Collaborate with existing providers and/or develop other research-based or evidence-based pre-school initiatives designed to improve the school readiness of children in the South St. Petersburg CRA.

- The Redevelopment Plan will utilize a portion of the tax increment to enhance the capacity of early education, work readiness and workforce development providers to improve “Cradle to Career” opportunities. These programs are necessary to ensure that the Redevelopment Plan not only revitalizes South St. Petersburg as a place but also increases the economic prospects of the people living there allowing them to remain in the neighborhood without being displaced by successful redevelopment efforts (page 50).

**Affordable Housing**

- Continue to support increased residential densities along the CRA’s primary commercial corridors to promote affordability and improved transit access for CRA residents (page 40).

- Increase the supply of affordable housing for both homeownership and rental opportunities (page 40)

- Affordable housing is serious concern in South St. Petersburg, where 55% of all households and 73% of renters pay more than 30 percent of their monthly income for housing costs. The City will continue to work with developers, nonprofits and federal, state and county agencies to provide affordable single-family and multi-family housing to families below 120 percent of the area’s median income. The City will use TIF to assist renovation of existing multifamily and single-family units and build new multifamily developments as well as assist programs that provide single-family homeownership opportunities (page 48).

**Rehabilitate Multifamily Units (page 40)**

- Continue and expand financial incentives for upgrading the energy efficiency of residential units to reduce the monthly cost of housing for CRA residents

- Continue and expand the funding levels of City rehabilitation programs, such as Home Repair, Barrier Free, and Emergency Repair loans, through the TIF Incentive Program.

- Continue to support increased residential densities along the CRA’s primary commercial corridors to promote affordability and improved transit access for CRA residents.
- Provide incentives for developers of market rate housing in the South St. Petersburg CRA.

- Assist rental residential property owners in making substantial renovations to their properties.

**MAINTAIN/IMPROVE NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER AND IDENTITY (PAGE 41)**

- Survey neighborhoods throughout the South St. Petersburg CRA to determine their eligibility for listing as districts on the National Register of Historic Places.

- Continue to work with property owners to identify historic landmarks within the CRA and promote their preservation through tax incentives and other programs.

**STAR Communities Rating System**

At the December 1, 2016, Council of the Whole workshop, Councilmember Rice requested that the grant ranking system attempt to align with the objectives of the City’s “STAR Community Initiative”. The STAR Community Rating System is the nation’s first comprehensive framework and certification program for evaluating local sustainability, encompassing economic, environmental and social performance measures. STAR was developed for local governments by local governments. In December 2016, the City of St. Petersburg was awarded the Certified 3-STAR Community Rating for sustainability leadership. The community received 381.7 points out of the available 720 points. A Certified 4-STAR rating requires a minimum 400 point score.

The STAR Community Rating System is organized around the 7 thematic Goal Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Built Environment</th>
<th>Achieve livability, choice, and access for all where people live, work, and play</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Climate and Energy</td>
<td>Reduce climate impacts through adaptation and mitigation efforts and increase resource efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, Arts and Community</td>
<td>Empower vibrant, educated, connected, and diverse communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy and Jobs</td>
<td>Create equitably shared prosperity and access to quality jobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity and Empowerment</td>
<td>Ensure equity, inclusion, and access to opportunity for all citizens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Safety</td>
<td>Strengthen communities to be healthy, resilient and safe places for residents and businesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Systems</td>
<td>Protect and restore the natural resource base upon which life depends</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Within the seven Goal Areas are 5 to 7 objectives by which communities are able to measure the progress across all areas. The CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System best aligns with the objectives in the following goal areas:

**Built Environment**
- **COMPACT AND COMPLETE COMMUNITIES**: Concentrate development in compact, human-scaled, walkable centers and neighborhoods that connect to transit, offer diverse uses and services, and provide housing options for families of all income levels.
- **HOUSING AFFORDABILITY**: Construct, preserve, and maintain an adequate and diverse supply of location-efficient and affordable housing options for all residents.
- **INFILL AND REDEVELOPMENT**: Focus new growth in infill areas and an redevelopment that does not require the extension of water, sewer, and road infrastructure or facilitate sprawl.

**Climate and Energy**
- **RESOURCE EFFICIENT BUILDINGS**: Improve the energy and water efficiency of the community's residential, commercial, and institutional building stock.

**Economy and Jobs**
- **BUSINESS RETENTION AND DEVELOPMENT**: Foster economic prosperity and stability by retaining and expanding businesses with support from the business community.
- **LOCAL ECONOMY**: Create an increasingly self-reliant community through a robust local economy with benefits shared by all.
- **QUALITY JOBS AND LIVING WAGES**: Expand job opportunities that support upward economic mobility and provide sufficient wages so that working people and their families can afford a decent standard of living.

**Education, Arts and Community**
- **HISTORIC PRESERVATION**: Preserve and reuse historic structures and sites to retain local, regional, and national history and heritage, reinforce community character, and conserve resources.

**FY2017 CRA Budget for the Grant Program**

On May 4, 2017, City Council approved the CRA budget for FY2017, committing approximately $507,210 in the Business Development and Job Creation category. From this total was subtracted $100,000 for uses not related to the CRA grant program, which leaves more than $411,000 available for applicants to the Commercial Site Improvement Grant and Commercial Building Interior and Tenant Improvement Grant programs. Another $121,920 was approved by City Council in the Housing and Neighborhood Revitalization category for applicants to the Multifamily Residential Property Improvement Grant program.

The City began marketing these and other grant programs the week of April 10, 2017.
## Grant Application Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed and signed application form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation that project will require site plan and/or building permit approval. (Mandatory)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copy of current business tax certificate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation that mortgage payments are current and in good standing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation that property insurance payments are current and in good standing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation that property tax payments are current and in good standing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation of property ownership or written consent from property owner giving permission to conduct the identified improvements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal description and survey of project site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed/existing use is consistent with the City’s Land Development Regulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed/existing use is a nonconforming use.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed/existing use is a grandfathered.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital photographs of existing conditions of the project site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sketches or conceptual drawings of improvements that will be funded by the Grant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written description of project improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction estimates from a licensed contractor.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signed commitment to provide affordable housing in accordance with City requirements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Nonresidential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aesthetics, Blight Removal &amp; Codes Compliance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project involves both improvements to site, such as landscaping, parking and lighting as well as to principal building.</td>
<td>• Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will provide improvements on street-facing elevation of building. These can include storefront windows, painting, lighting, etc.</td>
<td>• Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will remedy code violations identified by City’s Codes Compliance Department.</td>
<td>• Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replaces nonconforming or grandfathered use with one permitted by City ordinances.</td>
<td>• Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will rehabilitate a structure(s) deemed vacant and boarded by the Codes Compliance Dept.</td>
<td>• Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will improve handicapped accessibility by adding ramp, widening entrances, and/or providing an enhanced parking space (resurfacing and striping, landscaping, or relocation).</td>
<td>• Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed work is on a locally designated historic landmark.</td>
<td>• STAR: Historic Preservation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Neighborhood Character</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If parking lot only, project meets or exceeds City LDRs for landscaping, drive aisle widths, stormwater retention, etc. City zoning staff will provide a letter confirming proposal meets or exceeds.</td>
<td>• Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has taken at least one class at the City’s Greenhouse.</td>
<td>• Business Develop.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• STAR: Business Ret. &amp; Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• STAR: Local Economy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Nonresidential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Applicant(s) has used a contractor certified with the City’s Small Business Enterprise program for the grant application’s construction estimates. | • *Business Develop.*  
• *STAR: Business Ret.* & Development  
• *STAR: Local Economy*                     | 5         |               |

### Application Subtotal
## Nonresidential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Investment and Financial Character</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of prior private investment by applicant in South St. Petersburg CRA within the last 5 years without City assistance. Includes investment in construction and equipment, which must be documented with submission of invoices and receipts and construction value information from Construction Services.</td>
<td>[ STAR: Local Economy ]</td>
<td>5 to 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000 to $25,000 – 5 points</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 to 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 to $50,000 – 10 points</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 to $75,000 – 15 points</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+$75,000- 20 points</td>
<td></td>
<td>20 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has code enforcement liens on property subject to grant in excess of $2,500.</td>
<td>[ Council Request ]</td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has special assessment liens on property subject to grant over $500.</td>
<td>[ Council Request ]</td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has mortgage payments three months in arrears on property subject to grant.</td>
<td>[ Council Request ]</td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has unpaid property taxes on property subject to grant.</td>
<td>[ Council Request ]</td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has unpaid property insurance on property subject to grant.</td>
<td>[ Council Request ]</td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Application Subtotal
# Nonresidential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Location</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located along a Priority Commercial Corridor selected by the CAC for the given grant cycle. For FY2017, the &quot;Priority Corridors&quot; are - Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. St. South - 16th Street South - 49th Street (Gulfport boundary to CRA north boundary) - 18th Avenue South - 34th Street South</td>
<td>- Blight Removal/ Codes - Corridor Rev. - STAR: Compact Communities - STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will revitalize a property identified as an actual source of contamination by the City's Brownfields Assessment Grant survey that will be conducted in the CRA through 2020.</td>
<td>- Blight Removal - Environment &amp; Sustainability - STAR: Compact Communities - STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located within Deuces Live or Grand Central Florida Main Street Districts.</td>
<td>- Corridor Rev. - Neighborhood Character - STAR: Compact Communities - STAR: Infill and Redevelopment - STAR: Historic Preservation</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located on same block and/or block face as a project completed since July 2016, or with an approved building permit.</td>
<td>- Corridor Rev. - STAR: Compact Communities - STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located at the intersection of arterial and/or collector roadways as identified by the City’s Official Street map.</td>
<td>- Corridor Rev - STAR: Compact Communities - STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Application Subtotal**
## Nonresidential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustainability and Green Building Practices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>15</strong></td>
<td><strong>6%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project has had a free energy assessment from Duke</td>
<td>• STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy and will implement at least one recommendation.</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will incorporate energy efficient practices</td>
<td>• STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>including energy efficient interior and exterior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lighting where applicable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will use water conservation programs and</td>
<td>• STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>practices like low flow toilets/fixtures, free water-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>efficient spray valves for restaurants, and other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high efficiency appliances such as Energy Star.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Application Subtotal
**Nonresidential Grant Applications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Project will add new commercial or industrial space, either through a change of use or a new addition | Business Develop.  
Corridor Dev.  
STAR: Business Ret. & Development  
STAR: Local Economy | 10 |  |
| Up to 2,500 SF – 5 points  
2,500 to 5,000 SF - 7  
>5,000 SF – 10 points | | | |
| Project will enable the re-occupancy of previously vacant/boarded space. | Blight Removal/ Codes  
Business Develop.  
Corridor Dev.  
STAR: Compact Communities  
STAR: Infill and Redevelopment | 10 |  |
| Project will enable shared parking facilities along a Primary Commercial Corridor in the CRA. (A “shared” parking facility is one allowing employees or customers from businesses on other properties to park.) | Business Dev.  
Corridor Rev.  
STAR: Compact Communities  
STAR: Infill and Redevelopment | 10 |  |
| Project will renovate a “non-chain” commercial use for which there are no other establishments in Pinellas County. | Business Develop.  
Corridor Dev.  
STAR: Business Ret. & Development  
STAR: Local Economy | 10 |  |
| Project will enable a nonconforming use to continue. | Blight Removal/ Codes | -20 to 0 |  |
| Project will enable a grandfathered use to continue. | Blight Removal/ Codes | -5 to 0 |  |
| Project will adaptively reuse/redevelop old motel complexes in the CRA. | Blight Removal/ Codes  
Corridor Rev.  
STAR: Infill and Redevelopment | 10 |  |
## Nonresidential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Applicant(s) is an owner-occupied business that has been in business within the CRA for two or more years as of July 19, 2017. | • Business Develop.  
• STAR: Business Ret. & Development  
• STAR: Local Economy | 15        |              |
| Applicant(s) has been in business at current location for two or more years as of July 19, 2017. | • Business Develop.  
• STAR: Business Ret. & Development  
• STAR: Local Economy | 10        |              |
| Applicant(s) will provide a social service(s) to the CRA such as child care, early childhood education, and workforce development. | • Education & Workforce  
• STAR: Business Ret. & Development  
• STAR: Local Economy | 10        |              |
| Project is for a building that serves as a live-work space in a mixed use district, where the funding for the work space cannot be clearly differentiated from the rest of the building. | • Blight Removal/ Codes | -10 to 0  |              |
| Request is for work on a new building, or a building that has been expanded or substantially renovated since July 2011. | • Blight Removal/ Codes | -10 to 0  |              |

### Application Subtotal
## File Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File #</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Award Request</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Point Totals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aesthetics, Blight Removal and Code Compliance</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Investment and Financial Character</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability and Green Building Practices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Total Application Score

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Residential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Goals Met</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aesthetics, Blight Removal &amp; Code Compliance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Project involves both improvements to site, such as landscaping, parking and lighting as well as to principal building. | ▪ Blight Removal/ Codes  
▪ MF Rehab                                             | 10                    |              |
| Project will provide improvements on street-facing elevation of building. These can include storefront windows, painting, lighting, courtyard entrance to multifamily dwellings, etc. | ▪ Blight Removal/ Codes  
▪ MF Rehab                                             | 5                     |              |
| Project will remedy code violations identified by City’s Codes Compliance Department. | ▪ Blight Removal/ Codes                                                | 10        |              |
| Project will rehabilitate a structure(s) deemed vacant and boarded by Codes Compliance Dept. | ▪ Blight Removal/ Codes  
▪ MF Rehab                                             | 10        |              |
| Replaces nonconforming/ grandfathered use with one permitted by City ordinances.     | ▪ Blight Removal/ Codes  
▪ MF Rehab                                             | 10        |              |
| Project will improve handicapped accessibility by adding ramp, widening entrances, and/or providing an enhanced parking space (resurfacing and striping, landscaping, or relocation). | ▪ Blight Removal/ Codes  
▪ MF Rehab                                             | 5                     |              |
| Proposed work is on a locally designated historic landmark.                         | ▪ STAR: Historic Preservation  
▪ MF Rehab  
▪ Neighborhood Character                             | 20        |              |
| Project will renovate residential units constructed before 1970. (*Note: 80 percent of all dwellings in the CRA were built pre-1970*) | ▪ Blight Removal/ Codes  
▪ MF Rehab  
▪ STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs.                      | 15        |              |
| Applicant(s) has used a contractor certified with the City’s Small Business Enterprise program for the grant application’s construction estimates. | ▪ MF Rehab  
▪ Business Develop.                                      | 5                     |              |
## Residential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Goals Met</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• STAR: Business Ret. &amp; Dev.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• STAR: Local Economy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Application Subtotal
## Residential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Goals Met</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Investment and Financial Character</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of prior private investment by applicant in South St. Petersburg CRA within the last 5 years without City assistance. Includes investment in construction and construction equipment, which must be documented with submission of invoices and receipts and construction value information from Construction Services.</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 to 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000 to $25,000 – 5 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 to $50,000 – 10 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 to $75,000 – 15 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+$75,000- 20 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has code enforcement liens on property subject to grant in excess of $2,500.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has special assessment liens on property subject to grant over $500.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has mortgage payments three months in arrears on property subject to grant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has unpaid property taxes on property subject to grant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has unpaid property insurance on property subject to grant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Application Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Residential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Goals Met</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Location</strong></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Project is located along a Priority Commercial Corridor selected by the CAC for the given grant cycle. For FY2017, the “Priority Corridors” are  
- Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. St. South  
- 16th Street South  
- 49th Street (Gulfport to CRA north boundary)  
- 18th Avenue South  
- 34th Street South | • Blight Removal/ Codes  
• Corridor Rev.  
• MF Rehab  
• STAR: Compact Communities  
• STAR: Infill and Redevelopment | 30 |               |
| Project will revitalize a property identified as an actual source of contamination by the City’s Brownfields Assessment Grant survey that will be conducted in the CRA through 2020. | • Blight Removal  
• MF Rehab  
• Environment & Sustainability  
• STAR: Compact Communities  
• STAR: Infill and Redevelopment | 10 |               |
| Project is located within Deuces Live or Grand Central Florida Main Street Districts. | • Corridor Rev.  
• Neighborhood Character  
• STAR: Compact Communities  
• STAR: Infill and Redevelopment  
• STAR: Historic Preservation | 15 |               |
| Project is located on same block and/or block face as a project completed since July 2016, or with an approved building permit. | • Corridor Rev  
• STAR: Compact Communities  
• STAR: Infill and Redevelopment | 5 |               |
| Project is located at the intersection of arterial and/or collector roadways as identified by the City’s Official Street map. | • Corridor Rev  
• STAR: Compact Communities  
• STAR: Infill and Redevelopment | 10 |               |

### Application Subtotal
## Residential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Goals Met</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustainability and Green Building Practices</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Project has had a free energy assessment from Duke Energy and will implement at least one recommendation. | ▪ MF Rehab  
▪ STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs. | 5 | |
| Project will incorporate energy efficient practices including energy efficient interior and exterior lighting where applicable. | ▪ MF Rehab  
▪ STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs. | 5 | |
| Project will use water conservation programs and practices like low flow toilets/fixtures, free water-efficient spray valves for restaurants, and other high efficiency appliances such as Energy Star. | ▪ MF Rehab  
▪ STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs. | 5 | |

| Application Subtotal | |

---

FY2017
# Residential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Goals Met</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will substantially rehabilitate or construct</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 5 dwelling units – 3 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 7 dwelling units – 6 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 9 dwelling units – 10 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• MF Rehab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STAR: Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordability</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant has committed through signed agreement to maintain the units funded through the grant program as affordable housing according to the criteria established by the City.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will enable a nonconforming use to continue.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td></td>
<td>-20 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will enable a grandfathered use to continue.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-5 to 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will adaptively reuse/redevelop old motel complexes in the CRA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Corridor Rev.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is for a building that serves as a live-work space in a mixed use district, where the funding for the work space cannot be clearly differentiated from the rest of the building.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request is for work on a new building, or a building that has been expanded or substantially renovated since July 2011.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Application Subtotal
# File Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File #</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Award Request</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Point Totals

| Aesthetics, Blight Removal and Code Compliance |   |
| Local Investment and Financial Character |   |
| Project Location |   |
| Sustainability and Green Building Practices |   |
| Project Use |   |

## Total Application Score

|   |   |
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: Authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute Task Order No. 16-07-KCA/STB to the Architect/Engineering Agreement dated July 19, 2016, between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp. in an amount not to exceed $198,587.86 for preliminary design services to create a conceptual design with an action plan for the Deuces Live Main Street and Warehouse Arts District and a Market Study for Tangerine Plaza.

EXPLANATION: The City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") and Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp. entered into an architect/engineering agreement on July 19, 2016 for KCA to provide Miscellaneous Professional Services for Stormwater Management, Transportation & Bridge Improvement.

The Deuces Live is a Florida Main Street, a technical assistance program administered by the Bureau of Historic Preservation, Division of Historical Resources, Florida Department of State, for traditional historic commercial corridors, as well as an established St. Petersburg business association, located along 22nd Street South between 2nd Avenue South and 18th Avenue South.

The Warehouse Arts District is located in the Dome Industrial Park area and has emerged as a popular location for artists due to the availability of large warehouses and manufacturing spaces. There are over 25 arts businesses and organizations within the District. The Warehouse Arts District Association incorporated in October 2011 and currently has 87 listed members.

In September 2016, City Council approved Task Order No. 16-02-KCA/STB to create an Issues & Opportunities Report ("Report") for the Deuces Live/Warehouse Arts District. A Joint Planning Committee was established, consisting of equal representation from the Deuces Live Main Street and the Warehouse Arts District. Over 150 people participated in the process through community forums, meetings, workshops, and on the project webpage. The Report identified five guiding ideas from which specific planning actions could be generated. These guiding ideas include: defining the character areas, connecting the community, creating an environment for people, facilitating positive new investment, and positioning for new forms of success. Using these guiding ideas as a foundation for more specific recommendations, a series of preliminary ideas and projects were created for the planning area.

Task Order No. 16-07-KCA/STB ("Task Order"), in an amount not to exceed $198,587.86, provides for preliminary design services to create a conceptual design with an action plan for the Deuces Live Main Street and Warehouse Arts District.
The Task Order includes three tasks that will cover design concepts and action plan, public outreach, and a market study of the Tangerine Plaza site. The design concepts and action plan task will include the creation of an overall urban design vision, transportation framework & street section design, a Deuces Live Main Street Master Plan, Deuces Live Main Street program recommendations, schematic design for five key areas within the study area, zoning & regulatory framework, and budget estimates & prioritization for recommendations. The public outreach task includes four meetings of the Joint Planning Committee and two large public meetings. The market study for Tangerine Plaza will include an analysis of the viability of a grocery store or similar food store, including general size/type recommendations, as well as other commercial and retail services that would be suitable candidate uses for the plaza.

The preliminary design process is expected to take five months to complete.

RECOMMENDATION: Administration recommends Authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute Task Order No. 16-07-KCA/STB to the Architect/Engineering Agreement dated July 19, 2016, between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp. in an amount not to exceed $198,587.86 for preliminary design services to create a conceptual design with an action plan for the Deuces Live Main Street and Warehouse Arts District and a Market Study for Tangerine Plaza.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: Funds have been previously appropriated in the General Capital Improvement CIP Fund (3001), Southside Redevelopment (14609) - approximately $18,692; Neighborhood & Citywide Infrastructure Improvement CIP Fund (3027), Southside Redevelopment (14609) - approximately $140,039; and Neighborhood & Citywide Infrastructure Improvement CIP Fund (3027), Dome Industrial Park Phase II (13709) - approximately $39,857.


APPROVALS:
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE TASK ORDER NO. 16-07-KCA/STB TO THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEERING AGREEMENT DATED JULY 19, 2016, BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA AND KISINGER CAMPO & ASSOCIATES, CORP. IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $198,587.86 FOR PRELIMINARY DESIGN SERVICES TO CREATE A CONCEPTUAL DESIGN WITH AN ACTION PLAN FOR THE DEUCES LIVE MAIN STREET AND WAREHOUSE ARTS DISTRICT AND A MARKET STUDY FOR TANGERINE PLAZA; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") and Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp. ("KCA") entered into an architect/engineering agreement on July 19, 2016 for KCA to provide Miscellaneous Professional Services for Stormwater Management, Transportation & Bridge Improvement Projects; and

WHEREAS, KCA recently completed an urban framework plan for the Deuces Live Main Street and Warehouse Arts District pursuant to Task Order No. 16-02-KCA/STB; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to execute Task Order No. 16-07-KCA/STB in an amount not to exceed $198,587.86 is for KCA to provide preliminary design services to develop a conceptual design with an action plan for the Deuces Live Main Street and Warehouse Arts District; and

WHEREAS, the deliverables required to be provided by KCA pursuant to this Task Order will include conceptual design documents, actionable improvement recommendations (with budget estimates, funding strategies, and prioritization) for the Deuces Live Main Street and Warehouse Arts District and a market study for Tangerine Plaza.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute Task Order No. 16-07-KCA/STB to the Architect/Engineering Agreement dated July 19, 2016, between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp. in an amount not to exceed $198,587.86 for preliminary design services to create a conceptual design with an action plan for the Deuces Live Main Street and Warehouse Arts District and a Market Study for Tangerine Plaza.
This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

[Signature]
City Attorney (designee)

[Signature]
Administration 327711
This Task Order No. 16-07-KCA/STB is made and entered into this _____ day of _____________, 201__, pursuant to the ARCHITECT/ENGINEERING AGREEMENT FOR MISCELLANEOUS PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR STORMWATER MANAGEMENT, TRANSPORTATION AND BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS dated July 19, 2016 ("Agreement") between Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp. ("A/E"), and the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City"), and upon execution shall become a part of the Agreement.

I. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

The A/E recently completed an Issues & Opportunities Report for the Warehouse Arts District / Deuces Live Main Street area, per Task Order No. 16-02-KCA/STB. This report identified guiding ideas from which specific planning actions and projects could be generated.

The City is interested in further developing the actions and projects contained in the Issues & Opportunities Report by creating a conceptual design, with an action plan, for the Warehouse Arts District and Deuces Live Main Street.

The goal of the project is to develop a conceptual design for the area, with an action plan, that serves the needs and reconciles the strategic objectives of both the Deuces Live Main Street and the Warehouse Arts District organizations – to the benefit of all and to the greater St. Petersburg arts, history, and cultural community. The two groups provide community leadership to residents, property owners, business owners and other constituencies within areas that are both adjacent, and directly overlapping (along the 22nd Street Corridor).

As a result of these shared topics and geographies, the preliminary design process is intended to work with a combined Joint Planning Committee (JPC) that represents both Deuces Live, the Warehouse Arts District Association (WADA), and specific Sub-committees associated with the individual groups with some cross representation. The overall project will also include broad public engagement and participation in the process and development of actionable recommendations.

Based on conversations with the City, the project will include several tiers of interaction, including the:

• Core Team (Consultant and City PM);
• Joint Planning Committee (JPC) with Deuces Live and WADA representation;
• Stakeholders representing key properties, entities or businesses; and
• General public

Each organization has existing planning ideas, including strategic objectives for the Deuces Live Main Street and the Warehouse Arts District (focused on District Brand, Public Realm
Improvements, and Implementation Strategy). Our objective will be to identify needed physical improvements to the area and articulate a conceptual design to address those issues with creative ideas that reflect input from the many stakeholders.

II. SCOPE OF SERVICES

A/E will perform the following Scope of Services:

Task 1 – Design Concepts & Action Plan

Task 1.1 Framework Plans & Design Concepts

1. Overall Urban Design Vision + Framework Plan – Based on the outcomes from the Part 1, A/E will complete an urban design plan featuring the study area connectivity, zoning and land use, open space, building footprints (existing and potential new footprints), and gateways elements. The plan will illustrate the overall Urban Design vision of the study area.

2. Transportation Framework and Street Section Design – A/E will work with the City’s transportation department to develop a framework of the existing and proposed conditions of streets, sidewalks, lighting, intersections, bicycles and wayfinding. The proposed framework will identify locations of recommended infrastructure improvement/investment based on work to date.

A/E will also work with the transportation department to recommend the conceptual roadway typical sections of all streets within the corridor, including the streets not initially explored during the 1st Work Order (Issues & Opportunities).

3. Deuces Live Main Street Master Plan – based on the input from Issues & Opportunities report, A/E will advance key ideas related to, the identification of infill development, preserving and enhancing key buildings, provide adequate infrastructure for redevelopment, and the enhancing community character, history and culture. This product will be a plan view rendering with other diagrams reflecting key ideas, actions, or opportunity recommendations to invest in the ‘physical plant’ of the Main Street area.

4. Deuces Live Main Street Program Recommendations – Recommendations for programs, policies, or actions that respond to the primary mission goals of Economic Revitalization and Preserving Unique Cultural Heritage and Community Initiatives for the Deuces Live Main Street.

5. Schematic Level Design: - A/E will develop a schematic design package, which will include a rendered plan (based on publically available base data), landscape and hardscape palette, up to three (3) Sketch Up models and up to one rendering per each of the selected areas, unless noticed otherwise.
a) 22nd Street and 5th Ave S Intersection and Open Space.
b) 22nd Street and 9th Ave S Intersection at Deuces Live Main Street.
c) Deuces Live Main Street from 9th Ave to 11th Ave.
d) Pinellas Trail between 22nd Street S and 24th Street.
e) 22nd Street S Corridor: A/E will develop and overall landscape and hardscape palette for the entire corridor and up to four 3D sketch up models of key locations along the corridors.

6. Zoning and Regulatory Framework – A/E will report to the City any land use / development issues that emerge from our interactions with the Community and review of the study sites. The City will take the lead on evaluating the current regulatory framework and appropriate revisions.

Task 1.1 Deliverable – Full Size PDF Plan View Drawings and Targeted 3-D study models/renderings of key areas + Power Point Presentation summarizing the plan components as detailed above. We will provide Ten (10) hard copies and PDF files suitable for printing / distribution.

Task 1.2 – Action Plan and Final Products

A/E will produce a unified Warehouse Arts / Deuces Live Action Plan. The plan is anticipated to include both recommendations for physical improvements and strategic / programmatic activities for the entire area. The plan will include specific “chapters” that directly address the individual Warehouse Arts and Deuces Live Main Street areas.

The goal of the plan is to identify actionable recommendations, with conceptual budgets (as appropriate) and prioritization. The mission of the work is to find the ‘sweet spot’ of recommendations and design ideas that unifies and celebrates the overall concentration of diverse arts, culture and history for this dynamic region of downtown while capturing and featuring the unique contributions and character of each area / entity.

1. Budget Estimates – A/E will develop conceptual budget estimates for the envisioned improvements.

2. Concept and Funding Review – A/E will meet with the Core Team and Steering Committee to review the concepts and conduct a ‘funding strategies workshop’. This will include sources of public finance, donor opportunities and grant programs.

3. Prioritized Implementation – the Action Plan will include a list of projects with associated order of magnitude budgets. We will review the projects with the City Core Team and Joint Planning Committee to develop a priority list of first phase, actionable projects.

4. Draft Master Plan - Combined Master Action Plan summarizing the concept plan components (as defined in Part 1), budget estimates and phasing/priorities. We will
present the draft Action Plan to the City and JPC for review and input. We will refine the plan one time based on the combined written comments of the City and JPC.

5. Final Action Plan – A/E will produce a final version of the Warehouse Arts / Deuces Live Action Plan as a color document suitable for printing, transmittal and posting (as appropriate) on web based / social media outlets. We will conduct one final public presentation of the plan and actions.

6. Council Briefings and Final Adoption – A/E will present the plan at an appropriate juncture in Draft Form to Council, followed by Presentation for Adoption as appropriate following JPC Approval. The objective is to achieve approval for the plan, as well as for a specific list of implementable early phase project improvements.

Task 1.2 Deliverable – Color Report document, formatted in InDesign, with narrative and graphics outlining the products defined in Task 1 and 2. Root files and PDF suitable for transmittal will be available to the City.

Task 2 – Public Outreach

A/E will coordinate all public outreach activities, in conjunction with local vendors who will assist with location, food/refreshments and other coordination. This task will only be utilized for labor and direct expenses associated with creative development, promotion, and hosting of meetings, and related public activities.

1. Joint Planning Committee (JPC) Meetings: The design team will have up to four (4) meetings with the JPC Members along the length of the Task 1 process. Those meetings will be designed to make sure the both groups, WADA and Deuces Lives are in agreement with the ideas, concepts, actions and implementation strategies of the project before presenting to the general public.

2. Public Meetings: The design team will host two large public meetings.
   a. Public Meeting 1 – Framework and Concept Plans: The Design Team will present the planning framework and concepts from Task 1.1 deliverables, and ask for the public input and validation.
   b. Public Meeting 2 – Final Concept Plan: The Design Team will present the final action plan and recommendations, and ask for the public input and validation.

Task 3 – Property Positioning

Task 3.1 – Tangerine Plaza Market Strategy

1. Meet with client group and review existing data as well as objectives and expectations for the conduct of the study itself. The discussion and data may include inferences about ESRI data already prepared as well as leases that could impact alternative implementation strategies at the subject property.
2. Inspect existing property and identify other competing shopping centers or areas where concentrations of retail activity are occurring.

3. Identify other vacant or underutilized properties proximate the subject property with expectations of retail and service uses.

4. Complete consumer spending analysis, using resources appropriate to the geography, focus, and objective(s) of the study.

5. Prepare revised estimates of achievable sales or spending activity to reflect household incomes and specific categories of spending [drugs, groceries, restaurants, personal services, hard goods, other].

6. Benchmark the above to at least one (1) other neighborhood or general area in the region deemed comparable in terms of consumer spending, the nature of services or products desired, and the character of the inventory provided.

7. Identify opportunities, to the degree data allows, to known area merchants and retailers, distinguishing between local and national users.

8. Evaluate whether existing vacant parcels, other locations generally, or underutilized facilities reconcile to properties and potential tenants.

9. Review findings with client and begin to outline high level strategy suited to data.

Deliverable – Brief technical report or memorandum with appropriate tables and analysis summarizing the data and issues, and implications of various options which may be available. Our technical report will include a specific recommendation regarding the viability of a grocery store or other similar food store, including what general size/type and what development gap (if any) might be needed to support. In addition, we will identify other commercial / retail services that we believe are candidate uses for the plaza based on our conceptual level work.

III. SCHEDULE
The A/E will deliver preliminary reports 4 months after NTP and final reports 1 month after City's written acceptance of preliminary reports.

IV. A/E'S RESPONSIBILITIES
The A/E will perform the task work listed under Tasks 1 through 3 of the Scope of Services.

V. CITY'S RESPONSIBILITIES
The following participation by the CITY is anticipated under this Scope of Services:

1. Provide all available all available information pertinent to A/E's scope of services, including previous reports/drawings; utility information; topo information, etc. at the time
this Proposal is authorized. Unless otherwise noted, A/E may rely upon such information.

2. Attend and participate in any project meetings

3. Review and comment on the A/E’s deliverables within twenty-one (21) calendar days of submittal.

4. Provide access to the project site(s) or other land upon which A/E is to conduct any fieldwork. Access will be available to A/E personnel in a timely manner.

5. The City shall be responsible for advertising all public workshops and for securing adequate locations, preferably within the WAD.

VI. DELIVERABLES
All deliverables are summarized after each Task of the A/E’s Scope of Services.

VII. A/E’S COMPENSATION

For work under Tasks 1 - 3, the City shall compensate the A/E in a lump sum amount of $190,587.86 per Exhibit A.

This Task Order establishes an allowance in the amount of $8,000.00 for additional services not identified in the Scope of Services. Additional services may be performed only upon receipt of prior written authorization from the City and such authorization shall set forth the additional services to be provided by the A/E. The cost for any additional services shall not exceed the amount of the allowance set forth in this Task Order.

VIII. PROJECT TEAM

Lead Design Consultant: Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp. Responsible for Project Management, Cost Estimates, and engineering consulting assistance as identified above.

Design Sub-Consultant: Community Solutions Group. Will provide lead design and planning services for the district, using a collaborative process with local community stakeholders. This includes District Brand, Public Realm Improvements, and Implementation Strategy.

IX. MISCELLANEOUS

In the event of a conflict between this Task Order and the Agreement, the Agreement shall prevail.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF the Parties have caused this Task Order to be executed by their duly authorized representatives on the day and date first above written.

ATTEST

By: ________________________________
   Chandrahasa Srinivasa
   City Clerk

(SEAL)

CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA

By: ________________________________
   Brejesh Prayman, P.E., ENV SP, Director Engineering & Capital Improvements

DATE: ________________________________

APPROVED AS TO FORM FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE STANDARD TASK ORDER. NO OPINION OR APPROVAL OF THE SCOPE OF SERVICES IS BEING RENDERED BY THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

By: ________________________________
   City Attorney (Designee)

Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp.
(Company Name)

By: ________________________________
   (Signature)

   (Printed Name and Title)

Date: ________________________________

WITNESSES:

By: ________________________________
   (Signature)

   (Printed Name)

By: ________________________________
   (Signature)

   (Printed Name)
## I. Manpower Estimate: All Tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direct Labor Rates Classifications</th>
<th>Project Manager</th>
<th>Chief Engineer</th>
<th>Senior Engineer</th>
<th>Project Engineer</th>
<th>Engineering Intern</th>
<th>CADD Technician</th>
<th>GIS Specialist</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Total Hours</th>
<th>Labor Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct Salary</td>
<td>$59.62</td>
<td>$72.49</td>
<td>$62.34</td>
<td>$51.24</td>
<td>$30.52</td>
<td>$24.44</td>
<td>$33.49</td>
<td>$20.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplier/Overhead 173%</td>
<td>$103.15</td>
<td>$125.41</td>
<td>$107.85</td>
<td>$88.65</td>
<td>$52.80</td>
<td>$42.29</td>
<td>$57.94</td>
<td>$38.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit 10%</td>
<td>$16.28</td>
<td>$19.79</td>
<td>$17.02</td>
<td>$13.99</td>
<td>$8.34</td>
<td>$6.68</td>
<td>$9.15</td>
<td>$7.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billing Rates¹</td>
<td>$179.05</td>
<td>$217.69</td>
<td>$187.21</td>
<td>$153.88</td>
<td>$91.66</td>
<td>$73.41</td>
<td>$100.58</td>
<td>$66.31</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>$52,975.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TASK

1. Design Concepts & Action Plan
   - Hours: 38
   - Labor Cost: $52,975.58

2. Public Outreach
   - Hours: 8
   - Labor Cost: $1,741.52

3. Property Positioning
   - Hours: 4
   - Labor Cost: $870.76

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Labor Cost</th>
<th>Expenses²</th>
<th>Subconsultant Costs</th>
<th>Total Cost Without Allowance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$52,975.58</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$105,000.00</td>
<td>$157,975.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,741.52</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$21,741.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$870.76</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,870.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$55,587.86</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$115,000.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$190,587.86</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### II. Fee Calculation

- Task 1: $52,975.58
- Task 2: $1,741.52
- Task 3: $870.76

### III. Fee Limit

- Lump Sum Cost: $190,587.86
- Allowance³: $8,000.00
- Total: $198,587.86

### IV. Notes:

1. Rate x overhead + profit (per contract).
2. Includes expenses for:
3. Allowance to be used only upon City's written authorization.
### Task Order Report

**Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp.**  
**Miscellaneous Professional Services for Stormwater Management, Transportation & Bridge Improvement Projects**  
**A/E Agreement Effective - July 19, 2016**  
**A/E Agreement Expiration - July 14, 2020**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Order No.</th>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>NTP Issued</th>
<th>Authorized Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>16102-112</td>
<td>Concrete Repair &amp; Waterproofing Design - Sundial Parking Garage</td>
<td>08/29/16</td>
<td>18,663.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Warehouse Arts District/Deuces Live - Main Street Joint Study Action Plan</td>
<td>11/01/16</td>
<td>129,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>16102-112</td>
<td>Bridge Inspection - FY16 Revision No. 1 - Load Rating Analysis</td>
<td>10/25/16</td>
<td>9,978.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02/17/17</td>
<td>3,561.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>17073-110</td>
<td>Retaining Wall Replacement at 1st A/S, East of 16th S/S, South of Bridge No. 157122</td>
<td>02/24/17</td>
<td>47,057.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>17005-110</td>
<td>City Bridge Inventory Replacement Cost Estimates</td>
<td>05/25/17</td>
<td>9,926.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** 218,185.75
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In November, 2016 the City of St. Petersburg launched a planning exercise for the culturally diverse and economically emergent areas west of downtown known as the Warehouse Arts District (WADA) and the Deuces Live Main Street (Deuces). The goal is to identify possible physical and non-physical enhancements to the area that would both allow the area to be more successful as a combined area, and also to build on the unique features and assets of each of the sub-areas.

This Issues and Opportunities report is considered an interim product — a documentation of significant community engagement and site inventory that has led the planning team and project participants to a Planning Framework (Guiding Ideas) from which specific actions might be generated. This Framework is comprised of 5 fundamental components which are universal to the overall planning area, but which can be manifested in targeted actions that are specific to the needs and opportunities found within the WADA and the Deuces, including:

1. **Defining the Character Areas:** Expressing the Personality of Each Place.
2. **Connecting the Community:** Building a more livable approach to circulation.
3. **Creating an Environment for People:** Enhancing both public realm and private buildings.
4. **Facilitating Positive New Investment:** Activating Key Parcels and Place-making.
5. **Positioning for New Forms of Success:** Building new partnerships and collaboration.

Over 150 people were involved through a wide variety of open community forums, meetings, workshops and on-line interfaces. The planning project was led by GAI's Community Solutions Group, in collaboration with KCA Engineering (who assisted with base mapping and sidewalk/utility review), the City of St. Petersburg planning and economic development staff and a steering committee with dual representation from the WADA and Deuces Boards of Directors. Together, the workshop participants are energized about the possibilities for the future, some of which are expressed in this report as example ‘starter ideas’.

It is anticipated that the information found in this Issues and Opportunities Report, the substantial public engagement, the resultant Frameworks and Starter Ideas will provide guidance towards a subsequent detailed design and planning effort that will build upon findings, and more fully explore the many possibilities for this highly unique and diverse area of St. Petersburg.
PROJECT MISSION

The City of St. Petersburg is assisting the Warehouse Arts District (WADA) and the Deuces Live Main Street (Deuces Live) organizations to develop a conceptual urban design plan to define actionable enhancements that are meaningful to the individual places and for the combined region. Both the WADA and Deuces Live are enriched with deep cultural history, interesting building stock, adjacent neighborhood fabric – and a resurgent, grassroots activity of small business development, particularly organized around Arts, Culture, Food, Brewing/Distillery and Light Industry.

The goal of the project is to develop a joint framework master plan that serves the needs and reconciles the strategic objectives of both the Deuces Live Main Street and the Warehouse Arts District organizations to the benefit of all including to the greater St. Petersburg arts, history, cultural and small business communities. The two groups provide community leadership to residents, property owners, business owners and other constituencies within areas that are both adjacent, and directly overlapping along the 22nd Street Corridor.

The planning area clearly delineates sub-areas that relate to the cultural, neighborhood and business history of the area, as well as transportation corridors (such as the historic rail-line and I-275). The planning process strives to capitalize on the historic patterns (including the shared importance of the 22nd St / 5th Ave / Rail intersection) while addressing obvious new patterns, such as the presence of I-275 and recent investments in and around the area.
Part 1
Guiding Principles + Project Identification
Nov. 2016 to Feb. 2017

The Mission of the initial work, which is summarized in this Issues and Opportunities Report is essentially to initiate the planning process with the community stakeholders, and establish open dialogue for mutual listening and learning. The planned result is a definition of how the areas are positioned today, a guiding Framework for approaching new initiatives, and some example ‘starter ideas’ that illustrate putting the philosophical Framework into action.

- Organizational Structure, Schedule and Stakeholder Meetings.
- Identification of Issues and Opportunities.
- Guiding Principles, Goals and Preliminary Ideas.

Part 2
Design Concepts + Action Plan
Summer 2017

Following the development of the planning Framework and other findings of this Issues and Opportunities Report, the plan will shift into an ‘implementation’ focus. Specifically, this means deeper design and planning exploration to more fully define specific, actionable projects or initiatives that can be prioritized, budgeted and implemented.

- Refine & Develop Ideas
- Action Plan Documentation
- Final Report and Recommendations.
APPROACH

Part 1
Guiding Principles + Project Identification
Nov. 2016 to Feb. 2017

As sub-consultant to KCA, GAI’s Community Solutions Group (CSG) provided lead design and planning services for the district, using a collaborative process with local community stakeholders. Each organization has existing planning ideas, including strategic objectives for the Deuces Live Main Street and the WADA Action Plan (focused on District Brand, Public Realm Improvements, and Implementation Strategy). The overall objective is to identify needed physical improvements to the area and articulate a plan of action to address those issues with creative ideas that reflect input from the many stakeholders. However, the objective of this specific work effort and Issues and Opportunities Report is to understand existing conditions, and establish planning frameworks, from which an action plan can be developed at a later time.

As a result of the diversity of people, place and possibilities within the area the ‘Approach’ to the project is fundamentally meant to be inclusive, incremental and multi-dimensional. The sequence of small group, large group, and on-line interfaces was intended to provide many different lenses for the consulting team to hear the community voice(s) in different ways – and for the community to have many opportunities and formats from which to directly access the process and the team. Over 150 people interacted directly with the project in a short 75 day time period (including the end of year holidays), including nearly 100 people at the initial November Public Kickoff who largely stayed with the process through the early February Design Workshop.

Joint Steering Committee Meeting
November 2, 2016

Public Kick-Off Meeting
November 31, 2016

Public Trolley Tour
December 1, 2016

Stakeholder Meetings
January 11-12, 2017

Deuces Live Community Meeting
January 12, 2017

Citizen Photography Exercise
January 23, 2017

City Staff and Coordination Meeting
January 13, 2017

4 Day Design Studio Workshop
January 31 to February 2, 2017

NOTE: Sign-In sheets and participants exercise are provided in Appendix A
Public Kick-Off Meeting
November 31, 2016

Public Trolley Tour
December 1, 2016

Citizen Photography Exercise
January 23, 2017

4 Day Design Studio Workshop
January 31 to February 2, 2017
PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

The methods of public engagement were designed to 'dive deep' into the area with as many people and through as many methodologies as reasonably possible. This approach was designed to make the planning team accessible, and to build trust – and honest and mutual interest in shared success by meeting people where they live and do business. By using diverse techniques, the project was able to reconcile the fact that some people are not comfortable speaking at the large meetings. Some prefer to meet in small groups or one on one, some prefer walking tours, and some prefer to follow on-line.

While the project team cannot schedule and meet with every possible business owner individually – we conducted over 12 stakeholder small group meetings, several individual meetings and several business ‘drop-ins’ to speak with people directly, in addition to our more structured, large public events. This allowed the planning team to not only touch many constituents, but also pose questions and gain input in different ways, which allows for a deeper layering of the input because we can hear the commonality in issues through different voices and techniques.

In response our diverse geographies and planning topics we did work formally with a combined Joint Planning Committee (JPC) representing both Deuces Live, the Warehouse Arts District Association (WADA), and specific Sub-committees associated with the individual groups with some cross representation. Altogether, the approach allowed for several tiers of interaction, including the:

Core Team (Consultant and City PM)
Joint Planning Committee (JPC) with Deuces Live and WADA representation
Supportive sub-committees, one each for Deuces Live and WADA
Stakeholders representing key properties, local institutional entities and businesses
General public: residents and property owners
Public Kick-Off Meeting
November 31, 2016

Over 100 people attended a public kickoff at the Morean Center for Clay on November 31, 2016. This followed a prior goal setting 'blue sky' session with the combined WADA/Deuces steering committee on November 2. The objectives of these kickoff activities included not only information about how to access the project – but some high level exercises to identify and document key values of the project participants. While these exercises may sometimes seem rote or repetitive – when so many people participate at such an energetic level, there is a critical mass of results that can be overlaid and patterns become clear.

The results below indicate 'top responses' to key Values questions and aggregated mapping exercise results – 8 maps were completed with numbered comments for each dot placed.

Key Questions

How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?

- Signage and graphics
- Annual festivals
- African american history
- Joint Part at 22nd and 5th
- Integrated art with architecture
- Save historic buildings
- Local business
- Opportunities for kids
- Music and food

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?

- Outdoor art
- Make it safe
- Clean and green
- Outdoor places to be
- Brick and trees
- Fix up historic buildings
- Street lights well lit
- Banners and gateways
- Develop empty lots

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?

- Lighting
- Sidewalks & bicycle facilities
- Signage, banners & gateways
- Site furnishings
- Parking improvements
- Royal Theather, Manhattan Casino
- Arts Xchange
- Trolley and bike share
- Pop-up places
Please identify in the map locations you would like to:

- **PRESERVED:** This is great the way it is!
- **ENHANCE:** This could use some improvements.
- **TRANSFORM:** This is not working well and needs attention.

**NOTE:** Sign-in sheets and participants exercise are provided in Appendix A.
As part of both the Steering Committee Kickoff and the Public Kickoff, the planning team led Trolley Tours of the study area, allowing participants to ride along, stopping at key locations to walk, talk and share observations, traversing our large and diverse study area.

Trolley Tour & Assessment

As part of the Trolley Tours, participants were provided with survey forms to anecdotally 'score' their perceptions of the area. The primary takeaway is a general feeling of discomfort with safety, walkability and appearance, but stronger perceptions about possibilities for the future regarding economic development.
Scores from the two trolley tours are generally aggregated and averaged below.

Trolley Tour & Walking Audit
December 1, 2016

Rank the questions from 1 to 5: 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest.

North of I-275

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMFORT &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the neighborhood make a good first impression?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area feel safe during the day and night?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate shade?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET INFRASTRUCTURE &amp; SAFETY</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel safe crossing the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are people walking on the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING USE &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the buildings in good condition?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings look appealing to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings contribute to the character of the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the area been improved?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should the area have improvements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

South of I-275

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMFORT &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the neighborhood make a good first impression?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area feel safe during the day and night?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate shade?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET INFRASTRUCTURE &amp; SAFETY</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel safe crossing the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are people walking on the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING USE &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the buildings in good condition?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings look appealing to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings contribute to the character of the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the area been improved?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should the area have improvements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Citizen Photography Exercise
January 23, 2017

As an additional method to gain insight from the community regarding perceptions and vision, the planning team conducted a Citizen Photography Exercise. Disposable cameras were provided to over 25 volunteers to take photos of ‘Likes’, ‘Dislikes’ and ‘Opportunities’. The consultant managed the development of film into physical photos for the participants. Approximately 18 photographers participated, with 10 attending a 2 hour collaborative session to ‘sort and organize’ labeled photographs into categories of key issues. While this particular exercise wasn’t necessarily heavily attended, the team did get quality input and this provided a different format for literally seeing the community through the eyes of the community.

Likes
Royal Theater
Bike Share System
African-American Heritage Trail
Art
Community Garden
Events
Murals
Art Studios
Local Shops

Dislikes and in need of improvements
Sidewalks
Signage
Vacant Properties
1-275 Overpass

Opportunities
Improve Buildings Facades
Re-purpose Buildings
Development of Vacant lots
Improve Streetscape and Landscape
Art, Culture and History of the place
Make the area a destination
Online Engagement

The Project included an on-line engagement platform media platform that allowed all participants to track all aspects of the project remotely and virtually. This includes not only project information, schedules and past presentations — but also several interfaces to provide input in formats that mirror the ‘in person’ public meetings, photography and stakeholder interviews. This information was reviewed by the planning team and included as part of the community input.

This website and platform for input and dialogue will remains open and can be continually ‘customized’ to meet evolving project needs.

http://www.deuceswarehouseartsjointplan.org
The project website included opportunities for online mapping, comment and photography of special places in a 'google maps' style format. The website also replicates specific public meeting functions, such as the activity questions from the public kickoff so that people who couldn't attend, or that don't feel comfortable speaking in large crowds can still participate and be heard.

The input gathered from the website was consistent with the face to face meetings. The platform created a layer of transparency in the public engagement process, because all the relevant comments were posted on the site for the community to read, like and comment.
The planning team conducted a 4 day, on-site workshop at St. Pete College on 22nd Street (on the Deuces Main Street) as a culmination of concentrated public input during December and January. The opening night was positioned as a 'reporting' session: providing a summation of 'takeaways' from the many points of input, characterizing where the area has been and where it may be headed and then presenting the draft 5 Point Framework. The goal for the meeting was: "Did we hear you correctly? Have we defined the issues and opportunities appropriately"? The summary, characterization and Framework was strongly received and endorsed by over 25 attendees.

Over the course of the next two days, the design team developed 'starter ideas' that explored potential design opportunities as examples of applying the Frameworks to designated opportunity places. The Day 2 and 3 activities were completely open house, with many community members dropping in to meet individually, see the work in progress and also participate in a visual preference exercise via green and red dots.

The Closing Night was a reconfirmation of the Framework and a presentation of the starter ideas: "are we on the right track"? The response from the group was very positive – recognizing that the ideas shown were only initial concepts to confirm direction with some specific example ideas.

At the conclusion of each event, as an informal 'vote', the audience was asked to 'vote' for our level of 'hitting the mark' on a scale of 1 to 5 (with 5 being 'perfect'). Most voting for each night was level '4', with a few '3' and '5' votes. The '3' votes were valuable, because they provide for the opportunity to ask those folks specifically: "how can we address your concern and gain a '4' or '5' vote". This type of constant reconfirmation and validation was intrinsic to each step in the process.

**Focus Areas:**
- Monument Signs and Sculptures
  - 22nd Street S & 5th Ave Intersection
  - 22nd Street S & 9th Ave Intersection
  - 22nds Street South Corridor
  - Deuces Main Street
  - Pinellas Trail

---

**Day 1 - Opening Night**
January 30, 2017

**Day 2 - Working Session 1**
January 31, 2017

**Day 3 - Working Session 2**
February 1, 2017

**Day 4 - Closing Night**
February 2, 2017
Monument Signs and Sculptures
Streetscape Palette
UNDERSTANDING THE PLACE

Following an enormous amount of community input and perspectives about the past, present and future of the place, the planning team worked to both faithfully reflect the input. As a way of characterizing, and perhaps clarifying, some of the patterns and conditions we see today, the planning team added some professional perspective on the changing nature of cities over the last 50 years and how certain interventions in the social, economic and physical form of St. Pete has affected the businesses and neighborhoods in the Deuces / WADA area.

This is not meant as a purely 'academic exercise', but rather to appreciate the legacy of the buildings and places today, and also talk about the future with some objective clarity on what has changed, what remains the same and what new dynamics are available to leverage.
The 1957 Aerial provides a brief, but insightful physical window into the prior social and economic form of the community. The area was defined by the active industrial rail feeding a significant amount of goods and services for upload and delivery to the St. Petersburgh downtown and surrounding region. This was a source of jobs for both skilled and unskilled labor.

Although St. Pete (as with many cities of the day) suffered from inequality and racial segregation, there was an operating economic and social 'ecosystem' that surrounded the active industrial rail. To the north, Central Avenue was the 'Main Street' for predominantly white neighborhoods. 22nd Street south of about 6th or 7th Ave South was the 'Main Street' for the African American and minority neighborhoods. Each 'Main Street' formed the center of community life, providing local businesses, daily needs retail and other community and civic assets. Life was separate, and while clearly not 'equal', each community (north and south of the rail) had their own sustainable relationship between occupied residential, jobs / income and community services.

Of particular note, is the fact that while the neighborhoods were socially stratified, the physical form of the community was a relatively connected 'fabric' of streets and blocks that link together. Jordan Park Apartments was in place as a low income housing development, although a generally connected residential pattern of local streets generally supporting housing at difference price points. One could easily walk from their home to their job to their Main Street.
A review of progressive aerial photographs over the ensuing decades reveals physical change that substantially deconstructed the social and economic 'ecosystem' from the 1957 aerial. The introduction of the Interstate Highway System in the 1960s and early 1970s created massive physical impact, as wide swaths of land were condemned for large highway corridors, destroying the urban fabric and cutting off neighborhoods. But the highway also changed the way goods and services were transported on a national scale, reducing the role of rail in the economic/jobs ecosystem.

Over time, St. Pete's downtown rail was abandoned, ultimately converted to a bicycle trail after years of disuse. Without operating rail, and other influencing factors, the job base of the industrial corridor rapidly contracted to the detriment of the residents and neighborhoods. With job loss leading to destabilized neighborhoods and reduced local spending capacity - and both the Grand Central and 22nd Street 'Main Streets' were severely impacted. Those impacts remain today, although in the last 10-15 years, the Grand Central area retail has returned at a grassroots level due to the evolving wave of downtown development driving new residential investment in the white collar bungalow neighborhoods, such as Kenwood.

While some industrial remains in the rail corridor today, the overall occupancy and level of economic activity is significantly reduced from its peak. In the wake of this disinvestment, some areas of land were aggregated for 'superblock' developments, such as the Pinellas County Job Corps, Gibbs High School, or the 34th Street suburban mall - while other areas went fallow, leaving areas of disinvested 'greyfield' land. Many roads were widened to accommodate both the emergence of the automobile as the primary form of transportation, as well as in response to the reduction in overall transportation network due to the introduced highway barriers.
Building Form and Open Space

The legacy of Rail Corridor and Industrial buildings surrounded by fine grain pattern of neighborhoods and Main Streets is easy to discern in a simple 'figure ground' diagram of building footprints. Clearly, the WADA area is typified by larger lots and buildings, with limited connecting street grid. The Deuces area is typified by smaller buildings and lots with interconnected local streets.

Transportation Network

The circulation system is defined by certain key streets that penetrate the I-275 barrier. These include 22nd, 28th and 31st Street. Other key 'east-west' streets include 1st, 5th, 9th and 15th Avenues South and Grand Central and 18th Ave South on the periphery of the planning area. These become vitally important streets because these streets traverse barriers such as the rail-trail and other spurs and ramps of I-275.

These streets carry the burden of supporting cars, pedestrians, bikes and transit, while also defining the character from which the community is viewed.
Future Land Use

The Future Land Use is highly aligned to the building, property and transportation form as described. Commercial corridors, mixed use, and industrial are the main differentiating land uses within a surrounding fabric of residential (yellow). Blue and Green indicate public / civic buildings and open space, respectively.

Sub-Areas

Despite the macro-scale transportation and land use patterns, there are many clearly discernable subareas and special intersections. These arrange themselves along the 22nd Street Corridor, the Warehouse Arts District and the Deuces Live Main Street. These sub areas, and key streets / intersections form the strategic locations for specific investments (public or private) that can build upon the inherent character of the planning area.
Community Assets

Following the legacy of so much physical, economic and social change, the local community identifies with a variety of assets from both the deep and recent past. Historic buildings from the 'pre interstate' ecosystem remain in the form of the historic rail station (now Morean Center for Clay), and interesting industrial building stock, the historic Royal Theater, Manhattan Casino and certain other remaining Main Street' buildings generally between 9th and 15th Avenues (the general geography of the designated Deuces Live Main Street).

Other 'newer' community assets have been introduced in recent decades. These include the African American History Museum, Schools, St. Pete College, and several emergent new businesses that have taken advantage of greatly reduced property values to 'incubate' both small business / industry, as well as a growing arts community.

Today, the Deuces and WADA areas are also seeing emergent initiatives for job training, arts incubators (Arts Xchange), and community support (Boys and Girls Club and Mt. Zion Church). Yet, the area remains distressed, including the recent announced departure of the local grocery at Tangerine Plaza, which threatens access to food and household staples for low income residents and anyone without regular access to a car.
PLAN FRAMEWORK

Taking all the community input together, and filtering through the shared discussion of Place, History, Form and Assets; the planning team suggested a 5 point Framework of guiding principles. This organizing Framework provides a rational structure from which to align a variety of potential strategic actions that could benefit the WADA or Deuces individually, or the whole area collectively. Naturally, the WADA and Deuces are viewed in tandem, with the underlying idea that improvements in the strength of either will create residual benefit to the whole. The Frameworks provide a platform for this discussion of specific actions that provide multiple benefits as the area evolves toward its new economy and social capital, while retaining its unique heritage and artistic/cultural identity.

Defining the Character Areas – Expressing the Personality of Each Place
Connecting the Community – Building a more livable approach to circulation
Creating an Environment for People – Enhancing both public realm and private buildings
Facilitating Positive New Investment – Activating Key Parcels and Place-making
Positioning for New Forms of Success – Building new partnerships and collaboration
Guiding Principles

1. Define our Character Areas
   Clarify the role and personality of our places, expressed with gateways, signs, art and form.

2. Connect Community Places
   Built network of walk, bike, transit, parking & vehicular access.

3. Create an urban environment for people
   Enhance both the appearance and urban form of public & private property for an "outward" focus.

4. Facilitate positive investment & reclaim places
   Activate key parcels, re-purpose historic buildings, address parking and create places.

5. Position for new forms of success
   Build partnerships and collaboration to link business with community and district with city.

Mission & Identity

Safety & Connectivity

Urban Form & Places

Renew & Redevelop

People & Partnerships
Define our Character Areas

The subareas within the 22nd Street Corridor, the Warehouse Arts District and the Deuces Live Main Street provide numerous opportunities to both brand the area, while also responding uniquely to the specific histories and new activities across this diverse planning area. This will allow for the creation of specific places within the community that are valuable and meaningful for local businesses and residents – and also interesting and attractive for outside visitors to patronize the businesses and appreciate the history and character of this unique area of St. Petersburg.

**Historic Patterns:**
Heritage Trail and Pinellas Trail
Historic buildings
Adjacent neighborhoods
Greenways and entry points

**Infrastructure Framework:**
Land Use
Community facilities
Parks and open space
Block and street patterns
Circulation routes

**Character Areas:**
Roles to support each other
Roles within the region
Link to regional access
Gateways
Signage and Wayfinding
Connect Community Places

Today, the WADA and the 22nd Street Corridor are defined by a mix of residential streets: a few expanded for industrial traffic, some disconnected from the city grid, and many with incomplete basic infrastructure. This may be reasonable for a single use industrial area, but the District and Corridor are transitioning into places that are more mixed use and they are becoming attractors for outside visitors.

Similarly, the Deuces Live Main Street, while designed at a smaller scale, also has functional and character based limitations necessary to support an active main street.

Over time, it is important that the streets evolve into more multi-dimensional public realm facilities – with better bike, pedestrian, lighting, parking, wayfinding, landscape, and other ‘character’ elements that can support districts not only for local investment, but visitor exploration and comfort as they explore ‘working’ industry and main street environments. In some cases, particularly, in the WADA, it would be helpful for new connections to be made, in order to provide new vehicular and walking access to properties which are currently in very large formats that must be ‘circumnavigated’ to get from one place to the other.

Streets
Safety for all users
Apply the City of St. Petersburg Complete Street Standards

Aesthetic and Streetscape
Street Trees
Lighting
Wayfinding Elements
Banners
Building Facades

Wayfinding and Gateways
More attractive entry points
Scale
Materials
Message
Part of creating an environment for people to visit the Corridor, District and Main Street relates to more livable, multi-modal, walkable, attractive and connected streets. However, the streets must be addressed by buildings that are interesting, and active at the street level. The goal is an animated, humanized environment that rewards walking activity with a sense of safety as well as new and interesting things to see every 8-12 seconds. The Deuces has many of these types of buildings, but some can be spruced up with paint, façade / awning enhancements and ultimately, reinvestment in existing or new businesses.

Building Frontage
Existing buildings façade improvements
Transparency
Color & Signage

Building Orientation
Many industrial buildings are not oriented towards the street or Pinellas Trail

Pop-Up Activities
Markets
Special Events
Outdoor sitting
Sidewalk sales and activities
Food Trucks

The Warehouse Arts area has nearly the opposite issues. New business investment is coming at a rapid rate. However, the actual building stock is naturally monolithic and oriented away from the street as one would expect in a historic industrial shipping yard. To move forward with a more entrepreneurship, arts and neighborhood oriented economy (while still supporting industry), it is important that walls and barriers of these large buildings are broken down with windows, doors and orientation towards an animated street-front interface designed to engage people.
Facilitate Positive Investment & Reclaim Places

Ultimately, the challenge and the goal is new business investment that can fill in the many vacant parcels and underutilized buildings. This requires local business support, quality products (such as some of the new restaurant offerings), development / lending assistance, and addressing structural problems like parking and the surrounding disinvested neighborhoods. This also requires an attitude towards invitation. The retail will be successful if it positions itself as a place not only for locals for also for outside visitors who want to explore authentic, interesting places.

Beyond the economic development needs there is also need to repurpose certain key sites or services. One strategically important example may be the Royal Theater, perhaps the most significant piece of cultural architecture in the area. Today, the Royal is home to the Boys and Girls Club which is constrained in how many children they can support in this facility – and the building is not conducive for the needs of the neighborhood children. Meanwhile, few investments could be more symbolically and materially emblematic of the renewal of the spirit of the neighborhood than if the Royal Theater was returned to an operating theater and community events space.

Celebrate historic buildings
Rediscover and Celebrate Historic Buildings

Infill vacant lots
Targeted redevelopment partners

Adequate infrastructure for redevelopment
Shared Parking
Stormwater
Street connectivity
Lighting
Sidewalks
The WADA and Deuces must work together, as well as work within their own constituency. The input process revealed much hope and a strong spirit of pride for 'what was' (Deuces) and 'what's new' (WADA). As both organizations look to move forward to address current challenges and opportunities, there is a stronger need for alignment.

As community organizations, leadership is naturally grassroots and heavily supported by volunteer efforts. This makes the places authentic and allows the neighborhoods to determine their own destiny. However, in both the District and the Main Street, there are multiple voices that are sometimes competing and occasionally at odds.

Going forward, it will be important that the available leadership and volunteer resources are aligned. Fortunately, there are many defined leadership groups, institutional entities and stakeholders who are active in each area, but they all have limited time and resources. There are opportunities to better define the role of each sub-group organization and to work together in order to respect roles and mutual needs. There simply aren’t enough volunteer resources available and there are too many things to do. This planning process is beginning to uncover areas of conflict and also opportunities for clarified mission definition and vision alignment.

**Deuces Live**
- Confirm the “hook” for locals and visitors
- Quick fix building enhancement
- Parking for events and redevelopment
- Rediscover the historic buildings
- Leverage your culture, schools and programs
- Linked strategies for city and private sites

**Align organization to work together:**
- Mission and goals
- Marketing strategies
- Events

**Warehouse Arts District**
- Build physical infrastructure
- Define and activate the sub-districts
- Large lot infill opportunities
- Reorient: street/trail oriented buildings
- Built on Art Walk with new outdoor events
PRELIMINARY IDEAS

As stated in the Executive Summary and the Assignment definition this portion of the work was primarily focused upon gaining an understanding (through broad based input) of the Issues and Opportunities for the shared study area: WADA and Deuces, in order to define a Framework of guiding ideas that might inform a subsequent Action Plan. However, as part of the validation of the Input and Framework, the planning team felt it was important to provide some 'starter ideas' to illustrate in more literal terms the types interventions that might be recommended.

The following ideas were explored at a highly conceptual level during the on-site Design Workshop from Jan 31-Feb 2, and included public / stakeholder input and review. In general, the design directions were positively received in terms of 'being on the right track' and 'exploring the right types of issues' as manifestations of the Frameworks. The preliminary ideas are not meant to be 'final designs' or to represent the totality of actionable design and recommendations for the area. However, they do provide useful initial thoughts to inform subsequent more detailed design work when the Action Plan is developed.
District's Character Areas

Several Map Diagrams were created to explore the physical, social and economic character of several sub-areas with the planning area. It is important to recognize the differences within the areas and their adjacencies. Not every location is suited for every use or every outcome. Rather, there are specific opportunities that can be capitalized upon to allow the full potential of the area to function on multiple levels for a diversity of uses. Gateways, key intersections, and important corridor linkages are meant to connect areas that can and should have different 'personalities' while responding to different economic opportunities.

The larger opportunity for the area is the potential renewal of the historic relationship of an active industrial jobs base that can support retail / Main Street uses and stabilized neighborhoods. However, the form of the industrial employment has changed because the rail has been replaced with trail. Some heavy industry can and should remain, however, new 'character' based industrial arts and craftsman economies are evolving. This momentum should be embraced as the engine for new opportunity, as well as the driver for fresh design of urban form, streets and public open space.
Warehouse Arts District

The potential of the Warehouse Arts and Industrial Corridor is as a diversified place of employment that recognizes the ongoing industrial users while humanizing the area for an emergent arts and culture experience. In general this means that while the area is typified by larger buildings and parcels, the scale of the area can be developed in more fine grain ways, including new street connections, better walking / biking and lighting and gateway entries.

Buildings that natural turn their backs on the streets and on the Pinellas Trail should (over time) be opened up to actively engage and beautify the public realm - making an environment that is walkable, penetrable and easily explored - despite some larger buildings, bigger blocks and active industry. This is the type of authentic arts + industry area that both locals and visitors want to explore and patronize, if the area is considered safe, understandable, well connected, visually interesting and easy to access.

During the Design Workshop the planning team met with key stakeholders to discuss how some of the underutilized existing right-of-way can be used as shared space for pedestrians, bicycles, and parking. The team also explored the possible vacation of key streets to allow future development.

TODAY
Jobs and Industry
Arts and galleries
Large buildings and parcels
Limited walking facilities
Things are far apart
Limited food and retail

PROPOSED
Reposition for new employment focus that includes light manufacturing and commercial featuring a walkable industry and art experience for visitors with adequate infrastructure
Deuces Live

The potential of the Deuces Live Main Street is as a place that provides local goods and services to the neighborhood, while providing certain cultural and culinary offerings of regional significance. The Deuces can be leverage its' own sense of place and main street scale as a destination for quality food and cultural opportunities. These will be of interest to the locals, outside visitors, and the growing 'captive audience' of workforce and visitors found in the WADA area. In addition, the local educational platform available to children and adult education (degrees and certificates) can and must be leveraged to the employment and residential base of the entire area (and region).

TODAY
Food and retail
Small buildings and parcels
Easy to walk and small streets
Things are close together
Community service focus

PROPOSED
Rediscover a new role that relates to the neighborhood and employment base featuring an authentic culture and cuisine experience for visitors and community service uses for locals
Vision Boards

As part of the Design Studio Workshop, the planning team provided an open house exercise for visitors to participate in an ad-hoc 'visual preference survey'. Entire walls at St Pete College were covered in photographs that were available for Green Dot / Red Dot voting regarding desired character and values for the future. The images that received the most green or red dots were specifically noted by the planning team, often with in-person dialogue with visitors in order to better understand why certain images were so popular (or un-popular). As a result of this exercise, the planning team was able to sort the images and the input into several categories of topics that are of particular importance to the community in consideration of future design.

Top ranked 'green dot' images are shown in the following pages, sorted by the planning team into resultant categories based on communication with the public participants. Only those images that were heavily voted with green dots are included. Therefore, the following images are considered to represent ideas that are strongly endorsed being important ideas for consideration by the many project participants.

- Historic Storefronts
- Main Street
- Flexible Activities
- Urban Ecology
- Bicycle and Pedestrian
- Signage and Banners
- Materials
- Art
- Urban Agriculture
- Container Buildings
- Shared Space
Historic Storefront
Character Buildings

Main Street
Sidewalk sales and markets
Flexible Activities
Shared Space
Re-purpose Dome Signs

As one of the ‘starter idea’ topics, the planning team felt it was important to address an issue of common interest and concern: what do to with the many concrete monuments found throughout the WADA. Ideas ranged from paint and new lighting to complete removal.

However, what was common to both points of view was the idea that SOMETHING needs to be done. The ‘Paint and Patch’ folks generally felt constrained: ‘what else can we do’? And the ‘Demolish Them’ folks generally felt that there was nothing aesthetically appealing that could be done and therefore they should be removed altogether. The planning team, in consultation with some of the local artists came two conclusions:

1. There is a strong desire for vertical art in the landscape throughout the WADA, particularly at the key Gateways which are hard to understand as ‘gateways’ due to the physical nature of the industrial properties. The monuments happen to be present at many of the desired locations.

2. The core ‘repurposing’ value of the monuments is their substantial concrete construction and electrical service, which could easily serve as the structural core for a completely different type of sculpture comprised of façade applications that could transform the concrete slab into a work of art.

As a ‘starter idea’ the planning team illustrated two alternative design solutions for how to re-clad the concrete cores as new, industrial art pieces with interesting opportunities for texture, materials, light, form, scale, movement and even whimsy. This idea was strongly received, with the initial recommendation that the monuments could be opened up for a design competition with parameters for several local artists to each win a commission to redesign a given monument.

Because each monument has different scale and different physical location and setting, the potential is great for highly diverse solutions, including individual sculptures at each gateway location. This actually played nicely into a discussion about the philosophy of ‘gateways’. Perhaps not every area is best announced with a literal ‘gateway’ portal, but rather the apparent perception that ‘I know I am in the arts area, because there are large applications of industrial art all around me’!
Citywide Vehicle Wayfinding System

Signage and Wayfinding

The City of St. Petersburg is currently working on a citywide car and pedestrian wayfinding system. The consultant team showed the proposed vehicle signs package to the Warehouse Arts Districts and Deuces Live Steering Committee members and collectively made some suggestions and recommendations regarding the signage within the Warehouse Arts and Deuces Live Corridor.

The community stressed the importance of identifying key intersections where large monument signs can be placed, and the opportunity of re-using the existing monument signs. Key public and landmark buildings will be evaluated to assess whether they meet the wayfinding program's inclusion criteria. Locations that satisfy the criteria will be voted as sign appropriate.

The pedestrian wayfinding program was just initiated and currently focuses on the greater downtown core. The program will be expanded to additional areas, including the Warehouse Arts District & Deuces Live Corridor.

The Warehouse Arts Districts has events regularly, for example Art Walk, and they have identified that it is essential to have a good pedestrian wayfinding system for exploring both districts.
strategy pointer signs - best in class

what we like
+ use of icons and wording to convey multiple dining and retail destinations
+ artistic and creative touches
+ providing distance information

what we like
+ two-sided signs
+ taller, more narrow sign
+ enlarged map paired with downtown map
+ solar illumination
+ artistic element
+ illuminated sides
+ potential integration of "pointer signs"

other elements to include:
+ brochure holders
+ website information

Citywide Pedestrian Wayfinding Concept Ideas
22nd Street S and 5th Ave Intersection

The planning team discussed the importance of this intersection on several levels. Obviously, it is a nexus of two significant streets, and the trail, which creates an activity and a unique geometry. We have one of the most significant pieces of historic architecture in the train station (Morean Center for Clay). We also have the new Arts Xchange initiative for artist incubation. And we have a recognizable destination that could and should be identified through coordinated Wayfinding Signage System as one of several key addresses for outsiders looking to explore the areas.

We also have an area that (other than one corner of the Morean Center) completely turns its back to the public realm. Buildings and activity are actually oriented away from the street and trail. And the street itself is not visually featured while operationally, it is designed primarily for vehicles.

Starter Ideas have to do with featuring the 22/5 intersection in several ways:
- Regional signage to the location, the Morean and Arts Xchange locations,
- Street print, art or other graphics to celebrate the area,
- Reoriented buildings to create activity (retail, front doors and graphics) that face the street, trail and intersection,
- Use of publically owned land to create a small gathering / market / events plaza that can seamlessly link to the Trail, the streetscape and other privately owned break out spaces to create an area for many types of experiences and celebration of the overall Arts and Culture area.

The entire 22/5 area (east and west of 22nd Street) has enormous potential for that type of trail head activity center, focused on arts, food and entertainment.
NOTE: The following plan diagram is for illustration purposes only to explore initial ideas, putting the framework into actions. More detail studies and formal recommendations will be accomplish in the subsequent detail design work.
Pinellas Trail

The planning team made particular note of the large properties west of 22nd Street, south of 5th Avenue that contain many unique triangular geometries due to the historic alignment of the rail. Today, these triangles are not used in any particular way and the trail and other streets are generally addressed with the 'backs' of large buildings.

However, there is a significant design and place-making opportunity for the future if both the public sector and private land owners were to think about the area in a different way. The Trail and key exterior streets can be treated as 'front doors'. The triangular lot patterns create numerous opportunities for small pocket parks, plaza's and pop-up activity areas with unique geometries and site lines...in the small angled edges that are not efficient for new buildings to extend right to the corner.

Rather than simply having to circumnavigate large super-blocks of disconnected streets faced with 'back of house' building facades, there is an opportunity to develop an inviting discovery experience – an arts village with new streets, pathways and paseos to provide better 'permeability' through this area. The vision sketch accomplishes this “permeability” by opening up 23rd Street Right-of-way to shared space for parking, pedestrians and bicycles across the trail.

Pedestrians, bicycles and slow moving vehicles (and parking) can explore the interior of this sub-district and its' businesses in a way that is not possible today. From a geometric point of view, this area of 'industrial corners and triangles' has the skeletal bones to be one of the most unique and interesting small urban places in Florida while also connecting seamless to the growing activity further west between Terminal Drive and 6th Ave South.
NOTE: The following plan diagram is for illustration purposes only to explore initial ideas, putting the framework into actions. More detail studies and formal recommendations will be accomplish in the subsequent detail design work.
Reposition Deuces Main Street

The Deuces Live Main Street runs from the intersection of 15th Avenue South, northward to the intersection of 9th Avenue South, adjacent to the planned landscape and lighting improvements underneath I-275. Historically, the 'urban pattern' of street front buildings extended northward to approximately 6th Avenue South, including the site of the current Manhattan Casino. Although the Job Corps complex is highly suburban (and will remain in place), it is important that other buildings along 22nd street (such as at the 'Commerce Park' redevelopment site) adopt an urban 'street front' design to the greatest degree reasonably possible.

In looking at 'Starter Ideas', the planning team focused on the roughly 1500 linear Foot stretch from the I-275 properties to just below 11th Street South, near St. Petersburg College. This focus area allowed the team to touch on many of the key issues that can be explored more fully for the entire area. This included looking at the infill development of underutilized (or vacant) parcels, creating areas for shared parking, property & facade enhancements to existing buildings, a community market/garden & locally sourced food & dining opportunities (as a regional draw) and the creation of a Trail-head / Gateway at 9th Avenue South were all explored.

There are several key sites owned by the City and St. Petersburg College which could be utilized differently to provide opportunities for shared community use such as parking, markets and redevelopment. This includes vacant land, and a possible re-purposing / renewal of the Royal Theater, in conjunction with new accommodations for the Boys and Girls Club. A potential partnership with St. Petersburg College should be explored, as they are not only a key educational institution in the community, they also own buildings along the corridor that could possibly be re-purposed. Every potential partnership must be brought to bear on the Deuces Live Main Street and it is important for community groups to work together to leverage every opportunity together.

The challenges with the Deuces Main Street are (in part) centered on the economic stability of the area. As a result, no single 'physical' improvement will address the underlying challenges. The cycle of family stability, housing stability, education, jobs and spending capacity go hand in hand. Yet, the area has a proud cultural history, interesting building stock, significant community institutions and a renewing arts and food community. These elements can be better featured to bring attention to the area.
NOTE: The following plan diagram is for illustration purposes only to explore initial ideas, putting the framework into actions. More detail studies and formal recommendations will be accomplish in the subsequent detail design work.
22nd Street Deuces Main Street

As part of the 'starter ideas' exploration, the planning team looked specifically at the two blocks of 22nd Street between the 9th and 11th Ave intersections. Drivers here include the concentration of historic or Main Street building stock, potential renovation to key buildings by local owners, infill / city owned sites and the need for some type of functional 'event space' that could be better managed and linked to the property owners.

In addition, there are challenges with the existing streetscape, in terms of appearance, limited sidewalk width and a fairly significant elevation drop from the sidewalk / doorway thresholds to the curb and street.

The design team also explored the possibility of creating a feature section of Main Street treatment from 9th to 11th that would include actually raising the street to both calm vehicular traffic and level the sidewalks. The result would be a 'shared space' street design, operational for traffic during standard times, but available for closure and integrated street party events during special times. Bricks and Canopy trees would enhance the history architecture. Event parking could be externalized in several locations. And on typical days, on-street parking and flush sidewalk conditions would allow for greater outdoor dining and event 'parklet' opportunities.

Bike lanes could be clearly marked as 'sharrows' to assist in traffic calming and clarified 'rights for bikes as part of a continuously branded bike facility for the entire 22nd Street corridor. And as a small detail, the design team suggested flipping the on-street parking to the west side of the street, as this location includes a greater length of buildings and sites for 'Main Street retailing' because the St Pete College annex building is on the east side of the street.

NOTE: The following conceptual sections are not meant to be final recommendations. Rather, they are initial ideas and studies to reallocate roadway space to better support, bicycle, pedestrian and on street parking when possible. More detail studies and formal recommendations will be accomplished in the subsequent detail design work.
Tangerine Plaza

During the latter stages of this Issues and Opportunities project, it was announced that the Neighborhood Walmart Grocery would be leaving the Tangerine Plaza at the southern end of the study area (at 18th Ave South). This creates instability in the neighborhood in terms of access to food and daily household items. Subsequent to this project workshop, the City of St. Petersburg held a February 23 public meeting to engage the community on the history of the plaza (including community concerns about how it was run) and future of the plaza (in terms of new or alternate uses). Members of the WADA/Deuces Planning team attended this event and assisted in facilitation. The Community constructively expressed several reasons why they believed the prior establishment didn’t work, acknowledged the need for a local shopping destination and the importance of a strong linkage between any potential new store and the neighborhood (and the mutual responsibility in supporting any future grocery).

A variety of creative ideas were shared relative to food and other local retail opportunities and the City committed to doing what it could to work to bring a potential tenant together with the Plaza ownership. Every opportunity should be explored and the City economic development team is working on those options now.
**22nd Street South Corridor**

As part of the 'Starter Ideas' work, the planning team looked at several (but not all) of the key streets to explore potential options for repositioning to make a more livable and attractive street network to connect the area Places. A more branded bikeway could be part of the overall branding of the entire 22nd Street Corridor from 1st Ave south all the way to 18th Ave South.

One initial idea had to do with the challenged and physically constrained 22nd Street South in the area of 3rd Ave South. Specifically, the concerns included wide travel lanes, high speed driving and exposed bike lanes (adjacent to on-street parking). Currently, many local cyclists use the sidewalks because the street character and driving behavior is too fast and cyclists may feel 'exposed' to an unsafe condition.

The design team modeled an alternative that would consolidate the two existing bike lanes into one 'cycle track' condition on the east side of the street. (similar to what the City has on 1st avenue south in downtown). This solution would narrow the visual width of the 'driving' area for motorists (calming traffic) while maintaining normalized vehicular widths. Bikes would have their own clear alignment, separate from vehicle traffic and outside the area where a parked car driver could hit a bike in parking or in opening the driver's side door.
NOTE: The following conceptual sections are not meant to be final recommendations. Rather, they are initial ideas and studies to reallocate roadway space to better support, bicycle, pedestrian and on street parking when possible. More detail studies and formal recommendations will be accomplished in the subsequent detail design work.
In the area south of 5th Avenue, the land use character evolves to include the Job Corps Center and the Commerce Park redevelopment site. In this location, the bike lanes could either return to the street, or continue in a bike trail on the east side, utilizing the substantial city sidewalk easement adjacent to Job Corps.

The solutions shown do NOT propose to move the existing curb and drainage lines, as this would add substantial cost to such a retrofit. Additional sidewalk improvements could be completed in an easement area alongside the curb. As redevelopment occurs, new sidewalk easements could allow right of way to be re-allocated to better accommodate sidewalk retailing, on-street parking and other amenities to support new 'Main Street' style buildings as shown on the west side of 22nd Street near Fairfield in the 'proposed condition'.

Because of the many roadway geometries and right of way widths, the solution for bicycles will need to transform from one area to another - but the planning team is interested in advancing the idea of a continuously branded bicycle route on 22nd Street across the entire planning area.

NOTE: The following conceptual sections are not meant to be final recommendations. Rather, they are initial ideas and studies to reallocate roadway space to better support bicycle, pedestrian and on-street parking when possible. More detail studies and formal recommendations will be accomplished in the subsequent detail design work.
5th Ave South

5th Avenue South is a very large regional road that is oversized relative to the amount of vehicular traffic it carries, and significantly undersized in terms of on-street parking, bike and pedestrian facilities to support evolving business reinvestment.

The design team explored several 'road diet' scenarios to introduce more features and reviewed with City Transportation. The prevailing 'starter idea' was to adjust the street to a two lane condition with sharrow travel lanes, and an introduced left turn lane at key intersections (such as at 24th Street) to accommodate truck turning movements and also create larger pedestrian sidewalk bulb-outs which will decrease the crossing distance. The on-street parking would be dropped at these key left turn locations in order to accommodate the dedicated left turn stacking lane. In all cases, continuous sidewalks and enhanced street lighting are recommended.

NOTE: The following conceptual sections are not meant to be final recommendations. Rather, they are initial ideas and studies to reallocate roadway space to better support bicycle, pedestrian and on-street parking when possible. More detail studies and formal recommendations will be accomplished in the subsequent detail design work.
Intersection

5th Ave @ 23rd/24th Street - Initial Concept Study - Option 2

Warehouse Arts District - Deuces Live Action Plan
6th Ave South

6th Avenue South, west of 24th, has become an area of additional emergent arts + industrial investment. The planning team explored a few starter ideas here, in recognition that all the study streets west of 24th had minimal infrastructure, insufficient for safe pedestrian activity.

Essentially, 6th Ave has a wide, 30' roadway profile, within an ample 60' right of way. The initial recommendations are simply to take advantage of all that undifferentiated area to create more structured on-street parking, sidewalk and lighting opportunities! In areas where continuous driveway aprons extend along the street, the parking (recommended for the south side of street) could be deleted for a striped area. The wide right of way allows for slightly wider (7') sidewalks, usable for industrial / outdoor retailing activity, Art Walk, as well as the emergent activity of the new Cross Fit Training activities. Some of the areas of extended driveway apron (such as in front of Zen Glass) could be specially painted and used for parking during the week and event / plaza space during Art Walk, etc. With more articulated on-street parking, and perhaps one small public lot, all the businesses would benefit because the individual properties would appear more accessible to drive-up parking and walking access.

NOTE: The following conceptual sections are not meant to be final recommendations. Rather, they are initial ideas and studies to reallocate roadway space to better support, bicycle, pedestrian and on-street parking when possible. More detail studies and formal recommendations will be accomplished in the subsequent detail design work.
6th Ave - Existing Condition

6th Ave Initial Concept Study-Option 1 (business hours)
6th Ave Initial Concept Study - Option 1 (special events hours)

6th Ave Initial Concept Study - Option (addition of on street parking, tree lawn and sidewalk)
As stated in the Executive Summary and Project Approach, this planning effort was focused on engaging the community, listening and learning about the area(s), defining issues and opportunities and a rubric 'Framework' for Actions which can be more fully explored in a subsequent design phase.

Today, the planning team feels strong validation of the Issues/Opportunities and Framework from the many participants. The Starter Ideas were generally very well received and the main questions coming out of the February 2 Design Workshop Presentation were along two lines:

- "When will you do more detailed design"?
- "When can you look at the other locations"?

Clearly the detailed planning work to date are simply initial explorations to illustrate the types of ideas that would be suggested by following the Frameworks and other community input.

Subsequent work will be more focused on narrowing down preferred solutions for key locations, reviewing other locations in the study area that haven’t been touched yet, and developing priorities and order of magnitude budgets to allow for initial Actions to take place. Additionally, there were several non-physical issues identified in the process, whether they relate to underutilized buildings and parcels, operational / organizational issues, or potential community partnerships (such as with the Royal Theater, Boys & Girls Club and the Gymnasium owned by St. Pete College).

The opportunity now is to take the defined Issues and engaged Stakeholders and solidify more detailed recommendations into a comprehensive Action Plan that can be confirmed by the community, endorsed by the WADA and Deuces Boards of Directors, and prioritized and implemented (over time) by the City and the many local community partners.

Part 1
Guiding Principles & Project Identification
Nov. 2016 to Feb. 2017

Part 2
Design Concepts & Action Plan
Summer 2017

Refine & Develop Ideas
Action Plan Documentation
Final Report and Recommendations
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Public Kick-Off Meeting

Live/Work Map
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marc Levesque</td>
<td>Warehouse Art</td>
<td><a href="mailto:marc.marcel@marcelismade.com">marc.marcel@marcelismade.com</a></td>
<td>727-346-6315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Jane Park</td>
<td>WADA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:marc.marcel@marcelismade.com">marc.marcel@marcelismade.com</a></td>
<td>727-409-5347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry Lively</td>
<td>BLIVELY IMAGES</td>
<td><a href="mailto:BLIVELYA@GMAIL.COM">BLIVELYA@GMAIL.COM</a></td>
<td>813-271-3898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Mickett</td>
<td>mrs estudio</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mickett@stackhouseequal.com">mickett@stackhouseequal.com</a></td>
<td>727-640-9894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Stackhouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>727-244-7365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velma Favey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>727-204-9236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deirdre Eligado</td>
<td>WADA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:diaw@equalexchange.com">diaw@equalexchange.com</a></td>
<td>727-498-658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niki Copenhart</td>
<td>city of St. Pete</td>
<td>NIKI.COPENHA-GStPete.org</td>
<td>727-873-7885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacy Fernand</td>
<td>WADA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tracy@yourvirtualbot.com">tracy@yourvirtualbot.com</a></td>
<td>727-631-2211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are Lavender</td>
<td>Deuces Live</td>
<td><a href="mailto:clavender0822@gmail.com">clavender0822@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-235-8513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Schrader</td>
<td>WADA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:crad1sworks@gmail.com">crad1sworks@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-424-5245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Sullivan</td>
<td>Wapo</td>
<td></td>
<td>727-543-7019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
## Warehouse Arts District
### Deuces Live
#### JOINT ACTION PLAN

**Project Kick-Off Event**
November 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shanna Boll</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:sboll@florida.gov">sboll@florida.gov</a></td>
<td>727-280-7466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOM JOHNSON</td>
<td>FLORIDAUR</td>
<td>TOM @ FLORIDAUR.BIZ</td>
<td>727 - 822 - 3653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theresa Jones</td>
<td>CRA Citizens Advisory Comm.</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Teljones67@gmail.com">Teljones67@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-550-7489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Kapusta</td>
<td>WADA</td>
<td></td>
<td>727 - 8822033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valerie Scott Krauskat</td>
<td>Morean Center for Clay</td>
<td>valerie_scott_krauskat@moreanartcenter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather Timmers</td>
<td></td>
<td>heather.timmers@moreanartcenter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoris S1Y</td>
<td>E/S</td>
<td><a href="mailto:enoris.s1y5r@yahoo.com">enoris.s1y5r@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>(727) 560-0957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Bridges</td>
<td>STEPHENSHOJI@SUSAN BRIDGES.COM</td>
<td>susan.bridges.com</td>
<td>727-945-2807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mario Vesven</td>
<td>New College</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mvesven@earthlink.net">mvesven@earthlink.net</a></td>
<td>727 - 896 1109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracy Daniel</td>
<td>Citizen</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tracy.daily@gmail.com">tracy.daily@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727 - 262-8990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allan Deitel</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:allc.deitel@st.pete.com">allc.deitel@st.pete.com</a></td>
<td>727 - 347 - 9065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jill C Brown</td>
<td>Organic Creative</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jill@organiccreative.com">jill@organiccreative.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St.Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ali Marie Cash</td>
<td>ECI</td>
<td>exquisite corpse international.com</td>
<td>727-252-6528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andy Graham</td>
<td>Raw Studios</td>
<td>a@graham7토요일.rr.com</td>
<td>813-484-7331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colleen Ward</td>
<td>myself</td>
<td><a href="mailto:SYMandalay@yahoo.com">SYMandalay@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>727-238-2286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Cyr</td>
<td>AARP</td>
<td>mcyr@ aarp.org</td>
<td>727-592-8008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosita Hubbard</td>
<td>AVA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:advantagevillage@gmail.com">advantagevillage@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-321-7917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoot Macauld</td>
<td>Blue Sky</td>
<td><a href="mailto:MommaTeeLassiter9@hotmail.com">MommaTeeLassiter9@hotmail.com</a></td>
<td>813-514-2080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momma Tee Lassiter</td>
<td>ACEIST</td>
<td><a href="mailto:MommaTee9@outlook.com">MommaTee9@outlook.com</a></td>
<td>727-254-6981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Woodfield</td>
<td>WHAD</td>
<td><a href="mailto:WoodFieldFireart@gmail.com">WoodFieldFireart@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-771-3768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARY FRIED</td>
<td>GALLERIA</td>
<td>mg <a href="mailto:33705@gmail.com">33705@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-733-1934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max CASTON</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:marc@mcaston.com">marc@mcaston.com</a></td>
<td>(727) 551-3279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Chan</td>
<td>City of St.Pete</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Tony.Chan@stpete.org">Tony.Chan@stpete.org</a></td>
<td>646 209-5899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francine Mische</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:instructor1@tonyboy.rr.com">instructor1@tonyboy.rr.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St.Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
## Joint Action Plan

### Name

- Michael Egerter
- Chuck Egerter
- Harvey Drouillard
- Courtney Walker
- Patrick Collins
- Bray + Erin Allan
- Sean Vlisy
- Roy Rov
- Eric Tenne
- Peggy Wolfe
- Dale "Deuce" Wahl

### Organization

- Deuces Live
- harryphots.co
- St. Pete Makers
- Deuces BID
- SPYP
- More u d I u D
- Coast Bike Shop
- Keller Williams
- Warehouse Live

### Email Address

- michaelwwoffe@gmail.com
- cegerter@eagledatagistics.com
- harveydrouillard99@gmail.com
- www.st-pete-makers.org
- collinspt@gmail.com
- europartstpete@gmail.com
- sean@solutionacucly.com
- royrovdash@gmail.com
- moreudiludash@gmail.com
- kellerwilliams6@gmail.com
- peggywolfe@gmail.com
- warehouselive@gmail.com

### Phone Number

- 727-460-8929
- 727-575-1938
- 734-657-8182
- 813-245-4084
- 727-480-8007
- (727) 481-1953
- 707-344-4744
- 727-443-7558
- 407-569-8993
- 727-314-6079
- 727-215-5395

---

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.*

By signing this, I permit the City of St.Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
**Warehouse Arts District**

**Deuces Live**

**JOINT ACTION PLAN**

---

**Project Kick-Off Event**

**November 30, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cynthia Linden</td>
<td>Consilience</td>
<td><a href="mailto:realitybytes.cl@gmail.com">realitybytes.cl@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-280-3638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert &amp; Torrie Jaszun</td>
<td>JAS Energy</td>
<td><a href="mailto:albert@jasenergy.net">albert@jasenergy.net</a></td>
<td>727-452-1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucas Cruse</td>
<td>City</td>
<td><a href="mailto:carlub.bristol@gmail.com">carlub.bristol@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-563-3650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Walker</td>
<td>Gallery 701</td>
<td><a href="mailto:HSherman.25@gmail.com">HSherman.25@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>914-420-8010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carla, Bristol</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:carlub.bristol@gmail.com">carlub.bristol@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-481-0947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidi/Fred Shuffman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>305 785 6573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Joff</td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td><a href="mailto:r.melenize@hotmail.com">r.melenize@hotmail.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricardo Melander</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Karl countryside.estrpdc variance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karl Nunez</td>
<td>Freelance</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Hussain1126@gmail.com">Hussain1126@gmail.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hussain Shamsuddin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Adnan <a href="mailto:Ali@gmail.com">Ali@gmail.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adnan Ali</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.
By signing this, I permit the City of St.Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.*
### Joint Action Plan

**Project Kick-Off Event**
November 30, 2016

**Name** | **Organization** | **Email Address** | **Phone Number**
---|---|---|---
Mark Aeling | WADA | Mike@wadastudios.com | 727-327-3493
Justin Mcclain | ZEN GLASS WADA BUTLER HYDOTHERAPY | info@zenglass.com | 727-515-7039
Josh Poll | BUTLER HYDOTHERAPY | | 730.788.8546
Michelle Butler | Deuces USF St Pete | gloradcampbell@gmail.com | 727 896 6104
Gloria Campbell | | jaysauers@gmail.com | 727 424 5343
Jen Solisovsky | Deuces | jwara17@gmail.com | 727 871 7084
Amy Saunders | | Samburr53@gmail.com | 813 810 1637
Jonathan Taylor | | Sebastien.Theodore@gmail.com | 813 843 3736
Jamali Williams | | | 
Sam Bury | | | 
Sebastian Theodore | | | 

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.*

By signing this, I permit the City of St.Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
## Public Kick-Off Meeting

**November 30, 2016**

---

### Joint Action Plan

**Warehouse Arts**

**District Deuces Live**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appenbrot</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>j APPENBROTMAYORONLINE.COM</td>
<td>(727) 251-4786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Eyer</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:jemakeron@gmail.com">jemakeron@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>813-384-4782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sara Norine</td>
<td>Blue Sky</td>
<td><a href="mailto:saranorine@gmail.com">saranorine@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>813-384-4782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shann Wilson</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:swilson@blueskycommunityxe.com">swilson@blueskycommunityxe.com</a></td>
<td>813-384-4782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gina Marotti</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:gmarotti@blue-sky.com">gmarotti@blue-sky.com</a></td>
<td>813-384-4782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Adams</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:rickadams@gmail.com">rickadams@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>(727) 251-4786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.*

By signing this, I permit the City of St.Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
Instructions:
Please identify on the map locations and places related to the following that you would like to preserve, enhance, or transform:
- Streetscape - sidewalks, bike lanes, lighting, landscape, benches
- Wayfinding - gateways, signage and graphics
- Open Space and Recreation - parks, pocket parks, trails
- Private Property - building facades, size, type, use

Use the color-coded dots for places you would like to:
- PRESERVE: This is great the way it is!
- ENHANCE: This could use some improvement.
- TRANSFORM: This isn't working well and needs attention.

Comments specific to each dot may be written below. Please label each dot and comment with a corresponding number.

Comments:
1. Gateway - Transformation
   Lighting, safety, landscape
2. Gateway - Signage, Place-making
3. Preserve - Creative woodson, Royal Theatre
4. Develop - empty lots
5. Access - Walkability, Parking
6. Eliminate: Trolley service to the Dunes
PUBLIC KICK-OFF
November 30, 2016

Instructions:
Please identify on the map locations and places related to the following that you would like to preserve, enhance, or transform:
- Streetscape - sidewalks, bike lanes, lighting, landscapes, benches
- Waterfront - gateways, signage, graphics
- Open Space and Recreation - parks, pocket parks, trails
- Private Property - building facades, size, type, use

Use the color-coded dots for places you would like to:
- PRESERVE: This is great the way it is
- ENHANCE: This could use some improvement.
- TRANSFORM: This isn’t working well and needs attention.

Comments specific to each dot may be written below. Please label each dot and comment with a corresponding number.

Comments:

- [Comments]
- [Comments]
- [Comments]
Public Kick-Off Meeting

November 30, 2016

Instructions:
Please identify on the map locations and places related to the following that you would like to preserve, enhance, or transform:
- Pedestrian - sidewalks, bike lanes, lighting, landscaping, benches
- Wayfinding - gateways, signage and graphics
- Open Space and Recreation - parks, pocket parks, trails
- Private Property - building facades, site, light use

Use the color-coded dots for places you would like to:
- PRESERVE: This is great the way it is
- ENHANCE: This could use some improvements.
- TRANSFORM: This isn't working well and needs attention.

Comments specific to each dot may be written below. Please label each dot and comment with a corresponding number.

Comments:
1. Warehouse to ASB - Small Rede
2. Bike Path (like it was before)
3. Small Park/Playground
4. Sunken Garden
5. Bookstore/Computer Cafe/Office
6. Small Vacant Building
7. Modern Plaza/Investment/Development
8. Warehouse - Base (Assisted Rental)
9. Bike Path/Re-use/Proposed Transformation
10. Transportation - Tracey Ave
11. Modern Plaza - Dog Park
12. Green Space/Sidewalks/Walk Path
13. SmallBrandon
14. Brandon Park
15. Off Street Parking
16. Green Space/Garden/Proposed Garden
17. Small Vacant Business Space
Instructions:
Please identify on the map locations and places related to the following that you would like to preserve, enhance, or transform:
- Streetscape - sidewalks, bike lanes, lighting, landscape, benches
- Wayfinding - gateways, signage and graphics
- Open Space and Recreation - parks, pocket parks, trails
- Private Property - buildings, size, type, use

Use the color-coded dots for places you would like to:
- PRESERVE: This is great the way it is!
- ENHANCE: This could use some improvement.
- TRANSFORM: This isn’t working well and needs attention.

Comments specific to each dot may be written below. Please label each dot and comment with a corresponding number.

Comments:

1. Arts district - more developed please
2. Mason Center for Clay - improve
3. Manhattan Commons - improve
4. 5th St & 5th Ave - Lake Park
5. School - streetcar - improved
6. Corner Heights
   - Historic Park - Community Garden - BetterPark

LEGEND
- Deuces Live Planning Area
- Warehouse Arts District Planning Area
- Future Short-term
- Bike Lane
-口袋公园
- Pocket Park
- Park

Map Exercise
| Preserve-Creole, Woodson, Royal Theatre- Five Deuces Galleries? | Gateway-Signage, Place marker
   20th St. S at 275
   21st St. S at 10th Ave
   16th St. S at 9th Ave
   20th St. S at 5th Ave
   20th St. S at 1st Ave
   22nd St. S at 1st Ave/2nd Ave | Gateway-Signage, Place marker
   20th St. S at 275
   21st St. S at 10th Ave
   16th St. S at 9th Ave
   20th St. S at 5th Ave
   20th St. S at 1st Ave
   22nd St. S at 1st Ave/2nd Ave | Underpass Transformation- lighting, safety, landscape
   1-275 and 22nd Street S |

Gina's property w/cactus/open space - Emmeron Ave. S (near Pinellas Trail) | Develop-empty lots-Along 22nd St. S...
   between 7th Ave S & Fairfield Ave S
   between Fairfield Ave S & 6th Ave S
   between 5th Ave S & 4th Ave S | vacant lot between 3rd Ave A & 4th Ave S, 23rd St S
   and 22nd St S |

Preserve-Morean Center for Clay | Access-Walkability, Parking
   Pinellas Trail at 24th St. S
   Terminal Dr. S to Fairfield Ave S to 5th Ave S & 6th Ave S
   over to Terminal,
   5th Ave S & 27th St. S | vacant contiguous lots- between 5th Ave S and 6th Ave S,
   24th St. S and 23rd St S |

Back to what it used to be- walkway returned at Pinellas Trail near Morean Center for Clay | Signage - Emerson Ave S at 24th St. S (Duncan McClillan S)
   Commerce Park - recently removed-Fairfield Ave S and 22nd St S |

Preserve Palmetto Park | Streetscape-Sidewalks-22nd St. S and 3rd Ave S
   Terminal Dr. S to Fairfield Ave S at 2nd Ave S and 4th Ave S
   over to Terminal. | Modern Plaza and Internet/Coffee Shop-22nd St S
   between Pinellas Trail and 6th Ave |

Preserve-Morean Center for Clay | Small Engine Repair Shop- Pinellas Trail and 5th Ave S
   Renewable Energy, Solar Panels-22nd St S | Dormish Overpass-275 at 22nd St S |

Major Arts District signage off interstate: highway runs through WADA & you have no idea - far left of map, left of 275, below 12th Ave. | Music
   Venue/Repurpose/Entertainment/New/Restaurant/Food/Culture
   22nd St. S between 6th Ave S and Fairfield Ave S | Transform Dark Overpass, not well lit, lacking, visually uninspiring- 275 and 22nd St |

Warehouse Arts District - Deuces Live Action Producer | Transportation-Trolley Central Ave- 22nd St. S at 1st Ave S (WADA Border)
   Signage to Woodson Museum and Heritage Trail | Overpass needs lighting, Streetscape - 275 at 22nd St S |

Manhattan | Lighting/Sidewalks/Bike Path-22nd St. S at 1st Ave S (WADA Border)
   Enhance Lighting along 5th Ave S and 22nd St S | Empty Lot- 22nd St. S between 6th Ave S and 9th Ave S |

Clean up Shopping Center - 22nd St S and 6th Ave S | Clean up Shopping Center - 22nd St S and 6th Ave S |

Arts Exchange - more developed, needs $ - left of 22nd St just below Pinelles Trail | Enhanced lighting: 24 hour access; encourage businesses to open to and engage with Pinellas Trail -
   Pinelles Trail left of 22nd St |

22nd St. Bike Lane gets sketchy past 5th - dot at 22nd St just below 9th Ave | Manhattan Casino, Publicly controlled events venue? |

Sidewalk widened and improved - 22nd St. S between 3rd Ave S and 5th Ave S. | Empty lots, park? Community garden, better block up-
   left of 22nd St. S and above 7th Ave |

Enhance with signage for this area - Emerson Ave at Duncan McClillan Gallery | Make their plan for dope? Connect to Deuces Plan - Tropicana Field |

Build attractive entrance to Deuces - 22nd St. S at 275 | Changing the Dome Sign to WADA - 22nd St. S at 1st Ave S. AND 5th Ave S at Morean Center for Clay |

Enhanced lighting: 24 hour access; encourage businesses to open to and engage with Pinellas Trail -
   Pinelles Trail left of 22nd St | Ice Plant: needs a facelift on a block with lots of development - corner of 3rd Ave S and 29th St S |

Preserve-Creole, Woodson, Royal Theatre- 22nd St. S and Longdon Ave S | Gateway-Signage, Place marker
   18th Ave and 22nd Street S. | Underpass Transformation- lighting, safety, landscape
   1-275 and 22nd Street S |

Jordan Park- tax credit rehab? | Develop-empty lots-22nd St. S near Patt Collage |

Preserve Jordan Park | Vacant lot to a building-Small Plaza-at 22nd St. S, between 275 and 9th Ave S. |

Preserve Woodson Museum | Overhaul: Dance Lessons/Rockabilly-22nd St S at 11th Ave S |
   Retail: Flea market- 22nd S and 3rd Ave S and 11th Ave S |

Preserve Local Small Businesses - along 22nd St. S at 13th Ave S | Transportation-Trolley Central Ave- 22nd St. S and 18th Ave S |

Preserve and Enhance Transformed Corner- 22nd St. S and 9th Ave S near Pete College | Modern Plaza- Dry Cleaners-22nd St. S and 15th Ave S |

Preserve Grocery Store and Shopping Plaza at 35th Ave S and 22nd Ave S | Lighting/Sidewalks/Bike Path-22nd St. S and 18th Ave S |

Royal Theatre | Off-Street Parking-22nd St S at 18th Ave S (4157) |

Carter Woodson Museum | Enhance Lighting along 8th Ave S and 22nd St S. |

Preserve and Enhance Transformed Corner- 22nd St. S and 9th Ave S near Pete College | Enhance the Main Street Corridor, lighting, signage, etc. |

Dr. Carter Woodson Museum - important feature of the city - could include in Manhattan Casino with food and music venue - (no dot on map, 3 dots on comment line, all colors) |

Dr. Carter Woodson Museum - important feature of the city - could include in Manhattan Casino with food and music venue - (no dot on map, 3 dots on comment line, all colors) |

Carter Woodson Museum | General Deuces District: None of our group is overly familiar with anything south of 9th Ave. Needs Improvement |

Culture, Food, Historic Restoration - corner of 22nd St. S and 9th Ave S at Creole Cafe | Build, Norway, Demolish/Repurpose - Left of 22nd St. S, above 22nd St S |

Warehouse Arts District - Deuces Live Action Plan
Public Kick-Off Meeting
Question Exercise

How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?

- Signage for district festivals, tours & more non-profits to help kids with arts, etc.
- Make it safer: more lighting, cleaner buildings, less crime. Bigger sidewalks.

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?

- Communication working with land/business owners. Simpifying the building development process.
- Help non-profits Martin Luther with initiatives.

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?

- Annual Arts & Heritage Festival
- Art Walk is at night - there should be a comparable day time event.
- Unique, welcoming, diverse, ARTful
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How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?

- Highlight and improve African American Heritage.
- Jointly develop Art Path at 22nd + State to reflect our collective diversity.

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?

- The next unexplored district will be planted.

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?

- Light the path.
- 2. Shade seating.
- 3. Develop Art Path.
- 4. Upgrade lighting.
- 5. Better lighting throughout district.
- 6. Upgrade utilities.

How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?

- Public buy-in, sm. biz + corp. support, integrate art.

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?

- Visually appealing, accessible, safe, & interactively engaging for people of all ages (8yrs-8yrs).

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?

- Integrate art & enhanced function in... bus stops, bike lanes, "welcoming" signage, crosswalks, bike racks outside businesses, Empty Lots? Better - Jazz concerts - Block Pop-up markets.
How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?

- Historical anecdotes
- Heritage

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?

- More green
- Clean

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?

- Lighter sidewalks
- Better lighting

How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?

- Identity and shared unique qualities
- Creative ways

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?

- Including creative arts
- Fun, writing

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?

- Lighting sidewalks
- In WADA
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Public Kick-Off Meeting
Question Exercise

How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?
- Highlight black culture
- Allyway, nurture local, non-corporate, small, black business
- This is like the French Quarter in New Orleans
- Bring black history back

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?
- Brick walk only street
- Ideal for street festival
- Take out highway
- Street lamps, new orleans awnings, theme

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?
- Rebuild historic buildings
- Brick street, walk to walk
- Educate about black history
- Add market space, town hall square, like Faneuil Hall, Boston

How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?
- A true artist
- Gallery - whole

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?
- Lighting
- Walking paths
- Beautification
- Landscape

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?
Public Kick-Off Meeting
Question Exercise

How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?

Warehouse Arts District
Deuces Live

JOINT ACTION PLAN

How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?</td>
<td>Have students in local schools come to arts district for after school programs in art, music, photography, fashion design, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How would you express your vision for the physical environment?</td>
<td>Better wayfinding, sidewalk and lighting improvements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?</td>
<td>Support the arts exchange project in funding and grants. Keep the real estate prices at a reasonable level. Change dome signs to provide local color and direction.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?

- Culture of Community
  - Stays 1st Priority
  - Work
  - All included in Process
  - No Wednesday night meetings

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?

- Safety
  - Historic
- Lighting
  - Sidewalk
  - Plants
  - Benches

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?

- Create a central meeting area - 5th + 22nd
- Light on Trail
How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?

Festivals - Annually
Art, Music, Food
Community Gardens
Green Spaces & Parks

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?

Progressive
Clean, Modern
Nature, Greenspace
Open, Safe, Fun

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?

New Lights/Fixtures
New Curbs, Sidewalks
Signage/Gateways
Curb Appeal
Plants, Park

Warehouse Arts District
Deuces Live
Joint Action Plan
How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?
How can we celebrate individual culture and collective diversity?

- Joint Music and Arts Festival
- URBAN ARTS TASK FORCE
- ANNUAL AWARDS BANQUET

How would you express your vision for the physical environment?

- LIGHTING SIDWAYS
- AN APPEALING, SAFE, AND INVITING COMMUNITY THAT IS COLORFUL AND EXCITING

Where would you propose an immediate improvement to enhance the area?

- Central Ave Trolley into Midtown - Connecting Central Ave to "Central Ave & Television"
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cynthia Linden</td>
<td>Consilience</td>
<td><a href="mailto:realitybytes.cl@gmail.com">realitybytes.cl@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-280-3638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Iglew</td>
<td>St. Sri. Council</td>
<td><a href="mailto:happydiveclade@gmail.com">happydiveclade@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>813 994 0813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janine Talager</td>
<td>80 Degree Media</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jvt916@verizon.net">jvt916@verizon.net</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Egler</td>
<td>Deuces Live Eagle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Jane Park</td>
<td>WADA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT Dubois</td>
<td>St Pete Ex</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jpdubuque@stpete.edu.com">jpdubuque@stpete.edu.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francine Michel</td>
<td>WADA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracy Darby</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tracydarby@gmail.com">tracydarby@gmail.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marianna Mitchell</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Gower</td>
<td>LOT Owner</td>
<td><a href="mailto:gower@tampabayhousing.com">gower@tampabayhousing.com</a></td>
<td>727 888 8335</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record. By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.*
**Warehouse Arts District Deuces Live**

**JOINT ACTION PLAN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gisele Jackson</td>
<td>WADA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:thekeyofsi@yahoo.com">thekeyofsi@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>727-259-8834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlene Feden</td>
<td>Dazzio Art</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dazzioart@gmail.com">dazzioart@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>714-323-3197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
### North of I-275

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMFORT &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the neighborhood make a good first impression?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area feel safe during the day and night?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate shade?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET INFRASTRUCTURE &amp; SAFETY</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel safe crossing the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are people walking on the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING USE &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the buildings in good condition?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings look appealing to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings contribute to the character of the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the area been improved?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should the area have improvements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### South of I-275

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMFORT &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the neighborhood make a good first impression?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area feel safe during the day and night?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate shade?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET INFRASTRUCTURE &amp; SAFETY</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel safe crossing the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are people walking on the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING USE &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the buildings in good condition?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings look appealing to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings contribute to the character of the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the area been improved?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should the area have improvements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rank the questions from 1 to 5: 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest.

### North of I-275

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMFORT &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the neighborhood make a good first impression?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area feel safe during the day and night?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate shade?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET INFRASTRUCTURE &amp; SAFETY</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel safe crossing the streets?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are people walking on the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING USE &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the buildings in good condition?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings look appealing to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings contribute to the character of the area?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the area been improved?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should the area have improvements?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### South of I-275

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMFORT &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the neighborhood make a good first impression?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area feel safe during the day and night?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate shade?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET INFRASTRUCTURE &amp; SAFETY</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel safe crossing the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are people walking on the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING USE &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the buildings in good condition?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings look appealing to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings contribute to the character of the area?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the area been improved?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should the area have improvements?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Trolley Tour Assessment Results

## Warehouse Arts District

### Trolley Tour & Walking Audit

December 1, 2016

Rank the questions from 1 to 5: 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest.

### North of I-275

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMFORT &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the neighborhood make a good first impression?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area feel safe during the day and night?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate shade?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET INFRASTRUCTURE &amp; SAFETY</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel safe crossing the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are people walking on the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING USE &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the buildings in good condition?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings look appealing to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings contribute to the character of the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the area been improved?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should the area have improvements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### South of I-275

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMFORT &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the neighborhood make a good first impression?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area feel safe during the day and night?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate shade?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET INFRASTRUCTURE &amp; SAFETY</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel safe crossing the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are people walking on the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING USE &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the buildings in good condition?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings look appealing to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings contribute to the character of the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the area been improved?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should the area have improvements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Trolley Tour & Walking Audit

December 1, 2016

Rank the questions from 1 to 5: 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest.

### North of I-275

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMFORT &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the neighborhood make a good first impression?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area feel safe during the day and night?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate shade?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET INFRASTRUCTURE &amp; SAFETY</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel safe crossing the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are people walking on the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING USE &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the buildings in good condition?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings look appealing to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings contribute to the character of the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the area been improved?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should the area have improvements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### South of I-275

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMFORT &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the neighborhood make a good first impression?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area feel safe during the day and night?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate shade?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET INFRASTRUCTURE &amp; SAFETY</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel safe crossing the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are people walking on the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING USE &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the buildings in good condition?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings look appealing to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings contribute to the character of the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the area been improved?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should the area have improvements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rank the questions from 1 to 5: 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest.

### North of I-275

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMFORT &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the neighborhood make a good first impression?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area feel safe during the day and night?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate shade?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STREET INFRASTRUCTURE &amp; SAFETY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel safe crossing the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are people walking on the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING USE &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the buildings in good condition?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings look appealing to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings contribute to the character of the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the area been improved?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should the area have improvements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### South of I-275

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMFORT &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the neighborhood make a good first impression?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area feel safe during the day and night?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate shade?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STREET INFRASTRUCTURE &amp; SAFETY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel safe crossing the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are people walking on the streets?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILDING USE &amp; IMAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are the buildings in good condition?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings look appealing to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the buildings contribute to the character of the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the area been improved?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should the area have improvements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## North of I-275

### Comfort and Image
- Does the neighborhood make a good first impression? 2.3 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2.7 \(\pm\) 1.3 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2.1
- Does the area feel safe during the day and night? 3 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2.4
- Do the streets provide adequate shade? 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 1 \(\pm\) n/r \(\pm\) 1.8

### Street Infrastructure & Safety
- Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities? 1.8 \(\pm\) 2.5 \(\pm\) 1.8 \(\pm\) 1.8 \(\pm\) 1.8 \(\pm\) 1.9
- Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks? 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2.0
- Do you feel safe crossing the streets? 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2.0
- Are people walking on the streets? 1 \(\pm\) 4 \(\pm\) 1 \(\pm\) 1 \(\pm\) 1 \(\pm\) 1.6

### Building Use and Image
- Are the buildings in good condition? 2.3 \(\pm\) 1.8 \(\pm\) 2.5 \(\pm\) 2.5 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2.2
- Do the buildings look appealing to you? 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2.2
- Do the buildings contribute to the area? 3 \(\pm\) 1 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2.0
- Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area? 3 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 1 \(\pm\) 2.0

### Economic and Redevelopment
- Has the area been improved? 3.7 \(\pm\) 3.7 \(\pm\) 3.7 \(\pm\) 3.3 \(\pm\) 4.3 \(\pm\) 3.7
- Should the area have improvements? 3 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 2.6
- Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment? 4 \(\pm\) 5 \(\pm\) 4 \(\pm\) 4 \(\pm\) 5 \(\pm\) 4.4

### Weighted Total Score: (percentage)
- 50.5\% 50.0\% 53.5\% 31.0\% 50.5\% 47.1\%

## South of I-275

### Comfort and Image
- Does the neighborhood make a good first impression? 1.7 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2.7 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 2.3
- Does the area feel safe during the day and night? 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 2.4
- Do the streets provide adequate shade? 1 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 2.4

### Street Infrastructure & Safety
- Do the streets provide appropriate bicycle facilities? 1.8 \(\pm\) 2.3 \(\pm\) 2.3 \(\pm\) 2.5 \(\pm\) 2.7 \(\pm\) 2.3
- Do the streets provide adequate sidewalks? 1 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 1 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 2.0
- Do you feel safe crossing the streets? 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2.2
- Are people walking on the streets? 2 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 1 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 2.2

### Building Use and Image
- Are the buildings in good condition? 2.3 \(\pm\) 2.7 \(\pm\) 2.7 \(\pm\) 3.3 \(\pm\) 4 \(\pm\) 3.0
- Do the buildings look appealing to you? 2 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 1 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 2.4
- Do the buildings contribute to the area? 3 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 4 \(\pm\) 2.8
- Are the uses of the buildings desirable to the area? 2 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 5 \(\pm\) 4 \(\pm\) 3.2

### Economic and Redevelopment
- Has the area been improved? 3.5 \(\pm\) 4 \(\pm\) 4 \(\pm\) 5 \(\pm\) 4.3 \(\pm\) 4.2
- Should the area have improvements? 2 \(\pm\) 3 \(\pm\) 2 \(\pm\) 5 \(\pm\) 4 \(\pm\) 3.2
- Does the area create opportunities for redevelopment? 5 \(\pm\) 5 \(\pm\) 5 \(\pm\) 5 \(\pm\) 5 \(\pm\) 5.0

### Weighted Total Score: (percentage)
- 46.5\% 55.0\% 55.0\% 56.7\% 70.0\% 56.6\%
## WADA Steering Committee Meeting

January 11, 2017
11:00am-1:00pm

### Name | Zip Code | Email Address | Phone Number
--- | --- | --- | ---
Sarah Futral | 33602 | sarah.futral@kisingercamp.com | 813-871-5331
Guillermo Madriz | 33602 | gmadriz@kcaeng.com | 813-871-5831
Robert Bocik | 33712 | RRobcik@funktionhouse.com | 727-286-0589
Gina Fati | 33712 | imagination-ten@gmail.com | 727-902-1252
Mary Jane Park | 33712 | maryjane@warehouseartsmade.com | 727-409-5347
David Walker | 33712 | dave.walker@zenj063.com | 727-515-7040

---

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.*

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
**Part 1 - Issues and Opportunities Report**

**Warehouse Arts District**

**Deuces Live**

**JOINT ACTION PLAN**

---

**WADA Stakeholder Meeting**

**Artists/Business Owners**

**January 11, 2017**

**1:30-2:30pm**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valerie Scott</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Valerie.Scott.Kraus@MarriottCo.com">Valerie.Scott.Kraus@MarriottCo.com</a></td>
<td>727-819-7160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Adams</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td>942 Lives</td>
<td>727-1953</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.*

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
# WADA Stakeholder Meeting

**Business Owners (non-artists)**

**January 11, 2017**

**3:00-4:00pm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Erik Savitsky</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jes@3xider.com">jes@3xider.com</a></td>
<td>727.475.6002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deana Hawk</td>
<td>337-</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bcc@blackbrowncoffee.com">bcc@blackbrowncoffee.com</a></td>
<td>727.580.7200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.*

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
### JOINT ACTION PLAN

**Name** | **Zip Code** | **Email Address** | **Phone Number**
---|---|---|---
Ross | 33703 | ross.ross@email.com | 727-822-7872 x419
Wayne Atherholt | 33701 | WAYNE.ATHERHOLT@STATE.ORG | 813-416-2470

---

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.*

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carla Bristol</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:carlab.bristol@gmail.com">carlab.bristol@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-565-3930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloria Campbell</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:gloriamcampbell@gmail.com">gloriamcampbell@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-434-0072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonya Parker</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tparkersite@att.com">tparkersite@att.com</a></td>
<td>727-234-7340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
**Warehouse Arts District**  
**Deuces Live**  
**JOINT ACTION PLAN**

**Deuces Live Steering Committee Meeting**  
January 12, 2017  
11:00am-1:00pm

### Name | Zip Code | Email Address | Phone Number
--- | --- | --- | ---
Gloria Campbell | 33712 | gloriamcampbell@gmail.com | 727-434-0072
Jarrod McKee | 33712 | jarrod.mckee@gmail.com | 727-235-4240
Carolyne Bergley | 33712 | carolyne.bergley@stpete.ca | 727-415-9519

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record. By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elisha Brayboy</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Carolyn.brayboy@yahoo.com">Carolyn.brayboy@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>727-415-9519</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.*

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
Warehouse Arts District
Deuces Live
JOINT ACTION PLAN

Deuces Live Stakeholder Meeting
Education
January 12, 2017
3:00–4:00pm

Name | Zip Code | Email Address | Phone Number
--- | --- | --- | ---
Kayleen Lovett | 33712 | Klovet@csun.edu | 727-524-2427
Dy M. Casisker | 33701 | 1430erst@hfemail.com | 727-469-9835

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
**Deuces Live Community Meeting**

January 12, 2017
6:00-8:00pm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jeanette M. King</td>
<td>33711</td>
<td>Jean.mitchell93@leymaid</td>
<td>727-565-6334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Cherry</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:robert.cherry@gmail.com">robert.cherry@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-460-6608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.J. Armstrong</td>
<td>33704</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mezzumare@gmail.com">mezzumare@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-412-6528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Reese</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sue.reese@gmail.com">sue.reese@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-418-2881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharron Bill</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:itscatingtosoul@yahoo.com">itscatingtosoul@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>727-780-7246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LeeAnn Fawell</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td>deuceslive@<a href="mailto:pete1988@quail.com">pete1988@quail.com</a></td>
<td>727-384-0072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloria Campbell</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:gloria.campbell@gmail.com">gloria.campbell@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-488-3198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarred Carter</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:decimalist111c@gmail.com">decimalist111c@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-415-3519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolyn Bracy</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:carolynbracy@yahoo.com">carolynbracy@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>727-488-3198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eddie Brayboy</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keertia Jackson</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jackss25@live.spotlight.edu">jackss25@live.spotlight.edu</a></td>
<td>(621) 581-4531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlolek Alessia</td>
<td>33712</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ouceref17@msn.com">ouceref17@msn.com</a></td>
<td>7274605209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
**Deuces Live Community Meeting**  
January 12, 2017  
6:00-8:00pm

### JOINT ACTION PLAN

**Deuces Live Community Meeting**

Name | Zip Code | Email Address | Phone Number
--- | --- | --- | ---
Ebony J | 33714 | sheerepurnell@gmail.com | 727-492-4114
Fred A | 33712 | FrederickArchibled@gmail.com | 727-493-9544
Jim O'Brien | 33705 | | 727-334-5007
Jacqueline Harris | 33705 | jacquelineharris52@gmail.com | 727-825-8876
Darren Williams | 33712 | | (727) 764-4851
Dwayne Barnes | 33712 | dwaynebarnes2004@yahoo.com | 407-480-7312

---

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.*

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robert Slade</td>
<td>American Distiller</td>
<td>Robert.americanfreemindistillery.com</td>
<td>617.233.3919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Walker</td>
<td>Zen Glass</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dave.walker@zeneglass.com">dave.walker@zeneglass.com</a></td>
<td>727.575.7080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Jane Parker</td>
<td>WADA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:maryjaneparker@warehousearts.com">maryjaneparker@warehousearts.com</a></td>
<td>727.409.5347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Anthony</td>
<td>WADA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mark@wise-sculpture.com">mark@wise-sculpture.com</a></td>
<td>727.321.3473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.*

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
## Part 1: Issues and Opportunities Report

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sherri Delil</td>
<td>Deuces Live</td>
<td><a href="mailto:skateringforsoul@ymail.com">skateringforsoul@ymail.com</a></td>
<td>727-230-7466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sara Norine</td>
<td>NOMAD</td>
<td><a href="mailto:saranorine@gmail.com">saranorine@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-251-4786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Schroe</td>
<td>CRAFTSMAN HOUSE</td>
<td><a href="mailto:CRAFTSMANHOUSE@GMAIL.COM">CRAFTSMANHOUSE@GMAIL.COM</a></td>
<td>(727)323-2587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dick Pierle</td>
<td>Deuces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.
By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.*
### Warehouse Arts District

#### Deuces Live

**JOINT ACTION PLAN**

---

**Day 1 - Opening Night**

**January 30, 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glenda Campbell</td>
<td>Deuces Live</td>
<td><a href="mailto:glenda.campbell@gmail.com">glenda.campbell@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-434-0072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessie B Barz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>727-896-4546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Barco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Jane Park</td>
<td>WADA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jbarris24@gmail.com">jbarris24@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>724-877-1887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jayne Barris</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Deboeck</td>
<td>GAI</td>
<td><a href="mailto:m.deboeck@gmail.com">m.deboeck@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>904-514-5426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Barco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Dixon</td>
<td>CY4</td>
<td>chris.dixon@<a href="mailto:cy4@gmail.com">cy4@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>813-992-2620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Streetman</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:mstreetman@gmail.com">mstreetman@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>813-227-8689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Evansky</td>
<td>SoP 2 Club</td>
<td><a href="mailto:evansky@ad.com">evansky@ad.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox M. LaSister</td>
<td>ALCG, Inc.</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lasoeurke@hotmail.com">lasoeurke@hotmail.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Roo</td>
<td>Morgan Art (mil)</td>
<td><a href="mailto:roger.roo@maryartmil.org">roger.roo@maryartmil.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.*
**JOINT ACTION PLAN**

**Day 1 - Opening Night**

**January 30, 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robert Fudge</td>
<td>GAI Consultants</td>
<td><a href="mailto:R.Fudge@GAIConsultants.com">R.Fudge@GAIConsultants.com</a></td>
<td>727-243-7246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fidel R. DeGado</td>
<td>USDA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Fidel.DeGado@AMS.USDA.Gov">Fidel.DeGado@AMS.USDA.Gov</a></td>
<td>202-720-8562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Johansen</td>
<td>FloridaTech</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Tom@FloridaTech.com">Tom@FloridaTech.com</a></td>
<td>727-822-3658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carla Bristol</td>
<td>Gallery 909</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Carla.bristol@gmail.com">Carla.bristol@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-565-3930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathy Braun</td>
<td>Intuitive Healing</td>
<td><a href="mailto:IntuitiveHealingArt@gmail.com">IntuitiveHealingArt@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-415-4229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Lavenue</td>
<td>Deuces</td>
<td><a href="mailto:clavenue@deuces.com">clavenue@deuces.com</a></td>
<td>727-235-8113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliza Brayboy</td>
<td>Cultural</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cultural@brayboy.com">cultural@brayboy.com</a></td>
<td>727-415-9519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolyn Brayboy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Anthony</td>
<td>City</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Wayne.Anthony@StCC.org">Wayne.Anthony@StCC.org</a></td>
<td>813-716-2470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beatrice Farrell</td>
<td>Deuces Live</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Derrickine@petersenbident.com">Derrickine@petersenbident.com</a></td>
<td>727-4DEUCES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimi Talano</td>
<td>SLT</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Kimberly.Talano@esfinanciers.com">Kimberly.Talano@esfinanciers.com</a></td>
<td>727-204-7072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janice Starling</td>
<td>Dress</td>
<td><a href="mailto:AKPSG@Earthlink.net">AKPSG@Earthlink.net</a></td>
<td>727-510-3766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jack Everts</td>
<td>Deuces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Wells</td>
<td>Venture House</td>
<td><a href="mailto:frank.wells@venture-house.org">frank.wells@venture-house.org</a></td>
<td>727-688-2787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Bruno</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:bruq@mac.com">bruq@mac.com</a></td>
<td>727-692-0911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annie Tyrell</td>
<td>Deuces</td>
<td><a href="mailto:annies.beauty.support@gmail.com">annies.beauty.support@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-343-9582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharnelda Bell</td>
<td>Deuces</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fsatteringforsoil@yahoo.com">fsatteringforsoil@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>727-840-7456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy Sallers</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:amysallers@gmail.com">amysallers@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-445-8343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gwoy Keese</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:gwen.reese@gmail.com">gwen.reese@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>707-418-2381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachel Smith</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:rachenraiwright@gmail.com">rachenraiwright@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-902-5864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarence Farber Sr.</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:gsmithuzzy@gmail.com">gsmithuzzy@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>813-729-5742</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nikki Capehart</td>
<td>Aga Street</td>
<td><a href="mailto:nikkisc@stpete.org">nikkisc@stpete.org</a></td>
<td>(727) 893-2885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. D. Bell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(727) 239-2446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Weave</td>
<td>Art Studio</td>
<td><a href="mailto:uniquelyoriginalarts@yahoo.com">uniquelyoriginalarts@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>(727) 239-3684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Jay Keaton</td>
<td>The Connection Partners</td>
<td><a href="mailto:keatsch@verizon.net">keatsch@verizon.net</a></td>
<td>727-550-9666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie Wechter</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:stephnie.wechter@yahoo.com">stephnie.wechter@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>727-512-3769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steve Kelly</td>
<td>NEP</td>
<td><a href="mailto:spKelly@yaho.com">spKelly@yaho.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirlene Cambridge</td>
<td>St. Pete High</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ShirleneCambridge@gmail.com">ShirleneCambridge@gmail.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Kuptz</td>
<td>AECOM</td>
<td><a href="mailto:PAUL.Kuptz@AECOM.COM">PAUL.Kuptz@AECOM.COM</a></td>
<td>813.636.2413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasha Granl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather Timmaro</td>
<td>MCC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:heather.timmaro@morcantarcenter.org">heather.timmaro@morcantarcenter.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valene Scott Knaust</td>
<td>MCC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:valene.scott.knaust@morcantarcenter.org">valene.scott.knaust@morcantarcenter.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker</td>
<td>Staff/SPC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Walker.calandra@spcollege.edu">Walker.calandra@spcollege.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiffany Huggins</td>
<td>Staff/SPC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Huggins.Roxy@spcollege.edu">Huggins.Roxy@spcollege.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormy Davis</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassandra Baylor</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Kbaylo@live.spcollege.edu">Kbaylo@live.spcollege.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roslyn Kearny</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Kearney.Roslyn@spcollege.edu">Kearney.Roslyn@spcollege.edu</a></td>
<td>727.341.7928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chantel Anderson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cam M. CaSister</td>
<td>Assisted Living Community Gardeners</td>
<td><a href="mailto:1500URSS@Hotmail.com">1500URSS@Hotmail.com</a></td>
<td>(727) 749-3484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fidel R. Delgado</td>
<td>USDA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fidel.delgado@ams.usda.gov">fidel.delgado@ams.usda.gov</a></td>
<td>(703) 410-5312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloria Mares</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:gmares@live.spccollege.edu">gmares@live.spccollege.edu</a></td>
<td>(727) 321-8821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yvonne Allums</td>
<td>AllumsImprov</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Yvonne@allumsimprov.com">Yvonne@allumsimprov.com</a></td>
<td>(727) 873-9039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheyanna Ford</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athena Groomez</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ogroomez@live.spccollege.edu">ogroomez@live.spccollege.edu</a></td>
<td>(727) 804-1365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryleen Birnie</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ybirnie@live.spccollege.edu">ybirnie@live.spccollege.edu</a></td>
<td>(720) 420-1717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robin Milton</td>
<td>George F. Young</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rmatson@georgefigyou.com">rmatson@georgefigyou.com</a></td>
<td>(727) 450-7207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Ted Jackson</td>
<td>Deuces Live</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhD Student</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rita Calveld</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rcalveld525@live.com">rcalveld525@live.com</a></td>
<td>(727) 240-8161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.
By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cory Adler</td>
<td>2020 Plan Taskforce</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cory.adler.2020@gmail.com">cory.adler.2020@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-744-7757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Macion</td>
<td>Esquire Bui &amp; Co</td>
<td></td>
<td>727-542-2189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posta Hubbard</td>
<td>AVA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Cumberland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerry Carter</td>
<td>SPG</td>
<td><a href="mailto:CARTER.KERRY@STP.COM">CARTER.KERRY@STP.COM</a></td>
<td>727-614-7264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carla Bristol</td>
<td>Galerie 909</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Carlab.bristol@gmail.com">Carlab.bristol@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>727-565-3980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nick Esbo</td>
<td>D'Cece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fidel R. Delgado</td>
<td>USDA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fidel.delgado@americaserve.com">fidel.delgado@americaserve.com</a></td>
<td>702-#720-8562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coy M Lasiste</td>
<td>ALCG Inc</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lasisteccorss@hotmail.com">lasisteccorss@hotmail.com</a></td>
<td>347-749-3484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noorin Evans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. Barco</td>
<td></td>
<td>Uniquely Original Art Studio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Barco</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:UniquelyOriginalarts@yahoo.com">UniquelyOriginalarts@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>(727) 239-3696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor Barino</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coll Cardo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Egozi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colvin Campbell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Turner</td>
<td>Twin City Advocates</td>
<td><a href="mailto:innocentcityadvocates@gmail.com">innocentcityadvocates@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>(727) 931-2936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Burr</td>
<td>Esco Ado</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pburr916@gmail.com">pburr916@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>(727) 351-2130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacey Farrell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawanda Barrow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Harns</td>
<td>3D3</td>
<td></td>
<td>(813) 299-8166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record.*

By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.
## Joint Action Plan

### Day 4 - Closing Night
February 2, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>George Gower</td>
<td>MOD-BUILD INC TAMPA BAY HOUSING.COM</td>
<td><a href="mailto:GOWER@MODBUILDINC.COM">GOWER@MODBUILDINC.COM</a></td>
<td>727-686-6938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Jane Park</td>
<td>CHAFFEY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andria Williams</td>
<td>2020 PLAN TASKFORCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Cunningham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>813-330-8994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eluem Graham</td>
<td>WHEEL PACK LLC GRAHAM &amp; WEMPAC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:GRAHAM@WHEELPACK.COM">GRAHAM@WHEELPACK.COM</a></td>
<td>727-415-4897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger F.</td>
<td>MIA ARTS CENTER</td>
<td></td>
<td>727-584-7871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coy M. LaSister</td>
<td>ALC G. INC</td>
<td>COY@<a href="mailto:ALSIST@ALCINC.COM">ALSIST@ALCINC.COM</a></td>
<td>347-709-3484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerome C. Stinton</td>
<td>SPC</td>
<td></td>
<td>727-341-7163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Palmer</td>
<td>Groove Haven Music</td>
<td></td>
<td>727-321-9403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wende ScottKraust</td>
<td>MORRIS CENTER FOR CLAY</td>
<td></td>
<td>727-631-7162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All Knowledge Exchanges and community meetings may be videotaped for public record. By signing this, I permit the City of St. Petersburg, KCA and GAI/CSG team to include me in these photos and videos.*
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with Boley Centers, Inc. for the After School Youth Employment Program (ASYEP), at an estimated annual cost of $160,000, for a total contract amount of $585,000.

Explanation: On June 6, 2013, City Council approved a one-year agreement for ASYEP management services through June 30, 2014, with four one-year renewal options. On July 10, 2014, March 19, 2015, and June 16, 2016, respectively, City Council approved one-year renewals. This is the final renewal option.

The contractor provides diverse opportunities for 14- to 18-year-old youth to develop real vocational skills and earn income while employed in private businesses and the public sector after school. The youth must reside in the City and meet specific household income guidelines and are also required to remain in school. The program operates during the school year and provides minimum wage employment for 40 youths, including orientation, training, appraisal, and a need assessment for other potential services. The youth are also provided clothing and bus passes.

Many of these internships lead to year-round permanent employment and will positively impact the youth and the overall success of the ASYEP. The increase in dollars for the 4th renewal reflect the funding to support the administration of the ASYEP program and funding from city departments that employ interns. The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Community Services Department, recommends renewal:

Boley Centers, Inc (St. Petersburg, FL) $160,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original agreement amount</th>
<th>$100,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st renewal</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd renewal</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd renewal</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th renewal</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New contract amount</td>
<td>$585,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The contractor has agreed to hold prices firm under the terms and conditions of RFP No. 7462 dated March 19, 2013. Administration recommends renewal of the agreement based on the contractor’s past satisfactory performance, demonstrated ability to comply with the terms and conditions of the contract, and no decrease in the number of participants served. This renewal will be effective from the date of approval through June 30, 2018.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been appropriated in the General Fund (0001), Community Services Department (083), Community Services Administration (1081) [$125,000], and Parks and Recreation Department (190) [$35,000].

Attachments: Resolution

Approvals:

[Signatures and dates]
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FOURTH AND FINAL RENEWAL OPTION TO THE AGREEMENT (BLANKET AGREEMENT) WITH BOLEY CENTERS, INC. FOR THE AFTER SCHOOL YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM (ASYEP) FOR THE COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT AT AN ESTIMATED ANNUAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $160,000 FOR A TOTAL FINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT OF $585,000; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on June 6, 2013 City Council approved the award of a one-year Agreement with four one-year renewal options to Boley Centers, Inc. for the After School Youth Employment Program (ASYEP) pursuant to RFP No. 7462, dated March 19, 2013; and

WHEREAS, on July 10, 2014 City Council approved the first renewal option to the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, on March 19, 2015 City Council approved the second renewal option to the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, on June 16, 2016, City Council approved the third renewal option to the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to exercise the fourth and final renewal option to the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, Boley Centers, Inc. has agreed to hold prices firm under the terms and conditions of RFP No. 7462; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement Department in cooperation with the Community Services Department recommends approval of this renewal.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the fourth and final renewal option to the agreement (Blanket Agreement) with Boley Centers, Inc. for the After School Youth Employment Program (ASYEP) for the Community Services Department at an estimated annual cost not to exceed $160,000 for a total final contract amount of $585,000 is hereby approved and the Mayor or Mayor's designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Legal:

City Attorney (Designee)
00325895
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

Consent Agenda

Meeting of June 15, 2017

TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A resolution authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a License Agreement with Pinellas Studio of Dance, Inc., a Florida corporation, for use of ±6,140 sq. ft. within the St. Petersburg Shuffleboard Club building located at 559 Mirror Lake Drive North, St. Petersburg, for a period of one (1) year at a rental rate of $300.00 per month; and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate same; waiving the reserve for replacement requirement of City Council Resolution No. 79-740A; and providing an effective date. (Requires affirmative vote of at least six (6) members of City Council.)

EXPLANATION: On July 10, 2014, City Council approved, via Resolution No. 2014-297, a one (1) year license agreement ("2014 License"), with extensions for up to two (2) successive one (1) year terms with the Pinellas Studio of Dance, Inc. During the final one (1) year term, Pinellas Studio of Dance, Inc. business was sold to a new owner, who has continued to perform as the previous owner has done under the 2014 License, which will expire on June 30, 2017.

The Real Estate and Property Management Department received a request from Pinellas Studio of Dance, Inc. ("Licensee") to enter into a new license agreement for its continued use of the second floor space (consisting of ±6,140 sq. ft.) within the St. Petersburg Shuffleboard Club building located at 559 Mirror Lake Drive North, St. Petersburg ("Premises") that the Licensee has utilized since July, 2004.

The Licensee has executed a new License Agreement ("License") for a term of one (1) year, with the right to renew for up to two (2) additional 1-year terms, subject to City Council approval, with the terms and conditions providing it with the same basic rights and privileges it has enjoyed during the preceding term. The Licensee will provide instruction, classes and an annual special event for advanced dance students. The rental rate will be three hundred dollars ($300.00) per month, plus applicable taxes during the term, due to the fact that the Premises is not a heated/air conditioned space. The Licensee is responsible for daily cleaning and removal of all trash and debris, in addition to providing and paying for all costs (including installation, deposits, and usage) for utilities, telephone services, internet, and cable television in association with its use of the Premises. Additionally, the Licensee will maintain a commercial general liability insurance policy in the amount of $1,000,000 per occurrence and $2,000,000 in the aggregate, protecting the City against all claims or demands that may arise or be claimed on account of the Licensee’s use of the Premises. The License may be terminated without cause by either party with sixty (60) days written notice prior to the scheduled date of termination.
City Council Resolution No. 79-740A, dated October 4, 1979, establishes policies for the sale and leasing of City-owned park and waterfront property. This resolution requires that when leasing City property to a non-profit, private organization "... the organization pays operating costs plus a reserve for replacement." Due to the limited financial resources of the organization, the City is charging a nominal fee and recommending that the reserve for replacement requirement be waived in an effort to minimize operating costs. These terms and conditions are consistent with prior licenses with this and other non-profit organizations. Under the terms of the License, the City is under no obligation to provide a replacement facility under any circumstances.

Section 1.02 (c)(2) of the City Charter, Park and Waterfront Property, permits City Council approval of leases for Park and Waterfront property for five (5) years or less on commercially-zoned property with approval by an affirmative vote of at least six (6) members of City Council. The subject property is zoned (DC-2) Downtown Center-2.

RECOMMENDATION: Administration recommends that City Council adopt the attached resolution authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a License Agreement with Pinellas Studio of Dance, Inc., a Florida corporation, for use of ±6,140 sq. ft. within the St. Petersburg Shuffleboard Club building located at 559 Mirror Lake Drive North, St. Petersburg, for a period of one (1) year at a rental rate of $300.00 per month; and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate same; waiving the reserve for replacement requirement of City Council Resolution No. 79-740A; and providing an effective date.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: N/A

ATTACHMENTS: Illustration and Resolution

APPROVALS: Administration: 

Budget: N/A 

Legal: 

(As to consistency w/attached legal documents) Legal: 00326222.doc V. 1
ILLUSTRATION
(Pinellas Studio of Dance, Leasehold)
Resolution No. 2017 - _________

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR, OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO EXECUTE A LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH PINELLAS STUDIO OF DANCE, INC., A FLORIDA CORPORATION, FOR USE OF ±6,140 SQ. FT. WITHIN THE ST. PETERSBURG SHUFFLEBOARD CLUB BUILDING LOCATED AT 559 MIRROR LAKE DRIVE NORTH, ST. PETERSBURG, FOR A PERIOD OF ONE (1) YEAR AT A RENTAL RATE OF $300.00 PER MONTH; AND TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAME; WAIVING THE RESERVE FOR REPLACEMENT REQUIREMENT OF CITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 79-740A; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Pinellas Studio of Dance, Inc. ("Licensee") desires to enter into a new license agreement for the continued use of the second floor space (consisting of ±6,140 sq. ft.) of the St. Petersburg Shuffleboard Club building located at 559 Mirror Lake Drive North, St. Petersburg ("Premises"), which is classified as Parkland, for the primary purpose of providing instruction, classes and an annual special event for advanced dance students; and

WHEREAS, the proposed License will be for a term of one (1) year, with the right to renew for up to two (2) additional 1-year terms, subject to City Council approval, with the terms and conditions providing the Licensee with the same basic rights and privileges it has enjoyed during the preceding term; and

WHEREAS, the rental rate will be three hundred dollars ($300.00) per month, plus applicable taxes during the term; and

WHEREAS, the Licensee is responsible for daily cleaning and removal of all trash and debris, in addition to providing and paying for all costs (including installation, deposits, and usage) for utilities, telephone services, internet, and cable television in association with its use of the Premises; and

WHEREAS, the Licensee will maintain a commercial general liability insurance policy in the amount of $1,000,000 per occurrence and $2,000,000 in the aggregate, protecting the City against all claims or demands that may arise or be claimed on account of the Licensee’s use of the Premises; and

WHEREAS, the License may be terminated without cause by either party by providing written notice no less than sixty (60) days prior to the scheduled date of termination; and
WHEREAS, due to the limited financial resources of the organization, the City is charging nominal rent and recommending that the reserve for replacement requirement be waived in an effort to minimize operating costs; and

WHEREAS, the License is in accordance with the policies established in City Council Resolution No. 79-740A with the exception that the reserve for replacement requirement is being waived; and

WHEREAS, these terms and conditions are consistent with prior licenses with other not-for-profit organizations; and

WHEREAS, under the terms of the License the City is under no obligation to provide a replacement facility under any circumstances; and

WHEREAS, Section 1.02 (c)(2) of the City Charter, Park and Waterfront Property, permits City Council approval of leases for Park and Waterfront property for five (5) years or less on commercially-zoned property with approval by an affirmative vote of at least six (6) members of City Council; and

WHEREAS, the subject property is zoned Downtown Center-2 (DC-2).

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Mayor, or his designee, is authorized to execute a License Agreement with Pinellas Studio of Dance, Inc., a Florida corporation, for use of ±6,140 sq. ft. within the St. Petersburg Shuffleboard Club building located at 559 Mirror Lake Drive North, St. Petersburg, for a period of one (1) year at a rental rate of $300.00 per month; and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate same; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the reserve for replacement requirement of City Council Resolution No. 79-740A is waived.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

LEGAL:

City Attorney (Designee)

APPROVED BY:

Michael J. Jefferis, Director
Parks and Recreation

Trace E. Grimes, Director
Real Estate and Property Management
TO: Members of City Council

DATE: June 6, 2017

COUNCIL DATE: June 15, 2017

RE: Referral to the Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee
Possibility of Increased Funding for Mainstreet Programs

ACTION DESIRED:

Respectfully request to refer to the Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee the possibility of increased funding for the Mainstreet programs that currently exist: The Deuces Live, Grand Central District, The Edge District and the Skyway Marina District.

Steve Kornell, Council Member
District 5
TO: The Mayor and Members of City Council

DATE: June 8, 2017

COUNCIL DATE: June 15, 2017

RE: Scheduling Debates on City TV Station

ACTION DESIRED:

Respectfully requesting City Council pass a resolution authorizing the League of Women Voters to conduct and facilitate political debates for the primary and general elections.

Karl Nurse
City Council
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-________

A RESOLUTION CHOOSING THE VOTER EDUCATION DIVISION OF THE LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF THE ST. PETERSBURG AREA AS THE INDEPENDENT THIRD PARTY TO CONDUCT AND FACILITATE THE CITY’S CANDIDATE DEBATES FOR THE 2017 MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS; DETERMINING A TIME, DATE, AND LOCATION FOR EACH OF THESE DEBATES; REQUESTING THAT CITY STAFF BROADCAST AND PUBLICIZE THESE DEBATES; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, St. Petersburg City Code section 2-494 requires the City to hold political debates for candidates for Mayor and City Council prior to each contested primary election and broadcast those debates on the City’s government access channel in order to afford citizens the opportunity to become more involved in and informed of the municipal political process; and

WHEREAS, City Code section 2-494(c) requires the City Council to choose, by resolution, an independent third party to conduct and facilitate these debates and to determine, by resolution, the time, date, and location of these debates; and

WHEREAS, the League of Women Voters of the St. Petersburg Area (the “League”) is an independent third party that has conducted and facilitated these debates in past elections; and

WHEREAS, the League has volunteered to continue this role by conducting and facilitating the required debates for the 2017 municipal primary and general elections; and

WHEREAS, the League is divided into two divisions: a Voter Education Division and an Issue Advocacy Division; and

WHEREAS, members of the Issue Advocacy Division have appeared before Council from time to time to advocate for certain issues that are issues of local importance, while the Voter Education Division is a 501(c)3 corporation that is separate and distinct from the Issue Advocacy Division; and
WHEREAS, although there is some crossover of personnel between these two divisions, any League personnel involved in the City’s candidate debates would be required to adhere to the Voter Education Division’s mission and the constraints imposed upon the Voter Education Division as a 501(c)3 corporation; and

WHEREAS, the City Council believes that the League’s Voter Education Division would continue to conduct and facilitate the debates in an impartial manner, as it has in past elections.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council chooses, in accordance with section 2-494(c), the Voter Education Division of the League of Women Voters of the St. Petersburg Area to facilitate and conduct the debates for the 2017 municipal primary and general elections, on the condition that these debates be held in an impartial manner and in accordance with applicable law.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council has determined, in accordance with City Code section 2-494(c), that each of these debates will be held in the City Council Chambers at 6 p.m., with the debate for the primary election to be held on September 18, 2017, and the debate for the general election to be held in one or two sessions on September 25 and/or 26, 2017, with date or dates of this general-election debate to be determined by the League based on the availability of candidates and other applicable scheduling considerations.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council requests City staff to broadcast these debates on St. Pete TV and publicize these debates for the purpose of affording citizens the opportunity to become more involved in and informed of the municipal political process.

This resolution will become effective immediately upon adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

City Attorney (Designee)
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL
BUDGET, FINANCE & TAXATION COMMITTEE

Minutes
June 8, 2017
8:00 — City Hall — Room 100

Present: Committee Members - Chair James R. "Jim" Kennedy, Jr., Vice-Chair Charles Gerdes, Karl Nurse, Darden Rice and Ed Montanari (alternate).

Also: Council Vice-Chair, Lisa Wheeler-Bowman; Council Member Steve Kornell; Council Member Amy Foster; Chief Assistant City Attorney, Jeannine Williams; City Administrator, Gary Cornwell; Human Resources Director, Chris Guella; Procurement & Supply Management Director, Louis Moore; Budget Director; Internal Audit Director, Bradley Scott; City Council Administrative Aide, Kewa Wright; Senior Deputy City Clerk, Cathy E. Davis and City Council Administrative Service Officer, Cindy Sheppard

A. Call to Order
Chair Kennedy called the meeting to order with the above persons present.

B. Approval of Agenda
In connection with the approval of the June 8th meeting agenda, there was amendment to take up agenda item D-1b, Council Needs Assessment first. Council Member Gerdes made a motion that the agenda be approved as written. All were in favor of the motion. Ayes. Kennedy. Gerdes. Nurse. Nays. None. Absent. Rice. Montanari (alt).

C. Approval of Minutes
1. May 25, 2017
In connection with the approval of the May 25th meeting minutes, Councilmember Gerdes made a motion that the minutes be approved as written. All were in favor of the motion. Ayes. Kennedy. Gerdes. Nurse. Nays. None. Absent. Rice. Montanari (alt).

D. New/Deferred Business
1b. Council Needs Assessment. CM Rice started the discussion with an overview of the pay ranges to consider if City Council hires Legislative Aides. The committee was provided with a handout displaying salary ranges for Legislative/Executive Aides from the City of Tampa and the Pinellas County Commission. CM Rice expressed her rationale of hiring aides more towards the PB4 range that are commensurate to our neighboring municipalities. CM Foster inquired if there was some discretion in hiring an aide between the low and high range of pay if they were more qualified. Mr. Guella stated that Administrative Authority is required to go above the 50% midpoint. CM Gerdes suggested that Council move cautiously and start with two positions, with one having a heavy finance/accounting background who can serve as budget liaison and the other position would perform legislative research. CM Montanari emphasized the need of Council having someone with analytical capacity and hiring an individual with expertise in engineering and/or infrastructure. He supports the PB2 range.
CM Wheeler-Bowman supports hiring 4 Legislative Aides, however wants more detail on how these positions along with the current staff structure would all fit together. There was some discussion about the hiring and firing process, which will require an amendment to the Charter. CM Kennedy asked for clarification regarding potential Sunshine Law violations as it relates to a Legislative Aide sharing information between Council Members. Attorney Williams explained that according to the Sunshine Law, no one can be a liaison between Council Members or between members of any other board. In regards to constituency outreach, CM Rice discussed the need for more administrative support, analytical preparation and being able to be more proactive. CM Rice further stated that more coverage is needed with attending neighborhood meetings, drafting newsletter, and issuing updates to constituents.

CM Foster also had concerns about potential Sunshine Law violations. Attorney Williams explained that the aide cannot communicate information from a Council Member to another Council Member. In addition, the aide cannot take what was shared from various Council Members and create a document, which could be considered a meeting under case law. The committee agreed to continue the discussion at a later meeting as it relates to specific job descriptions.

CM Nurse made a motion to recommend 4 positions with a PB2 pay range. All were in favor of the motion. Ayes: Approved unanimously by the committee. Ayes: Kennedy, Gerdes, Nurse, Rice, Montanari (Alt). Nays: None

1a. Consideration of a requirement for a City contractor securing future contracts of a least $2,000,000 to provide a minimum wage of a least $10.00 per hour with a schedule to raise this over time (Chris Guella and Louie Moore). Mr. Moore started the discussion recommending City Council enact a Living Wage Ordinance and explained how the ordinance will financially impact the total procurement budget. The ordinance would be for major City contracts over $100,000 and mandate the minimum wage requirements. Mr. Guella explained the Minimum Wage Analysis that was provided to the committee. CM Foster had concerns with temporary and part-time employees not starting at $12, when the City is asking contractors to start their workers at that rate of pay.

Attorney Williams provided an amendment to Sec. 2-298.7 – Living wage, to add the following, "...pursuant to the major contract" while physically present with the geographic boundaries of the City.

CM Kennedy recommended a few additions to the ordinance to include the following: Add a paragraph in Sec.2-298.6 (a) Findings. An acknowledgement that this will increase the annual cost of the City and therefore increase a tax burden on our citizens and the customers of our enterprise fund. However, we believe the benefits of a living wage outweighs those detriments; Sec. 2-2987 – Payment of living wage. Add, "...or while working on City project" at the end of first paragraph and softening the language on Indexing; Sec. 2-289.9 CM Kennedy suggested added language under Remedies for aggrieved employees to participate in our wage theft procedure.

CM Nurse made a motion to approve the proposed ordinance. Ayes: Approved unanimously by the committee. Ayes: Kennedy, Gerdes, Nurse, Rice, Montanari (Alt). Nays: None

CM Nurse made a motion to recommend to administration that part-time employees' salaries would move in tandem with the proposed Living Wage Ordinance. Ayes: Approved unanimously by the committee. Ayes: Kennedy, Gerdes, Nurse, Rice, Montanari (Alt). Nays: None
E. Upcoming Meetings Agenda Tentative Issues

1. June 15, 2017
   a. Request to publish the FY2017-2018 Annual Action Plan and Budget (Joshua Johnson)
   b. Baseball Referendum to allow the St. Petersburg Baseball Commission a 10 year lease with a 10 year renewal for the Walter Fuller Baseball Park (Gerdes)
   c. Consideration of the establishment of a Citizens Advisory Committee for the Intown CRA (Nurse)
   d. Consideration of a revision to the Small Business Enterprise Ordinance (Nurse)

2. July 27, 2017
   a. Utility Rate Study (Liz Makofske/Anne Fritz)
   b. Alternate Funding for Water Resources Update (Anne Fritz)

3. August 17, 2017
   a. PILOF Discussion

F. New Business Item Referrals

G. Adjournment
   There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:28 a.m.
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

FROM: Housing Services Committee: Karl Nurse, Committee Chair, Darden Rice, Committee Vice-Chair, Lisa Wheeler Bowman, Councilmember, Amy Foster, Councilmember, and Charlie Gerdes, Councilmember-Aльтernate

RE: Housing Services Committee Meeting of June 8, 2017

New Business:

Update from the St. Petersburg Housing Authority on its proposed Jordan Park development improvements, Ms. Pamela Hobbs and Ms. Robin Adams, Staff of St. Petersburg Housing Authority

Representatives of the St. Petersburg Housing Authority (SPHA) discussed that improvements are being implemented at Jordan Park, to include installation of air conditioners, doors, lawn services, water heaters, and appliances. It is expected that all A/C units will be installed prior to the end of August 2017.

SPHA is currently preparing an RFP to enhance curb appeal, and an architect has assessed the property and has made recommendations for improvements. Maintenance staff is nearly complete in correcting codes violations that have been disclosed.

A question was asked in reference to when was the last time that carpeting has been replaced in the senior units. The response was that carpet replacement is cyclical. Staff of SPHA has met with residents who have request carpet replacements and a resolution has been reached.

A question was asked about long term financing of improvements. The response from staff of SHPA was that long term financing should be addressed by SHPA’s ability to receive approval from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development about an approved Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) grant application which could take two (2) years for approval.

There were discussions in reference to vacancies, attendance at weekly meetings, relationships between residents and the police, and what type of way SPHA would like the City’s help. Staff of SPHA responded that there are overgrown trees in its rights of ways that the City may assist them with.

Action: No action taken.

Update on meeting of the Coalition of Non-profits with Lenders, Chair Nurse

Chair Nurse provided an update of the most recent meeting of the Coalition of Non-profits and the interest of various Lenders/Banks that attended the meeting. The Lenders were interested in how non-profits may increase production of affordable housing production (construct in larger economies of scale as opposed to building units on an individual basis).

Comments were made about the City’s foreclosure program and properties that are being picked up by the City which have increased from the average 20 monthly. Discussions were also made on the increased cost of lots that are now $10,000 and more compared to $2,000 when the program started.
Mike Dove, Neighborhood Services Administrator discussed that staff will be bringing back some policy changes at the August Housing Services Committee meeting to insure that non-profits will be able to convey properties with a requirement that an affordable unit is constructed on the property.

The Committee discussed if there is a way in which the City may use, as an example $1 million in CRA revenues to capitalize a loan guarantee program with a lender, that would enable non-profits to receive loans they need to construct new units. It is believed that this would enable lenders to free up lines of credit to non-profits to have resources to construct new units.

Additional discussions were that there are 1,100 buildable lots in the CRA and that a new item on City Council’s Agenda for June 15, 2017 first reading involves a reduction in permitting fees to a flat fee of $250 which will enable non-profits to construct more affordable housing units.

In addition, Rob Gerdes, Director of Codes Compliance Assistance discussed that his department recently realized $1 million in revenues through its foreclosure program, and that it expended $300,000 in administrative cost to generate the revenues. A discussion was held to inquire of ways in which the department may keep some of the funding to recycle back into the program and not have it all revert to the general fund.

A question was asked of the City’s Legal representative regarding the funding of a program that will allow the Codes Compliance Assistance Department to keep revenues it realized from its foreclosure activities. Legal reported that it will look into the idea and report back.

A request was made by the Committee to bring back the item at a following meeting.

**Action:** No action taken.

**Next meeting:** The next meeting is scheduled to be held on July 27, 2017 beginning at 10:30 a.m.

**Topics:**

Topics to be determined at a later date.

**Committee Members**

Karl Nurse, Chair  
Darden Rice, Vice-Chair  
Lisa Wheeler-Bowman, Councilmember  
Amy Foster, Councilmember  
Charlie Gerdes, Councilmember-Alternate
At the June 8, 2017, Committee of the Whole meeting Council approved, with modifications, the following documents:

1) Proposed Resolution that adopts an allocation of proceeds by fund and the representative project list and incorporates same into our fiscal policies. This version of the resolution was modified to include an additional WHEREAS clause referencing that an estimated one-third of the Penny for Pinellas proceeds are generated by sales to visitors and tourists.

2) Representative Project list. This version includes a change in the name from “Transit Improvements” to “Public Transportation Infrastructure”.

3) Proposed Resolution authorizing the execution of the Interlocal Agreement with Pinellas County as it relates to the Fourth Round of Penny for Pinellas. This document is unchanged.

4) Copy of the Interlocal Agreement with Pinellas County as it relates to the Fourth Round of Penny for Pinellas. This document is unchanged.

These documents will be considered by the full City Council at the June 15, 2017, regular City Council meeting.
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-__________

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING AN ALLOCATION OF PROCEEDS AND LIST OF REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS FOR THE PROPOSED FOURTH ROUND OF THE PENNY FOR PINELLAS ONE-CENT LOCAL OPTION SALES SURTAX PROGRAM; REQUESTING THAT ADMINISTRATION PROVIDE THIS ALLOCATION AND PROJECT LIST TO THE PUBLIC AND TO THE COUNTY; REQUESTING THAT ADMINISTRATION INCORPORATE THIS ALLOCATION INTO THE CITY’S FISCAL POLICY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Penny for Pinellas is a one-cent local option sales surtax program enacted pursuant to Florida Statutes section 212.055(2) for the financing, planning, and construction of infrastructure in Pinellas County (the “Penny Program”); and

WHEREAS, the first round of the Penny Program, which ran from 1990–2000, was followed by two additional rounds, running from 2000–2010 and 2010–2020, respectively; and

WHEREAS, proceeds from the first three rounds of the Penny Program have provided funding for key countywide facilities and critical community projects in the City, including bridges, roads, sidewalks, stormwater projects, seawalls, recreation centers, libraries, public safety vehicles, fire stations, and the new Police Headquarters currently under construction by the City; and

WHEREAS, it is estimated that one-third of the proceeds from the Penny Program are generated by sales to visitors or tourists; and

WHEREAS, Florida Statutes section 212.055(2)(a) authorizes the governing authority of Pinellas County to extend the term of this discretionary sales surtax if approved by a majority of the electors of the county voting in a referendum on the surtax; and

WHEREAS, Pinellas County has proposed that a referendum be held on November 7, 2017, for the purpose of approving a fourth round of the Penny Program that would run from 2020–2030; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of St Petersburg, Florida, has resolved that there should be a fourth round of the Penny Program; and
WHEREAS, the City’s Administration has proposed a plan for allocating proceeds from a fourth-round of the Penny Program among four categories of potential infrastructure projects, as well as a list of representative projects for each of those categories that could be funded with proceeds from a fourth round of the Penny Program; and

WHEREAS, City Council desires to adopt this plan with the understanding that modifications may be needed effectively administer the plan over the course of the ten-year period during which the fourth round of the Penny Program would run.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council hereby adopts the following general allocation of proceeds for the proposed fourth-round of the Penny Program and requests that Administration incorporate it into the City’s fiscal policy as appropriate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of Infrastructure Project</th>
<th>Allocation Range</th>
<th>Mid-Range (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>Low 4.36%</td>
<td>High 6.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citywide Infrastructure</td>
<td>Low 73.77%</td>
<td>High 84.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation and Culture</td>
<td>Low 9.82%</td>
<td>High 17.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Facilities</td>
<td>Low 1.25%</td>
<td>High 2.61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council hereby adopts the list of representative projects that could be funded with proceeds from a fourth round of the Penny Program, as attached to this resolution, with the understanding that these representative projects, as well as the allocation of proceeds set forth above, may need to be modified to address any of the following factors, alone or in combination: changes or clarifications to applicable law, changing needs or priorities of the community, fluctuations in the actual amount of proceeds from the Penny Program, or availability of other sources of infrastructure funding.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council requests that Administration provide this allocation of proceeds and list of potential projects to the public, for informational purposes, and to Pinellas County, for incorporation into the countywide program to be covered by the referendum concerning the potential fourth round of the Penny Program.

This resolution will become effective immediately upon adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

City Attorney (Designee)  

Administration
## Penny for Pinellas Representative Project List

### 2020 - 2030

**Public Safety Fund 3025**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Project Name</th>
<th>Proposed Budget</th>
<th>Round 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fire:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Fire Apparatus Replacement</td>
<td>$9,746,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Police:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Command Vehicle</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-9 Compound Improvements</td>
<td>$3,250,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Take Home Cruisers</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Police Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,000,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Citywide Infrastructure 3027

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Project Name</th>
<th>Proposed Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neighborhoods</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Partnership Grant Match</td>
<td>$875,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Enhancements</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neighborhoods Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,625,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engineering</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buried Wastewater Infrastructure</td>
<td>$90,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street &amp; Road Improvements</td>
<td>$45,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>$42,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Reconstruction/Replacement</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seawalls</td>
<td>$8,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Storm Drainage</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Resiliency &amp; Flood Mitigation</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roser Park Seawall</td>
<td>$8,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredging Arterial Channels</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engineering Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$210,500,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Transportation Infrastructure</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Share Program Expansion</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk Expansion</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Streets</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk - Neighborhood &amp; ADA Ramps</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle Pedestrian Facilities</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Transportation Mgt Program</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayfaring Signage and Sign Replacement</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$18,500,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic Development</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grow Smarter Infrastructure Fund</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skyway Marina Undergrounding Power Lines</td>
<td>$6,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic Development Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$26,750,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Project Name</td>
<td>Proposed Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation &amp; Culture Fund 3029</td>
<td>$44,850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>9.82% - 17.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Facility Improvements</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pool Improvements</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Center Improvements</td>
<td>$14,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shore Acres Recreation Center Replacement</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Pierce Recreation Center Replacement</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Center Improvements</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Puller Sports Complex (CDA request)</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries</td>
<td>$8,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Library Improvements</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Library Building Upgrades</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Improvements</td>
<td>$4,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Facilities Improvements</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Lighting Improvements</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve Improvements</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play Equipment Replacement</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Enterprise Facilities</td>
<td>$4,850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliseum Parking Lot Expansion</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahaffey Theater Improvements</td>
<td>$3,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Facilities Fund 3031</td>
<td>$6,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1.25% - 2.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Project Name</td>
<td>Proposed Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamestown Complex</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Facility Roofing &amp; Waterproofing</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Station Major Improvements</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Facility HVAC</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>$326,271,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT GOVERNING DISTRIBUTION OF PROCEEDS COLLECTED THROUGH THE PROPOSED FOURTH ROUND OF THE PENNY FOR PINELLAS ONE-CENT LOCAL OPTION SALES SURTAX PROGRAM AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Penny for Pinellas is a one-cent local option sales surtax program enacted pursuant to Florida Statutes section 212.055(2) for the financing, planning, and construction of infrastructure in Pinellas County (the "Penny Program"); and

WHEREAS, the first round of the Penny Program, which ran from 1990-2000, was followed by two additional rounds, running from 2000-2010 and 2010-2020, respectively; and

WHEREAS, proceeds from the first three rounds of the Penny Program have provided funding for key countywide facilities and critical community projects in the City, including bridges, roads, sidewalks, stormwater projects, seawalls, recreation centers, libraries, public safety vehicles, fire stations, and the new Police Headquarters currently under construction by the City; and

WHEREAS, Florida Statutes section 212.055(2)(a) authorizes the governing authority of Pinellas County to extend the term of this discretionary sales surtax if approved by a majority of the electors of the county voting in a referendum on the surtax; and

WHEREAS, Pinellas County has proposed that a referendum be held on November 7, 2017, for the purpose of approving a fourth round of the Penny Program that would run from 2020-2030; and

WHEREAS, Florida Statutes section 212.055(2)(c) allows for the distribution of proceeds from the Penny Program according to an interlocal agreement between the county's governing authority and the governing bodies of the municipalities representing a majority of the county's municipal population; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of St Petersburg, Florida, has resolved that there should be a fourth round of the Penny Program and now desires to authorize the execution of the interlocal agreement for the proposed fourth round of the Penny Program.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Mayor or the Mayor's designee is authorized to execute the interlocal agreement governing distribution of proceeds collected through a fourth round of the Penny Program, with such interlocal agreement going into effect only if a fourth round of the Penny Program is approved through a referendum in accordance with applicable law.

This resolution will become effective immediately upon adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

[Signatures]

City Attorney (Designee)  Administration
INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT

THIS INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is made and entered into as of this _______ day of ____________, 20___, by and between Pinellas County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, hereinafter referred to as the "County," and the municipalities within Pinellas County as set forth on the signature pages attached hereto, hereinafter referred to as the "Cities."

RECITALS:

WHEREAS, Section 212.055(2), Florida Statutes, authorizes the County to levy a local government infrastructure sales surtax of one percent (1%) throughout Pinellas County, Florida ("Infrastructure Sales Surtax"), subject to referendum approval; and

WHEREAS, as provided by Section 212.055(2)(c)1, Florida Statutes, the net proceeds of the surtax may be distributed as provided in an interlocal agreement; and

WHEREAS, the County and the Cities recognize a continuing need to fund critical infrastructure improvements, and the County intends to adopt an ordinance calling for a referendum on the question of extending the Infrastructure Sales Surtax for an additional ten (10) year period at an election to be held on November 7, 2017 ("Extension"); and

WHEREAS, the parties further recognize that it is in the best interest of the County and the Cities to enter into an interlocal agreement that will run concurrently with the Extension of the Infrastructure Sales Surtax, if approved by the electorate, for the purpose of providing for the distribution among the County and the Cities as provided herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants herein contained, and other good and valuable consideration, the County and the Cities agree as follows:

Section 1. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

This Agreement shall become effective on the Commencement Date set forth in Section 4, so long as the following conditions precedent have been satisfied:
A. Approval by Pinellas County voters of the Extension of the Infrastructure Sales Surtax; and

B. Execution of the Interlocal Agreement by the County and the governing bodies of the municipalities representing a majority of the County's municipal population as required by § 212.055(2)(c)1, Florida Statutes.

Section 2. DISTRIBUTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE SALES SURTAX

A. "Net Proceeds" shall mean the amount of the Infrastructure Sales Surtax collected in Pinellas County by the Florida Department of Revenue, less the Department's administrative costs, as provided by law.

B. As provided by law, the Infrastructure Sales Surtax shall be collected by the Florida Department of Revenue and the Net Proceeds shall be distributed monthly to the County.

C. The Net Proceeds shall be distributed by the County in accordance with the terms of this Agreement within a reasonable time after receipt as follows:

1. Countywide Investments will be funded in the total amount of 11.3% of the Net Proceeds collected over the ten (10) year term of this Agreement. Therefore, before the County distributes the Net Proceeds received by it pursuant to the distribution set forth in subsection (2) below, it shall apply 11.3% of Net Proceeds to fund Countywide Investments in the following project categories:

   a. "Economic Development Capital Projects" as authorized in Section 212.055(2)(d)3., Florida Statutes and "Housing" (Land Acquisition in support of residential housing as authorized in Section 212.055(2)(d)1.e., Florida Statutes) 8.3% of Net Proceeds

   b. Jail and Courts Facilities 3.0% of Net Proceeds

   **TOTAL COUNTYWIDE INVESTMENTS 11.3% OF NET PROCEEDS**

Net Proceeds dedicated to Economic Development Capital Projects and Housing shall be used in accordance with guidelines set forth by a joint review committee established by resolution...
of the Board of County Commissioners. The committee shall consist of professional staff with subject matter expertise in economic development, planning, and/or housing. Committee membership shall be comprised of three (3) professional staff members representing the County and appointed by the County Administrator, two (2) professional staff members representing the City of St. Petersburg and appointed by the Mayor of the City of St. Petersburg, and a single professional staff member, appointed by the city’s manager or elected body, of each of the cities as noted below:

- One (1) member representing Clearwater;
- One (1) member representing Dunedin;
- One (1) member representing Largo;
- One (1) member representing Pinellas Park;
- One (1) member representing Belleair, Belleair Bluffs, Gulfport, Kenneth City, Seminole, and South Pasadena;
- One (1) member representing Belleair Beach, Belleair Shore, Indian Rocks Beach, Indian Shores, Madeira Beach, North Redington Beach, Redington Beach, Redington Shores, St. Pete Beach, and Treasure Island; and
- One (1) member representing Oldsmar, Safety Harbor, and Tarpon Springs.

The committee may impose reporting requirements to ensure compliance with Section 212.055(2)(d)3., Florida Statutes, that allows allocation of up to 15 percent of Net Proceeds for funding economic development projects. Economic Development Capital Projects shall be limited to capital projects that support job retention and creation.

(2) The remainder of the Net Proceeds will be distributed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pinellas County</td>
<td>51.7523%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belleair</td>
<td>0.2783%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belleair Beach</td>
<td>0.1112%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belleair Bluffs</td>
<td>0.1463%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belleair Shore</td>
<td>0.0079%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>7.9957%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunedin</td>
<td>2.5651%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulfport</td>
<td>0.8761%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Rocks Beach</td>
<td>0.3111%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Shores</td>
<td>0.1020%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth City</td>
<td>0.3589%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largo</td>
<td>5.8044%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madeira Beach</td>
<td>0.3098%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Redington Beach</td>
<td>0.1027%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oldsmar</td>
<td>1.0124%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinellas Park</td>
<td>3.7349%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redington Beach</td>
<td>0.1030%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redington Shores</td>
<td>0.1559%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Harbor</td>
<td>1.2282%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Pete Beach</td>
<td>0.6725%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Petersburg</td>
<td>18.4607%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminole</td>
<td>1.3119%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Pasadena</td>
<td>0.3619%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarpon Springs</td>
<td>1.7528%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasure Island</td>
<td>0.4841%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. In the event any municipality in Pinellas County does not sign this Agreement, or notifies the County in writing after signing this Agreement that it does not wish to receive any undistributed Net Proceeds to which it is entitled, its percentage of proceeds shall be distributed pro-rata to the other parties in accordance with the formula set forth in Section 2(C)(2) (after excluding such City's percentage).

Section 3. **EXECUTION**

This Agreement may be signed in counterparts by the parties hereto.

Section 4. **TERM OF AGREEMENT**

The term of this Agreement shall run concurrently with the levy of the Infrastructure Sales Surtax, with said levy proposed for a ten (10) year period, commencing on January 1, 2020 ("Commencement Date") and ending December 31, 2029.

Section 5. **ANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

A. Each City signing this Agreement shall annually post on its official website in the same manner as required by Section 166.241(3), Florida Statutes, its Capital Improvement Plan and shall identify therein any material changes in the projects funded by the Infrastructure Sales Surtax. If the City does not operate an official website, the City shall transmit its Capital
Improvement Plan and identify therein any materials changes in the projects funded by the Infrastructure Sales Surtax to the County who shall post said plan on the County’s website.

B. The County shall annually post on its official website in the same manner as required by Section 129.03(c), Florida Statutes, its Capital Improvement Plan and shall identify therein any material changes in the projects funded by the Infrastructure Sales Surtax.

Section 6. PRIOR INTERLOCAL SUPERSEDED.

The distribution terms of this Agreement shall supersede the distribution formula contained in the prior interlocals between the parties hereto, and the distribution of the Infrastructure Sales Surtax shall be governed specifically by the terms of this Agreement as of the Commencement Date. During the period between when this Agreement is approved by the parties hereto and the Commencement Date, the Infrastructure Sales Surtax shall be distributed in accordance with the Interlocal Agreement dated April 29, 2008, which terminates on December 31, 2019.

Section 7. SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this Interlocal Agreement is held invalid, the invalidity shall not affect other provisions of the Interlocal Agreement which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end, the provisions of this Interlocal Agreement are severable.

Section 8. AMENDMENTS TO AGREEMENT

This Agreement may be amended, in writing, upon the express written approval of the governing bodies of all the parties.

Section 9. FILING OF AGREEMENT

This Agreement shall be filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court as provided in Section 163.01(11), Florida Statutes.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties to this Agreement have caused their names to be affixed hereto by the proper officers thereof, as of the day and year first above written.

ATTEST:
KEN BURKE, CLERK

By: ______________________
Deputy Clerk

[SEAL]

PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA, by and through its Board of County Commissioners

By: ______________________
Chair

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: ______________________
Office of the County Attorney

ProLaw 83181
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and Members of City Council
FROM: Wayne David Atherholt, Director, Cultural Affairs
SUBJECT: Authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute an agreement between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, and Suzy Schultz ("Artist"), for Artist to design, develop and install a piece of interior art entitled “The Long Struggle” in the stairwell of the City Of St. Petersburg’s City Hall, and to execute all other documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $50,000 from the unappropriated balance of the arts in public places fund (1901) to the City Hall Stairwell Mural public art project.

Explanation: In accordance with Division 2, Article III, Chapter 5 of the St. Petersburg City Code, the Public Arts Commission has approved the selection of Suzy Schultz to design, develop and install site-specific artwork for the City Hall Stairwell.

The members of the Public Arts Commission, Kathryn Howd, Chair; Councillor Steve Kornell; Larry LaDelfa, Laura Bryant, Bob Devin Jones, Martin Knaust, Stacia Schrader, David Ramsey and Jeff Danner, selected six additional members to form the City Hall Stairwell Mural Public Art Project Committee called for in the ordinance.

The members of the City Hall Stairwell Mural Public Art Project Committee included: Herb Snitzer, professional artist; Dr. Kanika Tomalin, representing the City of St. Petersburg City Hall Building; Sergio DeSanto, professional architect; Gwendolyn Reese, neighborhood representative; Dr. Ray Arsenault, at-large member; Terri Lipsey-Scott, professional arts administrator; and Stacia Schrader, Bob Devin Jones and Council Member Steve Kornell from the Public Arts Commission.

Present Situation: The Public Arts Commission has recommended a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $50,000 from the Art in Public Places Fund (1901) to the City Hall Stairwell Mural Project (Oracle Project Number To Be Determined).

Considerable meeting time was devoted to the history of the site and what would be appropriate for a commissioned piece of artwork for the location. An RFQ was placed and 33 qualified artists responded. This group was then narrowed to five finalists who each, then, presented a site-specific proposal to the Project Committee.

Artist Suzy Schultz, of Atlanta, Georgia, was chosen by the City Hall Stairwell Mural Public Art Project Committee by a majority (5-3) of committee members present when the vote was taken to execute her
Sharon Michnowicz from the City’s legal department has prepared the contract. The City Hall Stairwell Mural Public Art Project Committee is recommending the following for approval:

- Agreement to Design, Develop and Install: Artist Suzy Schultz shall complete the design, development, mounting, delivery and installation of a work of art entitled “The Long Struggle” in the City Hall stairwell. This public art piece will be an oil and acrylic painting on a wood panel to be professionally installed in the stairwell at City Hall in the space that is presently vacant opposite the George Snow Hill painting.
- An accompanying plaque will also be included in the final installation that addresses the historical events and the artist’s hope, through the art, for the community. The full text is in the backup materials.
- A supplemental appropriation in the amount of $50,000 from the unappropriated balance of fund 1901, Art in Public Places Fund.

Cost/Funding Information: A supplemental appropriation of $50,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Art in Public Places Fund (1901) is required for Fiscal Year 2017.

Attachments:
- Resolution
- Artist Agreement
- Artist Proposal
- Artist Resume

Approvals:

Administration

Budget
Resolution No. 2017-______

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, AND SUZY SCHULTZ ("ARTIST"), FOR ARTIST TO DESIGN, DEVELOP AND INSTALL A PIECE OF INTERIOR ART ENTITLED "THE LONG STRUGGLE" IN THE STAIRWELL OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG'S CITY HALL, AND TO EXECUTE ALL OTHER DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AUTHORIZING THE CITY ATTORNEY TO MAKE NON-SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES TO THE AGREEMENT; APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $50,000 FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE ART IN PUBLIC PLACES FUND (1901) TO THE CITY HALL STAIRWELL MURAL PROJECT; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, is committed to supporting and encouraging the artistic and cultural enrichment of the St. Petersburg community; and

WHEREAS, City Hall Stairwell Mural Public Art Project Committee ("Committee") was established pursuant to Section 5-80(b) of the City Code to ensure that proper considerations are given to the design, siting, facility operation, and neighborhood interests for a piece of interior artwork to be commissioned for the stairwell in City Hall to replace a mural that was removed in 1966; and

WHEREAS, the Committee issued a Request for Qualifications ("RFQ") on August 31, 2016 inviting qualified artists to submit applications by October 3, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the Committee received thirty-three (33) applications in response to the RFQ and selected five (5) of those as finalists to prepare and present to the Committee a site-specific proposal; and

WHEREAS, based on the site-specific proposal submitted and presented by Suzy Schultz ("Artist"), one of the five finalists, the Committee
selected Artist to fully design, develop and install a work of art entitled "The Long Struggle" in the City Hall stairwell; and

WHEREAS, on June 6, 2017, the Public Arts Commission approved the Committee's selection and recommends that City Council approve an agreement for Artist to fully design, develop and install a piece of interior art entitled "The Long Struggle" in the stairwell of City Hall.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute an agreement between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Suzy Schultz ("Artist"), for Artist to design, develop and install a piece of interior art entitled "The Long Struggle" in the stairwell of the City of St. Petersburg's City Hall, and to execute all other documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Attorney is authorized to make non-substantive changes to the agreement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there is hereby approved from the unappropriated balance of the Art in Public Places Fund (1901), the following supplemental appropriation for FY 2017:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Art in Public Places Fund (1901)</td>
<td>($50,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Hall Stairwell Mural Project</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approvals:

[Signatures]

Administration

[Signature]

City Attorney (Designee)

003268927
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"The Long Struggle"
The fight for social justice has been a long one, with many seen and unseen people taking part, often over the period of years or of decades. “The Long Struggle”, the title of my proposed mural (shown on page 2), attempts to show the history of that struggle, as well as the hope for continued change.

The central black figure holding the bird's nest represents the longing, the hope that each community has for wholeness, justice and opportunity in their homes and communities, represented by the nest. The nest also represents the hope for the future; that future generations will see, and have a part in making, even further strides in equality, opportunity and justice.

The faces in the background represent the myriads of people over the years that have taken part in this struggle to move St. Petersburg forward.

Plaque to accompany “The Long Struggle”

I propose a plaque to hang next to “The Long Struggle” with these words:

In 1966 Joe Waller, now known as Omali Yeshitela, tore down a mural that was offensive to the black community, after repeated requests by the black community to have it changed or removed. He spent 2 years in jail and prison for this act. “The Long Struggle” attempts to show the hope that each community has for their homes and communities to be places of justice, equality, opportunity, prosperity and wholeness, and to honor the many people over the years who have joined in the struggle to bring these about.

Background

I grew up in St. Petersburg, and as a child was curious about those other communities I had little contact with. I still to this day am curious about, and drawn to paint, people who look different than I do. I want to know about their struggles, their stories, what it is that has made them who they are. I am drawn to faces that have a beauty forged by the hardships and struggles they have gone through. On a personal level, I seek subjects that give me hope that hardships and struggles will produce a deeper beauty in me as well.

I left St. Petersburg in 1984 and moved to Atlanta. In the last 3 years, I have been spending part of my year back in St. Pete., trying to connect who I am now, as an adult artist, with my history there. In my time back in St. Pete., it has been through art that I connected with the black community that had been so distant from me in my past - through my collaboration with Carla Bristol and the people she arranged for me to paint, my studio time at Bloom, my interaction with Terri Lipsey Scott at the Dr. Carter G. Woodson Museum and the collaboration with Bob Devin Jones at Fantastic Ekphrastic. My time in St. Pete. also pushed me forward in my art, and I was inspired to start painting much bigger pieces and murals.

Materials and process

The mural will be painted off site with acrylic and oil paint on wood panel, and then professionally installed at City Hall. It will be coated with a protective museum varnish.
ARTIST AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is made and entered into this ___ day of ______, 2017 ("Effective Date"), by and between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, a municipal corporation of the State of Florida, ("City") and Susan Schultz ("Artist") (collectively, "Parties").

WHEREAS, it is the desire of City and Artist to establish the terms and conditions under which a work of art shall be created, fabricated and installed in the place designated herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and mutual covenants contained herein (which are an integral part of this Agreement and are incorporated herein by reference), and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties to this Agreement agree as follows:

ARTICLE 1. DEFINITIONS

1.1 Artwork. The work of art entitled "The Long Struggle" which will be created, designed, built, and installed by the Artist pursuant to this Agreement and shall be substantially similar to the conceptual design proposal the Artist presented to the Project Committee, which conceptual design proposal is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

1.2 Project Committee. The City of St. Petersburg City Hall Stairwell Mural Public Art Project Committee.

1.3 Site. On the wall in the main entry up the stairs to the left landing in the City of St. Petersburg's City Hall building, which is located at 175 5th St. N., St. Petersburg FL 33701.

ARTICLE 2. SCOPE OF SERVICES

2.1 Artwork Described. Artist shall complete the design, development, fabrication, delivery and installation of the Artwork. The Artwork is generally depicted and described in Exhibit B, which shall be attached hereto and made a part of this Agreement after the final design is completed.

2.2 Independent Contractor. Artist is an independent contractor and nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as constituting Artist as an employee, agent or representative of the City. No employee or agent of the City shall supervise Artist.

2.3 Artist Responsibilities. Artist shall perform or provide all services and furnish all supplies, materials and equipment necessary for the design, development, fabrication, delivery and installation of the Artwork and comply with the following:
A. Beginning on the Effective Date, Artist shall commence the final design, development, fabrication and installation of the Artwork in accordance with this Agreement.

B. Final placement of the Artwork must be coordinated with and approved by the City before installation begins to ensure that no damage is caused to the Site.

C. Artist shall submit monthly progress reports to the City upon written request.

D. Artist shall present to the City in advance, for further review and approval, a written proposal for any significant changes in the scope, design, color, size, material or texture, or location on the Site of the Artwork which affects installation, scheduling, Site preparation or maintenance for the Artwork or the conceptual design proposal for the Artwork as approved by the Project Committee and attached hereto as Exhibit A.

E. Artist agrees that the Artwork will not utilize any protected patent, trademark, or copyright unless Artist has obtained proper permission and all releases and other necessary documents. If Artist uses any protected material, process, or procedure, Artist shall disclose such patent, trademark, or copyright to the City.

F. Artist shall provide written instructions for the care, maintenance and preservation requirements for the Artwork. The City acknowledges that the Artwork may suffer some ordinary wear and tear, but such wear and tear shall not be of such a nature to affect the integrity or overall visual quality of the Artwork. The Artwork shall be designed to withstand all conditions that could reasonably be expected to occur at the Site.

G. Artist shall provide a written warranty of the Artwork, guaranteeing the quality of materials and workmanship for a period of not less than five (5) years.

H. Artist is responsible for acquiring all City, county, state or federal permits necessary for the construction and/or installation of the Artwork.

I. Artist and the Artwork shall at all times comply with all current and future federal, state, and local statutes, rules, regulations, and ordinances, the federal and state constitutions and the orders and decrees of any lawful authorities having jurisdiction over the matter at issue (collectively, "Laws").

2.4. City's Right to Review Progress. The City shall have the right to review the progress of the Artwork at all reasonable times.

2.5. Ownership of Documents. Upon completion of the Artwork all studies, drawings, designs and photographs prepared and submitted to the City under this Agreement by Artist shall
ARTICLE 3. COMPENSATION

3.1. Firm Fixed Price. City shall pay Artist a firm fixed price of fifty thousand dollars ($50,000) ("Firm Fixed Price"), which shall constitute full compensation for all services performed (including any approved services provided prior to the Effective Date) and materials furnished by Artist under this Agreement, including Artist's fee.

3.2. Method and Schedule of Payment. The Firm Fixed Price shall be paid in the following installments, each installment to represent full and final payment for all services and materials provided prior to payment thereof. Each installment shall be paid upon receipt of the certifications and documentation described below, which shall be in a form acceptable to the City in its reasonable discretion.

A. The City shall pay Artist twenty five thousand dollars ($25,000) within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date. This amount is intended to cover completion of the final design.

B. The City shall pay Artist the remaining twenty five thousand dollars ($25,000) of the Firm Fixed Price upon approval by the City of photos and documentation of completed fabrication of the Artwork, evidence that all required permits have been obtained, satisfactory installation of the Artwork at the Site, and the Artist presenting to or obtaining from the City the following:

i. A written bill of sale conveying title of the Artwork to the City,

ii. Written instructions for the care, maintenance, preservation and handling of the Artwork pursuant to this Agreement,

iii. A sworn statement of no liens, claims or other encumbrances pursuant to this Agreement,

iv. A written warranty pursuant to this Agreement,

v. Written assignment of any and all warranties for materials used or labor performed by subcontractors or other persons, and

vi. Obtaining Final Acceptance (as defined herein) of the Artwork pursuant to this Agreement.
3.3. **Availability of Funds.** The performance by the City of any of its obligations under this Agreement shall be subject to and contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by the City for the purposes of this Agreement for the current and any future fiscal period. The City shall appropriate all funds necessary to fund this Agreement at the time this Agreement is approved by City Council.

3.4. **Travel and Other Expenses.** Travel and other expenses shall not be reimbursed except as provided in this Agreement. Artist's sole compensation shall be the Firm Fixed Price as described in this Article 3.

**ARTICLE 4. TIME OF PERFORMANCE**

4.1. **Time of Performance Described.** All services by Artist shall be completed pursuant to this Agreement. Artist agrees to be available to begin this project immediately on the Effective Date. Artist shall complete and install the Artwork and submit all required documentation to the City no later than June 15, 2018.

4.2. **Extensions by City.** The City may grant Artist a reasonable extension of time in the event there is a delay on the City’s part in performing obligations under this Agreement or if conditions beyond Artist's control or acts of God render timely performance of Artist's services impossible or unreasonably burdensome. Artist agrees and understands that the City shall be the sole judge of what constitutes “beyond Artist’s control.” Further, Artist agrees that there will be no extension of time for any reason if such extension of time would result in an increase in the Fixed Firm Price.

4.3. **Special Extensions.** The City's Mayor or his designee shall have the authority to grant one extension for up to ninety (90) days for good cause, as determined by the City in its sole and absolute discretion.

4.4. **Failure to Fulfill Obligations.** Except as otherwise provided herein, failure to fulfill obligations due to conditions beyond either party's reasonable control will not be considered a breach of this Agreement, provided that such obligations shall be suspended only for the duration of such conditions.

4.5. **Presentations of Artwork While in Progress.** During the performance of this Agreement, Artist specifically grants to the City the right, at the City’s discretion, to make presentations, photographs or otherwise reproduce faithful images of the Artwork while in progress for presentation purposes.

4.6. **Acceptance of Artwork upon Completion.** The Artist shall provide the City with written notice of completion after the Artist completes the Artwork and provides to the City all documentation required pursuant to this Agreement. The City shall, in writing, accept or reject the Artwork within ten (10) business days of the City’s receipt of the Artist’s written notice of completion. The City may only reject the Artwork if it does not meet the design
plans, drawings or specifications set forth herein or if the Artist has not provided documentation as required pursuant to this Agreement. If the City fails to accept the Artwork due to noncompliance with the design plans, drawings or specifications or failure to provide documentation required pursuant to this Agreement ("Noncompliance"), the City shall give Artist written notice of such failure to accept, the reasons therefore and a reasonable opportunity to correct such Noncompliance, provided, however, that in no event shall the period to correct the Noncompliance exceed thirty (30) calendar days from the date the City provides notice of Noncompliance to Artist. For purposes of this Agreement, “Final Acceptance” means that Artist has cured all Noncompliance (if any), and the City has issued written approval of the Artwork and associated documentation.

ARTICLE 5. GENERAL CONDITIONS

5.1. Assignment, Transfer or Subcontracting. A material element of this Agreement is the personal skill, judgment and creativity of Artist. Therefore, Artist shall not assign, transfer or subcontract the creative or artistic portions of the Artwork to another party without the prior written approval of the City, which approval may be withheld in the City’s sole and absolute discretion.

5.2. Nameplate. Artist may, at Artist's expense, include a permanent and proper nameplate, which shall include the name of the Artwork, the name of Artist, and the date of completion. The content, design and location thereof must be mutually agreed to by Artist and the City. If Artist provides a nameplate or if no nameplate is provided and the City wishes to provide a nameplate, or if the nameplate provided by Artist is replaced, the nameplate should, at a minimum, include the information set forth in this Section 5.2.

5.3. Public Records.

A. Artist shall (i) keep and maintain public records (as defined in Florida’s Public Records law) required by the City to perform the services pursuant to this Agreement; (ii) upon request from the City Clerk’s Office, provide the City (at no cost to the City) with a copy of the requested records or allow the records to be inspected or copied within a reasonable time at a cost that does not exceed the cost provided under Florida’s Public Records law or other applicable Laws; (iii) ensure that public records in Artist’s possession that are exempt or confidential and exempt from public records disclosure requirements are not disclosed except as authorized by applicable Laws from the Effective Date until the City issues its Final Acceptance (“Services Term”) or until earlier termination of this Agreement; and (iv) during the Services Term or earlier termination of this Agreement, at the City’s request, either transfer, at no cost, to the City all public records in Artist’s possession within ten (10) days following the City’s request and/or keep and maintain any public records required by the City to perform the services pursuant to this Agreement. If Artist transfers all public records to the City upon expiration of the Services Term or earlier termination of this Agreement, Artist shall destroy
any duplicate public records that are exempt or confidential and exempt from public records disclosure requirements. If Artist keeps and maintains public records after the expiration of the Services Term or earlier termination of this Agreement, Artist shall meet all applicable requirements for retaining public records in accordance with this Agreement and all applicable Laws. At the City's request, all public records stored electronically by Artist shall be provided to the City in a format approved by the City.

B. IF ARTIST HAS QUESTIONS REGARDING THE APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 119, FLORIDA STATUTES, AS TO ARTIST’S DUTY TO PROVIDE PUBLIC RECORDS RELATING TO THIS AGREEMENT, CONTACT THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICE (THE CUSTODIAN OF PUBLIC RECORDS) AT (727) 893-7448, CITY.CLERK@STPETE.ORG, OR 175 FIFTH ST. N., ST. PETERSBURG FL 33701.

C. Nothing contained herein shall be construed to affect or limit Artist’s obligations including but not limited to Artist’s obligations to comply with all applicable Laws.

ARTICLE 6. WARRANTIES

6.1. Warranty of Title. Artist warrants that the Artwork shall be the result of the artistic efforts of Artist and that, unless otherwise stipulated herein, the Artwork shall be unique, an edition of one, and not infringe on any copyright. Artist shall deliver the Artwork free and clear of any liens, claims or other encumbrances of any type arising from the acts of Artist.

6.2. Warranty of Quality. Artist warrants that upon completion, the Artwork shall be free of defects in material and workmanship and that Artist shall correct any such defects which appear for a period of five (5) years from Final Acceptance at Artist's expense. This warranty of quality shall not require Artist to correct any damage caused by vandalism or any act of the City so long as such damage is not the result of a defect in material or workmanship of Artist. It is understood by the City that the Artwork has been designed and built by Artist as a work of art. Any use by the City of the Artwork for purposes other than for adornment of the Site as a work of art hereby voids this warranty of quality.

ARTICLE 7. TITLE AND COPYRIGHT

7.1. Artist Responsibility. Artist shall execute any and all lawful documents, including assignments, which the City deems necessary or desirable to fully acknowledge the City's ownership interest in the Artwork and to effectuate any assignment and this Agreement.
7.2. **Title.** Title to the Artwork shall vest in the City upon delivery of the Bill of Sale by Artist. As owner of the Artwork, the City may exercise any and all rights of ownership including, but not limited to, sale, removal or destruction of the Artwork.

7.3. **Copyright.** Artist retains all rights under the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et. seq., and all other rights in and to the Artwork except ownership and possession, except as such rights are limited by this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, Artist must execute the Release and Waiver set forth in Exhibit C, releasing, waiving, disclaiming, and terminating rights provided to Artist under 17 U.S.C. § 106A, Visual Artist Rights Act of 1990.

7.4. **Limitations on Artist Copyright.** The Artwork in its final dimension shall be unique. Artist shall not make any exact duplicates of the final Artwork, nor shall Artist grant permission to others to do so except with the written permission of the City.

7.5. **License to City.** Artist grants to the City and its assigns an irrevocable license to make two-dimensional reproductions of the Artwork for noncommercial purposes, including, but not limited to reproductions used in marketing, advertising, brochures, media publicity, web sites, and catalogues or other similar publications provided that such reproductions of the Artwork must be made in a professional and tasteful manner.

7.6. **Credit to Artist.** The City shall use reasonable efforts, in all reproductions based on the Artwork, to give credit to Artist.

7.7. **Credit to City.** Artist shall use best efforts to give a credit reading substantially, "all original work owned by the City of St. Petersburg, Florida" in any public showing or distribution to the public of any reproductions of the Artwork which have been authorized by the City and which are under Artist's control.

**ARTICLE 8. RISK OF LOSS AND INSURANCE**

8.1. **Damage.** Should any repairs to any structure or the Site become necessary or if the Artwork is damaged in any way prior to the City issuing its Final Acceptance, the City shall not have any liability or responsibility for replacement or repair of the Artwork.

8.2. **Damage to Materials.** If, before the City issues its Final Acceptance, the Artwork, art materials or any portion of the art materials are substantially damaged by fire, explosion, or other casualty or occurrence, the City may elect to repair or replace the art materials or immediately terminate this Agreement. In the event of termination pursuant to this Section 8.2, the City shall not be obligated to pay Artist any remaining monies in connection with this Agreement. The City shall not have any liability to Artist in the event of termination of this Agreement pursuant this Section 8.2 and Artist shall not be required to repay any money paid to Artist from the City pursuant to this Agreement, unless such damage to the art materials was caused or contributed by the negligence of Artist or Artist's employees.
subcontractors, representatives or agents. Nothing contained herein shall limit the City’s rights and remedies against Artist if Artist, any other occupant of the Site, or their respective agents, employees, representatives, guests, invitees, customers, contractors or subcontractors, caused or contributed to the damage to the art materials.

8.3. **Insurance.** Artist shall arrange for, and/or ensure that Artist and all subcontractors have, or are covered by, public liability and property damage insurance to protect Artist, the Indemnified Parties (as defined herein), and any subcontractor performing work covered by or related to this Agreement, from claims for damage for personal and bodily injury, including accidental death, as well as from claims of property damage, which may arise from operations under this Agreement whether such operations are by Artist and by any subcontractor or anyone directly employed by either of them in the amounts as follows, which shall remain in effect until Final Acceptance by the City:

A. General Liability Insurance including contractual liability and products and completed operations with coverage limits of at least $300,000 per occurrence protecting the City against all claims or demands that may arise.

B. Automobile Liability Insurance with minimum limits as set forth by Florida Statute.

C. Workers’ Compensation Insurance in compliance with the laws of the State of Florida. Employers Liability coverage with minimum limits of $100,000 for each accident, $100,000 for each employee for disease, and $500,000 total for all disease.

i. The Indemnified Parties (as defined herein) must be shown as an additional named insured with respect to this coverage.

ii. The insurance coverage required shall include those classifications, as listed in standard liability insurance manuals, which most nearly reflect the operations of Artist.

iii. The insurance policy required above shall be issued by a company authorized to do business in the State of Florida, with the following qualifications as to management and financial strength: the company must be rated not less than "A" as to management, and not less than Class “X” as to strength, by the latest edition of Best’s Insurance Guide, published by A.M. Best Company, Oldwick, New Jersey, or its equivalent.

iv. Artist shall furnish a certificate of insurance on a standard ACORD form to the City prior to commencement of operations on the Site, which certificate shall clearly indicate that Artist and/or its subcontractors have obtained insurance in the type, amount and classification as required for strict compliance with this article and that no material changes or cancellation of
insurance will be effective without thirty (30) days prior written notice to the City, despite changes to or cancellation of insurance.

v. Compliance with the foregoing requirements shall not relieve Artist of its liability and obligations under this Agreement.

ARTICLE 9. INDEMNIFICATION AND RELEASE

9.1. Indemnification. Artist shall defend at its expense, pay on behalf of, hold free and harmless, indemnify and assume legal liability for the City and its officers, employees, agents, and elected and appointed officials and volunteers (collectively, "Indemnified Parties"), from and against any and all actions, claims, liabilities, assertions of liability, losses, costs and expenses, whether or not a lawsuit is filed, in law or in equity, including but not limited to attorneys' fees at trial and appellate levels, reasonable investigative and discovery costs, court costs, or claims for bodily injury or death of persons and for loss of or damage to property, of every kind and nature whatsoever, which in any manner directly or indirectly may arise or be alleged to have arisen, or resulted or alleged to have resulted from the negligent acts or omissions or other wrongful conduct of or the infringement of any copyright, protected patent or trademark by Artist and/or its subcontractors, employees, and agents in connection with Artist's performance pursuant to this Agreement.

9.2. Notice. The Parties each agree to give the other party prompt notice of any claim coming to its knowledge that in any way directly or indirectly affects the other party.

9.3. Release. Artist releases and forever waives any and all present and future claims, covenants not to sue, and holds harmless the Indemnified Parties from and against all actions, claims, damages, liabilities, costs and expenses, including but not limited to, attorneys' fees and costs, on account of injury to the person or property in connection with Artist's performance pursuant to this Agreement, whether arising out of or caused by the negligence of any or all of the Indemnified Parties or otherwise, or whether arising out of or caused by any defect, or presence or absence of any condition of, or in or on any real property, premises, the Site, City property or thoroughfare while the undersigned is participating in any phase of the design, fabrication and installation of the Artwork. Artist shall require all workers engaged in the performance of this Agreement to execute the release set forth in Exhibit D.

ARTICLE 10. MAINTENANCE, RESTORATION AND REMOVAL

10.1. Maintenance. The City recognizes that the maintenance of the Artwork on a regular basis will be necessary and shall clean and maintain the Artwork in conjunction with the normal maintenance and cleaning procedures based on Artist's written instructions therefore provided pursuant to this Agreement.
10.2. **Failure to Maintain Artwork.** In the event that the City fails to maintain the Artwork in good condition, Artist shall have the right to disown it as its creation and require that references to Artist on the nameplate be removed from the Artwork until its condition is satisfactorily repaired.

10.3. **Restoration.** After Final Acceptance, the City shall have the right to determine when and if repairs and restorations to the Artwork will be made. During Artist's lifetime, Artist shall have the right to approve all repairs and restorations, provided, however, that Artist shall not unreasonably withhold approval for any repair or restoration of the Artwork. If Artist unreasonably fails to approve any repair or restoration, the City shall have the right to make such repair or restoration. To the extent practical, Artist shall be given the opportunity to make or personally supervise significant repairs and restoration and shall be paid a reasonable fee for any such services, provided that the City and Artist agree in writing, prior to commencement of any significant repairs or restorations, upon Artist's fee for such services. If no agreement is reached as to Artist's fee for restoration, then the City may make repairs, restoration or other arrangement it deems appropriate for the Artwork.

10.4. **Alteration of the Artwork.** Except as otherwise set forth in Section 10.5 or Section 10.6 of this Agreement, the City agrees that it will not intentionally damage, alter, modify or change the Artwork without the prior written approval of Artist to the extent it is practical to obtain such approval.

10.5. **Alteration of the Site.** To the extent practical, the City shall notify Artist of any proposed alteration of the Site that would affect the intended character and appearance of the Artwork and shall consult with Artist in the planning and execution of any such alteration and shall make a reasonable effort to maintain the integrity of the Artwork.

10.6. **Removal of Artwork.** The City has the right to remove the Artwork from the Site. To the extent practical, Artist, during Artist's lifetime shall be given the opportunity to remove or personally supervise the removal of the Artwork to the location chosen by the City. If the City removes the Artwork, it may make reasonable efforts to place the Artwork on other suitable property of the City, store the Artwork in its entirety in a safe location, place the Artwork on suitable non-City owned property, dispose of, sell or donate the Artwork. The City will make a good faith effort to notify Artist of any proposed action to remove the Artwork from its original Site. The City shall consult with Artist in the planning and execution of any such removal. In the event that Artist fails, within thirty (30) days of receipt of such notice to participate in the planning for the removal of the Artwork, the City shall have the right to proceed with the removal of the Artwork.

**ARTICLE 11. DEFAULT AND TERMINATION**

11.1. **Default Defined.** Failure of either party to comply with any provisions of this Agreement shall place that party in default. Except as otherwise provided in Section 5.3 and Section 8.2, concerning public records and damage to materials, respectively, the defaulting party
shall be entitled to thirty (30) days to cure the default upon receipt of written notice specifying the default.

11.2. **Termination.** In the event the default is not timely cured, this Agreement may be terminated immediately by written notice. Prior to terminating this Agreement and except as otherwise provided herein, the non-defaulting party shall notify the defaulting party in writing stating specifically the provisions which are alleged to give rise to the default. If the City terminates this Agreement pursuant to this Section 11.2 before Final Acceptance by the City, Artist shall immediately repay all payments paid to Artist under this Agreement. If Artist terminates this Agreement pursuant to this Article, the City shall forfeit any right to repayment of any payments made through the date Artist notifies the City that the Agreement is terminated.

11.3. **Termination for Convenience.** This Agreement may be terminated at any time by the City for convenience upon thirty (30) days written notice. In the event of termination for convenience, the City shall only be liable to Artist for payment milestones reached prior to the effective date of termination.

11.4. **Waiver.** The failure of either party to exercise its rights under this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver to such a right.

**ARTICLE 12. NOTICE TO PARTIES**

12.1. **Notice of Documents.** All notices, requests, demands, or other communications hereunder shall be in writing and shall be deemed to be served as of the delivery date appearing upon the return receipt if sent by certified mail, postage prepaid with return receipt requested, at the address listed below, or upon the actual date of delivery if hand delivered to the address below:

TO CITY:  
City of St. Petersburg  
Attention: India Williams  
Mayor's Office of Cultural Affairs  
P.O. Box 2842  
St. Petersburg, FL 33731

TO ARTIST:  
Susan Schultz  
141 Rockyford Rd. NE  
Atlanta, GA 30317  
Phone: (678) 595-8039  
Email: Susan@Susanschultz.net

12.2. **Change of address.** Any party may change its address for the giving of notice pursuant to notice given in accordance with the provisions of Section 12.1, which notice shall be effective upon receipt by the other party.

12.3. **Failure to Notify City of Change of Address.** If Artist fails to notify the City of a change of address, Artist waives all rights that are granted in this Agreement that require notice to Artist.
ARTICLE 13. MISCELLANEOUS

13.1. *Entirety of Agreement.* This writing embodies the entire agreement and understanding between the Parties hereto, and there are no other agreements and understandings, oral or written, with reference to the subject matter hereof that are not merged herein and superseded hereby. No alteration, change, or modification of the terms of this Agreement shall be valid unless made in writing and signed by both Parties hereto.

13.2. *Surviving Covenants.* The covenants and obligations set forth in this Agreement shall survive the delivery and Final Acceptance and associated documentation and shall be binding upon the Parties, their heirs, legatees, executors, administrators, assigns, transferees, and all their successors in interest.

13.3. *Severability.* If any provision of this Agreement is contrary to, prohibited by, or deemed invalid by applicable Laws of any jurisdiction in which it is sought to be enforced, then such provision shall be deemed inapplicable and omitted, but such omissions shall not invalidate the remaining provisions of this Agreement.

13.4. *Captions.* Captions are inserted only as a matter of convenience and for reference, and in no way define, limit, nor describe the scope of this Agreement, nor the intent or content of any provision contained herein.

13.5. *Waiver.* No waiver of any provision of this Agreement or any breach thereof shall be construed as a continuing waiver or shall constitute a waiver of any other provision or breach.

13.6. *Law and Forum.* This Agreement shall be construed under the laws of the State of Florida, and any action arising hereunder shall be brought in Pinellas County, Florida, or, if in Federal Court, the Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division.

13.7. *Construction.* Should any provision of this Agreement require judicial interpretation, the court interpreting or construing the same shall not construe it against one party more strictly by reason of the rule of interpretation that a document is to be construed more strictly against the party who itself or through its agents prepared the same, as each party has participated in the preparation of this Agreement and each party consulted with independent legal counsel of its own selection or waived its right to do so prior to the execution of this Agreement.

13.8. *No Third Party Beneficiaries.* Neither Artist nor the City intends to directly or indirectly benefit a third party by this Agreement. Therefore, the Parties agree that no third party shall be entitled to assert a claim against either of them based upon this Agreement. The Parties expressly acknowledge that it is not their intent to create any rights or obligations in any third person or entity under this Agreement.
13.9. *Incorporation by Reference.* Composite Exhibit E, the Bill of Sale, Warranty, Contractor's Affidavit, Affidavit of No Liens, Approval and Acceptance of Artwork, Certification of Completion and Installation, and Copyright Agreement and Assignment are upon their execution by a party to this Agreement incorporated into and made a part of this Agreement.

13.10. *Further Assurances.* The Parties shall promptly execute all documents reasonably required and take such other steps in addition to the execution of this Agreement to effectuate the intent and purpose of this Agreement.

13.11. *Exhibits.* Each exhibit to this Agreement is an essential part hereof and is incorporated herein by reference.
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IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have executed these presents for the purposes herein expressed.

CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA
By: __________________________
Print: _________________________
Title: _________________________
Address: P. O. Box 2842
St. Petersburg, FL 33731

ATTEST:

_____________________________ (SEAL)
City Clerk

SUSAN SCHULTZ:

Sign: _________________________
Print: _________________________
Address: _______________________

WITNESSES

AS TO SUSAN SCHULTZ:

Sign: _________________________
Print: _________________________

STATE OF ________________ )
COUNTY OF ________________ )

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this ___ day of __________, 2017, by Susan Schultz, personally known to me or who has produced __________________ as identification and who did take an oath.

NOTARY PUBLIC:

Sign _________________________
Print _________________________
State of _______________________
My Commission No.: __________

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

_____________________________
City Attorney (designee)
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Exhibit A
Conceptual Design Proposal
Exhibit C

Release and Waiver of Rights under 17 U.S.C. § 106A

In consideration of payment received from the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") for creating, fabricating and installing a work of art ("Artwork") in the stairwell of the City of St. Petersburg’s City Hall building, which is located at 175 5th St. N., St. Petersburg FL 33701, pursuant to the Agreement between the City and the Artist dated ______________, 2017, and for other valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, I, Susan Schultz, waive, disclaim and terminate all of my rights provided under 17 U.S.C. § 106A (Visual Artist Rights Act of 1990), including the right to prevent any intentional or accidental distortion, damage, destruction, or mutilation of the Artwork, which would be prejudicial to my honor or reputation and the right to prevent any intentional or grossly negligent destruction of the Artwork of recognized stature.

The Artwork primarily is used to enhance the City of St. Petersburg’s City Hall building but may be put to any other use at the City’s discretion. This Release and Waiver applies to not only the enhancement of the City of St. Petersburg’s City Hall building, but to any and all uses to which the Artwork may be put by the City. I agree that this Release and Waiver is intended to be as broad and inclusive as permitted by applicable laws, and if any portion hereof is held to be invalid, it is agreed that the balance shall, notwithstanding, continue in full legal force and effect.

I have read and voluntarily sign this Release and Waiver, and further agree that no oral representations, statements or inducements apart from the foregoing written release and waiver have been made.

I acknowledge that I have been hereby encouraged to seek the advice of attorney prior to signing this Release and Waiver, and have been given the opportunity to seek such counsel.

I acknowledge the fact that this Release and Waiver could become a public record pursuant to Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, and will be available to members of the public upon their request.

This Release and Waiver shall not be modified, marked through, or conditioned by any attachment or written comments.

By signing this Release and Waiver I am waiving and releasing valuable legal rights. I have read this Release and Waiver carefully before signing it.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has caused this Release and Waiver to be executed this ____ day of ______________, 201__.

BY: Sign: ________________________________ Telephone: (678) 595-8039

Susan Schultz

ADDRESS: 141 Rockyford Rd. NE
Atlanta, GA 30317
THIS RELEASE and HOLD HARMLESS AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is made this ___
day __________, 201_, by ____________________, its heirs, successors and assigns
(collectively "Worker") for the benefit of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City").

WHEREAS, at the request of Susan Schultz ("Artist"), the City has agreed to purchase a
work of art (herein "Artwork") and Worker has agreed with Artist to help implement the Artwork
by providing labor or other services.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the artist agreement between the Artist and the City dated
________, 2017 ("Artist Agreement"), Worker must execute this Agreement in favor of the City
prior to working on the Artwork or Site (as defined in the Artist Agreement) in order to protect the
Releasees (as defined herein) from certain liabilities.

NOW, THEREFORE, in order to induce the City to fund the Artwork and allow Worker
to work for Artist on the Artwork or Site and as consideration therefore, the Worker agrees as
follows:

1. Worker hereby releases and forever waives any and all present and future claims,
covenants not to sue, and holds harmless the City of St. Petersburg, its City Council, its employees,
servants, representatives, officers, agents, successors, assigns and volunteers (hereinafter referred
to as "Releasees"), from and against all actions, claims, damages, liabilities, costs and expenses,
including but not limited to attorney’s fees and costs, on account of injury to the person or property
or resulting in death of the undersigned, whether arising out of or caused by the negligence of any
or all of the Releasees, or otherwise, or whether arising out of or caused by any defect, or presence
or absence of any condition of, or in or on any real property, premises, City property, the Site or
any thoroughfare while the undersigned is participating in any phase of the Artwork.

2. Worker agrees to indemnify and hold and save the Releasees harmless from any and all
damages, loss or liability occurring by reason of any injury of any person or property which may
occur as a result of or in connection with the implementation of the Artwork or occasioned by an
act or omission, neglect, or wrongdoing of the Worker.

3. Worker will, at Worker's own cost and expense, defend and protect the Releasees
against any and all such claims or demands which may be claimed to have arisen as a result of or
in connection with the implementation of the Artwork.

4. The undersigned expressly agrees personally that this Agreement is intended to be as
broad and inclusive as is permitted by the law of the State of Florida, and if any portion thereof is
held to be invalid, it is agreed that the balance shall, notwithstanding, continue in full legal force
and effect.
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WORKER

Sign: __________________________________________
Print: __________________________________________
Address: __________________________________________

STATE OF ____________________ )
COUNTY OF ____________________ )

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this ___ day of ____________, 201____, by ______________________, who is personally known to me or who has produced ______________________ as identification, and who did take an oath.

NOTARY PUBLIC

Sign __________________________________________
Print __________________________________________
My Commission No.: ________________________
KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that Susan Schultz, for and in consideration of the sum of fifty thousand dollars ($50,000), lawful money of the United States, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, sold, transferred and delivered, and by these presents does grant, bargain, sell, transfer and deliver to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, its successors and assigns, the work of art consisting of a mural and a plaque installed in the main entry up the stairs to the left landing in the City of St. Petersburg's City Hall building, which is located at 175 5th St. N., St. Petersburg FL 33701.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the same unto the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, its successors and assigns forever.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Susan Schultz has hereunto set her hand and seal this ___ day of ___, 201__.

Susan Schultz

Sign: __________________________________________
Address: 141 Rockyford Rd. NE
Atlanta, GA 30317

STATE OF ____________________ )
COUNTY OF ___________ )

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this ___ day of _____, 201__, by Susan Schultz who is personally known to me or who has produced __________________________ as identification and who did take an oath.

NOTARY PUBLIC:

Sign____________________________________________
Print____________________________________________
State of__________________________________________
My Commission No.:________________________________
My Commission expires:____________________________
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WARRANTY

Susan Schultz ("Artist"), hereby warrants the work of art consisting of a mural and a plaque installed in the main entry up the stairs to the left landing in the City of St. Petersburg’s City Hall building, which is located at 175 5th St. N., St. Petersburg FL 33701, to be free from defects in materials or workmanship for five (5) years from the date of the written Final Acceptance (as defined in the Artist Agreement) thereof by the City. Artist shall immediately correct any such defects which appear during that period at Artist’s own cost and expense.

This warranty is in addition to all other warranties, statutory or otherwise, express or implied, all other representations to the City and all other obligations or liabilities with respect to such work of art including implied warranties of merchantability and fitness.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Susan Schultz has hereunto set her hand and seal this ____ day of ______, 201__.

Susan Schultz

Sign: __________________________
Address: 141 Rockyford Rd. NE
Atlanta, GA 30317

STATE OF ____________ )
COUNTY OF ____________ )

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this ____ day of ______, 201__, by Susan Schultz, who is personally known to me or who has produced _________________________ as identification and who did take an oath.

NOTARY PUBLIC:

Sign __________________________
Print __________________________
State of __________________________
My Commission No.: __________________________
My Commission expires: __________________________
CONTRACTOR'S AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA  )
COUNTY OF PINELLAS  )

On this day personally appeared before me, the undersigned authority, duly authorized to administer oaths and take acknowledgments, Susan Schultz, who after being first duly sworn by me, on oath, deposes and says:

That I am a general contractor under an agreement executed on the _____ day of _____________, 2017, between Susan Schultz and the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that I installed and completed the work of art consisting of a mural and plaque installed in the main entry up the stairs to the left landing in the City of St. Petersburg’s City Hall building, which is located at 175 5th St. N., St. Petersburg FL 33701, for a total price of fifty thousand dollars ($50,000) to be paid to Susan Schultz and that said installation is now completed and finished.

That I have paid and discharged all subcontractors, laborers and materialmen and that there are no liens outstanding of any nature nor any debts or obligations out of which could arise a lien or encumbrance.

That I am making this affidavit upon consideration of the payment of fifty thousand dollars ($50,000) to me in full satisfaction and discharge of said agreement.

SUSAN SCHULTZ

Sign: __________________________
Address: 141 Rockyford Rd. NE
Atlanta, GA 30317

STATE OF______________  )
COUNTY OF______________  )

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this ____ day of ____________, 201__, by Susan Schultz, who is personally known to me or who has produced ___________________________ as identification and who did take an oath.

NOTARY PUBLIC:

Sign______________________________
Print________________________________
State of ___________________________
My Commission No.:_____________________
My Commission expires:_____________________
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AFFIDAVIT OF NO LIENS

STATE OF ____________ )
COUNTY OF ____________ )

On this day personally appeared before me, the undersigned authority, duly authorized to administer oaths and take acknowledgments, Susan Schultz, who after being first duly sworn by me on oath deposes and says:

1. I am the owner of a work of art consisting of a mural and plaque installed in the main entry up the stairs to the left landing in the City of St. Petersburg’s City Hall building, which is located at 175 5th St. N., St. Petersburg FL 33701.

2. There are no liens, claims or other encumbrances on the work of art and I am the sole owner and creator of said work of art.

3. I have paid and discharged all subcontractors or materialmen, if any, and there are no liens outstanding of any nature nor any debts or obligations out of which could arise a lien or encumbrances on the work of art.

4. I am making this Affidavit upon consideration of the payment of fifty thousand dollars ($50,000).

SUSAN SCHULTZ

Address: 141 Rockyford Rd. NE
Atlanta, GA 30317

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this ___ day of ________, 201__, by Susan Schultz, who is personally known to me or who has produced _______________ as identification and who did take an oath.

NOTARY PUBLIC:

Sign: ____________________________
Print: ____________________________
State of ____________________________
My Commission No.: ____________________________
My Commission expires: ____________________________
APPROVAL AND ACCEPTANCE OF ARTWORK
“The Long Struggle”

Susan Schultz ("Artist") has completed the work of art consisting of a mural and a plaque (“Artwork”) installed in the main entry up the stairs to the left landing in the City of St. Petersburg’s City Hall building, which is located at 175 5th St. N., St. Petersburg FL 33701, in a manner that meets all the requirements of the Artist Agreement dated __________, 2017, by and between Artist and the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("Owner"). Owner hereby approves and accepts ownership of the Artwork.

DATE: __________________________________________

CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA

BY: __________________________________________
Print: _________________________________________
Address: P. O. Box 2842
St. Petersburg, FL 33731

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION AND INSTALLATION
“The Long Struggle”

Artist: Susan Schultz
Artwork: Mural with an accompanying plaque
Location: In the main entry up the stairs to the left landing in the City of St. Petersburg’s City Hall building, which is located at 175 5th St. N., St. Petersburg FL 33701

The above named artwork is installed and 100% completed as required by the Artist Agreement entered into on __________, 2017, by and between Susan Schultz and the City of St. Petersburg, Florida.

Date: __________________________________________

SUSAN SCHULTZ

Sign: __________________________________________
Address: 141 Rockyford Rd. NE
Atlanta, GA 30317
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COPYRIGHT AGREEMENT AND ASSIGNMENT

Susan Schultz, for and in consideration of the purchase by the City of St. Petersburg of the work of art consisting of a mural and plaque installed in the main entry up the stairs to the left landing in the City of St. Petersburg’s City Hall building, which is located at 175 5th St. N., St. Petersburg FL 33701, which work of art ("Artwork") is owned by Susan Schultz, hereby assigns to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, title and full rights of ownership as well as the irrevocable license to make reproductions of the Artwork for noncommercial purposes, including, but not limited to reproductions used in marketing, advertising, brochures, media publicity, web sites, and catalogues or other similar publications, provided that such reproductions of the Artwork must be made in a professional and tasteful manner.

Susan Schultz further assigns to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, any and all warranties for materials used and labor performed by subcontractors or other persons, if any, which Susan Schultz holds to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida.

Susan Schultz further agrees to execute any and all further lawful documents including assignments which the City of St. Petersburg, Florida shall deem necessary or desirable to fully effectuate this Copyright Agreement and Assignment.

SUSAN SCHULTZ

Address: 141 Rockyford Rd. NE
Atlanta, GA 30317

STATE OF ____________ )
COUNTY OF ____________ )

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this ___ day of ________, 20__, by Susan Schultz, who is personally known to me or who has produced ______________________ as identification and who did take an oath.

NOTARY PUBLIC:

________________________
Sign

________________________
Print

State of ______________________
My Commission No.:
My Commission expires: ______________________

Composite Exhibit E page 6 of 6
Proposal for mural in City Hall stairwell in St. Petersburg, FL

The fight for social justice has been a long one, with many seen and unseen people taking part, often over the period of years or of decades. "The Long Struggle", the title of my proposed mural (shown on page 2), attempts to show the history of that struggle, as well as the hope for continued change.

The central black figure holding the bird's nest represents the longing, the hope that each community has for wholeness, justice and opportunity in their homes and communities, represented by the nest. The nest also represents the hope for the future; that future generations will see, and have a part in making, even further strides in equality, opportunity and justice.

The faces in the background represent the myriads of people over the years that have taken part in this struggle to move St. Petersburg forward.

Plaque to accompany “The Long Struggle”

I propose a plaque to hang next to “The Long Struggle” with these words:

In 1966 Joe Waller, now known as Omali Yeshitela, tore down a mural that was offensive to the black community, after repeated requests by the black community to have it changed or removed. He spent 2 years in jail and prison for this act. “The Long Struggle” attempts to show the hope that each community has for their homes and communities to be places of justice, equality, opportunity, prosperity and wholeness, and to honor the many people over the years who have joined in the struggle to bring these about.

Background

I grew up in St. Petersburg, and as a child was curious about those other communities I had little contact with. I still to this day am curious about, and drawn to paint, people who look different than I do. I want to know about their struggles, their stories, what it is that has made them who they are. I am drawn to faces that have a beauty forged by the hardships and struggles they have gone through. On a personal level, I seek subjects that give me hope that hardships and struggles will produce a deeper beauty in me as well.

I left St. Petersburg in 1984 and moved to Atlanta. In the last 3 years, I have been spending part of my year back in St. Pete., trying to connect who I am now, as an adult artist, with my history there. In my time back in St. Pete., it has been through art that I connected with the black community that had been so distant from me in my past - through my collaboration with Carla Bristol and the people she arranged for me to paint, my studio time at Bloom, my interaction with Terri Lipsey Scott at the Dr. Carter G. Woodson Museum and the collaboration with Bob Devin Jones at Fantastic Ekphrastic. My time in St. Pete. also pushed me forward in my art, and I was inspired to start painting much bigger pieces and murals.

Materials and process

The mural will be painted off site with acrylic and oil paint on wood panel, and then professionally installed at City Hall. It will be coated with a protective museum varnish.
“The Long Struggle”
Suzy Schultz

Education
Bachelor of Science in Mathematics Education, Auburn University 1983

Galleries where work is currently shown:
Art on Broad, Augusta, GA
ARTicles Gallery, St. Petersburg, FL
Atelier Gallery, Charleston, SC
Mason Fine Art, Atlanta, GA
Silver Fox, Hendersonville, NC
Black Art in America, Columbus, GA

Selected Group Exhibitions:
April 2017 - Hudson Valley Art Association
Spring 2014 National Oil & Acrylic Painters' Society (NOAPS) ON-LINE Exhibition
Winter 2013 - 2013 Fall National Oil & Acrylic Painters' Society International ON-LINE Exhibition
October 2013 - American Artists Professional League Grand National Online Exhibition
Fall 2013 - Philadelphia Watercolor Society 117th Anniversary Exhibition of Works on Paper
Fall 2013 - San Diego Watercolor Society International Exhibition, Jean Grastorf juror
Summer 2012 - Museum of Contemporary Art, Atlanta, GA; "Georgia Artists Selecting Georgia Artists"
April 2012 - Artfields, Lake City, SC
April 2012 - "Let There be Art and Bloom", Columbus Museum of Art, Columbus, GA
December, 2012 - Small Works Show, Swan Coach House, Marianne Lambert, curator
Fall 2012 - San Diego Watercolor Society International Exhibition, Eunice Portal Memorial Award
Summer 2012 - Fort Wayne Museum of Art Contemporary Realism Biennial
Fall 2011 - Watercolor West, Brea, CA
Fall 2011 - National Watercolor Society, San Pedro, CA
Summer 2011 - "Metro Montage XI Exhibition", Marietta/Cobb Museum of Art, Marietta, GA
Summer 2011 - "Sound off: Art Inspired by Music", juried exhibition, Quinlan Visual Arts Center, Gainesville, GA
Spring 2011 - "Realism", Mason Murer Fine Art, Atlanta, GA
Winter 2011 - "The Contemporary Figure", The Swan Coach House gallery, Marianne Lambert, curator
Summer 2010 - "Selected Prints from the Atlanta Printmakers Studio", Terminus Gallery, Atlanta, Marianne Lambert, curator
Summer 2010 - "Metro Montage", juried exhibition, Marietta/Cobb Museum of Art
Spring 2010 - Bird's Nest Invitational, Quinlan Visual Arts Center, Gainesville, GA
November 2009 - Mason Murer Projects, Atlanta, GA
Summer 2009 - "Metro Montage", juried exhibition, Marietta/Cobb Museum of Art
November 2009 - Mason Murer Projects, Atlanta, GA
September 2006 - featured artist at Quinlan Visual Arts Center
May 2006 - Mason Murer Fine Art, Atlanta, GA

Solo Exhibitions:
January 2015 - Art on First, St. Petersburg, FL
November 2013 - Genema Gallery, Atlanta, GA
Summer 2012 - 16 Patton Gallery, Asheville, NC
November 2006 - Hanson Gallery, Knoxville, TN
CITY HALL STAIRWELL MURAL
BACKGROUND

1966

December 15 — St. Petersburg Times photo "The Mural in Question" & article "Negro Group Finds Mural 'Despicable'" (page 3B; article in packet)

December 29 — Joe Waller tears mural down

December 30 — Evening Independent article "Court May Lower Mural Theft Bonds" (article in packet)

December 30 — Evening Independent articles "Mural Theft Blamed on Tangled Airwaves" & "Artist Confused by Row"

1967

January 31 — St. Petersburg Times article "Waller Gets 180 Days"

February 1 — Evening Independent article "Waller Refuses Food in Municipal Jail"

May 5 — St. Petersburg Times article "Mural Case: 2 Guilty, 4 Innocent"

Guilty:
- Joseph Waller, 25
- Jody Wall, 18

Innocent:
- John Wesley Bryant, 27
- Crawford Louis Jones, 19
- Lemuel Green, 25
- Tommy Williams, 21

July 6 — St. Petersburg Times article "Mural Case New Trial Is Denied"

July 7 — St. Petersburg Times article "Mural Case Figure Receives Sentence"
1973

May 24 — St. Petersburg Times article “Waller’s Fight against Jail Sentence in Mural Case May Be Nearing End”

Joe Waller sentenced twice on grand larceny:

- May 1967 with co-defendant
- December 1971 after U.S. Supreme Court ordered second trial

Expert testified mural was worth $11,000

Ordered to appear in court to show cause why he shouldn’t begin serving a sentence imposed on him in 1967.

May 28 — St. Petersburg Times editorial “Times (and Sensitivities) Change”

Joe Waller has served 488 days in prison; goes back today. Was sentenced to 320 days in city court and 6 months to 5 years in state court, reduced to 3 years after retrial in 1971.

May 29 — St. Petersburg Times article “Waller Turns Himself In”

May 30 — St. Petersburg Times article “Waller Awaits Transfer to State Prison System”

Surrendered himself Monday to begin serving 6 months to 3 years prison sentence imposed on August 30, 1971 on a second grand larceny conviction.

June 4 — St. Petersburg Times article “40 Protest Treatment of Waller”

June 18 —Article “Court Frees Waller”

U.S. Supreme Court granted...

June 25 —Article “Waller Urges Prison Help”

July 16 —Article “Waller to Ask Council Aid in Pardon Bid”

October 22 —Article “Joe Waller Criticizes System”

Latest appeal denied by U.S. Supreme Court. October 29th hearing in Circuit Court being scheduled.

October 24 — Evening Independent editorial “Tom, Spiro Free; Why Not Waller?”

October 29 —Article “2 Minutes End 7-Year Waller Case”

Judge David Seth Walker

October 30 — Evening Independent editorial “Waller’s long Wait Over”

November 2 —Editorial “A Sensible Solution”
1998

July 18 – St. Petersburg Times article “Need Mural to Grace City Hall’s Blank Wall Again”

August 16 – Concerned Citizens Action Committee (CCAC) letter to Mayor Fischer

August 17 – Memo to Virginia Rowell from Mark Winn:
  2 murals intended to replace existing one in stairwell not subject to historical designation of City Hall.

August 27 – Request made by CCAC for appearance at City Council meeting on September 10, 1998. Herb Snitzer, Co-chair

August 31 – CCAC meets at Dome Grill
  Reports on press conference of August 26 and other media activities.

September 10 – Council Action 98-651, Christopher Still Murals
  Following issues be referred to PAC for review and recommendations:
  Mural location
  2nd resolution – Administration organize ceremony to dedicate the new art and issue public apology to commission.
  Request Legal investigate process to restore Omali Yeshitela’s civil rights.

September 11 – PAC recommends Still murals go in Council Chambers and existing stairwell mural stay with other side of wall blank.
  Memo to Bea Griswold from Mayor with suggestions of PAC.

September 22 – Community Forum held by CCAC at Enoch Davis Center regarding mural.

1999

June 11 – Council Chair Griswold – remove existing mural, replace with U.S. flag and place Florida State flag on opposite wall.

June 16 – CCAC letter to City Council members, Mayor Fischer and Don McRae suggesting plaque.

2002

October 13 – Clerk of Court Karleen F. DeBlaker has no record of the mural but thinks it might have been destroyed as all unclaimed evidence is after a period.
The meeting was called to order with the above persons present. Beverly Mitlin then moved with the second of Enez Hart that the minutes of January 8, 1999 be approved. All were in favor of the motion.

PROJECT UPDATES

CITY HALL - Christopher Stills contract amendment approved by Council.
Council Chamber proposed completion March.

Artist John Briggs works half completed.

Ginny Rowell also informed the Commission that the Mayor and Chief of Staff Don McRae had met with the Concerned Citizens Group and that the group has requested the following actions take place regarding Mr. Waller and the incident surrounding the George Snow Hill mural:

1. Plaque to Commemorate Mr. Waller
2. That an apology from the City be made
3. That actions be taken to request that Mr. Waller's Civil Rights be restored

These three items do not require action by the Commission.

Ms. Rowell then informed the group that the Mayor has asked that the remaining mural be removed, restored, and be temporarily stored at the Historic Museum. This would be handled at City's expense.

NORTH BRANCH LIBRARY - Library and art dedication to take place in April.

4TH STREET FIRE STATION - Fire Station dedication and reopening soon, art dedication to take place at a later date.
Dear Mr. Yeshitela: I am sending this letter (copied below as well as sent as an attachment) to you on behalf of this committee via email as well as US Postal Service. Many thanks.

Wayne David Atherholt
Director, Mayor’s Office of Cultural Affairs
City of St. Petersburg
175 Fifth Street North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Office: 727-551-3250 / Mobile: 813-416-2490
Wayne.Atherholt@StPete.org

Please note all emails are subject to public records law.

29th June 2016

Chairman Omali Yeshitela

APSC ORED
P.O. Box 705
St. Petersburg, FL 33731
stpete_office@apscuhuru.org

Dear Mr. Yeshitela:

The Public Arts Commission has formed a project committee to make recommendations for art to be located in the city hall stairs location of the mural that you had ripped down in 1966. It is important to us, the project committee, to hear from you to discuss the potential for artwork in this particular location as we want whatever might be selected to be historically sensitive.

The following is a brief excerpt from our draft Request for Proposals to give you an idea of how important it is for the committee to have a conversation with you about these historical events:

The Project Committee seeks art that will respect the history of the events surrounding the tearing down of the original mural and that will celebrate a city of opportunity for all. It should be noted that the individual, Omali Yeshitela formerly Joe Waller, who tore down the mural because he felt it was racist, spent many months in jail for tearing the mural down. He was vice-chairman at the time of the state’s Student
Nonviolent Coordinating Committee (SNCC). This is a historically significant event in the history of the City of St. Petersburg.

Because our meetings must be publicly noticed, we have held a date in advance in the hopes that you can join the group on Tuesday, 12th July at 1 PM in City Hall. If you are able to attend please let India Williams (727-892-5370) know in advance or respond to the email version of this letter. If you are unable to attend on the 12th the committee will discuss alternative dates during their scheduled meeting on 19th July.

Sincerely,

Gwendolyn Reese, Chair
Councillor Steve Kornell
Bob Devin Jones
Stacia Schrader
Sergio DeSanto
Herb Snitzer
Terri Lipsey Scott
Dr. Kanika Tomalin, Deputy Mayor
Ray Arsenault
Wayne Atherholt

From: Herb Snitzer <herbsnitzer@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2016 3:34 PM
To: Wayne Atherholt
Subject: Re: Omali Yeshitela

Hello, Wayne

I called Uhuru House, spoke with Omali’s secretary, left a detailed message, waiting for a reply. 3:25pm
All the best.
Herb

-----Original Message-----
From: Wayne Atherholt <Wayne.Atherholt@stpete.org>
To: Herb Snitzer <herbsnitzer@aol.com>
Sent: Tue, Jun 28, 2016 1:49 pm
Subject: RE: Omali Yeshitela

I was going to send a formal letter with the names of the committee members on it to the address for the organization he is chairman of that I found online. I also found an email address so I was going to send it snail mail and email – it couldn’t hurt for you to reach out to him and let him know a formal request is coming – I hope to have it done tomorrow – in fact, I need to have it done tomorrow because I’m going on vacation end of the week. So you can call him and let him know it is coming. But the instructions were for me to mail a letter.

Wayne David Atherholt
Director, Mayor’s Office of Cultural Affairs
City of St. Petersburg
175 Fifth Street North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Office: 727-551-3250 / Mobile: 813-416-2490
Wayne.Atherholt@StPete.org

Please note all emails are subject to public records law.

From: Herb Snitzer [mailto:herbsnitzer@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2016 1:22 PM
To: Wayne Atherholt <Wayne.Atherholt@stpete.org>
Subject: Omali Yeshitela

Wayne - who will speak with Omali about attending our committee meeting on July 12th, 1pm.
Will be glad to do so if necessary.
Herb

Your Sunshine City
Dear Mr. Yeshitela,

I understand that you have received the Project Committee's invitation to attend a special meeting on July 12, but have not yet accepted this invitation. As you know, the Committee is tasked with soliciting art for the City Hall stairwell and is seeking your input before a call for artists is issued.

If you cannot or do not intend to come to the meeting, it will not be held, so I need to know if you will attend. The Committee has a deadline of 10 a.m. tomorrow morning to provide information in order to publish notice of the meeting. If you do not plan to attend, or if I do not hear from you by that time, I am tasked with cancelling the meeting. Please let me know by that time if you will attend this meeting.

The Committee generally holds a meeting on the third Tuesday of each month which is open to the public. The public is given an opportunity to briefly speak at each meeting. You are always welcome to attend these meetings.

I look forward to hearing from you before tomorrow's deadline.

Thank you for your consideration,

India Williams

India Williams
Coordinator, Mayor's Office of Cultural Affairs
City of St. Petersburg
PO Box 2842, St. Petersburg, FL 33731
727-892-5370
India.Williams@StPete.org

Please note all emails are subject to public records law.
Ms. Williams, your email was received yesterday and with only a 22 hour window, it left little time to respond. This is consistent with everything that the committee has done to this point.

All of which has been for public consumption.

It is an insult to Chairman Omali Yeshitela to place such limitations on responding which would guarantee that it would be impossible for him to participate in this meeting.

It is quite clear that this committee has no integrity and no legitimacy, with it's self granted authority.

The conversation about the mural started with Chairman Omali Yeshitela 50 years ago when it was torn down, and the starting point for replacing it should have been with him also.

Tammy Harris
Chief of Staff

"BUILD YOUR OFFICES TO CAPACITY" UHURU!

On Thursday, July 7, 2016 9:13 AM, India Williams <India.Williams@stpete.org> wrote:

Dear Mr. Yeshitela,

I understand that you have received the Project Committee's invitation to attend a special meeting on July 12, but have not yet accepted this invitation. As you know, the Committee is tasked with soliciting art for the City Hall stairwell and is seeking your input before a call for artists is issued.

If you cannot or do not intend to come to the meeting, it will not be held, so I need to know if you will attend. The Committee has a deadline of 10 a.m. tomorrow morning to provide information in order to publish notice of the meeting. If you do not plan to attend, or if I do not hear from you by that time, I am tasked with cancelling the meeting. Please let me know by that time if you will attend this meeting.

The Committee generally holds a meeting on the third Tuesday of each month which is open to the public. The public is given an opportunity to briefly speak at each meeting. You are always welcome to attend these meetings.
I look forward to hearing from you before tomorrow's deadline.

Thank you for your consideration,
India Williams

India Williams
Coordinator, Mayor's Office of Cultural Affairs
City of St. Petersburg
PO Box 2842, St. Petersburg, FL 33731
727-892-5370
India.Williams@StPete.org

Please note all emails are subject to public records law.

Your Sunshine City
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: Ordinance approving a vacation of a 16-foot north/south alley located west of the intersection of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street North and 76th Avenue North. (City File No.: 17-3300003)

RECOMMENDATION: The Administration and the Development Review Commission recommend APPROVAL.

RECOMMENDED CITY COUNCIL ACTION:
1) Conduct the second reading and public hearing; and
2) Approve the proposed ordinance.

The Request: The request is to vacate a 16-foot north/south alley located west of the intersection of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street North and 76th Avenue North. This is between the property located at 7601 Dr. Martin Luther King Street North and the property at 871 76th Avenue North. The applicant’s goal is to redevelop the site for medical office use.

Discussion: As set forth in the attached report provided to the Development Review Commission (DRC), Staff finds that vacating the subject right-of-ways would be consistent with the criteria in the City Code, the Comprehensive Plan, and the applicable special area plan.

Agency Review: The application was routed to City Departments and private utility providers. There are no facilities within the alley segment proposed for vacation. The City does have facilities protected by an existing easement on the property, which is also the location of the alley to be dedicated as a condition of this vacation.

Public Comments: Several calls were received from the abutting neighbor Aileen Terante at 871 76th Avenue North. These were primarily concerned with the proposed site plan of the project and whether the alley would be relocated to be further away from their property. She also was concerned with the proposed dumpster location shown on the site plan. Suggested conditions of approval to address these concerns have been added.

The Fossil Park Neighborhood Association sent an email indicating that they had no objection to the vacation.
DRC Action/Public Comments: On May 3, 2017, the Development Review Commission (DRC) held a public hearing on the subject application. No person spoke in opposition to the request. After the public hearing, the DRC voted 7-0 to recommend approval of the proposed vacation. In advance of this report, no additional comments or concerns were expressed to the author.

RECOMMENDATION: The Administration recommends APPROVAL of the alley right-of-way vacation, subject to the following conditions:

1. Replat the subject property and the alley to be vacated.

2. Through the replatting process, dedicate a new 20-foot north-south alley located west of the alley being vacated.


4. Prior to recording the vacation Ordinance, work with Frontier to either determine that their facilities are protected by an existing utility easement or the proposed new alley, provide a private easement, or relocate their facilities at the owner’s expense. In any case a letter of no objection from Frontier must be provided.

5. Through the site plan approval process, revise the proposed site plan to relocate the dumpster further to the north and as far as practicable from the abutting residential properties. Redesign the parking to save the oak tree located between the alley being vacated and the proposed new alley, if it is not within the required twenty-foot new alley.

6. As required City Code Section 16.70.050.1.1 G, approval of right-of-way vacations requiring replat shall lapse unless a final plat based thereon is recorded in the public records within 24 months from the date of such approval or unless an extension of time is granted by the Development Review Commission or, if appealed, City Council prior to the expiration thereof. Each extension shall be for a period of time not to exceed one (1) year.

Attachments: Parcel Map, Aerial Map, Ordinance with 2 page Exhibit “A”, Staff Report
ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A VACATION OF A 16-FOOT NORTH/SOUTH ALLEY LOCATED WEST OF THE INTERSECTION OF DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. STREET NORTH AND 76TH AVENUE NORTH; SETTING FORTH CONDITIONS FOR THE VACATION TO BECOME EFFECTIVE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

Section 1. The following right-of-way is hereby vacated as recommended by the Administration and the Development Review Commission on May 3, 2017, (City File No. 17-33000003):

Legal Description: Attached 2 page Exhibit “A”.

Section 2. The above-mentioned right-of-way is not needed for public use or travel.

Section 3. The vacation is subject to and conditional upon the following:

1. Replat the subject property and the alley to be vacated.

2. Through the replatting process, dedicate a new 20-foot north-south alley located west of the alley being vacated.


4. Prior to recording the vacation Ordinance, work with Frontier to either determine that their facilities are protected by an existing utility easement or the proposed new alley, provide a private easement, or relocate their facilities at the owner’s expense. In any case a letter of no objection from Frontier must be provided.

5. Through the site plan approval process, revise the proposed site plan to relocate the dumpster further to the north and as far as practicable from the abutting residential properties. Redesign the parking to save the oak tree located between the alley being vacated and the proposed new alley, if it is not within the required twenty-foot new alley.

6. As required City Code Section 16.70.050.1.1 G, approval of right-of-way vacations requiring replat shall lapse unless a final plat based thereon is recorded in the public records within 24 months from the date of such approval or unless an extension of time is granted by the Development Review Commission or, if appealed, City Council prior to the expiration thereof. Each extension shall be for a period of time not to exceed one (1) year.
Section 4. In the event this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective upon the expiration of the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which case the ordinance shall become effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

LEGAL:

PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT:
LOT 1, BLOCK 1, NORTHSIDE REPLAT
PB. 67, PAGE 87

CURVE TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CURVE</th>
<th>RADIUS</th>
<th>LENGTH</th>
<th>CHORD BEARING</th>
<th>CHORD</th>
<th>DELTA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>23.69</td>
<td>N09°36'43&quot;W</td>
<td>23.58</td>
<td>19°23'31&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>17.71</td>
<td>N00°59'18&quot;E</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>40°34'04&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LINE TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE</th>
<th>BEARING</th>
<th>DISTANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>S89°48'57&quot;E</td>
<td>154.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>N00°05'03&quot;E</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>N19°18'28&quot;W</td>
<td>28.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>S89°48'57&quot;E</td>
<td>29.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>S00°05'03&quot;W</td>
<td>127.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>N89°48'57&quot;W</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOT A SURVEY

POC
SW CORNER
LOT 1, BLOCK 1

NORTHERLY R/W LINE
PER NORTHSIDE REPLAT

76TH AVENUE NORTH

SECTION 30 TOWNSHIP 30S, RANGE 17E
PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA
PROJECT NUMBER 4720-01

SCALE: 1" = 20'

LEGEND
BNDY = BOUNDARY
COR = CORNER
OR = OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK
PB = PLAT BOOK
PG = PAGE
POB = POINT OF BEGINNING
POC = POINT OF COMMENCEMENT
R/W = RIGHT-OF-WAY
SR = STATE ROAD
US = UNITED STATES

PROFESSIONAL SURVEYING LB 6113
2155 SUNNYDALE BOULEVARD, SUITE D
CLEARWATER, FLORIDA 33765
(727) 461-6113

SKETCH & DESCRIPTION
01-05-17
SSS DHR
H:\IN\4720\DWG\4720SD1.DWG

EXHIBIT "A"
THAT PORTION OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 30 SOUTH, RANGE 17 EAST, PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCE AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1, BLOCK 1, NORTHSIDE REPLAT AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 67, PAGE 87, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA; THENCE S.89°48'57"E., 154.00 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1 AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, N.00°05'03"E., 60.00 FEET TO A CURVE CONCAVE WESTERLY AND HAVING A RADIUS OF 70.00 FEET; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG SAID EAST LINE AND SAID CURVE, 23.69 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 19°23'31" (CHORD BEARING N.09°36'43"W., 23.58 FEET); THENCE ALONG SAID EAST LINE, N.19°18'28"W., 28.04 FEET TO A CURVE CONCAVE EASTERLY AND HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG SAID EAST LINE AND SAID CURVE, 17.71 FEET THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 40°34'04" (CHORD BEARING N.00°59'18"E., 17.33 FEET); THENCE S.89°48'57"E., 29.00 FEET; THENCE S.00°05'03"W., 127.00 FEET; THENCE N.89°48'57"W., 16.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 0.058 ACRES, MORE OR LESS

NOTES

1. BEARINGS ARE BASED ON THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1, BLOCK 1, NORTHSIDE REPLAT, PLAT BOOK 67, PAGE 87, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA, SAID LINE BEING ASSUMED AS S89°48'57"E.

2. LEGAL DESCRIPTION WAS PREPARED BY POLARIS ASSOCIATES, INC.

3. RE—USE OF THIS SKETCH FOR PURPOSES OTHER THAN WHICH IT WAS INTENDED, WITHOUT WRITTEN VERIFICATION, WILL BE AT THE RE—USERS SOLE RISK AND WITHOUT LIABILITY TO THE SURVEYOR. NOTHING HEREIN SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO GIVE ANY RIGHTS OR BENEFITS TO ANYONE OTHER THAN THOSE CERTIFIED TO.

4. THIS SKETCH IS NOT INTENDED TO SHOW THE LOCATION OR EXISTENCE OF ANY JURISDICTIONAL, HAZARDOUS OR ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS.

5. THIS SKETCH WAS PREPARED WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF AN ABSTRACT OF TITLE AND MAY BE SUBJECT TO EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, RIGHTS—OF—WAY AND OTHER MATTERS OF RECORD.

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE SKETCH REPRESENTED HEREON MEETS THE MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS SET FORTH BY THE FLORIDA BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS AND MAPPERS IN CHAPTER 5J—17, FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, PURSUANT TO SECTION 472.027 FLORIDA STATUTES.

DAN H. RIZZUTO
PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR
LS 5227, STATE OF FLORIDA

NOT A SURVEY

ITEM | DATE | BY | QC
--- | --- | --- | ---
SKETCH & DESCRIPTION | 01—05—17 | SSS | DHR
H: \JN\4720\DWG\4720SD1.DWG

EXHIBIT "A"
According to Planning & Economic Development Department records, no Commission member resides or has a place of business within 2,000 feet of the subject property. All other possible conflicts should be declared upon the announcement of the item.

REPORT TO THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMISSION FROM DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES DIVISION, PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, for Public Hearing and Executive Action on May 3, 2017, at 2:00 P.M. in Council Chambers, City Hall, 175 Fifth Street North, St. Petersburg, Florida.

CASE NO.: 17-33000003 PLAT SHEET: F-40
REQUEST: Approval of a vacation of a 16-foot north/south alley located west of the intersection of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street North and 76th Avenue North.

OWNER: Parkshore Realty Partners, LLC
300 Beach Drive Northeast #2901
Saint Petersburg, Florida 33701-3468

AGENT: Mark W. Stephenson
146 2nd Street North #301
Saint Petersburg, Florida 33701

ADDRESS: 7601 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street North; 30-30-17-61515-001-0010
0 77th Avenue North; 30-30-17-45666-000-0550

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: On File

ZONING: Corridor Residential Suburban-1 (CRS-1)

DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATION:

Request. The request is to vacate a 16-foot north/south alley located west of the intersection of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street North and 76th Avenue North.
This alley was dedicated at the time of vacation of a 16 foot north-south alley that extended from mid-block south to 76th Avenue South. This alley being vacated was dedicated by the Northside Replat required at the time of that vacation. As a condition of vacating this alley, the applicant has agreed to dedicate a new 20-foot north south alley to the west of the alley portion proposed for vacation.

The parcel located along 77th Avenue (Lots 55 and 56) were recently rezoned to Corridor Residential Suburban-1.

Signatures agreeing to the initiation of the vacation were also received from the owners of the abutting parcel to the east (a portion of Lot 146 and Lot 147) Misial and Aileen Terante.

The area of the right-of-way proposed for vacation is depicted on the attached maps (Attachments “A” and “B”) and Engineering Conditions of Engineering Approval (Attachment “C”). The applicant’s goal is to redevelop the site for medical office use.

Analysis. Staff’s review of a vacation application is guided by:

A. The City’s Land Development Regulations (LDR’s);
B. The City’s Comprehensive Plan; and
C. Any adopted neighborhood or special area plans.

Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating compliance with the applicable criteria for vacation of public right-of-way. In this case, the material submitted by the applicant does provide background or analysis supporting a conclusion that vacating the subject right-of-way would be consistent with the criteria in the City Code, the Comprehensive Plan, or any applicable special area plan.

A. Land Development Regulations
Section 16.40.140.2.1E of the LDR’s contains the criteria for reviewing proposed vacations. The criteria are provided below in italics, followed by itemized findings by Staff.

1. Easements for public utilities including stormwater drainage and pedestrian easements may be retained or required to be dedicated as requested by the various departments or utility companies.

The application was routed to City Departments and private utility providers. There are no facilities within the alley segment proposed for vacation. The City does have facilities protected by an existing easement on the property, which is also the location of the alley to be dedicated as a condition of this vacation.

Frontier has indicated that they have facilities within the area proposed for construction. A suggested condition of approval has been added to address those concerns.

2. The vacation shall not cause a substantial detrimental effect upon or substantially impair or deny access to any lot of record as shown from the testimony and evidence at the public hearing.
The vacation of this portion of the alley will not deny access to any lot of record. Access to the existing east-west alley will be protected by the granting of a new alley located just west of this existing alley.

3. The vacation shall not adversely impact the existing roadway network, such as to create dead-end rights-of-way, substantially alter utilized travel patterns, or undermine the integrity of historic plats of designated historic landmarks or neighborhoods.

This vacation will not impact the existing roadway network as equivalent access is to be provided.

4. The easement is not needed for the purpose for which the City has a legal interest and, for rights-of-way, there is no present or future need for the right-of-way for public vehicular or pedestrian access, or for public utility corridors.

This right-of-way is not needed for the purpose for which the City has a legal interest. The City's interest will be addressed through the dedication of a new alley segment.

5. The POD, Development Review Commission, and City Council shall also consider any other factors affecting the public health, safety, or welfare.

No other factors have been raised for consideration.

B. Comprehensive Plan

There are no policies in the City's Comprehensive Plan which apply to this request.

C. Adopted Neighborhood or Special Area Plans

The subject right-of-way is within the boundaries of the Fossil Park Neighborhood Association.

There are no neighborhood or special area plans which affect vacation of right-of-way in this area of the City.

Comments from Agencies and the Public Several calls were received from the abutting neighbor Aileen Terante at 871 76th Avenue North. These were primarily concerned with the proposed site plan of the project and whether the alley would be relocated to be further away from their property. She also was concerned with the proposed dumpster location shown on the site plan.

The Fossil Park Neighborhood Association sent an email indicating that they had no objection to the vacation.

RECOMMENDATION. Staff recommends APPROVAL of the proposed alley right-of-way vacation. If the DRC is inclined to support the vacation, Staff recommends the following special conditions of approval:

1. Replat the subject property and the alley to be vacated.
2. Through the replatting process, dedicate a new 20-foot north-south alley located west of the alley being vacated.


4. Prior to recording the vacation Ordinance, work with Frontier to either determine that their facilities are protected by an existing utility easement or the proposed new alley, provide a private easement, or relocate their facilities at the owner’s expense. In any case a letter of no objection from Frontier must be provided.

5. Through the site plan approval process, revise the proposed site plan to relocate the dumpster further to the north and as far as practicable from the abutting residential properties. Redesign the parking to save the oak tree located between the alley being vacated and the proposed new alley, if it is not within the required twenty-foot new alley.

6. As required City Code Section 16.70.050.1.1 G, approval of right-of-way vacations requiring replat shall lapse unless a final plat based thereon is recorded in the public records within 24 months from the date of such approval or unless an extension of time is granted by the Development Review Commission or, if appealed, City Council prior to the expiration thereof. Each extension shall be for a period of time not to exceed one (1) year.

REPORT PREPARED BY:

[Signature]
KATHRYN A. YOUNKIN, AICP, LEED AP BD+C, Deputy Zoning Official
Planning & Economic Development Department
Development Review Services Division

DATE
4/26/17

REPORT APPROVED BY:

[Signature]
ELIZABETH ABERNETHY, AICP, Zoning Official (POD)
Planning & Economic Development Department
Development Review Services Division

DATE
4-26-17

Attachment A
City of St. Petersburg, Florida
Planning and Economic Development Department
Case No.: 17-33000003
Address: 7601 Dr. M. L. King Jr. Street North and 0 77th Avenue North

(nts)
TO: Pamela Jones, Development Services
FROM: Nancy Davis, Engineering Plan Review Supervisor
DATE: March 24, 2017
SUBJECT: Right of way - Vacation
FILE: 17-33000003

LOCATION: 7601 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street North; 30/30/17/61515/001/0010
AND PIN: No Address; 30/30/1745666/000/0550
ATLAS: F-40
PROJECT: Right of Way - Vacation
REQUEST: Approval of a vacation of a 16-foot north-south alley located west of the intersection of
Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street North and 76th Avenue North.

COMMENTS: The Engineering and Capital Improvements Department has no objection to the
vacation request provided that the following comments are added as conditions of approval:

1. Engineering would recommend that all portions of the alley within, adjacent to, or used by this
site for access to parking lots be paved per current City Engineering Standards and Specifications.
Public drainage conveyance easement dedication may be required upon redevelopment of this site
if public alley drainage flows onto or through the private property. The cost of easement dedication
and the design, permitting and construction of alley paving shall be at the sole expense of the
applicant.

2. A work permit issued by the Engineering Department must be obtained prior to the
commencement of construction within dedicated right-of-way or public easement. All work within
right of way or public utility easement shall be in compliance with current City Engineering
Standards and Specifications and shall be designed, permitted, and installed in accordance with
the standards, specifications, and policies adopted by the City by and at the sole expense of the
applicant.

3. Any redundant pavement surfaces or aprons associated with the vacated alley right of way shall
be removed and restored with appropriate grading (with drainage toward a paved public right of
way and not onto adjacent private property) and stabilized per current City Engineering Standards
and Specifications, by and at the sole expense of the applicant.

4. It is noted that construction of the new parking area & new building shown on the site plan may
trigger compliance with the City’s Drainage and Surface Water Management Ordinance if site
modifications exceed 3000 sf. Development and redevelopment shall be in compliance with the
Drainage and Surface Water Management Regulations as found in City Code Section 16.40.030.
Submit drainage calculations which conform to the water quantity and the water quality
requirements of City Code Section 16.40.030. Please note the volume of runoff to be treated shall
include all off-site and on-site areas draining to and co-mingling with the runoff from that portion
of the site which is redeveloped. Stormwater systems which discharge directly or indirectly into impaired waters must provide net improvement for the pollutants that contribute to the water body’s impairment. Stormwater runoff release and retention shall be calculated using the Rational formula and a 10 year 1 hour design storm.
LOT 1, BLOCK 1, NORTHSIDE REPLAT
PB. 67, PAGE 87

P.O.C.
SW CORNER
LOT 1, BLOCK 1

P.O.B.

NORTHERLY R/W LINE PER NORTHSIDE REPLAT
SOUTH LINE LOT 1
NORTH R/W LINE

76TH AVENUE NORTH

LINE TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE</th>
<th>BEARING</th>
<th>DISTANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>S89°48'57&quot;E</td>
<td>120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>N00°05'03&quot;E</td>
<td>143.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>S89°48'57&quot;E</td>
<td>21.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>S00°05'03&quot;W</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>N89°48'57&quot;W</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>S00°05'03&quot;W</td>
<td>127.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>N89°48'57&quot;W</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DESCRIPTION

THAT PORTION OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 30 SOUTH, RANGE 17 EAST, PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCE AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1, BLOCK 1, NORTHSIDE REPLAT AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 67, PAGE 87, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, S. 89°48'57"E., 120.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE N. 00°05'03"E., 143.00 FEET S. 89°48'57"E., 21.00 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE ALONG SAID EAST LINE, S. 00°05'03"W., 16.00 FEET; THENCE N. 89°48'57"W., 0.99 FEET; THENCE S. 00°05'03"W., 127.00 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, N. 89°48'57"W., 20.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 0.066 ACRES, (2,875 SQUARE FEET) MORE OR LESS

NOTES

1. BEARINGS ARE BASED ON THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1, BLOCK 1, NORTHSIDE REPLAT, PLAT BOOK 67, PAGE 87, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA, SAID LINE BEING ASSUMED AS S89°48'57"E.

2. LEGAL DESCRIPTION WAS PREPARED BY POLARIS ASSOCIATES, INC.

3. RE-USE OF THIS SKETCH FOR PURPOSES OTHER THAN WHICH IT WAS INTENDED, WITHOUT WRITTEN VERIFICATION, WILL BE AT THE RE-USER'S SOLE RISK AND WITHOUT LIABILITY TO THE SURVEYOR. NOTHING HEREIN SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO GIVE ANY RIGHTS OR BENEFITS TO ANYONE OTHER THAN THOSE CERTIFIED TO.

4. THIS SKETCH IS NOT INTENDED TO SHOW THE LOCATION OR EXISTENCE OF ANY JURISDICTIONAL, HAZARDOUS OR ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS.

5. THIS SKETCH WAS PREPARED WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF AN ABSTRACT OF TITLE AND MAY BE SUBJECT TO EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, RIGHTS-OF-WAY AND OTHER MATTERS OF RECORD.

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE SKETCH REPRESENTED HEREON MEETS THE MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS SET FORTH BY THE FLORIDA BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS AND MAPPERS IN CHAPTER 5J-17, FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, PURSUANT TO SECTION 472.027 FLORIDA STATUTES.

DAN H. RIZZUTO
PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR
LS 5227, STATE OF FLORIDA

POLARIS ASSOCIATES INC.
PROFESSIONAL SURVEYING LB 6113
2165 SUNNYDALE BOULEVARD, SUITE D
CLEARWATER, FLORIDA 33765
(727) 481-8113
The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: Ordinance approving a vacation of the portion of 7th Avenue South located between 42nd Street South and 43rd Street South. (City File No.: 17-33000005)

RECOMMENDATION: The Administration and the Development Review Commission recommend APPROVAL.

RECOMMENDED CITY COUNCIL ACTION:
1) Conduct the second reading and public hearing; and
2) Approve the proposed ordinance.

The Request: The request is to the portion of 7th Avenue South located between 42nd Street South and 43rd Street South. (City File No.: 17-33000005).

Discussion: As set forth in the attached report provided to the Development Review Commission (DRC), Staff finds that vacating the subject right-of-ways would be consistent with the criteria in the City Code, the Comprehensive Plan, and the applicable special area plan.

Agency Review: The application was routed to City Departments and outside utility providers. The City's Water Resources and Engineering Departments indicated that they have facilities in the right-of-way to be vacated. An associated special condition of approval requiring an easement over the vacated right-of-way has been added to address this concern. The City's Neighborhood Transportation Division has reviewed the proposed vacation and has no objection.

Public Comments: Staff received one call and one email from the public. Neither indicated any concerns with the proposed vacation.

DRC Action/Public Comments: On May 3, 2017, the Development Review Commission (DRC) held a public hearing on the subject application. No person spoke in opposition to the request. After the public hearing, the DRC voted 7-0 to recommend approval of the proposed vacation. In advance of this report, no additional comments or concerns were expressed to the author.
RECOMMENDATION: The Administration recommends APPROVAL of the right-of-way vacation, subject to the following conditions:

1. Prior to recording of the vacation ordinance, the applicant shall address the location of public utilities and services by a public utility easement covering all of the right-of-way to be vacated.


4. Lots 9 through 16 can no longer stand alone as separate lots. If any division of the property into more than two parcels is desired in the future, a plat and re-dedication of right-of-way will be required.

Attachments: Parcel Map, Aerial Map, Ordinance with 2 page Exhibit “A”, Staff Report
ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A VACATION OF THE PORTION OF 7TH AVENUE SOUTH LOCATED BETWEEN 42ND STREET SOUTH AND 43RD STREET SOUTH; SETTING FORTH CONDITIONS FOR THE VACATION TO BECOME EFFECTIVE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

Section 1. The following right-of-way is hereby vacated as recommended by the Administration and the Development Review Commission on May 3, 2017 (City File No. 17-33000005):

Legal Description: 2 Page Exhibit “A” attached.

Section 2. The above-mentioned right-of-way is not needed for public use or travel.

Section 3. The vacation is subject to and conditional upon the following:

1. Prior to recording of the vacation ordinance, the applicant shall address the location of public utilities and services by providing a public utility easement covering all of the right-of-way to be vacated.


4. Lots 9 through 16 can no longer stand alone as separate lots. If any division of the property into more than two parcels is desired in the future, a plat and re-dedication of right-of-way will be required.

Section 4. In the event this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective upon the expiration of the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which case the ordinance shall become effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

LEGAL:

PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT:
SECTION 27, TOWNSHIP 31 SOUTH, RANGE 16 EAST
DESCRIPTION AND SKETCH
7TH AVENUE SOUTH VACATION

VACATION DESCRIPTION:
THAT PORTION OF 7TH AVENUE SOUTH LYING SOUTH OF AND ADJACENT TO BLOCK "V", FAIRMOUNT, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 3, PAGE 31, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA BEING DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGIN AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID BLOCK "V" AND RUN THENCE N.89°45'44"E, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID BLOCK "V" A DISTANCE OF 370.01 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID BLOCK "V";
THENCE RUN S.00°41'57"E, ALONG A SOUTHERLY PROLONGATION OF THE EAST LINE OF SAID BLOCK "V" A DISTANCE OF 50.00 FEET; THENCE RUN S.89°45'44"W, ALONG A LINE 50 FEET SOUTH OF AND PARALLEL TO THE AFORESAID SOUTH LINE OF BLOCK "V" A DISTANCE OF 370.02 FEET TO A POINT ON A SOUTHERLY PROLONGATION OF THE WEST LINE OF SAID BLOCK "V"; THENCE RUN N.00°41'01"W. ALONG SAID LINE A DISTANCE OF 50.00' TO THE AFORESAID SOUTHWEST CORNER OF BLOCK "V" AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 18500 SQUARE FEET OR 0.424 ACRES MORE OR LESS.

Exhibit "A"
Pg 1 of 2

7TH AVENUE SOUTH VACATING GEOMETRY IS BASED ON EXISTING FIELD SURVEY DATA.

FOR: YUTZY TREE SERVICE, INC.

PREPARED: 2/10/17

THIS IS NOT A SURVEY

NOTES:

This Legal Description and Sketch was prepared in the benefit of a title search and is subject to all easements, restrictive covenants or other matters of record.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND SKETCH prepared in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 55-19, Florida Administrative Code.

I hereby certify that the Legal Description and Sketch represents an accurate representation of the benefit and involved area.

Prepared by:
JOHN C. BRENDLA & ASSOCIATES, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS
4015 82nd Avenue North
Pinellas Park, Florida 33781
phone (727) 576-7546 ~ fax (727) 577-9932

JEFF DIGES
P.O. BOX 1269
PINELLAS PARK, FL 33780
(727) 577-9932
SKETCH OF DESCRIPTION

SECTION 27, TOWNSHIP 31 SOUTH, RANGE 16 EAST

PARTIAL REPLAT OF BLOCK 'W'-FAIRMOUNT PARK

Plat Book 75, Page 89

42ND STREET SOUTH

Lot 1
Block "A"

Lot 2
FAIRMOUNT PARK

Plat Book 3, Page 31

Lot 3

Lot 4

Lot 5

Lot 6

Lot 7

Lot 8

Lot 9

Lot 10

Lot 11

Lot 12

Lot 13

Lot 14

Lot 15

Lot 16

SOUTHEAST CORNER OF BLOCK "V"

SOUTHWEST CORNER OF BLOCK "V"

Point of Beginning

SOUTHWEST CORNER OF BLOCK "V", FAIRMOUNT

43RD STREET SOUTH

RIGHT-OF-WAY VARIES

EXHIBIT "A"

Prepared by:

JOHN C. BRENDLA & ASSOCIATES, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS
4015 82nd Avenue North
Pinellas Park, Florida 33781
phone (727) 576-7546 ~ fax (727) 577-9932

Sheet 2 of 2

THIS IS NOT A SURVEY

Prepared: 2/10/17

FOR: YUTZY TREE SERVICE, INC.
According to Planning & Economic Development Department records, no Commission member resides or has a place of business within 2,000 feet of the subject property. All other possible conflicts should be declared upon the announcement of the item.

REPORT TO THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMISSION FROM DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES DIVISION, PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, for Public Hearing and Executive Action on May 3, 2017, at 2:00 P.M. in Council Chambers, City Hall, 175 Fifth Street North, St. Petersburg, Florida.

CASE NO.: 17-33000005 PLAT SHEET: L-3
REQUEST: Approval of a vacation of the portion of 7th Avenue South located between 42nd Street South and 43rd Street South.

OWNER: Yutzy Tree Service, Inc.
690 43rd Street South
Saint Petersburg, Florida 33711

AGENT: Karl Yutzy
8075 29th Avenue North
Saint Petersburg, Florida 33710

ADDRESS: 690 43rd Street South
PARCEL ID NO.: 22-31-16-26910-022-0090
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: On File
ZONING: Industrial Traditional (IT)

DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATION:

Request. The request is to vacate a portion of 7th Avenue South located between 42nd Street South and 43rd Street South. The right-of-way of 7th Avenue South to the east of 42nd Street South was previously vacated through two other separate vacation cases.
A letter consenting to the initiation of the vacation of right-of-way was received from the City of St. Petersburg Transportation and Parking Management Department, which controls the parcel immediately to the south of the right-of-way to be vacated.

The area of the right-of-way proposed for vacation is depicted on the attached maps (Attachments “A” and “B”) and Sketch and Legal Description (Exhibit “A”). The applicant’s goal is to consolidate the property for redevelopment. The proposed use is for expansion of the applicant’s business.

Analysis. Staff’s review of a vacation application is guided by:

A. The City’s Land Development Regulations (LDR’s);

B. The City’s Comprehensive Plan; and

C. Any adopted neighborhood or special area plans.

Applicants bear the burden of demonstrating compliance with the applicable criteria for vacation of public right-of-way. In this case, the material submitted by the applicant does provide background or analysis supporting a conclusion that vacating the subject right-of-way would be consistent with the criteria in the City Code, the Comprehensive Plan, or any applicable special area plan.

A. Land Development Regulations

Section 16.40.140.2.1E of the LDR’s contains the criteria for reviewing proposed vacations. The criteria are provided below in italics, followed by itemized findings by Staff.

1. **Easements for public utilities including stormwater drainage and pedestrian easements may be retained or required to be dedicated as requested by the various departments or utility companies.**

The application was routed to City Departments and outside utility providers. The City’s Water Resources and Engineering Departments indicated that they have facilities in the right-of-way to be vacated. An associated special condition of approval has been added at the end of this report.

2. **The vacation shall not cause a substantial detrimental effect upon or substantially impair or deny access to any lot of record as shown from the testimony and evidence at the public hearing.**

The vacation of the east west portion of 7th Avenue South will not have any effect on access to any lot of record. The applicant’s lots to the north can be accessed from both 42nd Street South and 43rd Street South. The property immediately south of 7th Avenue is owned by the City of St. Petersburg and can be accessed from both 42nd Street South and 43rd Street South on the east and west; and from the Pinellas Trail which is located immediately south of the City’s property.

3. **The vacation shall not adversely impact the existing roadway network, such as to create dead-end rights-of-way, substantially alter utilized travel patterns, or undermine the integrity of historic plats of designated historic landmarks or neighborhoods.**
The vacation of the subject right-of-way will create a dead end right-of-way on the portion of 42nd Street South. The portion of 7th Avenue further to the east was previously vacated. This will not alter utilized travel patterns as the portion of right-of-way to be vacated is unimproved and has never been used for travel. The properties on both sides of 42nd Street South will continue to use that right-of-way to access their properties.

4. The easement is not needed for the purpose for which the City has a legal interest and, for rights-of-way, there is no present or future need for the right-of-way for public vehicular or pedestrian access, or for public utility corridors.

There is no present or future need for the right-of-way for public vehicular or pedestrian access. There is a need to retain a public utility corridor. The City utilities located in the right-of-way to be vacated will be protected by the suggested condition of approval at the end of this report.

5. The POD, Development Review Commission, and City Council shall also consider any other factors affecting the public health, safety, or welfare.

No other factors have been raised for consideration.

B. Comprehensive Plan

There are no policies in the City's Comprehensive Plan which apply to this request.

C. Adopted Neighborhood or Special Area Plans

This site is located within the boundaries of the South St. Petersburg CRA. The South St. Petersburg CRA Dependent Special District was established to remedy blighting conditions within the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area.

The South St. Petersburg Redevelopment Plan adopted by St. Petersburg City Council on May 21, 2015, has related policies and plans. In Chapter Three - Redevelopment Action Plan under the Manufacturing Development category there are two land Acquisition and Disposition policies that affect vacation of right-of-way:

1. When acquiring property, priority should be given to facilitating the creation of larger holdings suitable for industrial and business use.
2. Promote block consolidation through street and alley vacations as well as utility relocations.

It is also noted in Chapter Four - Redevelopment Program and Funding Strategy that:

1. Land assembly, consolidation and site preparation is essential for encouraging residential, commercial and industrial development to the South St. Petersburg CRA.
2. The land assembly effort may also involve vacating streets, alleyways and associated utilities such as water, sewer and stormwater facilities.

The subject right-of-way is within the boundaries of the Childs Park Neighborhood Association. This is also located in the Dome Industrial Park Target Employment Center. There are no neighborhood plans or policies in the Target Employment Center which affect vacation of right-of-way in this area of the City.
Comments from Agencies and the Public. Staff received one call and one email from the public. Neither indicated any concerns with the proposed vacation.

The City's Neighborhood Transportation Division has reviewed the proposed vacation and has no objection. As noted above, there are public utilities within the rights-of-way proposed for vacation.

RECOMMENDATION. Staff recommends APPROVAL of the proposed street right-of-way vacation. If the DRC is inclined to support the vacation, Staff recommends the following special conditions of approval:

1. Prior to recording of the vacation ordinance, the applicant shall address the location of public utilities and services by a public utility easement covering all of the right-of-way to be vacated.


4. Lots 9 through 16 can no longer stand alone as separate lots. If any division of the property into more than two parcels is desired in the future, a plat and re-dedication of right-of-way will be required.

REPORT PREPARED BY:

KATHRYN A. YOUNKIN, AICP, LEED AP BD + C, Deputy Zoning Official
Development Review Services Division
Planning & Economic Development Department

REPORT APPROVED BY:

ELIZABETH ABERNETHY, AICP, Zoning Official (POD)
Planning and Economic Development
Development Review Services Division

Exhibit – “A” 2 pages – Sketch and Legal Description
Attachment A
City of St. Petersburg, Florida
Planning and Economic Development Department
Case No.: 17-33000005
Address: 690 43rd Street South

(nts)
TO: Pamela Jones, Development Services
FROM: Nancy Davis, Engineering Plan Review Supervisor
DATE: March 24, 2017
SUBJECT: Right of way - Vacation
FILE: 17-3300005

LOCATION: 690 43rd Street South
AND PIN: 22/31/16/2691/0/022/0090
ATLAS: L-3
PROJECT: Right of Way - Vacation
REQUEST: Approval of a vacation of a portion of 7th Avenue South located between 42nd Street South and 43rd Street South.

COMMENTS: The Engineering and Capital Improvements Department has no objection to the vacation request provided that the entire vacated right-of-way is retained as a utility and drainage easement.

1. The portion of 7th Avenue South requested to be vacated contains a 72" X 108" public drainage culvert; therefore the entire vacated right of way must be retained as Public Drainage and Utility easement.

2. Public access into and along the easement must be maintained as may be required for public utility maintenance or improvement. Any private fences installed across the easement must be gated to maintain direct drive through access to adjacent public right of way(s). Minimum gate width is 12-feet. The City will not be responsible for the restoration of fences placed within or across the public easement area should the City be required to remove the fence or obstruction for public purposes.

3. Any future minor encroachments into the public easement which are contemplated will require the issuance of a Minor Easement Permit pursuant to the requirements of City Code Chapter 25, Article VII. Encroachments shall not interfere with the use of the easement for utility purposes which includes the maintenance, installation, and replacement of underground utilities. Any obstructions to public access into the easement area must be removed by the property owner immediately upon written notice given by the City of St. Petersburg when required for infrastructure maintenance or improvements.

NED/MJR/jw

pc: Kelly Donnelly
Easement Vacation File 2017
Reading File
Correspondence File
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair & Members of City Council

SUBJECT: An Ordinance Amending Chapter 17, Article X of the City Code related to Economic Development Ad Valorem Tax Exemptions; providing for clarifying language; adding an exemption for up to twenty years for improvements to data centers; and setting $300,000 as the minimum taxable value of improvements for which an exemption may be granted.

BACKGROUND:

On November 8, 2011, St. Petersburg voters passed Referendum Question No. 2 which provides City Council the authority to grant ad valorem tax exemptions to new businesses and expansions of existing businesses that are expected to create new full-time jobs in the City. The ballot question was approved by 66.98% of the voters. The ad valorem tax exemption provided for in Chapter 17, Article X of the City Code is an incentive program established under Article VII, Section 3 of the Constitution of the State of Florida and Section 196.1995, Florida Statutes, which is intended to encourage new businesses to locate or existing businesses to expand and create new jobs in the City. This incentive program was approved by Ordinance on October 18, 2012.

To date, one company has taken advantage of the Program: ASI, Inc.

EXPLANATION:

The following are the suggested substantive changes to Chapter 17, Article X of the City Code and the rationale for the suggested changes.

1. In 2016, the Florida Legislature amended Section 196.1995, Florida Statutes, to make the exemption available for “data centers” for up to a 20-year period and to allow replacement “data center equipment” to qualify as an improvement eligible for the exemption. These terms are not defined in Florida Statutes, so this proposed ordinance revision provides definitions of those terms and allows for the maximum exemptions allowable for data centers under Section 196.1995, Florida Statutes. Data Analytics is one of the City’s Target Industry Clusters from the Grow Smarter Initiative, therefore Administration supports this amendment as a business attraction and expansion tool.

2. Under the current Ordinance, businesses in Tax Increment Finance (TIF) districts were ineligible to apply for this incentive. This proposed ordinance revision would allow City Council to consider projects within TIF districts that do not have bonded indebtedness, including Intown West, Bayboro Harbor, and South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Areas. The Bayboro Harbor TIF will sunset March 2018. Businesses within the Intown Community Redevelopment Area will remain ineligible for this incentive.
3. The tax exemption for eligible businesses applies only to the assessed value of improvements and tangible personal property added. It is proposed that the minimum taxable value for improvements and tangible personal property be instituted at $300,000. With the 2016 St. Petersburg millage rate of 6.7550 mills, this would equate to a tax savings of $2,206.50. This recommendation is made because the City now has other incentive programs that provide a much larger incentive value for smaller projects undertaken by small businesses.

4. Florida Statutes previously allowed the City to create separate criteria for eligible new businesses or businesses expanding in the Enterprise Zone and Brownfield area; however, Enterprise Zones no longer exist due to legislative repeal, so that language has been removed in the proposed ordinance revision. For businesses within the Brownfield area, it is proposed that the minimum capital investment be the same as the rest of the program at $300,000, again due to the availability of other incentives for smaller projects.

5. Finally, the proposed ordinance revision adds a procedure by which an eligible business can request a Resolution granting an exemption, subject to ordinance adoption, prior to the eligible business filing the formal application required by the Florida Department of Revenue to apply for an exemption ordinance. This procedure allows greater flexibility in granting exemptions for larger projects that may take multiple years to complete.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Recommended City Council Action:
Conduct Second Reading and Public Hearing for the attached Ordinance; Adopt Ordinance.

Attachment: Ordinance

Approvals:
Administration

Date 5/25/17
AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 17, ARTICLE X OF THE CITY CODE RELATED TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AD VALOREM TAX EXEMPTIONS; PROVIDING FOR CLARIFYING LANGUAGE; ADDING AN EXEMPTION FOR UP TO TWENTY YEARS FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO DATA CENTERS; SETTING $300,000 AS THE MINIMUM TAXABLE VALUE OF IMPROVEMENTS FOR WHICH AN EXEMPTION MAY BE GRANTED; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

SECTION 1. Sections 17-521 to 17-532 of the St. Petersburg City Code are hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 17-521. - Enactment authority.

Article VII, Section 3, of the Constitution of the State of Florida and Florida Statutes (currently F.S. § 196.19951) empower the City to grant economic development ad valorem tax exemptions to new businesses and expansions of existing businesses after the electors of the City authorized such exemptions. In a referendum held on November 8, 2011, the electors of the City of St. Petersburg authorized the City to grant economic development ad valorem tax exemptions pursuant to this article.

Sec. 17-522. - Definitions.

The definitions included here are specific to this article. The following words, phrases and terms shall have the meanings set forth below. Except where indicated otherwise such words, phrases and terms shall have the same meanings attributed to them in the Florida Statutes and the Florida Administrative Code:

Agreement means the written agreement between the applicant and the City required as a condition for the applicant to receive an exemption after City Council's adoption of an exemption ordinance.

Applicant means any person or legal entity, firm, partnership or corporation who files an application with the POD seeking an exemption.

Application means a written request for the adoption of application for an exemption ordinance on the form prescribed by the Florida Department of
Revenue together with any supplemental form prescribed by the POD and any additional information requested by the POD.

Average annual employment means the sum of the number of full-time equivalent employees as of the last day of each month of the preceding calendar year divided by 12.

Brownfield area has the meaning set forth in means an area designated as a brownfield area pursuant to Florida Statutes (currently F.S. § 376.80 376.79).

Business means any activity engaged in by any person, firm, partnership, corporation, or other business organization or entity, with the object of private or public gain, benefit, or advantage, either direct or indirect.

Capital investment means any expenditure for an expansion of an existing business or a new business to be located in the City which can be capitalized under generally accepted accounting principles.

Data center means a facility with the primary function of supporting a company by housing equipment and operations for internet site hosting, electronic data storage and transfer, credit card and financial transaction processing, telecommunications, software and computer systems design, digital media, or other similar activities for data analytics.

Data center equipment means all equipment necessary to provide for the functions of a data center.

Community redevelopment area means an area designated as a community redevelopment area pursuant to F.S. ch. 163, pt. III.

Department means the Florida Department of Revenue.

Economic development ad valorem tax exemption or exemption means an ad valorem tax exemption granted by the City in its sole and absolute discretion to a qualified business pursuant to this article as authorized by Article VII, Section 3 of the Constitution of the State of Florida and F.S. § 196.1995.

Eligible business means a new business or expansion of an existing business.

Enterprise zone means an area designated as an enterprise zone pursuant to F.S. § 290.0065.

Exemption criteria means the criteria to be applied by the City in making its determination as to whether to grant an exemption, as provided for in this article.
Exemption means an economic development ad valorem tax exemption granted by the City pursuant to this article for taxes levied by the City.

Exemption ordinance means an ordinance adopted by the City Council granting an exemption to the applicant.

Exemption resolution means a resolution of City Council approving an exemption for an eligible business, subject to the adoption of an exemption ordinance.

Expansion of an existing business means as has the meaning set forth in the chart provided in this article Florida Statutes (currently F.S. § 196.012).

Full-time equivalent employee means a person who is employed by a business who works at least 35 hours per week, and is eligible to receive benefits, including health benefits, through their employer, subject to any eligible vesting periods.

Goods means all personal property when purchased primarily for personal, family, or household use, but not including personal property sold for commercial or industrial use.

Improvements means physical changes made to, and structures placed on or under, real property, including all tangible personal property purchased acquired by a new business and any tangible personal property purchased acquired to facilitate the expansion of an existing business, provided that the physical changes are made, the structures are placed, or the tangible personal property is added or increased acquired on or after the effective date of an exemption resolution supporting an exemption for the business, subject to adoption of an exemption ordinance, or, if no such exemption resolution is adopted, on or after the effective date of an exemption ordinance. However, tangible personal acquired to replace existing property of an existing business shall not be considered an improvement (other than replacement data center equipment for a data center, which is considered an improvement).

Job has the meaning set forth in Florida Statutes (currently F.S. § 288.005(4)).

Mayer means the chief administrative officer of the City, or the POD.

New business means as has the meaning set forth in the chart provided in this article Florida Statutes (currently F.S. § 196.012).

New job means a job full-time equivalent employee which is new to the City State of Florida.
Qualifying average annual wage means a wage greater than the average annual wage of Pinellas County as provided identified annually by the State Florida Department of Economic Opportunity.

Sales factor means a fraction, the numerator of which is the total sales of the taxpayer in this State during the taxable year or period and the denominator of which is the total sales of the taxpayer everywhere during the taxable year or period.

Tangible personal property shall have the meaning set forth in Florida Statutes (currently F.S. § 192.001(11)(d)).

Target industry business means an expansion of an existing business or a new business that is engaged in a business designated as a target industry business pursuant to F.S. § 288.106.

Wages means all compensation including salaries, bonuses, commissions and the value of exercised stock options subject to federal income tax, but excluding fringe benefits; provided, stock options shall be included in the calculation of wages in a manner consistent with the program established pursuant to F.S. § 288.106.

Written tax exemption agreement means the agreement between the applicant and the City upon approval of the City Council's granting of an exemption and shall include but not be limited to performance criteria and must be consistent with this article and other applicable laws.

Sec. 17-523. - Establishment of economic development ad valorem tax exemption.

(a) Incentive. There is hereby herein established an economic development ad valorem tax exemption for ad valorem taxes levied by the City. The exemption, which is a local option tax incentive for an eligible qualified business which may be granted or refused at the sole and absolute discretion of the City.

(b) Ineligible improvements. The exemption shall not apply to improvements that have been included on the tax rolls prior to the effective date of a resolution supporting an exemption for the business, or, if no such resolution is adopted, on or after the effective date of an exemption ordinance.

(b)(e) Eligible improvements. At the sole and absolute discretion of the City, and except as otherwise provided for in this article, the exemption may be granted for 100 percent of the assessed value on the tax roll, as determined
by the property appraiser, of the net increase of all improvements made by an eligible business, provided that the improvements are made on or after the effective date of an exemption resolution supporting an exemption for the business, or, if no such exemption resolution is adopted, on or after the effective date of an exemption ordinance.

(d) Land. No exemption shall be granted for the land upon which a new business or an expansion of an existing business is to be located.

(c)(e) Maximum exemption period. The exemption may be for a period of up to five years, unless an additional five-year exemption of up to 10 years, or up to 20 years for a data center, is approved by supermajority vote of City Council, for an eligible business that meets the applicable bonus exemption criteria used to establish the additional five-year exemption ("five-year bonus") is set forth in the chart provided in this article.

(f) Taxes applicable. The exemption shall apply only to taxes levied by the City. The exemption shall not apply to taxes levied by the County, school district, or water management district, or to taxes levied for the payment of bonds or taxes authorized by a vote of the electors pursuant to Section 9(b) or 12, Article VII of the Florida Constitution or any other taxes levied by any other entity.

(d) Minimum taxable value of improvements eligible for an exemption. No exemption shall be granted if the estimated taxable value that would be lost to the City if the exemption was granted, as determined by the property appraiser, is less than $300,000.

(e)(g) Maximum amount of annual ad valorem revenue loss per eligible business exemption. The maximum annual exemption for any eligible business is shall not result in a loss (or estimated loss if the actual loss cannot be determined) of annual revenue from ad valorem sources in excess of $100,000.00 per eligible business, unless a larger amount is approved by supermajority vote of the City Council, for an eligible business that meets the bonus exemption criteria used to establish the larger amount is the same criteria used to establish the additional five-year bonus set forth in the chart provided in this article.

(f)(h) Maximum amount of cumulative annual ad valorem revenue loss annual exemptions. The exemptions granted by the City for each fiscal year shall not result in an estimated aggregate annual amount loss (or estimated loss if the actual loss cannot be determined) estimated amount of annual forgone ad valorem tax revenues in excess of $1,500,000.00 or such other amount approved by a supermajority vote of the City Council by resolution.
which amount shall be calculated based on the Property Appraiser’s estimates of the following for the fiscal year the application is submitted to the Property Appraiser: on the total revenue available to the City from ad valorem sources, the ad valorem tax revenue lost to the City by virtue of exemptions previously granted pursuant to this article during the particular fiscal year the application is submitted to the Property Appraiser, and the ad valorem tax revenue which would be lost if the requested exemption were granted had the improvements otherwise been subject to taxation. Any estimates of the ad valorem tax revenue lost to the City for any subsequent fiscal year shall be calculated by the City based on the exemptions previously granted plus exemptions under consideration in such particular fiscal year.

(i) Exemption non-transferable. Any exemption granted for a new business or expansion of an existing business is nontransferable between businesses; provided, however, if the only change to the business is ownership and all other provisions of the original application remain in effect, the exemption may be transferred subject to compliance with this article. The City shall make the determination of whether an exemption qualifies as transferable and shall notify the Property Appraiser of any change in exempt status for a property.

Section 17-524. – Request for exemption resolution.

Prior to making any improvements and prior to submitting an application, any eligible business that desires an exemption may file with the City a written request for an exemption resolution. The request shall be made in the form prescribed by the POD and shall contain all information requested by the POD. If the POD makes an initial determination upon review of the request that the eligible business meets the criteria set forth in this article to be granted an exemption, an exemption resolution shall be scheduled before City Council.

Section 17-525. – Application for exemption ordinance.

(a) Application. Any applicant eligible person, firm, partnership or corporation which that desires an exemption shall file with the City an application by February 1 of the year the exemption is desired to take effect. All applicants shall first file a notice of intent prior to submitting an application and prior to the business locating or expanding in the City.

(b) Application requirements. The application shall include the following information required by Florida Statutes (currently F.S. § 196.1995(8)). Additionally, the applicant shall provide the following:
(1) The name and location of the new business or the expansion of an existing business;

(2) A description of the improvements to real property for which an exemption is requested and the date of commencement of construction of such improvements;

(3) A description of the tangible personal property for which an exemption is requested and the dates when such property was or is to be purchased;

(4) Proof, to the satisfaction of the governing authority of the municipality, that the applicant is a new business or an expansion of an existing business, as defined in F.S. § 196.012(15) or (16);

(5) The number of jobs the applicant expects to create along with the average wage of the jobs and whether the jobs are full-time or part-time;

(6) The expected schedule of job creations;

(7) Proof of the applicant's ownership of, or minimum 15-year leasehold interest in, the real property on which the improvements are to be made, or if the applicant does not own the real property to be exempted, a minimum leasehold interest of 15 years must be demonstrated; and

(2) An executed waiver, in a form provided by the POD, which waives the right to confidentiality of records, which is allowed pursuant to Florida Statutes (currently F.S. § 288.075 and § 193.074), as it relates to the application for an exemption and specifically authorizes the disclosure of the applicant's plans, intentions and interests to locate, relocate or expand its business in the City.

(8) Other information deemed necessary or appropriate by the City POD.

(c) Review. Upon submittal of the application, the City POD shall review it and, within ten days of submission, notify the applicant of any facial deficiencies. Once complete, the City POD shall make an initial determination of whether to recommend to City Council that the applicant qualifies as new business or expansion of an existing business and provide the applicant to the Property Appraiser for the property appraiser to provide the City with a report containing the information required by Florida Statutes.
The POD determines that the applicant meets the criteria to be granted an exemption pursuant to this article, the POD shall take into account the impact on existing businesses within close proximity to the new business or expansion of existing businesses when making its initial determination and recommendation to City Council. An exemption ordinance shall be scheduled for a public hearing before the City Council no later than 45 days following receipt by the City POD of the Property Appraiser’s report provided for in this article. The applicant shall be notified of the date and time of the public hearing.

(d) Agreement—Written tax exemption agreement. As a condition to receiving an exemption, an eligible business will be required to enter into an written tax exemption agreement with the City to ensure that the eligible business satisfies all requirements associated with the granting and continuation of the exemption.

(e) Waiver of confidentiality. An application for an exemption shall include an executed waiver, in a form provided by the City, which waives the right to confidentiality of records pursuant to F.S. § 288.075 and § 193.074, as it relates to the request for an economic development ad valorem tax exemption and specifically authorizes the disclosure of the applicant’s plans, intentions and interests to locate, relocate or expand its business in the City.

Sec. 17-5265. — Exemption Criteria—City Council consideration of application.

(a) Property appraiser review and report. Before the City Council takes action on an application, a copy of the application, once deemed complete, shall be delivered to the Property Appraiser no later than March 1 for review. After careful consideration of the application and substantial completion of the improvements, as determined by the Property Appraiser of the property for which the exemption is requested, the Property Appraiser shall provide a report to the City, which shall include the following:

(1) The total revenue available to the City for the current fiscal year from ad valorem tax sources, or an estimate of such revenue if the actual total revenue available cannot be determined;

(2) The amount of any revenue lost to the City for the current fiscal year by virtue of exemptions previously granted, or an estimate of such revenue if the actual revenue lost cannot be determined;

(3) An estimate of the amount of revenue which would be lost to the City during the current fiscal year if the exemption applied for were granted
had the property for which the exemption is requested otherwise been subject to taxation; and

(4) A determination as to whether the property for which an exemption is requested is to be incorporated into a new business or the expansion of an existing business, or into neither, which determination the Property Appraiser shall also affix to the face of the application.

(b) Eligibility threshold. The threshold for eligibility is whether the business meets the definition of a new business or of an expansion of an existing business as provided in this article.

(a) - (c) Ineligibility threshold criteria.

(1) A person or entity shall not be eligible for an exemption if such person or any business, the owner, officer, partner or principal actor of such entity acting on behalf of the business or applicant, that has been convicted of a felony or released from custody (within the last ten years) or has been convicted of a misdemeanor involving crimes of violence, dishonesty or false statement (within the last five years) of any federal or State law or regulation is not eligible for an exemption.

(2) Any business located in a community redevelopment area designated pursuant to Florida Statutes (currently F.S. Chapter 163) with a tax increment finance district that is committed to the repayment of bonds will not be eligible for an exemption.

(3) Any business which has applied for a building permit for improvements made by or on behalf of a qualifying new business or the expansion of an existing business prior to filing a request for an exemption resolution is not a notice of intent will not be eligible for an exemption for those improvements.

(b) Exemption Criteria. Florida Statutes set forth what constitutes a new business or an expansion of an existing business according to whether the business has the following components, as more fully set forth in the applicable Florida Statutes (currently F.S. § 196.012): manufacturing, target industry business, sales, office space, location in a brownfield area, or location in annexed property. The POD may recommend that City Council grant an exemption for up to five years to an eligible business that meets the following criteria:

(1) Has manufacturing components:
(2) Has target industry business components;

(3) Has sales components;

(4) Is located on annexed property that, at the time of the annexation, is receiving an economic development ad valorem tax exemption from Pinellas County under Florida Statutes (currently F.S. § 196.1995); or

(5) Is located in a brownfield area and

   (i) Creates or retains jobs paying at least 75% of the qualifying average annual wage, and

   (ii) Creates or retains jobs in manufacturing, a target industry business, sales, an office space, or an arts and culture business as defined by NAICS codes 711120, 711130, 711190, 712110, 712120, 711110, 711510, 451140, 453998, 453920, 611610, 611519, or 541430.

(c) Exemption Bonus exemption criteria. In making its determination as to whether to grant an exemption, the City POD may recommend that City Council grant an applicant an exemption for a period exceeding five years, an exemption that will result in an estimated loss of annual revenue to the City from ad valorem sources in excess of $100,000 per eligible business, or an exemption that will result in an estimated aggregate loss of revenues to the City of annual ad valorem tax revenues in excess of $1,500,000, if the applicant is an eligible business that meets the following shall apply the exemption criteria provided below:

(1) Has manufacturing or target industry business components and establishes 20 or more new jobs paying at least 125% of the qualifying annual wage (in which case the maximum exemption period is 10 years); or

(2) Has sales components and establishes 50 or more new jobs paying at least 125% of the qualifying average annual wage (in which case the maximum exemption period is 10 years); or

(3) Is located in a brownfield area (in which case the maximum exemption period is 10 years), provided the following criteria is also met:

   (i) meets the criteria set forth in this article for an exemption, and
creates or retains jobs paying an amount at least equal to the qualifying average annual wage; or

(4) Has a data center in the City (in which case the maximum exemption period is 20 years for the data center equipment subject to the exemption).

### Expansion of an Existing Business

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A business or organization establishing 10 or more new jobs to employ 10 or more full-time employees in this State, paying a qualifying average annual wage, which manufactures, processes, compounds, fabricates, or produces for sale items of tangible personal property at a fixed location and which comprises an industrial or manufacturing plant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*5 Year Bonus: A business or organization establishing 20 or more new jobs paying at least 125% of the qualifying average annual wage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted Industry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A business or organization establishing 10 or more new jobs to employ 10 or more full-time employees in this State, paying a qualifying average annual wage, which is a target industry business as defined in F.S. 288.106(2)(q).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*5 Year Bonus: A business or organization establishing 20 or more new jobs paying at least 125% of the qualifying average annual wage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business with Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A business or organization establishing 25 or more new jobs to employ 25 or more full-time employees in this State, the sales factor of which, as defined by F.S. 220.15(5), for the facility with respect to which it requests an economic development ad valorem tax exemption is less than 0.50 for each year the exemption is claimed; provided that such business increases operations on a site located within the City collocated with a commercial or industrial operation owned by the same business or organization under common control with the same business or organization, resulting in a net increase in employment of not less than 10 percent or an increase in productive output or sales of not less than 10 percent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*5 Year Bonus: A business or organization establishing 50 or more new jobs paying at least 125% of the qualifying average annual wage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enterprise Zone or Brownfield Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any business or organization located in an enterprise zone or brownfield area that increases operations on a site located within the same zone or area collocated with a commercial or industrial operation owned by the same</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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A business or organization under common control with the same business or organization and meets the following criteria:

- Has a minimum capital investment of $100,000.00, excluding land
- Jobs created or retained have an average wage of at least 75% of the qualifying average annual wage
- Jobs created are within the following industries:
  - Manufacturing
  - Target industry as defined in F.S. 288.106(2)(q)
  - Business with less than one-half of its total sales revenue from sales in the State of Florida as defined by F.S. 220.15(5)
  - Office
  - Arts & culture businesses as defined by NAICS codes:
    - 711120, 711130, 711190, 712110, 712120, 711110, 711510, 451140, 453998, 453920, 611610, 611519, 541140

*5-Year-Bonus: A business or organization that has a minimum capital investment of $200,000.00, excluding land, and new or retained jobs have an average wage of at least 100% of the qualifying average annual wage

### New Business

**Manufacturing**

A business or organization establishing 10 or more new jobs to employ 10 or more full-time employees in this State, paying a qualifying average annual wage, which manufactures, processes, compounds, fabricates, or produces for sale items of tangible personal property at a fixed location and which comprises an industrial or manufacturing plant.

*5-Year-Bonus: A business or organization establishing 20 or more new jobs paying at least 125% of the qualifying average annual wage.

### Targeted Industry

A business or organization establishing 10 or more new jobs to employ 10 or more full-time employees in this State, paying a qualifying average annual wage, which is a target industry business as defined in F.S. 288.106(2)(q).

*5-Year-Bonus: A business or organization establishing 20 or more new jobs paying at least 125% of the qualifying average annual wage.

### Business with Sales

A business or organization establishing 25 or more new jobs to employ 25 or more full-time employees in this State, the sales factor of which, as defined by
F.S. 220.15(5), for the facility with respect to which it requests an economic development ad valorem tax exemption is less than 0.50 for each year the exemption is claimed.

*5 Year Bonus: A business or organization establishing 50 or more new jobs paying at least 125% of the qualifying average annual wage.

**Office**

An office space in this State owned and used by a business or organization newly domiciled in this State; provided such office space houses 50 or more full-time employees of such business or organization; provided that such business or organization-office first begins operation on a site clearly separate from any other commercial or industrial operation owned by the same business or organization.

*5 Year Bonus: A business or organization establishing 100 or more new jobs paying at least 125% of the qualifying average annual wage.

**Enterprise Zone or Brownfield Area**

Any business or organization located in an enterprise zone or brownfield area that increases operations on a site located within the same zone or area colocated with a commercial or industrial operation owned by the same business or organization under common control with the same business or organization and meets the following criteria:

- Has a minimum capital investment of $100,000, excluding land
- Jobs created or retained have an average wage of at least 75% of the qualifying average annual wage
- Jobs created are within the following industries:
  - Manufacturing
  - Target industry as defined in F.S. 288.106(2)(q)
  - Business with less than one-half of its total sales revenue from sales in the State of Florida as defined by F.S. 220.15(5)
  - Office
  - Arts & culture businesses as defined by NAICS codes:
    - 711120, 711130, 711190, 712110, 712120, 711110, 711510, 451140, 453998, 453920, 611610, 611619, 541430
*5 Year Bonus: A business or organization that has a minimum capital investment of $200,000.00, excluding land, and new or retained jobs have an average wage of at least 100% of the qualifying average annual wage.

**Annexed Property**
A business or organization that is situated on property annexed into the City and that, at the time of the annexation, is receiving an economic development ad valorem tax exemption from the county under F.S. 196.1995.

(d)(f) Precedent; standard for consideration of applications. No precedent shall be implied or inferred by the granting of an exemption or a bonus exemption. Each application shall be considered by the City on a case-by-case basis, after considering the Property Appraiser’s report on the application and the exemption criteria.

Sec. 17-527. — Exemption Ordinance.

(e) After applying the exemption criteria set forth in this article and considering consideration of the application, the Property Appraiser’s report, the written tax exemption agreement, the factors set forth in Florida Statutes (currently F.S. § 196.1995(10)) that must be taken into account when considering an application, and such other information it deems relevant, and the application of the exemption criteria, the City Council may choose in its sole and absolute discretion to adopt an exemption ordinance, which granting an exemption to the applicant (“exemption ordinance”). If the City decides to adopt such an ordinance, the ordinance shall be adopted in the same manner as any other general ordinance of the City, and shall include the information required by Florida Statutes (currently F.S. § 196.1995(11)) as following:

1. The name and address of the new business or the expansion of an existing business to which the exemption is granted;

2. The name of the owners of the new business or the expansion of an existing business;

3. The total amount of revenue available to the City from ad valorem tax sources for the current fiscal year, the total amount of revenue lost to the City for the current fiscal year by virtue of exemptions currently in effect, and the estimated revenue loss to the City for the current fiscal year attributable to the exemption of the business named in the ordinance;

4. The period of time for which the exemption will remain in effect and the expiration date of the exemption; and

5. A finding that the business named in the ordinance meets the requirements of F.S. § 196.012(15) or (16);
Sec. 17-526. - Application fees.

No fees shall be charged for processing applications or any exemption ordinance adopted by the City.

Sec. 17-5287. - Continuing performance.

(a) Annual filings. The ability to continue to receive an exemption for the exemption period granted shall be conditioned upon the applicant's ability to remain an maintain the qualified eligible business throughout the entire approval period. The applicant eligible business for which the exemption is granted shall be required to submit an annual renewal statement and an annual report to the City on or before February 1 of each year during the exemption period which shall contain the following information: for which the exemption was granted. The applicant shall also timely comply with all filing required pursuant to F.S. § 196.011.

(1) The annual renewal statement shall certify that the information provided in the original application has not changed.

(2) The annual report shall provide a report be prepared substantially in the form approved by the POD and shall contain information on the status of the business, evidencing satisfaction of the business's maintenance—and continued eligibility for the exemption performance conditions set forth in this article and compliance with the written tax exemption agreement. The report shall be prepared in substantially the form approved by the City and shall contain any other such information that as the City POD may reasonably deem necessary for the purpose of determining continuing performance by the business's continued eligibility for the exemption of the conditions provided for in this article and the ordinance specifically granting the business an exemption.

(3) The annual report, when applicable, shall also provide information to the City as to any changes in ownership of the business granted an exemption. The transferee business shall comply with all exemption requirements and shall assume in writing all of the obligations of the transferor business provided for in the written tax exemption agreement.

(b) Exemption non-transferrable. Exemptions are nontransferable between businesses; provided, however, if the only change to the business is ownership, and all other provisions of the original application remain in effect, the exemption may be transferred subject to approval by City
Council, compliance with this article, and the transferee business assuming in writing all of the obligations of the transferor business provided for in the agreement. The POD shall make a recommendation to City Council on whether the exemption is transferable pursuant to this article. The POD shall notify the Property Appraiser of any change in exempt status for a business that has been granted the ability to transfer an exemption.

Section 17-5298 – City revocation

(a) City Council action. Should any business granted an exemption fail to file the annual renewal statement and/or annual report on or before February 1 of each year the exemption has been granted as required by this article, fail to continue to meet the criteria for the exemption granted definition of a new business or an expansion of an existing business, fail to comply with the terms of the written tax exemption agreement, fail to file a new application upon any change in the information provided in the original application, fail to fulfill any other representation made to the City during the application process, and/or fail to comply with any other requirement provided for in this article, the City Council, may, upon 30 days written notice to the respective business, adopt an ordinance revoking the exemption or take such other action with respect to the exemption as it deems appropriate.

(b) Sec. 17-529. – Notification. Upon revocation of an exemption, the City shall notify the Property Appraiser of the effective date of the ordinance revoking the exemption.

Sec. 17-530. - Recovery of taxes.

If it is determined that a business was not in fact entitled to an exemption in any year for which the business received an exemption, the City shall be entitled to recover all property taxes not paid as a result of the exemption, plus interest at the maximum rate allowed by law, plus all costs of collection, including, without limitation, reasonable attorney's fees.

Sec. 17-531. - Reappraisal.

Nothing herein shall prohibit an eligible business from reapplying for an ad valorem tax exemption pursuant to state law.

Sec. 17-532. - Sunset date.

Pursuant to F.S. § 196.1995, the authority to approve an exemption ordinance pursuant to this article shall expire at 12:01 a.m. on November 9, 2021, which is ten
years after the effective date such authority to approve an exemption ordinance
grant economic development ad valorem tax exemptions was approved by the
electors of the City voting on the question in a referendum; provided that for
purposes of enforcement and revocation, this article shall survive such expiration
date. No business shall be allowed to begin receiving an exemption after that
date; however, the expiration shall not affect the operation of any exemption for
which an eligible business has qualified been granted under this article prior to
November 9, 2021.

SECTION 2. As used in this ordinance, language appearing in struck-
through type is language to be deleted from the City Code, and underlined
language is language to be added to the City Code, in the section, subsection,
or other location where indicated. Language in the City Code not appearing in
this ordinance continues in full force and effect unless the context clearly
indicates otherwise. Sections of this ordinance that amend the City Code to add
new sections or subsections are generally not underlined.

SECTION 3. The provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed severable. The
unconstitutionality or invalidity of any word, sentence or portion of this ordinance
shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions.

SECTION 4. In the event that this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in
accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective after the fifth business
day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written
notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which
case the ordinance shall take effect immediately upon filing such written notice
with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in
accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until
the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which
case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override
the veto.

Approved as 'o form and content:

City Attorney (designee)

00324339
ORDINANCE NO. ____

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2, ARTICLE V, DIVISION 7, SECTION 2-298.5 OF THE ST. PETERSBURG CITY CODE RELATING TO REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRACTORS TO EMPLOY DISADVANTAGED WORKERS ON MAJOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS; AMENDING THE DEFINITION OF PRIME CONTRACTOR OR CONTRACTOR; REQUIRING SOURCE LISTS; PROVIDING FOR REJECTION OF BIDS; REQUIRING QUARTERLY REPORTS FROM CONTRACTORS; PROVIDING FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION AND PENALTIES; REQUIRING AN ANNUAL REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

Section 1. The St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended in Chapter 2, Article V, Division 7, Subsection 2-298.5(c)(2)e to read as follows:

(e) Prime contractor or contractor means the person or entity which serves as the party of the first part to a contract, acting directly or through agents or employees, to perform a major construction project. The term contractor shall include:

i. any responsible managing corporate officer who has personal involvement or responsibility in obtaining a contract with the City or in supervising or performing the work prescribed by the contract or

ii. any person or entity with more than 50% ownership interest.

Section 2. The St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended in Chapter 2, Article V, Division 7, Subsection 2-298.5(d) to read as follows:

Section 2-298.5(d). Major construction project bidding and contract requirements for disadvantaged workers.

(1) Disadvantaged worker required participation percentage. At least ten percent (10%) of all hours of work performed on a major construction project shall be performed by disadvantaged workers employed by prime contractors or subcontractors.
(2) **Bidding.** Prime contractors bidding on major construction contracts must submit the following with their bid: a list of the resources which will be used to identify disadvantaged workers, a list of subcontractors proposed to be used for the project, total work hours estimated for the major construction project, a demonstration of ten percent of the total work hours proposed to be performed by disadvantaged workers, and a description of the work to be performed by the disadvantaged workers. The POD may waive the requirements for disadvantaged workers in bid documents if the POD determines that the project involves a high proportion of equipment and materials costs compared to the anticipated labor hours or that there is an insufficient number of disadvantaged workers available to meet the contract requirements. The POD shall put its reasoning for the waiver in writing and submit such reasoning to City Council upon presenting the major construction project to City Council for contract approval.

(3) **Source lists.** The POD shall compile, maintain and make available source lists which include contractors which employ disadvantaged workers and other sources to assist with locating disadvantaged workers.

(4) **Rejection of bids.** Where it is determined that none of the bids meet the disadvantaged worker required participation percentage, the POD shall rebid the contract unless the Mayor or his or her designee determines that selecting the bid which substantially complies with this division is necessary and in the best interests of the City. Nothing in this section precludes the POD from exercising the authority to accept or reject bids in accordance with other sections of the Code or bid documents.

(5) **Award of contracts.** All major construction contracts shall be awarded to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. In awarding any major construction project contract, pursuant to this division, the POD may reject any bid determined not to be the lowest responsive and responsible bid.

(6) **Changes after work begins.** The prime contractor and subcontractors must make good faith efforts to replace any disadvantaged worker who can no longer work on a major construction project with another a disadvantaged worker in order to achieve the participation percentage.

(7) **Documentation of good faith efforts.** A prime contractor shall be responsible for achieving and maintaining the required participation percentage for the duration of an awarded contract. If the prime contractor and respective subcontractors are not able to achieve or maintain the required percentage, the prime contractor must demonstrate and document that good faith efforts were made to achieve or maintain the required percentage. Documentation that the prime contractor or subcontractor made good faith efforts shall be submitted on forms provided by the POD. The POD shall review the documentation and determine whether good faith efforts were made to achieve or maintain the required percentage of disadvantaged workers.

(8) **Required documentation.** The prime contractor and subcontractors shall keep an accurate record showing the total hours of work performed on a major construction project and the name,
address, trade classification, hours worked, evidence of disadvantaged worker status, and employment status of all disadvantaged workers asserted to meet the percentage required in this division. The prime contractor shall submit the record to the POD on a quarterly cycle for the duration of the major construction project. The record shall be submitted on or before January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 respectively. The record shall consist of the cumulative number of hours worked to date by disadvantaged workers and total hours worked to date. The record shall be cumulative for the duration of the major construction project and shall indicate any new hires. at the 25% point of completion, the 50% point of completion and the point of substantial completion (99% completion) as measured by payments made as a percent of the original amount. The prime contractor is responsible for assuring that all compliance documentation is submitted to the City on forms provided by the POD.

(9) **Compliance; Corrective Action; Penalties.**

a. During the duration of the major construction project, compliance shall be monitored and evaluated quarterly.

b. If the contractor has not met the requirements of this division, the POD will provide written notice regarding noncompliance. The contractor will be required to present a corrective action plan within 30 days of such notice. Once the corrective action plan is approved by the POD, the POD will provide a time period for completion of the corrective action plan. The time period for completion of the corrective action plan shall not exceed 60 days unless the Mayor or his or her designee determines that the contractor has demonstrated that a longer time period is necessary and in the best interest of the City. If the contractor fails to correct the deficiency within the time period, the POD shall impose the following penalties:

i. For a first violation . . . retainer will be withheld

ii. For a second violation . . . one year suspension from bidding on City contracts

iii. For a third violation . . . three year suspension from bidding on City contracts

c. Contracts and bid documents shall provide that the failure of any prime contractor to comply with any of the requirements of this division may result in the imposition of one or more penalties described in the procurement code, respective contract documents, or any other available remedies.

(10) **Authority of the POD.** The POD is authorized to monitor major construction project contracts and prime contractors for compliance with the requirements of this division throughout the duration of an awarded contract. The POD is authorized to prepare administrative policies and procedures to implement, monitor and enforce the requirements of this division.
(11) Compliance with federal and state regulations. The provisions of this division shall be construed according to and in conformity with State, federal and county laws concerning the bidding and awarding of contracts. Where a major construction project involves the expenditure of State or federal assistance or contract funds, the POD shall comply with such State or federal law and authorized regulations which are mandatorily applicable, including those which dictate that the provisions of this division may not be required on a particular project.

(12) Annual Report. The POD shall annually provide a report to the City Council regarding disadvantaged workers participating in major construction projects. The report must include the total dollar value of awards of major construction projects, the number of disadvantaged workers hired on such projects and the number of hours worked by disadvantaged workers on such projects.

Section 3. As used in this ordinance, language appearing in struck-through type is language to be deleted from the City Code, and underlined language is language to be added to the City Code, in the section, subsection, or other location where indicated. Language in the City Code not appearing in this ordinance continues in full force and effect unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. Sections of this ordinance that amend the City Code to add new sections or subsections are generally not underlined.

Section 4. The unconstitutionality or invalidity of any word, sentence, or portion of this ordinance shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions.

Section 5. In the event this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective upon the expiration of the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which case the ordinance shall become effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

Approved as to form and content:

________________________
City Attorney (designee)

00325587
ORDINANCE NO. ____

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2, ARTICLE V, DIVISION 7, SECTIONS 2-296 AND 2-297 OF THE ST. PETERSBURG CITY CODE RELATING TO REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRACTORS TO EMPLOY APPRENTICES ON MAJOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS; AMENDING THE DEFINITION OF PRIME CONTRACTOR OR CONTRACTOR; REQUIRING SOURCE LISTS; PROVIDING FOR REJECTION OF BIDS; REQUIRING QUARTERLY REPORTS FROM CONTRACTORS; PROVIDING FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION AND PENALTIES; REQUIRING AN ANNUAL REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

Section 1. The St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended in Chapter 2, Article V, Division 7, Section 2-296(c)(2)e to read as follows:

e. **Prime contractor** or contractor means the person or entity which serves as the party of the first part to a contract, acting directly or through agents or employees, to perform a major construction project. The term contractor shall include:

i. any responsible managing corporate officer who has personal involvement or responsibility in obtaining a contract with the City or in supervising or performing the work prescribed by the contract or

ii. any person or entity with more than 50% ownership interest.

Section 2. The St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended in Chapter 2, Article V, Division 7, Section 2-297 to read as follows:

Section 2-297. Major construction project requirements for employing apprentices.

(a) **Apprentice participation percentage.** At least ten percent (10%) of all hours of work performed on a major construction project shall be performed by apprentices employed by prime contractors or subcontractors.
(b) **Bidding.** Prime contractors bidding on major construction contracts must submit a description of their proposed apprentice usage with their bid or proposal. The description must include, but is not limited to, total work hours estimated for the major construction project, a demonstration of 10% of the total work hours proposed to be performed by apprentices, construction trades, program sponsors or sources, subcontractor opportunities and estimated duration of the employment of apprentices. The POD may waive the requirements for apprentices in bid documents if the POD determines that the project involves a high proportion of equipment and materials costs compared to the anticipated labor hours or that there is an insufficient number of apprentices available to meet the contract requirements. The POD shall put its reasoning for the waiver in writing and submit such reasoning to City Council upon presenting the major construction project to City Council for contract approval.

(c) **Source lists.** The POD shall compile, maintain and make available source lists which include apprenticeship programs approved by the State of Florida Department of Education, known industry certification training programs and known company-sponsored training programs related to work performed on major construction projects.

(d) **Rejection of bids.** Where it is determined that none of the bids meet the apprentice participation percentage, the POD shall rebid the contract unless the Mayor or his or her designee determines that selecting the bid which substantially complies with this division is necessary and in the best interests of the City. Nothing in this section precludes the POD from exercising the authority to accept or reject bids in accordance with other sections of the Code or bid documents.

(e) **Award of contracts.** All major construction contracts shall be awarded to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. In awarding any major construction project contract, pursuant to this division, the POD may reject any bid determined not to be the lowest responsive and responsible bid.

(f) **Changes after work begins.** The prime contractor and subcontractors must make good faith efforts to replace any apprentice who can no longer work on a major construction project with another apprentice in order to achieve the participation percentage.

(g) **Documentation of good faith efforts.** A prime contractor shall be responsible for achieving and maintaining the required participation percentage for the duration of an awarded contract. If the prime contractor and respective subcontractors are not able to achieve or maintain the required percentage, the prime contractor must demonstrate and document that good faith efforts were made to achieve or maintain the required percentage. Documentation that the prime contractor or subcontractor made good faith efforts shall be submitted on forms provided by the POD. The POD shall review the documentation and determine whether good faith efforts were made to achieve or maintain the required percentage of apprentices.

(h) **Required documentation.** The prime contractor and subcontractors shall keep an accurate record showing the total hours of work performed on a major construction project and the name, address, trade classification, hours worked, evidence of apprenticeship status, and employment
status of all apprentices asserted to meet the percentage required in this division. The prime contractor shall submit the record to the POD on a quarterly cycle for the duration of the major construction project. The record shall be submitted on or before January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 respectively. The record shall consist of the cumulative number of hours worked to date by apprentices and total hours worked to date. The record shall be cumulative for the duration of the major construction project and shall indicate any new hires. At the 25% point of completion, the 50% point of completion and the point of substantial completion (99% completion) as measured by payments made as a percent of the original amount. The prime contractor is responsible for assuring that all compliance documentation is submitted to the City on forms provided by the POD.

(i) **Compliance; Corrective Action; Penalties.**

(1) During the duration of the major construction project, compliance shall be monitored and evaluated quarterly.

(2) If the contractor has not met the requirements of this division, the POD will provide written notice regarding noncompliance. The contractor will be required to present a corrective action plan within 30 days of such notice. Once the corrective action plan is approved by the POD, the POD will provide a time period for completion of the corrective action plan. The time period for completion of the corrective action plan shall not exceed 60 days unless the Mayor or his or her designee determines that the contractor has demonstrated that a longer time period is necessary and in the best interest of the City. If the contractor fails to correct the deficiency within the time period, the POD shall impose the following penalties:

- a. For a first violation . . . retainer will be withheld
- b. For a second violation . . . one year suspension from bidding on City contracts
- c. For a third violation . . . three year suspension from bidding on City contracts

(3) Contracts and bid documents shall provide that the failure of any prime contractor to comply with any of the requirements of this division may result in the imposition of one or more penalties described in the procurement code, respective contract documents, or any other available remedies.

(j) **Authority of the POD.** The POD is authorized to monitor major construction project contracts and prime contractors for compliance with the requirements of this division throughout the duration of an awarded contract. The POD is authorized to prepare administrative policies and procedures to implement, monitor and enforce the requirements of this division.

(k) **Compliance with federal and state regulations.** The provisions of this division shall be construed according to and in conformity with State, federal and county laws concerning the bidding and awarding of contracts. Where a major construction project involves the expenditure
of State or federal assistance or contract funds, the POD shall comply with such State or federal law and authorized regulations which are mandatorily applicable, including those which dictate that the provisions of this division may not be required on a particular project.

(l) **Annual Report.** The POD shall annually provide a report to the City Council regarding apprentices participating in major construction projects. The report must include the total dollar value of awards of major construction projects, the number of apprentices hired on such projects and the number of hours worked by apprentices on such projects.

Section 3. As used in this ordinance, language appearing in struck-through type is language to be deleted from the City Code, and underlined language is language to be added to the City Code, in the section, subsection, or other location where indicated. Language in the City Code not appearing in this ordinance continues in full force and effect unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. Sections of this ordinance that amend the City Code to add new sections or subsections are generally not underlined.

Section 4. The unconstitutionality or invalidity of any word, sentence, or portion of this ordinance shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions.

Section 5. In the event this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective upon the expiration of the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which case the ordinance shall become effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

Approved as to form and content:

________________________
City Attorney (designee)

00325641
TO: The Honorable Chair Darden Rice, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: Third party-initiated Historic Landmark Designation of the Frank Broadfield House, located at 956 39th Avenue North (City File HPC 17-90300002).

An analysis of the request is provided in the attached Staff Report.

REQUEST: The request is to list the Frank Broadfield House as a local historic landmark in the St. Petersburg Register of Historic Places.

RECOMMENDATION:

Administration: Administration recommends approval.

Community Planning and Preservation Commission: On May 9, 2017, the Community Planning and Preservation Commission held a public hearing on this matter and voted unanimously 7-0 to approve the request.

City Council: On June 1, 2017, City Council is scheduled to conduct a first reading of the proposed ordinance.

Recommended City Council Action: 1) CONDUCT the second reading and final public hearing of the attached proposed ordinance; AND 2) APPROVE the proposed ordinance.

Attachments: Ordinance, CPPC Draft Minutes, Staff Report to the CPPC, Designation Application
ORDINANCE NO. ____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, DESIGNATING THE FRANK BRODFIELD HOUSE (LOCATED AT 95630TH AVENUE NORTH) AS A LOCAL HISTORIC LANDMARK AND ADDING THE PROPERTY TO THE ST. PETERSBURG REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES PURSUANT TO SECTION 16.30.070. CITY CODE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

SECTION 1. The City Council finds that the Frank Broadfield House, which is recognized for its significance resulting from its design and construction by St. Petersburg developer Cade Bush Allen, meets at least one of the nine criteria listed in Section 16.30.070.2.5.D, City Code, for designating historic properties. More specifically, the Burnside House meets the following criteria:

(d) It is identified as the work of a master builder, designer, or architect whose individual work has influenced the development of the City, state, or nation;
(e) Its value as a building is recognized for the quality of its architecture, and it retains sufficient elements showing its architectural significance; and
(f) It has distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, method of construction, or use of indigenous materials.

SECTION 2. The City Council finds that the Frank Broadfield House meets at least one of the seven factors of integrity listed in Section 16.30.070.2.5.D, City Code, for designating historic properties. More specifically, the property meets the following factors of integrity:

(a) Location. The place where the historic property was constructed or the place where the historic event occurred;
(b) Design. The combination of elements that create the form, plan, space, structure, and style of a property;
(c) Setting. The physical environment of a historic property;
(d) Materials. The physical elements that were combined or deposited during a particular period of time and in a particular pattern or configuration to form a historic property;
(e) Workmanship. The physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or people during any given period in history or prehistory;
(f) Feeling. The property's expression of the aesthetic or historic sense of a particular period of time, and
(g) Association. The direct link between an important historic event or person and a historic property.

SECTION 3. The Frank Broadfield House, located upon the following described property, is hereby designated as a local landmark, and shall be added to the St. Petersburg Register of Historic Places, a list of designated landmarks, landmark sites, and historic and thematic districts which is maintained in the office of the City Clerk:

Designation Boundary
The official boundary of the local landmark designation shall encompass the entire parcel, generally described as Allendale Terrace Block C Lot 8 and Westerly 1/8 of Lot 9 and Lot 7 Less Westerly 15 Feet

SECTION 4. In the event this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective after the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which case the ordinance shall take effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

Approved: as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (or Designee) 5/11/17

Planning and Economic Development Department 5-5-17
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG
COMMUNITY PLANNING & PRESERVATION COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING
May 9, 2017

QUASI-JUDICIAL PUBLIC HEARING

D. City File HPC 17-90300002

Request: Local Landmark designation of the Broadfield House located at 956 39th Avenue North.

Staff Presentation
Laura Duvekot gave a PowerPoint presentation based on the staff report.

Applicant Presentation
Owner/applicant was not present.

Public Hearing
Howard Hansen, 3810 20th Ave N and representing St. Petersburg Preservation, spoke in favor of the request.

Executive Session
Commissioners Rogo and Michaels commended the owner for initiating this application.

MOTION: Commissioner Rogo moved and Commissioner Michaels seconded a motion approving the local landmark designation of the Broadfield House in accordance with the staff report.

VOTE:
YES – Bell, Burke, Michaels, Reese, Rogo, Wolf, Carter
NO – None

Motion passed by a vote of 7 to 0.
STAFF REPORT

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION

REQUEST FOR LISTING IN THE ST. PETERSBURG REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES

For public hearing and recommendation to City Council on May 9, 2017 beginning at 3:00 P.M., Council Chambers, City Hall, 175 Fifth Street North, St. Petersburg, Florida

According to Planning and Economic Development Department records, no commission member resides or has a place of business within 2,000 feet of the subject property. All other possible conflicts should be declared upon the announcement of the item.

HPC 17-90300002
956 39th Avenue North, St. Petersburg, FL 33703-4520
Frank Broadfield House
Mary Jane McPherson & Michael Ford
Mary Jane McPherson & Michael Ford
Designation of the Frank Broadfield House as a local historic landmark to be listed in the St. Petersburg Register of Historic Places
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OVERVIEW

On March 21, 2017, a local historic landmark designation application was submitted for the Frank Broadfield House at 965 39th Avenue North ("the subject property") by Mary Jane McPherson and Michael Ford, the property's owners. Following an analysis of the subject property, its contextual history, and extant conditions, staff concurs that the subject property is eligible for inclusion in the St. Petersburg Register of Historic Places as a local historic landmark.

STAFF FINDINGS

In St. Petersburg, such eligibility is determined based on evaluations of age, context, and integrity under a two-part test as found in Section 16.30.070.2.5(D) of the City Code. Under the first test, historic documentation demonstrates that the Frank Broadfield House was constructed approximately 86 years ago, surpassing the minimum required age of 50. Further, staff finds that the subject property satisfies criteria D, E, and F. Under the second test, staff finds that all of the seven factors of integrity are met.

Historic Significance and Satisfaction of Eligibility Criteria

The first portion of the two-part test to determine eligibility for the St. Petersburg Register of Historic Places examines a resource's historic significance with relation to nine criteria. One or more of these criteria must be met in order for a property to qualify for designation as an individual landmark or district to be placed in the St. Petersburg Register. The nine criteria are based on the National Park Service's criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, and are designed to assess resources' importance in a given historic context with objectivity and comprehensiveness. In the case of the Frank Broadfield House, staff has determined that the property satisfies the St. Petersburg Register criteria as follows.

| Is at least one of the following criteria for eligibility met? |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I |
| - | - | - | Yes | Yes | Yes | - | - | - |

D) It is identified as the work of a master builder, designer, or architect whose individual work has influenced the development of the City, state, or nation;

E) Its value as a building is recognized for the quality of its architecture, and it retains sufficient elements showing its architectural significance; and

F) It has distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, method of construction, or use of indigenous materials;

The Frank Broadfield House is significant in the area of architecture as an incredibly intact example of the work of Cade Allen, a St. Petersburg builder who aimed to construct "The Highest High-Grade Development in St. Petersburg." Allen advertised the Broadfield House as a place of enduring beauty:
"Home and Mother – two words that always strike a responsive chord in the hearts of men. This is a place where mother would love to be at home. Bring her to see this beautiful house of enduring stone and see her face brighten as she views the modern conveniences offered in this wonderful home. There is real value in this home and a great deal of satisfaction in its beauty and permanence. In buying a house for a home one should always, in considering the cost take into consideration the upkeep and the resale value over a period of time. You are always welcome in Allendale Terrace and will not be embarrassed in any way."

The Broadfield House, like its neighbors throughout Allendale, was constructed after the frenzied boom years of the 1920s had subsided. Although it is hardly lacking in grandeur, the Broadfield House’s design is distinct from that of the ornately-detailed Mediterranean Revival homes that are often most closely associated with high-quality architecture in pre-World War II St. Petersburg. Instead of aiming to portray Florida as an escape, a frontier, or an American Riviera, the Broadfield House, and the words that Allen chose to market it, reference both a sense of nostalgia for traditional American values, and an attempt to incorporate new technologies into domestic architecture. The house blends hollow tile construction – very much in vogue during the 1920s and 1930s – with details reminiscent of an English Cottage-style house, such as its truncated gable ends and gently curving, almost whimsical, fascia. Perhaps the most modern aspect of its design, the integral garage, is neatly tucked behind the house, rather than being featured as a prominent element of its design. This combination of new and old, this wholesome approach to modernity, reflects both the optimism of Florida’s land booms and the anxieties brought by the following busts.

It is difficult to classify the Broadfield House with a singular architectural style, as Allen drew from a number of styles, his approach to architectural eclecticism has become iconic to St. Petersburg in its own rite. Homes constructed in his Allendale subdivision were required to have tile or masonry structures and stone or stucco exteriors. His style was practical yet grand, playful yet solid. The Broadfield House speaks to the English Cottage style with details mentioned above, but does not ignore the popularity of Mediterranean Revival details, with its flat-roofed sleeping porch complete with clay tile pipe vents. Though he did not practice within the confines of a defined academic style, Cade Allen’s homes have, indeed, achieved the timelessness that he advertised: it is likely that many residents of St. Petersburg would be able to identify the Broadfield House as an Allen design, even if not by name.

Historic Integrity

Under the second part of the two-part assessment of eligibility for designation as a historic landscape, staff finds that the Frank Broadfield House retains integrity in all seven of seven given criteria, surpassing the requirement of one or more.

---

Is at least one of the following factors of integrity met?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Workmanship</th>
<th>Feeling*</th>
<th>Association*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Must be present in addition to at least one other factor.

Location
The Broadfield House has not been moved.

Design
With the exception of several relatively small additions the Broadfield House retains its historic footprint. It further retains the historic fenestration pattern, roofline, and stone exterior surface that so strongly define its unique aesthetic.

Setting
The Broadfield House is located at the core of an incredibly high and intact concentration of homes designed and constructed by Cade Allen as part of the establishment of the Allendale subdivision.

Materials and Workmanship
The Broadfield House’s stone veneer and historic wood windows appear to be in remarkably good condition, due in no small part to the applicants, who purchased the subject property in 2016 and have begun an extensive rehabilitation project.

Feeling and Association
As noted in the discussion of significance above, the Broadfield House has retained its distinct appearance and serves as a reminder of Cade Allen’s unique contribution to St. Petersburg’s architectural heritage.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

Narrative Description
The subject property is a one and one-half story single-family house with an irregular footprint. Its primary roof structure is gabled, with the two-story portion of the building creating a cross-gabled, T form, off of which several flat-roofed one-story portions branch. The gable ends are slightly truncated and feature boxed cornices with rounded fascia boards and louvered gable vents. Together, these elements of the roof system frame the gable end and add an almost storybook quality to the house. The gabled portions of the roof are clad in composition shingles; the flat portions are surfaced with built-up materials.

The most distinguishable feature of the Broadfield House, like many of Cade Allen’s designs, is the distinct appearance of its exterior treatment. In the subject property’s case, this exterior is clad with a veneer of cut Alabama Sandstone applied in a random ashlar pattern with beaded,
buff-colored mortar. The shade of the sandstone varies from very light shades of off-white, to bright shades of camel, to deep chocolate browns. This exterior surface is a veneer applied over hollow clay tile, a method of construction that is commonly found in Cade Allen’s homes. The stone is continuous and extends smoothly from the ground to the roofline, with regularly-spaced rectangular vent cut outs at the foundation.

The windows are double-hung wood sash, with four- or six-over-one light configurations. Those at the ground level and at the second floor of the gable ends have frames topped with segmental arches; these arches are mirrored in the pattern of the stone above. The windows are placed alone or assembled into paired, tripartite, or ribbon configurations and vary somewhat in size. All feature stone sills.

The front entrance, a single-action wooden door, is approached by a series of four stone steps. A shed roof projection extends from the main body of the house to highlight the entrance; instead of creating a flat edge above the door, it curves upward slightly to create a broad, rounded pediment. This entrance is approached by a hexagonal concrete block walkway extending to 39th Avenue North.

A stone chimney extends from the western gable end. From the west side of the façade (north elevation) extends a small, flat-roofed one-story sunroom with a low parapet. Cylindrical clay-tile pipes are evenly spaced above the windows of this sunroom, serving as drainage for the flat roof. A straight driveway stretches from 39th Avenue North, past this sunroom, and beneath a flat-roofed, stuccoed carport to the garage at the south elevation, which is another one-story flat-roofed projection of the building’s two-story core.

Although interiors are not reviewed as part of local landmark designation, it is worth noting the high degree of integrity that has been maintained at the subject property, including curved interior corners and molding, and original floor finishes.
Historical Context

The following historical context was prepared by staff Historic Preservationist Kimberly Hinder as supporting documentation for the designation of the Cade Allen Residence (HPC Case No. 14-90300001) as a local historic landmark in 2014.

St. Petersburg originated with the purchase of land by John C. Williams in 1876 and the arrival of the Orange Belt Railroad in 1888. Orange Belt owner, Peter Demens, built the narrow gauge railroad to connect to land situated on the eastern edge of the Pinellas peninsula owned by John C. Williams. The first train arrived in June 1888 to a settlement with little more than a store and a few residences. Demens and Williams collaborated in their plans to build a new community around the terminus of the railroad, complete with a park, depot, and hotel. In exchange for naming the city after Demens’ birthplace, St. Petersburg, Russia, the hotel was named after Williams’ hometown, Detroit, Michigan. Prepared by Engineer A.L. Hunt and Draftsman G.A. Miller in August 1888, the Map of the Town of St. Petersburg was officially filed in April 1889 and revised in October 1889.²

Utilizing Dr. Van Bibber’s endorsement of the Pinellas peninsula as the perfect location for a “Health City” at the 1885 annual convention of the American Medical Association, efforts to promote settlement gained momentum. The Orange Belt Railway offered seaside excursions to St. Petersburg in 1889. These excursions were one of the first concentrated efforts by the community and the development company to attract tourists.³

Residents and developers seized the opportunity for publicity. Frank Davis, a prominent publisher from Philadelphia who arrived in Florida to alleviate his own health problems, utilized Van Bibber’s endorsement to heavily promote the benefits of St. Petersburg. Davis, along with other new residents including St. Petersburg Times editor William Straub and St. Petersburg Evening Independent editor Lew Brown, tirelessly promoted the community during the late 1800s and early 1900s.⁴ By 1890, the population grew from less than 50 prior to the arrival of the railroad to 273 residents with two hotels, two ice plants, two churches, a school, a pier, and a sawmill to

³ Arsenault, Florida Dream, 62; Grismer, The Story of St. Petersburg, 70, 97, 111.
⁴ Arsenault, Florida Dream, 82-85.
serve the community. Following the incorporation of the city in 1892, utility services, including telephone, electric service, and public water, were introduced in the community. A severe freeze which destroyed the citrus groves throughout north and central Florida during the winter of 1894-95 prompted many farmers to relocate to coastal areas, such as St. Petersburg, which did not experience a freeze as severe. Although the economy remained largely dependent on commercial fishing, tourism from winter visitors quickly grew in importance. During the early 1900s, the creation of St. Petersburg’s waterfront park system, the incorporation of a trolley system, and the construction of the Electric Pier drew additional tourists and new residents to the area.\(^5\)

Largely through the efforts of city boosters to attract businesses and residents, developers such as C. Perry Snell, H. Walter Fuller, Noel Mitchell, Charles Hall, and Charles Roser, triggered the city’s first real estate land boom from 1909 to the start of World War I.\(^6\) Promotional efforts by the Atlantic Coast Line railroad (created in 1902 from the former Orange Belt Railroad and Henry Plant’s South Florida Railroad) brought organized tourist trains from New York in 1909 and from the Midwest in 1913. Many of these tourists continued to winter in the city with some even relocating to St. Petersburg.\(^7\)

Cade Allen came to St. Petersburg during the city’s first boom in 1911, later citing the promotional literature touting Dr. Van Bibber’s paper and the health benefits of the Pinellas peninsula as the enticement for relocation. A native of Lownanville, New York, Allen’s father passed away just after he completed the eighth grade, prompting him to go to work to help support the family. He became a brick mason, transitioning into building construction, and eventually real estate. He acquired land with high quality clay for brick manufacturing, selling the land to his brother-in-law, who developed the Binghamton Brick Yard in Binghamton, New York. With the proceeds, Allen and his mother, Jennie Vining Allen, came to St. Petersburg in 1911. Allen quickly established a real estate business utilizing penny postcards to advertise the business. Cade Allen, “The Man with the Bargains,” opened an office at 510 Central Avenue, where the Plaza Theater would soon be built. He was one of many new agents in the growing city. In 1912, real estate agents met at the Ridgely Real Estate Company office to form the St. Petersburg Board of

\(^5\) Arsenault, *Florida Dream*, 52-64, 81-82, 87-89.
\(^6\) Arsenault, *Florida Dream*, 136.
\(^7\) Arsenault, *Florida Dream*, 37, 144-145.
Realtors. By 1914, approximately 83 real estate companies operated in the city, capitalizing on the doubling of the local population during the winter season. These winter residents even formed tourist societies organized by state or region of origin which acted as booster clubs in their native states.⁸

In 1912, Allen married his longtime Binghamton sweetheart, Eva Bennett, and brought her, along with his sister and brother-in-law, Floyd Riggs, to St. Petersburg. Allen continued his real estate business with his brother-in-law, opening an office at 73 7th Avenue North. In 1913, Allen built his first house in St. Petersburg for his family at present-day 145 7th Avenue Northeast, where he lived until 1916. In that year, he started purchasing land in Snell & Hamlett’s Crescent Lake Subdivision. One of the lots included the house at 1492 4th Street North, which he remodeled and moved into with his family.⁹

The Crescent Lake Subdivision was one of the developments spearheaded by C. Perry Snell. Snell, who had arrived in St. Petersburg in 1904, proceeded to develop St. Petersburg’s earliest subdivisions including Bay Shore, North Shore, Lake Side, and Crescent Lake in conjunction with various partners. J.C. Hamlett, with whom he formed Snell and Hamlett Real Estate Company, purchased Crescent Lake, the land immediately surrounding it, and the area to the east of the lake in 1910. The land was transferred into the holdings of the company and subdivided into the Crescent Lake Addition. The new subdivision, filed in 1911, extended from 12th Avenue to 22nd Avenue North and from 4th Street to 7th Street North and included the area which would become Crescent Lake Park.¹⁰

In spite of a number of successful projects, the increasingly risky ventures and business interests in other cities led Hamlett to dissolve the partnership in 1916 and transfer his interests to Snell over the following three years. Cade Allen assembled a section of this land roughly located between 13th and 17th Avenues and 4th and 5th Streets North. While still operating his real estate office, the decline in the market due to the onset of World War I led Allen to open a truck farm and dairy on his newly acquired land east of Crescent Lake.¹¹

The City’s administration started to formally encourage tourism with promotional campaigns following the election of Al Lang as mayor in 1916. Lang was elected after he arranged to bring the Philadelphia Phillies to the city for spring training. Under his leadership, the City publicly encouraged tourism and made efforts to improve the physical appearance of the city mandating that all of the benches in the city be painted green.¹² Although the land boom collapsed during

---


¹² Arsenault, *Florida Dream*, 143-146.
World War I, the development created a pattern for the future growth of the city. During the 1910s, the city’s population grew from 4,127 in 1910 to 14,237 in 1920.\textsuperscript{13}

Although World War I limited tourism, St. Petersburg quickly rebounded following the war with the winter season of 1918-1919 more profitable than before the war. Thanks in part to the efforts of John Lodwick, publicity agent for the Chamber of Commerce and the City of St. Petersburg, the hotels and boarding houses were filled to capacity during the season.\textsuperscript{14}

The construction of a national, state, and local road system opened St. Petersburg to an increasing number of middle-class vacationers as well as a new type of vacationer known as “tin-can tourists.” This type of vacationer typically came by car and generally favored campgrounds to hotels. The city’s shortage of hotel rooms led to the 1920 creation of Tent City, a municipal campground for the “tin-can tourists.” This new type of tourist threatened the city’s established hotel industry and was not the class of visitor the leaders of the city were interested in attracting.\textsuperscript{15} With only five hotels providing fewer than 500 hotel rooms at the start of the boom, city leaders were encouraged by the construction of mid-sized hotels, such as the Alexander Hotel, the Mari-Jean, and the Hotel Cordova, and several large hotels, including the Princess Martha, Pennsylvania Hotel, and Vinoy Park Hotel, during the boom.\textsuperscript{16}

The lack of hotel space and the booming economy during the late 1910s and early 1920s prompted the conversion of a number of private residences immediately north of downtown into boarding houses, apartment buildings, or small hotels. Many owners in this once residential neighborhood north of downtown recognized the inevitable growth of the central business district and built new houses farther north of downtown in the newly opened residential sections now known as the Old Northeast, Round Lake, Uptown, Euclid/St. Paul, and Crescent Lake neighborhoods.\textsuperscript{17}

In 1919, Snell, who was a strong supporter of a public park system, convinced the City to purchase Crescent Lake and the surrounding 26 acres for a public park. Although the $30,000 would be paid in installments through 1928, the City started improvements to the park immediately including clearing brush around the lake, improving drainage, creating a municipal nursery, and installing a baseball field in 1925. Initially known as the Crescent Lake Baseball Park, the field was renamed the Huggins-Stengel Field and served as the training facility for the New York Yankees from 1925 through 1961. The new homes in the subdivision and park amenities drew residents to the Crescent Lake area during the boom. The opening of the Gandy Bridge to Tampa in 1924

\textsuperscript{13} Arsenault, \textit{Florida Dream}, 124, 190.
\textsuperscript{14} Arsenault, \textit{Florida Dream}, 186-189.
\textsuperscript{15} Arsenault, \textit{Florida Dream}, 186-189.
\textsuperscript{16} Arsenault, \textit{Florida Dream}, 201.
\textsuperscript{17} Sanborn Map Company, Sanborn Fire Insurance Map, 1923; Arsenault, \textit{Florida Dream}, 199-200.
further encouraged widespread development and construction extending north of downtown to
the bridge.\textsuperscript{18}

With the creation of Crescent Lake Park and the onset of the Florida Land Boom, Cade Allen
decided to sell his cattle and return to the construction and real estate development field. In
1920, Allen opened a real estate office with Harold Smith at 430 Central Avenue. In 1922, the
men purchased The Foster Grove, 135 acres formerly owned by William L. and Amanda Foster
located along Euclid Boulevard North (renamed 9\textsuperscript{th} Street N. in 1928 and now Dr. M.L. King Jr.
Street N). Euclid Boulevard, labeled as such on Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps as early as 1918,
was the northern extension of 9\textsuperscript{th} Street situated north of 9\textsuperscript{th} Avenue. The original development
of the town had focused on the intersection of Central Avenue and 9\textsuperscript{th} Street, making the street
a major north-south corridor when new development spread north of the city in the late 1910s
and 1920s. By the early 1920s, the streetcar line extended along 9\textsuperscript{th} Street/Euclid Boulevard

![Allendale Terrace plat. PCCC, Plat Book 4, Page 66.](image)

North to 34\textsuperscript{th} Avenue, the southernmost boundary of the Allendale development, before turning
east toward the North Shore development. Cade Allen moved his family into the former Foster
residence just west of Euclid Boulevard and remodeled it (which now has an address of 3650
Foster Hill Drive).\textsuperscript{19}

Allen and Smith retained engineer George F. Young to survey the land and, in 1923, filed the plat
for Allendale Terrace. Extending from present-day 34\textsuperscript{th} to 38\textsuperscript{th} Avenues North and 7\textsuperscript{th} Street to

\textsuperscript{18} Wells, \textit{C. Perry Snell}, 40-41, 58-60; Sanborn, 1923; Arsenault, \textit{Florida Dream}, 199-200.

Haines Road, the subdivision incorporated a five-acre public park and soon featured brick streets, granite curbs, and hexagon block sidewalks. Soon after, Allen bought Smith’s interest in the subdivision, and moved his real estate office to 3649 Haines Road. In 1924, a new plat, Blocks 7-13 of Allendale Terrace Subdivision, was filed for the area north of the original plat extending from 7th Street to Euclid Blvd. and from 38th to 42nd Avenues North. Two years later, in 1926, the final plat, designated as the Northwest Quarter of Allendale Terrace, was filed covering the area from Euclid Boulevard to Haines Road and from 38th to 42nd Avenues North. Like the first plat, the two later plats were drawn by the office of George F. Young, Civil and Landscape Engineers. Deed restrictions limited development to one residence, to cost no less than $10,000, and be constructed in the “Spanish, Grecian, Moorish, Mission, Italian, Colonial or English types of architecture.” Houses had to be built of masonry with at least one room on the second floor or a high ceiling to give the appearance of a second story. As owner of the subdivision, he made all new construction subject to his review and approval of building plans. Apartment buildings and stores were prohibited.

The first new home built in the neighborhood was located at 3410 Euclid Boulevard North. Constructed of coquina rock quarried on Florida’s east coast, the house was an unusual Mediterranean Revival style built of stone, tile, and stucco, a mixture which would characterize most of Allen’s future construction. Across Euclid Boulevard, Allen completed a new house for his family which was located at 3405 Euclid Boulevard North, but the family lived in it for only about a year.

In 1924, Allen initiated construction on another new house for his family located at 3600 Euclid Boulevard North, which is the subject property (now with the address of 3601 Foster Hill Drive). It was the third of six Allendale homes that the Allen family eventually occupied. When constructed, it had six or seven rooms on the first floor and four bedrooms and three baths on the second floor with an attached garage. Living quarters above the garage housed Mr. and Mrs. Warren Hodges and their son, who worked for the Allens. Warren Hodges wrote his name with

20 Allen, A Life Remembered, 38; PCCC, Revised Map, Plat Book 4 - Page 66, Plat Book 7 – Page 18.

21 Allen, A Life Remembered, 38-41.
the date of February 14, 1925 in the concrete driveway, possibly the date that the house was substantially completed. During this period, Cade and Eva Allen, along with five other residents, met in the Allen home and established the Allendale Methodist Episcopal Church. The eighth, and final, child of Cade and Eva Allen was born in the house in November 1926. According to the Allen family, the projecting granite stones at the corners of the house made for an afternoon of fun “mountain climbing” to the second floor porch and roof. This ever present danger to her children prompted Eva Allen to want to relocate. So Cade Allen built another new house at 944 39th Avenue North for his family in 1928, where they lived until 1947. During the 1920s, Allen had built 22 homes as well as the Allendale Methodist Episcopal Church.22

A relatively healthy tourist trade initially kept the local economy afloat following the downturn of the real estate market in 1926 and the devastating hurricanes which damaged south Florida in 1926 and 1928. However, the crash of the stock market in 1929 kept the traveling public at home during the ensuing national depression. A dismal tourist season during the winter of 1929-1930 led to business failures, mortgage foreclosures, and unemployment in the city. Every bank in the city failed and closed by April 1931.23

---

22 Allen, A Life Remembered, 41-46.
23 Arsenault, Florida Dream, 253-255.
Perfection.” The agents reported that several thousand visited during a week-long open house in which the home was presented as a "model of modern building construction and furnishing." With the decline in the real estate market, the house was still on the market the following year but was briefly occupied by auctioneer Victor McLean of McLean-Rector & Scott. The house finally sold at auction in February 1929. Attorney and future judge Mervin Hilton lived in the home in 1930 and 1931.

By 1932, William Halls, Jr. and his wife, Hattie, owned the residence. The New York banker had bought a winter residence at 1028 14th Avenue North in 1927. In 1933 and 1934, their local attorney, Walter Wilson, rented 3600 9th Street North. After William Halls died in 1933, it appears that his widow Hattie Halls owned the house and may have periodically lived in the house or rented it to widow Edith Byron from 1937 through 1945. The house was advertised for sale in 1945.

Federal relief projects helped revive the local economy by the mid-1930s. Local projects included the construction of Bay Pines Veterans' Hospital, an addition to Albert Whitted Airport, Bartlett Park, an addition to Mound Park City Hospital, a beach water system, a new city hall, the construction of the U.S. Coast Guard Air Station near Bayboro Harbor, the North Shore sewer system, a National Guard armory, and a new campus for the St. Petersburg Junior College. By providing these kinds of projects throughout the nation, the New Deal agencies brought partial economic recovery to residents of St. Petersburg as well as other cities. With an improved financial outlook, tourists returned to St. Petersburg during the late-1930s.

During the 1930s, Cade Allen continued to live in and develop the Allendale neighborhood. Unlike many developers who went bust in the decline of the real estate market and Great Depression, Cade Allen managed his development well, installing streets and improvements without encumbering the land. As a result, he retained ownership of the parcels, sold a few, and continued to build during the 1930s even though money was tight. Between 1930 and 1932, Allen completed seven and sold eight homes in Allendale Terrace. At the height of the Depression, however, he only completed and sold two homes from 1932 to 1936. As construction resumed between 1937 and 1940, he built 16 homes.

Due to a doctrinal disagreement, Allen left Allendale Methodist Episcopal Church and joined Central Presbyterian Church, where he built the new Sunday school class rooms in 1938. As his sons finished school, they also joined the business, and the company became Cade B. Allen &

---

26 “Mervin B. Hilton,” St. Petersburg Times, April 3, 1940.
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Sons, Designers and Builders. With the onset of World War II, all five of Cade Allen’s sons joined the military. Due to gasoline rationing and limited building materials, little new construction occurred during the war, but Cade Allen managed to keep his real estate office open.31

Although tourism had rebounded to some extent by 1940, the activation of the military, rationing, and travel restrictions of World War II severely curtailed St. Petersburg’s tourism based economy. Most of the city’s hotels and boarding houses remained empty during the winter of 1941-42. Realizing that the empty rooms could be an asset as military housing, city leaders successfully lobbied the War Department for a military base. The opening of a technical services training center for the Army Air Corps brought over ten thousand soldiers to the city during the summer of 1942. The military leased almost every major hotel and many of the smaller hotels in the city. Only the Suwannee Hotel and some of the smaller hotels and boarding houses were open to civilian use. By the time the training center closed in July 1943, over 100,000 soldiers had visited St. Petersburg. Although the training center closed, the United States Maritime Service Bayboro Harbor Base, which trained merchant seamen, continued to grow, and eventually leased four of the downtown hotels abandoned by the Army Air Corps. Other bases and support facilities throughout the area brought thousands of soldiers to central Florida and the St. Petersburg area.32

The city rapidly demilitarized following the war, and many veterans returned to St. Petersburg. Among those returning, the Allen sons rejoined Cade B. Allen & Sons, which now offered “A Complete Home Building Service.”33 The Great Depression and governmental restrictions during the war led to a housing shortage following World War II. Many hotels and boarding houses were again filled with tourists and new residents awaiting the construction of new homes. In 1957, Cade Allen retired and passed the family business over to his sons. He died in 1959.

Throughout the 1950s and 1960s in St. Petersburg, new houses filled the subdivisions platted during the 1920s, but left vacant by the real estate decline and the Great Depression. As development spread westward, the introduction of shopping centers, including Central Plaza and Tyrone Gardens Shopping Center, and motels along the west coast drew new residents and tourists away from downtown St. Petersburg.34 During the 1960s, downtown and the neighborhoods surrounding the city core entered a period of decline and abandonment. Many of the buildings associated with the early history of the community slowly deteriorated until reinvestment and preservation revived the area during the 1990s.

Subject Property Background

The house at 956 39th Avenue North was constructed by Cade Allen beginning in January of 1931 and purchased by Frank H. Broadfield by mid-1932. Broadfield, born c. 1870, owned and operated
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a farm in Pompey, Onondaga County, New York with his wife Mary and later their adult son Louis, until the 1920s. Although the exact reason and date of Mr. Broadfield’s relocation to St. Petersburg is not presently known, by 1930 he was listed as a widowed resident of 2822 Second Avenue North in St. Petersburg. He was renting the home and lived with Ada C. Pheal, listed as his servant.

When Frank Broadfield became the first permanent resident of the subject property, he was in his early sixties, retired from his New York farming business, and a widower. Although some early residents of the subject property’s vicinity were of retirement age, working professionals and young families also lived in the area. A 1937 report by the Home Owners Loan Corporation lauds Allendale as a successful subdivision that continued to develop during the Depression, due largely to the strict controls placed on development and use by Allen himself. The grandeur of the subject property remains visible to this day, and Broadfield’s retirement years spent there, which lasted into the late 1940s or early 1950s, were undoubtedly coupled with pride of place.

Frank Broadfield appears to have remarried by the early 1950s. According to property records, Mr. and Mrs. Broadfield continued to hire Cade Allen, and later his sons, to update and remodel the subject property into the 1950s. The property was acquired by a new owner between 1952 and 1956, and again in 1966. That year, the new owner, John Bell, expanded the subject property’s footprint with the construction of a flat-roofed, single story, 29 foot by 49 foot addition at the south (rear) elevation. This addition features deeper overhangs at its roofline than other portions of the subject property, and its porch has been enclosed with large, fixed windows. Although the scale and exterior surface treatment of this addition do not replicate the original or historic materials and design of the subject property, the addition’s placement at the rear elevation minimize its impact on the resource’s integrity.

Subsequent owner George Meehan constructed a flat-roofed carport at the west elevation in 1983 and a rear pool and deck in 1987. Like the 1966 addition, these 1980s alterations are non-contributing to the property’s historic significance, but are nonetheless relatively set back from the subject property’s façade, and, therefore do not detract from the historic design.

Primary Character-Defining Features

- Irregular one- and two-story footprint,
- Roof mass of primary cross-gabled two-story core with truncated gable ends and flat-roofed sunroom and garage,
- Boxed cornices with bead board and rounded fascia at gable ends,

---

39 Property card for 956 39th Avenue North. On file, City of St. Petersburg, Florida.
• Entryway with stone steps, single-action wood door, curved pediment, and hexagonal concrete block walkway,
• Continuous cut Alabama sandstone veneer exterior with random ashlar pattern, beaded buff-colored mortar, and rectangular foundation vents,
• Louvered gable vents and cylindrical clay tile pipe drains at flat-roofed portions,
• Stone chimney,
• Double-hung wood windows with four- and six-over-one lights,
• Segmental arches above ground-floor and gable-end windows, and
• Stone window sills.

Alterations
The subject property retains remarkable historic integrity, however, all buildings evolve somewhat over time. As mentioned above, the subject property has been expanded through the additions of a flat-roofed addition to the south elevation and a carport to the west. The gabled portions of the roof, originally clad in slate, have been resurfaced with composition shingles. The landscape has also been altered, through the resurfacing of the driveway with contemporary brick pavers and the addition of a pool and deck. The subject property is able to convey its historic significance despite these minor changes.

PROPERTY OWNER CONSENT AND IMPACT OF DESIGNATION
The proposed local landmark designation was submitted and is supported by the subject property’s owners, Mary Jane McPherson and Michael Ford.

The benefits of designation include increased heritage tourism through the maintenance of the historic character and significance of the city, some relief from the requirements of the Florida Building Code and FEMA regulations, and tax incentives, such as the local ad valorem tax exemption and federal tax credit for qualified rehabilitation projects. The owners intend to take advantage of the ad valorem tax exemption for an upcoming rehabilitation project.

CONSISTENCY WITH ST. PETERSBURG’S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, EXISTING LAND USE PLAN, AND FUTURE LAND USE PLAN
The proposed local historic landmark designation is consistent with the City’s Comprehensive Plan, relating to the protection, use and adaptive reuse of historic buildings. The local landmark designation will not affect the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) or zoning designations, nor will it significantly constrain any existing or future plans for the development of the City. The proposed landmark designation is consistent with the following objectives:

Objective LU10: The historic resources locally designated by the St. Petersburg City Council and Community Planning and Preservation Commission (CPPC) shall be incorporated onto the Land Use Map or map series at the time of original adoption, or through the amendment process, and protected from development and redevelopment activities consistent with the provisions of the Historic Preservation Element and the Historic Preservation Ordinance.
Policy LU10.1: Decisions regarding the designation of historic resources shall be based on the criteria and policies outlined in the Historic Preservation Ordinance and the Historic Preservation Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

Policy HP2.3: The City shall provide technical assistance to applications for designation of historic structures and districts.

Policy HP2.6: Decisions regarding the designation of historic resources shall be based on National Register eligibility criteria and policies outlined in the Historic Preservation Ordinance and the Comprehensive Plan. The City will use the following selection criteria [for city initiated landmark designations] as a guideline for staff recommendations to the CPC and City Council:

- National Register or DOE status
- Prominence/importance related to the City
- Prominence/importance related to the neighborhood
- Degree of threat to the landmark
- Condition of the landmark
- Degree of owner support

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval of the request to designate the Frank Broadfield House, located at 956 39th Avenue North, as a local historic landmark, thereby referring the application to City Council for first and second reading and public hearing.
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Maps of Subject Property
Community Planning and Preservation Commission

956 39th Avenue North

AREA TO BE APPROVED, SHOWN IN

CASE NUMBER
17-90300002
Appendix B

Application
Local Landmark
Designation Application

1. **NAME AND LOCATION OF PROPERTY**

   historic name: **ALLEN DALE TERRACE**
   other names/site number:
   address:
   historic address: **950 39TH AVENUE NORTH SAINT PETERSBURG**
   **NW CORNER OF 39TH AVENUE AND EUCLID BLVD (AKA MLK BLVD)**

2. **PROPERTY OWNER(S) NAME AND ADDRESS**

   name: **MICHAEL FORD AND MARY JANE MCPHERSON**
   street and number: **950 39TH AVENUE NORTH**
   city or town: **SAINT PETERSBURG**
   state: **FLORIDA**
   zip code: **33703**
   phone number (h): **727-510-3140**
   e-mail: **MCPhersonm@jcomsn.com**

3. **NOMINATION PREPARED BY**

   name/title:
   organization:
   street and number: **950 39TH AVENUE NORTH**
   city or town: **SAINT PETERSBURG**
   state: **FLORIDA**
   zip code: **33703**
   phone number (h): **727-773-7749**
   e-mail: **MCPhersonm@jcomsn.com**
   date prepared: **727-670-3140**
   signature: **[Signature]**

4. **BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION**

   Describe boundary line encompassing all man-made and natural resources to be included in designation (general legal description or survey). Attach map delimiting proposed boundary. (Use continuation sheet if necessary)

   See attached survey

5. **GEOGRAPHICAL DATA**

   acreage of property: **.58 acres**
   property identification number:

   **Allendale Terrace 950 39TH AVE N**
   lot 7 less W 15',
   all of lot 8
   and a piece thereof Block 1
Name of Property

2. STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

Criteria for Significance
(mark one or more boxes for the appropriate criteria)

☐ Its value is a significant reminder of the cultural or archaeological heritage of the City, state, or nation.

☐ Its location is the site of a significant local, state, or national event.

☐ It is identified with a person or persons who significantly contributed to the development of the City, state, or nation.

☐ It is identified as the work of a master builder, designer, or architect whose work has influenced the development of the City, state, or nation.

☐ Its value as a building is recognized for the quality of its architecture, and it retains sufficient elements showing its architectural significance.

☐ It has distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style valuable for the study of a period, method of construction, or use of indigenous materials.

☐ Its character is a geographically definable area possessing a significant concentration, or continuity of sites, buildings, objects or structures united in past events or aesthetically by plan or physical development.

☐ Its character is an established and geographically definable neighborhood, united in culture, architectural style or physical plan and development.

☐ It has contributed, or is likely to contribute, information important to the prehistory or history of the City, state, or nation.

Areas of Significance
(see Attachment B for detailed list of categories)

Allendale Terrace

Period of Significance

1929-1930

Significant Person(s)

Cade B. Allen

Cultural Affiliation/Historic Period

Builder

Cade B. Allen

Architect

Narrative Statement of Significance

(Explain the significance of the property as it relates to the above criteria and information on one or more continuation sheets. Include biographical data on significant person(s), builder and architect, if known. Please use parenthetical notations, footnotes or endnotes for citations of work used.)

10. MAJOR BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

Please list bibliographical references
**NAME OF PROPERTY**

Allendale Terrace 9510 39th Avenue N.

Saint Petersburg, FL 33706

---

**FUNCTION OR USE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Functions</th>
<th>Current Functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**DESCRIPTION**

Architectural Classification
(See Appendix A for list)

Materials:
Alabama buff, sandstone

---

**NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION**

On one or more continuation sheets describe the historic and existing condition of the property use conveying the following information: original location and setting; natural features; pre-historic man-made features; subdivision design; description of surrounding buildings, major alterations and present appearance; interior appearance;

---

**NUMBER OF RESOURCES WITHIN PROPERTY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributing</th>
<th>Noncontributing</th>
<th>Resource Type</th>
<th>Contributing resources previously listed on the National Register or Local Register</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Structures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Objects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of multiple property listings:
---
St. Petersburg Local Landmark Designation Application

Name of property **Broadfield House**
A BOUNDARY SURVEY OF: Lot 7, less the West 15.00 feet thereof, all of Lot 8, and Lot 9 less the East 1/2 thereof, Block C, NORTHWEST QUARTER-ALLENDALE TERRACE, as recorded in Plat Book 15, Pages 1-2 of the Public Records of Pinellas County, Florida.

According to the maps prepared by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, this property appears to be located in Flood zone X.
This man C. E. Allen, who spent another 30 years in this community, now ready to leave it, after so many years, is a wealthy ironmonger for 10 years, and he may be a mystery, but the mystery is not there. There must be something about his business, and a great deal in the atmosphere of St. Petersburg.

St. Petersburg Times/Independent – 1931-1932

The above collage of news articles was found among the many items of memorabilia left by Cade B. Allen.
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Miss Ruby Swords
And J. F. Tidwell
Are Married

The marriage of Miss Ruby Swords and J. F. Tidwell was celebrated Saturday at the Church of the Immaculate Conception, the ceremony and reception rehearsal being performed by the Rev. David Devane.

The bride and groom were dressed in white and the wedding party consisted of the bride's brother and sister, and the best man and maid of honor. The wedding was officiated by the Rev. David Devane.

The bride was given away by her father, Mr. Charles Swords, and the groom was given away by his father, Mr. John Tidwell.

The wedding was followed by a reception at the bride's home, where the guests were entertained with a delicious reception and refreshments.

University Women
Hear Miss Kim
At Luncheon

University Women were guests of Miss Kim at a luncheon at the University of Kansas. The luncheon was held in the University Women's Club, and the guests were entertained with a delicious reception and refreshments.

The luncheon was followed by a reception at the woman's home, where the guests were entertained with a delicious reception and refreshments.

Miss Frances
Weds Mr. Trust

Miss Frances was married to Mr. Trust at the Church of the Immaculate Conception. The ceremony was performed by the Rev. David Devane.

The bride was given away by her father, Mr. Charles Swords, and the groom was given away by his father, Mr. John Tidwell.

The wedding was followed by a reception at the bride's home, where the guests were entertained with a delicious reception and refreshments.
Considering the Plan for a Home

By GARRICK H. ALLEN

A FAMILY is the beginning of a step into life, as a place to nurse the lovely, to be the home of the home; an environment to the lovely. It is the beginning of a step into the lovely. It is to be the home of the lovely. It is to be the home of the lovely. It is the beginning of a step into the lovely. It is to be the home of the lovely. It is to be the home of the lovely.

Friends Here Plan Reception

For Dr. Schavo4 of Stamford

FOREIGN MISSIONS

On many occasions missionaries from foreign lands would visit our church and speak to the congregation about their work and mission. Over the years while we eight kids were growing up Mother and Dad would occasionally say something about giving to the missionaries that had spoken. We knew that they had supported missions in Africa, China, Borneo and others around the world. There was no physical evidence of their giving except for some Chinese coins that had been given to them. That is, until granddaughter June McClendon DeHart found, among her mother Rena's belongings, two receipts dated May 15, 1925 from North China Mission of the Methodist Episcopal Church. There was likely other receipts from this mission as well as others but the two receipts is all we have.

Finding the two receipts set us on a quest to learn more about the North China Mission if we could. The search to obtain datum about the mission started with an appointment with Rev. Lisa Degrenia, Senior Pastor, Allendale United Methodist Church. She provided two leads namely Rev. M. McCoy Gibbs at the Methodist Archives and History in Leesburg, Florida and Mr. Elliott Wright on the Board of Global Ministries in New York City.

I wrote to Rev. Gibbs explaining the reason for my inquiry. I included pictures of the receipts and coins. Mr. Gibbs forwarded all the information to Mr. Dale Patterson who is higher up the archives chain,

On January 7, 2008 an e-mail was sent to Mr. Patterson stating that it would be helpful and greatly appreciated if he could decipher the recipients handwriting giving the names of the geographic area or community in which the church buildings were to be built. On January 15 a phone call was made to Mr. Patterson explaining more fully our reasons for wanting information on the North China Mission. He graciously responded with the e-mail and map of China on pages 9 and 10.
NORTH CHINA MISSION OF THE METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH

Formal Acknowledgment of Special Gift

No. 1051

My dear Mr. & Mrs. Allen:

This is to acknowledge the receipt of your gift of $100.00
for the support of Missionary G. W. T. in the District
Center, where the Church services urgently need a new building to worship in.

Very sincerely yours,

Dr. M. Frye

at Peking, China

NORTH CHINA MISSION OF THE METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH

Formal Acknowledgment of Special Gift

No. 1052

My dear Mr. & Mrs. Allen:

This is to acknowledge the receipt of your gift of $100.00
for the support of a New Church in your home city.

The need at this District Church is very
severe, especially in need of a New Church.

Very sincerely yours,

Dr. M. Frye

at Peking, China
Mr. Allen -
It was a pleasure to speak with you. Here is what I have been able to learn.

Your father's gift went to the Yenchow City church in the North China Mission Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church. Like an annual conference in the U.S. mission conferences overseas were composed of ministers and also included the missionaries. The conference was considered a mission conference because it was not yet large enough to generate enough funds to support its pastors directly. At that time there were also a few mission conferences within the U.S. as well. The North China Mission started in 1869. I am attaching a map of China showing the boundaries of the various conferences around the 1920s. Yenchow is not on the map, but it appears to have been close to Taian, which is on the map. I am not sure of the modern spelling, but I believe it is Yenzhou. In looking at several resources I have not been able to confirm its exact location; there are several cities and towns with almost the exact same spelling.

Methodist work actually started in southern China, Foochow conference, in the mid 19th century and then moved north. By the 1920s there appears to be about 50 or so pastors in the conference - all Chinese, and about 6 missionaries who serve mostly as teachers, evangelists or medical missionaries. Yenchow was a large enough town to have the entire district named for it. The North China mission covered two significant provinces, Hopeh and Shantung. Shantung became the scene of heavy fighting between the nationalist and communist Chinese forces and later with the Japanese. In the late 1930s the missionaries evacuated from the area and went to Nanking. Those that stayed behind where interned as were many at Nanking when it fell. During the 1920s there are reports of church growth and a real interest on the part of the people for the church. Many churches, medical missions and even Peking University were started by the members of the North China mission. All of the mission work was closed down by the Japanese and then by the Communists. Peking University still exists but has lost any awareness of its past connection with the church. I am not aware that any other church or institution has continued to today.

I hope this helps a little. Your Dad's gift came at an important time. If you have any further questions please feel free to contact me.
This past January, we were notified of the death of Harold Allen who donated the very first car to Missionary Ministries. At that time, his family told us that they were requesting any monetary donations to be given to us in Harold's memory. Following are some excerpts from the ‘Harold Allen Memorial’ written by his daughter, Stephanie Bower, and read at the memorial service held here in St. Petersburg, January 24th, 2007! These words are a very short summary of Harold’s life.

"Dad was born in St. Petersburg, FL on July 20, 1915" ... "Dad grew up in St. Petersburg. He didn’t graduate from high school because he had a learning disability and struggled with reading. He dropped out of school by the 9th grade. He went to work with Grandpa Allen who owned a construction business" ... "When Dad built something, he always built it to last ... Everything he did, he did with the best of his ability"... "Dad was truly a servant of the Lord. He was a member of First Baptist Church, St. Petersburg, FL for more than 55 years ... If anyone ever needed anything built or repaired at church, they would always go to Dad. I know that he took each job as a privilege to serve his church and God" ... "One of the highlights of Dad’s later life was his time spent at D&D Missionary Homes in St. Petersburg ... Dad loved working on these homes. While working at D&D, and just before moving to Birmingham, Dad donated his car to Missionary Ministries. In fact, his car was the first car donated to them. The cars are used to assist the same missionaries with transportation needs"... "Tom and Lois name all their vehicles and they named Dad’s donated car ‘Stone’ because Stone, one of Dad’s great-grandchildren, was the reason we moved to Birmingham. This program has grown and blessed many people along the way. I know that Dad was proud to be a part of this blessing to many missionaries."

Tom adds the following: “Harold lived out the scripture from Galatians 6:10 which is also one of the founding scriptures of Missionary Ministries. ‘As we have opportunity, let us do good to all people, especially to those who belong to the family of believers’. Harold Allen truly showed his faith by his deeds. He was a great mentor to me personally and a special gift from God to strengthen and encourage me. He will always have a very special place in my life.”

Although Harold has passed on to be with his Lord, his memory will continue to live on. We have been honored to establish the ‘Harold Allen Memorial Fund’, and we praise God that He has already blessed us with $6000.00 for this purpose. Once this fund reaches the $10,000.00 needed, we will then purchase, in memory of Harold, a vehicle similar to the one pictured here. We are grateful to you, Harold’s family, for your love and kindness and making this possible for our ministry.
FIRST SUPPORTING CHURCH...

Victory Church of the Nazarene held a mission month this October featuring Missionary Ministries. We were able to present the ministry for 10 minutes in both morning services each week as well as take the entire service on 2 of the Sunday evenings. It was a real success and a real challenge to us. The pastor had requested ministry brochures be inserted into all bulletins, so the completion of this brochure was another first for us. From this church, we have received prayer support, vehicle donations, technical, moral and financial support. In this picture, Tom is presenting a ‘Certificate of Appreciation’ to Pastor Rocky Hambrick.

THANK ‘YOU’ FOR AFFECTING THE WORLD

“We really appreciate your hearts and vision to provide this service. There are others who do something similar, but only for missionaries from their own denomination. We are very grateful!” … Germany

“I just wanted to say how much we have appreciated … your personal ministry of wheels for missionaries. Again, your ministry & friendship is much appreciated. The Lord bless.” … Papua New Guinea

“Having Tom pick me up and drop me off at the airport is a HUGE BLESSING every time he has done so. Your ministry is incredible. Thanks so very much.” … Chile

MISSIONARY MINISTRIES’ MEDIA DEBUT...

During the months of May and June, Tom was privileged to appear on a local television program, ‘Life As a Christian’. Tom was given opportunity to speak for almost the entire half hour each time, and then each program aired 3 days a week on two cable networks for the entire month. Tom was able to present the ministry as well as encourage those watching with God’s winning combination for their lives. DVDs and videos of this program were made and are now in other countries! Isn’t God amazing???

EMAIL PRAYER MINISTRY

Missionary Ministries has over 60 people praying daily for the needs of this ministry. Emails are sent out regularly to all who wish to support the ministry in prayer and, we know without a doubt, that this is the backbone of this ministry. It is prayer that moves the hand of God and we rely heavily on our prayer partners and are grateful for each one of them! A special thank you to all who have partnered with us in this way. Without you, we could not do it!

SHARING OUR MINISTRY NEEDS:

- New prayer partners to join our prayer ministry
- Technical help for the website and office work
- An individual to help with day to day preparation and maintenance of vehicles
- Replacement and repairs of various vehicles
- Donations of newer cars/vans or finances to purchase the same
- Individuals and churches who will provide monthly financial support

CONTACT INFO; Missionary Ministries, 4020 58th Ave. N., St. Petersburg, FL 33714  missionsryministries@juno.com

OFFICE WORK

Although her computer skills are being stretched and she is still very much in the process of learning, Lois enjoys tackling the varied aspects of this end of the ministry. Some of these include responding to the numerous requests for vehicles, writing newsletters and creating documents. Her favorite part is our email prayer ministry! Lois is pictured here doing the print job on our very first brochure!

MERRY CHRISTMAS TO YOU!

Again, we have reached the time of year when we celebrate the birth of our Lord Jesus Christ. Due to the many happenings of this year, Tom and I will be unable to send out personal Christmas greetings and wishes. As a result, we want to take this opportunity to do two things. Firstly, we wish each and every one of you God’s richest blessings now and throughout the coming year. May you truly have a blessed Christmas season! And also, because He is the Reason for this season, we say ‘Happy Birthday Jesus!’
Grandpa Allen's Desire to the 3rd and 4th Generation
My Call and Ministry
Raymond C. Allen

When my parents, Harold and Marjorie Allen, dedicated themselves to bring me up in a Christian home they also dedicated me to the ministry -- they never told me that they had done this -- there was never any pressure about Christian Ministry.

One Christmas, when Carolyn, Michelle, Stephen and I were visiting in Florida we sat in on a Bible Study my parents hosted -- in the after study conversation I overheard my father say that he and mom had dedicated me to the ministry. I asked him why they had not told me. His reply, "We dedicated you to the Lord and the ministry, it was up to Him to call you."

God did call me. One day when our church youth group was at Passagrille beach I went up to the car to get something and God spoke to my heart and said, "Will you serve Me?" I thought if you were going to serve God you had only five options - 1. Be a pastor; 2. be a missionary; 3. be a full-time youth minister; 4. a music minister; 5. or an educational director - I did not want to do any of those things; I wanted to be a lawyer. I ran from God's call for seven years until I got myself expelled from Bob Jones University. At that time I repented of my rebellion and many sins and God forgave me. He reissued His original call and I submitted my life to whatever He wanted me to do.

Each summer our family spent a week at Mountain Home, NC visiting with Grandma and Grandpa Allen and then attending Music Week at Ridgecrest, NC. One day I did something that displeased Grandpa Allen and he said to me, "Raymond, you're the Black Sheep of this family." I knew now that he was trying to challenge me to prove him wrong but as I was running from God I lived up to that label for a long time. It is a sorrow to me that I did not learn from my Grandfather.

I have often told the congregations I ministered to that we all need to be careful what we say to our children, grandchildren, and anyone else we have influence over. We can push them in the wrong direction. It was a good lesson for me to learn.

After leaving Bob Jones and working for the St. Pete Times for a year I was accepted at Toccoa Falls College in Toccoa, GA. It was there that I met (in 1967) and married Carolyn Shiflett, January 20, 1968. Carolyn graduated from Toccoa Falls and Georgia Baptist Nursing School in Atlanta. Michelle was born in Atlanta (November 18, 1968) before I graduated from Toccoa Falls with a degree in Bible and Theology. It was then that First Baptist Church of St. Petersburg, FL ordained me to the Gospel Ministry.

The Lord closed the door to Southwestern Seminary in Ft. Worth, TX where I wanted to go and sent us to Gordon-Conwell Theological Seminary in So. Hamilton, MA. It was while Carolyn and I served as Youth Directors with the Salvation Army that we met Ms. Edith Lawrence, the Church Extension Director for the Baptist General Association of New England, SBC. She asked us if we would like to try and start a mission church in the Lawrence, MA area. We said yes!

Edith also told us about New Colony Baptist Church in Billerica, MA, about 15 miles from our home, where we began to attend on Sunday nights. I was serving at the Post Chapel at Fort Devens Army Base, Ayer, MA, as the Educational Director on Sunday mornings. Shortly after my contract ended at Fort Devens the pastor of New Colony resigned. The Deacons asked Carolyn and I if we would serve the Church as
their Interim Pastor. We pastored for eighteen months. The church grew and we broke ground, built, and dedicated their first Church building.

Just before I graduated from Gordon-Conwell in 1972 with a Masters degree in Religious Education, Carolyn and I began a mission church in No. Andover, MA – Judson Memorial Baptist Church. We did not have anyone to lead music so I played the piano for the hymns, the offertory and the invitation hymn (Music Director) as well as preached the sermons. The church constituted and called me as Pastor in 1975 and we began looking for a place to buy or build a new building. Stephen was born in Lawrence, MA, June 27, 1973.

In early 1977, we were still looking for a building. In our separate devotional times, God indicated to Carolyn and me that our ministry was to build congregations, not buildings. We resigned the church and in June 1977 we moved to Carver, MA to begin serving as Church Planting Missionaries for the Home Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention. God allowed us to serve Him on the South Shore and Cape Cod area of Massachusetts for almost seven years. We helped start 6 mission congregations.


Since I “retired” I have had the privilege of serving as the Interim Executive Director for the Greater Boston Baptist Association for 21 months. I am now in my 4th year serving the Massachusetts Baptist Association as their Interim Assocational Missionary. In August 2007 I began serving the Baptist Convention of New England as an employed missionary promoting the Cooperative Program, the Mission giving plan that supports over 5000 missionaries here in America and around the world. I will also help churches with stewardship emphasis’, work with the New England Baptist Foundation and supervise the Convention’s physical property.

As you can see with my bold and underlined words that I have done all five things that I did not want to do when God first called me to serve Him – but it has been, and continues to be, a joy to be part of our God’s plan to reach New England for Christ.

Michelle and her husband, Michael Dean, serve the Greater Boston Baptist Association as the International Coordinators – they minister to under graduates, graduate students, post-docs, 41 foreign consulates and 200 foreign owned businesses in Boston. Granddaughter Lauren (1997) and Grandson Nathanael (2000) are our joy.

And just to show you that God has a sense of humor – our son, Stephen, is a Christian lawyer – and that is not an oxymoron. He is a Deacon in his church and plays his guitar with the church’s worship team in Broken Arrow, OK. Stephen and his wife, Rachel had our new grandson, Caden Brent Allen, on September 26, 2007.

“For we ourselves were also once foolish, disobedient, deceived, serving various lusts and pleasures, living in malice and envy, hateful and hating one another. But when the kindness and the love of God our Savior toward men appeared, not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to His mercy He saved us through the washing of regeneration and renewing of the Holy Spirit, whom He poured out on us abundantly through Jesus Christ our Savior, that having been justified by His grace we should become heirs according to the hope of the eternal life.” Titus 3:3-7 NKJV
Continuing Christian Witness in Descendants of Cade and Eva Allen

Pamela C. Evans

It is my privilege to be the oldest daughter, born to Paul and Esther Chandler. Esther Chandler was the seventh child born to Cade and Eva Allen. Mother and Daddy diligently trained us up in the way we should go, as instructed by God’s Word. We were faithfully in church every time the doors opened. Mama led me to the Lord at the age of five. I trusted Him as my Savior then, and now, nearly 55 years later, I still trust Him today. The Lord was good to allow my sisters and me to be raised in a home with very strict training and rules. We were taught to honor God’s Word and to be obedient. We had much love and laughter in our home, and every day our lives revolved around things of the Lord and how we could serve and honor Him. Being a PK (“Preacher’s Kid”) was a wonderful experience and good training for my future as a pastor’s wife.

We had the joy of visiting with Meme and Papa Allen, both at Mountain Home, NC, and in St. Pete. I still remember how much they loved the Lord, and I was very much aware of their wonderful Christian testimonies. I was a pre-teen when Papa Allen passed away, but memories of his godly influence and his desire to have a good testimony before the Lord greatly impacted my life. I remember his giving his testimony in church one night, recalling how he was convicted about being part of a square dance. He had tears and deep conviction about not living for the Lord, as he should have at that time. I believe that even though his participation in square dancing was before he was saved, he was still ashamed that he had taken part in worldly activities. I remember Meme reading her Bible and praying and talking often of things of the Lord. One time she was visiting us in Memphis, TN, and she found $50 on the ground outside a store. She went back into the store and told the clerks that she had found some money and left a number where she could be reached if someone inquired about it, who could accurately say how much they had lost. No one ever claimed the money. She asked me what I would do with the money if I had found it. I told her I didn’t know. She then said she thought she would give it to missions. Meme was a kind and gracious lady, who never talked about others nor spoke unkindly. She was hard working and diligent, a good and faithful servant of the Lord. She went home to be with the Lord the year that our first daughter was born. We still miss Meme and Papa very much.

I know that my grandparents had the desire for their children and grandchildren to serve the Lord. It is wonderful to see the covenant promises of the Lord evident from generation to generation. The Lord has blessed me with a wonderful Christian husband, Mark Evans, whom I met while we were both students at Bob Jones University. We were married the summer after we graduated; then Mark continued in graduate school, where he received his Master of Divinity degree in 1973. That year he received his degree, was ordained as a minister in the Reformed Presbyterian Church, Evangelical Synod, and became installed as pastor of Augusta Street Presbyterian Church in Greenville, SC. He served as pastor there until 1977. In 1979, he entered the Bible Presbyterian Synod, and became pastor of the Bible Presbyterian Church in Greenville. In 1983, he, three elders, and some other Christian people started the Hope Presbyterian Church, where he continues as pastor. We should be celebrating our 25th year at this church in August 2008. The Lord has blessed us with three wonderful children who have married Christian spouses. They have established Christian homes and are all blessed with precious children, whom they are trying to raise for the Lord. Our family believes that man’s chief end is to glorify God and to enjoy Him forever, as we have been taught in the Shorter Catechism of the Westminster Confession of Faith. I have had great joy in being a pastor’s wife and having the privilege of serving my Savior in our little church here. The Lord has blessed me with opportunities to teach my own children and grandchildren and many other children throughout the years in Sunday School and Vacation Bible School.

Psalm 103:17 & 18 is a special blessing to our family:

But the mercy of the Lord is from everlasting to everlasting upon them that fear Him, and His righteousness unto children’s children; to such as keep His covenant, and to those that remember His commandments to do them.

I am thankful to see the Lord’s faithfulness from generation to generation in the Allen family. May His name be praised and may He receive all the glory.

Pamela Chandler Evans
November 24, 2007
Stephen and Janice Worth — Scotland and England

Because of God’s mercies and the blessing of both growing up in Christian homes, Steve and Janice (Chandler) Worth were given tender hearts for missionary work in their youth. They met each other while at Bob Jones University in a child evangelism class. In the summer of 1969, Steve went to Scotland on a summer mission team where the Lord first stirred his heart toward the spiritual needs of that country. Married in 1970, Steve and Janice sailed for Scotland in the fall of 1971 and lived for a short time in Edinburgh. Then the Lord provided an opportunity for them to settle in Penicuik, a community of 12,000, (now approx. 24,000) southwest of Edinburgh with little evangelical witness. Starting with children’s Bible classes and home Bible studies, by God’s grace they were enabled to see the Free Baptist Church of Penicuik established (now called Penicuik Baptist Church). Meanwhile, the Lord was pleased to enlarge their family with Stephen in 1973, Paul in 1976, and Sarah in 1979. Paul married Hannah Cooke in 2002, and they are expecting a baby in early March 2008.

The congregation consists of all ages, with a number of unconverted attending. Members range in age from teens to the late 70’s, including some men and women whose husbands and wives are unconverted. The Worth’s work with children and young people through various clubs in the church, and endeavor to reach them and their families with the Gospel. They enjoy visiting and ministering in the community and leading Bible studies and Christianity Explored groups, which are evangelistic studies for the non-Christian. An additional outreach of the church is a bookshop that is well stocked with Bibles, Christian literature, greetings cards and gifts and is used by the church and local community.

Great Britain is a country where religious apathy and indifference are pervasive. Church attendance averages no more than 10%, lower in Scotland, which is a stark contrast to nearly every area of the USA. Pray for God’s strengthening of Steve and Janice as they continue in evangelism and move to a new ministry— that of assisting and encouraging new works of Gospel outreach.

After 35 years ministering the gospel in Penicuik, Scotland, Steve and Janice have felt the call to a new chapter of gospel service – in Blackpool, England (population of 155,000)! They will be joining the leadership team at Rehoboth Christian Centre (www.rccblackpool.com), a young 4 year old church plant with the FIEC (Fellowship of Independent Evangelical Churches) (www.fiec.org.uk).

If you broke the church open like a stick of Blackpool rock these are the values you would find running through the centre:

G – God centred life
L – Learning to grow to be like Christ
O – Open arms reaching out to the world
R – Real relationships
Y – Yes to service

For the remaining years the Lord gives them, they would like to work as part of a team, holding up their arms and being of help wherever they can, seeking to encourage believers and to reach the unconverted.

Please pray for them in this new sphere of ministry.

Contact: Rehoboth Christian Centre, Collingwood Avenue, Blackpool, FY3 8RJ.
T: 01253 304210.
E: info@rccblackpool.com

Steve and Janice eph1723@aol.com or mcworthuk@aol.com
Highlights for the year 2007...

FIRST SUPPORTING CHURCH...

Victory Church of the Nazarene held a mission month this October featuring Missionary Ministries. We were able to present the ministry for 10 minutes in both morning services each week as well as take the entire service on 2 of the Sunday evenings. It was a real success and a real challenge to us. The pastor had requested ministry brochures be inserted into all bulletins, so the completion of this brochure was another first for us. From this church, we have received prayer support, vehicle donations, technical, moral and financial support. In this picture, Tom is presenting a ‘Certificate of Appreciation’ to Pastor Rocky Hambrick.

THANK ‘YOU’ FOR AFFECTING THE WORLD

“We really appreciate your hearts and vision to provide this service. There are others who do something similar, but only for missionaries from their own denomination. We are very grateful!” … Germany

“I just wanted to say how much we have appreciated … your personal ministry of wheels for missionaries. Again, your ministry & friendship is much appreciated. The Lord bless.” … Papua New Guinea

“Having Tom pick me up and drop me off at the airport is a HUGE BLESSING every time he has done so. Your ministry is incredible. Thanks so very much.” … Chile

MISSIONARY MINISTRIES’ MEDIA DEBUT...

During the months of May and June, Tom was privileged to appear on a local television program, ‘Life As a Christian’. Tom was given opportunity to speak for almost the entire half hour each time, and then each program aired 3 days a week on two cable networks for the entire month. Tom was able to present the ministry as well as encourage those watching with God’s winning combination for their lives. DVDs and videos of this program were made and are now in other countries! Isn’t God amazing???

EMAIL PRAYER MINISTRY

Missionary Ministries has over 60 people praying daily for the needs of this ministry. Emails are sent out regularly to all who wish to support the ministry in prayer and, we know without a doubt, that this is THE backbone of this ministry. It is prayer that moves the hand of God and we rely heavily on our prayer partners and are grateful for each one of them! A special thank you to all who have partnered with us in this way. Without you, we could not do it!!

SHARING OUR MINISTRY NEEDS:

- New prayer partners to join our prayer ministry
- Technical help for the website and office work
- An individual to help with day to day preparation and maintenance of vehicles
- Replacement and repairs of various vehicles
- Donations of newer cars/vans or finances to purchase the same
- Individuals and churches who will provide monthly financial support

CONTACT INFO: Missionary Ministries, 4020 58th Ave. N., St. Petersburg, FL 33714 missionaryministries@juno.com

OFFICE WORK

Although her computer skills are being stretched and she is still very much in the process of learning, Lois enjoys tackling the varied aspects of this end of the ministry. Some of these include responding to the numerous requests for vehicles, writing newsletters and creating documents. Her favorite part is our email prayer ministry! Lois is pictured here doing the print job on our very first brochure!

MERRY CHRISTMAS TO YOU!

Again, we have reached the time of year when we celebrate the birth of our Lord Jesus Christ. Due to the many happenings of this year, Tom and I will be unable to send out personal Christmas greetings and wishes. As a result, we want to take this opportunity to do two things. Firstly, we wish each and every one of you God’s richest blessings now and throughout the coming year. May you truly have a blessed Christmas season! And also, because He is the Reason for this season, we say ‘Happy Birthday Jesus!’.
Appendix C
Public Comment
No public comment has been received as of May 1, 2017.
Appendix D
Additional Photographs of Subject Property
Figure 1: The Frank Broadfield House, facing southeast

Figure 2: East elevation showing relationship between original house and 1966 addition
Figure 3: Western side of north-facing façade, showing flat-roofed sunroom, stone chimney, and cylindrical clay tile pipe vents.

Figure 4: Detail of boxed cornice, south elevation.
Figure 5: Interior gate at top of stairs

Figure 6: Detail of rounded interior walls
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

Meeting of June 15, 2017

TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: City File: ZM-7: Private-initiated application proposing an amendment to the Official Zoning Map designation for the subject property, an estimated 1.16 acre parcel owned by S.T. Florida Portfolio, LLC, C/O SunTrust Corporation Real Estate, generally located east of 6th Street South and north of 42nd Avenue South.

A detailed analysis of the request is provided in Staff Report ZM-7, attached.

REQUEST: (A) ORDINANCE ____-Z amending the Official Zoning Map designation from CRT-1 (Corridor Residential Traditional) to CCS-1 (Corridor Commercial Suburban), or other less intensive use.

RECOMMENDATION:

Administration: The Administration recommends APPROVAL.

Public Input: No phones calls or correspondence have been received to date.

Neighborhood Input: The subject property is not located within the boundaries of any neighborhood association.

Community Planning & Preservation Commission (CPPC): The CPPC held a public hearing on this matter on May 9, 2017 and voted unanimously 7-0 to approve the request.

Recommended City Council Action: 1) CONDUCT the second reading and quasi-judicial public hearing; AND 2) APPROVE the proposed ordinance.

Attachments: Ordinance, Draft CPPC Minutes, and Staff Report.
ORDINANCE NO. ___-Z

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF PROPERTY GENERALLY LOCATED EAST OF 6TH STREET SOUTH AND NORTH OF 42ND AVENUE SOUTH, FROM CRT-1 (CORRIDOR RESIDENTIAL TRADITIONAL) TO CCS-1 (CORRIDOR COMMERCIAL SUBURBAN); PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING ORDINANCES AND PROVISIONS THEREOF; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

SECTION 1. The Official Zoning Map of the City of St. Petersburg is amended by placing the hereinafter described property in a Zoning District as follows:

Property

LOT 1, BLOCK 1, LAKE MAGGIORE PARK PARTIAL REPLAT OF BLOCK 32 AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 69, PAGE 46 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA, FKA LOTS 3, 4, AND 5, BLK 32 OF LAKE MAGGIORE PARK, REVISED SUBDIVISION AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 7, PAGE 3 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA.

[Containing 50,530 square feet or 1.16 acres, more or less.]

District

From: CRT-1 (CORRIDOR RESIDENTIAL TRADITIONAL)

To: CCS-1 (CORRIDOR COMMERCIAL SUBURBAN)

SECTION 2. All ordinances or portions of ordinances in conflict with or inconsistent with this ordinance are hereby repealed to the extent of such inconsistency or conflict.

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall become effective upon adoption.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND SUBSTANCE: ZM-7 (Zoning)

PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY

5-1-17

5/8/17
QUASI-JUDICIAL PUBLIC HEARING

B. City File ZM-7

Location: The subject property is comprised of three parcels estimated to be 1.16 acres in size, is generally located east of 6th Street South and north of 42nd Avenue South.

Request: To amend the Official Zoning Map designation from CRT-1 (Corridor Residential Traditional-1) to CCS-1 (Corridor Commercial Suburban-1), or other less intensive use.

Staff Presentation

Robyn Keefe gave a PowerPoint presentation based on the staff report.

Applicant Presentation

Katie Cole representing the applicant, Palmetto Capital Group, Inc., gave a presentation in support of the request.

Public Hearing

No speakers present.

Executive Session

Commissioner Wolf stated that it appears that the site is already developed with what was a use about as intense as it can be there with no apparent impact on the surrounding residential areas, so he will vote in favor.

Commissioner Michaels stated that he thinks that the information presented to them today justifies the recommendation and then asked if there has been any contact with the neighboring school or with the neighbors. Ms. Cole stated that because there is no neighborhood association, the only contact has been with the public notice that was mailed, and no phone calls were received after the mailing.

Commissioner Michaels suggested that they reach out to the school due to the volume of mail that they receive to let them know what is being planned for the subject site so they can address any issues that may impact them (e.g. street crossing). Commissioner Michaels went on to say that he feels this particular project could be a boost to uplift the commercial development in the area; he supports the request.

MOTION: Commissioner Rogo moved and Commissioner Bell seconded a motion approving the request in accordance with the staff report.

VOTE: YES – Bell, Burke, Michaels, Reese, Rogo, Wolf, Carter
NO – None

Motion passed by a vote of 7 to 0.
Staff Report to the St. Petersburg Community Planning & Preservation Commission
Prepared by the Planning & Economic Development Department,
Urban Planning and Historic Preservation Division

For Public Hearing and Executive Action on May 9, 2017,
at 3:00 p.m., in City Council Chambers, City Hall,
175 Fifth Street North, St. Petersburg, Florida.

City File: ZM-7
4142 6th Street South

According to Planning & Economic Development Department records, no Community Planning & Preservation Commission member resides or owns property located within 2,000 feet of the subject property. All other possible conflicts should be declared upon announcement of the item.

This request is to amend the Official Zoning Map designation from CRT-1 (Corridor Residential Traditional-1) to CCS-1 (Corridor Commercial Suburban-1); there is no Future Land Use Map amendment associated with this request. The applicant’s desire is to develop the site as a retail store.
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APPLICANT INFORMATION:

APPLICANT / PROPERTY OWNER:

Jeff Lazenby, P.E.
Palmetto Capital Group, Inc.
1643 Williamsburg Square
Lakeland, FL 33803

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE(S):

Scott Lincoln, P.E.
LA Civil, Inc.
P.O. Box 7649
Clearwater, FL 33758

SITE DESCRIPTION:

Street Address: 4142 6th Street South
Parcel ID Number: 06-32-17-48080-001-0010; 06-32-17-48078-032-0020; 06-32-17-48078-032-0010
General Description: East of 6th Street South and North of 42nd Avenue South
Legal Description: See Attachment No. 2
Acreage: 1.16 acres
Zoning, Existing: CRT-1 (Corridor Residential Traditional-1)
Future Land Use: PR-MU (Planned Redevelopment-Mixed Use)
Countywide Plan Map: MMC (Multimodal Corridor)
Existing Use: The subject property is a SunTrust Bank with drive-through. The balance of the property is vacant, 0.35 acres.
Surrounding Uses: North: predominantly single family, with one multifamily building and one retail store abutting 6th Street South; South: mixed-use commercial, including: retail, restaurant, drug store or pharmacy and office, veterinary; East: single family dwellings and social services agency; West: school, public, pre-K through 12th.

ZONING HISTORY:

The present CRT-1 zoning designation has been in place since September 2007, following the implementation of the City’s Vision 2020 Plan, the citywide rezoning, and update of the land development regulations (LDRs).
As stated above, the subject property is estimated to be 1.16 acres or 50,530 square feet in size, and is currently being utilized for a bank with drive-through. The applicant has indicated that the subject property will be utilized in the future for a retail store.

Development potential under the present CRT-1 zoning designation is as follows: 28 multifamily units, calculated at a base density of 24 units per acre; 50,530 square feet of non-residential space, based on a floor-area-ratio (FAR) of 1.0; or a mix of these uses.

Development under the requested CCS-1 zoning designation is as follows: 17 multifamily units, calculated at a base density of 15 units per acre; 27,792 square feet of non-residential space, based on a floor-area-ratio (FAR) of 0.55; or a mix of these uses.

The primary issues associated with this private application are consistency and compatibility of the requested designation with the established land use and zoning patterns and level of service considerations.

**CONSISTENCY AND COMPATIBILITY**

The requested CCS-1 zoning designation is consistent with the existing Planned Redevelopment – Mixed-Use (PR-MU) future land use plan category, therefore the request satisfies Policy LU3.3 of the Comprehensive Plan, which states that "each land use plan category shall have a set of different zoning districts that may be permitted within the land use category, and zoning that is not consistent with the plan category shall not be approved."

The established character of the immediate area includes a mix of commercial and residential uses to the north and commercial uses to the south, with an elementary school to the west and a social service agency embedded in residential properties to the east. The requested CCS-1 zoning is consistent and a natural continuation of the zoning district designation to the south. Therefore, the requested designation is consistent with Policy LU3.6 which states that "land planning should weigh heavily the established character of predominantly developed areas where changes of use or intensity of development are contemplated."

The requested CCS-1 zoning does not create any additional intrusion to the surrounding residential uses and is a natural continuation of the CCS-1 zoning to the South, which contains the large Coquina Key shopping plaza with many similar uses. The existing property use is already commercial in nature. Therefore, the request also satisfies Policy LU3.17, which states "future expansion of commercial uses is encouraged when infilling into existing commercial areas."
LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) IMPACT

The Level of Service (LOS) impact section of this report concludes that the proposed rezoning will not alter the City's population or the population density pattern or have a negative effect upon the adopted LOS standards for public services and facilities including potable water, sanitary sewer, solid waste, traffic, mass transit, recreation, and stormwater management.

SPECIAL NOTE ON CONCURRENCY:

Level of Service impacts are addressed further in this report. Approval of this rezoning request does not guarantee that the subject property will meet the requirements of Concurrency at the time development permits are requested. Completion of this rezoning does not guarantee the right to develop on the subject property. Upon application for site plan review, or development permits, a full concurrency review will be completed to determine whether or not the proposed development may proceed. The property owner will have to comply with all laws and ordinances in effect at the time development permits are requested.

RECOMMENDATION:

City staff recommends APPROVAL of the applicant’s request to amend the Official Zoning Map designation from CRT-1 (Corridor Residential Traditional-1) to CCS-1 (Corridor Commercial Suburban-1), or other less intensive use, on the basis that the proposal is consistent with the goals, objectives and policies of the City’s Comprehensive Plan.

RELEVANT CONSIDERATIONS ON AMENDMENTS TO OFFICIAL ZONING MAP:

a. Compliance of probable use with goals, objectives, policies and guidelines of the City’s Comprehensive Plan.

The following policies and objectives from the Comprehensive Plan are applicable:

LU3.1.(F)(2) Planned Redevelopment – Mixed Use (MU) - allowing mixed use retail, office, service and medium density residential uses not to exceed a floor area ratio of 1.25 and a net residential density of 24 dwelling units per acre.

LU3.5 The tax base will be maintained and improved by encouraging the appropriate use of properties based on their locational characteristics and the goals, objectives and policies within this Comprehensive Plan.

LU3.6 Land use planning decisions shall weigh heavily the established character of predominately developed areas where changes of use or intensity of development are contemplated.
LU3.17 Future expansion of commercial uses is encouraged when infilling into existing commercial areas and activity centers, or where a need can be clearly identified, and where otherwise consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.

LU3.18 All retail and office activities shall be located, designed and regulated so as to benefit from the access afforded by major streets without impairing the efficiency of operation of these streets or lowering the LOS below adopted standards, and with proper facilities for pedestrian convenience and safety.

LU4(2) Commercial – the City shall provide opportunities for additional commercial development where appropriate.

LU5.3 The Concurrency Management System shall continue to be implemented to ensure proposed development to be considered for approval shall be in conformance with existing and planned support facilities and that such facilities and services be available, at the adopted level of service standards, concurrent with the impacts of development.

LU18: Commercial development along the City's major corridors shall be limited to infilling and redevelopment of existing commercially designated frontages.

b. Whether the proposed amendment would impact environmentally sensitive lands or areas which are documented habitat for listed species as defined by the Conservation Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

The proposed amendment will not impact environmentally sensitive lands or areas which are documented habitat for listed species as defined by the Conservation Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

c. Whether the proposed change would alter population or the population density pattern and thereby impact residential dwelling units and or public schools.

The proposed change will not alter population or the population density pattern and thereby impact residential dwelling units and/or public schools. While the zoning will change, both CRT-1 and CCS-1 have a FLUM map category of PR-MU (Planned Redevelopment-Mixed Use) with a total maximum development potential of 24 multifamily units per acre.
d. Impact of the proposed amendment upon the following adopted levels of service (LOS) for public services and facilities including but not limited to: water, sewer, sanitation, traffic, mass transit, recreation, stormwater management.

The following analysis indicates that the proposed change will not have a significant impact on the City's adopted levels of service for potable water, sanitary sewer, solid waste, traffic, mass transit, stormwater management and recreation. Should the requested rezoning for the subject property be approved, the City has sufficient capacity to meet all demands.

**WATER**

Under the existing interlocal agreement with Tampa Bay Water (TBW), the region's local governments are required to project and submit, on or before February 1 of each year, the anticipated water demand for the following water year (October 1 through September 30). TBW is contractually obligated to meet the City's and other member governments' water supply needs. The City's current potable water demand is 28.8 million gallons per day.

The City's adopted level of service (LOS) standard for potable water is 125 gallons per capita per day, while the actual usage is estimated to be 79 gallons per capita per day. Therefore, there is excess water capacity to serve the amendment area.

**WASTEWATER**

The subject property is served by the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility, which presently has excess average day capacity estimated to be 1.29 million gallons per day (MGD). The estimate is based on a permit capacity of 20 MGD and a calendar year 2015 daily average flow of 18.71 MGD. Therefore, there is excess average daily sanitary sewer capacity to serve the amendment area.

**SOLID WASTE**

All solid waste disposal is the responsibility of Pinellas County. The County currently receives and disposes of municipal solid waste, and construction and demolition debris, generated throughout Pinellas County. The Pinellas County Waste-to-Energy Plant and the Bridgeway Acres Sanitary Landfill are the responsibility of Pinellas County Utilities, Department of Solid Waste Operations; however, they are operated and maintained under contract by two private companies. The Waste-to-Energy Plant continues to operate below its design capacity of incinerating 985,500 tons of solid waste per year. The continuation of successful recycling efforts and the efficient operation of the Waste-to-Energy Plant have helped to extend the life span of Bridgeway Acres. The landfill has approximately 30 years remaining, based on current grading and disposal plans.

There is excess solid waste capacity to serve the amendment area.
TRAFFIC

The adopted level of service standard for roadways is "D" in the Comprehensive Plan. 6th Street South is classified as a city collector road and is presently operating at a level of service "C" between 30th Avenue South and 45th Avenue South. Sufficient capacity exists to accommodate the requested change, especially given that the desired and existing uses for the subject property are both commercial.

MASS TRANSIT

The Citywide LOS for mass transit will not be affected. The PSTA has one route that provides local transit service to the subject site: Route 4 has a service frequency of 15 minutes. The LOS standard for mass transit is headways less than one hour.

RECREATION

The City's adopted LOS for recreational acreage, which is 9 acres per 1,000 population, will not be impacted by this proposed rezoning. Under both the existing and proposed zoning, the LOS citywide will remain at 28.1 acres per 1,000 population.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Sufficient capacity exists to accommodate the requested change.

e. Appropriate and adequate land area sufficient for the use and reasonably anticipated operations and expansion.

The land area is both appropriate and adequate for the anticipated use of the subject property.

f. The amount and availability of vacant land or land suitable for redevelopment shown for similar uses in the City or in contiguous areas.

There are approximately 122 acres of vacant land in the City designated with CCS-1 zoning.

g. Whether the proposed change is consistent with the established land use pattern.

The proposed change will permit mixed-use development, which is consistent with the established land use pattern to the north and south of the subject area.

h. Whether the existing district boundaries are logically drawn in relation to existing conditions on the property proposed for change.

The existing CRT-1 zoning district boundary is not illogically drawn in relation to existing conditions.
i. If the proposed amendment involves a change from a residential to a nonresidential use, whether more nonresidential land is needed in the proposed location to provide services or employment to the residents of the City.

Both the current zoning, CRT-1, and the proposed zoning, CCS-1, allow for mixed-use development opportunities (i.e., each allow for some residential, office and commercial uses).

j. Whether the subject property is located within the 100-year flood plain or Coastal High Hazard Area as identified in the Coastal Management Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

According to the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), a portion of the subject property is located within the 100-year flood plain. A portion of the property is also located within the CHHA (Coastal High Hazard Area). Since the maximum residential development potential decreases in this case from 28 units to 17 units, the rezoning is consistent with development constraints imposed by the CHHA designation.

k. Other pertinent information. None.
ATTACHMENT NO. 1

CITY FILE
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City File: ZM-7
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EXISTING ZONING

CITY FILE

ZM-7

SCALE: 1" = 150'

From: CRT-1 (Corridor Residential Tradition-1)  To: CCS-1 (Corridor Commercial Suburban-1)

SUBJECT AREA
FUTURE LAND USE

CITY FILE

ZM-7

SCALE: 1" = 150'

NO FUTURE LAND USE CHANGE

SUBJECT AREA
LEGAL DESCRIPTION (Area to be Rezoned)

Real property in the City of St. Petersburg, County of Pinellas, State of Florida, described as follows:

Lots 1, 2 and 7 in Block 32, Lake Maggiore Park, revised Subdivision as recorded in Plat Book 7, Page 3 of the Public records of Pinellas County, Florida.

And

Lot 1, Block 1, Lake Maggiore Park Partial Replat of Block 32 as recorded in Plat Book 69, Page 46 of the Public Records of Pinellas County, Florida, fka Lots 3, 4, and 5, Blk 32 of Lake Maggiore Park, revised Subdivision as recorded in Plat Book 7, Page 3 of the Public Records of Pinellas County, Florida.
APPLICATION

FUTURE LAND USE PLAN CHANGE
REZONING

NARRATIVE (PAGE 1 of 1)

PROPERTY INFORMATION:

Street Address: 4142 6th Street South
Parcel ID or Tract Number: 06-32-17-48080-001-0010; 06-32-17-48078-032-0020; 06-32-17-48078-032-0010
Square Feet: 50530
Acreage: 1.16
Proposed Legal Description:
(SEE ATTACHED DEED)

Is there any existing contract for sale on the subject property: Yes
If so, list names of all parties to the contract: Palmetto Capital Group, Inc. and S T FLORIDA PORTFOLIO LLC
Is contract conditional or absolute: Conditional re: Rezoning Success

Are there any options to purchase on the subject property: No
Is so, list the names of all parties to option:

REQUEST:
The applicant is of the opinion that this request would be an appropriate land use and/or rezoning for the above described property, and conforms with the Relevant Considerations of the Zoning Ordinance for the following reasons:

The subject site is occupied by a SunTrust Bank with drive-through and has been in existence for 19 years. The property to the South is zoned CCS-1 and has been developed as a large shopping center since 1957, 60 years. The property to the north is zoned CCS-1. The desired zoning classification for the property is CCS-1 providing continuity along the 6th Street corridor.

The Applicant proposes development of the Site as a Discount Store providing a neighborhood retail use for purchase of general convenience & grocery goods. The use will be a low traffic generator and will provide local employment opportunities.
PUBLIC COMMENTS

City staff have not received any phone calls, visitors or correspondence related to this item.
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

Meeting of June 15, 2017

TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: City File: FLUM-44: A private application proposing amendments to the Future Land Use Map and Official Zoning Map designations for the subject property, an estimated 0.41 acre portion of a 1.06 acre parcel owned by Chick-Fil-A Inc., generally located on the southeast corner of 4th Street North and 43rd Avenue North.

A detailed analysis of the request is provided in Staff Report FLUM-44, attached.

REQUEST: (A) ORDINANCE ___-L amending the Future Land Use Map designation from Planned Redevelopment Residential to Planned Redevelopment-Mixed Use.

(B) ORDINANCE ___-Z amending the Official Zoning Map designation from NT-1 (Neighborhood Traditional) to CCS-1 (Corridor Commercial Suburban), or other less intensive use.

(C) RESOLUTION ____ : requesting amendment to the Countywide Plan Map, as described above, to comply with the requirements of Forward Pinellas and Countywide Planning Authority.

RECOMMENDATION:

Administration: The Administration recommends APPROVAL.

Public Input: City staff has received four phone calls regarding the proposed amendment from residents and business owners in the area. They were seeking further clarification of the proposal and were not opposed to the rezoning.

Neighborhood Input: The subject property is located within the boundaries of the North East Park Neighborhood Association. The applicant has reached out to the association and will be providing a presentation in the upcoming weeks. The Planning & Economic Development Department has received no phone calls or correspondence to date.

Community Planning & Preservation Commission (CPPC): On May 9, 2017 the CPPC held a public hearing regarding these amendments, and voted unanimously (7-0) to recommend approval.
Recommended City Council Action:

1) CONDUCT the second reading and public hearing of the attached proposed ordinances; AND

2) ADOPT the ordinance.

Attachments: Ordinances (2) Resolution (1) Draft CPPC minutes (1) and Staff Report.
ORDINANCE NO. ___-Z

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA; BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF THE PROPERTY GENERALLY BOUNDED BY 4TH STREET NORTH, AND 43RD AVENUE NORTH, FROM NT-i (NEIGHBORHOOD TRADITIONAL-i) TO CCS-i (CORRIDOR COMMERCIAL SUBURBAN-i); PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING ORDINANCES AND PROVISIONS THEREOF; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

SECTION 1. The Official Zoning Map of the City of St. Petersburg is amended by placing the hereinafter described property in a Zoning District as follows:

Property

Lots 7, 8, 9 and 10, LAUGHNERS SUBDIVISION, according to the Plat Thereof on file in the Office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court in and for Pinellas County, Florida recorded in Plat Book 5, page 82, said lands situated and being in Pinellas County Florida.

AND:

That portion of the alley between lots 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7, LAUGHNERS SUBDIVISION according to the plat thereof recorded in plat book 5, page 82, public records of Pinellas County, Florida; described as follows:

FROM THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6; RUN THENCE N 89°57'08" E ALONG THE SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF 43RD AVENUE, A DISTANCE OF 118.50 FEET FOR A POINT OF BEGINNING; CONTINUE THENCE N 89°57'08" E ALONG SAID SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 16.00 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 7; THENCE S 00°33'33" E A DISTANCE OF 107.18 FEET TO POINT OF CURVATURE OF A CURVE CONCAVE NORTHEASTERLY HAVING A RADIUS OF 20.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE (AND SOUTHWESTERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID LOT 7) THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 89°29'19" A DISTANCE OF 31.24 FEET TO A POINT OF TANGENCY ON THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 7; THENCE N 89°57'08" E ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 0.50 FEET TO A POINT OF CUSP OF A CURVE CONCAVE SOUTHEASTERLY, HAVING A RADIUS OF 36.00 FEET; FROM A CHORD BEARING S 44°41'48" W, RUN THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 90°30'41" A DISTANCE OF 56.87 FEET TO A POINT OF TANGENCY ON THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 3; THENCE N 00°33'33" W ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOTS 3, 4, 5, AND 6, A DISTANCE OF 163.32 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.
District

From: NT-1 (Neighborhood Traditional-1)

To: CCS-1 (CORRIDOR COMMERCIAL SUBURBAN-1)

SECTION 2. All ordinances or portions of ordinances in conflict with or inconsistent with this ordinance are hereby repealed to the extent of such inconsistency or conflict.

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall become effective upon the date the ordinance adopting the required amendment to the City of St. Petersburg Comprehensive Plan’s Future Land Use Map becomes effective (Ordinance ___-L).

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND SUBSTANCE: FLUM-44

(Zoning)

DATE

5/9/17

PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

DATE

5/11/17

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY
ORDINANCE NO. ___-L

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE FUTURE LAND USE ELEMENT OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA; BY CHANGING THE LAND USE DESIGNATION OF THE PROPERTY GENERALLY BOUNDED BY 4TH STREET NORTH, AND 43RD AVENUE NORTH, FROM PLANNED REDEVELOPMENT-RESIDENTIAL TO PLANNED REDEVELOPMENT-MIXED USE; PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING ORDINANCES AND PROVISIONS THEREOF; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Chapter 163, Florida Statutes, established the Community Planning Act; and

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg Comprehensive Plan and Future Land Use Map are required by law to be consistent with the Countywide Comprehensive Plan and Future Land Use Map and the Pinellas Planning Council is authorized to develop rules to implement the Countywide Future Land Use Map; and

WHEREAS, the St. Petersburg City Council has considered and approved the proposed St. Petersburg land use amendment provided herein as being consistent with the proposed amendment to the Countywide Future Land Use Map which has been initiated by the City; now, therefore

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

SECTION 1. Pursuant to the provisions of the Community Planning Act, as amended, and pursuant to all applicable provisions of law, the Future Land Use Map of the City of St. Petersburg Comprehensive Plan is amended by placing the hereinafter described property in the land use category as follows:

Property

Lots 7, 8, 9 and 10, LAUGHNERS SUBDIVISION, according to the Plat Thereof on file in the Office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court in and for Pinellas County, Florida recorded in Plat Book 5, page 82, said lands situated and being in Pinellas County Florida.

AND:

That portion of the alley between lots 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7, LAUGHNERS SUBDIVISION according to the plat thereof recorded in plat book 5, page 82, public records of Pinellas County, Florida; described as follows:
FROM THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6; RUN THENCE N 83°57'08" E ALONG THE SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF 43RD AVENUE, A DISTANCE OF 118.50 FEET FOR A POINT OF BEGINNING; CONTINUE THENCE N 85°57'08" E ALONG SAID SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 16.00 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 7; THENCE S 00°33'33" E A DISTANCE OF 107.18 FEET TO POINT OF CURVATURE OF A CURVE CONCAVE NORTHEASTERLY HAVING A RADIUS OF 20.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE AND SOUTHWESTERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID LOT 7; THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 89°25'19" A DISTANCE OF 31.24 FEET TO A POINT OF TANGENCY ON THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 7; THENCE N 89°57'08" E ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 0.50 FEET TO A POINT OF CUSP OF A CURVE CONCAVE SOUTHEASTERLY HAVING A RADIUS OF 36.00 FEET; FROM A CHORD BEARING S 44°41'49" W, RUN THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 90°30'41" A DISTANCE OF 56.87 FEET TO A POINT OF TANGENCY ON THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 3; THENCE N 00°33'33" W ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOTS 3, 4, 5, AND 6, A DISTANCE OF 163.32 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Land Use Category

From: Planned Redevelopment-Residential

To: Planned Redevelopment-Mixed Use

SECTION 2. All ordinances or portions of ordinances in conflict with or inconsistent with this ordinance are hereby repealed to the extent of such inconsistency or conflict.

SECTION 3. In the event this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective upon approval of the required Land Use Plan change by the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners (acting in their capacity as the Countywide Planning Authority) and upon issuance of a final order determining this amendment to be in compliance by the Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) or until the Administration Commission issues a final order determining this amendment to be in compliance, pursuant to Section 163.3187, F.S. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective as set forth above.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND SUBSTANCE:

[Signature]

PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

[Signature] 5-9-17

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY

[Signature] 5/11/17

(5-9-17)

FLUM-44

(Land Use)
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-____

A RESOLUTION TRANSMITTING A PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the St. Petersburg City Council has held the requisite public hearing in consideration of a request to amend the Local Government Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the St. Petersburg City Council has considered and approved the proposed St. Petersburg Comprehensive Plan amendment, and determined it to be consistent with the Countywide Future Land Use Plan and Rules.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida:

That the City Council of St. Petersburg does hereby transmit the proposed amendment to the Local Government Comprehensive Plan to the Pinellas Planning Council (PPC) for a consistency review with the Countywide Future Land Use Plan and Rules.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND SUBSTANCE: City File FLUM-44

PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT DATE

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY DATE
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG
COMMUNITY PLANNING & PRESERVATION COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING
May 9, 2017

QUASI-JUDICIAL PUBLIC HEARING

A. City File FLUM-44
Contact Person: Luis Teba, 551-3386

Location: The subject property, estimated to be 0.58 acres in size, is located at 4241 4th Street North.

Request: This is a private application, requesting to amend the Future Land Use Map designation from Planned Redevelopment-Residential to Planned Redevelopment-Mixed Use and the Official Zoning Map designation from NT-1 (Neighborhood Traditional-1) to CCS-1 (Corridor Commercial Suburban-1), or other less intensive use.

Staff Presentation

Luis Teba gave a PowerPoint presentation based on the staff report.

Applicant Presentation

Gina Grimes representing the owner/applicant, Chick fil-A, gave a presentation in support of the request.

Public Hearing

No speakers present.

Executive Session

Commissioner Wolf stated that, in general, it appears that this change will clear up what is a fairly congested situation on 4th Street and it seems that there will be no impact on the neighborhood.

Commissioner Burke asked if there is any part of this change that would allow them to encroach further back into the neighborhood, to which Mr. Teba replied, no.

Commissioner Michaels asked if the 45-foot buffer is required or voluntary. Mr. Teba replied that it is voluntary; staff views that it lines up with the commercial development to the north of 43rd Avenue North.

Commission Chair Carter stated that the City and applicant gave excellent presentations.

MOTION: Commissioner Rogo moved and Commissioner Wolf seconded a motion approving the request in accordance with the staff report.

VOTE: YES – Bell, Burke, Michaels, Reese, Rogo, Wolf, Carter
NO – None

Motion passed by a vote of 7 to 0.
Staff Report to the St. Petersburg Community Planning & Preservation Commission
Prepared by the Planning & Economic Development Department,
Urban Planning and Historic Preservation Division

For Public Hearing and Executive Action on May 9, 2017
at 3:00 p.m., in City Council Chambers, City Hall,
175 Fifth Street North, St. Petersburg, Florida.

City File: FLUM-44
Chick-Fil-A

According to Planning & Economic Development Department records, no Community Planning & Preservation Commission member resides or owns property located within 2,000 feet of the subject property. All other possible conflicts should be declared upon announcement of the item.

This is a private application requesting to amend the Future Land Use Map category from PR-R (Planned Redevelopment – Residential) to PR-MU (Planned Redevelopment – Mixed Use) and rezone from NT-1 (Neighborhood Traditional) to CCS-1 (Commercial Corridor Suburban). The Countywide Plan Map category will also change from RM (Residential Medium) to MMC (Multimodal Corridor). The purpose of this application is to improve Chick-Fil-A’s service at its drive-thru window. It will allow the site to be redeveloped into a modern drive-thru facility with double order points. This will improve traffic circulation and alleviate queuing onto 4th Street North during peak business hours. The application will not increase the depth of physical development, since the area to be rezoned already contains the existing drive-thru lane and equipment.
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APPLICANT INFORMATION:

APPLICANT / PROPERTY OWNER:

Chick-Fil-A
5200 Buffington Road
Atlanta, Georgia 30349

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE(S):

Gina K. Grimes, Esq.
HILL WARD HENDERSON
3700 Bank of America Plaza
101 East Kennedy Boulevard
Tampa, FL 33602

Eileen Graf
Interplan LLC.
604 Courtland Street
Suite 100
Orlando, FL 32804

SITE DESCRIPTION:

Street Address: 4241 4th Street North
Parcel ID Number: 06-31-17-12937-000-0010
General Description: East of 4th Street North and south of 43rd Avenue
Legal Description: See Attachment No. 2
Acreage: 0.41 acres
Zoning, Existing: NT-1 (Neighborhood Traditional)
Future Land Use: PR-R (Planned Redevelopment Residential)
Countywide Plan Map: RM (Residential Medium)
Existing Use: Parking lot, drive-thru, and dumpster
Surrounding Uses: North: 43rd Ave North; South: single- and multi-family development; East: 4th Street North; West: single-family development
Neighborhood Assoc.: North East Park Neighborhood Association

City File: FLUM-44
Page 2
ZONING HISTORY:

The present NT-1 zoning designation has been in place since September 2007, following the implementation of the City’s Vision 2020 Plan, the citywide rezoning and update of the land development regulations (LDRs). Prior to 2007 the existing CCS-1 and NT-1 zoning categories were ROR-1 and RS-75, respectively.

SITE HISTORY:

The existing Chick-Fil-A was first approved in 1998 by special exception with one variance to the minimum green yard requirements (SE 98-036). The building is located almost entirely on the CCS-1 portion of the parcel. The existing drive-thru lane and equipment encroaches approximately 110 feet into the designated PR-R and NT-1 portion of the parcel; the remaining balance of the parcel includes a dumpster area and parking lot.

Figure 1: Existing Zoning with proposed site improvements

STAFF ANALYSIS:

The primary issues related to the applicant’s request are the following: 1) consistency of the requested designations with the established land use and zoning patterns; 2) commercial corridor redevelopment opportunities; 3) eliminating existing non-conforming uses; 4) traffic impact; and 5) other level of service considerations.
The requested 142 addition feet of PR-MU Plan designation and CCS-1 zoning would create a commercial depth of approximately 270 feet from 4th Street. This demarcation line between the two zoning categories was chosen because it allows for the preservation of a 45 foot buffer zone between the commercially zoned land, and existing residential zoned parcels to the east. Falling on the west edge of the formerly platted lot number 10 of the Laughner sub-division, the buffer area honors the historic development pattern of the neighborhood, while accommodating the requested improvements. The proposed CCS-1 zoning will have the same depth as the commercial uses across 43rd Avenue North, and is consistent with the existing CCS-1 zoning in the area where depths range from 117 to 300 feet. The remaining 45 feet to the east of the rezoning will remain NT-1, and will continue to serve as a buffer between the commercial development and single family housing. It is also dimensionally appropriate to allow for future redevelopment of a single family household. Thus, the request is consistent with Policy LU3.4 of the Comprehensive Plan, which states that “the Land Use Plan shall provide for compatible land use transition through an orderly land use arrangement, proper buffering, and the use of physical and natural separators.”

The requested designations are also consistent with Policy LU3.6 which state that “land use planning decisions shall weigh heavily the established character of predominantly developed areas where changes of use or intensity of development are contemplated.” The character of this
area of the City is dominated by commercial uses along 4th Street North, a minor arterial roadway.

City staff believes that the applicant’s request is also consistent with Policy LU3.5, which states that “the tax base will be maintained and improved by encouraging the appropriate use of properties based on their locational characteristics and the goals objectives and policies within this Comprehensive Plan.”

COMMERCIAL CORRIDOR REDEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES

If approved, the applicant’s request will result in new investment and redevelopment within an existing commercial corridor, which is consistent with the following objectives and policies from the Comprehensive Plan: Policy LU3.17, which states that “future expansion of commercial uses is encouraged when infilling into existing commercial areas and activity centers, or where a need can be clearly identified, and where otherwise consistent with the Comprehensive Plan;” Objective LU4(2), which states that “…the City shall provide opportunities for additional commercial development where appropriate;” Policy LU11.2, which states that “the need for redevelopment should be assessed based on non-conforming uses and the potential for private investment;” and Objective LU18, which states that “commercial development along the City’s major corridors shall be limited to infilling and redevelopment of exiting commercially designated frontages.”

ELIMINATING NON-CONFORMING USES

As described in the existing use section of this report, the subject area, although currently residentially designated PR-R and NT-1, does contain the majority of the existing drive thru lane and equipment, as well as a dumpster, and a small section of the main building, all of which are commercial in use. The site plan from 1999 depicts all of these commercial uses in their current layout extending into the residentially zoned property. Following the implementation of the Vision 2020 Special Area Plan in 2007, these uses now exist as legal non-conforming uses that were previously approved.

Given this existing condition, the proposed amendment will not increase the depth of commercial development, since the area to be rezoned is already used for a commercial purpose (drive-thru). The increase in depth of commercial zoning will allow the site to be redeveloped into a modern drive-thru facility that meets all of the LDR standards, including parking and landscaping, and would lie within the range of depths of PR-MU zoned land in the area.

TRAFFIC IMPACT

Roadway level of service (LOS) and traffic impacts are discussed in greater detail in the Impact Section of this report. To summarize, an amendment from Planned Redevelopment Residential to Planned Redevelopment Mixed Use will likely result in a net increase of 13 p.m. peak hour trips; however, such an increase would not have an impact on roadway level of service.
In summary, City staff concludes that the traffic resulting from the proposed amendment will not significantly impact the surrounding roadway network, which is consistent with the following Comprehensive Plan policies:

- Policy LU3.18, which states that *all retail and office activities shall be located, designed and regulated so as to benefit from the access afforded by major streets without impairing the efficiency of operation of these streets or lowering the LOS below adopted standards, and with proper facilities for pedestrian convenience and safety.*

- Policy LU5.3, which states that the Concurrency Management System shall continue to be implemented to ensure proposed development to be considered for approval shall be in conformance with existing and planned support facilities and that such facilities and services be available, at the adopted level of service standards, concurrent with the impacts of development.

- Policy T1.3, which states that the City shall review the impact of all rezoning proposals and requests to amend the FLUM on the City’s transportation system. FLUM amendment requests that increase traffic generation potential shall demonstrate that transportation capacity is available to accommodate the additional demand.

**LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) IMPACT**

The Level of Service (LOS) impact section of this report concludes that the proposed rezoning will not alter the City’s population or the population density pattern or have a negative effect upon the adopted LOS standards for public services and facilities including potable water, sanitary sewer, solid waste, traffic, mass transit, recreation, and stormwater management.

**SPECIAL NOTE ON CONCURRENCY:**

Level of Service impacts are addressed further in this report. Approval of this rezoning request does not guarantee that the subject property will meet the requirements of Concurrency at the time development permits are requested. **Completion of this rezoning does not guarantee the right to develop on the subject property.** Upon application for site plan review, or development permits, a full concurrency review will be completed to determine whether or not the proposed development may proceed. The property owner will have to comply with all laws and ordinances in effect at the time development permits are requested.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

City staff recommends **APPROVAL** of the applicant’s request to amend the Official Zoning Map designation from NT-1 (Neighborhood Traditional) to CCS-1 (Corridor Commercial Suburban), The Future Land Use Map from PR-R (Planned Redevelopment Residential) to PR-MU (Planned Redevelopment Mixed Use), and the Countywide Plan Map from RM (Residential Medium) to MMC (Multimodal Corridor) on the basis that the proposal is consistent with prior development approvals and the goals, objectives and policies of the City’s Comprehensive Plan.
RELEVANT CONSIDERATIONS ON AMENDMENTS TO OFFICIAL ZONING MAP:

a. Compliance of probable use with goals, objectives, policies and guidelines of the City's Comprehensive Plan.

The following policies and objectives from the Comprehensive Plan are applicable:

LU2.4 The City may permit an increase in land use intensity or density outside of activity center where available infrastructure exists and surrounding uses are compatible.

LU3.1(F)(2) Planned Redevelopment – Mixed Use (MU) – allowing mixed use retail, office, service and medium density residential uses not to exceed a floor area ratio of 1.25 and a net residential density of 24 dwelling units per acre.

LU3.4 The Land Use Plan shall provide for compatible land use transition through an orderly land use arrangement, proper buffering, and the use of physical and natural separators.

LU3.5 The tax base will be maintained and improved by encouraging the appropriate use of properties based on their locational characteristics and the goals, objectives and policies within this Comprehensive Plan.

LU3.6 Land use planning decisions shall weigh heavily the established character of predominately developed areas where changes of use or intensity of development are contemplated.

LU3.7 Land use planning decisions shall include a review to determine whether existing Land Use Plan boundaries are logically drawn in relation to existing conditions and expected future conditions.

LU3.17 Future expansion of commercial uses is encouraged when infilling into existing commercial areas and activity centers, or where a need can be clearly identified, and where otherwise consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.

LU3.18 All retail and office activities shall be located, designed and regulated so as to benefit from the access afforded by major streets without impairing the efficiency of operation of these streets or lowering the LOS below adopted standards, and with proper facilities for pedestrian convenience and safety.

LU4(2) Commercial – the City shall provide opportunities for additional commercial development where appropriate.
LU5.3 The Concurrency Management System shall continue to be implemented to ensure proposed development to be considered for approval shall be in conformance with existing and planned support facilities and that such facilities and services be available, at the adopted level of service standards, concurrent with the impacts of development.

LU9: The City shall continue to define and regulate nonconforming and grandfathered uses consistent with the requirements of Chapter 163, F.S. for the purpose of reducing or eliminating land uses that are inconsistent with the character of the community including repetitive loss and other properties that do not comply with minimum FEMA flood elevation standards as targeted in Policies CM11.11 and CM11.12. The regulations may include provisions for eliminating or reducing uses that are inconsistent with interagency hazard mitigation reports.

LU11.2 The need for redevelopment should be assessed based on the following factors; 1) building conditions, 2) socio/economic characteristics, 3) land to improvement value ratios, 4) non-conforming uses and 5) potential for private investment.

LU18: Commercial development along the City's major corridors shall be limited to infilling and redevelopment of existing commercially designated frontages.

T1.3 The City shall review the impact of all rezoning proposals and requests to amend the FLUM on the City's transportation system. FLUM amendment requests that increase traffic generation potential shall demonstrate that transportation capacity is available to accommodate the additional demand.

b. Whether the proposed amendment would impact environmentally sensitive lands or areas which are documented habitat for listed species as defined by the Conservation Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

The proposed amendment will not impact environmentally sensitive lands or areas which are documented habitat for listed species as defined by the Conservation Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

c. Whether the proposed change would alter population or the population density pattern and thereby impact residential dwelling units and or public schools.

The proposed change will not alter population or the population density pattern and thereby impact residential dwelling units and/or public schools.

d. Impact of the proposed amendment upon the following adopted levels of service (LOS) for public services and facilities including but not limited to: water, sewer, sanitation, traffic, mass transit, recreation, stormwater management.
The following analysis indicates that the proposed change will not have a significant impact on the City's adopted levels of service for potable water, sanitary sewer, solid waste, traffic, mass transit, stormwater management and recreation. Should the requested land use change and rezoning for the subject 0.41 acres be approved, the City has sufficient capacity to serve the subject property.

WATER

Under the existing inter-local agreement with Tampa Bay Water (TBW), the region’s local governments are required to project and submit, on or before February 1 of each year, the anticipated water demand for the following water year (October 1 through September 30). TBW is contractually obligated to meet the City’s and other member government’s water supply needs. The City’s current potable water demand is 28.8 million gallons per day (mgd).

The City’s adopted LOS standard for potable water is 125 gallons per capita per day, while the actual usage is estimated to be 79 gallons per capita per day. Therefore, there is excess water capacity to serve the amendment area.

WASTEWATER

The subject property is served by the Northeast Water Reclamation Facility, which presently has excess average day capacity estimated to be 7.13 million gallons per day (MGD). The estimate is based on a permit capacity of 16 MGD and a calendar year 2016 daily average flow of 8.87 MGD. Therefore, there is excess average daily sanitary sewer capacity to serve the amendment area.

SOLID WASTE

All solid waste disposal is the responsibility of Pinellas County. The County currently receives and disposes of municipal solid waste, and construction and demolition debris, generated throughout Pinellas County. The Pinellas County Waste-to-Energy Plant and the Bridgeway Acres Sanitary Landfill are the responsibility of Pinellas County Utilities, Department of Solid Waste Operations; however, they are operated and maintained under contract by two private companies. The Waste-to-Energy Plant continues to operate below its design capacity of incinerating 985,500 tons of solid waste per year. The continuation of successful recycling efforts and the efficient operation of the Waste-to-Energy Plant have helped to extend the life span of Bridgeway Acres. The landfill has approximately 30 years remaining, based on current grading and disposal plans. Thus, there is excess solid waste capacity to serve the amendment area.
TRAFFIC

Summary of traffic impact (p.m. peak hour trips):

Existing Planned Redevelopment Residential Plan Category 4
Requested Planned Redevelopment Mixed-Use Plan Category 17

Existing Conditions

The subject property has access to 4th Street North, which is a six-lane, minor arterial that is maintained by the Florida Department of Transportation. Based on the Forward Pinellas 2016 Level of Service Report, the level of service (LOS) for 4th Street from 62nd Ave N to 38th Ave N is “C”. This level of service is based on the 2015 average annual traffic (AADT) volume of 40,671. The volume-capacity ratio for this six-lane divided facility is 0.723, so there is spare capacity to accommodate new trips.

The statutory provisions for transportation concurrency were rescinded in 2011. In the absence of state imposed transportation concurrency management requirements, the Pinellas County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) authorized a multi-jurisdictional task force to develop a countywide approach to manage the transportation impacts associated with development or redevelopment projects through local site plan review processes. The task force created the Pinellas County Mobility Plan, which was adopted by the MPO in September 2013, and called for the renaming the Transportation Impact Fee Ordinance as the Multimodal Impact Fee Ordinance, which became effective on May 1, 2016. On March 3, 2016 the St. Petersburg City Council approved amendments to the Future Land Use, Transportation, Capital Improvements and Intergovernmental Coordination elements of the Comprehensive Plan in order to ensure consistency with the countywide approach to managing transportation impacts associated with development or redevelopment projects.

Policy T3.1 in the Transportation Element, which previously identified the LOS D standard for major roads in St. Petersburg, was revised to include policies that pertain to the implementation of the Pinellas County Mobility Management System. Transportation management plans, and in some cases traffic studies, are required for large development projects (51 new peak hour trips or more) that impact deficient roads, which are defined countywide as major roads operating at peak hour LOS “E” and “F” and/or volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio 0.9 or greater without a mitigating improvement scheduled for construction within three years. The proposed rezoning is not located on a deficient road, so a transportation management plan or traffic study would not be required.
MASS TRANSIT
The PSTA has one route on 4th Street North. Route 4 provides service from the Gateway Mall in the north, through downtown, to Pinellas Point in the south.

RECREATION
The City's adopted LOS for recreation and open space is 9 acres per 1,000 population, the actual LOS City-wide is estimated to be 21.9 acres per 1,000 population. If approved, there will be no impact on the adopted LOS standard for recreation and open space.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT
Prior to any re/development within the subject area, site plan approval shall be required. At that time, the stormwater management system for the site will be required to meet all City and SWFWMD stormwater management criteria.

e. Appropriate and adequate land area sufficient for the use and reasonably anticipated operations and expansion.

The land area is both appropriate and adequate for the anticipated use of the subject property. As previously stated, the applicant’s desire is to use the subject area with the existing commercially-zoned (CCS-1) abutting to the west in order to construct improve their drive-thru configuration. The additional land will provide adequate area for the new drive-thru.

f. The amount and availability of vacant land or land suitable for redevelopment shown for similar uses in the City or in contiguous areas.

There are 122.348 acres of vacant land within the CCS-1 Zoning category.

g. Whether the proposed change is consistent with the established land use pattern.

The proposed rezoning is consistent with the established land use pattern.

h. Whether the existing district boundaries are logically drawn in relation to existing conditions on the property proposed for change.

The proposed boundaries are logically drawn in relation to existing conditions.

i. If the proposed amendment involves a change from a residential to a nonresidential use, whether more nonresidential land is needed in the proposed location to provide services or employment to the residents of the City.

The proposed amendment only results in an additional 0.41 acres of nonresidential land. More non-residential land is not needed in the proposed location.
Whether the subject property is located within the 100-year flood plain or Coastal High Hazard Area as identified in the Coastal Management Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

According to the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map ("FIRM"), approximately 900 square feet on the southern end of the property is located within a designated flood zone. The property is not located within the Coastal High Hazard Area ("CHHA").

Other pertinent information.

None.
EXISTING ZONING

CITY FILE
FLUM-44

SCALE: 1" = 125'

From: NT-1
(Neighborhood Traditional-1)

To: CCS-1
(Corridor Commercial Suburban-1)

SUBJECT AREA
LEGAL DESCRIPTION (Area to be Rezoned)

Lots 7, 8, 9, and 10, LAUGHNERS SUBDIVISION, according to the Plat thereof on file in the Office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court in and for Pinellas County, Florida recorded in Plat Book 5, page 82, said lands situate and being in Pinellas County, Florida.

AND:

That portion of the alley between lots 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7, LAUGHNERS SUBDIVISION according to the plat thereof recorded in plat book 5, page 82, public records of Pinellas County, Florida; described as follows:

FROM THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6; RUN THENCE N 89°57'08" E ALONG THE SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF 43RD AVENUE, A DISTANCE OF 118.50 FEET FOR A POINT OF BEGINNING; CONTINUE THENCE N 89°57'08" E ALONG SAID SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 16.00 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 7; THENCE S 00°33'33" E A DISTANCE OF 107.18 FEET TO POINT OF CURVATURE OF A CURVE CONCAVE NORTHEASTERLY HAVING A RADIUS OF 20.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE (AND SOUTHWESTERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID LOT 7) THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 89°29'19" A DISTANCE OF 31.24 FEET TO A POINT OF TANGENCY ON THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 7; THENCE N 89°57'08" E ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 0.50 FEET TO A POINT OF CUSP OF A CURVE CONCAVE SOUTHEASTERLY, HAVING A RADIUS OF 36.00 FEET; FROM A Chord Bearing S 44°41'48" W, RUN THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 90°30'41" A DISTANCE OF 56.87 FEET TO A POINT OF TANGENCY ON THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 3; THENCE N 00°33'33" W ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOTS 3, 4, 5, AND 6, A DISTANCE OF 163.32 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Containing 18,054 square feet or 0.41 acres, more or less.
APPLICATION

✓ FUTURE LAND USE PLAN CHANGE

✓ REZONING

Application No. ____________________________

(To be Assigned)

GENERAL INFORMATION

APPLICATION

Date of Submittal: March 31, 2017
Street Address: 4241 Fourth Street North, St. Petersburg, FL 33703
Parcel ID or Tract Number: 06-31-17-12537-000-0010
Zoning Classification:
Present: MT-1 Proposed: OCS-I
Future Land Use Plan Category:
Present: PR-R Proposed: PRMU

NAME of APPLICANT (Property Owner): Chick-fil-A, Inc.
Street Address: 5220 Buford Road
City, State, Zip: Atlanta, GA 30349
Telephone No: (404) 388-8301
Email Address: chad.baker@cfeinc.com

NAME of any others PERSONS (Having ownership interest in property):
Specific Interest Held:
Is such interest Contingent or Absolute:
Street Address:
City, State, Zip:
Telephone No:
Email Address:

NAME of AGENT OR REPRESENTATIVE: Gina Grimes, Hill Ward Henderson / Interplan LLC
Street Address: 101 E. Kennedy Boulevard / 804 Courtland Street, Suite 100
City, State, Zip: Tampa, FL 33602 / Orlando, FL 32804
Telephone No: (813) 227-8421 / (407) 645-5008
Email Address: gina.grimes@hwkh.com; ogrel@interplaninc.com; slahman@interplaninc.com

AUTHORIZATION

Future Land Use Plan amendment and / or rezoning requiring a change to the Countywide Map
$ 2,400.00
Future Land Use Plan amendment and / or rezoning NOT requiring a change to the Countywide Map
$ 2,000.00

Cash or credit card or check made payable to the "City of St. Petersburg"

The UNDERSIGNED CERTIFIES that the ownership of all property within this application has been fully divulged, whether such ownership be contingent or absolute, and that the names of all parties to any contract for sale in existence or any options to purchase are filed with the application. Further, this application must be complete and accurate, before the public hearings can be advertised, with attached justification form completed and filed as part of this application.

Signature: ____________________________
Savannah Frost, VP Existing Restaurants

Date: 3/30/17

UPDATED 08-23-2012
PROPERTY INFORMATION:
Street Address: 4241 Fourth Street North, St. Petersburg, FL 33703
Parcel ID or Tract Number: 08-31-17-12037-000-0010
Square Feet: 25,251
Acreage: 0.58
Proposed Legal Description:
- Please reference attached legal description.

Is there any existing contract for sale on the subject property? No.
If so, list names of all parties to the contract: Not applicable.
Is contract conditional or absolute: Not applicable.

Are there any options to purchase on the subject property: No
If so, list the names of all parties to option: Not applicable.

REQUEST:
The applicant is of the opinion that this request would be an appropriate land use and/or rezoning for
the above described property, and conforms with the Relevant Considerations of the Zoning Ordinance
for the following reasons:
- Please reference attached Narrative for Request.

UPDATING: 06-23-2012
FUTURE LAND USE PLAN CHANGE / REZONING NARRATIVE

Chick-fil-A has owned and operated a fast-food restaurant at the above location for 18 years. When initially constructed, the fast-food restaurant was a permitted use under the applicable zoning category at that time. In 2017, the City implemented the 2020 Comprehensive Plan and at the same time, amended the Zoning Code and Zoning categories. When the City did so, a drive-through was no longer a permitted use under the new zoning category, Neighborhood Traditional-1 (NT-1), which governs the eastern portion of the subject site. As a non-conforming use, Chick-fil-A’s drive-through was permitted to remain, but under the terms of the Zoning Code, the drive-through was limited as to alterations or expansions.

Chick-fil-A has implemented company-wide standards to perform maintenance, remodeling, and “Brand image” updates at certain times during the life cycle of their restaurants. The subject restaurant is now undergoing a Brand Image update which includes improving the service provided at their drive-through windows by incorporating a double order point at the drive-through [multi-lane order point], as well as other updates and improvements such as adding a walk-in freezer and new service yard/storage area in the rear of the building. Since these proposed improvements will modify and enlarge the drive-through facility and encroach into the NT-1 portion of the site, the use must comply with current NT-1 Zoning Code standards. However, Chick-fil-A’s drive-through is a non-conforming use and is not a permitted use under the current NT-1 zoning which governs the eastern portion of the site, therefore, a Rezoning is required. The next Zoning category which allows a drive-through as a permitted use is Corridor Commercial Suburban (CCS-1). However, CCS-1 is not permitted under the current Comprehensive Plan category, Planned Redevelopment Residential (PR-R), which also governs the eastern portion of the site; therefore, in addition to the Rezoning, a Future Land Use Plan Change and Countywide Map Amendment are also necessary to accommodate the modifications to Chick-fil-A’s drive-through facility and service yard/storage area.

Accordingly, Chick-fil-A hereby submits this application for the eastern portion of the existing Chick-fil-A property, per the attached legal description, and requests:

- Future Land Use Map Amendment from Planned Redevelopment Residential (PR-R) to Planned Redevelopment Mixed Use (PR-MU); and
- Countywide Map Amendment from Residential Medium to Multimodal Corridor; and
- Rezoning from Neighborhood Traditional-1 (NT-1) to Corridor Commercial Suburban (CCS-1).

These amendments to the Future Land Use Plan, Countywide Map and Zoning will allow Chick-fil-A to upgrade the site to their internal property maintenance standards as well as industry standards. Given that Chick-fil-A has operated at this location for 18 years, these Amendments are appropriate to accommodate these necessary upgrades and improvements.

Prior to a Pre-Application meeting with the City of St. Petersburg on March 1, 2017, formal notification of the proposed site improvements and upgrades was provided to Mr. Scott Willis, Northeast Park Neighborhood Association, on February 22, 2017. At that time, a request was also made to meet with the Northeast Park Neighborhood Association. However, given the fact that subsequent to the initial notification to the Neighborhood Association, Chick-fil-A was informed the proposed modifications would require a Future Land Use Plan Change and Rezoning, it is now necessary to update the notification to the Northeast Park Neighborhood Association. A formal notification of this application for a Future Land Use Plan Change and Rezoning for Chick-fil-A was provided to Mr. Scott Willis, Northeast Park Neighborhood Association, on March 30, 2017, along with another request to meet with the Neighborhood Association at their next meeting to discuss the project.
PUBLIC COMMENTS

City staff has received three phone calls regarding the proposed amendment from residents in the area. They were seeking further clarification of the proposal and were not opposed to the rezoning.
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

MEETING OF: June 15, 2017

TO: COUNCIL CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Confirming Preliminary Assessment for Lot Clearing Number(s) LCA 1578

EXPLANATION: The Sanitation Department has cleared the following number of properties under Chapter 16 of the St. Petersburg City Code. The interest rate is 12% per annum on the unpaid balance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCA:</th>
<th>1578</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF STRUCTURES:</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSABLE AMOUNT:</td>
<td>$13,035.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the City Code, these assessments constitute a Lien on each property. It is recommended that the assessments be confirmed.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: The total assessable amount of $13,035.16 will be fully assessable to the property owners.

ATTACHMENTS:

MAYOR: ____________________________

COUNCIL ACTION: ____________________

FOLLOW-UP: ________________________ AGENDA NO. ____________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
<th>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71744</td>
<td>KENLAN, JEAN L</td>
<td>28 31 16 02106 002 0230</td>
<td>BACK BAY MANOR NO. 3</td>
<td>4811 17TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>753 BRIGHTWATERS BLVD NE</td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK 2, LOT 23</td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337043717</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71745</td>
<td>BEARD, JOANN R</td>
<td>12 31 15 09972 000 0150</td>
<td>BOCA CIEGA WOODLANDS LOT 15 &amp; SUB LAND</td>
<td>3260 SUNSET DR N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3260 SUNSET DR N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337102236</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71746</td>
<td>WELLMAN, EUGENE</td>
<td>12 31 15 09972 000 0250</td>
<td>BOCA CIEGA WOODLANDS LOT 25</td>
<td>8243 33RD AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8243 33RD AVE N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337102217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71747</td>
<td>MARTI, LUIS</td>
<td>13 31 16 10062 000 1010</td>
<td>BON AIR E 40FT OF LOTS 101 AND 102</td>
<td>1407 7TH AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>311 72ND ST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NORTH BERGEN NJ 070475619</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71748</td>
<td>L J I PROPERTIES LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 11502 000 0010</td>
<td>BRIGHTWOOD LOT 1</td>
<td>2062 15TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10396 YOSEMITE LN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>INDIANAPOLIS IN 462349825</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71749</td>
<td>BROWN, JULIA M</td>
<td>30 31 17 12708 000 0360</td>
<td>BUENA VISTA LOT 36</td>
<td>743 14TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>593 VANDERBILT AVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BROOKLYN NY 112383512</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71750</td>
<td>CLAUSEN, KATHERINE J EST</td>
<td>27 31 16 13860 000 0050</td>
<td>CARTER &amp; FOLEY'S SUB LOT 5</td>
<td>3465 15TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 ANONDALE DR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HUNTINGTON NY 117435001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**City of St. Petersburg ****
Special Assessments Division
FINAL ASSESSMENT ROLL
6-15-2017

SAS805R
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME / MAILING ADDRESS</th>
<th>PARCEL ID / LEGAL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71751</td>
<td>ARDEN PROPERTIES GROUP LLC 16221 CARNoustie DR</td>
<td>25 31 16 14742 000 0020 CHAMBER’S 1ST ADD TO HOLLYWOOD</td>
<td>1410 13TH ST S LOT 2</td>
<td>104.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71752</td>
<td>T O B LAND TRUST 601 CLEVELAND ST STE 618 CLEARWATER FL 337554183</td>
<td>25 31 16 15012 000 0050 CHEROKEE SUB LOT 8</td>
<td>922 13TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71753</td>
<td>E F C PINELLAS 3 LLC 2650 BAHIA VISTA ST STE 207 SARASOTA FL 342392625</td>
<td>27 31 16 15408 007 0010 CHILD’S PARK BLK 7, N 140FT OF LOT 1 LESS N 15FT THEREOF FOR RD R/W</td>
<td>3700 18TH AVE S</td>
<td>264.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71754</td>
<td>TAX CERTIFICATE REDEMPTIONS IN 925 ARTHUR GODFREY RD STE 102 MIAMI BCH FL 33140337</td>
<td>23 31 16 17442 011 0070 COLONIAL PLACE REV BLK 11, LOT 7</td>
<td>2680 4TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71755</td>
<td>AMASIS HOLDINGS LLC 4611 S UNIVERSITY DR STE 304 DAVIE FL 333283817</td>
<td>25 31 16 19350 003 0010 CROMWELL HEIGHTS BLK C, S 1/2 OF LOTS 1 AND 2</td>
<td>1915 10TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71756</td>
<td>ALL NATIONS CHURCH OF GOD BY F 5319 CORDOVA WAY S SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337124275</td>
<td>23 31 16 24138 003 0010 EAST ROSELAWN BLK 3, LOTS 1 THRU 5 LESS RD R/W ON N</td>
<td>3000 5TH AVE S</td>
<td>264.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71757</td>
<td>HARRICHARIN, RAVINDRA’S 1625 MASSACHUSETTS AVE NE SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337034213</td>
<td>25 31 16 26028 000 0021 ERWIN’S SUB E 76FT OF N 100FT OF E 131 FT OF LOT 2 LESS ST</td>
<td>1101 12TH ST S</td>
<td>264.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71758</td>
<td>WHITFIELD INVESTMENT CO</td>
<td>25 31 16 26028 000 0022</td>
<td>1222 11TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 9062</td>
<td>W 55FT OF N 100FT OF E 131</td>
<td>FT OF LOT 2 LESS ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRADENTON</td>
<td>FL 342069062</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71759</td>
<td>MITCHELL, ANDREW HOLDINGS LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 27162 000 0080</td>
<td>959 NEWTON AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>513 W 3RD ST</td>
<td>E 65FT OF LOT 8 &amp; W 2FT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JAMESTOWN</td>
<td>NY 147014803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71760</td>
<td>COX, ROBERT</td>
<td>25 31 16 27162 000 0210</td>
<td>944 NEWTON AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15412 HEATHRIDGE DR</td>
<td>FAIR VIEW HEIGHTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA</td>
<td>E 50FT OF LOT 21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 336251638</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71761</td>
<td>ROBINSON, SIMMONS EST</td>
<td>27 31 16 28890 000 0540</td>
<td>4415 17TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4415 17TH AVE S</td>
<td>FOREST HEIGHTS REV PLAT LOT 54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337112629</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71762</td>
<td>M2 INTERNATIONAL INC</td>
<td>27 31 16 28890 000 0920</td>
<td>4443 16TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 694</td>
<td>FOREST HEIGHTS REV PLAT LOT 92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NIAGARA FALLS</td>
<td>NY 143020694</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71763</td>
<td>M S I CONSULTING INC</td>
<td>25 31 16 28908 000 0100</td>
<td>2027 13TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>654 N 800 E STE 437</td>
<td>FOREST HILL LOT 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPANISH FORK</td>
<td>UT 846601651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71764</td>
<td>WHITE, PEARLY M EST</td>
<td>23 31 16 35118 024 0090</td>
<td>2863 1ST AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2863 1ST AVE S</td>
<td>HALL'S CENTRAL AVE NO. 2 BLK 24, LOT 9 &amp; W 5FT OF LOT 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337121001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME / MAILING ADDRESS</td>
<td>PARCEL ID / LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71765</td>
<td>NEW SKY INVESTMENTS INC</td>
<td>25:31:16 35442 001 0030</td>
<td>1420 15TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4707 W GANDY BLVD STE 13</td>
<td>HANCOCK'S SUB BLK 1, LOT 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA FL 33611328</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71766</td>
<td>WARD, SCOTT M</td>
<td>31:31:17 36684 000 0950</td>
<td>676 25TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5695 KIWANIS PL NE</td>
<td>HARBORDALE SUB E 40FT OF LOT 95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 33703252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71767</td>
<td>MALONEY, MARY T EST</td>
<td>11:31:16 38268 000 0410</td>
<td>2835 24TH ST N</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2835 24TH ST N</td>
<td>HERKIMER HEIGHTS LOT 41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337134056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71768</td>
<td>MALONEY, MARY T EST</td>
<td>11:31:16 38268 000 0410</td>
<td>2835 24TH ST N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2835 24TH ST N</td>
<td>HERKIMER HEIGHTS LOT 41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337134056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71769</td>
<td>ALLEN, MATTHEW</td>
<td>08:31:16 40608 003 0070</td>
<td>6268 34TH AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6268 34TH AVE N</td>
<td>HOLIDAY PARK 4TH ADD BLK 3, LOT 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337102412</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71770</td>
<td>ALLEN, MATTHEW</td>
<td>08:31:16 40608 003 0070</td>
<td>6268 34TH AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6268 34TH AVE N</td>
<td>HOLIDAY PARK 4TH ADD BLK 3, LOT 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337102412</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71771</td>
<td>JOY ZION INTERDENOMINATIONAL</td>
<td>25:31:16 40734 010 0080</td>
<td>1691 12TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 75124</td>
<td>HOLLYWOOD ADD. REV MAP OF BLK 10, LOT 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA FL 336750124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID /LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71772</td>
<td>JOY ZION INTERDENOMINATIONAL</td>
<td>25 31 16 40734 011 0010 HOLLYWOOD ADD. REV MAP OF BLK 11, LOT 1</td>
<td>1703 12TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 75124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA FL 336750124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71773</td>
<td>BYRD, JOEVIDA A</td>
<td>25 31 16 40734 011 0020 HOLLYWOOD ADD. REV MAP OF BLK 11, LOT 2</td>
<td>1711 12TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 75124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA FL 336750124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71774</td>
<td>PEOPLES, SAMUEL L EST</td>
<td>25 31 16 47142 000 0020 KRAMER &amp; WALKER'S SUB LOT 2</td>
<td>1010 11TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2936 38TH AVE N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337131621</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71775</td>
<td>TRUST NO 1819</td>
<td>25 31 16 48960 000 0480 LAKEVIEW HEIGHTS LOT 48</td>
<td>1819 11TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1700 66TH ST N STE 104-180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337105544</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71776</td>
<td>SCALES, JAMES W</td>
<td>02 32.16 49572 112 0080 DR-452 ADV POSS 2/24/17 LAKWOOD ESTATES SEC F BLK 112, LOT 8 &amp; PT LOT 9</td>
<td>2912 PONCE DE LEON WAY S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2601 LAKE SUNSET DR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORLANDO FL 328053054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71777</td>
<td>BARTON, KELLY</td>
<td>25 31 16 50022 000 0080 LA PLAZA LOT 8</td>
<td>1740 19TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3530 1ST AVE N STE 108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337138422</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71778</td>
<td>ANDREWS, AQUILLA</td>
<td>25 31 16 50022 000 0160 LA PLAZA N 40FT DF LOT 16 &amp; S 5FT OF LOT 15</td>
<td>1717 19TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12300 NW 18TH PL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIAMI FL 331672133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Special Assessments Division

**Final Assessment Roll**  
**6-15-2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Number</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Mailing Address</th>
<th>Parcel ID</th>
<th>Legal Description</th>
<th>Property Address</th>
<th>Original Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71779</td>
<td>Lovett, Joseph</td>
<td>1740 15th Ave S</td>
<td>25 31 16 52002 001 0010</td>
<td>Lindenwood Replat Blk A, Lot 1</td>
<td>1740 15th Ave S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71780</td>
<td>Morrisette, Jennifer</td>
<td>6991 18th St N</td>
<td>36 30 16 56790 060 0100</td>
<td>Meadow Lawn 10th Add Blk 00, Lot 00</td>
<td>6991 18th St N</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71781</td>
<td>Morrisette, Jennifer</td>
<td>6991 18th St N</td>
<td>36 30 16 56790 060 0100</td>
<td>Meadow Lawn 10th Add Blk 00, Lot 00</td>
<td>6991 18th St N</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71782</td>
<td>Titan Development Group LLC</td>
<td>4118 Causeway Vista Dr</td>
<td>25 31 16 59670 001 0010</td>
<td>Nebraska Place Sub Blk A, Lot 1</td>
<td>1200 12th Ave S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71783</td>
<td>Cottman, Purity</td>
<td>670 26th Ave S</td>
<td>31 31 17 62460 000 0010</td>
<td>Oak Harbor W 60.48ft of Lot 1</td>
<td>670 26th Ave S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71784</td>
<td>Trapp, James</td>
<td>PO Box 441</td>
<td>31 31 17 62460 000 0380</td>
<td>Oak Harbor Lot 38</td>
<td>649 28th Ave S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71785</td>
<td>St Anthony's Prof Bldgs &amp; SVC</td>
<td>3001 W Dr Martin Luther King Jr</td>
<td>13 31 16 65952 000 0080</td>
<td>Pamona Heights Lot B</td>
<td>723 13th St N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Special Assessments Division

#### FINAL ASSESSMENT ROLL

**6-15-2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>MAILING ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL PARCEL ID</th>
<th>MAILING ADDRESS</th>
<th>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71786</td>
<td>GALLINA, ALEXANDRA</td>
<td>7305 36TH AVE N</td>
<td>07 31 16 08598 002 0150</td>
<td>7305 36TH AVE N</td>
<td>PHAIR ACRES</td>
<td>BLK B, LOT 15</td>
<td>585.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71787</td>
<td>SALTER-WILLIAMS, MAXINE E</td>
<td>546 50TH AVE S</td>
<td>25 31 16 68778 000 0150</td>
<td>1720 QUEEN ST S</td>
<td>PHOENIX PARK REPLAT</td>
<td>LOT 15</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71788</td>
<td>525 CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LLC</td>
<td>601 CLEVELAND ST STE 618</td>
<td>25 31 16 69264 000 0090</td>
<td>2167 14TH AVE S</td>
<td>PINE GROVE SUB</td>
<td>LOT 9</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71789</td>
<td>REED, JONATHAN C</td>
<td>1601 E NORTH BAY ST</td>
<td>27 31 16 72594 000 0050</td>
<td>4334 13TH AVE S</td>
<td>POORE'S SUB, E.L.</td>
<td>LOT 5</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71790</td>
<td>30TH STREET TRUST</td>
<td>7172 REGIONAL ST # 362</td>
<td>26 31 16 72846 000 0440</td>
<td>1334 30TH ST S</td>
<td>PRATHER'S HIGHLAND HOMES</td>
<td>LOT 44</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71791</td>
<td>SMITH, JOSEPH</td>
<td>4601 N 37TH ST</td>
<td>26 31 16 72846 000 0460</td>
<td>1348 30TH ST S</td>
<td>PRATHER'S HIGHLAND HOMES</td>
<td>LOT 46</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71792</td>
<td>GENERAL HOME DEVELOPMENT CORP</td>
<td>215 49TH ST S</td>
<td>23 31 16 76590 012 0100</td>
<td>3161 EMERSON AVE S</td>
<td>ROOSEVELT PARK ADD</td>
<td>BLK 12, LOT 10</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

SAS805R
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID /LEGAL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71793</td>
<td>PARTNERS IN CHARITY INC</td>
<td>25 31 16 79290 000 0070</td>
<td>1755 16TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>86 N WILLIAMS ST</td>
<td>SCOTT PARK</td>
<td>LOT 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CRYSTAL LAKE</td>
<td>IL 600144444</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71794</td>
<td>S P T O INC</td>
<td>27 31 16 80385 001 0120</td>
<td>1940 45TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6510 64TH AVE N</td>
<td>SHADOW LAWN BLK 1, LOT 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PINELLAS PARK</td>
<td>FL 337815218</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71795</td>
<td>MAHARAJ, GITA</td>
<td>27 31 16 80385 006 0020</td>
<td>4435 22ND AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4435 22ND AVE S</td>
<td>SHADOW LAWN BLK 6, LOTS 2 AND 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337113029</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71796</td>
<td>LOREVIL LAND TRUST AGM NO 1</td>
<td>25 31 16 82008 000 0090</td>
<td>1237 12TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1237 12TH AVE S</td>
<td>SILVER LAKE SUB Lot 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337052305</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71797</td>
<td>HUYNH, LINDA</td>
<td>25 31 16 82008 000 0120</td>
<td>1221 12TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6511 12TH ST N</td>
<td>SILVER LAKE SUB Lot 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337027307</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71798</td>
<td>NOORANI, AKBAR</td>
<td>25 31 16 89604 000 0090</td>
<td>2155 17TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>160 STANTON CIR</td>
<td>TANGERINE CENTRAL Lot 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OLDSMAR</td>
<td>FL 346771907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71799</td>
<td>FARRELL, LUXLEY F</td>
<td>26 31 16 89676 005 0230</td>
<td>3126 16TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>694 17TH AVE S</td>
<td>TANGERINE TERRACE BLK 5, LOT 23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337015820</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71800</td>
<td>GENERAL HOME DEVELOPMENT CORP</td>
<td>11 31 16 93924 003 0220</td>
<td>2856 25TH AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VERONA SUB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK C, LOT 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG, FL 337071925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71801</td>
<td>G T E MOBILENET OF TAMPA INC</td>
<td>21 31 16 94032 007 0080</td>
<td>620 49TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 2549</td>
<td></td>
<td>VICTORY HEIGHTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK G, LOTS 8 &amp; 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADDISON, TX 750012549</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG, TX 750012549</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71802</td>
<td>HARRIS, JOHN III</td>
<td>21 31 16 94032 010 0150</td>
<td>4609 FAIRFIELD AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>830 43RD ST S</td>
<td></td>
<td>VICTORY HEIGHTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK J, E 36.34FT OF LOT 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG, FL 33711923</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG, FL 33711923</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71803</td>
<td>ANTIODRE, RICHARD F</td>
<td>28 31 16 94248 007 0160</td>
<td>4835 10TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2047 2ND AVE N</td>
<td></td>
<td>VINSETTA PARK ADD REV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK 7, LOT 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG, FL 337138805</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG, FL 337138805</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71804</td>
<td>801 CENTRAL ST PETE LLC</td>
<td>19 31 17 94843 001 0010</td>
<td>801 CENTRAL AVE</td>
<td>1,066.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>315 S BISCAYNE BLVD</td>
<td></td>
<td>WARD &amp; BAUM'S ADD PARTIAL REPLAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIAMI, FL 331312312</td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK 1, LOTS 1,2,3 &amp; 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1578 71805</td>
<td>LODIATOM INVEST LLC</td>
<td>22 31 16 98090 002 0150</td>
<td>4411 EMERSON AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2451 MCMULLEN BOOTH RD STE 200</td>
<td></td>
<td>WOODSTOCK SUB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CLEARWATER, FL 337591362</td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK B, LOT 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL NUMBER OF ASSESSMENTS: 62

TOTAL ASSESSMENT AMOUNT: 13,035.16
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY ASSESSED</th>
<th>AMOUNT TO BEASSESSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOT CLEARING COST</td>
<td>$ 9,006.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMINISTRATIVE FEE</td>
<td>$ 4,030.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>$ 13,035.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AND APPROVING PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR LOT CLEARING NO. 1578; PROVIDING FOR AN INTEREST RATE ON UNPAID ASSESSMENTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, preliminary assessment rolls for Lot Clearing No. 1578 have been submitted by the Mayor to the City Council pursuant to St. Petersburg Code Section 16.40.060.4.4; and

WHEREAS, notice of the public hearing was duly published in accordance with St. Petersburg City Code Section 16.40.060.4.4; and

WHEREAS, City Council did meet at the time and place specified in the notice and heard any and all complaints that any person affected by said proposed assessments wished to offer; and

WHEREAS, City Council has corrected any and all mistakes or errors appearing on said preliminary assessment rolls.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the preliminary assessment rolls for Lot Clearing No. 1578 are approved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the principal amount of all assessment liens levied and assessed herein shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum from the date of this resolution.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)

00326342
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

MEETING OF: June 15, 2017

TO: COUNCIL CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Confirming Preliminary Assessment for
Building Securing Number SEC 1224

EXPLANATION: Codes Compliance Assistance has secured the
attached structures which were found to be
unfit or unsafe under Chapter 8 of the
St. Petersburg City Code. The interest
rate is 12% per annum on the unpaid balance.

SEC: 1224
NUMBER OF STRUCTURES 8
ASSESSABLE AMOUNT: $1,539.57

According to the City Code, these assessments constitute a
lien on each property. It is recommended that the assessments
be confirmed.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION:
The total assessable amount of $1,539.57 will be fully assessable to
the property owners.

ATTACHMENTS:

MAYOR: ________________________________

COUNCIL ACTION: ______________________

FOLLOW-UP: ___________________________ AGENDA NO. ____________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME / MAILING ADDRESS</th>
<th>PARCEL ID / LEGAL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1224 07737</td>
<td>M S I CONSULTING INC 654 N 800 E STE 437</td>
<td>25 31 16 28908 000 0100</td>
<td>2027 13TH AVE S</td>
<td>102.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPANISH FORK UT 846601651</td>
<td>FOREST HILL LOT 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1224 07738</td>
<td>NEUGEBAUER, CECELIA 2465 NORTHSIDE DR APT 305</td>
<td>13 31 15 31788 022 0790</td>
<td>7818 COUNTRY CLUB RD N</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CLEARWATER FL 337612225</td>
<td>GOLF COURSE &amp; JUNGLE SUB BLK V, LOT 79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1224 07739</td>
<td>WILLIAMS, BRUCE C 2053 WINCHESTER BLVD</td>
<td>25 31 16 35442 003 0170</td>
<td>1430 16TH ST S</td>
<td>164.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAMPBELL CA 950083419</td>
<td>HANCOCK'S SUB BLK 3, LOT 17 &amp; S 13FT OF LOT 18 AND ADJ VAC 20FT ON W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1224 07740</td>
<td>P H H MTG CORP 2001 BISHOPS GATE BLVD</td>
<td>25 31 16 64854 000 0080</td>
<td>1011 16TH AVE S</td>
<td>120.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOUNT LAUREL NJ 080544604</td>
<td>OSBORNE PLACE LOT 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1224 07741</td>
<td>R M F HOLDINGS LLC 320 KINGSTON ST S</td>
<td>27 31 16 75402 000 1290</td>
<td>3510 16TH AVE S</td>
<td>110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337111610</td>
<td>RIDGEWOOD TERRACE LOT 129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1224 07742</td>
<td>ST PETERSBURG PROPERTY I LLC 47 OLD SUDBURY RD</td>
<td>30 31 17 77400 000 1340</td>
<td>661 14TH AVE S</td>
<td>685.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WAYLAND MA 017781808</td>
<td>ROYAL POINCIANA LOTS 134 AND 135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1224 07743</td>
<td>LISTER, GRACE P 1867 OREGON AVE NE</td>
<td>33 30 17 81274 011 0250</td>
<td>1867 OREGON AVE NE</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337031921</td>
<td>SHORE ACRES EDGEWATER SEC BLK 11, LOT 25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME /MAILING ADDRESS</td>
<td>PARCEL ID /LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1224 07744</td>
<td>GLOVER, RICHARD T 6711 30TH AVE N SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337103103</td>
<td>07 31 16 90162 000 1500 TERESA GARDENS LOT 150</td>
<td>6711 30TH AVE N</td>
<td>156.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL NUMBER OF ASSESSMENTS: 8

TOTAL ASSESSMENT AMOUNT: 1,539.57
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>AMOUNT TO BE ASSESSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SECURING COST</td>
<td>$ 495.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERIAL COST</td>
<td>$ 408.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGAL AD</td>
<td>$ 276.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMIN. FEE</td>
<td>$ 360.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL: $ 1,539.57
WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg has proceeded under the provision of Chapter 8, of the St. Petersburg City Code to secure certain properties; and

WHEREAS, the structures so secured are listed on Securing Building No. 1224 ("SEC 1224"); and

WHEREAS, Section 8-270 of the St. Petersburg City Code provides that the City Council shall assess the entire cost of such securing against the property on which the costs were incurred and that assessments shall become a lien upon the property superior to all others, except taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has held a public hearing on June 15, 2017, to hear all persons who wished to be heard concerning this matter.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that this Council assesses the costs of securing listed on Securing Building No. 1224 ("SEC 1224") as liens against the respective real property on which the costs were incurred and that pursuant to Section 8-270 of the St. Petersburg City Code said liens shall be superior in dignity to all other liens except taxes; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute and record notice(s) of the lien(s) provided for herein in the public records of the County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Special Assessment Certificates to be issued hereunder shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum on the unpaid balance from the date of the adoption of this resolution.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
00326343
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

MEETING OF: June 15, 2017

TO: COUNCIL CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Confirming Preliminary Assessment for Building Demolition Number DMO 450

EXPLANATION: The privately owned structures on the attached list were condemned by the City in response to unfit or unsafe conditions as authorized under Chapter 8 of the St. Petersburg City Code. The City’s Codes Compliance Assistance Department incurred costs of condemnation/securing/appeal/abatement/demolition and under the provisions of City Code Section 8-270, these costs are to be assessed to the property. The interest rate is 12% per annum on the unpaid balance.

DMO: 450
NUMBER OF STRUCTURES: 5
ASSESSABLE AMOUNT: $32,035.56

According to the City Code, these assessments constitute a lien on each property. It is recommended that the assessments be confirmed.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION:
The total assessable amount of $32,035.56 will be fully assessable to the property owners.

ATTACHMENTS:

MAYOR: ________________________________

COUNCIL ACTION: ________________________________

FOLLOW-UP: ________________________________ AGENDA NO. _________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0450 03239</td>
<td>TITAN DEVELOPMENT GROUP LLC</td>
<td>28 31 16 02034 000 0230</td>
<td>8,171.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4118 CAUSEWAY VISTA DR</td>
<td>BACK BAY HOMES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA</td>
<td>LOT 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28 31 16 02034 000 0230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4659 QUEENSBORO AVE S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,171.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0450 03240</td>
<td>WILLIAMS, ALBERT L</td>
<td>25 31 16 40734 005 0110</td>
<td>465.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1161 16TH AVE S</td>
<td>1161 16TH AVE S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>465.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 336155416</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0450 03241</td>
<td>WALKER, ROSE M</td>
<td>25 31 16 54954 004 0040</td>
<td>11,387.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1325 PRESTON ST S</td>
<td>1325 PRESTON ST S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>11,387.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 337052431</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0450 03242</td>
<td>VANN, ROBERT L</td>
<td>31 31 17 62460 000 0280</td>
<td>11,545.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2701 6TH ST S</td>
<td>2701 6TH ST S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>11,545.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 337053609</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0450 03243</td>
<td>DEL RIO PROPERTIES LLC</td>
<td>26 31 16 72936 000 0070</td>
<td>465.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>893 PASEO DEL RIO NE</td>
<td>2548 11TH AVE S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>465.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 337021449</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL NUMBER OF ASSESSMENTS: 5

TOTAL ASSESSMENT AMOUNT: 32,035.56
# Building Demolition Number DMO 450

## Cost/Funding/Assessment Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount to Be Assessed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demolition Cost</td>
<td>$18,170.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos Cost</td>
<td>$1,285.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Ad</td>
<td>$966.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer's Charge</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Fee</td>
<td>$1,438.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$32,035.56</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A RESOLUTION ASSESSING THE COSTS OF DEMOLITION LISTED ON BUILDING DEMOLITION NO. 450 ("DMO NO. 450") AS LIENS AGAINST THE RESPECTIVE REAL PROPERTY ON WHICH THE COSTS WERE INCURRED; PROVIDING THAT SAID LIENS HAVE A PRIORITY AS ESTABLISHED BY CITY CODE SECTION 8-270; PROVIDING FOR AN INTEREST RATE ON UNPAID BALANCES; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND RECORD NOTICE(S) OF LIEN(S) IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF THE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg has proceeded under the provision of Chapter 8, of the St. Petersburg City Code to demolish certain properties; and

WHEREAS, the structures so demolished are listed on Building Demolition No. 450 ("DMO No. 450"); and

WHEREAS, Section 8-270 of the St. Petersburg City Code provides that the City Council shall assess the entire cost of such demolition against the property on which the costs were incurred and that assessments shall become a lien upon the property superior to all others, except taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has held a public hearing on June 15, 2017, to hear all persons who wished to be heard concerning this matter.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that this Council assesses the costs of the demolition listed on Building Demolition No. 450 ("DMO No. 450") as liens against the respective real property on which the costs were incurred and that pursuant to Section 8-270 of the St. Petersburg City Code said liens shall be superior in dignity to all other liens except taxes; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Special Assessment Certificates to be issued hereunder shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum on the unpaid balance from the date of the adoption of this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute and record notice(s) of the lien(s) provided for herein in the public records of the County.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
00326341
COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AGENDA ITEMS CHECKLIST  
(Non-Consent Agenda)  

Meeting of June 15, 2017

Submitting Department: Planning and Economic Development
Department Contact: Rick D. Smith (#7106)
Agenda Category: Report
Agenda Subject Matter: A Resolution by the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency recommending City Council approve a Resolution superceding Resolution 2017-316 to allow the Chair to appoint up to three members to the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area (CRA) Grant Review Committee, while retaining the remaining substantive items approved in Resolution 2017-316.

APPROVALS:

Administrative: 

Budget: N/A

Legal: 

Is attached back-up material complete? Yes
REQUEST

Community Redevelopment Agency recommendation that the St. Petersburg City Council approve a Resolution superceding Resolution 2017-316 to allow the Chair to appoint up to three members to the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area (CRA) Grant Review Committee, while retaining the remaining substantive items approved in Resolution 2017-316.

BACKGROUND

On May 18, 2017, City Council approved Resolution 2017-316, which among other items established the South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Review Committee, comprised of three City Council members from Districts 5, 6 and 7, as well as four members of the Citizen Advisory Committee for the South St. Petersburg CRA. The other items approved by Res. 2017-316 include

1) Creating a grant scoring system for the Grant Review Committee to evaluate and rank applications in order to prioritize awardees and then make recommendations to City Council who will then approve the final awards;

2) Amending the grant scoring system to exclude applicants with a felony conviction for financial mismanagement within the past five years and adding 34th Street South as a “Priority Commercial Corridor”;

3) Creating guidelines for administering “emergency reserve” fund; and

4) Developing a pilot program allowing a limited number of awardees to be reimbursed after completion of project phases, instead of project completion, as is currently required.

Since that approval City Administration and City Council have recognized that conflicting schedules may prevent some or all of District 5, 6 and/or 7 City Council members from attending the Grant Review Committee meetings and that providing for flexible membership by Council members on the Committee is desirable. This problem can be resolved by allowing the
City Council Chair to appoint as an alternate any Councilmember to the Committee in the event that a Councilmember from Districts 5, 6, or 7 is unable to attend the Committee meetings.

Approving the proposed resolution which supercedes Res. 2017-316 will only impact the composition requirements for City Council to be members of the Grant Review Committee. The other items approved by City Council in Res. 2017-316 delineated above in items (1) through (4) have been incorporated into the proposed resolution and will remain in effect.

RECOMMENDATION

The St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency recommends that City Council APPROVE the attached resolution.

Resolution: Establishing a grant review committee and approving a CRA grant scoring system
A RESOLUTION OF THE ST. PETERSBURG COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG APPROVE THE 1) ESTABLISHMENT OF THE SOUTH ST. PETERSBURG COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AREA (CRA) GRANT REVIEW COMMITTEE; 2) THE SOUTH ST. PETERSBURG CRA GRANT SCORING AND EVALUATION SYSTEM; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg City Council approved Resolution No. 2013-247, on June 20, 2013, which made a finding of necessity identifying the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area (CRA) as blighted, pursuant to Florida’s Community Redevelopment Act of 1969 (Chapter 163, Part III);

WHEREAS, on October 3, 2013, the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners (BCC) accepted the City’s findings of necessity pursuant to Resolution No. 13-186, and on June 9, 2014, approved Resolution 14-43, which delegated certain powers to the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg in order to carry out certain redevelopment functions within the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area, as defined therein (CRA);

WHEREAS, pursuant to City of St. Petersburg Resolution No. 2014-296, the City Council accepted such redevelopment powers on July 10, 2014;

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg City Council approved a Community Redevelopment Plan (Plan) for the South St. Petersburg CRA on May 21, 2015 (Ord. #169-H), which included establishment of a tax increment financing district and redevelopment trust fund for the entire 7.4-sq.mi. South St. Petersburg CRA;

WHEREAS, the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners approved the Plan on June 2, 2015 (Res. #15-48) and delegated authority to the City of St. Petersburg to establish a redevelopment trust fund for the entire South St. Petersburg CRA;

WHEREAS, the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners approved on June 23, 2015, Ord. #15-27 establishing a redevelopment trust fund for the entire South St. Petersburg CRA;

WHEREAS, a major strategy of the South St. Petersburg Plan is to direct the vast majority of revenues generated from the South St. Petersburg tax increment financing district to provide direct assistance for private investment in residential and non-residential redevelopment in the form of grants, loans, property tax abatements or other vehicles that help leverage capital from diverse sources;
WHEREAS, the South St. Petersburg Plan also envisions providing funding assistance to governmental and non-profit entities that provide array of services supporting the intent of the redevelopment plan, including marketing and promotion, business assistance and loans, workforce development and job readiness;

WHEREAS, at its August 11, 2016, public meeting the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg requested a more transparent review process for grant awards funded from the South St. Petersburg CRA redevelopment trust fund;

WHEREAS, the South St. Petersburg Plan requires the Citizen Advisory Committee for the South St. Petersburg CRA and the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency to make recommendations on these programs to City Council before approval;

WHEREAS, City Administration recommended to the Committee of the Whole at their meetings on December 1, 2016, and March 2, 2017, the establishment of a South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Review Committee, with a range of options for membership composition;

WHEREAS, at the December 1, 2016, Committee of the Whole meeting, City Administration recommended the creation of a South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System to assist the Grant Review Committee and the COW approved of the approach, while directing Administration to make various changes;

WHEREAS, at the January 10, 2017, meeting of the Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) for the South St. Petersburg CRA, City Administration presented the idea for the Grant Review Committee and the Grant Scoring System for later action by the CAC;

WHEREAS, the Committee of the Whole at its March 2, 2017, meeting voted unanimously on a motion for the Grant Review Committee to be comprised of three members of City Council (Districts 5, 6, and 7) and four members of the Citizen Advisory Committee for the South St. Petersburg CRA;

WHEREAS, at the same March 2, 2017, meeting, the Committee of the Whole voted unanimously to require that all South St. Petersburg CRA grants recommended by the Grant Review Committee, regardless of dollar amount, would go to City Council for final approval;

WHEREAS, at its March 14, 2017, meeting, the CAC for the South St. Petersburg CRA recommended approval of a Grant Review Committee comprised of three members of City Council (Districts 5, 6, and 7) and four members of the Citizen Advisory Committee for the South St. Petersburg CRA; the requirement that City Council have final approval of the CRA grant awards recommended by the Grant Review Committee; and the use of the Grant Scoring System; and
WHEREAS, at its May 18, 2017, public meeting, the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency recommended that the St. Petersburg City Council approve a Grant Review Committee comprised of three members of City Council (Districts 5, 6, and 7) and four members of the Citizen Advisory Committee for the South St. Petersburg CRA; the requirement that City Council have final approval of the CRA grant awards recommended by the Grant Review Committee; and the use of the Grant Scoring System; and

WHEREAS, at its May 18, 2017, public meeting, St. Petersburg City Council approved the South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System, subject to amendments that 1) exclude applicants that have a felony conviction for financial mismanagement within the past five years; and 2) add 34th Street South as a Priority Commercial Corridor (see Exhibit 1).

WHEREAS, City Administration and the St. Petersburg City Council recognize that conflicting schedules may prevent some or all of District, 5, 6, and 7 Councilmembers from attending the Grant Review Committee meetings and that providing for flexible membership by Councilmembers on the Committee is advisable.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency recommends that City Council approve the establishment of the South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Review Committee to be comprised of three members of City Council (Districts 5, 6, and 7) and four members of the Citizen Advisory Committee for the South St. Petersburg CRA.

NOW, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency recommends that City Council empower the City Council Chair to appoint as an alternate any Councilmember to the Committee in the event that a Councilmember from any of Districts 5, 6, and/or 7 is unable to attend the Committee meetings.

NOW, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency recommends to City Council that the South St. Petersburg Grant Review Committee shall make recommendations on awards of CRA grant funding to the St. Petersburg City Council, which shall have final approval on the awards.

NOW, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency recommends that City Council approve the South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System, subject to amendments that 1) exclude applicants that have a felony conviction for financial mismanagement within the past five years; and 2) add 34th Street South as a Priority Commercial Corridor (see Exhibit 1). (see Exhibit 1).

NOW, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency recommends that City Council enable the South St. Petersburg CRA
Grant Review Committee to approve revisions to the scoring system as may be necessary to ensure the efficient administration of the program.

NOW, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency recommends that City Council authorize Administration to create guidelines for reviewing grant applications to the emergency reserve fund with such guidelines to be approved by the South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Review Committee.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:  

[Signature]

City Attorney (Designee)

APPROVED BY:

[Signature]

Dave Goodwin, Director
Planning and Economic Development
Exhibit 1

CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System
South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area
The South St. Petersburg Redevelopment Plan calls for revitalizing the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area (CRA) by promoting reinvestment in housing and neighborhoods, commercial corridors, business development, education and workforce development and nonprofit capacity building. This will be accomplished by utilizing tax increment financing to leverage and incentivize private investment in the CRA through public-private partnerships. This approach is explicitly encouraged by Florida Statutes which states that

Any county or municipality, to the greatest extent it determines to be feasible in carrying out the provisions of this part, shall afford maximum opportunity, consistent with the sound needs of the county or municipality as a whole, to the rehabilitation or redevelopment of the community redevelopment area by private enterprise.

However, private enterprise is hindered in South St. Petersburg by the lack of access to capital from financial institutions. This reality was a recurring theme from the CRA community during the preparation of the Redevelopment Plan and is a complaint borne out by Community Reinvestment Act (Act) data gathered by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC). Capital access for these businesses in the CRA is essential today because total amounts in the CRA for small loans (less than $100,000) have dropped from $11.3 million for 1,087 loans in 2007 to $5.5 million for 438 loans in 2015. Low income small business owners saw an even greater 75% drop in total loan volume over the same period.

To facilitate the revitalization of South St. Petersburg by private enterprise and provide capital for financially-strapped businesses, the City has developed a matching grant program for businesses, property owners and housing developers. By incentivizing private investment by existing property owners and businesses, the South St. Petersburg approach ensures that development is organic and incremental, not imposed from above and disruptive to the community.

The South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Program

The South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Program is funded by tax increment financing revenue from the South St. Petersburg Redevelopment Trust Fund. It is designed to ensure that public funds are efficiently, equitably and transparently distributed to assist businesses and property owners in the revitalization of the CRA.

This intent is implemented through the South St. Petersburg CRA Grant Review Committee and the CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System. The Review Committee is comprised of three City Council members from District 5, District 6 and District 7 as well as four members of the Citizen

---

1 The Act was passed by the United States Congress in 1977 and seeks to prevent "redlining" of poor neighborhoods by federally insured financial institutions. The FFIEC is the compliance agency and collects information on lending for both businesses and home mortgages.
Advisory Committee of the South St. Petersburg CRA. For the FY2017 round of CRA grant applications the Review Committee is tentatively scheduled to meet on Friday, July 21, 2017, at 8:30 a.m. in Conference Room 100 of City Hall, located at 175 5th Street North.

Overview of the CRA Scoring and Evaluation System

The Grant Committee will use the “CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System” that follows to evaluate and rank applications according to their consistency with the primary goals and objectives of the South St. Petersburg Redevelopment Plan, which is to remedy physical and social contributors to blight and poverty in the CRA.

The scoring criteria that begins on page 6 For the purposes of the “CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System”, Administration focused on strategies from the Action Plan (Chapter Four) and Redevelopment Program (Chapter 5) to show the linkages between the details of the grant scoring system and the Redevelopment Plan, which provides broad policy statements on its intents. (The relevant page number of the Redevelopment Plan is included.)

Blight Removal and Codes

Improving the investment climate of a place by removing blight and enhancing its image and identity is the essential charge of the Community Redevelopment Act. Enhancing the CRA’s appearance will take many forms, both in improving its physical qualities and marketing the opportunities, assets and successes that South St. Petersburg has and will have during the life of the Redevelopment Program... Physical improvement strategies for the CRA will include TIF funding programs for façade and site improvements for both residential and non-residential properties. The main commercial corridors within the CRA will be a particular focus for this effort because they represent the front-doors to most neighborhoods and their appearance will drive or reduce investment (page 46).

Corridor Revitalization

- Create a shared commercial parking program along the CRA’s primary corridors to facilitate reuse and expansion of space-constrained buildings unable to meet the City’s parking standards (page 35).

- Pursue adaptive reuse or redevelopment of old motels and vacant and underutilized buildings (page 35).

- Promote the adaptive reuse of historic buildings whose zoning is inappropriate for the historic use and character of the building (page 35).

- To reduce conflicts between commercial development and surrounding neighborhoods through improved site planning utilize site design techniques, lighting and sound attenuation,
landscaping, fencing and other means to provide adequate buffers and protections from surrounding residential properties (page 36).

- Work with owners along primary commercial corridors in the CRA to maintain and upgrade their properties (page 36).

- Introduce multi-family housing and mixed uses at strategic locations to buffer single-family residential neighborhoods from more intense uses (page 40).

- Continue to support increased residential densities along the CRA’s primary commercial corridors to promote affordability and improved transit access for CRA residents (page 40).

**BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT (PAGE 32)**

- Maintain and expand support services to start-ups and entrepreneurs, especially women and minority enterprises, through the Greenhouse in business plan development, feasibility analysis, marketing, mentoring and networking opportunities (page 32).

- Increase outreach and continue to connect South St. Petersburg businesses with sources of technical assistance, such as the Greenhouse, CareerSource, and the Department of Labor Job Corps facility to improve small businesses’ access to capital and labor pools (page 32).

- Study expanding the SBE Certification program to include the certification and utilization of minority and women business enterprises and disadvantaged business enterprises to remove barriers to participation for these firms in redevelopment projects, contracts and procurement of goods and services associated with the Redevelopment Plan (page 32).

- Provide small business incentives for renovations, façade improvements, new development funding and additional infrastructure improvements (page 33).

**ENVIRONMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY (PAGE 31)**

- Continue the City’s Brownfields program by identifying properties where redevelopment is hindered by perceived/real environmental contamination and providing all available assistance to ensure remediation.

**EDUCATION, JOB READINESS AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT (PAGE 38 & 39)**

- Partner with St. Petersburg and Pinellas County employers to invest in skills gap closing projects to accelerate promotions and hiring

- Collaborate and fund work readiness programs with post-secondary schools that move impoverished parents and young adults into certification and training and degree programs.
Support organizations such as Pinellas Schools and the Pinellas Education Foundation to increase enrollment of struggling students in high school career academies.

Provide funding to accredited workforce development providers such as Pinellas Technical Education Center, St. Petersburg College and Career Source to train residents of South St. Petersburg for entry into the workforce as well as later career opportunities.

Collaborate with existing providers and/or develop other research-based or evidence-based pre-school initiatives designed to improve the school readiness of children in the South St. Petersburg CRA.

The Redevelopment Plan will utilize a portion of the tax increment to enhance the capacity of early education, work readiness and workforce development providers to improve "Cradle to Career" opportunities. These programs are necessary to ensure that the Redevelopment Plan not only revitalizes South St. Petersburg as a place but also increases the economic prospects of the people living there allowing them to remain in the neighborhood without being displaced by successful redevelopment efforts (page 50).

Affordable Housing

Continue to support increased residential densities along the CRA's primary commercial corridors to promote affordability and improved transit access for CRA residents (page 40).

Increase the supply of affordable housing for both homeownership and rental opportunities (page 40)

Affordable housing is serious concern in South St. Petersburg, where 55% of all households and 73% of renters pay more than 30 percent of their monthly income for housing costs. The City will continue to work with developers, nonprofits and federal, state and county agencies to provide affordable single-family and multi-family housing to families below 120 percent of the area's median income. The City will use TIF to assist renovation of existing multifamily and single-family units and build new multifamily developments as well as assist programs that provide single-family homeownership opportunities (page 48).

Rehabilitate Multifamily Units (page 40)

Continue and expand financial incentives for upgrading the energy efficiency of residential units to reduce the monthly cost of housing for CRA residents.

Continue and expand the funding levels of City rehabilitation programs, such as Home Repair, Barrier Free, and Emergency Repair loans, through the TIF Incentive Program.

Continue to support increased residential densities along the CRA's primary commercial corridors to promote affordability and improved transit access for CRA residents.
Grant Scoring and Evaluation System
South St. Petersburg CRA

- Provide incentives for developers of market rate housing in the South St. Petersburg CRA.
- Assist rental residential property owners in making substantial renovations to their properties.

Maintain/Improve Neighborhood Character and Identity (Page 41)

- Survey neighborhoods throughout the South St. Petersburg CRA to determine their eligibility for listing as districts on the National Register of Historic Places.
- Continue to work with property owners to identify historic landmarks within the CRA and promote their preservation through tax incentives and other programs.

STAR Communities Rating System

At the December 1, 2016, Council of the Whole workshop, Councilmember Rice requested that the grant ranking system attempt to align with the objectives of the City's "STAR Community Initiative". The STAR Community Rating System is the nation's first comprehensive framework and certification program for evaluating local sustainability, encompassing economic, environmental and social performance measures. STAR was developed for local governments by local governments. In December 2016, the City of St. Petersburg was awarded the Certified 3-STAR Community Rating for sustainability leadership. The community received 381.7 points out of the available 720 points. A Certified 4-STAR rating requires a minimum 400 point score.

The STAR Community Rating System is organized around the 7 thematic Goal Areas:

**Built Environment**
Achieve livability, choice, and access for all where people live, work, and play

**Climate and Energy**
Reduce climate impacts through adaptation and mitigation efforts and increase resource efficiency

**Education, Arts and Community**
Empower vibrant, educated, connected, and diverse communities

**Economy and Jobs**
Create equitably shared prosperity and access to quality jobs

**Equity and Empowerment**
Ensure equity, inclusion, and access to opportunity for all citizens

**Health and Safety**
Strengthen communities to be healthy, resilient and safe places for residents and businesses

**Natural Systems**
Protect and restore the natural resource base upon which life depends
Within the seven Goal Areas are 5 to 7 objectives by which communities are able to measure the progress across all areas. The CRA Grant Scoring and Evaluation System best aligns with the objectives in the following goal areas

**Built Environment**

**COMPACT AND COMPLETE COMMUNITIES:** Concentrate development in compact, human-scaled, walkable centers and neighborhoods that connect to transit, offer diverse uses and services, and provide housing options for families of all income levels.

**HOUSING AFFORDABILITY** Construct, preserve, and maintain an adequate and diverse supply of location-efficient and affordable housing options for all residents.

**INFILL AND REDEVELOPMENT** Focus new growth in infill areas and on redevelopment that does not require the extension of water, sewer, and road infrastructure or facilitate sprawl.

**Climate and Energy**

**RESOURCE EFFICIENT BUILDINGS:** Improve the energy and water efficiency of the community’s residential, commercial, and institutional building stock.

**Economy and Jobs**

**BUSINESS RETENTION AND DEVELOPMENT:** Foster economic prosperity and stability by retaining and expanding businesses with support from the business community.

**LOCAL ECONOMY** Create an increasingly self-reliant community through a robust local economy with benefits shared by all.

**QUALITY JOBS AND LIVING WAGES** Expand job opportunities that support upward economic mobility and provide sufficient wages so that working people and their families can afford a decent standard of living.

**Education, Arts and Community**

**HISTORIC PRESERVATION** Preserve and reuse historic structures and sites to retain local, regional, and national history and heritage, reinforce community character, and conserve resources.

### FY2017 CRA Budget for the Grant Program

On May 4, 2017, City Council approved the CRA budget for FY2017, committing approximately $507,210 in the Business Development and Job Creation category. From this total was subtracted $100,000 for uses not related to the CRA grant program, which leaves more than $411,000 available for applicants to the Commercial Site Improvement Grant and Commercial Building Interior and Tenant Improvement Grant programs. Another $121,920 was approved by City Council in the Housing and Neighborhood Revitalization category for applicants to the Multifamily Residential Property Improvement Grant program.

The City began marketing these and other grant programs the week of April 10, 2017.
# Grant Application Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed and signed application form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation that project will require site plan and/or building permit approval. (Mandatory)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copy of current business tax certificate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation that mortgage payments are current and in good standing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation that property insurance payments are current and in good standing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation that property tax payments are current and in good standing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation of property ownership or written consent from property owner giving permission to conduct the identified improvements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal description and survey of project site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed/existing use is consistent with the City’s Land Development Regulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed/existing use is a nonconforming use.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed/existing use is a grandfathered.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital photographs of existing conditions of the project site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sketches or conceptual drawings of improvements that will be funded by the Grant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written description of project improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction estimates from a licensed contractor.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signed commitment to provide affordable housing in accordance with City requirements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Nonresidential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aesthetics, Blight Removal &amp; Codes Compliance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project involves both improvements to site, such as landscaping, parking and lighting as well as to principal building.</td>
<td>Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will provide improvements on street-facing elevation of building. These can include storefront windows, painting, lighting, etc.</td>
<td>Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will remedy code violations identified by City’s Codes Compliance Department.</td>
<td>Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replaces nonconforming or grandfathered use with one permitted by City ordinances.</td>
<td>Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will rehabilitate a structure(s) deemed vacant and boarded by the Codes Compliance Dept.</td>
<td>Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will improve handicapped accessibility by adding ramp, widening entrances, and/or providing an enhanced parking space (resurfacing and striping, landscaping, or relocation).</td>
<td>Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed work is on a locally designated historic landmark.</td>
<td>STAR: Historic Preservation, Neighborhood Character</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If parking lot only, project meets or exceeds City LDRs for landscaping, drive aisle widths, stormwater retention, etc. City zoning staff will provide a letter confirming proposal meets or exceeds.</td>
<td>Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has taken at least one class at the City’s Greenhouse.</td>
<td>Business Develop., STAR: Business Ret. &amp; Development, STAR: Local Economy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Nonresidential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Applicant(s) has used a contractor certified with the City's Small Business Enterprise program for the grant application’s construction estimates. | * Business Develop.  
* STAR: Business Ret. 
& Development  
* STAR: Local Economy | 5 | |

**Application Subtotal**
## Nonresidential Grant Applications

### Evaluation Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Investment and Financial Character</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of prior private investment by applicant in South St. Petersburg CRA within the last 5 years without City assistance. Includes investment in construction and equipment, which must be documented with submission of invoices and receipts and construction value information from Construction Services.</td>
<td><strong>STAR: Local Economy</strong></td>
<td>5 to 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000 to $25,000 — 5 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 to $50,000 — 10 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 to $75,000 — 15 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+$75,000- 20 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has code enforcement liens on property subject to grant in excess of $2,500.</td>
<td><strong>Council Request</strong></td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has special assessment liens on property subject to grant over $500.</td>
<td><strong>Council Request</strong></td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has mortgage payments three months in arrears on property subject to grant.</td>
<td><strong>Council Request</strong></td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has unpaid property taxes on property subject to grant.</td>
<td><strong>Council Request</strong></td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has unpaid property insurance on property subject to grant.</td>
<td><strong>Council Request</strong></td>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Application Subtotal
Grant Scoring and Evaluation System
South St. Petersburg CRA

Nonresidential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Location</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located along a Priority Commercial Corridor selected by the CAC for the given grant cycle. For FY2017, the “Priority Corridors” are - Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. St. South - 16th Street South - 49th Street (Gulfport boundary to CRA north boundary) - 18th Avenue South - 34th Street South</td>
<td>• Blight Removal/ Codes • Corridor Rev. • STAR: Compact Communities • STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will revitalize a property identified as an actual source of contamination by the City’s Brownfields Assessment Grant survey that will be conducted in the CRA through 2020.</td>
<td>• Blight Removal • Environment &amp; Sustainability • STAR: Compact Communities • STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located within Deuces Live or Grand Central Florida Main Street Districts.</td>
<td>• Corridor Rev. • Neighborhood Character • STAR: Compact Communities • STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located on same block and/or block face as a project completed since July 2016, or with an approved building permit.</td>
<td>• Corridor Rev. • STAR: Compact Communities • STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located at the intersection of arterial and/or collector roadways as identified by the City’s Official Street map.</td>
<td>• Corridor Rev • STAR: Compact Communities • STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Application Subtotal

FY2017
### Nonresidential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustainability and Green Building Practices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>15</strong></td>
<td><strong>6%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project has had a free energy assessment from Duke Energy and will implement at least one recommendation.</td>
<td><em>STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs.</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will incorporate energy efficient practices including energy efficient interior and exterior lighting where applicable.</td>
<td><em>STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs.</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will use water conservation programs and practices like low flow toilets/fixtures, free water-efficient spray valves for restaurants, and other high efficiency appliances such as Energy Star.</td>
<td><em>STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs.</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Application Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Nonresidential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Use</strong></td>
<td>Project will add new commercial or industrial space, either through a change of use or a new addition</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 2,500 SF – 5 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,500 to 5,000 SF - 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5,000 SF – 10 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <em>Business Develop.</em> • <em>Corridor Dev.</em> • <em>STAR: Business Ret. &amp; Development</em> • <em>STAR: Local Economy</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project will enable the re-occupancy of previously vacant/boarded space.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <em>Blight Removal/ Codes</em> • <em>Business Develop.</em> • <em>Corridor Dev.</em> • <em>STAR: Compact Communities</em> • <em>STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project will enable shared parking facilities along a Primary Commercial Corridor in the CRA. (A “shared” parking facility is one allowing employees or customers from businesses on other properties to park.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <em>Business Dev.</em> • <em>Corridor Rev.</em> • <em>STAR: Compact Communities</em> • <em>STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project will renovate a “non-chain” commercial use for which there are no other establishments in Pinellas County.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <em>Business Develop.</em> • <em>Corridor Dev.</em> • <em>STAR: Business Ret. &amp; Development</em> • <em>STAR: Local Economy</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project will enable a nonconforming use to continue.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <em>Blight Removal/ Codes</em></td>
<td>-20 to 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project will enable a grandfathered use to continue.</td>
<td></td>
<td>-5 to 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <em>Blight Removal/ Codes</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project will adaptively reuse/redevelop old motel complexes in the CRA.</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <em>Blight Removal/ Codes</em> • <em>Corridor Rev.</em> • <em>STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nonresidential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Plan Reference</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Applicant(s) is an owner-occupied business that has been in business within the CRA for two or more years as of July 19, 2017. | • Business Develop.  
• STAR: Business Ret. & Development  
• STAR: Local Economy | 15        |               |
| Applicant(s) has been in business at current location for two or more years as of July 19, 2017. | • Business Develop.  
• STAR: Business Ret. & Development  
• STAR: Local Economy | 10        |               |
| Applicant(s) will provide a social service(s) to the CRA such as child care, early childhood education, and workforce development. | • Education & Workforce  
• STAR: Business Ret. & Development  
• STAR: Local Economy | 10        |               |
| Project is for a building that serves as a live-work space in a mixed use district, where the funding for the work space cannot be clearly differentiated from the rest of the building. | • Blight Removal/ Codes | -10 to 0   |               |
| Request is for work on a new building, or a building that has been expanded or substantially renovated since July 2011. | • Blight Removal/ Codes | -10 to 0   |               |

Application Subtotal
# File Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Award Request</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Point Totals

| Aesthetics, Blight Removal and Code Compliance |
| Local Investment and Financial Character |
| Project Location |
| Sustainability and Green Building Practices |
| Project Use |

## Total Application Score
## Evaluation Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Goals Met</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aesthetics, Blight Removal &amp; Code Compliance</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project involves both improvements to site, such as landscaping, parking and lighting as well as to principal building.</td>
<td><strong>Blight Removal/ Codes</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MF Rehab</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project: will provide improvements on street-facing elevation of building. These can include storefront windows, painting, lighting, courtyard entrance to multifamily dwellings, etc.</td>
<td><strong>Blight Removal/ Codes</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MF Rehab</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project: will remedy code violations identified by City’s Codes Compliance Department.</td>
<td><strong>Blight Removal/ Codes</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MF Rehab</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project: will rehabilitate a structure(s) deemed vacant and boarded by Codes Compliance Dept.</td>
<td><strong>Blight Removal/ Codes</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MF Rehab</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replaces nonconforming/ grandfathered use with one permitted by City ordinances.</td>
<td><strong>Blight Removal/ Codes</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MF Rehab</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project: will improve handicapped accessibility by adding ramp, widening entrances, and/or providing an enhanced parking space (resurfacing and striping, landscaping, or relocation).</td>
<td><strong>Blight Removal/ Codes</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MF Rehab</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed work is on a locally designated historic landmark.</td>
<td><strong>STAR: Historic Preservation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MF Rehab</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Neighborhood Character</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project: will renovate residential units constructed before 1970. (Note: 80 percent of all dwellings in the CRA were built pre-1970)</td>
<td><strong>Blight Removal/ Codes</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MF Rehab</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has used a contractor certified with the City’s Small Business Enterprise program for the grant application’s construction estimates.</td>
<td><strong>MF Rehab</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Business Develop</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Residential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Goals Met</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                     | • STAR: Business Ret. & Dev.  
                     | • STAR: Local Economy       |             |               |

**Application Subtotal**
## Residential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Goals Met</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Investment and Financial Character</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of prior private investment by applicant in South St. Petersburg CRA within the last 5 years without City assistance. Includes investment in construction and construction equipment, which must be documented with submission of invoices and receipts and construction value information from Construction Services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000 to $25,000 – 5 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 to $50,000 – 10 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 to $75,000 – 15 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+$75,000- 20 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has code enforcement liens on property subject to grant in excess of $2,500.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has special assessment liens on property subject to grant over $500.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has mortgage payments three months in arrears on property subject to grant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has unpaid property taxes on property subject to grant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant(s) has unpaid property insurance on property subject to grant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Application Subtotal**
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Residential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Goals Met</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Location</strong></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located along a Priority Commercial Corridor selected by the CAC for the given grant cycle. For FY2017, the “Priority Corridors” are - Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. St. South - 16th Street South - 49th Street (Gulfport to CRA north boundary) - 18th Avenue South - 34th Street South</td>
<td>▪ Blight Removal/Codes ▪ Corridor Rev. ▪ MF Rehab ▪ STAR: Compact Communities ▪ STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will revitalize a property identified as an actual source of contamination by the City’s Brownfields Assessment Grant survey that will be conducted in the CRA through 2020.</td>
<td>▪ Blight Removal ▪ MF Rehab ▪ Environment &amp; Sustainability ▪ STAR: Compact Communities ▪ STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located within Deuces Live or Grand Central Florida Main Street Districts.</td>
<td>▪ Corridor Rev. ▪ Neighborhood Character ▪ STAR: Compact Communities ▪ STAR: Infill and Redevelopment ▪ STAR: Historic Preservation</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located on same block and/or block face as a project completed since July 2016, or with an approved building permit.</td>
<td>▪ Corridor Rev ▪ STAR: Compact Communities ▪ STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is located at the intersection of arterial and/or collector roadways as identified by the City’s Official Street map.</td>
<td>▪ Corridor Rev ▪ STAR: Compact Communities ▪ STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Application Subtotal
# Residential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Goals Met</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustainability and Green Building Practices</strong></td>
<td><strong>15</strong></td>
<td><strong>6%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Project has had a free energy assessment from Duke Energy and will implement at least one recommendation. | - MF Rehab
- STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs. | 5         |               |
| Project will incorporate energy efficient practices including energy efficient interior and exterior lighting where applicable. | - MF Rehab
- STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs. | 5         |               |
| Project will use water conservation programs and practices like low flow toilets/fixtures, free water-efficient spray valves for restaurants, and other high efficiency appliances such as Energy Star. | - MF Rehab
- STAR: Resource Efficient Bldgs. | 5         |               |

**Application Subtotal**
## Residential Grant Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Goals Met</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will substantially rehabilitate or construct</td>
<td>▪ Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 5 dwelling units – 3 points</td>
<td>▪ MF Rehab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 7 dwelling units – 6 points</td>
<td>▪ STAR: Housing Affordability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 9 dwelling units – 10 points</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant has committed through signed agreement to maintain the units funded through the grant program as affordable housing according to the criteria established by the City.</td>
<td>▪ Affordable. Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ MF Rehab</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ STAR: Housing Affordability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will enable a nonconforming use to continue.</td>
<td>▪ Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-20 to 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will enable a grandfathered use to continue.</td>
<td>▪ Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5 to 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project will adaptively reuse/redevelop old motel complexes in the CRA.</td>
<td>▪ Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Corridor Rev.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ STAR: Infill and Redevelopment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is for a building that serves as a live-work space in a mixed use district, where the funding for the work space cannot be clearly differentiated from the rest of the building.</td>
<td>▪ Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request is for work on a new building, or a building that has been expanded or substantially renovated since July 2011.</td>
<td>▪ Blight Removal/ Codes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10 to 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Application Subtotal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Goals Met</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
<th>Project Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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### File Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File #</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Award Request</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Point Totals

| Aesthetics, Blight Removal and Code Compliance |  |
| Local Investment and Financial Character |  |
| Project Location |  |
| Sustainability and Green Building Practices |  |
| Project Use |  |

### Total Application Score
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with Palmdale Oil Company, Inc. for marine grade gasoline, diesel fuel and motor oil, at an estimated annual cost of $550,000, for a total contract amount of $2,632,870.

Explanation: On June 5, 2014, City Council awarded a three-year blanket purchase agreement for marine grade fuel through June 30, 2017. The agreement has two one-year renewal options. This is the first renewal.

The vendor furnishes and delivers motor oil, marine fuel additives and unleaded 90 octane gasoline without ethanol and 45 octane ultra-low sulfur diesel No.2 off-road, red dyed in tankwagon loads (4,400 gallons or less). This fuel is used for resale to recreational boaters at the St. Petersburg Marina and also to the Marine Unit of the Police Department.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Downtown Enterprise Facilities Department, recommends for renewal:

Palmdale Oil Company, Inc. .......................................................... $550,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original agreement amount</td>
<td>$2,082,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st renewal</td>
<td>550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Contract Amount</td>
<td>$2,632,870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The vendor has agreed to renew under the same terms and conditions of IFB No. 7657, dated April 17, 2014. Administration recommends renewal of the agreement based on the vendor’s past satisfactory performance, and demonstrated ability to comply with the terms and conditions of the agreement. The renewal will be effective from the date of approval through June 30, 2018, with one annual renewal option remaining.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Marina Operating Fund (4041).

Attachments: Bid Tabulation
Resolution

Approvals:

[Signatures]
City of St. Petersburg

Bid Tabulation

Procurement and Supply Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Palmdale Oil Company, Inc.</th>
<th>J.H. Williams Oil Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tampa, FL</td>
<td>Tampa, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terms: Net 30 Days</td>
<td>Terms: Net 30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Delivery: 1 Day</td>
<td>Delivery: 2 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fuel, Marine, Unleaded</td>
<td>90,000 GAL</td>
<td>80,000 GAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed Fee</td>
<td>3.919 $405,000.00</td>
<td>3.919 $405,450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>0.060 0.065</td>
<td>0.065 0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.500</td>
<td>4.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fuel, Marine, Diesel</td>
<td>80,000 GAL</td>
<td>80,000 GAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed Fee</td>
<td>3.467 $284,000.00</td>
<td>3.467 $284,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>0.060 0.065</td>
<td>0.065 0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.550</td>
<td>3.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Motor Oil, Engine, TC-W3 2 Cycle</td>
<td>50 QT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.75 187.50</td>
<td>4.25 212.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Additive, Marine</td>
<td>170,000 GAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03 5,100.00</td>
<td>0.033 5,610.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$694,287.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FIRST ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTION TO THE AGREEMENT (BLANKET AGREEMENT) WITH PALMDALE OIL COMPANY, INC. FOR MARINE GRADE GASOLINE, DIESEL FUEL AND MOTOR OIL FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT MARINE UNIT AND FOR RESALE TO RECREATIONAL BOATERS AT THE ST. PETERSBURG MUNICIPAL MARINA AT AN ESTIMATED ANNUAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $550,000 FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT TO DATE OF $2,632,870; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on June 5, 2014, City Council approved the award of a three-year Agreement with two one-year renewal options to Palmdale Oil Company, Inc. to provide marine grade gasoline, diesel fuel and motor oil for the Police Department Marine Unit and for resale to recreational boaters at the St. Petersburg Municipal Marina pursuant to IFB No. 7657 dated April 17, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to exercise the first renewal option to the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, Palmdale Oil Company, Inc. has agreed to uphold the terms and conditions of IFB No. 7657; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement Department in cooperation with the Downtown Enterprise Facilities Department recommends approval of this renewal.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the first one-year renewal option to the agreement (Blanket Agreement) with Palmdale Oil Company, Inc. for marine grade gasoline, diesel fuel and motor oil for the Police Department Marine Unit and for resale to recreational boaters at the St. Petersburg Municipal Marina at an estimated annual cost not to exceed $550,000 for a total contract amount to date of $2,632,870 is hereby approved and the Mayor or Mayor's designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Legal:


City Attorney (Designee)
00325873
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving a three-year blanket purchase agreement with Air Mechanical & Services Corp. for HVAC Maintenance & Repair Services, at a total contract amount of $1,836,900.

Explanation: The Procurement Department received four bids for HVAC maintenance and repair services.

The vendor will provide regular preventative maintenance to include inspections, air filter changes, lubricants, refrigerants, compressors, condensers, heating supply and return lines, water lines, air ducts, exhaust fans, repair services, and replacement of parts, materials and supplies. The primary locations are Parks and Recreation Department, Police Department, the Libraries, Leisure Services Administration, and multiple locations for the Water Resources Department.

The Procurement Department recommends for award:

Air Mechanical & Services, Corp (Tampa, FL)...........................................$ 1,836,900
   3-years @ $612,300 per year

Air Mechanical & Service Corp., the lowest and responsible bidder, has met the requirements of IFB No. 6406, dated March 16, 2017. The company is headquartered in Tampa, FL and has been in business since 1982. It has satisfactorily provided these services for the City of St. Petersburg. This agreement will be effective through June 30, 2020. A blanket purchase agreement will be issued and will be binding only for actual services rendered.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the General Fund (0001), Water Resources Operating Fund (4001), Jamestown Fund (4081), Coliseum Operating Fund (1205), Golf Course Operating Fund (4061), Sanitation Operating Fund (4021), Fleet Operating Fund (5001), Technology Services Fund (5011), Sunken Gardens Operating Fund (1207), Supply Management Fund (5031), within various capital projects in the Recreation and Culture Capital Fund (3029), and the City Facilities Capital Improvement Fund (3031).

Attachments: Bid Tabulation (4 pages)
   Resolution

Approvals:

[Signature]
Administrative

[Signature]
Budget
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Locations</th>
<th>Group No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty.</th>
<th>UOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Police Headquarters - West Bldg.</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$1,500.00 $56,160.00 $3,625.00 $130,500.00</td>
<td>$970.00</td>
<td>$28,368.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Headquarters - East Bldg.</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$1,280.00 $46,080.00 $910.00 $32,760.00</td>
<td>$75,600.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Headquarters - Annex</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>175.00 6,300.00 175.00 6,300.00 255.00 9,180.00</td>
<td>880.00 31,680.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Telecommunications</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>275.00 9,900.00 275.00 9,900.00 310.00 11,160.00</td>
<td>670.00 24,120.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Group 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$118,440.00 $183,000.00</td>
<td>$118,440.00</td>
<td>$451,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Group 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>$320.00 $11,520.00 $700.00 $28,440.00</td>
<td>$700.00 $34,920.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Group 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>$28,440.00</td>
<td>$2,599,200.00</td>
<td>$1,049,220.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Group 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,599,200.00</td>
<td>$1,049,220.00</td>
<td>$1,049,220.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Group 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,049,220.00</td>
<td>$1,049,220.00</td>
<td>$1,049,220.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

City of St. Petersburg
Bid Tabulation
Procurement and Supply Management

Air Mechanical & Service Corporation
Tampa, FL
Terms: Net 30
Delivery: Per Contract Terms

Price per
Month Limited
Service Extension
Price per
Month Maint.
Only Service Extension
Price per
Month Regular
Service Extension
Price per
Month 100%
Service Extension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dwight H. Jones Center</th>
<th>Group No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty.</th>
<th>UOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Police Headquarters - Wa</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$290.00 10,440.00 410.00 14,700.00 705.00 25,380.00</td>
<td>2,300.00 82,800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Headquarters - East Bldg.</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$250.00 8,640.00 360.00 12,960.00 665.00 20,340.00</td>
<td>1,275.00 45,900.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Headquarters - Annex</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>340.00 12,240.00 340.00 12,240.00 420.00 15,120.00</td>
<td>1,430.00 51,480.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoliceTelecommunications</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>410.00 14,700.00 410.00 14,700.00 705.00 25,380.00</td>
<td>1,670.00 60,120.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Group 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>$255,240.00 $338,490.00</td>
<td>$255,240.00</td>
<td>$1,049,220.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Central Records</th>
<th>Group No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty.</th>
<th>UOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Police Headquarters - West Bldg.</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$180.00 6,480.00 $180.00 6,480.00 $290.00 10,440.00</td>
<td>$579.00 $20,844.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Headquarters - East Bldg.</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>85.00 3,060.00 85.00 3,060.00 145.00 5,220.00</td>
<td>690.00 $24,840.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Headquarters - Annex</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>120.00 4,320.00 120.00 4,320.00 158.00 5,688.00</td>
<td>340.00 12,240.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Telecommunications</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>290.00 10,440.00 290.00 10,440.00 480.00 17,280.00</td>
<td>920.00 $33,120.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Group 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>$56,700.00 $56,700.00</td>
<td>$56,700.00</td>
<td>$199,728.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sanitation Complex</th>
<th>Group No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty.</th>
<th>UOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Police Headquarters - West Bldg.</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$350.00 12,960.00 $350.00 12,960.00</td>
<td>$56,520.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Headquarters - East Bldg.</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>80.00 2,880.00 80.00 2,880.00</td>
<td>$19,080.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Headquarters - Annex</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>180.00 6,480.00 180.00 6,480.00</td>
<td>579.00 $20,844.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Telecommunications</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>290.00 10,440.00 290.00 10,440.00</td>
<td>920.00 $33,120.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Group 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,840.00 $15,840.00</td>
<td>$15,840.00</td>
<td>$75,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group No.</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Qty.</td>
<td>UOM</td>
<td>Price per Month Service Only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Johnson Branch Library</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$560.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Branch Library</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$560.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mirror Lake Library</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$560.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Main Library</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$560.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Branch Library</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$560.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Group 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$102,060.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fire Headquarters</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$560.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Master Fire Station</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$370.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fire Station #4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Group 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$33,480.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Twin Brooks Golf Course</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mangrove Bay Golf Course</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$325.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cypress Links Golf Course</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Group 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$17,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SW Water Resources, Main Complex</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE Water Reclamation</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NW Water Reclamation</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 42</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 63</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 61</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 65</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 82</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 85</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington Terrace Pumping Station</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COSME Water Treatment Plant</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$410.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Coliseum</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>$640.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Group 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$152,640.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LABOR**

10. Hourly labor rate - normal working hours (8:00 am-5:00 pm)
11. Hourly labor rate - after hours (5:01 pm-7:59 am)
12. Hourly labor rate - Saturday, Sunday & Holidays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Mechanical &amp; Service Corporation</td>
<td>$85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tampa, FL</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Award
# IFB 6406 HVAC, Maintenance and Repair Services

## Neal Jones
City of St. Petersburg
Bid Tabulation
Procurement and Supply Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty. UOM</th>
<th>Price per Month Limited Service</th>
<th>Price per Month Extension Service</th>
<th>Price per Month Regular Service</th>
<th>Price per Month 100% Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Police Headquarters - West Bldg.</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$216,000.00</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$216,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Police Headquarters - East Bldg.</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Police Headquarters - Annex</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Police Telecommunications</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$36,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$36,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Police K-9 Compound</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$72,000.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$72,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Group 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$684,000.00</td>
<td>$2,712,000.00</td>
<td>$7,474,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dwight H. Jones Center</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$877.00</td>
<td>$31,572.00</td>
<td>$877.00</td>
<td>$31,572.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Group 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>$31,572.00</td>
<td>$31,572.00</td>
<td>$31,572.00</td>
<td>$31,572.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Campbell Park Recreation Center</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$567.00</td>
<td>$23,052.00</td>
<td>$567.00</td>
<td>$23,052.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roberts Recreation Center</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$1,033.00</td>
<td>$38,228.00</td>
<td>$1,033.00</td>
<td>$38,228.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bay Vista Adult Center</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$226.00</td>
<td>$8,136.00</td>
<td>$226.00</td>
<td>$8,136.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gladden Park Recreation Center</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$549.00</td>
<td>$19,664.00</td>
<td>$549.00</td>
<td>$19,664.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CNiks Park Recreation Center</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$465.00</td>
<td>$17,040.00</td>
<td>$465.00</td>
<td>$17,040.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J.W. Cale Recreation Center</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$520.00</td>
<td>$18,720.00</td>
<td>$520.00</td>
<td>$18,720.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wildwood Recreation Center</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$1,297.00</td>
<td>$46,682.00</td>
<td>$1,297.00</td>
<td>$46,682.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Group 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>$410,436.00</td>
<td>$1,641,144.00</td>
<td>$4,151,304.00</td>
<td>$5,357,820.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Central Records</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$458.00</td>
<td>$16,488.00</td>
<td>$458.00</td>
<td>$16,488.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consolidated Warehouse</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$234.00</td>
<td>$8,424.00</td>
<td>$234.00</td>
<td>$8,424.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N Team Building</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$249.00</td>
<td>$8,964.00</td>
<td>$249.00</td>
<td>$8,964.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICs - Radio Shop</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$238.00</td>
<td>$8,568.00</td>
<td>$238.00</td>
<td>$8,568.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fleet Management</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$823.00</td>
<td>$29,628.00</td>
<td>$823.00</td>
<td>$29,628.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stormwater, Pavement &amp; Traffic Ops</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$489.00</td>
<td>$17,604.00</td>
<td>$489.00</td>
<td>$17,604.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leisure Services Complex</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$561.00</td>
<td>$20,196.00</td>
<td>$561.00</td>
<td>$20,196.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Group 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>$109,612.00</td>
<td>$379,712.00</td>
<td>$1,028,524.00</td>
<td>$1,777,224.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sanitation Complex</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$521.00</td>
<td>$18,756.00</td>
<td>$521.00</td>
<td>$18,756.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sanitation Shop</td>
<td>36 MONTH</td>
<td>$117.00</td>
<td>$4,212.00</td>
<td>$117.00</td>
<td>$4,212.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Group 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>$22,968.00</td>
<td>$22,968.00</td>
<td>$190,764.00</td>
<td>$190,764.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group No.</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Qty. UOM</td>
<td>Price per Month</td>
<td>Price per Month</td>
<td>Price per Month</td>
<td>Price per Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Limited Service</td>
<td>Month Main. Only Service</td>
<td>Regular Service</td>
<td>100% Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Johnson Branch Library 36 MONTH 410.00 14,750.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$410.00</td>
<td>$14,760.00</td>
<td>$1,025.00</td>
<td>$36,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Branch Library 36 MONTH 410.00 14,750.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$410.00</td>
<td>$14,760.00</td>
<td>$1,025.00</td>
<td>$36,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mirror Lake Library 36 MONTH 507.00 18,252.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$507.00</td>
<td>$18,252.00</td>
<td>$1,420.00</td>
<td>$51,120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Main Library 36 MONTH 1,778.00 64,008.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,778.00</td>
<td>$64,008.00</td>
<td>$3,335.00</td>
<td>$120,060.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Branch Library 36 MONTH 459.00 16,524.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$459.00</td>
<td>$16,524.00</td>
<td>$918.00</td>
<td>$33,048.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Group 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$128,304.00</td>
<td>$128,304.00</td>
<td>$278,028.00</td>
<td>$2,489,220.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fire Headquarters 36 MONTH 1,466.00 52,776.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,466.00</td>
<td>$52,776.00</td>
<td>$3,225.00</td>
<td>$116,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Master Fire Station 36 MONTH 633.00 22,788.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$633.00</td>
<td>$22,788.00</td>
<td>$1,266.00</td>
<td>$45,576.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fire Station #4 36 MONTH 375.00 13,500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$375.00</td>
<td>$13,500.00</td>
<td>$488.00</td>
<td>$17,668.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Group 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>$89,064.00</td>
<td>$89,064.00</td>
<td>$179,244.00</td>
<td>$852,192.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Twin Brooks Golf Course 36 MONTH 58.00 2,088.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$58.00</td>
<td>$2,088.00</td>
<td>$116.00</td>
<td>$417.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mangrove Bay Golf Course 36 MONTH 468.00 16,848.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$468.00</td>
<td>$16,848.00</td>
<td>$936.00</td>
<td>$33,696.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cypress Links Golf Course 36 MONTH 120.00 4,320.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td>$4,320.00</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$1,080.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Group 8</td>
<td></td>
<td>$23,256.00</td>
<td>$23,256.00</td>
<td>$49,672.00</td>
<td>$208,584.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Water Resources, Main Complex 36 MONTH 3,000.00 108,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$108,000.00</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
<td>$324,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SW Water Reclamation 36 MONTH 1,000.00 36,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$36,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$108,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE Water Reclamation 36 MONTH 1,000.00 36,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$36,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$108,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NW Water Reclamation 36 MONTH 2,000.00 72,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$72,000.00</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$216,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NE Water Reclamation 36 MONTH 2,000.00 72,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$72,000.00</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$216,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 28 36 MONTH 300.00 10,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
<td>$3,240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 42 36 MONTH 300.00 10,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
<td>$3,240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 63 36 MONTH 300.00 10,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
<td>$3,240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 81 36 MONTH 300.00 10,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
<td>$3,240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 65 36 MONTH 300.00 10,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
<td>$3,240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 82 36 MONTH 300.00 10,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
<td>$3,240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lift Station 86 36 MONTH 300.00 10,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
<td>$3,240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington Terrace Pumping Station 36 MONTH 300.00 10,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
<td>$3,240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obtery Pumping Station 36 MONTH 1,000.00 36,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$36,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDSME Water Treatment Plant 36 MONTH 2,000.00 72,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$72,000.00</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$216,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Coliseum 36 MONTH 3,000.00 108,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$108,000.00</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
<td>$324,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Group 9</td>
<td></td>
<td>$626,400.00</td>
<td>$626,400.00</td>
<td>$1,951,200.00</td>
<td>$7,200,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LABOR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hourly labor rate - normal working hours (8:00 am-5:00 pm)</td>
<td>$85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourly labor rate - after hours (5:01pm-7:59am)</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourly labor rate - Saturday, Sunday &amp; holidays</td>
<td>$135.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE BID AND APPROVING THE AWARD OF AN AGREEMENT (BLANKET AGREEMENT) TO AIR MECHANICAL & SERVICE CORP. FOR HVAC MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR SERVICES FOR VARIOUS CITY FACILITIES AT A TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $1,836,900; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department received four (4) bids for HVAC maintenance and repair services for multiple city facilities pursuant to IFB No. 6406 dated March 16, 2017; and

WHEREAS, Air Mechanical & Service Corp. has met the specifications, terms and conditions of IFB No. 6406; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement Department recommends approval of this award.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the bid is accepted and the award of an agreement (Blanket Agreement) to Air Mechanical & Service Corp. for HVAC maintenance and repair services for various city facilities at a total cost not to exceed $1,836,900 is hereby approved and the Mayor or Mayor's designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Legal:

City Attorney (designee)
00325878
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving the purchase of sanitation vehicles and heavy equipment from Rush Truck Centers of Florida, Inc., Kenworth of Central Florida, Inc., and Ring Power Corporation, for the Sanitation Department, at a total cost of $1,477,573.00.

Explanation: This purchase is being made from the Florida Sheriffs Association Contract No. FSA16-VEH14.0.

This purchase includes four side loaders, one rear loader, and one wheeled-loader. The six vehicles are replacing vehicles that have reached the end of the economic life cycle. (See attached Purchase Summary by department).

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Sanitation Department, recommends an award utilizing Florida Sheriffs Association Contract No. FSA16-VEH14:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rush Truck Centers of Florida, Inc. (New Braunfels, TX)</th>
<th>........................................ $1,250,250</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cab &amp; Chassis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Peterbilt 520 (base price)</td>
<td>4 EA $ 131,929.00 $ 527,716.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tailgate 75DGE CNG Fueling System</td>
<td>4 EA 38,451.25 153,805.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Pete option pkg.</td>
<td>4 EA 6,332.75 25,331.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISLG 320 HP/1000LB Torque</td>
<td>4 EA 4,567.00 18,268.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cummins ISL-G Protection Plan 1 5-yr./150,000</td>
<td>4 EA 3,400.00 13,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendrickson Haulmax HMX460 46K</td>
<td>4 EA 2,973.00 11,892.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear Axle: 46,000 lb. Arvin Meritor, Eaton,</td>
<td>4 EA 2,895.00 11,580.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dana Spicer D2000F 20,000 lb.</td>
<td>4 EA 1,921.00 7,684.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Frame: full steel insert</td>
<td>4 EA 1,107.00 4,428.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front Engine PTO Provision (FEPTO)</td>
<td>4 EA 681.00 2,724.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear outside frame rail pkg.</td>
<td>4 EA 531.00 2,124.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery disconnect switch</td>
<td>4 EA 345.00 1,380.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel bumper swept back painted / notched top flange of bumper</td>
<td>4 EA 125.00 500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tire recycling fee</td>
<td>4 EA 10.00 40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery recycling fee</td>
<td>4 EA 4.50 18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heil Dura-Pack 28-yd. Rapid Rail Automated Side Loader</td>
<td>4 EA 117,290.00 469,160.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kenworth of Central Florida, Inc. (Orlando)</th>
<th>........................................ $144,714</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cab &amp; Chassis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenworth T300 (base price)</td>
<td>1 EA $ 68,972 $ 68,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33,000 GVWR package</td>
<td>1 EA 8,661 8,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum wheels</td>
<td>1 EA 1,290 1,290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued on Page 2
### Caterpillar 908H Wheeled Loader (base price)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price 1</th>
<th>Price 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paccar 5-year 100,000 warranty</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$82,831</td>
<td>$82,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allison 5-year warranty</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5,280</td>
<td>5,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paint: St. Petersburg colors</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telescope and tilting steering wheel</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5,410</td>
<td>5,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cab corner window</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-man passenger bench seat</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety kit - fire extinguisher &amp; triangles</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Horn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power windows</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backup alarm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Keys</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(866)</td>
<td>(866)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bucket, industrial grapple</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5,414</td>
<td>5,414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature package, load/road</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5,450</td>
<td>5,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air conditioner and heater</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5,410</td>
<td>5,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cab comfort, single brake</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5,280</td>
<td>5,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year / 4,000-hour, premium warranty</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,070</td>
<td>3,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission 22 MPH Diff Lock E/H</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,440</td>
<td>1,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lights, cab, working halogen</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan, on-demand cooling</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harness, wiring, WT, vertical</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat belt, retractable 3&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, Drain, ECO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tires, 340/80 R18, MX, XMCL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 16% CWT Sheriffs Contract Discount</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(866)</td>
<td>(866)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less one-time additional discount</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1,200)</td>
<td>(1,200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 27% Sheriffs contract discount</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(5,254)</td>
<td>(5,254)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downgrade to Cat 906M, base machine only</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(20,846)</td>
<td>(20,846)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### McNeilus Metro Pak 14-yd rear loader

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price 1</th>
<th>Price 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-yard rear loader</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>69,500</td>
<td>69,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNeilus Metro Pak 14-yd rear loader</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,807</td>
<td>8,807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These vendors have met the specifications, terms and conditions of the Florida Sheriffs Association Contract No. FSA16-VEH14.0, effective through September 30, 2017. This purchase is made in accordance with Section 2-256 (3) of the Procurement Code, which authorizes the Mayor, or his designee, to purchase automotive equipment from the Florida Sheriffs Association and Florida Association of Counties negotiated purchase program for vehicles.
Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds are available in the Sanitation Equipment Replacement Fund (4027), Sanitation Department, Residential Support (4502277) and Commercial Support (4502265).

Attachments: Purchase Summary
Price History
Resolution

Approvals:

[Signature]
Administrative

[Signature]
Budget
## Vehicle Purchase Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CNG trucks, Automated Side Loader, with 28y rapid rail ASL bodies</td>
<td>Sanitation</td>
<td>Residential collections</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12 YRS</td>
<td>9 YRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Truck, Rear Loader, with 14 yard rear loader body</td>
<td>Sanitation</td>
<td>Residential collection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11 YRS</td>
<td>10 YRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Front End Wheel Loader</td>
<td>Sanitation</td>
<td>Brush collection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16 YRS</td>
<td>10 YRS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Price History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CNG trucks, Automated Side Loader, with 28y rapid rail ASL bodies</td>
<td>$242,742</td>
<td>$270,883</td>
<td>$282,579</td>
<td>$289,328</td>
<td>$299,104</td>
<td>$312,563</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Truck, Rear Loader, with 14 yard rear loader body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$144,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Front End Wheel Loader</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$82,609</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNG Vehicles
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE PURCHASE OF SIX REPLACEMENT VEHICLES FROM RUSH TRUCK CENTERS OF FLORIDA, INC., KENWORTH OF CENTRAL FLORIDA, INC., AND RING POWER CORPORATION FOR THE SANITATION DEPARTMENT AT A TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $1,477,573 UTILIZING THE FLORIDA SHERIFFS ASSOCIATION CONTRACT NO. FSA16-VEH14; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THESE TRANSACTIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City desires to purchase 6 replacement vehicles (4 side loaders, one rear loader, and one wheeled-loader) that have reached the end of their economic service life for the Sanitation Department; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2-256(3) of the Procurement Code, the City is permitted to purchase automotive equipment from the Sheriff's Association and Florida Association of Counties negotiated purchase programs for vehicles; and

WHEREAS, Rush Truck Centers of Florida, Inc., Kenworth of Central Florida, Inc., and Ring Power Corporation have met the specifications, terms and conditions of the Florida Sheriffs Association Contract No. FSA16-VEH14; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department, in cooperation with the Sanitation Department, recommends approval of these awards.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the purchase of six replacement vehicles from Rush Truck Centers of Florida, Inc., Kenworth of Central Florida, Inc., and Ring Power Corporation for the Sanitation Department at a total cost not to exceed $1,477,573 utilizing the Florida Sheriffs Association Contract No. FSA16-VEH14 are hereby approved and the mayor or mayor's designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate these transactions.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
00325894
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving a three-year blanket purchase agreement with Avaya Inc. for maintenance and repairs of Avaya telephone equipment at a total cost of $345,568.32.

Explanation: This purchase is being made from State of Florida Contract Number 43220000-WSCA-14-ACS.

The vendor will provide annual software and hardware support for repair and maintenance of the City’s internal telephone network. Equipment coverage includes circuit packs, power supplies, switching processors and network interface equipment. In addition, the vendor will provide software maintenance releases as needed to protect the City’s telephone network from vulnerabilities.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Department of Technology Services, recommends for award:

Avaya Inc. (Santa Clara, CA) ................................................................. $345,568.32
(3 yrs. @ $115,189.44 per year)

Avaya Inc. has met the specifications, terms and conditions of State of Florida Contract Number 43220000-WSCA-14-ACS, dated July 13, 2015. This purchase is made in accordance with Section 2-256(2) of the Procurement Code, which authorizes the Mayor, or his designee, to utilize competitively bid contracts of other governmental entities.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Technology Services Fund (5011), Department of Technology Services, Telecommunications Division (850-2569).

Attachments: Price History
Resolution

Approvals:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Telephone: Network Maintenance and Repairs</td>
<td>$412,829</td>
<td>$284,495</td>
<td>$116,207</td>
<td>$115,189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE BID AND APPROVING THE AWARD OF AN AGREEMENT (BLANKET AGREEMENT) TO AVAYA INC. FOR THE MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR OF AVAYA TELEPHONE EQUIPMENT FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TECHNOLOGY SERVICES AT A TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $345,568.32 UTILIZING STATE OF FLORIDA CONTRACT NUMBER 43220000-WSCA-14-ACS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City wishes to purchase maintenance and repair services for the City’s internal telephone network (Avaya); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2-256(2) of the Procurement Code, the Mayor or the Mayor’s designee is authorized to utilize competitively bid contracts of other government; and

WHEREAS, Avaya Inc. has met the specifications, terms and conditions of the State of Florida Contract Number 43220000-WSCA-14-ACS; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement Department in cooperation with the Department of Technology Services recommends approval of this award.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the bid is accepted and the award of an agreement (Blanket Agreement) to Avaya Inc. for the maintenance and repair of Avaya telephone equipment for the Department of Technology Services at a total cost not to exceed $345,568.32 utilizing State of Florida contract number 43220000-WSCA-14-ACS is hereby approved and the Mayor or Mayor’s designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Legal:

City Attorney (designee)
00325885
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving the purchase of bunker gear from Municipal Emergency Services, Inc. for the Fire Department, at an estimated cost of $150,000.

Explanation: This purchase is being made from Lake County, Florida, Contract No. 17-0606G.

The supplier will furnish and deliver protective gear for firefighters, which includes bunker pants and coats. The manufacturer, Veridian Fire Protective Gear, was recommended pursuant to extensive bunker gear wear testing performed by St. Petersburg Fire Rescue personnel. Municipal Emergency Services, Inc. has been the exclusive authorized representative for Veridian for sales, warranty repairs, and distribution for the State of Florida since 2012.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Fire Department, recommends utilizing Lake County, Florida, Contract No. 17-0606G:

Municipal Emergency Services, Inc. (Pinellas Park, FL)..............................$150,000

Municipal Emergency Services, Inc. has met the specifications, terms and conditions of Lake County Florida, ITB No. 17-0606, dated December 13, 2016. This purchase is made in accordance with Section 2-256(2) of the Procurement Code, which authorizes the Mayor, or his designee, to utilize competitively bid contracts of other governmental entities.

A blanket purchase agreement will be issued to the supplier and will be binding only for the actual quantities received. This agreement will be effective through June 30, 2018.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the General Fund (0001) [$125,000] and Emergency Medical Services Fund (1009) [$25,000].

Attachments: Price History
Resolution

Approvals:

[Signature]
Administrative

[Signature]
Devris C. Fuller  5.31.17
Budget
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bunker Gear, Pants</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>925.00</td>
<td>948.00</td>
<td>1,020.00</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bunker Gear, Coats</td>
<td>830.00</td>
<td>830.00</td>
<td>925.00</td>
<td>855.00</td>
<td>905.00</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE PURCHASE OF PROTECTIVE GEAR FOR ST. PETERSBURG FIRE RESCUE FROM MUNICIPAL EMERGENCY SERVICES, INC., AT A TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $150,000, UTILIZING LAKE COUNTY, FLORIDA CONTRACT NO. 17-0606G; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City desires to purchase protective gear for St. Petersburg Fire Rescue, including bunker pants and coats; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to City Code Section 2-256(2), the City is permitted to utilize competitively bid contracts of other government entities; and

WHEREAS, Municipal Emergency Services, Inc., has met the specifications, terms and conditions of Lake County, Florida, Contract No. 17-0606G; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department, in cooperation with St. Petersburg Fire Rescue, recommends approval of this award.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, approves the purchase of protective gear St. Petersburg Fire Rescue from Municipal Emergency Services, Inc., at a total cost not to exceed $150,000, utilizing Lake County, Florida, Contract No. 17-0606G, and authorizes the Mayor or the Mayor’s designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This resolution will be effective immediately upon its adoption.

Legal:

City Attorney (designee)

00325893
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving the award of a job order contracting agreement with the Gordian Group, Inc. for an online catalog of construction tasks and unit prices for the Water Resources and the Engineering and Capital Improvements departments.

Explanation: This purchase is being made from Pinellas County Contract No. 145-0288-SS.

The Gordian Group will deliver an online catalog of specific construction tasks and the unit prices to accomplish those tasks. The listed tasks are based on generally accepted industry standards and information for various items of work to be performed by the Job Order Contractor (JOC). The prices include the cost of materials, labor, and equipment for performing the specific work. The prices do not include overhead and profit. This price element, called the adjustment factor, is based on the contractor's competitively bid adjustment to the City's prices as published in the catalog of construction tasks.

In addition, the Gordian Group will provide software licensing, program maintenance and support, including procurement and document maintenance support, both on-site and off-site (See Exhibit A: Scope of Services). They also deliver training and software support, including toll free 24/7 software telephone support.

The license fees for the Gordian JOC Solution consist of a City paid license fee and a contractor paid license fee as provided below:

City License Fee: 5.00% of the first $8,000,000 of work ordered and then the City is eligible for a reduced license fee of 1.98% for each calendar month that the City has ordered a minimum of $3,000,000 through the Gordian JOC Solution during the preceding 12 calendar months.

Contractor License Fee: 1.00% of the value of work ordered.

The license fees are payable when a job order is issued to the JOC contractor. The Contractor License Fee will apply to all JOC contracts awarded by the City and will be assessed regardless of the cumulative value of the work ordered. Gordian will be responsible for all administrative duties related to the contractor license fee, including invoicing and collections.

The City will derive several benefits from using Job Order Contracting. They include fast and timely delivery of projects, low overhead cost of construction procurement and delivery, developing partner relationships based on work performance and transparency, with itemized unit pricing. Other advantages include simplified design documents and acquisition processes, which negate the need for complicated and repetitive contract documents and reduce contract administration costs. Finally, job order contracting will speed up the timeline from request to the start of construction, since JOC projects don't need to be solicited and the need for a contract along with detailed plans and specifications.

Continued on Page 2
The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Water Resources Department, recommends for award:

The Gordian Group, Inc. (Jupiter, FL)

The Gordian Group, Inc. has met the terms and conditions of the Pinellas County Contract No. 145-0288-SS. This purchase is made in accordance with Section 2-256(2) of the Procurement Code, which authorizes the Mayor, or his designee, to utilize competitively bid contracts of other governmental entities.

This purchase is permitted by Section 2-251(f) Job Order Contract of the Procurement Code. All job orders over $50,000 will require City Council's approval. The agreement will be effective from the date of award through July 13, 2020.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated from Water Resources Fund (4001).

Attachments: Exhibit A: Scope of Services (5 pages)
Resolution

Approvals:

[Signatures]

Administrative
Budget
May 23, 2017

Michael Schlesinger, CPPB
Senior Procurement Analyst
City of St. Petersburg
Procurement & Supply Management
One 4th Street North
Fifth Floor, Procurement Dept.
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Re: Gordian’s JOC Complete Solution available through Pinellas County Contract Piggyback

Dear Sirs:

The Gordian Group ("Gordian") has greatly appreciated the opportunity to discuss our Job Order Contracting ("JOC") products and services with the City of St. Petersburg (the "City"). As you are aware, the products and services Gordian provides are unique and highly specialized. Gordian is the only firm in the nation that provides JOC solutions with single point responsibility for all of the products and services necessary for a turnkey JOC program. Our comprehensive JOC solutions are provided with in-house staff and include the proprietary data, technology, processes and staff resources necessary for our clients to achieve successful JOC programs. We do not "private label" the products from other companies, nor do we rely on third parties or independent vendors or subcontractors. We are 100% responsible for all of the products and services necessary for your JOC program.

Gordian has successfully implemented and supported our JOC solutions for over 200 public owners throughout the United States, including Pinellas County. Building a JOC program takes more than preparing customized documents and providing software. The devil is in the details. Our experience provides us with the knowledge to develop a comprehensive, fully functioning JOC program that will deliver the most value possible. The following proposal describes the products and services we can provide to the City, and the most expedient way for the City to procure the services.

Piggyback

Many of our clients have procured a JOC solution by piggybacking an existing Gordian client contract. We propose that the City utilize our contract with Pinellas County, which is provided as Attachment No. 1. The Pinellas contract provides the ability to utilize three distinct services offered by Gordian, which are described in detail in the following paragraphs.

Gordian JOC Solution

The Gordian JOC Solution is Gordian’s legacy solution that has helped facility and infrastructure owners control and fast track their repair, maintenance and construction projects for over two decades. The Gordian JOC Solution is suited for clients that have the in-house project management resources necessary to develop Job Orders and manage the construction process.

Through the Gordian JOC Solution, Gordian will develop, implement and support the City’s JOC program and provide the in-depth, on-site training necessary to achieve a successful JOC program. The following is a list of the services performed for the Gordian JOC Solution:

- **Experienced Account Managers** – Gordian will provide experienced account managers that are responsible for the implementation and support of the City’s JOC program. This staff will report directly to the City and will be available to assist the client with any JOC related issues.

- **Establish JOC Program Guidelines** – Gordian will be responsible for conducting the activities necessary for establishing the structure of the City’s JOC program. Responsibilities include preparing client specific Execution
Procedures that will be used to execute the JOC program.

- **JOC Program Documents** – Gordian will be responsible for preparing the JOC program Contract and General Conditions, Bid Documents and Technical Specifications and for providing a customized Construction Task Catalog®.

- **JOC Management Applications** – Gordian will be responsible for providing a license for an unlimited number of City staff to access Gordian’s web-based JOC System, which includes the eGordian® information management software and Construction Task Catalog®. The JOC System will be capable of generating the JOC documents including independent cost estimates, contractor Price Proposals, Job Orders, and management reports and forms. The client’s standard reports and forms will be incorporated as requested.

- **Marketing** – Gordian will be responsible for marketing the JOC program by informing internal City staff about JOC, conducting pre-bid seminars for the JOC construction contractors, and assisting with procurement of the JOC contracts.

- **Training** – Gordian will be responsible for developing and conducting a comprehensive JOC training program for the City and JOC construction contractor staff, which will include different course modules that will provide specialized training to each element of City and JOC construction contractor staff.

- **On-going Support and Maintenance** – Gordian will be responsible for providing comprehensive JOC follow-up support to the City for the administration of its JOC program. Gordian will monitor the overall program and prepare any status reports required by the City. Support services will include, but are not limited to; unlimited toll-free eGordian® software support, access to all eGordian® software updates and additional functionality, updating for each new JOC construction contract the Construction Task Catalog®, Technical Specifications, Contract and General Conditions and Bid Documents, providing procurement assistance for new JOC contracts, providing training for new City and JOC contractor staff, and preparing customized forms and reports requested by the City.

**Gordian JOC Solution License Fee**

The License Fees for the Gordian JOC Solution consist of a client paid license fee ("City License Fee") and a contractor paid license fee ("Contractor License Fee") as provided below:

- **City License Fee:** 5.00% of the first $8,000,000 of work ordered and then the City is eligible for a reduced License Fee of 1.98% for each calendar month that the City has ordered a minimum of $3,000,000 through the Gordian JOC Solution during the preceding 12 calendar months; and

- **Contractor License Fee:** 1.00% of the value of work ordered.

Gordian JOC Solution License Fees are payable when a Job Order is issued to the JOC contractor. The Contractor License Fee will apply to all JOC contracts awarded by the City and will be assessed regardless of the cumulative value of the work ordered. It is important to note that Gordian will be responsible for all administrative duties related to the Contractor License Fee, including invoicing and collections.

**JOC Complete Solution®**

The JOC Complete Solution is a turnkey procurement approach that tailors Job Order Contracting components to form a unique, comprehensive and high-performing "complete" JOC program. The JOC Complete Solution includes all of the products and services provided by the Gordian JOC Solution and, in
addition, Gordian will provide account managers to assist with developing Job Orders from project identification to issuing the Job Order.

Developing the Job Order is the most critical step in the JOC process for controlling costs because this is the step where it is determined that the City is paying for the correct quantity at the correct competitively bid price for each project. It is very important that experienced, qualified project managers develop each and every Job Order. Many of our clients have determined that they desire help with developing Job Orders and have selected our JOC Complete Solution option. Some have chosen it because they lack staff capacity and others because they recognize the significant value of using Gordian’s experienced, qualified, third party account managers to develop Job Orders, which includes reviewing each and every Price Proposal submitted by the JOC contractors. Whatever the reason, we are confident that the JOC Complete Solution will bring the most economic benefit to the City with long term cost savings. A detailed list of the tasks required to develop Job Orders is as follows:

- **Project Identification** – When a project is identified, Gordian’s account managers will work with the City and assist with determining whether the project is appropriate for JOC.

- **Contractor Identification** – In the event the City has multiple JOC contractors, we will assist the City with identifying the appropriate JOC contractor for the project based on the type of work involved, location of the project, and other factors such as remaining contract capacity, work on hand, and contractor performance.

- **Joint Scope Meeting** – After identification of the JOC Contractor a Gordian account manager will promptly schedule a Joint Scope Meeting at the project site to help the City and the JOC contractor agree on the details of the work that the JOC contractor will perform. The scoping process allows the JOC contractor to inspect the site and ask questions before submitting a Price Proposal. This upfront open communication eliminates the misunderstandings and mistakes that lead to most change orders and often results in more cost-effective collaborative solutions.

- **Develop Detailed Scope of Work** – Next, the Gordian account manager will assist in preparing a Detailed Scope of Work that describes the work the JOC contractor will perform. We will also assist with resolving issues when project plans and actual conditions vary.

- **Request for Price Proposal** – After all parties are in agreement that the Detailed Scope of Work properly reflects the work to be performed, the Gordian account manager will send the Detailed Scope of Work and a Request for Proposal to the JOC contractor.

- **Prepare the Price Proposal** – Next, the JOC contractor prepares and submits a Price Proposal by selecting the appropriate tasks from the Construction Task Catalog. Gordian’s JOC software will automatically calculate the total cost for each line item by multiplying the unit price of the task by the required quantities and the JOC contractor’s competitively bid Adjustment Factor. The JOC contractor will also prepare additional City required information (e.g., construction schedule, list of proposed local subcontractors, etc.).

- **Price Proposal Review** – Then, the Gordian account manager will review the Price Proposal to make sure the JOC contractor has selected the appropriate tasks and quantities and will ask the JOC contractor to make any required changes. We will also obtain and review any City required information submitted by the JOC contractor such as a construction schedule and list of proposed subcontractors. Then the Gordian account manager will submit the Price Proposal and related documents to the City.

- **Issue Job Order** – Once the City is satisfied with the Price Proposal and related documents, and decides to move forward with the project, the City simply issues a purchase order to the contractor.

- **Construction Management** – During construction, the City will follow its standard internal policies and procedures for construction management and site inspections, including coordinating any required code inspections. When
unforeseen conditions arise or the City desires to change the Detailed Scope of Work, a supplemental Job Order is developed in the same manner as the original Job Order. With JOC, changes to the work are pre-priced.

**JOC Complete Solution® License Fee**
The License Fees for the JOC Complete Solution consist of a client paid license fee ("City License Fee") and Job Order Development Fee paid by the City, and a contractor paid license fee ("Contractor License Fee") paid by the JOC contractor as follows:

- **City License Fee:** 1.98% of the value of the work ordered; and
- **Job Order Development Fee:** 3.08% of the value of the work ordered; and
- **Contractor License Fee:** 1.30% of the value of the work ordered.

JOC Complete Solution License Fees are payable when a Job Order is issued to the JOC contractor. The City License Fee and Job Order Development Fee will be payable upon the issuance of a Job Order, purchase order, or similar purchasing document to the JOC contractor by the City. The Contractor License Fee shall be invoiced to the JOC contractor and is not a cost assessed to the City. JOC Complete Solution services can be provided as part of a comprehensive JOC solution, or can be provided on a project-by-project basis, with the Job Order Development Fee being assessed only when these services are requested by the City.

**JOC Complete Solution Plus™**
In addition to the JOC Complete Solution services described above, Gordian proposes to provide our JOC Complete Solution Plus™ services on a project-by-project basis through our contract with Pinellas County. Utilizing our JOC Complete Solution Plus services option which includes construction management services will allow the City to manage Job Orders from Job Order issuance to Job Order close-out. Whether due to peak volumes, staff shortages, or new strategic staff directives, our JOC Complete Solution Plus services can provide on-site construction management experts, using our proven methods, to carry out day-to-day JOC operations and relieve the City's project workload burden. Our staff becomes the City's staff. A detailed list of Gordian's standard construction management services, which we modify as necessary to meet the needs of each client, is as follows:

- **Preconstruction** – First, a Gordian construction manager will conduct a pre-construction meeting with the City representative(s), the JOC contractor and, if applicable, the architect or engineer. The construction manager will coordinate and share any preconstruction information with the City, the JOC contractor and other appropriate parties, and will assist in the coordination of the JOC contractor obtaining the necessary permits.
- **Site Visit** – During construction, the Gordian construction manager will monitor the JOC contractor's work in-progress, manage the JOC contractor's compliance with the approved safety plan and complete a report for each site visit.
- **Communicate** – The Gordian construction manager will provide weekly construction status reports to the City, conduct project progress meetings with all JOC contractors and staff on a periodic basis, and coordinate any required technical and code inspections.
- **Supplemental Job Orders** – In the event there are unforeseen conditions or the City requests changes to the scope after the work has begun, the Gordian construction manager will analyze and process a supplemental Job Order by utilizing the procedures used to develop the initial Job Order.
• **Approvals** – The Gordian construction manager will review and approve, or direct necessary revisions to, the JOC contractor's applications for payment and obtain the City's approval of the work. Final acceptance of the work will be the responsibility of the City. Technical and code inspections will be the responsibility of the appropriate inspection agencies.

• **Project Close-out** – As the final step in the process, the Gordian construction manager will enter all Job Order related information into the eGordian® information management system and collect any required as-builts, warranties, etc., from the JOC contractor.

**JOC Complete Solution Plus™ Fees**

Gordian's fee to provide the JOC Complete Solution Plus level of services consists of the Construction Management Fee below, in addition to the City License Fee and, if applicable, the Job Order Development Fee:

**Construction Management Fee:** Five and ninety five hundredths percent (5.95%) of the value of the work ordered.

The Construction Management Fee is payable when construction of the Job Order has been completed and accepted by the City, except at the election of Gordian Job Orders requiring more than sixty (60) days to complete may be invoiced monthly on a percentage of completion basis. The Construction Management Fee will only apply when the JOC Complete Solution Plus services are requested by the City on a project-by-project basis.

The Gordian Group appreciates the opportunity to submit this proposal to provide our JOC products and services to the City of St. Petersburg. Please contact Grayson Briggs at G.Briggs@Gordian.com or (800) 874-2291 if you have any questions or if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,

Ammon T. Lesher  
Vice President of Legal Affairs

Attachments  
cc: Grayson Briggs
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE AWARD OF A JOB ORDER CONTRACT UTILIZING PINELLAS COUNTY CONTRACT NO. 145-0277-SS TO GORDIAN GROUP, INC. ("GORDIAN") FOR A TERM COMMENCING ON THE EXECUTION DATE OF CONTRACT AND ENDING JULY 13, 2020, FOR GORDIAN TO PROVIDE AN ON-LINE CATALOG OF CONSTRUCTION TASKS AND UNIT PRICES FOR THE WATER RESOURCES AND ENGINEERING & CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS DEPARTMENTS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City desires to award a job order contract to Gordian Group, Inc. ("Gordian") for Gordian to provide an on-line catalog of construction tasks and unit prices for the Water Resources and Engineering & Capital Improvements Departments; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2-256(2) of the Procurement Code, the Mayor or his designee is authorized to utilize competitively bid contracts of other government entities; and

WHEREAS, Gordian has met the terms and conditions of Pinellas County Contract No. 145-0277-SS; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department, in cooperation with the Water Resources and Engineering & Capital Improvements Departments recommends approval of this award.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the award of a job order contract utilizing Pinellas County Contract No. 145-0277-SS to Gordian Group, Inc. ("Gordian") for a term commencing on the execution date of contract and ending July 13, 2020, for Gordian to provide an on-line catalog of construction tasks and unit prices for the Water Resources and Engineering & Capital Improvements Departments is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
00326484
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council


Explanation: This purchase is being made from Pinellas County Bid No. 156-0013-CP.

The job order contractors will provide minor construction, repairs, rehabilitation or alteration to water reclamation facilities, underground utilities and other minor construction projects on an as needed basis.

The job order is a firm-fixed-priced, lump-sum order that allows the City to issue job orders to the contractor for a definite scope of work as compiled in the catalog of construction tasks to be performed. The scope will be built on the catalog of construction tasks developed by the Gordian Group, Inc. The catalog contains specific construction tasks and the unit prices for those tasks.

The catalog prices include the cost of materials, labor, and equipment for performing the items of work. The prices however, do not include overhead and profit. This price element, called the adjustment factor, is based on the contractor's competitively bid adjustment to the City's prices as published in the catalog of construction tasks.

In addition, these contractors have been pre-qualified and possess the mandatory licenses, bonds and insurance, and have agreed to a fixed-period, fixed-unit-price, and indefinite-quantity contracts.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Water Resources, and the Engineering & Capital Improvements departments, recommends for award:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Order Contractors</th>
<th>Regular Hours</th>
<th>Premium Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caladesi Construction Co. (Largo, FL)</td>
<td>1.3000%</td>
<td>1.4850%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL Construction, Inc. (Tampa, FL)</td>
<td>1.3046%</td>
<td>1.4516%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.L.C. Diversified, Inc. (Palmetto, FL)</td>
<td>1.2430%</td>
<td>1.2430%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPC Industrial Contractors, LLC (Jacksonville, FL)</td>
<td>1.2800%</td>
<td>1.3500%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These contractors have met the terms and conditions of the Pinellas County Bid No. 156-0013-CP (DF).

This purchase is made in accordance with Section 2-256(2) of the Procurement Code, which authorizes the Mayor, or his designee, to utilize competitively bid contracts of other governmental entities.

This purchase is permitted by Section 2-251(f) Job Order Contracts of the Procurement Code. The contracts will be effective from the date of award through January 26, 2021. All job orders over $50,000 will require City Council's approval.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated from Water Resources Fund (4001).

Attachments: Resolution

Approvals:

[Administrative]

[Budget]
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE AWARD OF JOB ORDER CONTRACTS UTILIZING PINELLAS COUNTY BID NO. 156-0013-CP TO CALADESI CONSTRUCTION CO., PCL CONSTRUCTION, INC., T.L.C. DIVERSIFIED, INC., AND WPC INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTORS, LLC (COLLECTIVELY, "FIRMS") FOR A TERM COMMENCING ON THE EXECUTION DATE OF CONTRACT AND ENDING JANUARY 26, 2021, FOR THE FIRMS TO PROVIDE AS NEEDED MINOR CONSTRUCTION, REPAIRS, REHABILITATION OR ALTERATION FOR THE WATER RESOURCES AND THE ENGINEERING & CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS DEPARTMENTS ON A WORK ORDER BASIS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THESE TRANSACTIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City desires to award job order contracts to Caladesi Construction Co., PCL Construction, Inc., T.L.C. Diversified, Inc., and WPC Industrial Contractors, LLC to provide minor construction, repairs, rehabilitation or alteration services to water reclamation facilities, underground utilities and other minor construction projects on a work order basis for the Water Resources and Engineering & Capital Improvements Departments; and

WHEREAS, job order contracting allows the City to issue a fixed-period, firm-fixed price, and lump-sum work order to the Firms for a definite scope of work as compiled in the catalog of construction tasks; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2-256(2) of the Procurement Code, the Mayor or his designee is authorized to utilize competitively bid contracts of other government entities; and

WHEREAS, the Firms have met the terms and conditions of Pinellas County Bid No. 156-0013-CP; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department, in cooperation with the Water Resources and Engineering & Capital Improvements Departments recommends approval of these awards.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the award of job order contracts utilizing Pinellas County Bid No. 156-0013-CP to Caladesi Construction Co., PCL Construction, Inc., T.L.C. Diversified, Inc., and WPC Industrial Contractors, LLC (Collectively, "Firms") for a term commencing on the execution date of contract and ending January 26, 2021, for the firms to provide as needed minor
construction, repairs, rehabilitation or alteration for the Water Resources and the Engineering & Capital Improvements Departments on a work order basis are hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate these transactions.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
00326363
TO: THE HONORABLE DARDEN RICE, CHAIR, AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Resolution approving the plat of Urbana Townhomes, generally located at 349 7th Street South, northwest of the corner of 4th Avenue South and 7th Street South. (City File: 16-20000008)

RECOMMENDATION: The Administration recommends APPROVAL.

DISCUSSION: The applicant is requesting approval of a plat to create 5 townhome lots and a common area.

The plat will assemble the lots for redevelopment.

The language in Condition 1 of the resolution clarifies that certain requirements may be completed after the plat is recorded. The language in Condition 2 notes that certain conditions must be met prior to a Certificate of Occupancy.

Attachments: Map, Aerial, Resolution with two page plat and Engineering Conditions of Approval dated May 1, 2017

APPROVALS:

Administrative: __________________________
Budget: NA
Legal: __________________________
RESOLUTION NO. ______

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE PLAT OF URBANA TOWNHOMES, GENERALLY LOCATED AT 349 7TH STREET SOUTH, NORTHWEST OF THE CORNER OF 4TH AVENUE SOUTH AND 7TH STREET SOUTH; SETTING FORTH CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE. (City File 16-20000008)

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the plat of Urbana Townhomes, generally located at 349 7th Street South, northwest of the corner of 4th Avenue South and 7th Street South, is hereby approved, subject to the following conditions.

1. The applicants shall install the Lot Corners as required by F.S. 177 and City Code at their sole expense within one (1) year from the date of this approval. The applicant may provide a financial guarantee for this work in order to record the plat in advance of completion.

2. Comply with Engineering conditions in the memorandum dated May 1, 2017, prior to Certificate of Occupancy.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

[Signature]
Planning & Economic Development Dept. 5-26-17

[Signature]
City Attorney (Designee) 5-26-17
DEDICATION:
The undersigned hereby certifies that the street dedication hereon (hereinafter "DEDICATION") is in accordance with the requirements and conditions therefor as herein described. The property herein described is hereby dedicated for the use and purpose for which it was intended to be so used. The dedication is made in accordance with the provisions of the Florida Statutes, and in accordance with the requirements of the City of St. Petersburg, Pinellas County, Florida. The undersigned hereby certifies that the street dedication hereon is in accordance with the requirements and conditions therefor as herein described. The property herein described is hereby dedicated for the use and purpose for which it was intended to be so used. The dedication is made in accordance with the provisions of the Florida Statutes, and in accordance with the requirements of the City of St. Petersburg, Pinellas County, Florida.

JOINDER AND CONSSENT TO DEDICATION:

The undersigned hereby joins in the dedication hereon and consents to the dedication hereon for the purposes of Section 569.03 of the Florida Statutes, hereby agreeing to the terms and conditions thereof.

CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL:

The undersigned hereby certifies that the street dedication hereon is in accordance with the requirements and conditions therefor as herein described. The property herein described is hereby dedicated for the use and purpose for which it was intended to be so used. The dedication is made in accordance with the provisions of the Florida Statutes, and in accordance with the requirements of the City of St. Petersburg, Pinellas County, Florida. The undersigned hereby certifies that the street dedication hereon is in accordance with the requirements and conditions therefor as herein described. The property herein described is hereby dedicated for the use and purpose for which it was intended to be so used. The dedication is made in accordance with the provisions of the Florida Statutes, and in accordance with the requirements of the City of St. Petersburg, Pinellas County, Florida.

ACCOMPLISHMENT:
The undersigned hereby certifies that the street dedication hereon is in accordance with the requirements and conditions therefor as herein described. The property herein described is hereby dedicated for the use and purpose for which it was intended to be so used. The dedication is made in accordance with the provisions of the Florida Statutes, and in accordance with the requirements of the City of St. Petersburg, Pinellas County, Florida. The undersigned hereby certifies that the street dedication hereon is in accordance with the requirements and conditions therefor as herein described. The property herein described is hereby dedicated for the use and purpose for which it was intended to be so used. The dedication is made in accordance with the provisions of the Florida Statutes, and in accordance with the requirements of the City of St. Petersburg, Pinellas County, Florida.

JOINDER AND CONSSENT TO DEDICATION:

The undersigned hereby joins in the dedication hereon and consents to the dedication hereon for the purposes of Section 569.03 of the Florida Statutes, hereby agreeing to the terms and conditions thereof.

CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL:

The undersigned hereby certifies that the street dedication hereon is in accordance with the requirements and conditions therefor as herein described. The property herein described is hereby dedicated for the use and purpose for which it was intended to be so used. The dedication is made in accordance with the provisions of the Florida Statutes, and in accordance with the requirements of the City of St. Petersburg, Pinellas County, Florida. The undersigned hereby certifies that the street dedication hereon is in accordance with the requirements and conditions therefor as herein described. The property herein described is hereby dedicated for the use and purpose for which it was intended to be so used. The dedication is made in accordance with the provisions of the Florida Statutes, and in accordance with the requirements of the City of St. Petersburg, Pinellas County, Florida.
MEMORANDUM
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG
ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

TO: Pamela Jones, Development Services
FROM: Nancy Davis, Engineering Plan Review Supervisor
DATE: May 1, 2017
SUBJECT: Preliminary and Final Plat for Urbana Townhomes
FILE: 16-20000008 R2

LOCATION: 349 7th Street South
PIN: 19/31/17/62514/000/0210
ATLAS: F-1
PROJECT: Preliminary and Final Plat – Urbana Townhomes
REQUEST: Approval of a Preliminary and Final Plat for Urbana Townhomes

The Engineering Department has no objection to the proposed preliminary and final plat provided the following standard comments are added as conditions of approval:

STANDARD COMMENTS: It is acknowledged that many of the following items have been addressed with the submittal of the associated Site Construction Permit Applications, but remain listed below as documentation of the standard plat approval conditions since the plat is being processed concurrently with construction. Standard conditions of plat approval will be verified prior to Engineering departmental release of the project Certificate of Occupancy.

Water service is available to the site. The applicant’s Engineer shall coordinate potable water and/or fire service requirements through the City’s Water Resources department. Recent fire flow test data shall be utilized by the site Engineer of Record for design of fire protection system(s) for this development. Any necessary system upgrades or extensions shall be performed at the expense of the developer.

Water and fire services and/or necessary backflow prevention devices shall be installed below ground in vaults per City Ordinance 1009-g (unless determined to be a high hazard application by the City’s Water Resources department or a variance is granted by the City Water Resources department). Note that the City’s Water Resources Department will require an exclusive easement for any meter or backflow device placed within private property boundaries. City forces shall install all public water service meters, backflow prevention devices, and/or fire services at the expense of the developer. Contact the City’s Water Resources department, Kelly Donnelly, at 727-892-5614 or kelly.donnelly@stpete.org. All portions of a private fire suppression system shall remain within the private property boundaries and shall not be located within the public right of way (i.e. post indicator valves, fire department connections, etc.).

Wastewater reclamation plant and pipe system capacity will be verified prior to development permit issuance. Any necessary sanitary sewer pipe system upgrades or extensions (resulting from a proposed service or an increase in projected flow) as required to provide connection to a public collection system of adequate capacity and condition, shall be performed by and at the sole expense of the applicant. Proposed design flows (ADF) must be provided by the Engineer of Record on the City’s Wastewater
Tracking Form (available upon request from the City Engineering department, phone 727-893-7238). **If an increase in flow of over 1000 gpd is proposed**, the ADF information will be forwarded to the City Water Resources department for a system analysis of public main sizes 10 inches and larger proposed to be used for connection. The project engineer of record must provide and include with the proposed civil utility connection plan, 1) a completed Wastewater Tracking form, and 2) a capacity analysis of public mains less than 10 inches in size which are proposed to be used for connection. If the condition or capacity of the existing public conveyance system is found insufficient, the conveyance system must be upgraded to provide adequate capacity and condition, by and at the sole expense of the developer. The extent or need for system improvements cannot be determined until proposed design flows and sanitary sewer connection plan are provided to the City’s Water Resources department for system analysis of main sizes 10" and larger. Connection charges are applicable and any necessary system upgrades or extensions shall meet current City Engineering Standards and Specifications and shall be performed by and at the sole expense of the developer.

All sidewalks and utilities including the stormwater management system which are located within this plat boundary shall remain privately owned and maintained by the Homeowners Association.

Plan and profile showing all paving, drainage, sanitary sewers, and water mains (seawalls if applicable) to be provided to the Engineering Department for review and coordination by the applicant’s engineer for all construction proposed or contemplated within dedicated right-of-way or easement.

A work permit issued by the Engineering Department must be obtained prior to the commencement of construction within dedicated right-of-way or public easement. All work within right of way or public utility easement shall be in compliance with current City Engineering Standards and Specifications and shall be installed at the applicant’s expense in accordance with the standards, specifications, and policies adopted by the City.

The project Engineer will be required to develop a site specific Maintenance of Traffic plan in compliance with FDOT “Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways” and “Roadways and Traffic Design Standards” for City approval prior to initiating construction. The plan shall provide for pedestrian and vehicular safety during the construction process and shall minimize the use of the public right of way for construction purposes. Approval of proposed roadway travel lane closures is discouraged and will be at the discretion of the City’s Engineering director pending receipt of adequate justification. The Maintenance of Traffic plan shall be prepared in compliance with City Engineering’s “Maintenance of Traffic Plan Requirements”, available upon request from the City Engineering & Capital Improvements department. Proposed use of on-street public parking spaces for construction purposes must receive prior approval from the City’s Transportation and Parking Management division. Refer to the City’s “Parking Meter Removal & Space Rental Policy During Construction” procedure, available upon request from the City Transportation and Parking Management department. Redevelopment within this site shall be coordinated as may be necessary to facilitate any City Capital Improvement projects in the vicinity of this site which occur during the time of construction.

Development and redevelopment shall be in compliance with the Drainage and Surface Water Management Regulations as found in City Code Section 16.40.030. Submit drainage calculations which conform to the water quantity and the water quality requirements of City Code Section 16.40.030. Please note the volume of runoff to be treated shall include all off-site and on-site areas draining to and co-mingling with the runoff from that portion of the site which is redeveloped. Stormwater systems which discharge directly or indirectly into impaired waters must provide net improvement for the pollutants that contribute to the water body’s impairment. Stormwater runoff release and retention shall be calculated using the Rational formula and a 10 year 1 hour design storm.
Development plans shall include a grading plan to be submitted to the Engineering Department including street crown elevations. Lots shall be graded in such a manner that all surface drainage shall be in compliance with the City's stormwater management requirements. A grading plan showing the building site and proposed surface drainage shall be submitted to the engineering director.

Per land development code 16.40.140.4.6 (9), habitable floor elevations for commercial projects must be set per building code requirements to at least one foot above the FEMA elevation. Habitable floor elevations for projects subject to compliance with the Florida Building Code, Residential, shall be set per building code requirements to at least two feet above the FEMA elevation. The construction site upon the lot shall be a minimum of one foot above the average grade crown of the road, which crown elevation shall be as set by the engineering director. Adequate swales shall be provided on the lot in any case where filling obstructs the natural ground flow. In no case shall the elevation of the portion of the site where the building is located be less than an elevation of 103 feet according to City datum.

Development plans shall include a copy of a Southwest Florida Water Management District Management of Surface Water Permit or Letter of Exemption or evidence of Engineer’s Self Certification to FDEP.

Submit a completed Stormwater Management Utility Data Form to the City Engineering Department with any plans for development on this site.

It is the developer’s responsibility to file a CGP Notice of Intent (NOI) (DEP form 62-21.300(4)(b)) to the NPDES Stormwater Notices Center to obtain permit coverage if applicable.

Public sidewalks are required by City of St. Petersburg Municipal Code Section 16.40.140.4.2 unless specifically limited by the DRC approval conditions. Existing sidewalks and new sidewalks will require curb cut ramps for physically handicapped and truncated dome tactile surfaces (of contrasting color to the adjacent sidewalk, colonial red color preferred) at all corners or intersections with roadways that are not at sidewalk grade and at each side of proposed driveways per current ADA requirements. Concrete sidewalks must be continuous through all driveway approaches. All public sidewalks must be restored or reconstructed as necessary to good and safe ADA compliant condition prior to Certificate of Occupancy.

The applicant will be required to submit to the Engineering Department copies of all permits from other regulatory agencies including but not limited to FDOT, FDEP, SWFWMD and Pinellas County, as required for future development on this site. Plans and specifications are subject to approval by the Florida state board of Health.
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL
Consent Agenda
Meeting of June 15, 2017

TO: City Council Chair & Members of City Council

SUBJECT: City Council Minutes

EXPLANATION: City Council minutes of May 4, May 11, and May 18, 2017 City Council meetings.
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE MINUTES
OF THE CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS HELD
ON MAY 4, MAY 11, AND MAY 18, 2017; AND
PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that
the minutes of the City Council meetings held on May 4, May 11, and May 18, 2017 are hereby
approved.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND SUBSTANCE:

____________________
City Attorney or Designee
Chair Darden Rice called the meeting to order with the following members present: Charles Gerdes, James R. Kennedy, Jr., Steve Kornell, Ed Montanari, Darden Rice, Kari Nurse, Lisa Wheeler-Bowman and Amy Foster. Mayor Rick Kriseman, City Attorney Jacqueline Kovilaritch, City Administrator Dr. Gary Cornwell, Chief Assistant City Attorney Jeannine Williams, Assistant City Attorney Joseph Patner, City Clerk Chan Srinivasa and Deputy City Clerk Patricia Beneby were also in attendance. Absent. None.

A moment of silence was observed to remember the following fallen Firefighters and Police Officers of the City of St. Petersburg that lost their lives in the line of duty during this month: Officer Frank A. Pike — May 19, 1929, Firefighter Robert F. Parker — May 10, 1969, Detective Wayne M. Barry — May 23, 1929.

In connection with the approval of the agenda, Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Council approve the agenda with the following changes as amended:

MOVED CA-1 Accepting the bid from Lema Construction & Developers, Inc., for the construction of the new Fossil Park Fire Station No. 7 Replacement Project, in the amount of $3,443,961.00; rescinding unencumbered appropriations in the amount of $100,000 from the Restore Fountains/Statues FY15 project (14656), $106,000 from the Refinish Gym Floors FY14 project (14142), both of which are in the Recreation and Culture Capital Improvement Fund (3029); and $63,000 from the Fire Station Major Improvements FY17 Project (15669) in the City Facilities Capital Improvement Fund (3031); and $25,000 from the Inter Facilities Plan FY05 Project (10105) in the Neighborhood and Citywide Infrastructure Capital Improvement Fund (3027); approving transfers in the amount of $206,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Recreation and Culture Capital Improvement Fund (3029), in the amount of $63,000 from the unappropriated balance of the City Facilities Capital Improvement Fund (3031) and in the amount of $25,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Neighborhood and
Citywide Infrastructure Capital Improvement Fund (3027) resulting from these rescissions to the Public Safety Capital Improvement Fund (3025); and approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $614,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Public Safety Capital Improvement Fund (3025) to the Fossil Park Fire Station No. 7 Replacement Project (Engineering/CID Project No. 15227-018; Oracle No. 14625); and providing an effective date. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-4]

MOVED CB-5
Certifying that the R’Club Child Care, Inc. project to rehabilitate the Happy Workers Learning Center at 920 19th Street South, and soliciting contributions to assist in funding the improvements, is consistent with local plans and regulations. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-5]

DELETE D-1
Ordinance 269-H amending the City Code to add a new Section 25-4; providing for the limitation of the height of pole structures in city right-of-way; allowing antennae on pole structures; providing for exceptions; and prohibiting tower structures without a variance. [CORRECTING ORDINANCE NUMBER ONLY]

INFO E-3
Sewer Report

DELETE G-3
Presentation on the ALICE Report (Councilmember Foster) [MOVED TO MAY 11, 2017 MINI-MEETING]

ADD G-4
Respectfully requesting a referral to the Public Services and Infrastructure Committee for consideration of regulating and limiting the use of commercial sized wood burning grills in residential zoning districts. (Councilmember Nurse)

INFO H-2
Committee of the Whole: Council Needs Assessment (4/20/17)

INFO H-3
Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee (4/27/17)

ADD H-3(a)
A resolution of the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida authorizing the issuance of not to exceed $40,500,000 Non-Ad Valorem Revenue Note, Series 2017c to finance the acquisition, construction and equipping of various capital improvements to the City’s Police Headquarters Facility, and to pay associated transactional costs and not to exceed $13,000,000 Non-Ad Valorem Revenue Note, Series 2017d to finance the acquisition, construction and equipping of various capital improvements to the City’s Police Training Facility and an attendant parking garage, and to pay associated transactional costs; providing that such notes shall be limited obligations of the City payable solely from Non-Ad Valorem Revenues budgeted and appropriated as provided herein; providing for the rights, securities and remedies for the owners of such notes; making certain covenants and agreements in connection therewith.

ADD H-3(b)
A resolution of the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida authorizing the issuance of not to exceed $2,575,000 taxable Non-Ad Valorem Revenue Note, Series 2017e (Qualified Energy Conservation Bond) to finance the cost of a solar photo voltaic system on the parking garage attendant to the City’s Police Headquarters Facility, and to pay associated transactional costs; providing that such note shall be a limited
obligation of the City payable solely from Non-Ad Valorem Revenues budgeted and appropriated as provided herein; providing for the rights, securities and remedies for the owner of such note; making certain covenants and agreements in connection therewith.

ADD H-3(c) A resolution of the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida establishing its intent to reimburse certain capital expenditures incurred in connection with acquisition construction and equipping of the City’s various Public Utilities Capital Improvement projects with proceeds of a future tax-exempt financing.

ADD H-3(d) A resolution of the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida authorizing the execution and delivery of the 2017 amendment to interlocal agreement providing for duration and dissolution of the commission which amendment amends the interlocal agreement, as amended, which provides for the establishment, powers and purposes of the First Florida Governmental Financing Commission of which the City of St. Petersburg is a member.

ADD H-3(e) A resolution approving the recommendation of the Budget, Finance and Taxation Committee to request that Administration include solar panels in the base design for new and replacement buildings.

INFO H-4 Public Services & Infrastructure Committee (4/27/17)

INFO H-5 Housing Services Committee (4/27/17)

ADD H-5(b) Confirming the Mayor’s appointment of Artesha Adras as a member of the St. Petersburg Housing Authority Board of Commissioners.

ADD H-5(c) A resolution superseding City Council Resolution No. 2015-405 and approving the Policies and Procedures attached hereto (“Policies and Procedures”) establishing a special assessment lien modification program that authorizes the Mayor or his designee to take the actions set forth therein on requests for relief from special assessment liens pursuant to the policies and procedures; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this resolution and the Policies and Procedures.

ADD H-6 Co-Sponsored Events Subcommittee (4/20/17)

ADD H-6(a) A resolution in accordance with city code section 21-38(d) exempting St. Pete Pride LGBTQ+ Celebration (North Straub Park), Oktoberfest (Demens Landing), and Crab & Music Festival (Vinoy Park) from the beer and wine only restrictions in city code section 21-38(d) upon the issuance of a permit for alcoholic beverages (for on premises consumption only) to be sold, served, dispensed, possessed, used and/or consumed at their respective venues, during their events as set forth herein.

ADD H-6(b) A resolution approving events for co-sponsorship in name only by the City for FY17; authorizing the mayor or his designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this resolution; and providing an effective date.

188
ADD H-6(c) A resolution in accordance with City Code section 21-38(d) exempting Tampa Bay Bluesfest (Vinoy Park), Sunshine Music Fest (Vinoy Park), Music & Gumbo 4 Vets (Williams Park), and Reggae Riseup (Vinoy Park) from the beer and wine only restrictions in City Code section 21-38(d) upon the issuance of a permit for alcoholic beverages (for on premises consumption only) to be sold, served, dispensed, possessed, used and/or consumed at their respective venues, during their events as set forth herein.

ADD H-6(d) A resolution approving events for co-sponsorship in name only by the City for FY2018; waiving the non-profit requirement of resolution no. 2000-562(a)8 for the co-sponsored events to be presented by Live Nation Worldwide, Inc., Endorfun Sports LLC., Live Nite Events, LLC., and Sideline Apparel, Inc.; authorizing the mayor or his designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this resolution.


In connection with approval of the Consent Agenda, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, the following person(s) came forward:

1. James Keane, 800 14th Street North, thanked the Council for supporting Metro Wellness.

Councilmember Kornell moved with the second of Councilmember Montanani that the following resolutions be adopted approving the attached Consent Agenda as amended.


In connection with reports, Raul Quintana, Engineering and Capital Improvements Director gave a PowerPoint presentation to Council regarding the bid from Lema Construction & Developers, Inc. for the construction of the new Fossil Park Fire Station No. 7 Replacement Project. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Montanani that the following resolution be adopted as amended:

2017-273 A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE BID AND APPROVING THE AWARD OF AN AGREEMENT TO LEMA CONSTRUCTION & DEVELOPERS, INC. FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW FOSSIL PARK FIRE STATION NO. 7 REPLACEMENT PROJECT AT A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $3,443,961; RESCINDING UNENCUMBERED APPROPRIATIONS FROM THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS: $100,000 FROM THE RESTORE FOUNTAINS/STATUES FY 15 PROJECT (14656) AND $106,000 FROM THE REFINISH GYM FLOORS FY14 PROJECT (14142) IN THE RECREATION AND CULTURE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FUND (3029), $63,000 FROM THE FIRE STATION MAJOR IMPROVEMENTS FY17 PROJECT (15669) IN
THE CITY FACILITIES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND (3031), AND $25,000 FROM THE INTER FACILITIES PLAN FY05 PROJECT (10105) IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND CITYWIDE INFRASTRUCTURE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND (3027) ("RESCISSIONS"); APPROVING TRANSFERS IN THE AMOUNT OF $206,000 FROM THE RECREATION AND CULTURE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FUND (3029), $63,000 FROM THE CITY FACILITIES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND (3031), AND $25,000 FROM THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND CITYWIDE INFRASTRUCTURE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FUND (3027) FROM THE INCREASE IN THEIR RESPECTIVE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCES RESULTING FROM THE RESCISSIONS TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND (3025); APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $614,000 FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND (3025) TO THE FOSSIL PARK FIRE STATION NO. 7 REPLACEMENT PROJECT (14625); AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THESE TRANSACTIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with reports, Brian Caper, Planning and Economic Development Department gave a presentation to Council regarding the certification of the R’Club Child Care, Inc. project to rehabilitate the Happy Workers Learning Center. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-274  
A RESOLUTION CERTIFYING THAT THE R’CLUB CHILD CARE, INC. PROJECT TO REHABILITATE THE HAPPY WORKERS LEARNING CENTER, LOCATED AT 920 19TH STREET SOUTH IN THE FORMER ST. PETERSBURG ENTERPRISE ZONE (EZ-5201), AND SOLICITING CONTRIBUTIONS TO ASSIST IN FUNDING THE IMPROVEMENTS, IS CONSISTENT WITH LOCAL PLANS AND REGULATIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with the Open Forum portion of the agenda, the following person(s) came forward:

2. Julie Kessel, 851 35th Avenue North, expressed her concerns regarding Campaign Finance reform.
3. Sharon Winters, 806 18th Avenue Northeast, expressed her concerns regarding Campaign Finance reform.
5. Amy Weintraub, 4545 Dolphin Cay Lane South, expressed her concerns regarding Campaign Finance reform.
6. Monte Trammer, 633 Segovia Court Northeast, requested Council co-name a portion of 1st Street North as Florida Orchestra Way.

In connection with public hearings, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 271-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that proposed Ordinance 271-H entitled:

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 271-H

AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ENTRADA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT; DISSOLVING THE ENTRADA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT REPEALING ORDINANCE NUMBER 797-G; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with public hearings, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 272-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that proposed Ordinance 272-H entitled:

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 272-H

AN ORDINANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 1.02(C)(5)B., ST. PETERSBURG CITY CHARTER, AUTHORIZING THE RESTRICTIONS CONTAINED IN ASSURANCES ("GRANT ASSURANCES") WHICH ARE SET FORTH IN THE GRANT DOCUMENTS TO BE EXECUTED BY THE
CITY, AS A REQUIREMENT FOR RECEIPT OF THE FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION ("FAA") GRANT ("GRANT") IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $350,000 FOR THE TAXIWAY C REHAB PROJECT (#15120) WHICH, INTER ALIA, REQUIRE THAT THE CITY WILL NOT SELL, LEASE, ENCUMBER OR OTHERWISE TRANSFER OR DISPOSE OF ANY PART OF THE CITY'S RIGHT, TITLE, OR OTHER INTERESTS IN ALBERT WHITTED AIRPORT ("AIRPORT"), NOR CAUSE OR PERMIT ANY ACTIVITY OR ACTION ON THE AIRPORT WHICH WOULD INTERFERE WITH ITS USE FOR AIRPORT PURPOSES, FOR A PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED 20 YEARS FROM THE DATE OF ACCEPTANCE OF THE GRANT; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO APPLY FOR AND ACCEPT THE GRANT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $350,000; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE; AND PROVIDING FOR EXPIRATION.

be adopted on second and final reading.


In connection with public hearings, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, the following person(s) came forward:

1. Matthew Conigliaro, 340 1st Street North Unit J, spoke in opposition to co-naming a portion of 1st Street as Florida Orchestra Way.
2. Jodi Souza, 244 2nd Avenue North, presented a video to Council regarding the co-naming of a portion of 1st Street as Florida Orchestra Way.
3. Michael Pastreich, 6056 29th Street, spoke in support of co-naming a portion of 1st Street as Florida Orchestra Way.
4. James Gillespie, 4804 Windmill Palm Terrace, spoke in support of co-naming a portion of 1st Street as Florida Orchestra Way.
5. Peter Betzer, 1830 Crescent Lake Drive, spoke in support of co-naming a portion of 1st Street as Florida Orchestra Way.
6. Stephanie Gonthier, 6056 29th Street South, spoke in support of co-naming a portion of 1st Street as Florida Orchestra Way.
7. Mich Sauers, 1919 Hawaii Avenue, spoke in support of co-naming a portion of 1st Street as Florida Orchestra Way.

Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Kornell that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-275 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, CO-NAMING A PORTION OF 1ST STREET
NORTH, BETWEEN 5TH AVENUE NORTH AND CENTRAL AVENUE, "FLORIDA ORCHESTRA WAY NORTH," AND A PORTION OF 1ST STREET SOUTH, BETWEEN CENTRAL AVENUE AND 5TH AVENUE SOUTH, "FLORIDA ORCHESTRA WAY SOUTH," AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with public hearings, Joshua Johnson, Housing and Community Development Director gave a presentation to Council regarding Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding for Campbell Park. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-276 A RESOLUTION APPROVING A SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENT ("AMENDMENT") TO THE CITY'S FY 2015/16 ANNUAL ACTION PLAN ("PLAN") TO PROVIDE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT ("CDBG") FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF $37,000 FROM THE FY 2015/16 UNDESIGNATED BALANCE IN AWARD 81143 FOR THE INSTALLATION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AND UTILITY HOOK UPS TO THE CAMPBELL PARK FACILITY TO ESTABLISH A FINANCIAL EMPOWERMENT CENTER TO SERVE LOW AND MODERATE-INCOME CLIENTS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO SUBMIT THE AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT THIS RESOLUTION AND THE AMENDMENT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with public hearings, Joshua Johnson, Housing and Community Development Director gave a presentation to Council regarding Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding for the Pinellas Ex-Offender Re-Entry Coalition, Inc. project. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman moved with the second of Councilmember Kornell that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-277 A RESOLUTION APPROVING A SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENT ("AMENDMENT") TO THE CITY'S FY 2014/15 ANNUAL ACTION PLAN ("PLAN") TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT ("CDBG") FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF $155,600 FROM THE FY 2014/15 UNDESIGNATED BALANCE IN AWARD 81054 AND
FROM TWO PROJECTS (CDBG RENTAL REHAB 14868 AND 14969) WHICH ARE NOT MOVING FORWARD, TO THE PINELLAS EX-OFFENDER RE-ENTRY COALITION, INC. PROJECT (14580) TO ASSIST WITH THE REHABILITATION OF ITS FACILITY; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO SUBMIT THE AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT THIS RESOLUTION AND THE AMENDMENT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with public hearings, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 273-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that setting May 18, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 273-H

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR AMENDMENT OF THE PARKING AND LOADING, DESIGN STANDARDS SECTION OF THE ST. PETERSBURG CITY CODE; AMENDING THE SECTION REGULATING TEMPORARY PARKING LOTS ASSOCIATED WITH TROPICANA FIELD; PROVIDING FOR TEMPORARY COMMERCIAL PARKING ON AN INTERIM BASIS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with the Budget, Finance and Taxation report, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Nurse that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-278  A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE BUDGET, FINANCE, AND TAXATION COMMITTEE TO REQUEST THAT ADMINISTRATION INCLUDE SOLAR PANELS IN THE BASE DESIGN OF NEW AND REPLACEMENT BUILDINGS AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Nurse that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-279 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED $40,500,000 NON-AD VALOREM REVENUE NOTE, SERIES 2017C TO FINANCE THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND EQUIPPING OF VARIOUS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS TO THE CITY’S POLICE HEADQUARTERS FACILITY, AND TO PAY ASSOCIATED TRANSACTIONAL COSTS AND NOT TO EXCEED $13,000,000 NON-AD VALOREM REVENUE NOTE, SERIES 2017D TO FINANCE THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND EQUIPPING OF VARIOUS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS TO THE CITY’S POLICE TRAINING FACILITY AND AN ATTENDANT PARKING GARAGE, AND TO PAY ASSOCIATED TRANSACTIONAL COSTS; PROVIDING THAT SUCH NOTES SHALL BE LIMITED OBLIGATIONS OF THE CITY PAYABLE SOLELY FROM NON-AD VALOREM REVENUES BUDGETED AND APPROPRIATED AS PROVIDED HEREIN; PROVIDING FOR THE RIGHTS, SECURITIES AND REMEDIES FOR THE OWNERS OF SUCH NOTES; MAKING CERTAIN COVENANTS AND AGREEMENTS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-280 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED $2,575,000 TAXABLE NON-AD VALOREM REVENUE NOTE, SERIES 2017E (QUALIFIED ENERGY CONSERVATION BOND) TO FINANCE THE COST OF A SOLAR PHOTO VOLTAIC SYSTEM AT THE CITY’S POLICE HEADQUARTERS FACILITY, AND TO PAY ASSOCIATED TRANSACTIONAL COSTS; PROVIDING THAT SUCH NOTE SHALL BE A LIMITED OBLIGATION OF THE CITY PAYABLE SOLELY FROM NON-AD VALOREM REVENUES BUDGETED AND APPROPRIATED AS PROVIDED HEREIN; PROVIDING FOR THE RIGHTS, SECURITIES AND REMEDIES FOR THE OWNER OF SUCH NOTE; MAKING CERTAIN COVENANTS AND AGREEMENTS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Foster that the following resolution be adopted:


Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-282 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA ESTABLISHING ITS INTENT TO REIMBURSE CERTAIN CAPITAL EXPENDITURES INCURRED IN CONNECTION WITH ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND EQUIPPING OF THE CITY’S VARIOUS PUBLIC UTILITIES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS WITH PROCEEDS OF A FUTURE TAX-EXEMPT FINANCING; PROVIDING CERTAIN OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Kornell that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the April 27, 2017 Budget, Finance and Taxation Committee report presented by Councilmember James R. Kennedy, Jr.


In connection with a Legal item, Chair Rice announced the commencement of an Attorney/Client Session, pursuant to Florida Statute 286.011(8), held in conjunction with the lawsuit styled Scott Crowell v. City of St. Petersburg, Florida, Case No: 15-014061SLR.

The meeting was closed at 10:37 a.m.
The meeting was reopened at 10:46 a.m. and the Attorney/Client was terminate with the following members present: Amy Foster, Charles Gerdes, James R. Kennedy, Jr., Steve Kornell, Ed Montanari, Karl Nurse, Darden Rice and Lisa Wheeler-Bowman. Absent. None. Councilmember Foster moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-283 A RESOLUTION APPROVING SETTLEMENT OF THE LAWSUIT OF SCOTT CROWELL, EMPLOYEE/CLAIMANT AND CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, EMPLOYER, STATE OF FLORIDA, DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, OFFICE OF COMPENSATION CLAIMS, OJCC CASE NO: 15-014061SLR; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with new ordinances, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 756-Z. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that setting May 18, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 756-Z

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF PROPERTY GENERALLY LOCATED NORTH OF GANDY BOULEVARD AND EAST OF MANGROVE CAY LANE NORTHEAST, FROM NS-2 (NEIGHBORHOOD SUBURBAN-2) TO NPUD-3 (NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT); PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING ORDINANCES AND PROVISIONS THEREOF; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with new ordinances, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 1096-V. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Gerdes that the following resolution be adopted:
BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that setting May 18, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 1096-V

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A VACATION OF A 16-FOOT NORTH/SOUTH ALLEY ADJACENT TO LOTS 9, 10, 11 AND 12 OF CLEARVIEW VISTA SUBDIVISION NO. 1, GENERALLY LOCATED AT 3934 49TH STREET NORTH; SETTING FORTH CONDITIONS FOR THE VACATION TO BECOME EFFECTIVE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with new ordinances, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 274-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Montanari moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that setting May 18, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 274-H

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG RESCINDING THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER FOR THE INTOWN AREAWIDE DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with new ordinances, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 275-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Montanari moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that setting May 18, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):
PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 275-H

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG RESCINDING THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER FOR THE GATEWAY AREAWIDE DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with new ordinances, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 276-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Montana moved with the second of Councilmember Kornell that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that setting May 18, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 276-H

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG RESCINDING THE GATEWAY AREAWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT SPECIAL ASSESSMENT FEE ORDINANCE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with new ordinances, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 277-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Kornell that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that setting May 18, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 277-H

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA; AMENDING CHAPTER 1, GENERAL INTRODUCTION; AMENDING CHAPTER 3, FUTURE LAND USE ELEMENT; AMENDING CHAPTER 11, INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION ELEMENT; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

In connection with new ordinances, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 278-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that setting May 18, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 278-H

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG PROVIDING FOR AMENDMENT OF THE CITY CODE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS; DELETING ARTICLE 16.04 RELATING TO AREAWIDE DEVELOPMENTS OF REGIONAL IMPACT ("DRI"); AMENDING SECTION 16.70.015 RELATING TO DRI DECISIONS AND APPEALS; AMENDING PARKING REQUIREMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE GATEWAY AREAWIDE DEVELOPMENT ORDER; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with new ordinances, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 279-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that setting May 18, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 279-H

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG APPROVING AND ADOPTING A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT WITH ECHELON LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, RELATING TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF PROPERTY KNOWN AS THE ECHELON CITY CENTER, GENERALLY LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF ULMERTON ROAD, BETWEEN CARILLON PARKWAY AND FOUNTAIN PARKWAY NORTH; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

In connection with reports, Douglas Cobarras, TLM Investment Group President gave a presentation to Council regarding the TACRA Phase IIb – Status Report. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Council request Administration work with TLM Investment Group and bring back any necessary amendments to the Agreement to effectuate an extension of six months that would extend to allow acquisition of a financing commitment by January 2018.


Chair Rice recessed the City of St. Petersburg City Council meeting at 11:25 a.m. and convened as the Community Redevelopment Agency.

The City Council was reconvened at 11:57 a.m.

In connection with a Community Redevelopment item, Councilmember Nurse moved with the second Councilmember Kornell that the following resolutions be adopted:

2017-284  A RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG APPROVING THE FY2017 BUDGET FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT TRUST FUND FOR THE SOUTH ST. PETERSBURG COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AREA AND A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $1,223,422.46 FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE OF THE SOUTH ST. PETERSBURG REDEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (1104) FOR TRANSFER TO THE GENERAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND (3001); APPROVING BUDGET ACTIONS RELATED TO THE USE OF FY2016 SURPLUS FROM FUND 1104, USE OF UNEXPENDED FUNDS FROM AWARDS APPROVED DURING THE FY2016 CRA GRANT CYCLE, AND RESCINDING RESOLUTION 2016-369 WHICH MAKES AVAILABLE $170,000 FROM THE FY2017 BUDGET FOR THE MERRIWETHER BUILDING PROJECT (951 22ND STREET SOUTH); AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

2017-285  A RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FL, APPROVING $90,000 OF REVENUE RECEIVED IN THE REDEVELOPMENT TRUST FUND OF THE SOUTH ST. PETERSBURG COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AREA (FUND 1104) IN FY2018 TO BE AVAILABLE SUBJECT TO FUTURE APPROPRIATION TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE FOR RENOVATING THE MERRIWETHER BUILDING, A LOCAL HISTORIC LANDMARK LOCATED AT 951 22ND STREET SOUTH; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
05/04/2017


In connection with a new business item presented by Councilmember Karl Nurse, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Council refer to the Housing Services Committee for consideration to consider a discussion of an amendment to the City's Land Development Regulations to allow the replacement of mobile homes meeting Miami-Dade building codes.


The Chair recessed the meeting at 12:03 p.m. for a lunch break.

The Chair reconvened the meeting at 12:48 p.m.

In connection with a new business item presented by Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Council refer to the Public Services & Infrastructure Committee for consideration to consider a discussion to request an amendment to the City Council Policy and Procedures Manual to restrict the drafting of substantive changes to documents on the dais that would require the agenda item to be suspended and continued later in the same meeting.


In connection with a new business item presented by Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Council refer to the Public Services & Infrastructure Committee for consideration to consider a discussion of regulating and limiting the use of commercial sized wood burning grills in residential zoning districts.
In connection with the Public Services and Infrastructure Committee report, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kornell moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Public Services and Infrastructure report of April 27, 2017 presented by Councilmember Kornell.

In connection with reports, Claude Tankersley, Public Works Administrator presented the Sewer Report. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-286 A RESOLUTION APPROVING PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #2 FOR WRF SW CAPACITY UPGRADES FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 16109-111; ORACLE NO. 15965) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $7,093,039 (FOR A TOTAL PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRF SW CAPACITY UPGRADES FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 16109-111; ORACLE NO. 15965) NOT TO EXCEED $11,688,177); APPROVING PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #2 FOR WRF SW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 16110-111; ORACLE NO. 15838) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $2,984,047 (FOR A TOTAL PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRF SW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 16110-111; ORACLE NO. 15838) NOT TO EXCEED $4,253,047); APPROVING A PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRF SW NEW FILTERS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 16093-111; ORACLE NO. 15928) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $2,214,918; APPROVING A PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRF SW CONSTRUCTION MANAGER FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17058-111; ORACLE NO. 15956) FOR THE HASKELL COMPANY’S (“HASKELL”) EXTENDED GENERAL CONDITIONS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $1,984,075 FOR AN EIGHT MONTH DURATION (MAY 1 THROUGH DECEMBER 31); AUTHORIZING PAYMENT TO HASKELL IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $448,376 FOR PREMIUMS FOR INSURANCE REQUIRED PURSUANT TO THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA AND HASKELL DATED MARCH 22, 2017 (“CM CONTRACT”); CLARIFYING THAT THE
PAYMENT IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $162,500 APPROVED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2017-160 IS FOR THE PREMIUMS AND COSTS FOR THE PUBLIC CONSTRUCTION BOND REQUIRED PURSUANT TO THE CM CONTRACT ONLY; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A FIRST AMENDMENT TO INCORPORATE THE ABOVE REFERENCED PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATES INTO THE CM CONTRACT, PROVIDE FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF THE COSTS AND PREMIUMS FOR BONDS AND INSURANCE AND MODIFY OTHER NECESSARY SECTIONS OF THE CM CONTRACT; IDENTIFYING FUNDS PREVIOUSLY APPROPRIATED; RESCINDING UNENCUMBERED APPROPRIATIONS IN THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4003) IN THE AMOUNT OF $1,500,000 FROM THE SAN #87 CHILDS PARK FM FY15 PROJECT (ORACLE NO. 14807) AND IN THE AMOUNT OF $3,500,000 FROM LST #87 CHILDS PARK MASTER FY15 PROJECT (ORACLE NO. 14809); APPROVING SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4003), RESULTING FROM THE ABOVE RESCISSIONS, IN THE AMOUNTS OF $800,000 TO THE WRF SW CONSTRUCTION MANAGER FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17058-111; ORACLE NO. 15956), $2,000,000 TO THE WRF SW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 16110-111; ORACLE NO. 15838), AND $2,200,000 TO THE WRF SW CAPACITY UPGRADE FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 16109-111; ORACLE NO. 15965); APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $452,666 FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4003) TO THE WRF SW CAPACITY UPGRADE FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 16109-111; ORACLE NO. 15965); AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with the Land Use and Transportation Committee report presented by Councilmember James R. Kennedy, Jr. and Chair Rice, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Evan Mory, Transportation and Parking Management Director gave a presentation to Council regarding the Regional Transit Vision.

Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Gerdes that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Land Use and Transportation report presented by Councilmember Kennedy, and Chair Rice.

In connection with the Committee of the Whole: Council Needs Assessment report, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council refer to the Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee meeting of June 8, 2017 for consideration to consider a further discussion regarding the hiring of legislative aides.


Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Committee of the Whole: Council Needs Assessment report of April 20, 2017 presented by Chair Rice.


In connection with the Housing Services Committee report, Vice-Chair Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Gerdes that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-287 A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THAT THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA INVESTIGATE THE VIABILITY AND DESIRABILITY OF ENACTING A COUNTY-WIDE INCLUSIONARY ZONING ORDINANCE, SUBJECT TO OPT OUT PROVISIONS FOR CITIES; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-288 A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE MAYOR’S APPOINTMENT OF ARTESHA ADRAS AS A MEMBER OF THE ST. PETERSBURG HOUSING AUTHORITY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Gerdes that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-289 A RESOLUTION SUPERCEDING CITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 2015-405 AND APPROVING THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ATTACHED HERETO ("POLICIES AND PROCEDURES") ESTABLISHING A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT LIEN MODIFICATION PROGRAM THAT AUTHORIZES THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO TAKE THE ACTIONS SET FORTH THEREIN ON REQUESTS FOR RELIEF FROM SPECIAL ASSESSMENT LIENS PURSUANT TO THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS RESOLUTION AND THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Housing Services Committee report of April 27, 2017 presented by Councilmember Nurse.


In connection with the Committee of the Whole: Capital Improvement report, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Committee of the Whole: Capital Improvement report presented by Chair Rice.


In connection with the Co-Sponsored Events Subcommittee report, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

206
2017-290  A RESOLUTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY CODE SECTION 21-38(D) EXEMPTING ST. PETE PRIDE LGBTQ+ CELEBRATION (NORTH STRAUB PARK), OKTOBERFEST (DEMENS LANDING), AND CRAB & MUSIC FESTIVAL (VINOY PARK) FROM THE BEER AND WINE ONLY RESTRICTIONS IN CITY CODE SECTION 21-38(D) UPON THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT FOR ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES (FOR ON PREMISES CONSUMPTION ONLY) TO BE SOLD, SERVED, DISPENSED, POSSESSED, USED AND/OR CONSUMED AT THEIR RESPECTIVE VENUES, DURING THEIR EVENTS AS SET FORTH HEREIN; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-291  A RESOLUTION APPROVING EVENTS FOR CO-SPONSORSHIP IN NAME ONLY BY THE CITY FOR FY17; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS RESOLUTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-292  A RESOLUTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY CODE SECTION 21-38(D) EXEMPTING TAMPA BAY BLUESFEST (VINOY PARK), SUNSHINE MUSIC FEST (VINOY PARK), MUSIC & GUMBO 4 VETS (WILLIAMS PARK), AND REGGAE RISEUP (VINOY PARK) FROM THE BEER AND WINE ONLY RESTRICTIONS IN CITY CODE SECTION 21-38(D) UPON THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT FOR ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES (FOR ON PREMISES CONSUMPTION ONLY) TO BE SOLD, SERVED, DISPENSED, POSSESSED, USED AND/OR CONSUMED AT THEIR RESPECTIVE VENUES, DURING THEIR EVENTS AS SET FORTH HEREIN; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:
A RESOLUTION APPROVING EVENTS FOR CO-SPONSORSHIP IN NAME ONLY BY THE CITY FOR FY2018; WAIVING THE NON-PROFIT REQUIREMENT OF RESOLUTION NO. 2000-562(A)8 FOR THE CO-SPONSORED EVENTS TO BE PRESENTED BY LIVE NATION WORLDWIDE, INC., ENDORFUN SPORTS LLC., LIVE NITE EVENTS, LLC., AND SIDELINE APPAREL, INC.; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS RESOLUTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Co-Sponsored Events Subcommittee report of April 20, 2017 presented by Councilmember Gerdes.

Consent Agenda A
May 4, 2017

NOTE: Business items listed on the yellow Consent Agenda cost more than one-half million dollars while the blue Consent Agenda includes routine business items costing less than that amount.

1. Accepting the bid from Lema Construction & Developers, Inc., for the construction of the new Fossil Park Fire Station No. 7 Replacement Project, in the amount of $3,443,961.00; reserving unencumbered appropriations in the amount of $100,000 from the Restore Fountains/Statues FY15 project (14656), $106,000 from the Refinish Gym Floors FY14 project (14142), both of which are in the Recreation and Culture Capital Improvement Fund (3029); and $63,000 from the Fire Station Major Improvements FY17 Project (15669) in the City Facilities Capital Improvement Fund (3031); and $25,000 from the Inter-Facilities Plan FY05 Project (10105) in the Neighborhood and Citywide Infrastructure Capital Improvement Fund (3027); approving transfers in the amount of $206,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Recreation and Culture Capital Improvement Fund (3029), in the amount of $63,000 from the unappropriated balance of the City Facilities Capital Improvement Fund (3031) and in the amount of $25,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Neighborhood and Citywide Infrastructure Capital Improvement Fund (3027) resulting from these rescissions to the Public Safety Capital Improvement Fund (3025); and approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $614,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Public Safety Capital Improvement Fund (3025) to the Fossil Park Fire Station No. 7 Replacement Project (Engineering/CID Project No. 15227-018; Oracle No. 14639); and providing an effective date. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-4]

2. Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with Dell Marketing L.P., for computer accessories and peripherals, in the amount of $1,200,000, for a total contract amount of $2,100,000.

3. Accepting the bid from Kamminga & Roodvoets, Inc. for the 14th Avenue North from 4th Street to Crescent Lake Storm Drainage Improvements project, in the amount of $1,455,522.00 (Engineering Project No. 15017-110, Oracle Project No. 14639); and providing an effective date.

Consent Agenda B
May 4, 2017

NOTE: The Consent Agenda contains normal, routine business items that are very likely to be approved by the City Council by a single motion. Council questions on these items were answered prior to the meeting. Each Councilmember may, however, defer any item for added discussion at a later time.

2017-264
1. Approving an increase in allocation for pool maintenance services with Ferris Pools Inc., in the amount of $115,000, for a total contract amount of $338,200.

2017-265
2. Approving a Construction Manager at Risk Agreement with a Guaranteed Maximum Price between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") and Ajax Building Corporation ("Ajax"), for preconstruction and construction services for the Police Training Facility project ("CMAR Agreement"); Authorizing the City Attorney to make non-substantive changes to the CMAR Agreement; Authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute the CMAR Agreement; authorizing payment to Ajax in an amount not to exceed $26,516 for the preconstruction phase services; and providing an effective date.

2017-266
3. Authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to sell the property located adjacent to 307 Brightwaters Boulevard N.E., St. Petersburg, Property Identification No. 08-31-17-83322-000-1810, to Kevin R. Semcken for the sum of $44,000.

2017-267
4. Authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a First Amendment to License Agreement with Palmetto Park Crime Watch and Neighborhood Association, Inc., a Florida not-for-profit corporation, for the continued use of a surplus, unimproved City-owned parcel located at 2519 – 3rd Avenue South, St. Petersburg, as a "Community Garden", that extends the Term for an additional year expiring on May 31, 2018.

2017-268
5. Certifying that the RClub Child Care, Inc. project to rehabilitate the Happy Workers Learning Center at 920 19th Street South, and soliciting contributions to assist in funding the improvements, is consistent with local plans and regulations. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-5]

2017-268
6. A resolution authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute Amendment No. 2 to Task Order No. 13-07-MN/MMP (as amended) to the Architect/ Engineering Agreement dated July 21, 2014, between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City”) and Moffatt and Nichol, Inc. ("Moffatt") for Moffatt to provide permitting, design, bidding
and construction phase services related to rehabilitating select infrastructure of the Municipal Marina Central and South Yacht Basin Docks and for minor mooring piling modifications to improve slip configuration for South Yacht Basin Dock Numbers 2 and 5 in an amount not to exceed of $133,850 (for a total task order [as amended] not to exceed $209,000); and providing an effective date. (Engineering Project 16111-119; Oracle Project 14680, 15618 & 15619)

7. Confirming the appointment of Anthony Jones as a regular member to the Social Services Allocations Committee to serve an unexpired three-year term ending September 30, 2019.

8. Approving the minutes of the March 2, March 9, and March 16, 2017 City Council meetings.

9. Approving the continued retention of Manson Bolves Donaldson Varn, P.A. as special legal counsel to the City to perform legal services related to the citizen lawsuit and related issues for a total not to exceed amount of $200,000.00.

10. Approving contribution to Metro Wellness for renovations and building upgrades to expand services not to exceed $50,000.

There being no further business, Chair Rice adjourned the meeting at 3:03 p.m.

______________________________
Darden Rice, Chair-Councilmember
Presiding Officer of the City Council

ATTEST: _________________________
Chan Srinivasa, City Clerk
Chair Darden Rice called the meeting to order with the following members present: Amy Foster, Charles Gerdes, James R. Kennedy, Jr., Ed Montanari, Karl Nurse and Darden Rice. Deputy Mayor Dr. Kanika Tomlin, City Administrator Dr. Gary Cornwell, City Attorney Jacqueline Kovilaritch, City Clerk Chan Srinivasa and Office Systems Specialist Paul Traci were also in attendance. Absent: Steve Kornell. Lisa Wheeler-Bowman.

In connection with the approval of the agenda, Councilmember Foster moved with the second of Councilmember Nurse that the following resolution be adopted:

**BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that Council approve the agenda with the following changes as amended:**

ADD D-2 Presentation on the ALICE Report (Councilmember Foster)


In connection with the Awards and Presentations portion of the agenda, City Administrator Dr. Gary Cornwell presented a Proclamation proclaiming the month of May 2017 as Drowning Awareness Month.

In connection with the Awards and Presentations portion of the agenda, Councilmember Charles Gerdes presented a Proclamation proclaiming the City’s respect, gratitude and deep appreciation to Robert Holm.

In connection with the Awards and Presentations portion of the agenda, Evan Mory, Transportation and Parking Management Director gave a presentation to Council regarding Bike Month. City Administrator Dr. Gary Cornwell presented a Proclamation proclaiming the month of May 2017 as Bike Month.

In connection with reports, Michael Marino, United Way Suncoast Policy Director gave a PowerPoint presentation to Council regarding the ALICE report. No action was taken.
In connection with reports, City Clerk Chan Srinivasa presented the results of the Special Election Referendum held on May 2, 2017. Councilmember Montanari moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-294 A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE RESULTS OF THE SPECIAL ELECTION HELD ON MAY 2, 2017, FOR THE PURPOSE OF HOLDING A CITY-WIDE REFERENDUM AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


There being no further business, Chair Rice adjourned the meeting at 4:01 p.m.

Darden Rice, Chair-Councilmember
Presiding Officer of the City Council

ATTEST: Chan Srinivasa, City Clerk
REGULAR SESSION OF THE CITY COUNCIL HELD AT CITY HALL
THURSDAY, May 18, 2017, AT 3:00 P.M.

Chair Darden Rice called the meeting to order with the following members present: Amy Foster, Charles Gerdes, James R. Kennedy, Jr., Steve Kornell, Ed Montanari, Karl Nurse, Darden Rice and Lisa Wheeler-Bowman. Deputy Mayor Dr. Kanika Tomlin, City Administrator Dr. Gary Cornwell, City Attorney Jacqueline Kovilaritch, Chief Assistant City Attorney Jeannine Williams, Assistant City Attorney Michael Dema, City Clerk Chan Srinivasa and Senior Deputy City Clerk Cathy Davis were also in attendance. Absent: None.

In connection with the approval of the agenda, Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kornell that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that Council approve the agenda with the following changes as amended:

MOVED CA-8 A resolution approving Amendment No. 1 to the Architect/Engineering Agreement dated November 17, 2016 between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida (City) and ASRus, LLC (A/E Agreement) for additional services during construction for the SWWRF Reclaimed Water and Injection Well Improvements project in an amount not to exceed $393,000, for a total contract amount not to exceed of $1,678,000 (Engineering Project No. 16110-111; Oracle No. 15838); authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute Amendment No. 1. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS ITEM E-4(b)]

MOVED CA-9 A resolution approving a total advance of $7,600,000 through equal advances in the amounts of $3,800,000 from the unappropriated balances of both the Water Resources Operating Fund (4001) and the Economic Stability Fund (0008) to the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003); approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $7,600,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003), resulting from the above advances, to the WRF Improvements 17 Project (15679); providing that the advances shall be repaid in full from future debt financing previously referenced in Resolution No. 2017-282. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS ITEM E-4(c)] (Revised title and backup.)
MOVED CB-1
Accepting a bid from Florida Safety Contractors, Inc., in the amount of $493,427.05 for the I-275 Underpass at 22nd Street South Architectural Lighting Enhancement Project. (Engineering Project No. 16033-119; Oracle No. 14609); and providing an effective date. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS ITEM E-6]

REVISED CB-6
Accepting a proposal from Process Solutions Assurance LLC for an Oracle Work Asset Management Mobile Application for the Water Resources Department for a total contract amount of $65,000 and approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $15,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003).

MOVED CB-7

MOVED CB-9
A resolution finding that $16,000 is an amount sufficient to cover the cost of removal of the I-275 Underpass at 22nd Street South Landscape and Aesthetic Lighting Projects (Projects), and restoration of the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) right-of-way (Removal and Restoration) upon the expiration or earlier termination of the Community Features Aesthetic Agreement (CAFA) between FDOT and the City of St. Petersburg (City) that provides for the design, installation and maintenance of the Project; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute the CAFA, and all other documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; and providing an effective date. (Engineering Project No. 16032-119 & 16033-119; Oracle Project No. 14609) [MOVED TO REPORTS AS ITEM E-7]

DELETE D-1
Ordinance amending the St. Petersburg City Code, Chapter 16, Land Development Regulations (LDRs), making minor clarifications to the City’s Historic and Archaeological Preservation Overlay. (City File LDR-2017-05)

ADD E-4(a)
A resolution approving an agreement between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") and L.A. Consulting, Inc. in an amount not to exceed $74,982 for a management evaluation of the Water Resources Department; authorizing the Chair of the BF&T Committee to execute the agreement.

ADD E-4(e)
A resolution approving Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) in an amount not to exceed $1,642,688 (for a total partial control estimate for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) not to exceed $3,893,941); approving partial control estimate #1 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927) in an amount not to exceed $1,115,113; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute a first amendment to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida
and PCL Construction Inc. dated April 17, 2017, and modify other necessary sections of such contract.

ADD E-5 Police Quarterly Update – (Oral)

MOVED F-3 Requesting an update from Administration on All Children's Hospital removal from our insurance network and how continuity of care will be provided considering there is no other children's specialty care in Pinellas County. (Councilmember Foster) [MOVED TO REPORTS AS ITEM E-8]

ADD F-4 Referring to a Committee of the Whole for Administration to present an update of the Bio-Solids to Energy project and an update of the 5 year Wastewater Improvement Plan. (Councilmember Montanari)

ADD F-5 Referring to the Public Services and Infrastructure Committee to consider an ordinance to allow PSTA to enter into an agreement to permit the construction of the 23 proposed additional bus shelters on the attached map. (Councilmember Nurse)

ADD F-6 Referring to the Budget, Finance and Taxation Committee to discuss a ballot referendum to allow St. Petersburg Baseball Commission a 10 year lease with a 10 year renewal for the Walter Fuller Baseball Park. (Councilmember Gerdes)

INFO G-5 Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee (5/11/17)

ADD G-5(a) A resolution concerning notification of the recommendation of the provision of an ad hoc adjustment to the monthly pension benefits of the retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits from the Supplemental Firefighter's Retirement System due to normal retirement, early retirement, service connected disability or death, non-service connected disability or death, or termination of employment, occurring prior to October 1, 2008; concerning negotiations for the use of Chapter 175, Fla. Stat, premium tax monies.

ADD G-6(a) A resolution declaring the City of St. Petersburg as an inclusive and welcoming city for all of its residents, regardless of immigration status, religion, country of origin, race, culture, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression or disability; declaring that the City will work with law enforcement to ensure that the City is prepared to respond to hate crimes or other requests for services from all residents, including immigrant communities.

MOVED I-7 An Ordinance amending and adding exemptions to the City's procurement code; adding and revising definitions; including job order contract procedures and an owner direct purchase policy under the procurement department duties and responsibilities; providing that purchases and contracts for supplies and certain services for more than $100,000 shall require City Council approval and that purchases and contracts for professional and consulting services and construction for more than $50,000 shall require City Council approval; amending the criteria and procedures for bid acceptance and bid evaluation; providing for an adjustment to the bid price if bids for construction exceed available funds; including job order
contract to construction delivery methods; authorizing procurement through electronic means. [MOVED TO NEW ORDINANCES AS D-2]


In connection with approval of the Consent Agenda, Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Kornell that the following resolutions be adopted approving the attached Consent Agenda as amended:

MOVED CA-1 Accepting the bid from Florida Safety Contractors, Inc. for the 30th Avenue North Bicycle Facility Project (Project), in the amount of $3,132,696.80 (Engineering Project No.13022-112; FPN 424532 8 58/68 01; Oracle No.13640, 14620, 15088 and 15646); and providing an effective date. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-9]

MOVED CA-6 Accepting a bid from CDW Government LLC, for Panasonic Toughbook tablets for the Police Department, at a total cost of $784,800, and approving a supplemental appropriation, in the amount of $767,360 from the unappropriated balance of the Technology & Infrastructure Fund (5019), to the Police Department, Information & Technology Services (140.1401). [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-10]

MOVED CB-5 Approving the purchase of dashboard cameras and accessories from CDW Government LLC for the Police Department, at a total cost of $87,000. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-5(a)]


Prior to Open Forum, Mya Stevenson gave an operatic performance of “Amazing Grace” to Council. No action was taken.

In connection with reports, Dave Goodwin, Economic and Planning Development Director gave a presentation to Council regarding a bid for the 22nd Street South Architectural Lighting Enhancement Project. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman moved with the second of Councilmember Nurse that the following resolution be adopted:

2016-307 A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE BID AND APPROVING THE AWARD OF AN AGREEMENT TO FLORIDA SAFETY CONTRACTORS, INC. FOR THE I-275 UNDERPASS AT 22ND STREET SOUTH ARCHITECTURAL LIGHTING ENHANCEMENT PROJECT AT A TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $493,427.05; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

In connection with reports, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman moved with the second of Councilmember Kornell that the following resolution be adopted:


In connection with reports, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kornell moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

2016-309  A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE BID AND APPROVING THE AWARD OF AN AGREEMENT TO CDW GOVERNMENT LLC FOR THE PURCHASE OF 225 PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK TABLETS FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AT A TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $784,800; APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $767,360 FROM THE TECHNOLOGY & INFRASTRUCTURE FUND (5019) TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, INFORMATION & TECHNOLOGY SERVICES (140-1401); AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with the Open Forum portion of the agenda, the following person(s) came forward:
1. Scott Willis, 734 Placido Way Northeast, expressed his concerns regarding the Crisp Park Boat Ramp.
2. Bill Blazowski, 4963 Bacopa Lane South, expressed his concerns regarding derelict boats.
4. Linda Stoller, 701 Mirror Lake Drive North, expressed her concerns regarding Super PACs and educational policy.
5. Brooke Erret, 233 3rd Street North, expressed her concerns regarding political funding.
6. Ashley Green, 3955 15th Avenue South, expressed her concerns regarding the impact of private prisons on youth.
7. Julie Kessel, 851 35th Avenue North, expressed her concerns regarding political funding.
8. Diane Cardin-Kamleiter, 435 11th Avenue Northeast, expressed her concerns regarding political funding.
9. Representative Wengay Newton, spoke regarding politics in the state of Florida.
10. Chris Steinocher, 100 2nd Avenue North, expressed his concerns regarding report items E-1 and E-3.
11. Reuben Pressman, 145 20th Avenue Southeast, spoke regarding marketing for the City of St. Petersburg.

In connection with new ordinances, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 280-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Nurse that the following resolution be adopted:

**BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that setting June 1, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):**

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 280-H**

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AND ADDING EXEMPTIONS TO THE CITY’S PROCUREMENT CODE; ADDING AND REVISING DEFINITIONS; INCLUDING JOB ORDER CONTRACT PROCEDURES AND AN OWNER DIRECT PURCHASE POLICY UNDER THE PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES; PROVIDING THAT PURCHASES AND CONTRACTS FOR SUPPLIES AND CERTAIN SERVICES FOR MORE THAN $100,000 SHALL REQUIRE CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL AND THAT PURCHASES AND CONTRACTS FOR PROFESSIONAL AND CONSULTING SERVICES AND CONSTRUCTION FOR MORE THAN $50,000 SHALL REQUIRE CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL; AMENDING THE CRITERIA AND PROCEDURES FOR BID ACCEPTANCE AND BID EVALUATION; PROVIDING FOR AN ADJUSTMENT TO THE BID PRICE IF BIDS FOR
CONSTRUCTION EXCEED AVAILABLE FUNDS; INCLUDING JOB ORDER CONTRACT TO CONSTRUCTION DELIVERY METHODS; AUTHORIZING PROCUREMENT THROUGH ELECTRONIC MEANS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with a new business item presented by Councilmember Amy Foster, Benson Porter, United Healthcare gave a presentation to Council regarding an update on All Children’s Hospital removal from the City’s insurance network and the continuity of care. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, the following person(s) came forward:

1. George Lofton, 14141 46th Street North, expressed his concerns regarding the removal of All Children’s Hospital from the City’s insurance network.

No action was taken.

Chair Rice was excused from the meeting at 5:00 p.m.

In connection with reports, Chief Anthony Holloway presented the Police Quarterly Update. Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council defer approval of the purchase of dashboard cameras and accessories from CDW Government LLC for the Police Department, at a total cost of $87,000.


In connection with a Consent Agenda item, Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kornell moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-310 A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEERING AGREEMENT DATED NOVEMBER 17, 2016 BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA (“CITY”) AND ARSUS, LLC (“A/E” AGREEMENT) FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES RELATED TO THE SWWRF RECLAIMED WATER AND INJECTION WELL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $393,000, FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $1,678,000 (ENGINEERING PROJECT NO. 16110-111; ORACLE NO. 15838); AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
In connection with reports, Nina Mahmoudi, Marketing Manager gave a presentation to Council regarding an agreement with Spark Branding House, Inc. for marketing services. Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

The following person(s) were present but did not wish to speak:

1. J.P. DuBuque, 100 2nd Avenue North, was in support of the proposed agreement.

Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Nurse that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-311 A RESOLUTION APPROVING A ONE-YEAR AGREEMENT BETWEEN SPARK BRANDING HOUSE, INC. (“SPARK”) AND THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA (“CITY”) FOR SPARK TO PROVIDE MARKETING SERVICES TO PROMOTE THE CITY’S ARTS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SECTORS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $92,250; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND ALL OTHER NECESSARY DOCUMENTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

In connection with reports, Alan DeLisle, City Development Administration gave a presentation to Council regarding the expansion the corporate operations center of Jabil Circuit, Inc. Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Gerdes that the following resolution be adopted:

OPERATIONS CENTER; CONSENTING TO THE ASSIGNMENT AND ASSUMPTION OF THE LEASE BY JABIL; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AMENDMENT; CONSENTING TO A FIVE-MONTH SUBLEASE BETWEEN JABIL AND TBRI; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR, OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman recessed the meeting at 6:36 p.m. for a dinner break.

Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman reconvened the meeting at 7:15 p.m.

In connection with public hearings, Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kornell moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolutions be adopted:

2017-313 A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AND APPROVING PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR LOT CLEARING NO. 1577; PROVIDING FOR AN INTEREST RATE ON UNPAID ASSESSMENTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

2017-314 A RESOLUTION ASSESSING THE COSTS OF SECURING LISTED ON SECURING BUILDING NO. 1223 ("SEC 1223") AS LIENS AGAINST THE RESPECTIVE REAL PROPERTY ON WHICH THE COSTS WERE INCURRED; PROVIDING THAT SAID LIENS HAVE A PRIORITY AS ESTABLISHED BY CITY CODE SECTION 8-270; PROVIDING FOR AN INTEREST RATE ON UNPAID BALANCES; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND RECORD NOTICE(S) OF LIEN(S) IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF THE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

2017-315 A RESOLUTION ASSESSING THE COSTS OF DEMOLITION LISTED ON BUILDING DEMOLITION NO. 449 ("DMO NO. 449") AS LIENS AGAINST THE RESPECTIVE REAL PROPERTY ON WHICH THE COSTS WERE INCURRED; PROVIDING THAT SAID LIENS HAVE A PRIORITY AS ESTABLISHED BY CITY CODE SECTION 8-270; PROVIDING FOR AN INTEREST RATE ON UNPAID BALANCES; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND RECORD NOTICE(S) OF LIEN(S) IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF THE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

In connection with public hearings, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinances 274-H, 275-H, 276-H, 277-H and 278-H. Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that proposed Ordinances 274-H, 275-H, 276-H, 277-H and 278-H entitled:

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 274-H
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG RESCINDING THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER FOR THE INTOWN AREAWIDE DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 275-H
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG RESCINDING THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER FOR THE GATEWAY AREAWIDE DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 276-H
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG RESCINDING THE GATEWAY AREAWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT SPECIAL ASSESSMENT FEE ORDINANCE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 277-H
AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA; AMENDING CHAPTER 1, GENERAL INTRODUCTION; AMENDING CHAPTER 3, FUTURE LAND USE ELEMENT; AMENDING CHAPTER 11, INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION ELEMENT; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 278-H
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG PROVIDING FOR AMENDMENT OF THE CITY CODE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS; DELETING ARTICLE 16.04 RELATING TO AREAWIDE DEVELOPMENTS OF REGIONAL IMPACT ("DRI"); AMENDING SECTION 16.70.015 RELATING TO DRI DECISIONS AND APPEALS; AMENDING PARKING REQUIREMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE GATEWAY
AREAWIDE DEVELOPMENT ORDER; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

be adopted on second and final reading.


In connection with public hearings, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 279-H. Vice-Chair Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Kornell that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that proposed Ordinance 279-H entitled:

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 279-H

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG APPROVING AND ADOPTING A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT WITH ECHELON LLC., A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, RELATING TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF PROPERTY KNOWN AS THE ECHELON CITY CENTER, GENERALLY LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF ULMERTON ROAD, BETWEEN CARILLON PARKWAY AND FOUNTAIN PARKWAY NORTH; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

be adopted on second and final reading.


The Clerk administered the oath to those present wishing to present testimony in connection with the quasi-judicial proceedings.

The Chair reviewed the Quasi-Judicial process to be followed. The Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance No. 756-Z. A presentation was made by Derek Kilborn, Urban Planning and Historic Preservation Manager and Applicant Craig Taraszki regarding proposed Ordinance No. 756-Z (City File ZM-6). Vice-Chair Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Kornell that the following resolution be adopted:
BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that proposed Ordinance 756-Z entitled:

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 756-Z

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF PROPERTY GENERALLY LOCATED NORTH OF GANDY BLVD. AND EAST OF MANGROVE CAY LANE, FROM NS-2 (NEIGHBORHOOD SUBURBAN) TO NPUD-3 (NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT); PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING ORDINANCES AND PROVISIONS THEREOF; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

be adopted on second and final reading.


In connection with public hearings, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 1096-V. Vice-Chair Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Kornell moved with the second of Councilmember Gerdes that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that proposed Ordinance 1096-V entitled:

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 1096-V

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A VACATION OF A 16-FOOT NORTH/SOUTH ALLEY ADJACENT TO LOTS 9, 10, 11, AND 12 OF CLEARVIEW VISTA SUBDIVISION NO. 1, GENERALLY LOCATED AT 3934 49TH STREET NORTH; SETTING FORTH CONDITIONS FOR THE VACATION TO BECOME EFFECTIVE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

be adopted on second and final reading.


In connection with public hearings, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 273-H. Vice-Chair Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Derek Kilborn, Urban Planning and Historic Preservation Manager and Economic Development Director Dave Goodwin gave a presentation to Council regarding the proposed Ordinance.
Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Kornell that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that proposed Ordinance 273-H entitled:

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 273-H

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR AMENDMENT OF THE PARKING AND LOADING, DESIGN STANDARDS SECTION OF THE ST. PETERSBURG CITY CODE; AMENDING THE SECTION REGULATING TEMPORARY PARKING LOTS ASSOCIATED WITH TROPICANA FIELD; PROVIDING FOR TEMPORARY COMMERCIAL PARKING ON AN INTERIM BASIS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

be adopted on final reading.


Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman recessed the City of St. Petersburg City Council meeting at 7:40 p.m. and convened as the Community Redevelopment Agency.

The City Council was reconvened at 8:22 p.m.

In connection with Community Redevelopment items, Councilmember Nurse moved with the second Councilmember Kornell that the following resolutions be adopted as amended:

2017-316 A RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG APPROVING THE 1) ESTABLISHMENT OF THE SOUTH ST. PETERSBURG COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AREA (CRA) GRANT REVIEW COMMITTEE; 2) THE SOUTH ST. PETERSBURG CRA GRANT SCORING AND EVALUATION SYSTEM; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

2017-317 A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEERING AGREEMENT DATED NOVEMBER 17, 2016 BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA ("CITY") AND ARSUS, LLC ("A/E" AGREEMENT) FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES RELATED TO THE SWWRF RECLAIMED WATER AND INJECTION WELL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $393,000, FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $1,678,000 (ENGINEERING PROJECT NO. 16110-111; ORACLE NO. 15838); AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
In connection with reports, Claude Tankersley, Public Works Administrator presented the Sewer Report. Vice-Chair Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-318  A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEERING AGREEMENT DATED NOVEMBER 17, 2016 BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA (“CITY”) AND ARSUS, LLC (“A/E” AGREEMENT) FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES RELATED TO THE SWWRF RECLAIMED WATER AND INJECTION WELL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $393,000, FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $1,678,000 (ENGINEERING PROJECT NO. 16110-111; ORACLE NO. 15838); AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

In connection with the Sewer Report, Vice-Chair Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, the following person(s) came forward:

1. Kelly Kirschner, spoke regarding the proposed management evaluation of the Water Resources Department.

Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Gerdes that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-319  A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA (“CITY”) AND L.A. CONSULTING, INC. IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $74,982 FOR A MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OF THE WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT; AUTHORIZING THE CHAIR OF THE BF&T COMMITTEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

In connection with the Sewer Report, Vice-Chair Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Gerdes that the following resolution be adopted:
2017-320 A RESOLUTION APPROVING A TOTAL ADVANCE OF $7,600,000 THROUGH EQUAL ADVANCES IN THE AMOUNTS OF $3,800,000 FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCES OF BOTH THE WATER RESOURCES OPERATING FUND (4001) AND THE ECONOMIC STABILITY FUND (0008) TO THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4003); APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $7,600,000 FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECT FUND (4003), RESULTING FROM THE ABOVE ADVANCES, TO THE WRF IMPROVEMENTS 17 PROJECT (15679); PROVIDING THAT THE ADVANCES SHALL BE REPAYED IN FULL FROM FUTURE DEBT FINANCING PREVIOUSLY REFERENCED IN RESOLUTION NO. 2017-282 AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with the Sewer Report, Vice-Chair Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-321 A RESOLUTION ACKNOWLEDGING THE SELECTION OF ARCADIS, U.S., INC.; CAROLLO ENGINEERS, INC.; MCKIM & CREED, INC. AND WOOLPERT, INC. TO PROVIDE MISCELLANEOUS PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR UTILITY INFORMATION SYSTEMS FOR THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG ("CITY"); AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE CITY'S STANDARD FORM ARCHITECT ENGINEERING AGREEMENT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with the Sewer Report, Vice-Chair Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-322 A RESOLUTION APPROVING PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #2 FOR WRF NW NEW FILTERS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17052-111; ORACLE NO. 15926) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $1,642,258 (FOR A TOTAL PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRF NW NEW FILTERS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17052-111; ORACLE NO. 15926) NOT TO EXCEED $3,893,511); APPROVING PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #1 FOR WRF NW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17053-111; ORACLE NO. 15927) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $1,115,113; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A FIRST AMENDMENT TO INCORPORATE THE ABOVE REFERENCED PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATES INTO THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER
In connection with a new business item presented by Councilmember Steve Kornell, Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Council allocate $25,000 into either the Stormwater or Police Department budget to provide for the removal of sunken vessels when there is no other alternative.

In connection with a new business item presented by Councilmember Karl Nurse, Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Council refer to the Budget, Finance and Taxation Committee for consideration to consider a discussion of a multi-year shade tree planting program along City trails and roadways funded through the Weeki Wachee fund.

In connection with a new business item presented by Councilmember Ed Montanari, Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kornell that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Council refer to a Committee of the Whole for consideration to consider the presentation of an update of the Bio-Solids to Energy project and an update of the 5 year Wastewater Improvement Plan.
In connection with a new business item presented by Councilmember Karl Nurse, Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Nurse that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Council refer to the Public Services and Infrastructure Committee for consideration to consider a discussion of an ordinance to allow PSTA to enter an agreement to permit the construction of the 23 proposed additional bus shelters on the attached map.


In connection with a new business item presented by Councilmember Charles Gerdes, Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Council refer to the Budget, Finance and Taxation Committee for consideration to consider a discussion of a ballot referendum to allow St. Petersburg Baseball Commission a 10 year lease with a 10 year renewal for the Walter Fuller Baseball Park.


In connection with the Homeless Leadership Board report, Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Foster moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Homeless Leadership Board report presented by Councilmember Amy Foster.


In connection with the Public Arts Commission report, Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kornell moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:
Councilmember Kornell moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Public Arts Commission report presented by Councilmember Steve Kornell.


In connection with the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council report, Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kornell moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council report presented by Councilmember Steve Kornell.


In connection with the Budget, Finance and Taxation report, Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-323 A RESOLUTION CONCERNING NOTIFICATION OF THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE PROVISION OF AN AD HOC ADJUSTMENT TO THE MONTHLY PENSION BENEFITS OF THE RETIREES AND BENEFICIARIES RECEIVING BENEFITS FROM THE SUPPLEMENTAL FIREFIGHTER’S RETIREMENT SYSTEM DUE TO NORMAL RETIREMENT, EARLY RETIREMENT, SERVICE CONNECTED DISABILITY OR DEATH, NON-SERVICE CONNECTED DISABILITY OR DEATH, OR TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT, OCCURRING PRIOR TO OCTOBER 1, 2008; CONCERNING NEGOTIATIONS FOR THE USE OF CHAPTER 175, FLA. STAT. PREMIUM TAX MONIES; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE FOR THIS RESOLUTION.


Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Nurse that the following resolution be adopted:
BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council request upon a 10% or more of market correction that the Investment Oversight Committee provide a recommendation to the Budget, Finance and Taxation Committee as to whether or not it would consider expanding the alternative investment.


Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the May 11, 2017 Budget, Finance and Taxation Committee report presented by Councilmember James R. Kennedy, Jr.


In connection with the Public Services and Infrastructure Committee report, Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kornell moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council request the Legal Department to present a draft ordinance to level the playing field between taxis and state regulated transportation companies to the Public Services and Infrastructure Committee.


Councilmember Kornell moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-324 A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG AS AN INCLUSIVE AND WELCOMING CITY FOR ALL OF ITS RESIDENTS, REGARDLESS OF IMMIGRATION STATUS, RELIGION, COUNTRY OF ORIGIN, RACE, CULTURE, ETHNICITY, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION OR DISABILITY; DECLARING THAT THE CITY WILL WORK WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT TO ENSURE THAT THE CITY IS PREPARED TO RESPOND TO HATE CRIMES OR OTHER REQUESTS FOR SERVICES FROM ALL RESIDENTS, INCLUDING IMMIGRANT COMMUNITIES; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Councilmember Kornell moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Public Services and Infrastructure Committee report of May 11, 2017 presented by Councilmember Steve Kornell.

1. Accepting the bid from Florida Safety Contractors, Inc. for the 30th Avenue North Bicycle Facility Project (Project), in the amount of $3,132,696.80 (Engineering Project No.13022-112; FPN 424532 8 58/68 01; Oracle No.13640, 14620, 15088 and 15646); and providing an effective date.


3. Approving the renewal of agreements with Tri-City Electrical Contractors, Inc. and Riley Electric Co., Inc. for electrical services, in the amount of $400,000, for a total contract amount of $1,290,000.

4. Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with G.A. Food Service of Pinellas County, Inc. dba G.A. Food Service, Inc., for the Summer Food Service Program, at an estimated annual cost of $405,000, for a total contract amount of $1,136,615.

5. Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with Smith Industries, Inc., d/b/a Smith Fence Company, for fencing and repairs at an estimated annual cost of $250,000, for a total contract amount of $1,005,000.

6. Accepting a bid from CDW Government LLC, for Panasonic Toughbook tablets for the Police Department, at a total cost of $784,800, and approving a supplemental appropriation, in the amount of $767,360 from the unappropriated balance of the Technology & Infrastructure Fund (5019), to the Police Department, Information & Technology Services (140.1401).

7. Authorizing the Mayor or his designee to accept a grant from the State of Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (“Department”) in the amount not to exceed $513,529 for the City’s summer food program and to execute a grant agreement and all other documents necessary to effectuate this transaction with the
Department; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $513,529 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Fund (0001), resulting from these additional revenues, to the Parks & Recreation Department.

8. A resolution approving Amendment No. 1 to the Architect/Engineering Agreement dated November 17, 2016 between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida (City) and ASRus, LLC (A/E Agreement) for additional services during construction for the SWWRF Reclaimed Water and Injection Well Improvements project in an amount not to exceed $393,000, for a total contract amount not to exceed of $1,678,000 (Engineering Project No. 16110-111; Oracle No. 15838); authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute Amendment No. 1. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS ITEM E-4(b)]

9. A resolution approving a total advance of $7,600,000 through equal advances in the amounts of $3,800,000 from the unappropriated balances of both the Water Resources Operating Fund (4001) and the Economic Stability Fund (0008) to the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003); approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $7,600,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003), resulting from the above advances, to the WRF Improvements-17 Project (15679). [MOVED TO REPORTS AS ITEM E-4(c)]
Consent Agenda B
May 18, 2017

NOTE: The Consent Agenda contains normal, routine business items that are very likely to be approved by the City Council by a single motion. Council questions on these items were answered prior to the meeting. Each Councilmember may, however, defer any item for added discussion at a later time.

1. Accepting a bid from Florida Safety Contractors, Inc., in the amount of $493,427.05 for the I-275 Underpass at 22nd Street South Architectural Lighting Enhancement Project (Engineering Project No. 16033 119; Oracle No. 14609); and providing an effective date. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS ITEM E-6]

2. Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with Safety Products, Inc. for safety clothing and supplies, at an estimated annual cost of $150,000, for a total contract amount of $430,000.

3. Approving the renewal of blanket purchase agreements with Otis Elevator Company and Thyssenkrupp Elevator Corporation for elevator services, maintenance and repairs, at an estimated annual cost of $80,000, for a total contract amount of $391,375.

4. Awarding a blanket purchase agreement to AKCA Incorporated for traffic marking materials and services for the Engineering and the Transportation and Parking Management departments at total contract amount of $275,000.

5. Approving the purchase of dashboard cameras and accessories from CDW Government LLC for the Police Department, at a total cost of $87,000.

6. Accepting a proposal from Process Solutions Assurance LLC for an Oracle Work Asset Management Mobile Application for the Water Resources Department for a total contract amount of $65,000 and approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $15,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003).


8. Authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a three (3) year Parking Space Use Agreement with The Cathedral Church of St. Peter, Inc., for the use of certain parking
spaces located in City Hall Parking Lot No. 4 for an initial fee of Thirty-one Dollars ($31.00) per space, per month, plus applicable sales tax, during the first year of the term.

9. A resolution finding that $16,000 is an amount sufficient to cover the cost of removal of the I-275 Underpass at 22nd Street South Landscape and Aesthetic Lighting Projects (Projects), and restoration of the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) right-of-way (Removal and Restoration) upon the expiration or earlier termination of the Community Features Aesthetic Agreement (CAFA) between FDOT and the City of St. Petersburg (City) that provides for the design, installation and maintenance of the Project; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute the CAFA, and all other documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; and providing an effective date. (Engineering Project No. 16032-119 & 16033-119; Oracle Project No. 14609) [MOVED TO REPORTS AS ITEM E-7]

10. A resolution authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute Task Order No. 14-05-T/GMTI to the architect/engineering agreement dated January 24, 2014 between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Tierra, Inc. (Tierra) in an amount not-to-exceed $121,900 for Tierra to perform threshold inspections related to the New Police Department Facility (Engineering Project No. 11234-018; Oracle No. 12847)

11. A resolution approving supplemental appropriations from the unappropriated balance of the Local Law Enforcement State Trust Fund (1601) to the Police Department, Local Law Enforcement State Trust (140-2857) in the amount of $50,000, and from the unappropriated balance of the Federal Justice Forfeiture Fund (1602) to the Police Department, Federal Justice Forfeiture (140-2858) in the amount of $53,000; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this resolution.

There being no further business, Vice-Chair Wheeler-Bowman adjourned the meeting at 10:27 p.m.

Lisa Wheeler-Bowman, Vice-Chair-Councilmember
Presiding Officer of the City Council

ATTEST: ____________________________________________
Chan Srinivasa, City Clerk
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A resolution authorizing the Mayor or his designee to accept a Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security Assistance to Firefighters ("AFG") federally funded matching grant in the amount of $316,291 for the specific purpose of creating a peer fitness trainer program within the Fire Rescue Department, and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $316,291 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Fund (0001), resulting from these additional revenues to the Fire Rescue Department (150), 2016 AFG Grant Project (16066); and providing an effective date.

EXPLANATION: The Federal Emergency Management Agency of the Department of Homeland Security has offered the City an Assistance to Firefighters Grant ("AFG") in the amount of $316,291 ("Grant"). St. Petersburg Fire Rescue ("Department") intends to create and sustain a peer fitness trainer program throughout all thirteen (13) St. Petersburg Fire Stations. The funding provided by the Grant will aid in the implementation of an evidence based, standardized, wellness and fitness program for the firefighters of St. Petersburg Fire Rescue in close alignment with the recommendations of the IAFF-IAFC Wellness-Fitness Initiative.

AFG grants provide funding directly to fire departments and volunteer firefighter interest organizations to enhance the safety of the public and firefighters with respect to fire and fire-related hazards by providing direct financial assistance to eligible fire departments, nonaffiliated EMS organizations, and State Fire Training Academies for critically needed resources to equip and train emergency personnel to recognized standards, enhance operational efficiencies, foster interoperability, and support community resilience.

This Grant will provide $316,291, ninety percent (90%) of the total grant request of $347,920. The City’s required ten percent (10%) match of $31,629 for the one-year period of performance beginning May 26, 2017 will be funded from the Department’s operating budget.

RECOMMENDATION: Administration recommends adoption of the attached resolution authorizing the Mayor or his designee to accept a Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security Assistance to Firefighters Grant ("AFG") in the amount of $316,291 for the specific purpose of creating a peer fitness trainer program within the Fire Rescue Department, and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $316,291 from the General Fund (0001); resulting from these additional revenues to the Fire Rescue Department (150) 2016 AFG Grant Project (16066); and providing an effective date.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: Revenues in the amount of $316,291 will be received from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and deposited in the
General Fund (0001) over the one year performance period. A supplemental appropriation in the amount of $316,291 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Fund (0001), resulting from these additional revenues, to the Fire Rescue Department (150), 2016 AFG Grant Project (16066) will be necessary. The remaining funding in the amount of $31,629 will be available in the General Fund (0001), Fire Rescue Department, Operations (150-1497).

ATTACHMENTS: Resolution
Approvals:
Legal:  
Administration:  
Budget:  

Legal: 00327285.doc V. 1
A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO ACCEPT A FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY ASSISTANCE TO FIREFIGHTERS ("AFG") FEDERALLY FUNDED MATCHING GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF $316,291 FOR THE SPECIFIC PURPOSE OF CREATING A PEER FITNESS TRAINER PROGRAM WITHIN THE FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENT, AND TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $316,291 FROM THE INCREASE IN THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE GENERAL FUND (0001), RESULTING FROM THESE ADDITIONAL REVENUES TO THE FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENT (150) 2016 AFG GRANT PROJECT (16066); AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Federal Emergency Management Agency of the Department of Homeland Security has offered the City an Assistance to Firefighters Grant ("AFG") in the amount of $316,291 ("Grant"); and

WHEREAS, St. Petersburg Fire Rescue ("Department") intends to create and sustain a peer fitness trainer program throughout all thirteen (13) St. Petersburg Fire Stations; and

WHEREAS, the funding provided by the Grant will aid in the implementation of an evidence based, standardized, wellness and fitness program for the firefighters of St. Petersburg Fire Rescue in close alignment with the recommendations of the IAFF-IAFC Wellness-Fitness Initiative; and

WHEREAS, AFG grants provide funding directly to fire departments and volunteer firefighter interest organizations to enhance the safety of the public and firefighters with respect to fire and fire-related hazards by providing direct financial assistance to eligible fire departments, nonaffiliated EMS organizations, and State Fire Training Academies for critically needed resources to equip and train emergency personnel to recognized standards, enhance operational efficiencies, foster interoperability, and support community resilience; and

WHEREAS, this Grant will provide $316,291, ninety percent (90%) of the total grant request of $347,920, and the City's required ten percent (10%) match of $31,629 for the one-year period of performance beginning May 26, 2017 will be funded from the Department's operating budget.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to accept a Federal Emergency Management
Agency, Department of Homeland Security Assistance to Firefighters grant in the amount of $316,291 for the specific purpose of creating a peer fitness trainer program within the Fire Rescue Department, and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there is hereby approved from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Fund (0001), resulting from these additional revenues, the following supplemental appropriation for FY17.

| General Fund (0001) | Fire Rescue Department (150), 2016 AFG Grant Project (16066) | $316,291 |

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approvals:

Legal:  
[Signature]

Administration:  
[Signature]

Budget:  
[Signature]

Legal: 00327284.doc V. 1
MEMORANDUM
St. Petersburg Fire & Rescue

TO: City Clerk’s Office
FROM: Kimberly Schultz
DATE: June 6, 2017

SUBJECT: 2016 AFG Peer Trainer Program

St. Petersburg Fire Rescue received an official award notification of the FY 2016 Assistance to Firefighters Grant ("AFG") for the Peer Trainer Program on June 2nd, 2017. As stated in The Department of Homeland Security Notice of Funding Opportunity for the FY 2016 AFG, the offered award will remain on hold and be available for a maximum of 30 days until the recipient accepts or declines the award. As such, St. Petersburg Fire Rescue requests to be placed on the adds and deletes for the St. Petersburg City Council meeting to be held on Thursday, June 15th, 2017 in order to meet the 30 day acceptance window as required by the granting agency.

Please feel free to contact me at x7601 if you have any questions. Thank you.
To: emmakofs  
Date: 06/02/2017  
Subject: Award Notification (Application Number: EMW-2016-FO-02901)  
From: firegrants@dhs.gov

Congratulations!

Your grant application submitted under the Grant Programs Directorate's (DHS) FY 2016 Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program has been approved for award. Please go to https://portal.fema.gov to accept or decline your award. This will take you to the Assistance to Firefighters eGrants system. Enter your User Name and Password as requested on the login screen. Your User Name and Password are the same as those used to complete the application on-line.

Once you are in the system, the Status page will be the first screen you see. On the right side of the Status screen, you will see a column entitled Action. In this column, please select the View Award Package from the drop down menu. Click Go to view your award package and indicate your acceptance or declination of award. PLEASE NOTE: your period of performance has begun. If you wish to accept your grant, you should do so immediately. When you have finished, we recommend printing your award package for your records.

If you accept your award, you will see a link on the left side of the screen that says Update 1199A in the Action column. Click this link. This link will take you to the SF-1199A, Direct Deposit Sign-up Form. Please complete the SF-1199A on-line if you have not done so already. When you have finished, you must submit the form electronically. Then, using the Print 1199A Button, print a copy and take it to your bank to have the bottom portion completed. Make sure your application number is on the form. After your bank has filled out their portion of the form, you must fax a copy of the form to FEMA's SF-1199 Processing Staff at 301-998-8699. You should keep the original form in your grant files. After the faxed version of your SF 1199A has been reviewed you will receive an email indicating the form is approved. After which you will be able to request payments online. If you have any questions regarding your SF-1199A, please call 1-866-274-0960.
Ms. Elizabeth Makofske  
St. Petersburg Fire & Rescue  
400 Dr. Martin Luther King St S  
St. Petersburg, Florida 33701-4419  

Re: Award No. EMW-2016-FO-02901  

Dear Ms. Makofske:  

Congratulations, on behalf of the Department of Homeland Security, your application for financial assistance submitted under the Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 Assistance to Firefighters Grant has been approved in the amount of $316,291.00. As a condition of this award, you are required to contribute a cost match in the amount of $31,629.60 of non-Federal funds, or 10 percent of the Federal contribution of $316,291.00.

Before you request and receive any of the Federal funds awarded to you, you must establish acceptance of the award through the Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program's e-grant system. By accepting this award, you acknowledge that the terms of the following documents are incorporated into the terms of your award:

- Summary Award Memo  
- Agreement Articles (attached to this Award Letter)  
- Obligating Document (attached to this Award Letter)  
- FY 2016 Assistance to Firefighters Grant Notice of Funding Opportunity.

Please make sure you read, understand, and maintain a copy of these documents in your official file for this award.

Prior to requesting Federal funds, all recipients are required to register in the System for Award Management (SAM.gov). As the recipient, you must register and maintain current information in SAM.gov until you submit the final financial report required under this award or receive the final payment, whichever is later. This requires that the recipient review and update the information annually after the initial registration, and more frequently for changes in your information. There is no charge to register in SAM.gov. Your registration must be completed on-line at https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/. It is your entity's responsibility to have a valid DUNS number at the time of registration.

In order to establish acceptance of the award and its terms, please follow these instructions:

**Step 1:** Please go to https://portal.fema.gov to accept or decline your award. This will take you to the Assistance to Firefighters eGrants system. Enter your User Name and Password as requested on the login screen. Your User Name and Password are the same as those used to complete the application on-line.

Once you are in the system, the Status page will be the first screen you see. On the right side of the Status screen, you will see a column entitled Action. In this column, please select the View Award Package from the drop down menu. Click Go to view your award package and indicate your acceptance or declination of award. PLEASE NOTE: your period of performance has begun. If you wish to accept your grant, you should do so immediately. When you have finished, we recommend printing your award package for your records.

**Step 2:** If you accept your award, you will see a link on the left side of the screen that says "Update 1199A" in the Action column. Click this link. This link will take you to the SF-1199A, Direct Deposit Sign-up Form. Please complete the SF-1199A on-line if you have not done so already. When you have finished, you must submit...
the form electronically. Then, using the Print 1199A Button, print a copy and take it to your bank to have the bottom portion completed. Make sure your application number is on the form. After your bank has filled out their portion of the form, you must fax a copy of the form to FEMA's SF-1199 Processing Staff at 540-504-2883. You should keep the original form in your grant files. After the faxed version of your SF 1199A has been reviewed you will receive an email indicating the form is approved. Once approved you will be able to request payments online. If you have any questions or concerns regarding your 1199A, or the process to request your funds, please call (866) 274-0960.

Sincerely,

Bridget Bean
Acting Assistant Administrator for Grant Programs
Summary Award Memo

SUMMARY OF ASSISTANCE ACTION
ASSISTANCE TO FIREFIGHTERS GRANT PROGRAM
Application

INSTRUMENT: GRANT
AGREEMENT NUMBER: EMW-2016-FO-02901
GRANTEE: St. Petersburg Fire & Rescue
DUNS NUMBER: 129928792
AMOUNT: $347,920.00, Operations and Safety

Project Description

The purpose of the Assistance to Firefighters Program is to protect the health and safety of the public and firefighting personnel against fire and fire-related hazards.

After careful consideration, FEMA has determined that the recipient's project or projects submitted as part of the recipient's application, and detailed in the project narrative as well as the request details section of the application - including budget information - was consistent with the Assistance to Firefighters Grant program's purpose and worthy of award. The projects approved for funding are indicated by the budget or negotiation comments below. The recipient shall perform the work described in the grant application for the recipient's approved project or projects as itemized in the request details section of the application and further described in the grant application narrative. The content of the approved portions of the application - along with any documents submitted with the recipient's application - are incorporated by reference into the terms of the recipient's award. The recipient may not change or make any material deviations from the approved scope of work outlined in the above referenced sections of the application without prior written approval, via amendment request, from FEMA.

Period of Performance

26-MAY-17 to 25-MAY-18

Amount Awarded

The amount of the award is detailed in the attached Obligating Document for Award. The following are the budgeted estimates for object classes for this grant (including Federal share plus recipient match):

- Personnel: $110,160.00
- Fringe Benefits: $0.00
- Travel: $2,010.00
- Equipment: $156,000.00
- Supplies: $0.00
- Contractual: $52,750.00
- Construction: $0.00
- Other: $27,000.00
- Indirect Charges: $0.00

https://eservices.fema.gov/FemaFireGrant/firegrant/jsp/fire_admin/awards/spec/view_award... 6/2/2017
NEGOTIATION COMMENTS IF APPLICABLE (max 8000 characters)
If you have any questions about your award package, please contact your GPD Grants Management Specialist: Chanee Williams at Chanee.Williams@ferna.dhs.gov.

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT
All recipients must comply with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA, which requires recipients to use all practicable means within their authority, and consistent with other essential considerations of national policy, to create and maintain conditions under which people and nature can exist in productive harmony and fulfill the social, economic, and other needs of present and future generations of Americans.

NONDISCRIMINATION IN MATTERS PERTAINING TO FAITH-BASED ORGANIZATIONS
It is DHS policy to ensure the equal treatment of faith-based organizations in social service programs administered or supported by DHS or its component agencies, enabling those organizations to participate in providing important social services to beneficiaries. All recipients must comply with the equal treatment policies and requirements contained in 6 C.F.R. Part 19 and other applicable statutes, regulations, and guidance governing the participations of faith-based organizations in individual DHS programs.

FEMA Officials

Program Officer: The Program Specialist is responsible for the technical monitoring of the stages of work and technical performance of the activities described in the approved grant application. If you have any programmatic questions regarding your grant, please call the AFG Help Desk at 866-274-0960 to be directed to a program specialist.

Grants Assistance Officer: The Assistance Officer is the Federal official responsible for negotiating, administering, and executing all grant business matters. The Officer conducts the final business review of all grant awards and permits the obligation of federal funds. If you have any questions regarding your grant please call ASK-GMD at 866-927-5646 to be directed to a Grants Management Specialist.

Grants Operations POC: The Grants Management Specialist shall be contacted to address all financial and administrative grant business matters for this grant award. If you have any questions regarding your grant please call ASK-GMD at 866-927-5646 to be directed to a specialist.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS (IF APPLICABLE) (max 8000 characters)
If you have any questions about your award package, please contact your GPD Grants Management Specialist: Chanee Williams at Chanee.Williams@ferna.dhs.gov.

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT
All recipients must comply with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations for Implementing the Procedural
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Provisions of NEPA, which requires recipients to use all practicable means within their authority, and consistent with other essential considerations of national policy, to create and maintain conditions under which people and nature can exist in productive harmony and fulfill the social, economic, and other needs of present and future generations of Americans.

NONDISCRIMINATION IN MATTERS PERTAINING TO FAITH-BASED ORGANIZATIONS
It is DHS policy to ensure the equal treatment of faith-based organizations in social service programs administered or supported by DHS or its component agencies, enabling those organizations to participate in providing important social services to beneficiaries. All recipients must comply with the equal treatment policies and requirements contained in 6 C.F.R. Part 19 and other applicable statues, regulations, and guidance governing the participations of faith-based organizations in individual DHS programs.
AGREEMENT ARTICLES

ASSISTANCE TO FIREFIGHTERS GRANT PROGRAM - Operations and Safety

GRANTEE: St. Petersburg Fire & Rescue
PROGRAM: Operations and Safety
AGREEMENT NUMBER: EMW-2016-FO-02901
AMENDMENT NUMBER:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Article I</td>
<td>Assurances, Administrative Requirements and Cost Principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article II</td>
<td>Acknowledgement of Federal Funding from DHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article III</td>
<td>Activities Conducted Abroad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article IV</td>
<td>Age Discrimination Act of 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article V</td>
<td>Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article VI</td>
<td>Best Practices for Collection and Use of Personally Identifiable Information (PII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article VII</td>
<td>Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article VIII</td>
<td>Civil Right Act of 1968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article IX</td>
<td>Copyright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article X</td>
<td>Debarment and Suspension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article XI</td>
<td>Drug-Free Workplace Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article XII</td>
<td>Duplication of Benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article XIII</td>
<td>Energy Policy and Conservation Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article XIV</td>
<td>Reporting Subawards and Executive Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article XV</td>
<td>False Claims Act and Program Fraud Civil Remedies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article XVI</td>
<td>Federal Debt Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article XVII</td>
<td>Fly America Act of 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article XVIII</td>
<td>Hotel and Motel Safety Act of 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article XIX</td>
<td>Limited English Proficiency (Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article XX</td>
<td>Lobbying Prohibitions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Article XXI Non-supplanting Requirement
Article XXII Patents and Intellectual Property Rights
Article XXIII Procurement of Recovered Materials
Article XXIV Contract Provisions for Non-federal Entity Contracts under Federal Awards
Article XXV SAFECEM
Article XXVI Terrorist Financing E.O. 13224
Article XXVII Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Equal Opportunity in Education Act)
Article XXVII Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000
Article XXIX Rehabilitation Act of 1973
Article XXX USA Patriot Act of 2001
Article XXXI Use of DHS Seal, Logo and Flags
Article XXXII Whistleblower Protection Act
Article XXXIII DHS Specific Acknowledgements and Assurances
Article XXXIV System of Award Management and Universal Identifier Requirements
Article XXXV Animal Welfare Act of 1966
Article XXXVI Protection of Human Subjects
Article XXXVII Incorporation by Reference of Notice of Funding Opportunity
Article XXXVIII Acceptance of Post Award Changes
Article XXXIX Prior Approval for Modification of Approved Budget
Article XL Disposition of Equipment Acquired Under the Federal Award
Article XLI Environmental Planning and Historic Preservation Screening

I. Assurances, Administrative Requirements and Cost Principles
Recipients of DHS federal financial assistance must complete OMB Standard Form 424B Assurances - Non-Construction Programs. Certain assurances in this document may not be applicable to your program, and the awarding agency may require applicants to certify additional assurances. Please contact the program awarding office if you have any questions.

The administrative requirements and cost principles that apply to DHS award recipients originate from:

II. Acknowledgement of Federal Funding from DHS
All recipients must acknowledge their use of federal funding when issuing statements, press releases, requests for proposals, bid invitations, and other documents describing projects or programs funded in whole or in part with Federal funds.
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III. **Activities Conducted Abroad**
All recipients must ensure that project activities carried on outside the United States are coordinated as necessary with appropriate government authorities and that appropriate licenses, permits, or approvals are obtained.

IV. **Age Discrimination Act of 1975**
All recipients must comply with the requirements of the *Age Discrimination Act of 1975* (42 U.S.C. § 6101 et seq.), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age in any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.

V. **Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990**
All recipients must comply with the requirements of Titles I, II, and III of the *Americans with Disabilities Act*, which prohibits recipients from discriminating on the basis of disability in the operation of public entities, public and private transportation systems, places of public accommodation, and certain testing entities (42 U.S.C. §§ 12101-12213).

VI. **Best Practices for Collection and Use of Personally Identifiable Information (PII)**
All recipients who collect PII are required to have a publicly-available privacy policy that describes what PII they collect, how they use the PII, whether they share PII with third parties, and how individuals may have their PII corrected where appropriate.

Award recipients may also find as a useful resource the DHS Privacy Impact Assessments: Privacy Guidance and Privacy template respectively.

VII. **Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964**
All recipients must comply with the requirements of Title VI of the *Civil Rights Act of 1964* (42 U.S.C. § 2000d et seq.), which provides that no person in the United States will, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance. Implementing regulations for the Act are found at 6 C.F.R. Part 21 and 44 C.F.R. Part 7.

VIII. **Civil Rights Act of 1968**
All recipients must comply with *Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968*, which prohibits recipients from discriminating in the sale, rental, financing, and advertising of dwellings, or in the provision of services in connection therewith, on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, disability, familial status, and sex (42 U.S.C. § 3601 et seq.), as implemented by the Department of Housing and Urban Development at 24 C.F.R. Part 100. The prohibition on disability discrimination includes the requirement that new multifamily housing with four or more dwelling units—i.e., the public and common use areas and individual apartment units (all units in buildings with elevators and ground-floor units in buildings without elevators)—be designed and constructed with certain accessible features (see 24 C.F.R. § 100.201).

IX. **Copyright**
All recipients must affix the applicable copyright notices of 17 U.S.C. §§ 401 or 402 and an acknowledgement of Government sponsorship (including award number) to any work first produced under Federal financial assistance awards, unless the...
work includes any information that is otherwise controlled by the Government (e.g., classified information or other information subject to national security or export control laws or regulations).

X. **Debarment and Suspension**
All recipients must comply with Executive Orders 12549 and 12689, which provide protection against waste, fraud and abuse by debarring or suspending those persons deemed irresponsible in their dealings with the Federal government.

XI. **Drug-Free Workplace Regulations**
All recipients must comply with the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988 (41 U.S.C. § 701 et seq.), which requires that all organizations receiving grants from any Federal agency agree to maintain a drug-free workplace. DHS has adopted the Act's implementing regulations at 2 C.F.R. Part 3001.

XII. **Duplication of Benefits**
Any cost allocable to a particular Federal award provided for in 2 C.F.R. Part 200, Subpart E may not be charged to other Federal awards to overcome fund deficiencies, to avoid restrictions imposed by Federal statutes, regulations, or terms and conditions of the Federal awards, or for other reasons. However, this prohibition would not preclude the non-Federal entity from shifting costs that are allowable under two or more Federal awards in accordance with existing Federal statutes, regulations, or the terms and conditions of the Federal awards.

XIII. **Energy Policy and Conservation Act**
All recipients must comply with the requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 6201 which contain policies relating to energy efficiency that are defined in the state energy conservation plan issues in compliance with this Act.

XIV. **Reporting Subawards and Executive Compensation**
a. Reporting of first-tier subawards.

1. **Applicability.** Unless you are exempt as provided in paragraph d. of this award term, you must report each action that obligates $25,000 or more in Federal funds that does not include Recovery funds (as defined in section 1512(a)(2) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Pub. L. 111-5) for a subaward to an entity (see definitions in paragraph e. of this award term).

2. **Where and when to report.**

   i. You must report each obligating action described in paragraph a.1. of this award term to http://www.fsrs.gov.

   ii. For subaward information, report no later than the end of the month following the month in which the obligation was made. (For
example, if the obligation was made on November 7, 2010, the obligation must be reported by no later than December 31, 2010.)

3. What to report. You must report the information about each obligating action that the submission instructions posted at http://www.fsrs.gov specify.

b. Reporting Total Compensation of Recipient Executives.

1. Applicability and what to report. You must report total compensation for each of your five most highly compensated executives for the preceding completed fiscal year, if-

i. the total Federal funding authorized to date under this award is $25,000 or more;

ii. in the preceding fiscal year, you received-

(A) 80 percent or more of your annual gross revenues from Federal procurement contracts (and subcontracts) and Federal financial assistance subject to the Transparency Act, as defined at 2 CFR 170.320 (and subawards); and

(B) $25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal procurement contracts (and subcontracts) and Federal financial assistance subject to the Transparency Act, as defined at 2 CFR 170.320 (and subawards); and

iii. The public does not have access to information about the compensation of the executives through periodic reports filed under section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m(a), 78o(d)) or section 6104 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. (To determine if the public has access to the compensation information, see the U.S. Security and Exchange Commission total compensation filings at http://www.sec.gov/answers/exeComp.htm.)

2. Where and when to report. You must report executive total compensation described in paragraph b.1. of this award term:

i. As part of your registration profile at https://www.sam.gov.

ii. By the end of the month following the month in which this award is made, and annually thereafter.

c. Reporting of Total Compensation of Subrecipient Executives.

1. Applicability and what to report. Unless you are exempt as provided in paragraph d. of this award term, for each first-tier subrecipient under this award, you shall report the names and total compensation of each of the subrecipient's five most highly compensated executives for the subrecipient's preceding completed fiscal year, if-
I. in the subrecipient’s preceding fiscal year, the subrecipient received:

(A) 80 percent or more of its annual gross revenues from Federal procurement contracts (and subcontracts) and Federal financial assistance subject to the Transparency Act, as defined at 2 CFR 170.320 (and subawards); and

(B) $25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal procurement contracts (and subcontracts), and Federal financial assistance subject to the Transparency Act (and subawards); and

ii. The public does not have access to information about the compensation of the executives through periodic reports filed under section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m(a), 78o(d)) or section 6104 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. (To determine if the public has access to the compensation information, see the U.S. Security and Exchange Commission total compensation filings at http://www.sec.gov/answers/execomp.htm.)

2. Where and when to report. You must report subrecipient executive total compensation described in paragraph c.1. of this award term:

i. To the recipient.

ii. By the end of the month following the month during which you make the subaward. For example, if a subaward is obligated on any date during the month of October of a given year (i.e., between October 1 and 31), you must report any required compensation information of the subrecipient by November 30 of that year.

d. Exemptions
If, in the previous tax year, you had gross income, from all sources, under $300,000, you are exempt from the requirements to report:

i. Subawards,

and

ii. The total compensation of the five most highly compensated executives of any subrecipient.

e. Definitions. For purposes of this award term:

1. Entity means all of the following, as defined in 2 CFR part 25:

i. A Governmental organization, which is a State, local government, or Indian tribe;
ii. A foreign public entity;

iii. A domestic or foreign nonprofit organization;

iv. A domestic or foreign for-profit organization;

v. A Federal agency, but only as a subrecipient under an award or subaward to a non-Federal entity.

2. Executive means officers, managing partners, or any other employees in management positions.

3. Subaward:
   i. This term means a legal instrument to provide support for the performance of any portion of the substantive project or program for which you received this award and that you as the recipient award to an eligible subrecipient.

   ii. The term does not include your procurement of property and services needed to carry out the project or program (for further explanation, see Sec. __.210 of the attachment to OMB Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations").

   iii. A subaward may be provided through any legal agreement, including an agreement that you or a subrecipient considers a contract.

4. Subrecipient means an entity that:
   i. Receives a subaward from you (the recipient) under this award; and

   ii. Is accountable to you for the use of the Federal funds provided by the subaward.

5. Total compensation means the cash and noncash dollar value earned by the executive during the recipient's or subrecipient's preceding fiscal year and includes the following (for more information see 17 CFR 229.402(c)(2)):
   i. Salary and bonus.

   ii. Awards of stock, stock options, and stock appreciation rights. Use the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the fiscal year in accordance with the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (Revised 2004) (FAS 123R), Shared Based Payments.

   iii. Earnings for services under non-equity incentive plans. This does not include group life, health, hospitalization or medical
reimbursement plans that do not discriminate in favor of executives, and are available generally to all salaried employees.

iv. Change in pension value. This is the change in present value of defined benefit and actuarial pension plans.

v. Above-market earnings on deferred compensation which is not tax-qualified.

vi. Other compensation, if the aggregate value of all such other compensation (e.g. severance, termination payments, value of life insurance paid on behalf of the employee, perquisites or property) for the executive exceeds $10,000.

XV. False Claims Act and Program Fraud Civil Remedies
All recipients must comply with the requirements of 31 U.S.C. §3729 which set forth that no recipient of federal payments shall submit a false claim for payment. See also 38 U.S.C. § 3801-3812 which details the administrative remedies for false claims and statements made.

XVI. Federal Debt Status
All recipients are required to be non-delinquent in their repayment of any Federal debt. Examples of relevant debt include delinquent payroll and other taxes, audit disallowances, and benefit overpayments. See OMB Circular A-122 and form SF-424B, item number 17 for additional information and guidance.

XVII. Fly America Act of 1974
All recipients must comply with Preference for U.S. Flag Air Carriers: (air carriers holding certificates under 49 U.S.C. § 41102) for international air transportation of people and property to the extent that such service is available, in accordance with the International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices Act of 1974 (49 U.S.C. § 40118) and the interpretative guidelines issued by the Comptroller General of the United States in the March 31, 1981, amendment to Comptroller General Decision B-138942.

XVIII. Hotel and Motel Fire Safety Act of 1990

XIX. Limited English Proficiency (Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VI)
All recipients must comply with the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VI) prohibition against discrimination on the basis of national origin, which requires that recipients of federal financial assistance take reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to persons with limited English proficiency (LEP) to their programs and services. Providing meaningful access for persons with LEP may entail providing language assistance services, including oral interpretation and written translation. In order to facilitate compliance with Title VI, recipients
are encouraged to consider the need for language services for LEP persons served or encountered in developing program budgets. Executive Order 13166, *Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency* (August 11, 2000), requires federal agencies to issue guidance to recipients, assisting such organizations and entities in understanding their language access obligations. DHS published the required recipient guidance in April 2011, *DHS Guidance to Federal Financial Assistance Recipients Regarding Title VI Prohibition Against National Origin Discrimination Affecting Limited English Proficient Persons*, 76 Fed. Reg. 21755-21768, (April 18, 2011). The Guidance provides helpful information such as how a recipient can determine the extent of its obligation to provide language services; selecting language services; and elements of an effective plan on language assistance for LEP persons. For additional assistance and information regarding language access obligations, please refer to the DHS Recipient Guidance [https://www.dhs.gov/guidance-published-help-department-supported-organizations-provide-meaningful-access-people-limited](https://www.dhs.gov/guidance-published-help-department-supported-organizations-provide-meaningful-access-people-limited) and additional resources on [http://www.lep.gov](http://www.lep.gov).

**XX. Lobbying Prohibitions**

All recipients must comply with 31 U.S.C. §1352, which provides that none of the funds provided under an award may be expended by the recipient to pay any person to influence, or attempt to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with any Federal action concerning the award or renewal.

**XXI. Non-supplanting Requirement**

All recipients who receive awards made under programs that prohibit supplanting by law must ensure that Federal funds do not replace (supplant) funds that have been budgeted for the same purpose through non-Federal sources. Where federal statues for a particular program prohibits supplanting, applicants or recipients may be required to document that a reduction in non-Federal resources occurred for reasons other than the receipt of expected receipt of Federal funds.

**XXII. Patents and Intellectual Property Rights**

Unless otherwise provided by law, recipients are subject to the *Bayh-Dole Act*, Pub. L. No. 96-517, as amended, and codified in 35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq. All recipients are subject to the specific requirements governing the development, reporting, and disposition of rights to inventions and patents resulting from financial assistance awards in 37 C.F.R. Part 401 and the standard patent rights clause in 37 C.F.R. § 401.14.

**XXIII. Procurement of Recovered Materials**

All recipients must comply with section 6002 of the *Solid Waste Disposal Act*, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. The requirements of Section 6002 include procuring only items designated in guidelines of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) at 40 C.F.R. Part 247 that contain the highest percentage of recovered materials practicable, consistent with maintaining a satisfactory level of competition, where the purchase price of the
item exceeds $10,000 or the value of the quantity acquired by the preceding fiscal year exceeded $10,000; procuring solid waste management services in a manner that maximizes energy and resource recovery; and establishing an affirmative procurement program for procurement of recovered materials identified in the EPA guidelines.

XXIV. **Contract Provisions for Non-federal Entity Contracts under Federal Awards**

a. **Contracts for more than the simplified acquisition threshold set at $150,000.**

All recipients who have contracts exceeding the acquisition threshold currently set at $150,000, which is the inflation adjusted amount determined by Civilian Agency Acquisition Council and the Defense Acquisition Regulation Council as authorized by 41 U.S.C. §1908, must address administrative, contractual, or legal remedies in instances where contractors violate or breach contract terms and provide for such sanctions and penalties as appropriate.

b. **Contracts in excess of $10,000.**

All recipients that have contracts exceeding $10,000 must address termination for cause and for convenience by the non-Federal entity including the manner by which it will be effected and the basis for settlement.

XXV. **SAFECOM**

All recipients who receive awards made under programs that provide emergency communication equipment and its related activities must comply with the SAFECOM Guidance for Emergency Communication Grants, including provisions on technical standards that ensure and enhance interoperable communications:

XXVI. **Terrorist Financing E.O. 13224**

All recipients must comply with U.S. Executive Order 13224 and U.S. law that prohibit transactions with, and the provisions of resources and support to, individuals and organizations associated with terrorism. It is the legal responsibility of recipients to ensure compliance with the E.O. and laws.

XXVII. **Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Equal Opportunity in Education Act)**

All recipients must comply with the requirements of Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.), which provides that no person in the United States will, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any educational program or activity.

XXVIII. **Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000**
All recipients must comply with the requirements of the government-wide award term which implements Section 106(g) of the Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPA) of 2000, as amended (22 U.S.C. § 7104). This is implemented in accordance with OMB Interim Final Guidance, Federal Register, Volume 72, No. 218, November 13, 2007. Full text of the award term is located at 2 C.F.R § 175.15.

XXIX. **Rehabilitation Act of 1973**
All recipients must comply with the requirements of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794, as amended, which provides that no otherwise qualified handicapped individual in the United States will, solely by reason of the handicap, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance. These requirements pertain to the provision of benefits or services as well as to employment.

XXX. **USA Patriot Act of 2001**
All recipients must comply with requirements of the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act (USA PATRIOT Act), which amends 18 U.S.C. §§ 175-175c. Among other things, the USA PATRIOT Act prescribes criminal penalties for possession of any biological agent, toxin, or delivery system of a type or in a quantity that is not reasonably justified by a prophylactic, protective, bona fide research, or other peaceful purpose.

XXXI. **Use of DHS Seal, Logo and Flags**
All recipients must obtain DHS's approval prior to using the DHS seal(s), logos, crests or reproductions of flags or likenesses of DHS agency officials, including use of the United States Coast Guard seal, logo, crests or reproductions of flags or likenesses of Coast Guard officials.

XXXII. **Whistleblower Protection Act**

XXXIII. **DHS Specific Acknowledgements and Assurances**
All recipients must acknowledge and agree-and require any sub-recipients, contractors, successors, transferees, and assignees-acknowledge and agree-to comply with applicable provisions.
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governing DHS access to records, accounts, documents, information, facilities, and staff.

1. Recipients must cooperate with any compliance review or complaint investigation conducted by DHS.

2. Recipients must give DHS access to and the right to examine and copy records, accounts, and other documents and sources of information related to the grant and permit access to facilities, personnel, and other individuals and information as may be necessary, as required by DHS regulations and other applicable laws or program guidance.

3. Recipients must submit timely, complete, and accurate reports to the appropriate DHS officials and maintain appropriate backup documentation to support the reports.

4. Recipients must comply with all other special reporting, data collection, and evaluation requirements, as prescribed by law or detailed in program guidance.

5. If, during the past three years, the recipient has been accused of discrimination on the grounds of race, color, national origin (including limited English proficiency), sex, age, disability, religion, or familial status, the recipient must provide a list of all such proceedings, pending or completed, including outcome and copies of settlement agreements to the DHS awarding office and the DHS Office of Civil Rights and Civil Liberties.

6. In the event any court or administrative agency makes a finding of discrimination on grounds of race, color, national origin (including limited English proficiency), sex, age, disability, religion, or familial status against the recipient, or the recipient settles a case or matter alleging such discrimination, recipients must forward a copy of the complaint and findings to the DHS Component and/or awarding office.

The United States has the right to seek judicial enforcement of these obligations.

XXXIV. System of Award Management and Universal Identifier Requirements

A. Requirement for System of Award Management

Unless exempted from this requirement under 2 CFR 25.110, you as the recipient must maintain the currency of your information in the SAM until you submit the final financial report required under this award or receive the final payment, whichever is later. This requires that you review and update the information at least annually after the initial registration, and more frequently if required by changes in your information or another award term.

B. Requirement for unique entity identifier
If authorized to make subawards under this award, you:

1. Must notify potential subrecipients that no entity (see definition in paragraph C of this award term) may receive a subaward from you unless the entity has provided its unique entity identifier to you.

2. May not make a subaward to an entity unless the entity has provided its unique entity identifier to you.

C. Definitions
For purposes of this award term:

1. **System of Award Management (SAM)** means the Federal repository into which an entity must provide information required for the conduct of business as a recipient. Additional information about registration procedures may be found at the SAM Internet site (currently at [http://www.sam.gov](http://www.sam.gov)).

2. **Unique entity identifier** means the identifier required for SAM registration to uniquely identify business entities.

3. **Entity**, as it is used in this award term, means all of the following, as defined at 2 CFR part 25, subpart C:
   a. A Governmental organization, which is a State, local government, or Indian Tribe;
   b. A foreign public entity;
   c. A domestic or foreign nonprofit organization;
   d. A domestic or foreign for-profit organization; and
   e. A Federal agency, but only as a subrecipient under an award or subaward to a non-Federal entity.

4. **Subaward**:
   a. This term means a legal instrument to provide support for the performance of any portion of the substantive project or program for which you received this award and that you as the recipient award to an eligible subrecipient.
   b. The term does not include your procurement of property and services needed to carry out the project or program (for further explanation, see 2 CFR 200.330).
   c. A subaward may be provided through any legal agreement, including an agreement that you consider a contract.
5. **Subrecipient** means an entity that:

a. Receives a subaward from you under this award; and

b. Is accountable to you for the use of the Federal funds provided by the subaward.

XXXV. **Animal Welfare Act of 1966**

All recipients of financial assistance will comply with the requirements of the Animal Welfare Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. §2131 et seq.), which requires that minimum standards of care and treatment be provided for vertebrate animals bred for commercial sale, used in research, transported commercially, or exhibited to the public. Recipients must establish appropriate policies and procedures for the humane care and use of animals based on the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and comply with the Public Health Service Policy and Government Principles Regarding the Care and Use of Animals.

XXXVI. **Protection of Human Subjects**

All recipients of financial assistance will comply with the requirements of the Federal regulations at 45 CFR Part 46, which requires that recipients comply with applicable provisions/law for the protection of human subjects for purposes of research. Recipients must also comply with the requirements in DHS Management Directive 026-04, Protection of Human Subjects, prior to implementing any work with human subjects. For purposes of 45 CFR Part 46, research means a systematic investigation, including research, development, testing, and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to general knowledge. Activities that meet this definition constitute research for purposes of this policy, whether or not they are conducted or supported under a program that is considered research for other purposes. The regulations specify additional protections for research involving human fetuses, pregnant women, and neonates (Subpart B); prisoners (Subpart C); and children (Subpart D). The use of autopsy materials is governed by applicable State and local law and is not directly regulated by 45 CFR Part 46.

XXXVII. **Incorporation by Reference of Notice of Funding Opportunity**

The Notice of Funding Opportunity for this program is hereby incorporated into your award agreement by reference. By accepting this award, the recipient agrees that all allocations and use of funds under this grant will be in accordance with the requirements contained in the Notice of Funding Opportunity.

XXXVIII. **Acceptance of Post Award Changes**

In the event FEMA determines that changes are necessary to the award document after an award has been made, including changes to period of performance or terms and conditions, recipients will be notified of the changes in writing. Once notification has been made, any subsequent request for funds will indicate recipient acceptance of the changes to the award. If you have questions about these
procedures, please contact the AFG Help Desk at 1-866-274-0960, or send an email to firegrants@dhs.gov.

XXXIX. Prior Approval for Modification of Approved Budget

Before making any change to the DHS/FEMA approved budget for this award, you must request prior written approval from DHS/FEMA where required by 2 C.F.R. § 200.308. For awards with an approved budget greater than $150,000, you may not transfer funds among direct cost categories, programs, functions, or activities without prior written approval from DHS/FEMA where the cumulative amount of such transfers exceeds or is expected to exceed ten percent (10%) of the total budget DHS/FEMA last approved. You must report any deviations from your DHS/FEMA approved budget in the first Federal Financial Report (SF-425) you submit following any budget deviation, regardless of whether the budget deviation requires prior written approval.

XL. Disposition of Equipment Acquired Under the Federal Award

When original or replacement equipment acquired under this award by the recipient or its sub-recipients is no longer needed for the original project or program or for other activities currently or previously supported by DHS/FEMA, you must request instructions from DHS/FEMA to make proper disposition of the equipment pursuant to 2 C.F.R. § 200.313.

XLI. Environmental Planning and Historic Preservation Screening

AFG funded activities (Modification to Facility or Equipment) that may require an EHP review, involving the installation or requiring renovations to facilities, including but not limited to air compressor/fill station/cascade system (Fixed) for filling SCBA, air improvement systems, alarm systems, antennas, gear dryer, generators (fixed), permanently mounted signs, renovations to facilities, sprinklers, vehicle exhaust systems (fixed) or washer/extractors are subject to FEMA's Environmental Planning and Historic Preservation (EHP) review process.

FEMA is required to consider the potential impacts to natural and cultural resources of all projects funded by FEMA grant funds, through its EHP Review process, as mandated by the National Environmental Policy Act; National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended; National Flood Insurance Program regulations; and, any other applicable laws and Executive Orders.

To access the FEMA's Environmental and Historic Preservation (EHP) screening form and instructions go to our Department of Homeland Security/Federal Emergency Management Agency-website at: https://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6906

In order to initiate EHP review of your project(s), you must complete all relevant sections of this form and submit it to the Grant Programs Directorate (GPD) along with all other pertinent project information. Failure to provide requisite information could result in delays in the release of grant funds.
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**FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY**  
**OBLIGATING DOCUMENT FOR AWARD/AMENDMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1a. AGREEMENT NO.</th>
<th>2. AMENDMENT</th>
<th>3. RECIPIENT NO.</th>
<th>4. TYPE OF ACTION</th>
<th>5. CONTROL NO. AWARD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMW-2016-FG-02901</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59-6000424</td>
<td></td>
<td>WX01287N2017T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. RECIPIENT NAME AND ADDRESS</th>
<th>7. ISSUING OFFICE AND ADDRESS</th>
<th>8. PAYMENT OFFICE AND ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. Petersburg Fire &amp; Rescue</td>
<td>Grant Programs Directorate</td>
<td>FEMA, Financial Services Branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 Dr. Martin Luther King</td>
<td>500 C Street, S.W.</td>
<td>500 C Street, S.W., Room 723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Petersburg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida, 33701-4419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. NAME OF RECIPIENT PROJECT OFFICER</th>
<th>10. NAME OF PROJECT COORDINATOR</th>
<th>11. EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Makofske</td>
<td>Catherine Patterson</td>
<td>26-MAY-17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12. METHOD OF PAYMENT</th>
<th>13. ASSISTANCE ARRANGEMENT</th>
<th>14. PERFORMANCE PERIOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost Sharing</td>
<td></td>
<td>From: 26-MAY-17 To: 26-MAY-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-270</td>
<td></td>
<td>Budget Period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>From: 29-JAN-17 To: 30-SEP-17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>15. DESCRIPTION OF ACTION</th>
<th>16a. FOR NON-DISASTER PROGRAMS: RECIPIENT IS REQUIRED TO SIGN AND RETURN THREE (3) COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT TO FEMA (See Block 7 for address)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. (Indicate funding data for awards or financial changes)</td>
<td>Assistance to Firefighters Grant recipients are not required to sign and return copies of this document. However, recipients should print and keep a copy of this document for their records.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM NAME</th>
<th>CFDA NO.</th>
<th>ACCOUNTING DATA (ACC Code)</th>
<th>PRIOR TOTAL</th>
<th>AMOUNT AWARDED THIS ACTION</th>
<th>CURRENT TOTAL</th>
<th>CUMULATIVE COMMITMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFG</td>
<td>97.044</td>
<td>2017-F6-C111-P4310000-4101-D</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$316,291.00</td>
<td>$316,291.00</td>
<td>$316,291.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$316,291.00</td>
<td>$316,291.00</td>
<td>$316,291.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTALS**  
$0.00 $316,291.00 $316,291.00 $316,291.00 $316,291.00

b. To describe changes other than funding data or financial changes, attach schedule and check here.  
N/A

16b. FOR DISASTER PROGRAMS: RECIPIENT IS NOT REQUIRED TO SIGN  
This assistance is subject to terms and conditions attached to this award notice or by incorporated reference in program legislation cited above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17. RECIPIENT SIGNATORY OFFICIAL (Name and Title)</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>18. FEMA SIGNATORY OFFICIAL (Name and Title)</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrea Day</td>
<td>23-MAY-17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and Members of City Council

FROM: Jacqueline M. Kovilaritch, City Attorney

DATE: June 5, 2017

RE: Vacant Assistant City Attorney Positions

With the impending retirement of both Mark Winn and Rick Badgley, plus one vacancy due to the departure of Jordan Wolfgam in January, the Legal Department will now be three attorneys short of its normal strength. I have recommended, and the Mayor has appointed, two individuals whom we feel are highly qualified for two of the open positions.

Bradley Tennant graduated in the top 4% of his class at University of Florida Levin College of Law in 2016 and was admitted to The Florida Bar the same year. Bradley earned a Master of Science in Real Estate degree from the University of Florida prior to attending law school. Bradley worked for a private law firm in Jacksonville representing clients in real estate matters.

Camilo Soto graduated Western Michigan University Thomas M. Cooley Law School and was admitted to The Florida Bar in 2006. He earned a Master of Urban and Regional Planning degree in 2016 from the University of South Florida. Camilo has 10 years of experience in local government law with the City of Clearwater City Attorney’s Office.

Your June 15, 2017 City Council meeting agenda will include a consent agenda item requesting that you confirm Camilo Soto and Bradley Tennant as Assistant City Attorneys. These appointments will take effect immediately upon their adoption. Bradley will begin employment on Monday June 19, 2017. Camilo’s employment will begin on July 17, 2017.

This item is being included with Adds/Deletes because the offer and conditional acceptance process did not conclude until after the agenda deadline, and due to the need to commence Mr. Tennant’s employment while he has an opportunity to transition with Mr. Badgley.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Attachment

cc: Mayor Rick Kriseman
BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that this Council confirms the appointment by the Mayor, made upon the recommendation of the City Attorney, of Camilo Soto and Bradley Tennant as Assistant City Attorneys.

This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

City Attorney (designee)