Welcome to the City of St. Petersburg City Council meeting. To assist the City Council in conducting the City’s business, we ask that you observe the following:

1. If you are speaking under the Public Hearings, Appeals or Open Forum sections of the agenda, please observe the time limits indicated on the agenda.

2. Placards and posters are not permitted in the Chamber. Applause is not permitted except in connection with Awards and Presentations.

3. Please do not address Council from your seat. If asked by Council to speak to an issue, please do so from the podium.

4. Please do not pass notes to Council during the meeting.

5. Please be courteous to other members of the audience by keeping side conversations to a minimum.

6. The Fire Code prohibits anyone from standing in the aisles or in the back of the room.

7. If other seating is available, please do not occupy the seats reserved for individuals who are deaf/hard of hearing.

GENERAL AGENDA INFORMATION

For your convenience, a copy of the agenda material is available for your review at the Main Library, 3745 Ninth Avenue North, and at the City Clerk’s Office, 1st Floor, City Hall, 175 Fifth Street North, on the Monday preceding the regularly scheduled Council meeting. The agenda and backup material is also posted on the City’s website at www.stpete.org and generally electronically updated the Friday preceding the meeting and again the day preceding the meeting. The updated agenda and backup material can be viewed at all St. Petersburg libraries. An updated copy is also available on the podium outside Council Chamber at the start of the Council meeting.

If you are deaf/hard of hearing and require the services of an interpreter, please call our TDD number, 892-5259, or the Florida Relay Service at 711 as soon as possible. The City requests at least 72 hours advance notice, prior to the scheduled meeting, and every effort will be made to provide that service for you. If you are a person with a disability who needs an accommodation in order to participate in this/these proceedings or have any questions, please contact the City Clerk’s Office at 893-7448.
A. Meeting Called to Order and Roll Call.

Invocation and Pledge to the Flag of the United States of America.

B. Approval of Agenda with Additions and Deletions.

C. Consent Agenda (see attached)

Open Forum

If you wish to address City Council on subjects other than public hearing or quasi-judicial items listed on this agenda, please sign up with the Clerk prior to the meeting. Only the individual wishing to speak may sign the Open Forum sheet and only City residents, owners of property in the City, owners of businesses in the City or their employees may speak. All issues discussed under Open Forum must be limited to issues related to the City of St. Petersburg government.

Speakers will be called to address Council according to the order in which they sign the Open Forum sheet. In order to provide an opportunity for all citizens to address Council, each individual will be given three (3) minutes. The nature of the speakers’ comments will determine the manner in which the response will be provided. The response will be provided by City staff and may be in the form of a letter or a follow-up phone call depending on the request.

D. New Ordinances - (First Reading of Title and Setting of Public Hearing)

Setting September 7, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):

1. An ordinance amending Article V of the St. Petersburg City Code by adding Division 8, entitled “Living Wage Requirements for Major Contracts,” to provide for implementation of a minimum hourly wage for employees of certain contractors and subcontractors; providing findings; providing for definitions; prohibiting retaliation against employees for exercising their rights pursuant to this ordinance; providing remedies for aggrieved employees; providing for penalties.

E. Reports

1. A report for the Repetitive Loss Area Analysis that provides educational information to the residents of Riviera Bay and Shore Acres regarding the flooding hazards within that area.

2. Bus Rapid Transit Update

(a) A resolution of the St. Petersburg City Council supporting the Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority (PSTA) in its efforts to design and construct the Central Avenue Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) service connecting downtown St. Petersburg to the gulf coast beaches; requesting administration to work with PSTA, Forward Pinellas and stakeholders to finalize engineering and cost estimates; supporting the City contributing to the Central Avenue BRT Project through long term maintenance of City owned roadways upon which BRT vehicles will travel, and through a yet to be
determined capital contribution to the local share of the project, subject to appropriation of funds and approval of a funding agreement with PSTA; requesting administration to identify sources and levels of local funding and negotiate a funding agreement for City Council's consideration.

3. **Sewer Report**

   (a) Approving a three-year blanket purchase agreement with Atlas Copco Compressors LLC, a sole source supplier, for maintenance and repairs of aeration blowers, at a total contract amount of $270,000.

   (b) Approving Partial Control Estimate #4 for WRF NW new filters FY17 project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) in an amount not to exceed $199,227 (for a total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) not to exceed $4,384,238; approving Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) in an amount not to exceed $129,108 (for a total partial control estimate for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) not to exceed $2,092,063); authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute The Fourth Amendment to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and PCL Construction Inc. dated April 17, 2017, as amended, and modify other necessary sections of such contract.

   (c) Awarding three-year contract purchase agreements to BASF Corporation and Polydyne Inc. for polymer products for the Water Resources Department, in an amount not to exceed $1,400,000.

F. **New Business**

   1. Referring to the Public Services and Infrastructure Committee a discussion regarding the City's ability to regulate drones. (Councilmember Montanari)

   2. Referring to the Committee of the Whole a discussion regarding enhancements to Booker Creek Park, which is on the Weeki Wachee project list. (Councilmember Foster)

   3. Referring to the Committee of the Whole for consideration of a multiyear shade tree planting program along City trails and roadways funded through the Weeki Wachee fund. (Councilmember Nurse)

   4. Requesting Administration to provide an update on Commerce Park. (Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman)

   5. Requesting Administration to provide a report on the selection of The Callaloo Group for the Manhattan Casino. (Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman)

G. **Council Committee and Intergovernmental Reports**

   1. Homeless Leadership Board - (Oral) (Councilmember Foster)

   2. Public Arts Commission - (Oral) (Councilmember Kornell)
3. Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council - (Oral) (Councilmember Kornell)

4. Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee (8/17/17)

5. Public Services & Infrastructure Committee (8/17/17)

   (a) A resolution denouncing the practice of conversion therapy on minors; discouraging any person from performing the practice of conversion therapy on minors in the City of St. Petersburg.

   (b) An ordinance of the City of St. Petersburg, amending Chapter 28, vehicles for hire to add transportation network company vehicles as exempt vehicles; deleting the requirement for a public vehicle drivers permit and references to said permit; removing some requirements for vehicles for hire to more closely align with state requirements for transportation network companies; amending categories relating to fees for public vehicles in Section 12-6(9).

6. Energy, Natural Resources & Sustainability Committee (8/17/17)

H. Legal

I. Public Hearings and Quasi-Judicial Proceedings - 6:00 P.M.

Public Hearings

NOTE: The following Public Hearing items have been submitted for consideration by the City Council. If you wish to speak on any of the Public Hearing items, please obtain one of the YELLOW cards from the containers on the wall outside of Council Chamber, fill it out as directed, and present it to the Clerk. You will be given 3 minutes ONLY to state your position on any item but may address more than one item.

1. Confirming the preliminary assessment for Lot Clearing Number(s): LCA 1580.

2. Confirming the preliminary assessment for Building Securing Number(s) SEC 1226.

3. Confirming the preliminary assessment for Building Demolition Number(s) DMO 452.

4. Ordinance 292-H adopting amendments to the Intown Redevelopment Plan (IRP) of the City of St. Petersburg, to delete the reference to Mixed-use Transportation Facility in Table 2 and reallocate its $14 million in allowable project costs that can be funded by Tax Increment Financing (TIF) to the Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project and/or Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District as well as to the Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements project; establish a $190,984,882 ceiling for total TIF contributions needed to complete the IRP program for the projects listed in Table 2; and allow for discussions between the City of St. Petersburg and Pinellas County on future projects in the Intown Redevelopment Area and enable certain amendments to the IRP Interlocal Agreement to modify the terms for use of IRP TIF revenues if mutually agreed upon; providing for severability.

5. Ordinance 293-H amending Chapter 2, Article v, Division 4 of the St. Petersburg City Code relating to participation requirements for Small Business Enterprises; providing for annual city wide goals for Small Business Enterprise participation; providing for corrective action and penalties; providing for citizen membership on the Small Business
Enterprise Committee; requiring quarterly updates to City Council regarding the awarding of contracts to Small Business Enterprises.

J. Open Forum

K. Adjournment
St. Petersburg
Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA)
August 24, 2017

1. City Council Convenes as Community Redevelopment Agency.

2. A resolution of the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency recommending that the St. Petersburg City Council adopt the proposed amendments to the Intown Community Redevelopment Plan; and providing an effective date. (City File IRP- 2017-01)

3. Adjournment of Community Redevelopment Agency and reconvening of City Council.
NOTE: The Consent Agenda contains normal, routine business items that are very likely to be approved by the City Council by a single motion. Council questions on these items were answered prior to the meeting. Each Councilmember may, however, defer any item for added discussion at a later time.

(Procurement)

1. Approving the renewal of blanket purchase agreements with Life Extension Clinics, Inc., dba Life Scan Wellness Centers and U.S. HealthWorks Medical Group of Florida, Inc. for medical examinations and health testing services for the Human Resources, Fire, Police, and Parks and Recreation Departments, at an estimated annual cost of $320,000, for a total contract amount of $1,600,000. [DELETED]

2. Approving the renewal of blanket purchase agreements with Sunbelt Sod & Grading Company and Tom’s Sod Service, Inc., for sod, pick-up, delivery, and installation, at an estimated annual cost of $160,000, for a total contract amount of $665,000.

(Miscellaneous)

3. Authorizing the Mayor or his designee to accept a grant of $1,111,704 from the Florida Housing Finance Corporation ("FHFC") for FY 2017/18 to fund the State Housing Initiatives Partnership ("SHIP") Program for the purpose of providing affordable housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income persons; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute a grant agreement with FHFC and all other documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

4. Approving a second amendment to the contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Hubbard Construction Company for the City Wide Street Milling and Resurfacing Project to extend the term and increase the contract price in an amount not to exceed $344,000 for a total contract price not to exceed $8,569,000; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; and providing an effective date. (Engineering & Capital Improvements Project 17003-130; Oracle Project Numbers 15624, 15659, 15667, 15104, 15111, 14664 and 15764).
NOTE: The Consent Agenda contains normal, routine business items that are very likely to be approved by the City Council by a single motion. Council questions on these items were answered prior to the meeting. Each Councilmember may, however, defer any item for added discussion at a later time.

(Procurement)

1. Approving a proposal from Intergraph Corporation, dba Hexagon Safety & Infrastructure, a sole source supplier, for software upgrades and services for the Police Department public safety system, at a total contract amount of $286,000.

2. Approving the purchase of two (2) mowers and nineteen (19) utility vehicles from Deere & Company for the Fleet Management Department, at a total cost of $265,485.21; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $58,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Stormwater Utility Operating Fund (4011) to the Stormwater, Pavement & Traffic Operations Department, Mowing Operations (400-1321).

3. Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with SMS Systems Maintenance Services, Inc. for computer hardware maintenance for the Department of Technology Services, at an estimated annual cost of $40,000, for a total contract amount of $200,000.

(City Development)

4. Authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a five (5) year Lease Agreement with Romac-Air, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, for use of Hangar No. 4, located at 107 Eighth Avenue S.E., St. Petersburg, within Albert Whitted Airport. (Requires affirmative vote of at least six (6) members of City Council.)

5. Authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a Lease Agreement with Simon Capital GP, a Delaware general partnership, for the St. Petersburg Library Systems use of kiosk space within Tyrone Square, located at 6901 22nd Avenue North, St. Petersburg, for September 5 through September 30, 2017, for a rental fee of $2,000.00.

6. Resolution superseding Resolution 86-143 to eliminate redundant and conflicting land develop restrictions and requirements on the St. Petersburg Gateway Industrial Park Plat.

(Leisure Services)

7. Authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute an agreement between the Juvenile Welfare Board of Pinellas County (“JWB”) and City of St. Petersburg, Florida (“City”) for a term of one year (“Agreement”) that provides for: 1) a partnership between the City and JWB for $1,000,000 for youth services in specific areas at a cost of $500,000 to both the City and JWB, and 2) a grant from JWB in the amount of $1,453,924 for the Teen Arts, Sports and Cultural Opportunities (TASCO) Center Based Teen Programs.
8. Approving a second amendment to the contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Hubbard Construction Company for the City Wide Street Milling and Resurfacing Project to extend the term and increase the contract price in an amount not to exceed $344,000 for a total contract price not to exceed $8,569,000; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; and providing an effective date. (Engineering & Capital Improvements Project 17003-130; Oracle Project Numbers 15624, 15659, 15667, 15104, 15111, 14664 and 15764). [MOVED TO CONSENT "A" AS CA-4]

9. Approving a rescission of an unencumbered appropriation in the amount of $385,000 from the Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects Fund (4013) Drainage Line Rehab/Repl FY17 Project (15630); approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $385,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects Fund (4013), resulting from the above rescission, to the Minor Storm Drainage FY17 Project (15632).

(Miscellaneous)

10. Recognition of a second National League of Cities grant of $15,000 for the City’s Financial Inclusion Systems and City Leadership initiative and approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $15,000 from the unappropriated balance of the General Fund (0001) to the Community Services Department resulting from this grant in support of the initiative and providing an effective date.

11. Approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $15,350 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the Golf Course Capital Projects Fund (4063) resulting from a donation for landscape beautification at Mangrove Bay Golf Course to the Mangrove Bay Renovation Project (15764).

12. A resolution ratifying and approving the agreement between Bob Gualtieri, Sheriff of Pinellas County, Florida (“Sheriff”), and the City of St Petersburg, Florida (“City”) for the Sheriff to provide crime scene services for major crime scenes for the term which commenced on October 1, 2016 and expires on September 30, 2017; approving an agreement between the Sheriff and the City for the Sheriff to provide crime scene services for major crime scenes for the term commencing on October 1, 2017 and expiring on September 30, 2018; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate these transactions.

13. Approving the request of the EDGE Business District Association to hold four (4) future EDGE district special events pursuant to the procedures and limitations set forth in section 3-7(g) of the City Code; exempting the events from the prohibition of the possession and consumption in the public right-of-way in the EDGE District Specialty Center for alcoholic beverages purchased within the EDGE District Specialty Center.

14. Approving the minutes of the July 13, and July 20, 2017 City Council meetings.

15. Confirming the appointment of Derrill McAteer as Assistant City Attorney.

16. Approval of changing the beginning time of the September 7, 2017 City Council meeting from 8:30 am to 1:30 pm.
Note: An abbreviated listing of upcoming City Council meetings.

- **Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee**
  *Thursday, August 17, 2017, 8:00 a.m., Room 100*

- **Public Services & Infrastructure Committee**
  *Thursday, August 17, 2017, 9:15 a.m., Room 100*

- **Energy, Natural Resources & Sustainability Committee**
  *Thursday, August 17, 2017, 10:30 a.m., Room 100*

- **St. Petersburg Association of Firefighters Impasse Hearing**
  *Thursday, August 17, 2017, 1:00 p.m., Council Chamber*

- **Budget, Finance & Taxation Committee**
  *Thursday, August 24, 2017, 8:00 a.m., Room 100*

- **Public Services & Infrastructure Committee**
  *Thursday, August 24, 2017, 9:15 a.m., Room 100*

- **Housing Services Committee**
  *Thursday, August 24, 2017, 10:30 a.m., Room 100*

- **City Council Meeting: First Budget Public Hearing**
  *Thursday, September 7, 2017, 1:30 p.m. / 6:00 p.m. Public Hearing, Council Chamber*
Civil Service Board
1 Alternate Member
(Term expires 6/30/17)

City Beautiful Commission
4 Regular Members
(Terms expire 12/31/16 and 12/31/18)
PROCEDURES TO BE FOLLOWED FOR QUASI-JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS:

1. Anyone wishing to speak must fill out a yellow card and present the card to the Clerk. All speakers must be sworn prior to presenting testimony. No cards may be submitted after the close of the Public Hearing. Each party and speaker is limited to the time limits set forth herein and may not give their time to another speaker or party.

2. At any time during the proceeding, City Council members may ask questions of any speaker or party. The time consumed by Council questions and answers to such questions shall not count against the time frames allowed herein. Burden of proof: in all appeals, the Appellant bears the burden of proof; in rezoning and land use cases, the Property Owner or Applicant bears the burden of proof except in cases initiated by the City, in which event the City Administration bears the burden of proof; for all other applications, the Applicant bears the burden of proof. Waiver of Objection: at any time during this proceeding Council Members may leave the Council Chamber for short periods of time. At such times they continue to hear testimony because the audio portion of the hearing is transmitted throughout City Hall by speakers. If any party has an objection to a Council Member leaving the Chamber during the hearing, such objection must be made at the start of the hearing. If an objection is not made as required herein it shall be deemed to have been waived.

3. Initial Presentation. Each party shall be allowed ten (10) minutes for their initial presentation.
   a. Presentation by City Administration.
   b. Presentation by Applicant followed by the Appellant, if different. If Appellant and Applicant are different entities then each is allowed the allotted time for each part of these procedures. If the Property Owner is neither the Applicant nor the Appellant (e.g., land use and zoning applications which the City initiates, historic designation applications which a third party initiates, etc.), they shall also be allowed the allotted time for each part of these procedures and shall have the opportunity to speak last.
   c. Presentation by Opponent. If anyone wishes to utilize the initial presentation time provided for an Opponent, said individual shall register with the City Clerk at least one week prior to the scheduled public hearing. If there is an Appellant who is not the Applicant or Property Owner, then no Opponent is allowed.

4. Public Hearing. A Public Hearing will be conducted during which anyone may speak for 3 minutes. Speakers should limit their testimony to information relevant to the ordinance or application and criteria for review.

5. Cross Examination. Each party shall be allowed five (5) minutes for cross examination. All questions shall be addressed to the Chair and then (at the discretion of the Chair) asked either by the Chair or by the party conducting the cross examination of the appropriate witness. One (1) representative of each party shall conduct the cross examination. If anyone wishes to utilize the time provided for cross examination and rebuttal as an Opponent, and no one has previously registered with the Clerk, said individual shall notify the City Clerk prior to the conclusion of the Public Hearing. If no one gives such notice, there shall be no cross examination or rebuttal by Opponent(s). If more than one person wishes to utilize the time provided for Opponent(s), the City Council shall by motion determine who shall represent Opponent(s).
   a. Cross examination by Opponents.
   b. Cross examination by City Administration.
   c. Cross examination by Appellant followed by Applicant, followed by Property Owner, if different.

6. Rebuttal/Closing. Each party shall have five (5) minutes to provide a closing argument or rebuttal.
   a. Rebuttal by Opponents.
   b. Rebuttal by City Administration.
   c. Rebuttal by Appellant followed by the Applicant, followed by Property Owner, if different.
ORDINANCE NO. ___

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE V OF THE ST. PETERSBURG CITY CODE BY ADDING DIVISION 8, ENTITLED "LIVING WAGE REQUIREMENTS FOR MAJOR CONTRACTS," TO PROVIDE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF A MINIMUM HOURLY WAGE FOR EMPLOYEES OF CERTAIN CONTRACTORS AND SUBCONTRACTORS; PROVIDING FINDINGS; PROVIDING FOR DEFINITIONS; PROHIBITING RETALIATION AGAINST EMPLOYEES FOR EXERCISING THEIR RIGHTS PURSUANT TO THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING REMEDIES FOR AGGRIEVED EMPLOYEES; PROVIDING FOR PENALTIES; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

Section 1. The St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended by adding a new Article V, Chapter 2, Division 8, Sections 2-298.6 – 2.298.9, to read as follows:

DIVISION 8. – LIVING WAGE REQUIREMENTS FOR MAJOR CONTRACTS

Sec. 2-298.6. Findings and definitions.

(a) Findings.

(1) The City of St. Petersburg awards millions of dollars in contracts for projects each year which results in the creation of a wide variety of employment opportunities. The contracts are paid for by taxpayer dollars which should be used to increase employment opportunities, decrease levels of poverty and reduce the need for taxpayer-funded programs in other areas.

(2) Because the City indirectly hires hundreds of employees through contractors, it has an opportunity to fulfill its responsibility to impact the standard of living for people working in St. Petersburg.
(3) An increase in the minimum wage is unlikely to result from legislative action at the state or federal level.

(4) Providing for an increase in the minimum wage serves a public purpose by providing economic security for persons working in the City, and reducing the number of people who rely on public assistance for essential needs.

(5) The City acknowledges that this division will require increased annual City expenditures and thus may increase the tax burden upon citizens and customers of enterprise funds, however the City believes that any resulting increase in expenditures will be outweighed by the benefits of this division.

(b) Definitions.

(1) The definitions set forth in the procurement code, currently section 2-240, shall apply to this division.

(2) The following definitions shall apply only to this division:

Contractor means the person or entity which serves as the party of the first part to a contract, acting directly or through agents or employees, to perform a major contract. The term contractor shall include:

a. any responsible managing corporate officer who has personal involvement or responsibility in obtaining a contract with the City or in supervising or performing the work prescribed by the contract or

b. any person or entity with more than 50% ownership interest.

The terms employee, tipped employee, and wage shall have the meanings established under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") and its implementing regulations.


State Minimum Wage Laws means the Florida Minimum Wage Act, Fla. Stat. 448.01 et seq., in force on the effective date of this division and as thereafter amended, together with applicable provisions of the Florida Constitution, Fla. Const. art. X. § 24.

Major contract means a contract awarded by the City where the average annual contract amount exceeds One Hundred Thousand Dollars ($100,000.00).
Sec. 2-298.7. - Living wage.

(a) Payment of living wage. Every contractor that employs more than 25 persons and provides services pursuant to a major contract shall pay no less than the following wages to each employee for each hour of work performed for that contractor pursuant to a major contract:

(1) Beginning on the effective date of this division, the greater of:
   a. The minimum hourly wage set by the State Minimum Wage Laws;
   b. The minimum hourly wage set by the Fair Labor Standards Act; or
   c. $12.00 per hour.

(2) Beginning on January 1, 2018, the greater of:
   a. The minimum hourly wage set by the State Minimum Wage Laws;
   b. The minimum hourly wage set by the Fair Labor Standards Act; or
   c. $13.00 per hour.

(3) Beginning on January 1, 2019, the greater of:
   a. The minimum hourly wage set by the State Minimum Wage Laws;
   b. The minimum hourly wage set by the Fair Labor Standards Act; or
   c. $14.00 per hour.

(4) Beginning on January 1, 2020, the greater of:
   a. The minimum hourly wage set by the State Minimum Wage Laws;
   b. The minimum hourly wage set by the Fair Labor Standards Act; or
   c. $15.00 per hour.

(b) Indexing. Beginning on January 1, 2021, and every year thereafter, the living wage rate may, by resolution of the City Council, be indexed annually for inflation using the Consumer Price Index for Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL, calculated by the U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics. Notwithstanding the preceding, no annual index shall exceed three percent. The City Council may also, by resolution, elect not to index the minimum wage rate in any particular year, if it determines it would not be fiscally sound to implement same (in a particular year). The determination to index (or not index) the living wage rate shall be considered annually during the City Council’s review and approval of the City's annual operating budget.

In the event that the City Council has determined, in any particular fiscal year (or years), to not index the living wage rate, and thereafter determines that the benefit to the City of making up all or any part of the prior year's (or years') unindexed percentage would outweigh any adverse fiscal impact upon the City, then the City Council shall also have the right, but not the
obligation, to cumulatively index the living wage rate to "make-up" for any deficiencies in the prior year (or years) where there was (were) no increase(s) (the "catch up" election). The "catch-up" election must be approved by resolution.

(c) **Tipped employees.** For tipped employees meeting eligibility requirements for the tip credit under the FLSA, contractors may credit towards satisfaction of the living wage in subsections (a) - (d) tips up to the amount of the allowable FLSA tip credit.

(d) **Procurement specifications.** Payment of the living wage as set forth in subsections (a) – (d) shall be required by the procurement specifications for all major contracts on which bids or proposals shall be solicited on or after the effective date of this division. Such procurement specifications shall also require each firm that utilizes a subcontractor to inform each subcontractor, prior to the time the subcontractor offers its price to such firm, of its obligation to pay a living wage to its employees. All requests for bids, requests for proposals, or requests for letters of interest for major contracts, whether advertised or informally solicited, shall include appropriate information about the requirements of this division.

**Sec. 2-298.8. Retaliation and discrimination prohibited.**

It shall be unlawful for a contractor or any other party to discriminate in any manner or take adverse action against any person in retaliation for exercising rights protected under this division. Rights protected under this division include, but are not limited to, the right to file a complaint or inform any person about any party's alleged noncompliance with this division, and the right to inform any person of his or her potential rights under this division and to assist him or her in asserting such rights.

**Sec. 2-298.9. Enforcement and Construction.**

(a) **Penalties for noncompliance.** If a contractor fails to comply with the requirements of this division, the POD shall impose the following penalties:

1. For a first violation . . . retainer will be withheld
2. For a second violation . . . one year suspension from bidding on City contracts
3. For a third violation . . . three year suspension from bidding on City contracts

(b) **Remedies for aggrieved employees.** Employees aggrieved by a violation of this division may bring a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction against a contractor or person violating this division and, upon prevailing, shall recover the full amount of any back wages unlawfully withheld, plus the same amount as liquidated damages, and shall be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In addition, they shall be entitled to such legal or equitable relief as may be appropriate to remedy the violation including, without limitation, reinstatement in
employment and/or injunctive relief. Actions to enforce this division shall be subject to a statute of limitations of two years or, in the case of willful violations, three years.

(c) Construction. It is intended that case law, administrative interpretations, and other guiding standards developed under the federal FLSA shall guide the construction of this division or any implementing regulations.

(d) Collective bargaining. Nothing in this division shall be construed to require or authorize any contractor to reduce wages set by a collective bargaining agreement or as required under any prevailing wage law.

Section 2. The unconstitutionality or invalidity of any word, sentence, or portion of this ordinance shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions.

Section 3. In the event this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective upon the expiration of the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which case the ordinance shall become effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

Approved as to form:

(City Attorney or designee)
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

Report

Meeting of August 24, 2017

To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A report for the Repetitive Loss Area Analysis that provides education to the residents of Riviera Bay and Shore Acres regarding the flooding hazards within that area.

EXPLANATION:

The City of St. Petersburg conducted a Repetitive Loss Area Analysis (RLAA) (as recommended by FEMA and following the Community Rating System guidelines). As a result of this analysis, two RLAA documents were developed. These RLAA documents must be submitted to City Council on a yearly basis. The Community Rating System (CRS) credits the implementation of analyzing the most severely flooded areas with the City of St. Petersburg.

RECOMMENDATION:

Administration recommends that City Council review the attached reports.

ATTACHMENTS: Reports

APPROVAL:

Administration: [Signature]
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RIVIERA BAY
REPETITIVE LOSS AREA
ANALYSIS REPORT
2017
**TERMINOLOGY**

1% **Annual Flood Chance**: The flood that has a one percent (1%) chance of being equaled or exceeded each year. Also known as the base flood or regulatory floodplain.

**Area Analysis**: An approach to identify repeatedly flooded areas, evaluate mitigation approaches, and determine the most appropriate alternatives to reduce future repeated flood losses.

**BFE**: Base Flood Elevation - The elevation of the crest of the base flood or one percent (1%) annual chance.

**CRS**: Community Rating System

**FEMA**: Federal Emergency Management Agency

**FIRM**: Flood Insurance Rate Map

**Floodway**: The channel of a stream, plus any adjacent floodplain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights.

**Freeboard**: A factor of safety usually expressed in feet above the Base Flood Elevation (BFE) for purposes of floodplain management. Also known as the design flood elevation.

**GIS**: Geographic Information Systems

**Hazard Mitigation**: Any sustained action taken to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to life and property from a hazardous event.

**ICC**: Increased Cost of Compliance, a $30,000 rider on flood insurance policies for policy holders located in the special flood hazard area that can be used to bring the structure into compliance in the event that it is substantially damaged by a flood.

**NFIP**: National Flood Insurance Program

**Repetitive Loss property (RL)**: An NFIP-insured property where two or more claim payments of more than $1,000 have been paid within a 10-year period since 1978.

**Severe Repetitive Loss Property (SRL)**: A 1-4 family residence that is a repetitive loss property that has had four or more claims of more than $5,000 or two claims that cumulatively exceed the reported building's value.

**Substantial Improvement**: The repair, reconstruction, or improvement of a structure, the cost of which equals or exceeds 50% of the market value of the structure either, (1) before the improvement or repair is started, or (2) if the structure has been damaged and is being restored, before the damage occurred.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background
The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) is continually faced with the task of paying claims while trying to keep the price of flood insurance at an affordable level. It has a particular problem with repetitive flood loss properties, which are estimated to cost $3.5 million per year in flood insurance claim payments throughout the United States. Repetitive flood loss properties represent only 1.4% of all flood insurance policies, yet historically they have accounted for nearly one-fourth of the claim payments (over $9 billion to date). Mitigating these repeatedly flooded properties will reduce the overall costs to the NFIP, the communities in which they are located, and the individual homeowners. The City of St. Petersburg conducted an area analysis based on the Repetitive Loss Area Analysis, as described on page 5 of this report and in accordance to the Community Rating System. This area analysis follows FEMA guidelines to determine why an area has repeated flood losses and what alternative flood protection measures would help break the cycle of repetitive flooding.

Study Area
The study area for this report is located in the Riviera Bay area, on two sides of Sun-lit Cove, stretching from approximately 90th Avenue North East, to 80th Avenue North and in-between 4th Street North, to Orient Way North East. There are 369 structures in the study area. All but one structure are residential. Of those 369 structures, 40 are on FEMA’s repetitive loss list, while 5 of those 41 properties are severe repetitive loss (SRL) properties.

Problem Statement
Flooding is caused by high tides and heavy rain, but aggravated by three problems:
• This area is low lying and close to the bay. Tidal flooding is a main cause of flooding within this area, especially when a major rain event coincides with a high tide.
• The street drainage ditches are sometimes overgrown or otherwise unable to convey water correctly. Therefore water tends to drain slowly into the bay.
• Some canals are clogged up with debris from pines and mangroves.
There have been some drainage improvements, but these improvements have not stopped all flooding.

Recommendations
• Encourage everyone to pursue a mitigation measure.
• Address the issues with the clogged and/or undersized street drainage ditches.
• Install more backflow preventers.
• More frequent cleaning of backflow devices.
• Clean/dredge canal to remove debris on a more frequent basis.
• Seek out and secure funding for the drainage improvements outlined in this report.
• Improve the City’s CRS classification.

For residents of the study area
• Contact the City for more information about possible funding opportunities
• Review the alternative mitigation measures discussed in this analysis and implement those that are most appropriate for their situation.
• Purchase and maintain a flood insurance policy on the home and its contents.
• Report flooding hazards via See Click Fix or Mayor’s Action line.
INTRODUCTION

St. Petersburg is exposed to flooding from hurricanes, tropical storms, storm water runoff, and storm surges from Tampa Bay, Boca Ciega Bay, and the Gulf of Mexico, as well as flooding from St. Joes Creek and many small lakes within the area.

St. Petersburg is mostly flat with some rise towards the center of the peninsula, creating areas where water runs very quickly to the bay and other areas where it drains away slowly. There are several communities built over bayous and along the coastline. Flooding of streets, yards, and buildings often occur from heavy rains in some areas.

In sum, areas of the City can be flooded from overwhelmed bayous, creeks, coastal sources, sheet flow, and local drainage ways. The official FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map designates the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA), the deeper riverine and coastal flood prone areas as A, AE, or VE zones and the entire City may be subject to flooding.

In most areas, especially outside the AE and VE Zones, flooding is relatively shallow. Residents have several days of warning before a coastal storm occurs and can take steps to protect themselves from flooding if they have necessary information.

There have been some drainage improvements, but they have not stopped all flooding. There are 372 properties subject to flooding. Of these properties 40 are considered repetitive or severe repetitive loss and have made 115 flood insurance claims for a total of $1,495,220.52 since 1978. Within the 40 repetitive loss properties there are 5 severe repetitive loss properties with 22 claims for a total of $475,663.73 since 1978.

Since flooding typically occurs over an area that may affect several buildings, determining a repetitive loss area may include homes not previously flooded, but are instead surrounded by those structures that have been repetitively flooded. This allows determination of drainage and may indicate where future homes may sustain flood damage. Additionally because repetitive loss structures are privacy protected by the federal government, it is necessary to include surrounding homes, so as to maintain the privacy of those repetitive loss structures as per the Privacy Act of 1974.

The RLAA is part of the Community Rating System, which is a “voluntary incentive program that recognizes and encourages community floodplain activities that exceed the minimum National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) requirements” (www.FEMA.gov). Participating communities are rewarded with reduced insurance premiums.
THE PROCESS

The repetitive loss area analysis is a detailed mitigation plan for a repetitive loss area. It provides more specific guidance on how to reduce damage from repetitive flooding than a community-wide floodplain management or hazard mitigation plan. Riviera Bay was one of the two areas identified as a repetitive loss area. In order to better understand the issues in the area a process must be followed according to the NFIP CRS program.

The Community Rating System is a “voluntary incentive program that recognizes and encourages community floodplain activities that exceed the minimum National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) requirements” (www.FEMA.gov). Participating communities are rewarded with reduced insurance premiums.

The FEMA-prescribed five step process for conducting an area analyses is as follows:

Step 1: Advise all the property owners in the repetitive flood loss area that the repetitive loss area analysis will be conducted to determine the problems associated with flooding.

Step 2: Contact agencies or organizations that may have plans that could affect the cause or impacts of the flooding.

Step 3: Collect data on the area and each building to determine the cause(s) of the repetitive damage.

Step 4: Review alternative mitigation approaches and determine whether any property protection measures or drainage improvements are feasible.

Step 5: Document the findings, including information gathered from agencies and organizations, homeowners, and relevant maps of the analysis area.
STEP 1: NEIGHBORHOOD NOTIFICATION

The first step in FEMA’s five-step process is to notify the residents in the area about the project. On January 1, 2017 the City of St. Petersburg Community Rating System Coordinator sent out a postcard to the homeowners introducing them to the project.

The postcard asked homeowners to submit any flooding concerns to the CRS Coordinator via email, or phone, and to include address and pertinent information. Three hundred and seventy-two (372) postcards were mailed out.

An example of the postcard and homeowner comments can be found in Appendices A & B of this report.

STEP 2: COLLABORATION

Coordination with relevant agencies, offices, and organizations is an important step in the analysis process. This step helps to open lines of communication among those interested in flood protection in the St. Petersburg area. The City collected information and data in order to complete this analysis from the Stormwater and Engineering Division, Construction Services and Permitting, and the Geographic Information System data provided from FEMA and Pinellas County.

STEP 3: DATA COLLECTION

The third step in the process is the collection of data that pertains to the area; both as a whole and specifically about the causes of the repetitive flooding. The data was collected through coordination with several agencies and departments.

Although the entire city is flood prone, certain areas have been harder hit than others. Using repetitive flood insurance claims, the City has identified two repetitive loss areas, Shore Acres and Riviera Bay.

Of the 82,300 buildings in the City, 367 have been paid at least 2 claims of $1000 over a 10 year period (FEMA’s definition of a repetitive loss property). There are 38 structures on FEMA’s repetitive loss list that have been relocated, elevated, or otherwise improved and are no longer subject to repetitive flood damage.

This report focuses on Riviera Bay and the houses identified in the mapped repetitive loss area as depicted on the page 9 Map.
FLOOD INSURANCE DATA

There are two sources of flood insurance data that the City of St. Petersburg has reviewed. Those sources of data are:

A. The Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM)
B. Claims data

A. The Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map: The City of St. Petersburg Flood Insurance Rate Map, September 2003: A Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), published by FEMA, shows potential flood risk according to zones of severity and is used in setting flood insurance rates. The regulatory floodplain used by FEMA for the floodplain management and insurance aspects of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) is based on the elevation of the 1% annual flood chance or base flood. This type of flood has a 1% chance of occurring in any given year. For another frame of reference, the 1% annual flood has a 26% chance of occurring over the life of a 30-year mortgage. It is important to note that more frequent flooding does occur in the regulatory floodplain, as witnessed by the number of repetitive loss properties. The study area falls in only one flood zone: the more risky AE Zone.

The Base Flood Elevation (BFE) is the elevation of the 1% chance annual flood above mean sea level. As of October 2015 St. Petersburg requires two feet of freeboard. This means that all new or substantially improved residential construction must be at least two feet above the BFE. The BFE for the area is nine feet above sea level.

B. Claims Data: The Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 522a) restricts the release of certain types of data to the public. Flood insurance policy and claims data are included in the list of restricted information. FEMA can only release such data to state and local governments, and only if the data are used for floodplain management, mitigation, or research purposes. Therefore, this report does not identify the repetitive loss properties or include claims data for any individual property. Rather, it discusses them only in summary form.

The City of St. Petersburg obtained claims data from FEMA Region VI for all repetitive loss properties in the area. There are 40 (10.75%) properties within the 372 property study area that qualify as repetitive loss. Of those 40 repetitive loss properties, 5 are considered to be severe repetitive loss properties. Homeowners for the 40 repetitive loss properties have made 115 claims and received $1,495,220.52 in flood insurance payments since 1978. The average repetitive flood loss claim is $13,724.65.

It is likely that the data in this section understates the flooding problem for the following reasons:

1. NFIP records do not include claims data prior to 1978, so there could have been additional losses not shown here.
2. Policy holders may not have submitted claims for smaller floods for fear of it affecting their coverage or premium rates.
3. Only data for listed repetitive loss properties were reviewed. There could be other properties that have been repeatedly flooded, but did not have insurance at the time of the flood or did not submit claims.

The losses only account for items covered by the insurance policy. Things not covered include living expenses during evacuation, swimming pools, and automobiles.
DRAINAGE INFORMATION

The City of St. Petersburg examined three areas of related information on the area’s drainage. Those three areas are:

A. Sun-lit Cove 
B. Riviera Bay Watershed 
C. Riverside Canal

A. Sun-lit Cove: The City of St. Petersburg relies on a number of canals to drain stormwater from the streets. The study area is directly south of Sun-lit Cove which has a drainage area of roughly 99 square miles. There is one major tributary to Sun-lit Cove, Ditch D-27, which runs west to east towards the Bay and Sun-lit Cove. Petersburg that outfalls into Sun-lit Cove and provides drainage for the southwestern part of St. Petersburg. Sun-lit Cove floodway covers parts of the study area.

Sun-lit Cove canal is unable to convey tidal flooding events during major rain events; the most serious of which occurs west of Riverside Drive North. When this floods, it makes it difficult for residents in the study area to evacuate the area and to get to their residence. Sun-lit Cove is known to flood at Riverside Drive North; most likely due to high tide events and simultaneous heavy rainfall.

B. Riviera Bay Watershed: This large watershed is over 99 square miles and drains in several areas to the bay. The drainage from the Riviera Bay Watershed is not a major factor for flooding within this area. There are several drainage ditches, small lakes, and canals within the area, D-27, D-21, D-23, L59, and L57, that may absorb some of the watershed runoff, but not all. Additionally when these ditches, small lakes, and canals fill they could cause additional flooding throughout the area surrounding them.

C. Riverside Canal: Residents have expressed concern about the Riverside canal and water back-flowing into the streets during a high tide and major rain event. Concern is that the pipes leading to the canal can no longer close correctly, to prevent water from entering the pipes, because of barnacle and sediment buildup. Reports from residents indicate that even on sunny days and high tides that water can sometime be seen in the road and other low lying areas. During times of heavy rain the water has come close to steps of homes and some houses have even been flooded.
BUILDING INFORMATION

As discussed in Step 1: A neighborhood notification postcard was mailed out to the residents, informing them that an analysis was going to be conducted with reference to flooding. Of the 372 properties to which postcards were sent.

The residents who commented on the postcard offered insight into the flooding issues in the area:

- Five people reported in 2017 some kind of street flooding and that their residence was inaccessible.
- Most flooding occurred during heavy rains and high tides.
- Residents have conflicting reports, some say that the drainage projects in the area have helped, while others seem to think they have caused more problems and moved the flooding to different roads.
- Residents report clogging of storm drains by pine needles and other tree debris.
- Only one resident has reported using pumps to keep the water away from their home.

The complete list of comments from homeowner’s can be found in Appendix B of this report.

On February 13th, the City visited the study area and collected data on each property. The City collected information such as the type of structure, construction, condition, number of stories, drainage patterns, and a photo.

Two hundred eighty-two structures in the area are built on a slab (75%), thirty-three are on a crawlspace (9%), twenty-one on a stem-wall (6%), seventeen were on posts/piers (5%), eleven are walkout levels (3%), and the remaining seven either being vacant or unable to observe base (9%).

The majority of structures, three hundred twenty-three (87%) are single-story. Three hundred and eight (83%) are masonry or brick. The rest are vinyl/wood and two manufactured homes.

Based on the data collected the following bullets summarize the repetitive flooding problems in the area:

- Structures fall in the AE Flood Zone.
- Flooding is caused by heavy rains, but aggravated by two problems:
  - High tides
  - Poor street drainage
- There have been some drainage improvements made to the area, but they have not stopped all flooding.

There are 372 properties subject to flooding, 40 of the insured properties have been flooded to the extent that they qualify as repetitive loss structures under the NFIP in the study area, 5 of which are severe repetitive loss properties. These 40 repetitive loss properties have made 115 claims and received $1,495,220.52 in flood insurance payments since 1978.
STEP 4: MITIGATION MEASURES

Knowing the flooding history, and types and condition of the buildings in the area leads to the fourth step in the area analysis procedure— a review of alternative mitigation approaches to protect properties from, or reduce, future flood damage. Property owners should look at these alternatives but understand they are not all guaranteed to provide protection at different levels of flooding. Six approaches were reviewed:

I. Acquisition
II. Elevating the houses above the 1% annual flood level
III. Dry floodproofing
IV. Utility protection
V. Drainage improvements
VI. Maintaining flood insurance coverage on the building

1. ACQUISITION

This measure involves buying one or more properties and clearing the site. If there is no building subject to flooding, there is no flood damage. Acquisitions are usually recommended where the flood hazard is so great or so frequent that it is not safe to leave the structure on the site.

An alternative to buying and clearing the whole subdivision is buying out individual, “worst case,” structures with FEMA funds.

A. Cost: This approach would involve purchasing and clearing the lowest or the most severe repeatedly flooded homes. If FEMA funds are to be used, three requirements will apply:
   1. The applicant for FEMA must demonstrate that the benefits exceed the costs, using FEMA’s benefit/cost software.
   2. The owner must be a willing seller.
   3. The parcel must be deeded to a public agency that agrees to maintain the lot and keep it forever as open space.

B. Feasibility: Due to the high cost and difficulty to obtain a favorable benefit-cost ratio in shallow flooding areas, acquisitions are reserved for the worst case buildings. Not everyone wants to sell their home, so a checkerboard pattern of vacant and occupied lots often remains after a buyout project, leaving “holes” in the neighborhood. There is no reduction in expenses to maintain the neighborhood’s infrastructure for the City, although the tax base is reduced. The vacant lots must be maintained by the new owner agency, and additional expense is added to the community. If the lot is only minimally maintained, its presence may reduce the property values of the remaining houses. The City of St. Petersburg is not considering acquisitions at this time for the above reasons.
II. ELEVATION

Raising the structure above the flood level is generally viewed as the best flood protection measure, short of removing the building from the floodplain. All damageable portions of the building and its contents are high and dry during a flood, which flows under the building instead of into the house. Houses can be elevated on fill, posts/piles, or a crawlspace.

A house elevated on fill requires adding a specific type of dirt to a lot and building the house on top of the added dirt. It should be noted that St. Petersburg does not allow fill to be brought into the floodplain to elevate the house.

A house elevated on posts/piles is either built or raised on a foundation of piers that are driven into the earth and rise high enough above the ground to elevate the house above the flow of flood water.

A house elevated on a crawlspace is built or raised on a continuous wall-like foundation that elevates the house above the flood level. If a crawlspace is used, it is important to include vents or openings in the crawlspace that are appropriately sized: one square inch for each square foot of the building’s footprint. Additionally all materials below the design flood level must be flood resistance and all machinery, equipment, and plumbing must be above the design flood level.

A. Cost: Most of the cost to elevate a building is in the preparation and foundation construction. The cost to elevate six feet is little more than the cost to go up two feet. Elevation is usually cost-effective for wood frame buildings on posts/piles or crawlspace because it is easiest to get lifting equipment under the floor and disruption to the habitable part of the house is minimal. Elevating a slab house is much more costly and disruptive. In St. Petersburg, 69% percent of the houses in the study area are on a slab. The actual cost of elevating a particular building depends on factors such as its condition, whether it is masonry or brick faced, and if additions have been added on over time. While the cost of elevating a home can be high, there are funding programs that can help. The usual arrangement is for a FEMA grant to pay 75% of the cost while the owner pays the other 25%. In the case of elevating a slab foundation, the homeowner’s portion could be as high as $25,000 or more. In some cases, assistance can be provided by Increased Cost of Compliance (ICC) funds, which is discussed on page 30, or state funds.

B. Feasibility: Federal funding support for an elevation project requires a study that shows that the benefits of the project exceed the cost of the elevation. Project benefits include savings in insurance claims paid on the structure. Elevating a masonry home or a slab can cost up to $100,000, which means that benefit/cost ratios may be low. Looking at each property individually could result in funding for the worst case properties, i.e., those that are lowest, subject to the most frequent flooding, and in good enough condition to elevate.
III. DRY FLOODPROOFING

This measure keeps floodwaters out of a building by modifying the structure. Walls are coated with waterproofing compounds or plastic sheeting. Openings (i.e. doors, windows, and vents) are closed either permanently, or temporarily with removable shields or sandbags.

Make the walls watertight. This is easiest to do for masonry or brick faced walls. The brick or stucco walls can be covered with a waterproof sealant and bricked or stuccoed over with a veneer to camouflage the sealant. Houses with wood, vinyl, or metal siding need to be wrapped with plastic sheeting to make walls watertight, and then covered with a veneer to camouflage and protect the plastic sheeting. Provide closures, such as removable shields or sandbags, for the openings; including doors, windows, dryer vents and weep holes. There must also be an account for sewer backup and other sources of water entering the building. For shallow flood levels, this can be done with a floor drain plug or standpipe; although a check valve system is more secure.

Dry floodproofing employs the building itself as part of the barrier to the passage of floodwaters, and therefore this technique is only recommended for buildings with slab foundations that are not cracked. The solid slab foundation prevents floodwaters from entering a building from below. Also, even if the building is in sound condition, tests by the Corps of Engineers have shown that dry floodproofing should not be used for depths greater than three feet over the floor, because water pressure on the structure can collapse the walls and/or buckle the floor.

Dry floodproofing is a mitigation technique that is appropriate for some houses in the Riviera Bay study area: those with slab foundations that typically receive floodwater up to three feet in the house. From the fieldwork it was found that eighty-nine percent of the houses in the analysis area are on slab foundations, and according to the data sheet responses seventy-six percent of the respondents experienced three feet of flooding.

Not all parts of the building need to be floodproofed. It is difficult to floodproof a garage door, for example, so some owners let the garage flood and floodproof the walls between the garage and the rest of the house. Appliances, electrical outlets, and other damage-prone materials located in the garage should be elevated above the expected flood levels.

Dry floodproofing has the following shortcomings as a flood protection measure:

- It usually requires human intervention, i.e., someone must be home to close the openings.
- Its success depends on the building's condition, which may not be readily evident. It is very difficult to tell if there are cracks in the slab under the floor covering.
- Periodic maintenance is required to check for cracks in the walls and to ensure that the waterproofing compounds do not decompose.
- There is no government financial assistance programs available for dry floodproofing, therefore the entire cost of the project must be paid by the homeowner.
- The NFIP will not offer a lower insurance rate for dry floodproofed residences. However, this may be a viable option if homeowners want to protect their structure and contents.
A. Cost: The cost for a floodproofing project can vary according to the building’s construction and condition. It can range from $5,000 to $20,000, depending on how secure the owner wants to be from flooding. Owners can do some of the work by themselves, although an experienced contractor provides greater security. Each property owner can determine how much of their own labor they can contribute and whether the cost and appearance of a project is worth the protection from flooding that it may provide.

B. Feasibility: As with floodwalls, floodproofing is appropriate where flood depths are shallow and are of relatively short duration. It can be an effective measure for some of the structures and flood conditions found in the study analysis area. It can also be more attractive than a floodwall around a house. However, floodproofing requires the homeowner to install or place door and window shields or sandbags and to ensure maintenance on a yearly basis. This may be difficult for the elderly or disabled. Finally ample warning of flooding must be available, so the homeowner can determine when to place the door or window shields and sandbags.

IV. UTILITY PROTECTION

This measure applies to several different utilities that can be adversely affected by floodwaters such as:

- Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) systems
- Fuel meters and pipes
- Electrical service boxes, wiring and fixtures
- Sewage systems
- Water systems

Damage to utilities can prevent a residence that remains structurally sound after a flood from being reoccupied. Retrofitting utilities includes things as simple as raising them above the flood level and building small walls around furnaces and water heaters to protect from shallow flooding. According to the homeowner's data sheets, forty-one percent (41%) of respondents answered that they had moved utilities and/or contents to a higher level as a mitigation measure.

A. Cost: The cost for protecting utilities varies and is dependent upon the measure itself, condition of the system, structure, and foundation. A lot of the measures can be performed by the homeowners themselves, although it is always a good idea to consult a professional contractor and/or engineer (depending on the project). The costs can be lower when done as part of a repair or remodeling project. Residents interested in pursuing a retrofitting measure to protect their utilities should contact the City of St. Petersburg to determine whether a permit is required.

B. Feasibility: Given that the flooding experienced by the homeowners in the Riviera Bay study area includes both shallow and deep flooding, utility protection is an acceptable mitigation measure. Interested homeowners should examine their flooding history and decide if utility protection is an appropriate measure for their building.
V. DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS

The Engineering and Stormwater Department prepared a Master Drainage plan for the entire City of St. Petersburg. The Plan has a list of recommendations that were created after reviewing previous studies and reports. There is only one drainage improvement called for in the Plan for Riviera Bay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Project Name/Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/24/11</td>
<td>Riverside Dr Stormwater Vaults</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This project helped to reduce some of the flooding within the Riviera Bay Repetitive Loss area. No other projects are currently proposed for this area. Maintenance for the project and ongoing street sweeping continues for this area.

VI. MAINTAINING INSURANCE

Although insurance is not a mitigation measure that reduces property damage from a flood, a National Flood Insurance Program policy has the following advantages for the homeowner or renter:

1. A flood insurance policy covers surface flooding from the overflow of inland or tidal waters or from storm water runoff.

2. Flood insurance may be the only source of assistance to help owners of damaged property quickly pay for cleanup and repairs after a disaster. The ensures that people can get back into their homes faster than if they had to wait for disaster assistance funding, which often is in the form of a loan and may take months to pay.

3. Once in effect there is no need for human intervention. Coverage is available for the contents of a home as well as for the structure. Renters can buy contents coverage, even if the building owner does not buy coverage for the structure itself.

Cost: Flood insurance rates are based on several factors including what flood zone the building falls in and the age of the structure. All the homes in the study area fall in the AE zone. Homes constructed before December 31st, 1974 are “pre-FIRM” buildings, which means that they were built before the date of the first FIRM for the community, and are thus eligible for the “subsidized” flood insurance premium rates.

A building that is located in the AE flood zone and constructed or substantially improved after the date of the most current FIRM - such as one built or substantially improved – is required to be built above the base flood elevation and is therefore subject to rates based on the actual risk rather than a subsidized rate. Rates on pre-FIRM buildings are subsidized because the flood risk was unknown at the time of construction. If a pre-FIRM house in the SFHA is elevated to the design flood elevation, the owner will be able to take advantage of the much lower post-FIRM rates.

Communities that join the CRS complete floodplain management activities that are worth a certain amount of credit. The more credit earned, the better the class ranking of that community. The CRS has 10 classes; a Class ranking of 10 carries the lowest flood insurance premium reduction, whereas a Class 1 carries the maximum discount. The City of St Petersburg has a CRS Class of 5, which gives an effective discount of 25 percent to all flood insurance premiums for those within the SFHA.
STEP 5: FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Findings

Properties in the Riviera Bay study area are subject to flooding due to heavy rains, high tide, and drainage problems. When Sunlit-cove and the connecting canals are inundated by heavy rains, especially during high tides, it does not have the capacity to convey the water out of the area quickly enough. This is mainly due to backflow and pipes which are either under water or do not close due to barnacles. There is also concern over the drains being clogged from debris and unable to convey water from the street in a timely fashion.

B. Recommendations

1. The City of St. Petersburg should continue to encourage everyone to pursue a mitigation measure. Assist interested property owners in applying for a mitigation grant. Address the issues with the street drainage in order to improve the drainage in the study area. Institute a maintenance program that encourages homeowners to frequently clear their ditches of debris to ensure open flow for stormwater. Seek out and secure funding for the drainage improvements outlined in this report. Improve the City’s CRS classification and adopt this Repetitive Loss Area Analysis according to the process detailed in the CRS Coordinator’s Manual.

2. For the residents of the study area, they should contact the City of St. Petersburg for more information about possible funding opportunities and site visits to determine remedial measures. Review the alternative mitigation measures discussed in this analysis and implement those that are most appropriate for their situation. Purchase and maintain a flood insurance policy on the home and its contents.
POSSIBLE FUNDING SOURCES

There are several possible sources of funding for mitigation projects:

A. FEMA grants: Most of the FEMA programs provide 75% of the cost of a project. In most Gulf communities, the 25% non-FEMA share is paid by the benefitting property owner. Each program has different Congressional authorization and slightly different rules.

1. The Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP): The HMGP provides grants to States and local governments to implement long-term hazard mitigation measures after a major disaster declaration. Projects must provide a long-term solution to a problem (e.g., elevation of a home to reduce the risk of flood damages as opposed to buying sandbags and pumps to fight the flood). Examples of eligible projects include acquisition and elevation, as well as local drainage projects.

2. The Severe Repetitive Loss Program (SRL): The Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) grant program funds mitigation projects for properties on the severe repetitive loss list. Eligible flood mitigation projects include: Acquisition and demolition or relocation of structures that are listed on FEMA’s severe repetitive loss list and conversion of the property to open space, Elevation of existing SRL structures to at least the Base Flood Elevation (BFE). There is a new SRL ICC Program that can be used to cover the non-FEMA share of the cost. That program is discussed further in bullet C below.

B. The Flood Mitigation Assistance Program (FMA): FMA funds assist States and communities in implementing measures that reduce or eliminate the long-term risk of flood damage to structures insured under the NFIP. Project Grants to implement measures to reduce flood losses, such as elevation, acquisition, or relocation of NFIP-insured structures. States are encouraged to prioritize FMA funds for applications that include repetitive loss properties; these include structures with 2 or more losses each with a claim of at least $1,000 within any ten-year period since 1978.

1. Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program (PDM): The Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program provides funds to states, territories, Indian tribal governments, communities, and universities for hazard mitigation planning and the implementation of mitigation projects prior to a disaster event. For more information visit http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/pdm/index.shtml.

C. Flood insurance: There is a special funding provision in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) for insured buildings that have been substantially damaged by a flood, "Increased Cost of Compliance." ICC coverage pays for the cost to comply with floodplain management regulations after a flood if the building has been declared substantially damaged. ICC will pay up to $30,000 to help cover elevation, relocation, demolition, and (for nonresidential buildings) floodproofing. It can also be used to help pay the 25% owner’s share of a FEMA funded mitigation project.

The building’s flood insurance policy must have been in effect during the flood. This payment is in addition to the damage claim payment that would be made under the regular policy coverage, as long as the total claim does not exceed $250,000. Claims must be accompanied by a substantial or repetitive damage determination made by the local floodplain administrator. For more information, contact your insurance agent or visit: www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/floodplain/ICC.shtml.
Coverage under the ICC does have limitations: It covers only damage caused by a flood, as opposed to wind or fire damage. The building’s flood insurance policy must have been in effect during the flood. ICC payments are limited to $30,000 per structure. Claims must be accompanied by a substantial or repetitive damage determination made by the local floodplain administrator and the structure must be in an A zone.

The average claims payment in the study area is $13,724.66. With an average claim of that amount, it is not likely that many homes in the study area would sustain substantial damage from a flood event. Homeowners should make themselves aware of the approximate value of their homes, and in the case of incurring flood damage, be aware of the need for a substantial damage declaration in order to receive the ICC coverage.

Severe Repetitive Loss ICC Pilot Program: While the conventional ICC only covers buildings that are located in the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA), there is a new pilot program that is aiming to target buildings not in the SFHA. Focusing specifically on Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) buildings, this pilot program will offer ICC benefits to those SRL properties that are located in X zones and will include those SRL buildings that have grandfathered X zone rates. Under this new pilot program, the ICC benefits could be used to cover the homeowner’s 10% match in a SRL grant.

Alternative language adopted into the local floodplain management ordinance would enable residents with shallower flooding to access ICC funding. Since local ordinances determine the threshold at which substantial damage and/or repetitive claims are reached, adopting language that would lower these thresholds would benefit the homeowners of repetitive loss properties. Adopting alternative language allows for cumulative damages to reach the threshold for federal mitigation resources more quickly, meaning that some of the properties in St. Petersburg that sustain minor damage regularly would qualify for mitigation assistance through ICC.

D. Rebates: A rebate is a grant in which the costs are shared by the homeowner and another source, such as the local government, usually given to a property owner after a project has been completed. Many communities favor it because the owner handles all the design details, contracting, and payment before the community makes a final commitment. The owner ensures that the project meets all of the program’s criteria, has the project constructed, and then goes to the community for the rebate after the completed project passes inspection.

Rebates are more successful where the cost of the project is relatively small, e.g., under $5,000, because the owner is more likely to be able to afford the bulk of the cost. The rebate acts more as an incentive, rather than as needed financial support.

E. Small Business Administration Mitigation Loans: The Small Business Administration (SBA) offers mitigation loans to SBA disaster loan applicants who have not yet closed on their disaster loan. Applicants who have already closed must demonstrate that the delay in application was beyond their control.

For example, mitigation loans made following a flood can only be used for a measure to protect against future flooding, not a tornado. If the measure existed prior to the declared disaster, an SBA mitigation loan will cover the replacement cost. If the measure did not exist prior to the declared disaster the mitigation loan will only cover the cost of the measure if it is deemed absolutely necessary for repairing the property by a professional third-party, such as an engineer.
Dear Resident:

You have received this postcard because your property is in an area that is subject to repetitive flooding. The city has an active program to help you protect yourself and your property from future flooding.

City staff will visit your neighborhood soon to collect data on flooding issues. Your input is greatly appreciated. Please send flooding concerns to: Noah.Taylor@stpete.org or call 727-893-SAVE (7283).

Be sure to include your address and contact information. Thank you for your participation.
APPENDIX B: HOMEOWNER’S COMMENTS*

Homeowner Comments from 2016

- One homeowner reported that their street must be roped off at the end because of deep water with every storm, many times deep enough to paddle a canoe. They also mentioned that an elevated house on fill that may be causing more flooding issues.
- A homeowner reported that the flooding is worse since the City put in the sea wall.
- Another homeowner mentioned that barnacles keep the back flow preventers from doing their job.
- A homeowner reported water comes up near neighbor’s house, during excessive heavy rains,
- One homeowner reported flooding at 89th Avenue after you come off of Sunlit Cove.
- Report from homeowner mentioned that prior to storm vaults the street didn’t flood as much. Now the area is hard to access roads, both in and out. Often the street is blocked off area to flooding so vehicles can’t drive through.
- Another homeowner commented about where the seawall ends and how they made it higher but it doesn’t help the problem.
- A homeowner mentioned that the pine trees plug up the storm drain and the city doesn’t come out and clean enough.
- One homeowner reports that the drain at the end of Diagonal Road North, near the stop sign, often overflows and water comes in from the bay.

New Homeowner Comments from 2017

- Report from homeowner that there are storm drain issues towards riverside drive. This has shifted from previously being on the Orient Way Northeast.
- Comment from homeowner that the sea wall is higher on Riverside Dr NE, vs Riverside Dr N; Homeowner suggests City having pumps to distribute water away from flooded areas into canal.
- Flooding issues observed at Tobay Rd North and 85th Ave North.
- One resident that has lived in the area since 1983, comments that the high tide is the real issue and that a storm that coincides with a high tide is when the flooding gets really bad, areas such as 80th Ave to 83rd Ave.
- Concerns about the flooding from the canal on 83rd Ave North, coming from the west. Observed that the flooding on the east side of the canal is even worse as the property is lower.

*These comments were collected while in the field and from phone calls or emails.
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**TERMINOLOGY**

**1% Annual Flood Chance:** The flood that has a one percent (1%) chance of being equaled or exceeded each year. Also known as the base flood or regulatory floodplain.

**Area Analysis:** An approach to identify repeatedly flooded areas, evaluate mitigation approaches, and determine the most appropriate alternatives to reduce future repeated flood losses.

**BFE:** Base Flood Elevation - The elevation of the crest of the base flood or one percent (1%) annual chance.

**CRS:** Community Rating System

**FEMA:** Federal Emergency Management Agency

**FIRM:** Flood Insurance Rate Map

**Floodway:** The channel of a stream, plus any adjacent floodplain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights.

**Freeboard:** A factor of safety usually expressed in feet above the Base Flood Elevation (BFE) for purposes of floodplain management. Also known as the design flood elevation.

**GIS:** Geographic Information Systems

**Hazard Mitigation:** Any sustained action taken to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to life and property from a hazardous event.

**ICC:** Increased Cost of Compliance, a $30,000 rider on flood insurance policies for policy holders located in the special flood hazard area that can be used to bring the structure into compliance in the event that it is substantially damaged by a flood.

**NFIP:** National Flood Insurance Program

**Repetitive Loss property (RL):** An NFIP-insured property where two or more claim payments of more than $1,000 have been paid within a 10-year period since 1978.

**Severe Repetitive Loss Property (SRL):** A 1-4 family residence that is a repetitive loss property that has had four or more claims of more than $5,000 or two claims that cumulatively exceed the reported building's value.

**Substantial Improvement:** The repair, reconstruction, or improvement of a structure, the cost of which equals or exceeds 50% of the market value of the structure either, (1) before the improvement or repair is started, or (2) if the structure has been damaged and is being restored, before the damage occurred.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background
The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) is continually faced with the task of paying claims while trying to keep the price of flood insurance at an affordable level. It has a particular problem with repetitive flood loss properties, which are estimated to cost $3.5 million per year in flood insurance claim payments throughout the United States. Repetitive flood loss properties represent only 1.4% of all flood insurance policies, yet historically they have accounted for nearly one-fourth of the claim payments (over $9 billion to date). Mitigating these repeatedly flooded properties will reduce the overall costs to the NFIP, the communities in which they are located, and the individual homeowners. The City of St. Petersburg conducted an area analysis based on the Repetitive Loss Area Analysis, as described on page 5 of this report and in accordance to the Community Rating System. This area analysis follows FEMA guidelines to determine why an area has repeated flood losses and what alternative flood protection measures would help break the cycle of repetitive flooding.

Study Area
The repetitive loss area analysis is a detailed mitigation plan for a repetitive loss area. The study area for this report is located in the Shore Acres area, stretching from approximately 62nd Avenue North East, to Bayou Placido Boulevard North East, and in-between Shore Acres Boulevard North East, to Jersey Street North East. There are 1535 structures in the study area. The majority of them are residential, with a fire station, school, church, care facility, and grocery store rounding out the rest. More information on these properties can be found on page 4 within the introduction.

Problem Statement
Flooding is caused by high tides and heavy rains and is aggravated by two problems:

• The study area is low lying and close to the bay. Tidal flooding is a main cause of flooding within this area, especially when a major rain event coincides with a high tide.
• The storm drains are sometimes overgrown or otherwise unable to convey water correctly. Therefore water tends to drain slowly into the bay.

There have been some drainage improvements, but they have not stopped all flooding.

Recommendations
• Encourage everyone to pursue a mitigation measure.
• Assist interested property owners in applying for a mitigation grant.
• Street cleaning or sweeping program to remove debris from street and drainage culverts.
• Seek out and secure funding for the drainage improvements outlined in this report.
• Improve the City’s CRS classification.
• Installing individual backflow preventers at the street catch basin structure.
• Education campaign about keeping streets and drainage culverts clean.

For residents of the study area
• Contact the City for more information about possible funding opportunities
• Review the alternative mitigation measures discussed in this analysis and implement those that are most appropriate for their situation.
• Purchase and maintain a flood insurance policy on the home and its contents.
• Report flooding hazards via See Click Fix or Mayor’s Action line.
INTRODUCTION

St. Petersburg is exposed to flooding from hurricanes, tropical storms, storm water runoff, and storm surges from Tampa Bay, Boca Ciega Bay, and the Gulf of Mexico, as well as flooding from St. Joes Creek and many small lakes within the area.

St. Petersburg is mostly flat with some rise towards the center of the peninsula, creating areas where water runs very quickly to the bay and other areas where it drains away slowly. There are several communities built over bayous and along the coastline. Flooding of streets, yards, and buildings often occur from heavy rains in some areas.

In sum, areas of the City can be flooded from overwhelmed bayous, creeks, coastal sources, sheet flow, and local drainage ways. The official FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map designates the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA), the deeper riverine and coastal flood prone areas as A, AE, or VE zones and the entire City may be subject to flooding.

In most areas, especially outside the SFHA, flooding is relatively shallow. Residents have several days of warning before a coastal storm occurs and can take steps to protect themselves from flooding if they have necessary information.

There have been some drainage improvements, but they have not stopped all flooding. There are 1535 properties subject to flooding. Of these properties, 241 are considered repetitive loss and have made 730 flood insurance claims for a total of $13,935,632.75 since 1978. Within the 241 repetitive loss properties there are 32 severe repetitive loss properties with 137 claims for a total of $3,154,362.45 since 1978.

Since flooding typically occurs over an area that may affect several buildings, determining a repetitive loss area may include homes not previously flooded, but are instead surrounded by those structures that have been repetitively flooded. This allows determination of drainage and may indicate where future homes may sustain flood damage. Additionally because repetitive loss structures are privacy protected by the federal government it is necessary to include surrounding homes, so as to maintain the privacy of those repetitive loss structures as per the Privacy Act of 1974.

The RLAA is part of the Community Rating System, which is a "voluntary incentive program that recognizes and encourages community floodplain activities that exceed the minimum National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) requirements" (www.FEMA.gov). Participating communities are rewarded with reduced insurance premiums.
THE PROCESS

The repetitive loss area analysis is a detailed mitigation plan for a repetitive loss area. It provides more specific guidance on how to reduce damage from repetitive flooding than a community-wide floodplain management or hazard mitigation plan. Shore Acres was one of the two areas identified as a repetitive loss area. In order to better understand the issues in the area a process must be followed according to the NFIP CRS program.

The Community Rating System is a “voluntary incentive program that recognizes and encourages community floodplain activities that exceed the minimum National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) requirements” (www.FEMA.gov). Participating communities are rewarded with reduced insurance premiums.

The FEMA-prescribed five step process for conducting an area analyses is as follows:

Step 1: Advise all the property owners in the repetitive flood loss area that the repetitive loss area analysis will be conducted to determine the problems associated with flooding.

Step 2: Contact agencies or organizations that may have plans that could affect the cause or impacts of the flooding.

Step 3: Collect data on the analysis area and each building in the identified study area within the neighborhood to determine the cause(s) of the repetitive damage.

Step 4: Review alternative mitigation approaches and determine whether any property protection measures or drainage improvements are feasible.

Step 5: Document the findings, including information gathered from agencies and organizations, and relevant maps of the analysis area.
STEP 1: NEIGHBORHOOD NOTIFICATION

The first step in FEMA’s five-step process is to notify the residents in the area about the project. On January 1, 2016 the City of St. Petersburg Community Rating System Coordinator sent out a letter to the homeowners introducing them to the project.

The letter asked homeowners to submit any flooding concerns to the CRS Coordinator via mail, email, or phone, and to include address and pertinent information. One thousand five hundred and thirty nine (1539) letters were mailed out, of which sixty-six were returned as undeliverable or wrong address.

Copies of the letter and homeowner comments can be found in Appendices A & B of this report.

STEP 2: COLLABORATION

Coordination with relevant agencies, offices, and organizations is an important step in the analysis process. This step helps to open lines of communication among those interested in flood protection in the St. Petersburg area. The City collected information and data in order to complete this analysis from the Stormwater and Engineering Division, Construction Services and Permitting, and the Geographic Information System data provided from FEMA and Pinellas County.

STEP 3: DATA COLLECTION

The third step in the process is the collection of data that pertains to the area; both as a whole and specifically about the causes of the repetitive flooding. The data was collected through coordination with several agencies and departments.

Although the entire city is flood prone, certain areas have been harder hit than others. Using repetitive flood insurance claims, the City has identified two repetitive loss areas, Shore Acres and Riviera Bay.

Of the 82,300 buildings in the City, 367 have been paid at least 2 claims of $1000 over a 10 year period (FEMA’s definition of a repetitive loss property. There are 38 structures on FEMA’s repetitive loss list that have been relocated, elevated, or otherwise improved and are no longer subject to repetitive flood damage.

This report focuses on Shore Acres and the houses identified in the mapped repetitive loss area as depicted on the page 9 map.
There are two sources of flood insurance data that the City of St. Petersburg has reviewed. Those sources of data are:

A. The Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM)
B. Claims data

A. The Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map: The City of St. Petersburg Flood Insurance Rate Map, September 2003: A Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), published by FEMA, shows potential flood risk according to zones of severity and is used in setting flood insurance rates. The regulatory floodplain used by FEMA for the floodplain management and insurance aspects of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) is based on the elevation of the 1% annual flood chance or base flood. This type of flood has a 1% chance of occurring in any given year. For another frame of reference, the 1% annual flood has a 26% chance of occurring over the life of a 30-year mortgage. It is important to note that more frequent flooding does occur in the regulatory floodplain, as witnessed by the number of repetitive loss properties. The study area falls in only one flood zone: the more risky AE Zone.

The Base Flood Elevation (BFE) is the elevation of the 1% chance annual flood above mean sea level. In October 2015 St. Petersburg now requires two feet of freeboard. This means that all new or substantially improved residential construction must be at least two feet above the BFE. The BFE for the area is nine feet above sea level.

B. Claims Data: The Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 522a) restricts the release of certain types of data to the public. Flood insurance policy and claims data are included in the list of restricted information. FEMA can only release such data to state and local governments, and only if the data are used for floodplain management, mitigation, or research purposes. Therefore, this report does not identify the repetitive loss properties or include claims data for any individual property. Rather, it discusses them only in summary form.

The City of St. Petersburg obtained claims data from FEMA Region IV for all repetitive loss properties in the area. There are 241 (15.65%) properties within the 1539 property study area that qualify as repetitive loss. Of those 241 repetitive loss properties, 32 are considered to be severe repetitive loss properties. Homeowners for the 241 repetitive loss properties have made 137 claims and received $3,154,362.45 in flood insurance payments since 1978. The average repetitive flood loss claim is $18,911.05.

It is likely that the data in this section understates the flooding problem for the following reasons:

1. NFIP records do not include claims data prior to 1978, so there could have been additional losses not shown here.
2. Policy holders may not have submitted claims for smaller floods for fear of it affecting their coverage or premium rates.
3. Only data for listed repetitive loss properties were reviewed. There could be other properties that have been repeatedly flooded, but did not have insurance at the time of the flood or did not submit claims.

The losses only account for items covered by the insurance policy. Things not covered include living expenses during evacuation, swimming pools, and automobiles.
DRAINAGE INFORMATION

The City of St. Petersburg examined two areas of related information on the area’s drainage. Those two areas are:

A. Canals and Culverts
B. Shore Acres Watershed

A. Canals and Culverts: The City of St. Petersburg relies on a number of canals and culverts to drain stormwater from the streets. The study area is directly south of Riviera Bayou which has a drainage area of roughly 416.68 square miles. There is Butterfly Lake and many canals that provide drainage to the area listed on the page 10 map.

Butterfly Lake and the canals are unable at this time to properly drain water during high tide events coupled with heavy rainfall. When it floods, this makes it difficult for residents in the study area to evacuate the area and to get to their residence. Additional flooding is caused from vehicles driving through the high water that then pushes the water into adjacent houses.

B. Shore Acres Watershed: This large watershed is over 416.48 square miles and drains in several areas to the bay. The drainage from the Shore Acres Watershed is not a major factor for flooding within this area. There are several drainage ditches, small lakes, and canals within the area that may absorb some of the watershed runoff, but not all. Additionally when these ditches, small lakes, and canals fill they could cause additional flooding throughout the area surrounding them.
BUILDING INFORMATION

As discussed in Step 1: A neighborhood notification letter was mailed out to the residents, informing them that an analysis was going to be conducted with reference to flooding. Of the 1539 properties to which letters were sent, sixty-six were returned to the City as vacant or otherwise undeliverable.

The residents who commented on the letter offered insight into the flooding issues in the area:

- Fourteen people reported some kind of street flooding and that their residence was inaccessible.
- Most flooding occurred during heavy rains and high tides.
- Residents have conflicting reports, some say that the drainage projects in the area have helped, while others seem to think they have caused more problems and moved the flooding to different roads.
- Residents report clogging of storm drains by grass clippings, sod, and other tree debris.
- None of the residents have reported taking measures to mitigate the cause of flooding on their own.

The complete list of comments from homeowner’s can be found in Appendix B of this report.

From January 20th till March 30th the City visited the study area and collected data on each property. The City collected information such as the type of structure, construction, condition, the number of stories, drainage patterns, and a photo.

One thousand two-hundred and nine structures in the area are built on a slab (79%), thirty-seven are on a crawlspace (2.4%), one hundred on a stem-wall (6.5%), nine were split level (0.6%), thirty-two were on posts/piers (2%), one hundred and nineteen are walkout levels (8%), and the remaining twenty-four either being vacant or unable to observe base (1.5%).

The majority of structures, one thousand two hundred and fifty-one (81%) are single-story, with one thousand one hundred and sixty-three built from masonry or brick (76%). The rest are vinyl/wood and seven manufactured homes.

Based on the data collected the following bullets summarize the repetitive flooding problems in the area:

- All the structures fall in the more risky AE Zone.
- Flooding is caused by heavy rains, but aggravated by two problems:
  - High tides
  - Poor street drainage
- There have been some drainage improvements made to the area, but they have not stopped all flooding.

There are 1539 properties subject to flooding, 241 of the insured properties have been flooded to the extent that they qualify as repetitive loss structures under the NFIP. In the study area, 32 of which are severe repetitive loss properties. These 32 repetitive loss properties have made 137 flood insurance claims for a total of $3,154,362.45 since 1978.
STEP 4: MITIGATION MEASURES

Knowing the flooding history, type, and condition of the buildings in the area, leads to the fourth step in the area analysis procedure—a review of alternative mitigation approaches to protect properties from, or reduce, future flood damage. Property owners should look at these alternatives but understand they are not all guaranteed to provide protection at different levels of flooding. Six approaches were reviewed:

I. Acquisition
II. Elevating the houses above the 1% annual flood level
III. Dry floodproofing
IV. Utility protection
V. Drainage improvements
VI. Maintaining flood insurance coverage on the building

I. ACQUISITION

This measure involves buying one or more properties and clearing the site. If there is no building subject to flooding, there is no flood damage. Acquisitions are usually recommended where the flood hazard is so great or so frequent that it is not safe to leave the structure on the site.

An alternative to buying and clearing the whole subdivision is buying out individual, “worst case,” structures with FEMA funds.

A. Cost: This approach would involve purchasing and clearing the lowest or the most severe repeatedly flooded homes. If FEMA funds are to be used, three requirements will apply:

1. The applicant for FEMA must demonstrate that the benefits exceed the costs, using FEMA’s benefit/cost software.
2. The owner must be a willing seller.
3. The parcel must be deeded to a public agency that agrees to maintain the lot and keep it forever as open space.

B. Feasibility: Due to the high cost and difficulty to obtain a favorable benefit-cost ratio in shallow flooding areas, acquisitions are reserved for the worst case buildings. Not everyone wants to sell their home, so a checkerboard pattern of vacant and occupied lots often remains after a buyout project, leaving “holes” in the neighborhood. There is no reduction in expenses to maintain the neighborhood’s infrastructure for the City, although the tax base is reduced. The vacant lots must be maintained by the new owner agency, and additional expense is added to the community. If the lot is only minimally maintained, its presence may reduce the property values of the remaining houses. The City of ST. Petersburg is not considering acquisitions at this time for the above reasons.
II. ELEVATION

Raising the structure above the flood level is generally viewed as the best flood protection measure, short of removing the building from the floodplain. All damageable portions of the building and its contents are high and dry during a flood, which flows under the building instead of into the house. Houses can be elevated on fill, posts/piles, or a crawlspace.

A house elevated on fill requires adding a specific type of dirt to a lot and building the house on top of the added dirt. It should be noted that St. Petersburg does not allow fill to be brought into the floodplain to elevate the house. Unless that fill is part of a stem-wall foundation.

A house elevated on posts/piles is either built or raised on a foundation of piers that are driven into the earth and rise high enough above the ground to elevate the house above the flow of flood water or the design flood elevation.

A house elevated on a crawlspace or enclosure is built or raised on a continuous wall-like foundation that elevates the house above the design flood level. It is important to include vents or openings in the walls below the design flood level that are appropriately sized: one square inch for each square foot of the crawlspace or enclosures footprint. Additionally all materials below the design flood level must be flood resistant and all machinery, equipment, and plumbing must be above the design flood level.

A. Cost: A majority of the cost to elevate a building is in the preparation and foundation construction. The cost to elevate six feet is little more than the cost to go up two feet. Elevation is usually cost-effective for wood frame buildings on posts/piles or crawlspace because it is easiest for lifting equipment to be used under the floor and disruption to the habitable part of the house is minimal. Elevating a slab house is much more costly and disruptive. In St. Petersburg, 79% percent of the houses in the study area are on a slab. The actual cost of elevating a particular building depends on factors such as its condition, whether it is masonry or brick faced, and if additions have been added on over time. While the cost of elevating a home can be high, there are funding programs that can help. The usual arrangement is for a FEMA grant to pay 75% of the cost while the owner pays the other 25%. In the case of elevating a slab foundation, the homeowner’s portion could be as high as $25,000 or more. In some cases, assistance can be provided by Increased Cost of Compliance (ICC) funds, which is discussed on page 19 under Possible Funding Sources, or the use of state funds.

B. Feasibility: Federal funding support for an elevation project requires a study that shows that the benefits of the project exceed the cost of the elevation. Project benefits include savings in insurance claims paid on the structure. Elevating a masonry or a slab home can cost up to $100,000, which means that benefit/cost ratios may be low. Looking at each property individually could result in funding for the worst case properties, i.e., those that are the lowest below the base flood elevation, subject to the most frequent flooding, and in good enough condition to elevate.
III. DRY FLOODPROOFING

This measure keeps floodwaters out of a building by modifying the structure. Walls are coated with waterproofing compounds or plastic sheeting. Openings (i.e. doors, windows, and vents) are closed either permanently, or temporarily with removable shields or sandbags.

Make the walls watertight. This is easiest to do for masonry or brick faced walls. The brick or stucco walls can be covered with a waterproof sealant and bricked or stuccoed over with a veneer to camouflage the sealant. Houses with wood, vinyl, or metal siding need to be wrapped with plastic sheeting to make walls watertight, and then covered with a veneer to camouflage and protect the plastic sheeting. Provide closures, such as removable shields or sandbags, for the openings; including doors, windows, dryer vents and weep holes. There must also be an account for sewer backup and other sources of water entering the building. For shallow flood levels, this can be done with a floor drain plug or standpipe; although a check valve system is more secure.

Dry floodproofing employs the building itself as part of the barrier to the passage of floodwaters, and therefore this technique is only recommended for buildings with slab foundations that are not cracked. The solid slab foundation prevents floodwaters from entering a building from below. Also, even if the building is in sound condition, tests by the Corps of Engineers have shown that dry floodproofing should not be used for depths greater than three feet over the floor, because water pressure on the structure can collapse the walls and/or buckle the floor.

Dry floodproofing is a mitigation technique that is appropriate for some houses in the Shore Acres study area: those with slab foundations that typically receive floodwater up to three feet in the house. From the fieldwork it was found that eighty-nine percent of the houses in the analysis area are on slab foundations, and according to the data sheet responses seventy-six percent of the respondents experienced three feet of flooding.

Not all parts of the building need to be floodproofed. It is difficult to floodproof a garage door, for example, so some owners let the garage flood and floodproof the walls between the garage and the rest of the house. Appliances, electrical outlets, and other damage-prone materials located in the garage should be elevated above the expected flood levels.

Dry floodproofing has the following shortcomings as a flood protection measure:

- It usually requires human intervention, i.e., someone must be home to close the openings.
- Its success depends on the building’s condition, which may not be readily evident. It is very difficult to tell if there are cracks in the slab under the floor covering.
- Periodic maintenance is required to check for cracks in the walls and to ensure that the waterproofing compounds do not decompose.
- There is no government financial assistance programs available for dry floodproofing, therefore the entire cost of the project must be paid by the homeowner.
- The NFIP will typically not offer a lower insurance rate for dry floodproofed residences. However, this may be a viable option if homeowners want to protect their structure and contents.
A. Cost: The cost for a floodproofing project can vary according to the building’s construction and condition. It can range from $5,000 to $20,000, depending on how secure the owner wants to be from flooding. Owners can do some of the work by themselves, although an experienced contractor provides greater security. Each property owner can determine how much of their own labor they can contribute and whether the cost and appearance of a project is worth the protection from flooding that it may provide.

B. Feasibility: As with floodwalls, floodproofing is appropriate where flood depths are shallow and are of relatively short duration. It can be an effective measure for some of the structures and flood conditions found in the study analysis area. It can also be more attractive than a floodwall around a house. However, floodproofing requires the homeowner to install or place door and window shields or sandbags and to ensure maintenance on a yearly basis. This may be difficult for the elderly or disabled. Finally ample warning of flooding must be available, so the homeowner can determine when to place the door or window shields and sandbags.

IV. UTILITY PROTECTION

This measure applies to several different utilities that can be adversely affected by floodwaters such as:
- Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) systems
- Fuel meters and pipes
- Electrical service boxes, wiring and fixtures
- Sewage systems
- Drinking Water systems

Damage to utilities can prevent a residence that remains structurally sound after a flood from being reoccupied. Retrofitting utilities includes things as simple as raising them above the flood level and building small walls around furnaces and water heaters to protect from shallow flooding. According to the homeowner’s data sheets, forty-one percent (41%) of respondents answered that they had moved utilities and/or contents to a higher level as a mitigation measure.

A. Cost: The cost for protecting utilities varies and is dependent upon the measure itself, condition of the system, structure, and foundation. A lot of the measures can be performed by the homeowners themselves, although it is always a good idea to consult a professional contractor and/or engineer (depending on the project). The costs can be lower when done as part of a repair or remodeling project. Residents interested in pursuing a retrofitting measure to protect their utilities should contact the City of St. Petersburg to determine whether a permit is required.

B. Feasibility: Given that the flooding experienced by the homeowners in the Shore Acres study area includes both shallow and deep flooding, utility protection is an acceptable mitigation measure. Interested homeowners should examine their flooding history and decide if utility protection is an appropriate measure for their building.
V. DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS

The Engineering and Stormwater Department prepared a Master Drainage plan for the entire City of St. Petersburg. The Plan has a list of recommendations that were created after reviewing previous studies and reports. There are several different drainage improvements called for in the Plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Project Name/Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/03/07</td>
<td>Shore Acres Water Quality &amp; Flood Prevention Vaults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/09/09</td>
<td>Shore Acres Stormwater Vaults Phase 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/09/09</td>
<td>Shore Acres Stormwater Vaults Phase 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/30/09</td>
<td>Shore Acres Stormwater Vaults Phase 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These projects helped to reduce some of the flooding within the Shore Acres Repetitive Loss area. No other projects are currently proposed for this area. Maintenance for all projects and ongoing street sweeping continues for this area.

VI. MAINTAINING INSURANCE

Although insurance is not a mitigation measure that reduces property damage from a flood, a National Flood Insurance Program policy has the following advantages for the homeowner or renter:

1. A flood insurance policy covers surface flooding from the overflow of inland or tidal waters or from storm water runoff.

2. Flood insurance may be the only source of assistance to help owners of damaged property quickly pay for cleanup and repairs after a disaster. The ensures that people can get back into their homes faster than if they had to wait for disaster assistance funding, which often is in the form of a loan and may take months to pay.

3. Once in effect there is no need for human intervention. Coverage is available for the contents of a home as well as for the structure. Renters can buy contents coverage, even if the building owner does not buy coverage for the structure itself.

Cost: Flood insurance rates are based on several factors including what flood zone the building falls in and the age of the structure. All the homes in the study area fall in the AE zone. Homes constructed before December 31st, 1974 are “pre-FIRM” buildings, which means that they were built before the date of the first FIRM for the community, and are thus eligible for the “subsidized” flood insurance premium rates.

A building that is located in the AE flood zone and constructed or substantially improved after the date of the most current FIRM - such as one built or substantially improved – is required to be built above the base flood elevation and is therefore subject to rates based on the actual risk rather than a subsidized rate. Rates on pre-FIRM buildings are subsidized because the flood risk was unknown at the time of construction. If a pre-FIRM house in the SFHA is elevated to the design flood elevation, the owner will be able to take advantage of the much lower post-FIRM rates.
Communities that join the CRS complete floodplain management activities that are worth a certain amount of credit. The more credit earned, the better the class ranking of that community. The CRS has 10 classes; a Class ranking of 10 carries the lowest flood insurance premium reduction, whereas a Class 1 carries the maximum discount. The City of St. Petersburg has a CRS Class of 6, which gives an effective discount of 20 percent to all flood insurance premiums for those within the SFHA. As of October 1, 2016, the City will be moving to a CRS Class 5 community with an effective premium discount of 25%.

STEP 5: FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Findings

Properties in the Shore Acres study area are subject to flooding due to heavy rains, high tide, and drainage problems. When Sunlit-cove and the connecting canals are inundated by heavy rains, especially during high tides, it does not have the capacity to convey the water out of the area quickly enough. This is mainly due to backflow and that pipes are either under water or do not close due to barnacles. There is also concern over the drains being clogged from debris and unable to convey water from the street in a timely fashion.

B. Recommendations

1. The City of St. Petersburg should continue to encourage everyone to pursue a mitigation measure. Assist interested property owners in applying for a mitigation grant. Address the issues with the street drainage in order to improve the drainage in the study area. Institute a maintenance program that encourages homeowners to frequently clear their ditches of debris to ensure open flow for stormwater. Seek out and secure funding for the drainage improvements outlined in this report. Improve the City’s CRS classification and adopt this Repetitive Loss Area Analysis according to the process detailed in the CRS Coordinator’s Manual.

2. For the residents of the study area, they should contact the City of St. Petersburg for more information about possible funding opportunities and site visits to determine remedial measures. Review the alternative mitigation measures discussed in this analysis and implement those that are most appropriate for their situation. Purchase and maintain a flood insurance policy on the home and its contents.
POSSIBLE FUNDING SOURCES

There are several possible sources of funding for mitigation projects:

A. FEMA grants: Most of the FEMA programs provide 75% of the cost of a project. In most Gulf communities, the 25% non-FEMA share is paid by the benefitting property owner. Each program has different Congressional authorization and slightly different rules.

1. The Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP): The HMGP provides grants to States and local governments to implement long-term hazard mitigation measures after a major disaster declaration. Projects must provide a long-term solution to a problem (e.g., elevation of a home to reduce the risk of flood damages as opposed to buying sandbags and pumps to fight the flood). Examples of eligible projects include acquisition and elevation, as well as local drainage projects.

2. The Severe Repetitive Loss Program (SRL): The Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) grant program funds mitigation projects for properties on the severe repetitive loss list. Eligible flood mitigation projects include: Acquisition and demolition or relocation of structures that are listed on FEMA’s severe repetitive loss list and conversion of the property to open space. Elevation of existing SRL structures to at least the Base Flood Elevation (BFE). There is a new SRL ICC Program that can be used to cover the non-FEMA share of the cost. That program is discussed further in bullet C below.

B. The Flood Mitigation Assistance Program (FMA): FMA funds assist States and communities in implementing measures that reduce or eliminate the long-term risk of flood damage to structures insured under the NFIP. Project Grants to implement measures to reduce flood losses, such as elevation, acquisition, or relocation of NFIP-insured structures. States are encouraged to prioritize FMA funds for applications that include repetitive loss properties; these include structures with 2 or more losses each with a claim of at least $1,000 within any ten-year period since 1978.

1. Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program (PDM): The Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program provides funds to states, territories, Indian tribal governments, communities, and universities for hazard mitigation planning and the implementation of mitigation projects prior to a disaster event. For more information visit http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/pdm/index.shtm.

C. Flood insurance: There is a special funding provision in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) for insured buildings that have been substantially damaged by a flood, “Increased Cost of Compliance.” ICC coverage pays for the cost to comply with floodplain management regulations after a flood if the building has been declared substantially damaged. ICC will pay up to $30,000 to help cover elevation, relocation, demolition, and (for nonresidential buildings) floodproofing. It can also be used to help pay the 25% owner’s share of a FEMA funded mitigation project.

The building’s flood insurance policy must have been in effect during the flood. This payment is in addition to the damage claim payment that would be made under the regular policy coverage, as long as the total claim does not exceed $250,000. Claims must be accompanied by a substantial or repetitive damage determination made by the local floodplain administrator. For more information, contact your insurance agent or visit: www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/floodplain/ICC.shtm.

Coverage under the ICC does have limitations: It covers only damage caused by a flood, as opposed to wind or fire damage. The building’s flood insurance policy must have been in effect during the flood.
ICC payments are limited to $30,000 per structure. Claims must be accompanied by a substantial or repetitive damage determination made by the local floodplain administrator and the structure must be in an A zone.

The average claims payment in the study area is $18,911.054. With an average claim of that amount, it is not likely that many homes in the study area would sustain substantial damage from a flood event. Homeowners should make themselves aware of the approximate value of their homes, and in the case of incurring flood damage, be aware of the need for a substantial damage declaration in order to receive the ICC coverage.

Severe Repetitive Loss ICC Pilot Program: While the conventional ICC only covers buildings that are located in the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA), there is a new pilot program that is aiming to target buildings not in the SFHA. Focusing specifically on Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) buildings, this pilot program will offer ICC benefits to those SRL properties that are located in X zones and will include those SRL buildings that have grandfathered X zone rates. Under this new pilot program, the ICC benefits could be used to cover the homeowner's 10% match in a SRL grant.

Alternative language adopted into the local floodplain management ordinance would enable residents with shallower flooding to access ICC funding. Since local ordinances determine the threshold at which substantial damage and/or repetitive claims are reached, adopting language that would lower these thresholds would benefit the homeowners of repetitive loss properties. Adopting alternative language allows for cumulative damages to reach the threshold for federal mitigation resources more quickly, meaning that some of the properties in St. Petersburg that sustain minor damage regularly would qualify for mitigation assistance through ICC.

D. Rebates: A rebate is a grant in which the costs are shared by the homeowner and another source, such as the local government, usually given to a property owner after a project has been completed. Many communities favor it because the owner handles all the design details, contracting, and payment before the community makes a final commitment. The owner ensures that the project meets all of the program's criteria, has the project constructed, and then goes to the community for the rebate after the completed project passes inspection.

Rebates are more successful where the cost of the project is relatively small, e.g., under $5,000, because the owner is more likely to be able to afford the bulk of the cost. The rebate acts more as an incentive, rather than as needed financial support.

E. Small Business Administration Mitigation Loans: The Small Business Administration (SBA) offers mitigation loans to SBA disaster loan applicants who have not yet closed on their disaster loan. Applicants who have already closed must demonstrate that the delay in application was beyond their control.

For example mitigation loans made following a flood can only be used for a measure to protect against future flooding, not a tornado. If the measure existed prior to the declared disaster, an SBA mitigation loan will cover the replacement cost. If the measure did not exist prior to the declared disaster the mitigation loan will only cover the cost of the measure if it is deemed absolutely necessary for repairing the property by a professional third-party, such as an engineer.
Dear Resident:

You have received this postcard because your property is in an area that is subject to repetitive flooding. The city has an active program to help you protect yourself and your property from future flooding.

City staff will visit your neighborhood soon to collect data on flooding issues. Your input is greatly appreciated. Please send flooding concerns to: Noah.Taylor@stpete.org or call 727-893-SAVE (7283).

Be sure to include your address and contact information. Thank you for your participation.
APPENDIX B: HOMEOWNER’S COMMENTS*

Homeowner Comments from 2016

- According to homeowner they are the first ones to flood and last to drain. Many neighbors are fed up with the flooding and are selling their homes after 25 plus years of living there.
- Homeowner claims that a house that was built some years ago has caused more flooding. Needs some kind of trench on the side of the house as per other homeowner.
- Reported by homeowner that there has been flooding near the carport "den" area, water pooling in street is further spread from people driving in the street and causing the water to splash against the house. Only time it floods is from big storms in gulf
- Homeowner reported ponding in some areas of front yard and left side of house there is a lot of water that ponds in that area near foundation.
- According to homeowner when there is a full moon or high tide the street will flood.
- Homeowner wanted to know why new improvements were not working in Shore Acres.

New Homeowner Comments from 2017

- Reported flooding in street New Hampshire and chancellor.
- Some flooding on Dover Street and Venetian with rain and high tides.
- Issues with flooding issues on Harrisburg & Connecticut.
- Flooding issues in the Bayou Grande Blvd Street, between Denver and Pennsylvania. Floods from 62nd towards Bayou Grande. Happens during high tide and heavy rain or combination.
- Denver Park has flooding from drainage ditch into homeowner’s yard; ditch and drains seem to be clogged.
- Reported issue with standing water near Helena St Northeast, after high tides fill gutters.
- Flooding reported at the intersections of Venetian Blvd Northeast, during even the slightest rain storm.
- Major concern about flooding that happens in Ponderosa Shores and on Dakota Ave Northeast.
- Reported that Helena and Delaware continuing east flood, where vehicles sometimes drive in yards to avoid flooded roads.
- Comment about worst section being 40th and Shore Acres Blvd by the pool and Shore Acres Blvd from the pool to 46th Ave Northeast. Also Venetian Blvd/Bayou Grande and Chancellor/Grand Canal.
- Repetitive flooding at corner of Overlook Dr Northeast and Shore Acres Blvd Northeast.
- Significant change to flooding on Helena St Northeast after City put in new storm drains. Homeowner reports they are very happy with the improvements.
- After raising parts of home and floodproofing doors with barriers, homeowner reports that they have had little to no damage from flooding to their home. They have however, seen waves come under the doors from people creating wakes in the roads, when they drive past.
- Major flooding reported at Delaware and Carson Street. Homeowner would like to see people restricted in speed when streets are flooded to minimize wakes created. They also encourage the City to continue to upgrade backflow preventers and give incentives for people to build with sea level rise taken into consideration.

St. Petersburg – Repetitive Loss Area Analysis
• Comment from homeowner as to why the southern section of Helena St Northeast has been mitigated, but the northern section hasn’t seen any stormwater construction. They have flooding issues and would like to see their taxes going to pay for flood mitigation to improve the storm drains along the northern section of Helena.

• Concerns over the sewer manhole covers and better seals was mentioned concerning Bayou Grande Blvd Northeast. Reported bubbling saltwater could be seen over the manhole covers.

*These comments were collected while in the field and from phone calls or emails.
August 10, 2017

TO: The Honorable Members of City Council

SUBJECT: Bus Rapid Transit Update

PRESENTER: Brad Miller, Chief Executive Officer, PSTA
           Cassandra Borchers, Chief Development Officer, PSTA

SCHEDULE FOR COUNCIL ON:

   Agenda of August 24, 2017

Darden Rice, Chair
Council member, District 4
A RESOLUTION OF THE ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL SUPPORTING THE PINELLAS SUNCOAST TRANSIT AUTHORITY (PSTA) IN ITS EFFORTS TO DESIGN AND CONSTRUCT THE CENTRAL AVENUE BUS RAPID TRANSIT (BRT) SERVICE CONNECTING DOWNTOWN ST. PETERSBURG TO THE GULF COAST BEACHES; REQUESTING ADMINISTRATION TO WORK WITH PSTA, FORWARD PINELLAS AND STAKEHOLDERS TO FINALIZE ENGINEERING AND COST ESTIMATES; SUPPORTING THE CITY CONTRIBUTING TO THE CENTRAL AVENUE BRT PROJECT THROUGH LONG TERM MAINTENANCE OF CITY-OWNED ROADWAYS UPON WHICH BRT VEHICLES WILL TRAVEL, AND THROUGH A YET TO BE DETERMINED CAPITAL CONTRIBUTION TO THE LOCAL SHARE OF THE PROJECT, SUBJECT TO APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS AND APPROVAL OF A FUNDING AGREEMENT WITH PSTA; REQUESTING ADMINISTRATION TO IDENTIFY SOURCES AND LEVELS OF LOCAL FUNDING AND NEGOTIATE A FUNDING AGREEMENT FOR CITY COUNCIL’S CONSIDERATION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Central Avenue corridor has the highest transit ridership of any travel market in the region and is a key focus area for redevelopment in St. Petersburg and Pinellas County; and

WHEREAS, PSTA and the City of St. Petersburg (City) desire a more robust and innovative public transportation system that incorporates evolving technologies to benefit the residents, employees and visitors in Pinellas County; and

WHEREAS, PSTA has proposed a regionally significant major capital transit investment project that could be the first premium transit project in the Tampa Bay region to receive funding from the Federal Transit Administration Capital Investment Grant Program for passenger rail and premium bus projects; and

WHEREAS, PSTA and the Florida Department of Transportation funded the project development phase of the Central Avenue BRT project (Project) under the guidance of the Federal Transit Administration’s Small Starts process; and

WHEREAS, PSTA and the City have worked in partnership to develop and analyze alternative routes to connect the regionally significant activity center of downtown St. Petersburg with the County’s tourist-driven economic base along the Gulf Coast beaches; and

WHEREAS, the City has worked cooperatively with PSTA in the development of the capital elements to be included in the Project, including but not limited to a semi-dedicated bus
lane, construction of new station/stops designed for a limited stop service, reconstruction of the
1st Avenue North and 1st Avenue South corridors as needed, improved traffic signal technology,
and 60’ articulated bus vehicles; and

WHEREAS, the public engagement process for the Project included dedicated public
meetings as well as presentations provided to various community groups and individuals to gather
comments and recommendations from stakeholders; and

WHEREAS, PSTA will submit the Project to the Federal Transit Administration Capital
Investment Grant Small Starts rating process by a deadline of September 8, 2017 with the
anticipation of inclusion in the FY 19 Federal Budget; and

WHEREAS, PSTA and City representatives will continue discussions with stakeholders
through the design and construction of the Project; and

WHEREAS, PSTA has developed an initial capital cost estimate of the Project at
approximately $42 million (in 2019 dollars) with contingencies appropriate for a pre-design
project and will refine this cost estimate over the next year; and

WHEREAS, it is anticipated that federal and state funds will support 75% of the Project,
requiring a 25% ($10.5 million) total local share; and

WHEREAS, PSTA has committed $5 million to the Project and is coordinating the
identification of additional local match funding through its own sources, private sources and the
City of St. Pete Beach; and

WHEREAS, the City has indicated support for funding transportation infrastructure
improvements within the City including but not limited to funding that may be available through
use of the Intown Community Redevelopment Area (Intown CRA) tax increment funds and other
city infrastructure funds; and

WHEREAS, the Project costs include resurfacing City-owned roadways over which the
vehicles will travel and over 50% of such roads will be due for resurfacing during the approximate
timeframe that the Project is being constructed; and

WHEREAS, the City historically funds City-wide resurfacing at a cost of approximately
$4 million per year; and

WHEREAS, on August 3, 2017, the St. Petersburg City Council (i) approved an Amended
and Restated Interlocal Agreement with Pinellas County which allocates a minimum of $4 million
out of an available $14 million in Intown CRA tax increment funding to downtown transportation
and parking improvements within the Intown CRA, and (ii) conducted a first reading of an
ordinance adopting amendments to the Intown Redevelopment Plan to correspond to such
Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement and set August 24, 2017 as the public hearing date
for such ordinance; and
WHEREAS, the allocation of a minimum of $4 million out of an available $14 million in Intown CRA tax increment funds to downtown transportation and parking improvements within the Intown CRA is subject to final approval by the Pinellas County Commission; and

WHEREAS, the portion of Project costs within the Intown CRA is estimated, including contingencies, to exceed $4 million and the portion of the Project costs within the limits of St. Petersburg (including the Intown CRA) is estimated, including contingencies, to exceed $20 million, not including the cost of vehicles.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg that City Council recognizes the benefits of improved transit connections and economic development potential in the Central Avenue investment corridor and hereby supports the Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority in its efforts to design and construct the Central Avenue Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) service connecting downtown St. Petersburg to the Gulf Coast beaches.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that City Council requests Administration to work with PSTA, Forward Pinellas and other stakeholders to finalize engineering and cost estimates for the Central Avenue BRT Project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that City Council supports the City contributing to the Central Avenue BRT Project through the long-term maintenance of City-owned roadways upon which BRT vehicles will travel and through a yet to be determined capital contribution to the local share of the project, subject to appropriation of funds and the approval of a funding agreement with PSTA.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that City Council requests Administration to identify sources and levels of local funding to match state and federal grants and negotiate a funding agreement with PSTA for City Council’s consideration.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approvals:

Legal: [Signature]  Administration: [Signature]

00336949
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving a three-year blanket purchase agreement with Atlas Copco Compressors LLC, a sole source supplier, for maintenance and repairs of aeration blowers, at a total contract amount of $270,000.

Explanation: The vendor will provide maintenance and repair services for the four aeration blowers at the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility. The blowers were originally installed in 2012 and are now beyond the original extended warranty period provided by the vendor. Because Atlas Copco is the only factory authorized provider of maintenance and repairs of these aeration blowers, a sole source procurement is recommended.

This agreement will include a three-year preventative maintenance program which includes biannual capacitor inspection, filter changes, and a full diagnostic check of the four aeration blowers. The aeration blowers provide air for the biological process of wastewater treatment in the aeration tanks at the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility. The preventative maintenance program will reduce the need for costly rebuilds of the units, which are vital to the plant operations.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Water Resources Department, recommends for award:

Atlas Copco Compressors LLC (Plantation, FL) ........................................... $270,000

This purchase is made in accordance with Section 2-249, Sole Source Procurement of the Procurement Code, which authorizes City Council to approve the purchase of a supply or service over $100,000 without competitive bidding, if it has been determined that the supply or service is available from only one source. The blanket purchase agreement will be effective from September 1, 2017, through August 31, 2020, and will be binding only for actual services rendered.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Water Resources Operating Fund (4001).

Attachments: Sole Source Resolution

Approvals:

[Signature]  [Signature]
Administrative  Budget
# Sole Source Request

**Department:** Water Resources Dept.  
**Requisition No.:**  
**Request for Blanket Purchase Agreement:**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check One:</th>
<th>X Sole Source</th>
<th>Proprietary Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Vendor:</strong></td>
<td>Atlas Copco Compressors, LLC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estimated Total Cost:</strong></td>
<td>$99,780.00 (ANNUAL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of Items (or Services) to be purchased:**

Atlas Copco HIS Turbine Blower Model HSTB-F5-W2-3325 Parts, Labor, Materials and Service Contracts for 4 existing Blowers and any additional future Atlas Copco Blowers. Requesting 3 year Blanket Purchase Order to include a line item for servicing the equipment.

**Purpose of Function of Items:**

Used to provide air for the biological process of wastewater treatment in the Aeration Tanks at the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility. We have four blower units and they are critical to the plant process and the treatment of the wastewater.

**Justification for Sole Source of Proprietary specification:**

Atlas Copco Compressors, LLC is the only factory Authorized provider in Florida for Atlas Copco Compressors including the HIS Blower Models we have at the SWWRF. Only Original Equipment Manufacturers parts are allowed or available for repair of these units. Please Keep this Sole Source on file for future repairs and parts orders.

I hereby certify that in accordance with Section 2-248 of the City of St. Petersburg Procurement Code, I have conducted a good faith review of available sources and have determined that there is only one potential source for the required items per the above justification. I also understand that under Florida Statute 838.222 it is a second degree felony to circumvent a competitive bidding process by using a sole-source contract for commodities or services.

---

**Department Director:**  
**Date:** 04/18/17

**Administrator/Chief:**  
**Date:** 04/18/17

**Louis Moore, Director:**  
**Procurement & Supply Management:**  
**Date:** 04/18/17

---

Rev (11/11), (6/15)
A RESOLUTION DECLARING ATLAS COPCO COMPRESSORS LLC TO BE A SOLE SOURCE SUPPLIER FOR MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR SERVICES FOR FOUR (4) AERATION BLOWERS AT THE SOUTHWEST WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY FOR THE WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT; APPROVING A THREE-YEAR BLANKET PURCHASE AGREEMENT WITH ATLAS COPCO COMPRESSORS LLC FOR MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR SERVICES FOR FOUR (4) AERATION BLOWERS AT THE SOUTHWEST WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY FOR THE WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT AT A TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $270,000; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City wishes to purchase maintenance and repair services for four (4) aeration blowers as part of a three-year preventative maintenance program which will reduce the need for costly rebuilds of the units which are vital to plant operations at the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility (“SWWRF”); and

WHEREAS, Atlas Copco Compressors LLC (“Atlas”) is the sole authorized provider of maintenance and repair services for the four (4) aeration blowers at SWWRF; and

WHEREAS, Section 2-249 of the City Code provides for sole source procurement when a supply or service is available from only one source; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement and Supply Management Department, in cooperation with the Water Resources Department, recommends approval of the award to Atlas as a sole source supplier for the term ending August 31, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor or his designee has prepared a written statement to the City Council certifying the condition and circumstances for the sole source purchase.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Atlas Compressors LLC is a sole source supplier for maintenance and repair services for four (4) aeration blowers at the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility for the Water Resources Department.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a three-year blanket purchase agreement with Atlas Copco Compressors LLC for maintenance and repair services for four (4) aeration blowers at the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility for the Water Resources Department at a total cost not to exceed $270,000 is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

Meeting of August 24, 2017

REPORT

TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A resolution approving Partial Control Estimate #4 for WRF NW new filters FY17 project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) in an amount not to exceed $199,227 (for a total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) not to exceed $4,384,238; approving Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) in an amount not to exceed $129,108 (for a total partial control estimate for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) not to exceed $2,092,063); authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute The Fourth Amendment to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and PCL Construction Inc. dated April 17, 2017, as amended, and modify other necessary sections of such contract; and providing an effective date.

EXPLANATION: On April 17, 2017, the City executed a Construction Manager Contract (“CM Contract”) with PCL Construction Inc. (“PCL”) for preconstruction and construction services for the Projects described in the CM Contract to increase treatment, disposal and capacity to handle peak wastewater flows during wet weather events at the Northwest Water Reclamation Facility.

The initial CM Contract included:

- Control Estimates for:
  - WRF NW Construction Manager FY17 (Engineering No. 17063-111; Oracle No. 15960)
    - Pre-Construction Services
  - WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926)
    - Filter Piping Construction

On May 18, 2017, City Council approved the First Amendment to the CM Contract, which incorporated Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) and (ii) Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927). The First Amendment included:

- Control Estimates for:
  - WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926)
    - UG/AG Piping and Appurtenances Installation
    - Backwash System
    - Pump Station and Piping Installation
    - Concrete structure for filtration system
    - Influent and Effluent tie in at Existing Filter Structure

  - WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927)
    - Procurement of Effluent Disposal Pumps
    - Acidization of IW-1 and IW-2
On June 1, 2017, City Council approved the Second Amendment to the CM Contract, which incorporated Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF NW Construction Manager FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17063-111; Oracle No. 15960). The Second Amendment included:

- Control Estimates for:
  - WRF NW Construction Manager FY17 (Engineering No. 17063-111; Oracle No. 15960)
    - Continuing General Conditions Services from May 1, 2017 through August 31st, 2017
    - Bonds (based on $20M)
    - Builders Risk/GL Insurances

On June 15, 2017, City Council approved the Third Amendment to the CM Contract, which incorporated Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926) Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927). Third Amendment included:

- Control Estimates for:
  - WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926)
    - Electrical Work for Effluent Filtration Improvements
  - WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927)
    - Pump and Pipe Install

This Fourth Amendment to the CM Contract in the amount of $328,335 includes:

- Control Estimates for:
  - WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111; Oracle No. 15926)
    - Instrumentation & Controls
  - WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 (Engineering No. 17053-111; Oracle No. 15927)
    - Instrumentation & Controls

The table below provides details of the Contract Costs approved to date and the additional Control Estimate Costs (Fourth Amendment) with their respective funding source(s).
### WRF NW New Filters FY17
- **Project Numbers**: 17052-111; 15926
- **WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17**: 17053-111; 15927
- **WRF NW Construction Manager FY17**: 17063-111; 15960

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Contract</th>
<th>WRF NW New Filters FY17</th>
<th>WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17</th>
<th>WRF NW Construction Manager FY17</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Numbers</strong></td>
<td>17052-111; 15926</td>
<td>17053-111; 15927</td>
<td>17063-111; 15960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contract Cost</strong></td>
<td>$2,251,253</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$407,175</td>
<td>$2,658,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Admin/CEI Cost</strong></td>
<td>$341,176</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$496,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>$2,592,429</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>$457,175</td>
<td>$3,154,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amendment #1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contract Cost</strong></td>
<td>$1,642,258</td>
<td>$1,115,113</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,757,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Admin/CEI Cost</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SubTotal</strong></td>
<td>$1,642,258</td>
<td>$1,115,113</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,757,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amendment #2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contract Cost</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,021,752</td>
<td>$2,021,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Admin/CEI Cost</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SubTotal</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,021,752</td>
<td>$2,021,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amendment #3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contract Cost</strong></td>
<td>$291,500</td>
<td>$847,842</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,139,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Admin/CEI Cost</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SubTotal</strong></td>
<td>$291,500</td>
<td>$847,842</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,139,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amendment #4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contract Cost</strong></td>
<td>$199,227</td>
<td>$129,108</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$328,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Admin/CEI Cost</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SubTotal</strong></td>
<td>$199,227</td>
<td>$129,108</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$328,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funding Source</strong></td>
<td>WRF $199,227</td>
<td>WRF $129,108</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>WRF $328,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appr: $0</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL CONSTR. COST</strong></td>
<td>$4,725,414</td>
<td>$2,197,063</td>
<td>$2,478,927</td>
<td>$9,401,404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total PCL Costs</strong></td>
<td>$4,384,238</td>
<td>$2,092,063</td>
<td>$2,428,927</td>
<td>$8,905,228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control Estimates are construction cost estimates and each estimate package includes an owner allowance. PCL shall prepare bid packages and solicit quotes for various scopes of work included in each control estimate package.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Administration recommends City Council approve Partial Control Estimate #4 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) in an amount not to exceed $199,227 (for a total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) not to exceed $4,384,238; approving Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) in an amount not to exceed $129,108 (for a total partial control estimate for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering NO. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) not to exceed $2,092,063)
authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute The Fourth Amendment to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and PCL Construction Inc. dated April 17, 2017, as amended, and modify other necessary sections of such contract; and providing an effective date.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Water Resources Capital Projects Fund (4003), WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 (15927) and WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (15926).

ATTACHMENTS:
- Resolution
- Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project
- Partial Control Estimate #4 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project

APPROVALS: 
- Brynne Payne (Administrative)
- Stacy McKee (Budget)
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-___

A RESOLUTION APPROVING PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #4 FOR WRF NW NEW FILTERS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17052-111: NWWRF EFFLUENT FILTRATION IMPROVEMENTS; ORACLE NO. 15926) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $199,227 (FOR A TOTAL PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRFNW NEW FILTERS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17052-111: NWWRF EFFLUENT FILTRATION IMPROVEMENTS; ORACLE NO. 15926) NOT TO EXCEED $4,384,238; APPROVING PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #3 FOR WRF NW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17053-111: NWWRF RECLAIMED WATER & INJECTION WELLS IMPROVEMENTS; ORACLE NO. 15927) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $129,108 (FOR A TOTAL PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRF NW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17053-111: NWWRF RECLAIMED WATER & INJECTION WELLS IMPROVEMENTS; ORACLE NO. 15927) NOT TO EXCEED $2,092,063); AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE FOURTH AMENDMENT TO INCORPORATE THE ABOVE REFERENCED PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATES INTO THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA AND PCL CONSTRUCTION INC. DATED APRIL 17, 2017, AS AMENDED, AND MODIFY OTHER NECESSARY SECTIONS OF SUCH CONTRACT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") and PCL Construction, Inc. ("PCL") executed a Construction Manager Contract on April 17, 2017, for PCL to provide preconstruction and construction services for the Projects described in the Contract to increase treatment, disposal and capacity to handle peak wastewater flows during wet weather events at the Northwest Water Reclamation Facility; and

WHEREAS, following execution of the Contract, the City authorized PCL to (i) provide the preconstruction services in an amount not to exceed $407,175 and (ii) commence work on WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) pursuant to a Partial Control Estimate attached to the contract; and

WHEREAS, on May 18, 2017, City Council approved the First Amendment to the Contract, which incorporated Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) and (ii) Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-11: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) into the Contract; and

WHEREAS, on June 1, 2017, City Council approved the Second Amendment to the Contract which incorporated Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF NW Construction Manager
WHEREAS, on June 15, 2017, City Council approved the Third Amendment to the Contract which incorporated Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) and Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-11: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) into the Contract; and

WHEREAS, the Construction Manager Contract dated April 17, 2017, as amended by the First Amendment, Second Amendment and Third Amendment (including all the contract documents) is referred to as the “Contract”; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the requirement set forth in the Contract, PCL has submitted (i) Partial Control Estimate #4 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) and (ii) Partial Control Estimate # 3 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-11: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927); and

WHEREAS, the City desires to execute the Fourth Amendment to the Contract with PCL to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into the Contract and modify other necessary sections of the Contract.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Partial Control Estimate #4 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) in an amount not to exceed $199,227 (for a Total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) not to exceed $4,384,238 is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) in an amount not to exceed $129,108 (for a Total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) not to exceed $2,092,063) is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute the Fourth Amendment to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and PCL Construction Inc. dated April 17, 2017, as amended, and modify other necessary sections of such contract.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.
APPROVALS:

City Attorney (designee)

[Signature]

[Signature]
Administration

[Signature]
July 21, 2017

Mr. Brejesh Prayman
Mr. Mike Ryle
City of St. Petersburg
MCS, 6th Floor
One 4th St. N
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Re: Construction Manager – City of St. Petersburg NWWRF Wet Weather Capacity Upgrades
Partial Control Estimate #3 for Project 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements

Sub-Project #1 Effluent Disposal Pumps: Diesel Driven Effluent Disposal Pump Procurement Only

Sub-Project #2 Well Acidization: Acidization of IW-1 and IW-2

Sub-Project #3 Pump and Piping Install: Install Pumps, Electrical Systems, Piping, Valves and Accessories

Sub-Project #4 Instrumentation & Controls: Instrumentation and Controls for the Effluent Disposal System

Dear Mr. Prayman & Mr. Ryle,

PCL Construction, Inc. has prepared a Partial Control Estimate #3 for Project 17053-111 Reclaimed Water and Injection Wells Improvement, which is designed to provide additional effluent disposal capacity and, in conjunction with Project 17052-111 NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements, will allow for improved filtration treatment capacity along with the additional effluent disposal capacity at the City of St. Petersburg’s NWWRF.

Based on the 100% design information that is currently available, this Partial Control Estimate #3 is based on discussions and design data developed between CH2M, the City of St. Petersburg and PCL staff in order to determine an anticipated scope for the NWWRF wet weather capacity upgrades project. PCL has included all design, preliminary, and conceptual level information that was utilized to prepare this partial control estimate.
This Partial Control Estimate #3 is for the installation of the instrumentation and controls for the effluent disposal system at the City of St. Petersburg NWWRF.

A future Control Estimate is anticipated to encompass the remaining scope of work that is non-critical to the functional completion of the project. This is anticipated to include but is not limited to: Additional Sitework, Paint and Coatings, Landscape, and any other items necessary to provide a functional and integrated Effluent Disposal Pump Station System.

The details for the installation of the instrumentation and controls for the effluent disposal system are defined below:

**Injection Wells Improvements**

**SCOPE:**
The scope of this project is comprised of items identified and provided by the 100% design drawings developed by CH2M, PCL and City of St. Petersburg staff and will provide a Temporary Effluent Disposal Pump Station System to the existing plant infrastructure that will potentially help add disposal capacity during the upcoming wet weather season.

**Instrumentation and Controls:** Furnish and Install all instrumentation and controls work to provide a complete functional system as shown on the 100% drawings provided by CH2M.

**SCHEDULE:**
Project 17053-111 NWWRF Injection Wells Improvements Anticipated Construction Timeframe:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Council Approval (I &amp; C)</td>
<td>August 10th, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue Subcontract</td>
<td>August 11th, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Fabrication and Delivery (2-4 weeks)</td>
<td>August 11th, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin Instrumentation &amp; Control Work</td>
<td>August 12th, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piping &amp; Pump Installation (4-5 weeks)</td>
<td>August 18th, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated Completion</td>
<td>August 25th, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantial Completion to be at a later date</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SUMMARY OF PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #3 PRICING:

**Sub-Project #1 Effluent Disposal Pumps: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements — Diesel Driven Effluent Disposal Pump Procurement Only (PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$740,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$37,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$46,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Sub-Project #1</strong></td>
<td><strong>$823,815</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Project #2 Well Acidization: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements — Acidization of IW–1 and IW–2 (PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$274,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$16,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Sub-Project #2</strong></td>
<td><strong>$291,298</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Project #3 Pump and Piping Install: Install Pumps, Electrical Systems, Concrete/Stone Pads, Piping, Valves and Accessories (PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$727,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$72,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$47,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Sub-Project #2</strong></td>
<td><strong>$847,842</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Project #4 Injection Wells Improvements: Instrumentation & Controls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$116,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$5,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$7,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Sub-Project #4</strong></td>
<td><strong>$129,108</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respectfully,

Hewitt
Area Manager - Water Infrastructure Group

Total Partial Control Estimate for Project No. 17053-111: $2,092,063

Previously Approved Control Estimate #1: <$1,115,113>

Previously Approved Control Estimate #2: <$847,842>

Total Request for Funding Partial Control Estimate #3: $129,108

This Partial Control Estimate #3 is based upon the attached clarifications and assumptions document, attached billable rate matrix, attached drawings and/or sketches provided by the applicable engineer, and preliminary field investigations conducted by PCL personnel.

If you have any questions regarding this Partial Control Estimate #3 or need additional information, please feel free to contact me at (727) 224-1933.

Respectfully,

Richard Hewitt
Area Manager - Water Infrastructure Group

Cc: Tom O'Donnell
    Mauricio Ramos
    Craig Yabukow
    Shawn Britton
    Andrew FranoSz

Attachments: Budget Estimate
             Clarifications and Assumptions Document
             Billable Rate Matrix
             Drawings and Specification used for Pricing

PCL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
3810 Northdale Blvd. Suite 160 Tampa, FL 33624
813.425.1440 Phone ~ 813.961.1575 Fax
An Equal Opportunity Employer
Minorities and Women Encouraged
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Instrumentation &amp; Controls</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Instrumentation &amp; Controls</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>116,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I&amp;C Subcontract for Injection Wells Package</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>116,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Instrumentation &amp; Controls</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>116,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Price of Work and Material</td>
<td>$115,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Contingency 5%</td>
<td>$5,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (5%)</td>
<td>$7,308</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Total for 17053-111 SP04</td>
<td>$129,108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Clarifications and Assumptions:

The following clarifications and assumptions are based on information currently available from the City of St Petersburg and their representative consultants. These clarifications and assumptions are applicable to the Partial Control Estimate #3 and for the NWVRF Wet Weather Capacity Improvement Projects (17053-111 Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements):

1. The price assumes that construction and testing water, and electrical consumption during construction, startup and testing through commissioning is assumed to be available for PCL's use at no cost. The price excludes all costs for initial fill of chemicals, fuel, and expendables for startup, testing and commissioning.

2. PCL is not responsible for any Geotechnical Subsurface reports or studies, none have been provided by the City or its Consultants at this time. With no geotechnical information having been provided at this time, it is assumed that the native materials will be suitable for pipe bedding and backfill without processing. It is also assumed that the native material is suitable for all structural backfill.

3. Any costs associated with unidentified subsurface conditions encountered during the course of the construction will not be the liability of PCL and will be reflected in future Control Estimates.

4. Pipe pricing is based on discussions and preliminary drawings provided by the city and its associated consultants. It is understood that the piping configuration may change and this will reflect in future Control Estimates.

5. Any additional changes in the scope of work that may occur by owner/consultant request from the current 100% design progressing to final construction completion will be reflected in future Control Estimates.

6. PCL will provide the City of St Petersburg a copy of our general Site Safety Program.

7. Both parties shall agree to comply with the mutual parties safety programs.

8. PCL will comply with all site security requirements that are in place.

9. PCL will not be responsible for EPA Storm Water maintenance.

10. It is assumed that site dewatering can be discharged to the existing onsite storm water management structure.

11. The price includes completion of application for all applicable permits with the exception of the Storm Water Pollution permit, NPDES, and Wastewater Discharge permit. All actual permit fees or required inspections will be billed.
12. PCL will only be responsible for site clean-up and restoration in the immediate work areas and storage areas that will be used for the completion of the scope of work described.

13. This control estimate does not include any budgetary pricing for hazardous materials. Any hazardous materials encountered at the site of the Projects will be governed by section 10.3 of the A201 – 2007 AIA Contract Document.

14. It is assumed that plant access roads can be shut down for installation of construction work with reasonable notice to the owner.

15. PCL assumes that the shutdown of the existing filter #5 is acceptable for construction of the effluent filter connection structure with reasonable notice to the owner.

16. PCL assumes that the shutdown and bypass of the existing fine screening facility is acceptable for construction of the influent filter connection with reasonable notice to the owner.

17. Any potential contractors that are currently onsite or that will be onsite, are to be instructed by the city to not store any and/or move all material, equipment and temporary facilities from the areas of work required for the Wet Weather Capacity Improvements Projects.

18. If the City or any of its subcontractors plan shutdowns and/or closures they will notify PCL prior to that scope of work commencing.

19. The following scope of work and any associated management costs are not included in this Control Estimate:

   - Start-up and Commissioning
   - Service water
   - Paint and Coatings
   - Landscaping
   - Any Additional Changes in Scope by Owner/Consultant request

This scope of work will be provided in future Control Estimates.
All construction management, labor and equipment will be charged at the billable rates per this Rate Matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Salary Management Staff</th>
<th>Billable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager</td>
<td>$137 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>$125 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Project Superintendent</td>
<td>$127 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Superintendent</td>
<td>$114 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Engineer</td>
<td>$102 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary Foreman</td>
<td>$97 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Estimator</td>
<td>$115 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduler</td>
<td>$100 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIM Manager</td>
<td>$115 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D Staff Modeler</td>
<td>$83 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSE Manager</td>
<td>$112 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Diversity Manager</td>
<td>$74 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>$48 /hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Hourly Craft Labor Staff</th>
<th>Billable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Foreman</td>
<td>$86 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe Layer</td>
<td>$64 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled Laborer</td>
<td>$40 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unskilled Laborer</td>
<td>$33 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreman Carpenter</td>
<td>$52 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>$45 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metalworker</td>
<td>$46 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Buster (Reinforcement Steel)</td>
<td>$43 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Finisher</td>
<td>$47 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forklift Operator</td>
<td>$38 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backhoe Operator</td>
<td>$52 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavator/Dozer Operator</td>
<td>$65 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane Operator</td>
<td>$72 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigger</td>
<td>$69 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck (Tandem) Operator</td>
<td>$44 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanic (Tools/Equipment)</td>
<td>$47 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welder</td>
<td>$79 /hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All construction management, labor and equipment will be charged at the billable rates per this Rate Matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Equipment</th>
<th>Billable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>349 Excavator</td>
<td>$276.28/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>329 Excavator</td>
<td>$165.99/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320 Excavator</td>
<td>$153.55/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini Excavator</td>
<td>$28.32/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310J Backhoe</td>
<td>$42.42/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950K Loader</td>
<td>$109.62/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>938K Loader</td>
<td>$94.35/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>928K Loader</td>
<td>$70.60/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 Dozer</td>
<td>$82.60/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60T Crane</td>
<td>$185.42/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90T Crane</td>
<td>$341.15/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12K Forklift</td>
<td>$97.02/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10K Forklift</td>
<td>$70.10/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6K Forklift</td>
<td>$64.50/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60' Aerial Boom Lift</td>
<td>$57.43/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40' Aerial Boom Lift</td>
<td>$43.71/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180cfm Compressor</td>
<td>$18.34/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable Air Compressor</td>
<td>$11.50/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable Generator</td>
<td>$6.15/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14&quot; Gas Demo Saw</td>
<td>$3.29/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&quot; Submersible Pump</td>
<td>$4.48/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&quot; Trash Pump</td>
<td>$9.42/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8&quot; Deawtering Pump</td>
<td>$35.75/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900# Plate Tamp</td>
<td>$15.15/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trench Compactor</td>
<td>$50.33/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 X 2 Pickup</td>
<td>$14.17/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Trailer</td>
<td>$4.84/hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GENERAL YARD PIPING AND UTILITIES NOTES:

1. EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES DESIGNATED FROM AERIALS. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD VERIFY DEPTH AND LOCATION PRIOR TO ERECTION. PROTECT ALL EXISTING UTILITIES DURING CONSTRUCTION.

2. FOR PipiNg FLOW SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION, SEE DATA SHEET.

3. EXISTING AND EQUIPMENT ARE SHOWN EXCAVATED AND/OR OPENED AND FOR TIMES AND ERECTION ARE SHOWN EXCAVATED.

4. UNLESS OTHERWISE DESIGNATED ALL PIPES SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM 48 IN. COVER.

5. ALL PIPES SHOULDN'T HAVE A WIND/SPECIAL UPGRADED.

6. FOR TABLED DATA SHEET, USE FIELD AWAY OF MAPS.

7. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONDUCT SURVEY OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND SYSTEMS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ANY FIELD ADJUSTMENT SHALL BE APPROVED BY ENGINEER.

8. CONTRACTOR SHALL TRAVERSE WITH ANY CONFLICTS UNCOVERED DURING CONSTRUCTION, ANY FIELD ADJUSTMENT SHALL BE APPROVED BY ENGINEER.

9. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 36 HOURS NOTICE TO THE UTILITIES ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXISTING PIPE TO BE ABANDONED OR REMOVED.

10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE CAUTION IN AREAS OF EXISTING UTILITIES AND SHALL PROTECT AT LEAST 48 INCHES OF EXISTING MAIN PIPE PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR REPAIRING ANY UTILITIES DAMAGED AS A RESULT OF FIELD ACTIVITY.

11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE PROPERTY OWNER. ANY TIME IN TRANSIT TO THE PROJECT SITE.

12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ALL EXISTING UTILITIES DURING CONSTRUCTION.

13. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 36 HOURS NOTICE TO THE UTILITIES ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXISTING PIPE TO BE ABANDONED OR REMOVED.

14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL STATE. COUNTY, AND LOCAL ORDINANCES AND OBTAIN ANY PERMITS OR CERTIFICATIONS REQUIRED.

15. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CLEARLY NAME THE LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE HIGH AND LOW.

16. CONTRACTOR SHALL CLEARLY NAME THE LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE BY NATURAL DRAINAGE OR VEHICULAR TRAFFIC. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CLEARLY NAME THE LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE BY NATURAL DRAINAGE OR VEHICULAR TRAFFIC. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ENTIRE DURATION OF THE PROJECT.

17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ALL EXISTING UTILITIES DURING CONSTRUCTION.

18. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 36 HOURS NOTICE TO THE UTILITIES ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXISTING PIPE TO BE ABANDONED OR REMOVED.

19. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 36 HOURS NOTICE TO THE UTILITIES ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXISTING PIPE TO BE ABANDONED OR REMOVED.

20. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 36 HOURS NOTICE TO THE UTILITIES ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXISTING PIPE TO BE ABANDONED OR REMOVED.

21. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 36 HOURS NOTICE TO THE UTILITIES ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXISTING PIPE TO BE ABANDONED OR REMOVED.

22. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 36 HOURS NOTICE TO THE UTILITIES ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXISTING PIPE TO BE ABANDONED OR REMOVED.

23. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 36 HOURS NOTICE TO THE UTILITIES ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXISTING PIPE TO BE ABANDONED OR REMOVED.

24. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 36 HOURS NOTICE TO THE UTILITIES ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXISTING PIPE TO BE ABANDONED OR REMOVED.

25. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 36 HOURS NOTICE TO THE UTILITIES ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXISTING PIPE TO BE ABANDONED OR REMOVED.

26. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 36 HOURS NOTICE TO THE UTILITIES ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXISTING PIPE TO BE ABANDONED OR REMOVED.


**DESIGN CRITERIA**

2. REFER TO THE DRAWINGS FOR ADDITIONAL AND SPECIFIC STRUCTURE LOCATIONS AND REQUIREMENTS.
3. ALL LOADS SHOWN ARE SERVICE LEVEL LOADS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY NOTED OTHERWISE.
4. DEAD LOADS:
   - LIV LOADS:

**CONCRETE REINFORCEMENT**

1. **REINFORCING STEEL**:
   - ASTM A615 Grade 60
   - *Table of reinforcing steel is provided with load factors."

2. **FABRICATION AND PLACEMENT OF REINFORCING STEEL**:
   - beim in accordance with C40.3-A 1975 (Masonry Code 1973) /ACI (American Concrete Institute) 318-75 /AAMA 211.1.2

3. **CONCRETE COVER FOR REINFORCING**:
   - Unless otherwise noted, concrete cover shall be: 4" for non-corroding reinforcing steel.

4. **IN DECK REINFORCEMENT**:
   - Unless otherwise noted, concrete cover for reinforcing steel shown in deck shall be: 3" for non-corroding reinforcing steel.

5. **TOP BARS**:
   - Top bars shall be defined as any single bar placed such that the factor of the concrete is less than 1.0, except for horizontal work done in concrete top.)

6. **TOP BAR LENGTH**:
   - Top bar length shall be equal to 6 inches of compacted aggregate fill.

7. **CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE**:
   - 28-DAY COMPRESSION STRENGTH:

**CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE**

1. **IN DECK REINFORCEMENT**:
   - Check reinforcing steel and load factors is provided with load factors."

2. **CASTED JOINTS DEBOUNCED AND SUCCULENT SUBGRADE LOCATION**:
   - Check reinforcing steel and load factors is provided with load factors."

3. **REINFORCING STEEL**:
   - For steel and galvanized reinforcing steel, the bar size is indicated in the bar size.

4. **CASTED JOINTS AND EMBEDDED LENGTHS**:
   - Casted joints shall be defined as any single bar placed such that the factor of the concrete is less than 1.0.

5. **TOP BAR LENGTH**:
   - Top bar length shall be equal to 6 inches of compacted aggregate fill.

6. **CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE**:
   - 28-DAY COMPRESSION STRENGTH:

**GENERAL INFORMATION**

1. FOR INFORMATION NOT LISTED, SEE AIA TASK GROUPS AND ADDITIONAL PUBLICATIONS FOR SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS.
2. REFER TO THE DRAWINGS FOR ADDITIONAL AND SPECIFIC STRUCTURE LOCATIONS AND REQUIREMENTS.
3. ALL LOADS SHOWN ARE SERVICE LEVEL LOADS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY NOTED OTHERWISE.
4. DEAD LOADS:
   - LIV LOADS:

**STRUCTURAL STEEL AND METAL FABRICATIONS**

1. **CONCRETE REINFORCEMENT**:
   - 28-DAY COMPRESSION STRENGTH:

2. **STRUCTURAL STEEL**:
   - Structural steel shall conform to the following ASTM Standards:
     - A36
     - A572 Gr. 50
     - A514 Gr. 70
     - A490
     - A500
     - A500B
     - A588
     - A501
     - A367

3. **METAL FABRICATIONS**:
   - Metal fabrication shall conform to the following ASTM Standards:
     - A500
     - A500B
     - A500C
     - A500D
     - A500E
     - A500F
     - A500G
     - A500H
     - A500I
     - A500J

4. **CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE**:
   - 28-DAY COMPRESSION STRENGTH:

5. **GENERALLY**:
   - All structural steel and metal fabrication shall conform to the following ASTM Standards:
     - A36
     - A572 Gr. 50
     - A514 Gr. 70
     - A490
     - A500
     - A500B
     - A500C
     - A500D
     - A500E
     - A500F
     - A500G
     - A500H
     - A500I
     - A500J

6. **GENERAL INFORMATION**:
   - For information not listed, see AIA Task Groups and Additional Publications for specific requirements.
**INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION LETTERS TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LETTER</th>
<th>PROCESS LETTER</th>
<th>MODIFIER</th>
<th>MODIFIER</th>
<th>MODIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>ANALOG</td>
<td>CURRENT</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>ALARM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>DIGITAL</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>CONTROLLER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>VOLTAGE</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>LEAKAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>FLOW RATE</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X-RAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>TEMPERATURE</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>THERMISTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>TEMPERATURE</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>THERMISTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>VOLUME</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>VACUUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>PRESSURE</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>WIPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>WEIGHT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X-RAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>VOLUME</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y-RAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>POSITION</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Z-RAY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DIGITAL SYSTEM INTERFACES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYMBOL</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>ANALOG INPUT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>ANALOG OUTPUT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>DISCRETE INPUT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>DISCRETE OUTPUT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GENERAL NOTES**

1. COMPONENTS AND PANELS DRAWN WITH A SINGLE DESCRIPTION IS AN ANDE TO BE PROPOSED AS PART OF A PACKAGE SYSTEM.
2. COMPONENTS AND PANELS DRAWN WITH A DOUBLE DESCRIPTION IS TO BE PROVIDED AS PART OF A PACKAGE SYSTEM.
3. THIS IS A STANDARD LEGEND. THEREFORE, NOT ALL OF THIS INFORMATION MAY BE USED ON THE PROJECT.

**TEMPORARY PUMPING TO IW-3**

**INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL LEGEND - SHEET 1**

**ABBREVIATIONS & LETTER SYMBOLS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LETTER</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>ANALOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>DIGITAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>CONTROLLER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>DISPLAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>ERROR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>FUNCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>GENERAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>HARDWARE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>INTERFACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>JOINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>KEYPAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>LIMITER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>MEMORY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>NETWORK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>OPERATOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>PLATFORM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>QUALITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>RELAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>SOFTWARE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>TERMINAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>UNIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>VOLUME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>WEIGTH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X-RAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y-RAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Z-RAY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LINE LEGEND**

- **PRIMARY PROCESS** (GOVERNED CONVENTIONAL) |
- **SECONDARY PROCESS** (GOVERNED CONVENTIONAL) |
- **ALTERNATE FLOW STREAM** |
- **TOTAL OF 2 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 3 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 4 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 5 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 6 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 7 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 8 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 9 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 10 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 11 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 12 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 13 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 14 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 15 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 16 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 17 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 18 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 19 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 20 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 21 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 22 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 23 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 24 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 25 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 26 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 27 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 28 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 29 SIGNALS** |
- **TOTAL OF 30 SIGNALS** |

**SELF CONTAINED VALVE & EQUIPMENT TAG NUMBERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LETTER</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>ACCESSORY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>BAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>DRIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>EXTRACTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>FILTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>GAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>HEAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>INTERFACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>JUNCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>KEYPAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>LOOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>MANUAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>NEMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>OPERATOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>PLATFORM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>QUALITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>RELAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>SOFTWARE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>TERMINAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>UNIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>VOLUME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>WEIGTH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X-RAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y-RAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Z-RAY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GENERAL INSTRUMENT OR FUNCTIONAL SYMBOLS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRANSJECTORS</th>
<th>ACCESSORY DEVICES</th>
<th>SPECIAL CASES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANALOG</td>
<td>CURRENT</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIGITAL</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOLUME</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLOW RATE</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMPERATURE</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMPERATURE</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOLUME</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEIGHT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOLUME</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSITION</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INTERFACE SYMBOLS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTERFACE</th>
<th>PROCESS INTERFACE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INPUT</td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>SOURCE UNIT NO. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>INTERFACE NO. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>DESTINATION NO. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>SOURCE UNIT NO. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>INTERCHANGE NO. 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CH2M:**

430 WEST CYPRESS STREET
TAMPA, FL 33607
CH2M HILL INTERNATIONAL INC.

**PROJECT #:** 17053-111
GENERAL NOTES
1. PROVIDE ULTRA CONTAINMENT TRAY, MODEL 2321/2350, MANUFACTURED BY ULTRAIECK OR REPROVED, ON CONCRETE PAD. SEE D3312056.
2. OM TO BE VERIFIED BY FUEL SUPPLIER.
3. TERMINATE BALL VALVE AND CONNECT PIPE SUPPORT. SEE D3312056.
4. FILL STATION:" CONTAINMENT TRAY.
5. GRADE 1, FRED ROUTE TANK ELL LINES DOUBLEWALL PIPE ABOVE GROUND PAD ON 6-IN. SURFACE COMPACTED GRANULAR FILL.
6. SAND PIPE ZONE AND BEDDING MATERIAL (HAND-TAMP COMPACTED)
7. PARTIALLY BURIED PIPE TRENCH
8. FROM FENCE 5000 TO 3000 YARD PIPING - PROJECT No. 2000 C.7.
9. VERIFY SCALE IS CORRECT.
10. DWG No. 11263-010 SHEET 1 T-1.
11. MGR N PEREZ
12. DATE DR W. WL CLOUGH DATE COlIC
13. TREN TEST DATE FIED BOOK No. PAGE THRU BLD No. ELC LOCATIOLT 011214137:
14. SUITE 600 TAMPA, FL 336137.
15. ENGINEERING E. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS DEPARTMENT CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG
16. APVTEW FOSTER TENNANT. PE 066302.
UP HOPE ROUTE
IN PARTIALLY BURIED PIPE TRENCH. SEE 1r., 01, 31 Mr HOPE OP
2-SEGMENT ELBOW

PROJECT No. 17053-111
DATE 11-55 2017
VERIFY SCALE 1/1201012
SHEET 11-30-12
MONITORING WELL TYPICAL - PLAN

CONNECT TO EXISTING SANITARY SEWER FIELD VERIFY LOCATION

GENERAL SHEET NOTES
1. ALL FITTINGS, BOLTS AND VALVES ON WELL HEAD SHALL BE SST.
2. FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SEE GENERAL STRUCTURAL NOTES SHEET.
TEMPORARY PUMPING TO IW-3  • DATE MAY 0017  
P&ID  VERIFY SCALE ,.  5.113.PNCri. 
ON-SITE 11.01-3 • Oar....1..4141.. ...

PROVIDE MINIPOWER CENTER PER WELL WITHIN THE INDICATED RAT/NOS AND THE FOLLOWING FEATURES: ENCAPSULATED WINDINGS IN NON-VENTRATF-0. NEW 30. SST ENCLOSURE. PROVIDE FOUR 11/1 BRANCH CIRCUIT.
2 BOND TRANSFORMER NEUTRAL TO NEW GROUND RING AT TRANSFORMER NIGHTING RACK. PROVIDE MATCHING TYPE CIRCUIT BREAKER IN EXISTING PANELBOARD. 4 UC18A MULTI-MODE (12F-M) FIBER OPTIC CABLE.
TYPE "3" SURGE SUPPRESSOR INSTALLATION 2-WIRE INSTRUMENT

TYPE "B" SURGE SUPPRESSOR INSTALLATION 4-WIRE INSTRUMENT

GROUND TEST WELL

TRENCH AND CONDUIT PLACEMENT

TEMPORARY PUMPING TO IW/3 INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL
STANDARD DETAILS.

CH2M
July 21, 2017

Mr. Brejesh Prayman
Mr. Mike Ryle
City of St. Petersburg
MCS, 6th Floor
One 4th St. N
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Re: Construction Manager – City of St. Petersburg NWWRF Wet Weather Capacity Upgrades

Partial Control Estimate #4 for Project 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Project #1 Existing Plant Services:</th>
<th>Existing Filter Media Removal/Replacement &amp; Sound Attenuation for Well Drilling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Project #2 Disk Filtration System:</td>
<td>UG/AG Piping Procurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Project #3 Effluent Filtration Improvements:</td>
<td>UG Piping &amp; Appurtenances Installation, AG Mechanical Piping &amp; Appurtenances Installation, Backwash System, Pump Station &amp; Piping Installation, Filtration System Concrete Structure &amp; Appurtenances Installation, Structural &amp; Miscellaneous Metals Installation, Influent &amp; Effluent Tie-Ins at Existing Filter Structure and Installation of Owner Supplied Disc Filters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Project #4 Effluent Filtration Improvements:</td>
<td>Electrical Work for Effluent Filtration Improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Project #5 Effluent Filtration Improvements:</td>
<td>Instrumentation &amp; Controls for Effluent Filtration Improvements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dear Mr. Prayman & Mr. Ryle,

PCL Construction, Inc. has prepared the Partial Control Estimate #4 for Project 17052-111 NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements. This project is designed to improve filtration treatment capacity and in conjunction with Project 17053-111 Reclaimed Water and Injection Well Improvement, will allow for additional effluent disposal capacity at the City of St. Petersburg’s NWWRF.
Based on the 100% design information that is currently available, this Partial Control Estimate #4 is based on discussions and design data developed between AECOM, the City of St. Petersburg and PCL staff in order to determine an anticipated scope for the NWWRF wet weather capacity upgrades project. PCL has included all design, preliminary and conceptual level information that was utilized to prepare this partial control estimate.

A future Control Estimate is anticipated to encompass the remaining scope of work that will be discussed with the City and AECOM. This remaining scope of work is non-critical to the functional completion of the project and is anticipated to include but is not limited to: Paint and Coatings, Landscape and any other items necessary to provide a functional and integrated Effluent Filtration System.

This Partial Control Estimate #4 for Project 17052-111 includes work related to the scope identified above. The details of the individual components are defined below.

**Effluent Filtration Improvements**

**SCOPE:**
The scope of this project is comprised of items identified and provided by the 100% design drawings developed by AECOM, PCL and City of St. Petersburg staff which will provide an Effluent Disc Filtration System to existing plant infrastructure that will potentially help add filtration and disposal capacity during the upcoming wet weather season.

**Instrumentation and Controls:** Furnish and install all instrumentation and controls work to provide a complete functional system as shown on the 100% drawings provided by AECOM.

**SCHEDULE:**

Project 17052-111 NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements Anticipated Construction Timeframe:

- City Council Approval (I & C) August 10th, 2017.
- Issue Subcontract August 11th, 2017.
- UG Pipe Installation August 8th, 2017.
- Begin Instrumentation & Control Work August 14th, 2017.
- Concrete Installation August 29th, 2017.
- Filter Installation September 8th, 2017.
- Anticipated Completion October 13th, 2017.
- Substantial Completion to be at a later date TBD.
SUMMARY OF PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #4 PRICING:

Sub-Project #1 Existing Plant Services: Existing Filter Media Removal/Replacement & Sound Attenuation for Well Drilling  
(PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$464,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work not performed</td>
<td>($299,463)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price of Remaining Work</td>
<td>$164,623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$9,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Sub-Project #1</td>
<td>$174,501</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-Project #2 Disk Filtration System: UG/AG Piping Procurement  
(PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$1,659,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$99,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Sub-Project #2</td>
<td>$1,759,322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$1,680,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$168,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$110,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Sub-Project #3</td>
<td>$1,959,688</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-Project #4 Effluent Filtration Improvements: Electrical Work for Effluent Filtration Improvements  
(PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price of Work</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager Fee (6%)</td>
<td>$16,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Sub-Project #4</td>
<td>$291,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sub-Project #5 Effluent Filtration Improvements: Instrumentation & Controls

Price of Work $ 179,000
Contingency $ 8,950
Construction Manager Fee (6%) $ 11,277
Total for Sub-Project #5 $ 199,227

Total Partial Control Estimate for Project No. 17052-111: $4,384,238

Previously Approved Control Estimate #1: <$2,251,253>
Previously Approved Control Estimate #2: <$1,642,258>
Previously Approved Control Estimate #3: <$291,500>
Total Request for Funding Partial Control Estimate #4: $199,227

This Partial Control Estimate #4 is based upon the attached clarifications and assumptions document, attached drawings and/or sketches provided by the applicable engineer, attached conceptual sketches and field investigations conducted by PCL personnel.

If you have any questions regarding this Partial Control Estimate #4 or need additional information, please feel free to contact me at (727) 224-1933.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Richard Hewitt
Area Manager – PCL Water Infrastructure Group

Cc: Tom O'Donnell
Mauricio Ramos
Craig Yakubow
Shawn Britton
Andrew Franosz

PCL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
3810 Northdale Blvd. Suite 160 Tampa, FL 33624
813.425.1440 Phone ~ 813.961.1575 Fax
An Equal Opportunity Employer
Minorities and Women Encouraged
Attachments:  
Budget Estimate  
Clarifications and Assumptions Document  
Billable Rate Matrix  
Drawings and Specification used for Pricing
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Subtotal</th>
<th>Contingency 5%</th>
<th>Construction Manager Fee 6%</th>
<th>Total for 17052-111 SP05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Instrumentation &amp; Controls</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I&amp;C Subcontract for Disk Filter Package</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>235,641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Instrumentation &amp; Controls</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>179,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Price of Work and Material: $179,000
Contingency 5%: $8,950
Construction Manager Fee (6%): $11,277
Total for 17052-111 SP05: $199,227
Clarifications and Assumptions:

The following clarifications and assumptions are based on information currently available from the City of St Petersburg and their representative consultants. These clarifications and assumptions are applicable to the provided Partial Control Estimate #4 and for the NWWRF Wet Weather Capacity Improvement Projects (17052-111 Effluent Filter Improvements):

1. The price assumes that construction and testing water, and electrical consumption during construction, startup and testing through commissioning is assumed to be available for PCL’s use at no cost. The price excludes all costs for initial fill of chemicals, fuel, and expendables for startup, testing and commissioning.

2. PCL is not responsible for any Geotechnical Subsurface reports or studies, none have been provided by the City or its Consultants at this time. With no geotechnical information having been provided at this time, it is assumed that the native materials will be suitable for pipe bedding and backfill without processing. It is also assumed that the native material is suitable for all structural backfill.

3. Any costs associated with unidentified subsurface conditions encountered during the course of the construction will not be the liability of PCL and will be reflected in future Control Estimates.

4. Pipe pricing is based on discussions and preliminary drawings provided by the city and its associated consultants. It is understood that the piping configuration may change and this will reflect in future Control Estimates.

5. Any additional changes in the scope of work that may occur by owner/consultant request from the current 100% design progressing to final construction completion will be reflected in future Control Estimates.

6. PCL will provide the City of St Petersburg a copy of our general Site Safety Program.

7. Both parties shall agree to comply with the mutual parties safety programs.

8. PCL will comply with all site security requirements that are in place.

9. PCL will not be responsible for EPA Storm Water maintenance.

10. It is assumed that site dewatering can be discharged to the existing onsite storm water management structure.

11. The price includes completion of application for all applicable permits with the exception of the Storm Water Pollution permit, NPDES, and Wastewater Discharge permit. All actual permit fees or required inspections will be billed.
12. PCL will only be responsible for site clean-up and restoration in the immediate work areas and storage areas that will be used for the completion of the scope of work described.

13. This control estimate does not include any budgetary pricing for hazardous materials. Any hazardous materials encountered at the site of the Projects will be governed by section 10.3 of the A201 – 2007 AIA Contract Document.

14. It is assumed that plant access roads can be shut down for installation of construction work with reasonable notice to the owner.

15. PCL assumes that the shutdown of the existing filter #5 is acceptable for construction of the effluent filter connection structure with reasonable notice to the owner.

16. PCL assumes that the shutdown and bypass of the existing fine screening facility is acceptable for construction of the influent filter connection with reasonable notice to the owner.

17. Any potential contractors that are currently onsite or that will be onsite, are to be instructed by the city to not store any and/or move all material, equipment and temporary facilities from the areas of work required for the Wet Weather Capacity Improvements Projects.

18. If the City or any of its subcontractors plan shutdowns and/or closures they will notify PCL prior to that scope of work commencing.

19. The following scope of work and any associated management costs are not included in this Control Estimate:

- Start-up and Commissioning
- Service water
- Paint and Coatings
- Landscaping
- Any Additional Changes in Scope by Owner/Consultant request

This scope of work will be provided in future Control Estimates.
All construction management, labor and equipment will be charged at the billable rates per this Rate Matrix.

### Description of Salary Management Staff

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Salary Management Staff</th>
<th>Billable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager</td>
<td>$137/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>$125/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Project Superintendent</td>
<td>$127/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Superintendent</td>
<td>$114/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Engineer</td>
<td>$102/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary Foreman</td>
<td>$97/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Estimator</td>
<td>$115/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduler</td>
<td>$100/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIM Manager</td>
<td>$115/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D Staff Modeler</td>
<td>$83/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSE Manager</td>
<td>$112/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Diversity Manager</td>
<td>$74/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>$48/hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Description of Hourly Craft Labor Staff

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Hourly Craft Labor Staff</th>
<th>Billable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Foreman</td>
<td>$86/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe Layer</td>
<td>$64/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled Laborer</td>
<td>$40/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unskilled Laborer</td>
<td>$33/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreman Carpenter</td>
<td>$52/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>$45/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metalworker</td>
<td>$46/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Buster (Reinforcement Steel)</td>
<td>$43/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Finisher</td>
<td>$47/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forklift Operator</td>
<td>$38/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backhoe Operator</td>
<td>$52/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavator/Dozer Operator</td>
<td>$65/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane Operator</td>
<td>$72/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigger</td>
<td>$69/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck (Tandem) Operator</td>
<td>$44/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanic (Tools/Equipment)</td>
<td>$47/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welder</td>
<td>$79/hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**City of St. Petersburg**  
**NWWRF Wet Weather Capacity Upgrades**  
PCL Construction, Inc.  

***Construction Phase***

All construction management, labor and equipment will be charged at the billable rates per this Rate Matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Equipment</th>
<th>Billable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>349 Excavator</td>
<td>$276.28 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>329 Excavator</td>
<td>$165.99 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320 Excavator</td>
<td>$153.55 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini Excavator</td>
<td>$28.32 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310J Backhoe</td>
<td>$42.42 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950K Loader</td>
<td>$109.62 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>938K Loader</td>
<td>$94.35 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>928K Loader</td>
<td>$70.60 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 Dozer</td>
<td>$82.60 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60T Crane</td>
<td>$185.42 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90T Crane</td>
<td>$341.15 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12K Forklift</td>
<td>$97.02 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10K Forklift</td>
<td>$70.10 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9K Forklift</td>
<td>$64.50 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6K Forklift</td>
<td>$57.43 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60' Aerial Boom Lift</td>
<td>$43.71 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40' Aerial Boom Lift</td>
<td>$70.61 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180cfm Compressor</td>
<td>$18.34 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable Air Compressor</td>
<td>$11.50 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable Generator</td>
<td>$6.15 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14&quot; Gas Demo Saw</td>
<td>$3.29 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&quot; Submersible Pump</td>
<td>$4.48 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&quot; Trash Pump</td>
<td>$9.42 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8&quot; Deawtering Pump</td>
<td>$35.75 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900# Plate Tamp</td>
<td>$15.15 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trench Compactor</td>
<td>$50.33 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 X 2 Pickup</td>
<td>$14.17 /hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Trailer</td>
<td>$4.84 /hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA
NORTHWEST WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY
EFFLUENT FILTER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT
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1. ENGINEER OF RECORD
1. GENERAL NOTES:

The project area is subject to state and local environmental regulations. All work must be performed in accordance with these regulations and the specifications.

2. PROPERTY OWNERS SHALL BE NOTIFIED PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM ALL WORK IN A MANNER THAT WILL NOT DAMAGE EXISTING UTILITIES.

4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPARE ALL PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS TO THE ACTUAL FIELD CONDITIONS AND NOTIFY THE Owner IMMEDIATELY OF ANY UNRESOLVED ISSUES.

5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

13. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

15. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

16. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

18. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

19. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

20. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT AND PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES.

CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD LOCATE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.
NORTHWEST WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY
EFFLUENT FILTER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT

DISK FILTER PLAN

ENGINEERING & CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS DEPARTMENT
CITY OF O. PETERSBURG

DATE: 02/26/17
SCALE: SEE SHEET SCALE

17052-10
STAIRS AND PLATFORM NOTES:
1. COLLECTIVE DESIGN ENGAGE A QUALIFIED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER, CURRENTLY LICENSED IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA, TO DESIGN THE STAIRS, HANDRAILS, PLATFORMS, AND ALL RELATED CONNECTIONS OR ANCHORAGE TO THE CONCRETE SLAB FOUNDATION. SIGNED AND SEALED CALCULATIONS AND DRAWINGS SHALL BE SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW.
2. STRUCTURAL PERFORMANCE OF STAIRS, STAIRS AND PLATFORMS SHALL WITHSTAND THE EFFECTS OF LOADS AND STRESSES WITHIN LIMTS AND UNDER CONDITIONS SPECIFIED IN ANSI A3-3.3 (CURRENT EDITION).
3. STRUCTURAL PERFORMANCE OF PLATFORMS. THE WALKWAY SHALL WITHSTAND THE EFFECTS OF GRAVITY LOADS AND THE FOLLOWING LOADS AND STRESSES WITHIN LIMITS AND UNDER CONDITIONS INDICATED:
   a) UNIFORM LOAD: 100 LBF/FT2
   b) CONCENTRATED LOAD: 300 LBF APPLIED ON AN AREA OF 4 SQ. IN.
   c) UNIFORM AND CONCENTRATED LOADS MUST NOT BE ADDED TO ACT CONCURRENTLY.
   d) WALKWAY SHALL BE CAPABLE OF WITHSTANDING STRESSES RESULTING FROM FRAILING LOADS IN ADDITION TO LOADS SPECIFIED ABOVE.
   e) THERMAL MOVEMENTS ALLOW FOR THERMAL MOVEMENTS FROM AMOUNT AND SURFACE TEMPERATURE CHANGES ACTING ON EXTERIOR METAL FAIRINGS BY EXTERNAL BOUNDARIES OF INSECT, OVERSTRESSING OF COMPONENTS, FAILURE OF CONNECTIONS, AND OTHER THERMAL EFFECTS.
   f) RANDS SHALL BE DESIGNED TO RESIST THE LOADS INDICATED IN SECTION 160713 OF THE FLORIDA BUILDING CODE (5TH EDITION).
4. ALL ANCHORAGES TO CONCRETE SHALL HAVE A CORROSION RESISTANT COATING.

SECTION: 1 STAIRS AND PLATFORM

FACTORY FINISH
ALUMINUM—MILL FINISH

NOTES:

A BAR GRATING TREAD (NOT REQUIRED) AS REQUIRED
AS REQUIRED
CHECK FOR MOUNTING

SECTION: 2 STAIRS AND PLATFORM

SCALE: NTS

PLATFORM PLANS
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EFFLUENT FILTER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT
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CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG

DATE: 4/17

DRAWN BY:
DATE: 4/17

REMARKS

DESGNED BY: MIAN.
DATE: 4/17

REVISIONS

ITEM
RU
REMARKS

DATE

POWER TRANS/4, 55, 0N

DRAWN BY:
DATE: 4/17

TELEPHONE: VERIZON

LOCATION:

PLATFORM PLANS

NORTHWEST WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY
EFFLUENT FILTER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT
PROJECT NO. 1082-111

ENGINEER: AECOM
DIEG OF RECORD:
DAVID A. WILCOX
PE. No. 34942
ENGINEERING & CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS DEPARTMENT
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG

DATE: 4/17

DRAWN BY:
DATE: 4/17

REMARKS

DESGNED BY: MIAN.
DATE: 4/17

REVISIONS

ITEM
RU
REMARKS

DATE

POWER TRANS/4, 55, 0N

DRAWN BY:
DATE: 4/17

TELEPHONE: VERIZON

LOCATION:
**ELECTRICAL LEGEND**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>WIRE QTY &amp; SIZES</th>
<th>CONDUIT SIZE</th>
<th>PURPOSE OF CIRCUIT</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD01</td>
<td>MAIN ELC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD02</td>
<td>PANEL &quot;105&quot;</td>
<td>ONE FILTER CONTROL PANEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD03</td>
<td>PANEL &quot;73&quot;</td>
<td>ONE FILTER CONTROL PANEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD04</td>
<td>PANEL &quot;9&quot;</td>
<td>DISCHARGE PUMP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD05</td>
<td>PANEL &quot;9&quot;</td>
<td>ADC PUMP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ABBREVIATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD01</td>
<td>CONDUIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD02</td>
<td>PANEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD03</td>
<td>FILTER CONTROL PANEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD04</td>
<td>ADC PUMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD05</td>
<td>DISCHARGE PUMP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LEGEND AND NOTES**

- **REMARKS**
  - WIRE QTY & SIZES
  - CONDUIT SIZE
  - PURPOSE OF CIRCUIT
  - REMARKS

- **ENGINEER OF RECORD**
  - DATE 05/26/77

- **SCALE**
  - SEE BAR SCALE

- **NORTHWEST WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY**
  - EFFLUENT FILTER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT
  - PROJECT NO. 2965-111

- **ED & D INC**
  - P.O. 17005-19

- **ECHBROOK INCORPORATED**
  - ENGINEERING & CONSTRUCTION

- **RIMSO RECLAMATION PROJECT**
  - EFFLUENT FILTER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT
  - PROJECT NO. 2965-111
EXISTING IACCCIA SECTION
EXISTING MCC B SECTION
EXISTING SCADA CABINET

PROPOSED WORK LOCATION
SEE SHEET 17052-2.02

PROPOSED CABLE TRAP (APPROX. LOCATION)

PROPOSED UNDERGROUND CONDUIT ROUTE (APPROX. LOCATION)

EXISTING MCC D SECTION
EXISTING SOOD CABINET
STORM CORRUGATED HOUSE
CIV-VERIZON
TFAFFIC DEPT

POWER DISTRIBUTION

RECLAIMED WATER
WATER

STORM CORRUGATION
SANWARY S

REMARKS

CIV-VERIZON
TELEPHONE

POWER DISTRIBUTION
TOWER TRANSMISSION

BOOK No.
6 W.R.
DATE: 4/18

ELECTRICAL CONTROL DIAGRAMS

NORTHWEST WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY
EFFLUENT FILTER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT
PROJECT NO. 17052-111

DESIGNER: KROGER & KROGER
17052-24

DRAWING NO.
P.E. No. 59480

ENGINEER OF RECORD
WILLIAM WOOD

IMPROVEMENTS DEPARTMENT
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG

DRAWING Sc..E:
DATE: 5/36/17
SEE BAR SCALE

SCALE: 1:100 DRAWING

DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND SEWER
ENGINEERING & CAPITAL
IMPROVEMENTS DIVISION
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG

NO. 17052-111

DRAWING Sc.
P.E. No. 59480

DESIGNER: KROGER & KROGER

ENGINEER OF RECORD
WILLIAM WOOD

IMPROVEMENTS DEPARTMENT
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG
NOTE:
PROVIDE SIMILAR SUN SCREEN FOR THE LIFT STATION CONTROL PANEL
FLAG NOTES

- PROVIDE AND INSTALL NEW PATCH CABLE BETWEEN NEW PD-500 CONTROL PANEL AND PROPOSED MEDIA CONVERTER. PROVIDE CABLE PATCH BETWEEN ETHERNET NETWORK SWITCH AND PD MEDIA CONVERTER WITHIN SP-500/SP-350 PANEL. PROVIDE MEDIA CONVERTER, PHOENIX CONTACT 8T WC EX 1506 MM ST.
- PROVIDE AND INSTALL NEW PATCH CABLE BETWEEN SP-100G MEDIA CONVERTER AND ETHERNET NETWORK SWITCH. PROVIDE AND INSTALL NEW PD-500 CONTROL PANEL AND NEW PATCH PANELS AT SP-500 AND SP-300.
- PROVIDE AND INSTALL NEW PATCH CABLE BETWEEN LCP 50-109 ETHERNET NETWORK SWITCH AND LCP 50-109 ETHERNET NETWORK SWITCH.

LIMITED COMMUNICATION BLOCK DIAGRAM - CONNECTING FILTER PANELS INTO SCADA NETWORK

NOTES:
1. FIBER OPTIC CABLES SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH PATCH CABLES BETWEEN PANELS.
2. FIBER CABLES SHALL BE INSTALLED WITHIN 2-INCH EMT CONDUITS.
3. FIBER OPTIC CABLES SHALL BE INSTALLED WITHIN 2-INCH EMT CONDUITS.
4. FIBER OPTIC CABLES SHALL BE SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 17765 OF THE CONTRACT SPECIFICATIONS.
5. NEW SC/SC FO PATCH PANELS AT SP-500 AND SP-300 PANEL.
6. INSTALL NEW PATCH CABLE BETWEEN NEW FO CONTROL PANEL AND PROPOSED MEDIA CONVERTER.
7. INSTALL NEW PATCH CABLE BETWEEN NEW FO CONTROL PANEL AND PROPOSED MEDIA CONVERTER.
8. INSTALL NEW PATCH CABLE BETWEEN NEW FO CONTROL PANEL AND PROPOSED MEDIA CONVERTER.

REMARKS

- PROVIDE AND INSTALL NEW PATCH CABLE BETWEEN LCP 50-109 ETHERNET NETWORK SWITCH AND LCP 50-109 ETHERNET NETWORK SWITCH.
- PROVIDE AND INSTALL NEW PATCH CABLE BETWEEN LCP 50-109 ETHERNET NETWORK SWITCH AND LCP 50-109 ETHERNET NETWORK SWITCH.
- PROVIDE AND INSTALL NEW PATCH CABLE BETWEEN LCP 50-109 ETHERNET NETWORK SWITCH AND LCP 50-109 ETHERNET NETWORK SWITCH.
NOTES:
1. COMMON SCREEN SHOWS ALL THREE FILTERS AND STATUSES BUT NO CONTROLS.
2. COMMON SCREEN SHOWS ALL THREE FILTERS AND STATUSES BUT NO CONTROLS.
3. CONTROL SETPOINTS ARE THROUGH POPUPS ONLY.
4. NEW IFILTERS SHALL BE ULPED TO CALCULATE THE PERCENTAGE FLOW SPLIT TO THE
   NEW DISC FILTERS; EXISTING IFILTERS (TOTALED) AT PROJECT 1099, CHLORINE CONTACT, SHALL BE
   ULPED AS TOTAL.
5. CALCULATION SHALL BE (T500-107)/FLOW EFFLUENT CHLORINE FLOW (2 FLOW METERS) =
   WAVE SHALL BE CONTROLLED OVER NARY USING PERCENT OPEN AS MAIN SIGNAL, RUNNE,
   POSITION, AND ALARMS SHALL BE PROVIDED. FEEDBACK ON HAND/REMOTE SHALL BE THROUGH
   discrete signals.
6. CLEANING HEADER
7. EFFLUENT FILTER FLOW 1-2 IS CALCULATED THROUGH EFFLUENT CHLORINE FLOW
   (2 FLOW METERS).
8. FILTER FOR EFFECTING EROSION IN PROVISION INTRODUCTION BLOCK SHALL BE 30
   MINUTES. DEADELAND FOR DIFFERENCE IN PERCENTAGE SHALL BE 155.
10. IFILTER INFLUENCE.
11. CONTROL FOR GATE 50-101 SHALL BE MANUAL, SELECTION OPEN OR CLOSE. FLOW
   SPLIT AND PID BLOCK FOR CONTROLING GATE 50-107 SHALL ONLY BE UTILIZED
   WHEN GATE STATUS 50-101 IS OPEN.

ENGINEERING & CAPITAL
NORTHWEST WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY
IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT
PROJECT NO. 17052-111
17052-33
PLC SP-1 COD CONTROL PANEL

Typical of two submersible backwash pumps

Approximate limits of wet well
NOTES:
1. LOCATIONS DEFINED ARE APPROXIMATE.
2. CONNECT BRIDGE BETWEEN FO PATCH PANEL AT SP-500 AND FO PATCH PANEL AT LCP 50-107. CONNECT OTHER END OF SP-500 PATCH PANEL TO MEDIA CONVERTER WITHIN SP-500.
3. ROUTE/CONNECT FIBER PATCH CABLES BETWEEN LCP 50-107 PATCH PANEL AND LCP 50-108 ETHERNET SWITCH.
4. ROUTE/CONNECT FIBER PATCH CABLES BETWEEN LCP 50-108 ETHERNET SWITCH AND LCP 50-109 ETHERNET SWITCH.
5. ROUTE/CONNECT FIBER PATCH CABLES BETWEEN LCP 50-109 ETHERNET SWITCH AND LCP 50-108 ETHERNET SWITCH.
6. PROVIDE/INSTALL FIT 50-107 WITHIN DOGHOUSE MANHOLE. TRANSMITTER TO BE MOUNTED OUTSIDE MANHOLE. PROVIDE WIRE SURGE PROTECTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH SPECIFICATION 11110.
NOTES:
1. LOCATIONS DEFINED ARE APPROXIMATE.
2. INSTRUMENTS ARE PREINSTALLED AND TERMINATED TO THE TERMINAL BOXES AS DEFINED IN THE SUBMITTAL FROM MANUFACTURER.
3. PLEASE SEE SHEETS 1-001 THROUGH 1-003 FOR TERMINAL BLOCK LOCATIONS IN SUBMITTAL.
4. THE FIELD TERMINAL BOX SHALL BE TERMINATED TO THE PLC TERMINAL BLOCKS AS SHOWN IN THE SUBMITTAL.
5. PLEASE SEE SHEETS 1-001 THROUGH 1-003 FOR TERMINAL BLOCK LOCATIONS IN SUBMITTAL.
6. SPARE WIRES SHALL BE NEATLY COILED IN BOTTOM OF THE FILTER CABINET.
7. PRESSURE GUARD MAY BE TERMINATE IN TERMINAL BLOCK 36 BASED ON SUBMITTAL.
A RESOLUTION APPROVING PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #3 FOR WRF NW NEW FILTERS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17052-111; ORACLE NO. 15926) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $291,500 (FOR A TOTAL PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRF NW NEW FILTERS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17052-111; ORACLE NO. 15926) NOT TO EXCEED $4,185,011); APPROVING PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #2 FOR WRF NW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17053-111; ORACLE NO. 15927) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $847,842 (FOR A TOTAL PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRF NW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17053-111; ORACLE NO. 15927) NOT TO EXCEED $1,962,955); AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE THIRD AMENDMENT TO INCORPORATE THE ABOVE REFERENCED PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATES INTO THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA AND PCL CONSTRUCTION INC. DATED APRIL 17, 2017, AS AMENDED, AND MODIFY OTHER NECESSARY SECTIONS OF SUCH CONTRACT; RESCINDING UNENCUMBERED APPROPRIATIONS FROM THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4003), IN THE AMOUNT OF $350,000 FROM THE FAC ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENTS FY17 PROJECT (ORACLE NO. 15807) AND IN THE AMOUNT OF $78,000 FROM THE LAB IMPROVEMENTS FY17 PROJECT (ORACLE NO. 15805); APPROVING SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4003), RESULTING FROM THE ABOVE RESCISSIONS, IN THE AMOUNT OF $291,500 TO THE WRF NW NEW FILTERS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17052-111; ORACLE NO. 15926) AND IN THE AMOUNT OF $136,500 TO THE WRF NW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 17053-111; ORACLE NO. 15927); AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") and PCL Construction, Inc. ("PCL") executed a Construction Manager Contract on April 17, 2017, for PCL to provide preconstruction and construction services for the Projects described in the contract to increase treatment, disposal and capacity to handle peak wastewater flows during wet weather events at the Northwest Water Reclamation Facility; and

WHEREAS, following execution of the contract, the City authorized PCL to (i) provide the preconstruction services in an amount not to exceed $407,175 and (ii) commence work on WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) pursuant to a Partial Control Estimate attached to the contract; and

WHEREAS, on May 18, 2017, City Council approved the First Amendment to the contract, which incorporated Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) and (ii) Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) into the contract; and

WHEREAS, on June 1, 2017, City Council approved the Second Amendment to the contract which incorporated Partial Control Estimate #1 for WRF NW Construction Manager FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17063-111; Oracle No. 15960) for PCL's extended general conditions for a four month duration (May 1 through August 31) and the not to exceed amount for the premiums and costs for bond and insurance into the contract; and

WHEREAS, the Construction Manager Contract dated April 17, 2017, as amended by the First Amendment and Second Amendment (including all the contract documents) is referred to as the "Contract"; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Contract, PCL has submitted (i) Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) and (ii) Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927); and

WHEREAS, the City desires to execute the Third Amendment to the Contract with PCL to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into the Contract and modify other necessary sections of the Contract.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) in an amount not to exceed $291,500 (for a Total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17052-111: NWWRF Effluent Filtration Improvements; Oracle No. 15926) not to exceed $4,185,011) is hereby approved.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Partial Control Estimate #2 for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) in an amount not to exceed $847,842 (for a Total Partial Control Estimate for WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 17053-111: NWRF Reclaimed Water & Injection Wells Improvements; Oracle No. 15927) not to exceed $1,962,953) is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute the Third Amendment to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and PCL Construction Inc. dated April 17, 2017, as amended, and modify other necessary sections of such contract.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the appropriation in the amount of $350,000 in the Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003) from the FAC Energy Efficiency Improvements FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15807) is hereby rescinded:

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the appropriation in the amount of $78,000 in the Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003) from the LAB Improvements FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15805) is hereby rescinded.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there are hereby approved from the unappropriated balance of the Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003), resulting from the above rescissions, the following supplemental appropriations for Fiscal Year 2017:

Water Resources Capital Project Fund (4003)
WRF NW New Filters FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15926) $291,500
WRF NW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Oracle No. 15927) $136,500

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption:

Adopted at a regular session of the City Council held on the 15th day of June, 2017.

Darden Rice, Chair-Councilmember
Presiding Officer of the City Council

ATTEST
Chan Srinivasa, City Clerk
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Awarding three-year contract purchase agreements to BASF Corporation and Polydyne Inc. for polymer products for the Water Resources Department, in an amount not to exceed $1,400,000.

Explanation: The Procurement Department received two proposals for polymer products. The vendors will furnish and deliver various polymer products for the Northeast, Northwest and Southwest Water Reclamation facilities. Due to changing biosolids technologies and polymer blending equipment at the water reclamation facilities, multiple awards are recommended.

The vendors will provide polymer products that meet stringent testing standards for each facility. Polymers are used to thicken and dewater wastewater sludge for hauling and land application. Each reclamation facility currently has qualified, effective polymer products for their operations. As operational needs change throughout the contract term, the vendors will be required to present new products for testing. For each polymer product that is successfully qualified, the City will solicit quotes from the vendors. The product will be awarded to the lowest responsive bidder.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Water Resources Department, recommends for award:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>$1,400,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BASF Corporation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polydyne Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The contractors have met the qualification requirements of RFQ No. 6559, dated June 28, 2017. Contract purchase agreements will be issued effective September 1, 2017, through September 30, 2020, with one two-year renewal option, and will be binding only for actual services rendered. Amounts paid to the vendors pursuant to these agreements shall not exceed a combined total of $1,400,000.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Water Resources Operating Fund (4001), Water Reclamation Facility Divisions (420173, 420177 and 420181).

Attachments: Resolution

Approvals:
A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE PROPOSALS AND APPROVING THE AWARD OF THREE-YEAR BLANKET AGREEMENTS TO BASF CORPORATION AND POLYDYNE INC. IN A COMBINED AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $1,400,000 FOR THE INITIAL TERM FOR THE PURCHASE AND DELIVERY OF POLYMER PRODUCTS FOR THE WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THESE TRANSACTIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City desires the purchase and delivery of various polymer products which help thicken and dewater wastewater sludge for hauling and land application for the Northeast, Northwest and Southwest Water Reclamation Facilities; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department received two proposals for Polymer Products pursuant to RFQ No. 6559 dated June 28, 2017; and

WHEREAS, BASF Corporation ("BASF") and Polydyne Inc. ("Polydyne") have met the specifications, terms and conditions of RFQ No. 6559; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement and Supply Management Department in cooperation with the Water Resources Department recommends approval of the awards to BASF and Polymer for a three-year term ending September 30, 2020; and

WHEREAS, these agreements can be renewed for an additional two-year period with City Council approval.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the proposals are hereby accepted and the award of three-year blanket agreements to BASF Corporation and Polydyne Inc. in a combined amount not to exceed $1,400,000 for the purchase and delivery of polymer products for the Water Resources Department are hereby approved.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate these transactions.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

City Attorney (designee)
00336656
TO: Members of City Council

DATE: August 7, 2017

COUNCIL DATE: August 24, 2017

RE: Referral to the Public Services & Infrastructure Committee
Possible Drone Regulations

ACTION DESIRED:
Respectfully request City Council refer to the Public Services and Infrastructure Committee a discussion regarding the City’s ability to regulate drones.

Ed Montanari, Council Member
District 3
TO: Members of City Council

DATE: August 8, 2017

COUNCIL DATE: August 24, 2017

RE: Referral to Committee of the Whole – Enhancements to Booker Creek Park

______________________________

ACTION DESIRED:

Respectfully requesting a referral to the Committee of the Whole to discuss enhancements to Booker Creek Park that is on the Weeki Wachee project list. The enhancements to Booker Creek Park would include a basketball court, pickle ball court, fencing and lighting with estimated cost under $100,000.

Amy Foster, Council Member
District 8
TO: Members of City Council

DATE: August 8, 2017

COUNCIL DATE: August 24, 2017

RE: Referral to the Committee of the Whole - Proposal to Fund a Continuing Tree Planting Program using Weeki Wachee Funds

______________________________

ACTION DESIRED:

Requesting a referral to the Committee of the Whole for consideration of a multiyear shade tree planting program along City trails and roadways funded through the Weeki Wachee fund. Initially, the funding assumption in this proposal is $250,000 annually starting in fiscal year 2018.

Karl Nurse, Council Member
District 6
ACTION DESIRED:

Respectfully requesting Administration to provide an update on Commerce Park.

Lisa Wheeler-Bowman, Vice Chair
District 7
TO: Members of City Council

DATE: August 18, 2017

COUNCIL DATE: August 24, 2017

RE: The Callaloo Group

ACTION DESIRED:

Respectfully requesting Administration to provide a report on the selection of The Callaloo Group for the Manhattan Casino.

Lisa Wheeler-Bowman, Vice Chair
District 7
Resolution No. ____

A RESOLUTION DENOUNCING THE PRACTICE OF CONVERSION THERAPY ON MINORS; DISCOURAGING ANY PERSON FROM PERFORMING THE PRACTICE OF CONVERSION THERAPY ON MINORS IN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, no research has found conversion therapy or reparative practices to be effective; and

WHEREAS, the World Psychiatric Association acknowledges the lack of scientific efficacy of treatments that attempt to change sexual orientation and recognizes the adverse effects of such therapies; and

WHEREAS, the American College of Physicians’ 2015 position paper states that conversion therapy is not an effective method in the treatment of an individual’s sexual orientation and actually causes emotional or physical harm particularly to adolescents; and

WHEREAS, conversion therapy has been disapproved by every mainstream mental health organization including the American Psychological Association, the American Psychiatric Association and American Academy of Pediatrics; and

WHEREAS, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration of the United States Department of Health and Human Services issued a report in 2015 concluding that “efforts to change an individual’s sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression is not supported by credible evidence;” and

WHEREAS, research shows that lesbian, gay and bisexual young adults who reported family rejection as minors were eight times more likely to report having attempted suicide, five times more likely to report high levels of depression and more than three times more likely to use illegal drugs in comparison to their peers; and

WHEREAS, for the foregoing reasons, this City Council is opposed to the practice of conversion therapy on minors and discourages any person from performing conversion therapy on minors in the City of St. Petersburg.
NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that this City Council denounces the practice of conversion therapy on minors and discourages any person from performing conversion therapy on minors in the City of St. Petersburg.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

City Attorney (Designee)
00336645
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, AMENDING CHAPTER 28, VEHICLES FOR HIRE TO ADD TRANSPORTATION NETWORK COMPANY VEHICLES AS EXEMPT VEHICLES; DELETING THE REQUIREMENT FOR A PUBLIC VEHICLE DRIVER’S PERMIT AND REFERENCES TO SAID PERMIT; REMOVING SOME REQUIREMENTS FOR VEHICLES FOR HIRE TO MORE CLOSELY ALIGN WITH STATE REQUIREMENTS FOR TRANSPORTATION NETWORK COMPANIES; AMENDING CATEGORIES RELATING TO FEES FOR PUBLIC VEHICLES IN SECTION 12-6(9); AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA DOES ORDAIN:

Section 1. The definition of “Exempt vehicles” in Section 28-1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

*Exempt vehicles* means one of the following:

1. Motor vehicles used exclusively in transporting children to and from schools under contract with school officials.

2. Hearses and ambulances when operated by licensed embalmers, morticians, or ambulance service companies or their agents or employees in this State.

3. Handicab means a vehicle designed, constructed, reconstructed or operated for the transportation of persons with nonemergency conditions where no medical assistance is needed or anticipated en route; or for persons who are unable to comfortably use a standard means of conveyance; or for persons who cannot enter, occupy or exit a vehicle without extensive assistance; or where specialized equipment is used for wheelchair or stretcher service; and where the driver serves as both a driver and attendant to assist in door-to-door or bed-to-bed service. No emergency equipment other than a fire extinguisher may be carried. The use of the term "ambulance" or "ambulatory service" may not be used and no representations may be made that any medical service is available.


5. Public sector buses which are used for the transportation of persons for compensation and which are owned, leased, operated or controlled by a municipal, county or state government, school board or a governmentally owned or managed nonprofit corporation.

6. Exclusive ride-sharing vehicles as defined in F.S. § 341.031.
(7) Shuttle services owned and operated directly by a hotel or motel for transportation limited to registered guests thereof.

(8) Vehicles used exclusively in transporting persons in a sight-seeing capacity with its primary purpose for tours of landmarks.

(9) Transportation network company vehicles as defined in F.S. §627.748.

Section 2. The definition of “Public Vehicle driver’s permit” in Section 28-1 is hereby deleted in its entirety.

Section 3. Section 28-14 of the St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 28-14. - Regulations and standards for public vehicles.

(a) All public vehicles which are regulated by this chapter except nonmotorized vehicles and low speed vehicles shall be equipped with the following:

(1) A rear view mirror and a side view mirror on the driver's side;

(2) A speedometer properly installed, in good working order;

(3) Clean, sanitary interior, free from torn upholstery or floor covering and from damaged or broken seats;

(4) Door hinges and latches in good mechanical working order and doors which operate easily and close securely;

(5) Body, fenders, doors, trim and grill reasonably free from cracks, breaks, and dents that would impair the safety or appearance of the public vehicle;

(6) Glass in the windshield and windows that shall be approved safety non-shatterable glass;

(7) Tires of the size appropriate for the public vehicle and with no mismatched "sized" tires. There shall be no cuts into the tire cord or sidewall area or localized worn spots that expose the ply;

(8) An operational horn with the activating button mounted in the location designated by the vehicle designed and assembled by the vehicle manufacturer;

(9) Seat belts that are available for passengers in all seats except jump seats, spaces designed to accommodate wheelchairs or where the seat belts are not required by law. Seat belts in operating condition and easily accessible by all passengers. For the purpose of this section, seat belts which are placed under the seat or between the lower and upper portions of the seat are deemed not easily accessible;

(10) Standard, operational windshield wipers for the entire front windshield which shall be controlled electronically or by vacuum and operated from the interior of the public vehicle. The wiper blades shall be in such a condition as to make firm contact with the windshield when operational, and shall not be torn or badly worn;
(11) An operational parking brake and an operational primary brake system which acts on all of the vehicle's axles; and

(12) An adequately operating air conditioning/heating system and windshield defrost or defogging system, which controls the temperature of the interior of the vehicle between 68 degrees Fahrenheit to 78 degrees Fahrenheit.

(b) The public vehicle shall be structurally sound and operate with a minimum of noise and vibration, and the driver's vision shall be unobstructed on all four sides of the public vehicle.

(c) There shall be a place provided for the driver's permit and the driver's appropriate valid State driver's license to be prominently displayed.

(d) Additionally, for taxicabs, the items listed below shall be required:

(1) The operator's trade name, monogram or insignia, taxicab number and telephone number shall be permanently affixed upon the metal portion of the outside of each side of the taxicab in letters at least three inches high, painted in a color contrasting to that of the taxicab. The color scheme and insignia shall be provided to the City and must be uniform through each fleet of vehicles. If there are any changes in color scheme, insignia, or cruise light design the City shall be notified within ten days of the change.

(2) A two-way radio or its equivalent shall be installed and operating properly with access to or affiliated with a central dispatch facility.

(3) A taximeter shall be installed and illuminated so as to be easily seen by a passenger sitting in any part of the taxicab.

a. The taximeter will be of such a type and design as will properly and accurately compute and display on its face the charge for distance traveled or the charge for waiting time.

b. The operation of any taxicab with a taximeter which is defective or which does not properly and accurately compute and display on its face the charge for distance traveled or the charge for waiting time shall constitute a violation of this article.

c. The taximeter shall be inspected each time a periodic inspection is made. The taximeter shall be inspected annually by the department of agriculture bureau of weights and measures and their seal affixed to the meter.

d. No taximeter shall be used between sunset and sunrise unless the face thereof shall be illuminated by a light so arranged as to give continuous light upon the taximeter.

e. The taximeter shall be one approved by the State department of agriculture bureau of weights and measures or such other enforcing department of the State.

f. There shall be a signal or other device affixed to the taximeter which indicates whether the taxicab is in use.

(4) Each operator shall have posted inside of each taxicab, in a conspicuous place, the detailed tariff charged or to be charged for transportation; or the tariff must be readily available on any taxicab website or digital application. This tariff shall be printed in such a size as to allow it to be easily readable by persons sitting in the rear seat of the
This tariff shall conform to and be an exact duplicate of the tariff filed with the POD as required by this article.

(53) The name of the driver shall be plainly posted on the inside of the taxicab and it shall also state whether the driver is the owner or lessee of the taxicab.

(64) The taxicab may have a roof identification device or a dashboard mounted identification device visible from the exterior indicating that the vehicle is a taxicab which may include a device to indicate whether the taxicab is available for hire or is vacant.

(75) In addition to any vehicle signs allowed by the sign section of the land development regulations, taxicabs shall be allowed one triangular or one two-sided sign on the roof of the taxicab which shall not exceed two feet in height (as measured from the roof) or one one-sided sign which shall be attached to the trunk or bumper and directed toward vehicles following the taxicab. No sign face shall extend beyond any side of the vehicle and no sign face shall exceed five feet in length. If vehicle or window wraps are used, the trade dress insignia must still be readily visible to the public.

(e) Exempt vehicles are not required to comply with this section.

(f) Non-motorized vehicles are required to comply with the following:

1. Non-motorized vehicles shall be equipped with:
   a. All safety equipment required for vehicles including horn, lights, reflectors and seatbelts, where applicable;
   b. A signaling device, which may be human powered such as a whistle;
   c. A clean, sanitary interior, free from torn upholstery or floor covering and from damaged or broken seats;
   d. Doors which operate easily and close securely and door hinges and latches in good mechanical working order, if the vehicle is designed to have doors; and
   e. Tires of the size appropriate for the vehicle, with no mismatched "sized" tires.

2. Non-motorized vehicles may not be operated on any City sidewalk;

3. Non-motorized vehicles shall comply with posted regulations for stopping and standing. Non-motorized vehicles may not stop or stand in on-street spaces reserved for bus stops and trolley stops;

4. Non-motorized vehicles may use available public parking spaces for stopping or standing but shall comply with posted time requirements and are subject to ticketing for failure to comply with such requirement;

5. There shall be a place provided in the vehicle for the public vehicle driver's permit picture to be displayed;

6. Non-motorized vehicles with passengers, except for pedal buses and horse carriages, may only operate between 9th Avenue South and 9th Avenue North and between 32nd Street and Tampa Bay;
(7) Non-motorized vehicles shall enter into a license agreement with the City prior to transporting passengers;

(8) A non-motorized vehicle shall have no more than one sign on each side of the vehicle, each not more than two square feet and one sign on the rear of the vehicle not more than four square feet;

(9) For pedal buses, the following additional requirements shall be met:
   
a. A public vehicle certificate shall be issued provided the applicant meets all the requirements set forth in this chapter and provides a copy of a current, valid license agreement with the City. The public vehicle certificate shall be visible from the exterior of the pedal bus on the rear of the vehicle. Failure to have a current, valid license agreement shall result in immediate revocation of the public vehicle certificate.

   b. A public vehicle certificate holder shall operate the pedal bus within 30 days of obtaining a public vehicle certificate.

   c. No alcoholic beverages other than beer, wine, hard cider or malt based beverages below 19 percent alcohol may be consumed by passengers on the pedal bus. No persons under the age of 21 are allowed on the pedal bus during a ride where alcohol is or is planned on being consumed.

   d. All pedal buses shall require passengers to execute a waiver, approved by the City, prior to boarding the pedal bus. Licensee shall make available for inspection such executed waivers upon the City's request.

   e. A pedal bus shall require all passengers under age 16 to wear helmets and offer helmets for all other passengers, regardless of age, at no cost.

   f. All pedal buses may only be used on public streets designated with a speed limit of 35 miles per hour or less subject to the following exceptions:

      1. *Special events.* Pedal buses shall not operate within half a mile of any boundary of any event declared to be a special event by a resolution adopted by the City Council during the event and for two hours prior to and two hours after the event. The resolution shall delineate the boundaries within which the special event declaration is to be effective.

      2. The pedal bus shall not operate on December 31 and July 4 between and including 5th Avenue North to 5th Avenue South from Tampa Bay to Interstate I-275 after 5:00 p.m. The POD may increase or decrease the distance and time limitations as determined necessary to have unobstructed pedestrian and vehicular access.

      3. *Tropicana Field Events.* Pedal buses shall not operate between and including 6th Street and 20th Street and Central Avenue to 5th Avenue South for 1.0 hour prior to and 1.0 hour after an event held at Tropicana Field.

      4. *Crossing streets.* Pedal buses are allowed on streets designated with a speed limit of over 35 miles per hour for the sole purpose of crossing such portion
where a 35 miles per hour or less speed zone is designated on both sides of the street. The pedal bus shall obey all State laws with regards to road crossings and travelling upon State and county roads.

5. **Street closures.** Pedal buses are not allowed on streets which have been closed except that if such closure is in association with a parade permit and the pedal bus is an authorized participant in such parade.

   g. A pedal bus shall carry the following insurance at its own expense:

   1. Commercial general liability insurance in an amount of at least $5,000,000.00 per occurrence, with $5,000,000.00 aggregate, and $5,000.00 medical payments coverage. This policy shall include coverage for (i) personal injury or death or property damage or destruction; (ii) participant and passenger liability; (iii) contractual liability under this agreement, and (iv) customers who bring alcohol on the pedal bus.

   2. Automobile liability insurance of $1,000,000.00 combined single limit.

   3. Workers' compensation insurance as required by Florida law and employers' liability insurance in an amount of at least $100,000.00 each accident, $100,000.00 per employee, and $500,000.00 for all diseases.

   h. Pedal buses shall obey all traffic laws and shall not obstruct pedestrian traffic.

   i. No glassware of any kind shall be allowed on the serving area of a pedal bus including but not limited to bottles, receptacles or drinking glasses. Glassware may be allowed to be stored on a pedal bus as long as the glassware is empty, securely stored so as to be inaccessible while the vehicle is in motion, and wrapped in paper, padding, or some other covering to prevent breakage,

   j. A violation of the requirements in this section shall constitute a violation of this Code pursuant to Section 1-7 and may be grounds to revoke a public vehicle certificate.

(g) Low speed vehicles are required to comply with the following:

   (1) Low speed vehicles shall conform to all Federal and State regulations (currently Title CFR Part 571.500 and F.S. ch. 316).

   (2) Low speed vehicles shall have a clean, sanitary interior, free from torn upholstery or floor covering and from damaged or broken seats;

   (3) Low speed vehicles shall have the exterior parts free from cracks, breaks and dents;

   (4) Low speed vehicles shall be structurally sound and operate with a minimum of noise and vibration;

   (5) Low speed vehicles shall comply with posted regulations for stopping and standing and shall not stop or stand in on-street spaces reserved for, or marked as, bus stops and trolley stops, but may use on-street spaces reserved for taxicabs;
Low speed vehicles may use available public parking spaces for stopping or standing but shall comply with posted time requirements and meter charges and are subject to ticketing for failure to comply with such requirements;

There shall be a place provided in the vehicle for the public vehicle driver's permit picture to be displayed which shall be readily visible to occupants;

Examples of the color scheme and insignia shall be provided to the City and must be uniform through each fleet of vehicles. In addition to any vehicle signs allowed by the sign section of the land development regulations, low speed vehicles are allowed to have both of the signs allowed for taxicabs and any sign on the roof of the vehicle may have sign faces up to five feet in length. If vehicle or window wraps are used, the trade dress insignia must still be readily visible to the public.

Low speed vehicles shall comply with all traffic regulations and shall not be allowed on any sidewalk;

Low speed vehicles which may charge a fee shall be regulated as a taxicab but shall not be required to have 24-hour dispatch service, a minimum number of public vehicle certificates, or a taxicab meter.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, City employees shall be allowed to operate low speed vehicles on any sidewalk or in any park provided such operation is necessary in carrying out their official duties.

Additionally for vessels the following shall be required:

1. Each vessel must display a registration number, and be registered as a commercial vessel if required to be so registered by the Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles.

2. All operators must be at least 18 years old.

3. All vessels shall carry and maintain all safety equipment required by the United States Coast Guard safety requirements.

4. All vessels under 26 feet in length shall require all passengers under the age of six to wear a Coast Guard approved personal floatation device.

5. All vessels over 14 feet in length must carry a life ring or other equivalent floatation device.

6. All vessels are required to have working navigation lighting.

Non-public sector buses, limousines, and vans shall operate as a pre-arranged service and shall not solicit "walk up" passengers unless operating pursuant to a written agreement with the ownership or management of the location of the solicitation.

Only a vehicle marked in compliance with this chapter as a taxicab may use the taxi stands.

Section 3. Section 28-15 of the St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended to read as follows:
Sec. 28-15. - Public vehicle certificate requirement.

(a) It shall be unlawful to operate any public vehicle which picks up a new fare within the City limits without a valid certificate affixed to the public vehicle.

(b) There shall be a rebuttable presumption that a public vehicle which does not have a valid certificate affixed to the vehicle is violating this provision.

(c) Each public vehicle shall have permanently affixed to the public vehicle a valid public vehicle certificate prior to each public vehicle beginning a new fare within the City limits. The public vehicle certificate shall be located on the driver's side of the vehicle on the lateral face of the bumper, trunk lid, or rear window and shall be visible from the exterior of the vehicle.

(d) Each certificate shall expire on September 30 and may be renewed upon payment of the prescribed fee prior to expiration.

(e) All public vehicle certificates fees for renewals shall be paid on or before September 30 of each fiscal year. If September 30 falls on a weekend or holiday, the renewal fee is due and payable on or before the first business day following September 30.

(f) For each new public vehicle certificate issued between October 1 and March 31, the full amount of the certificate shall be paid. For each new public vehicle certificate issued on or after April 1, one-half of the total amount of the public vehicle certificate shall be paid. This section does not apply to temporary 14-day certificates as set forth in this chapter.

(g) Upon the cancellation or lapse of any policy of insurance as required by this article, the certificate issued pursuant to this article shall be immediately revoked unless, before the expiration date of the policy of insurance, another policy of insurance containing all the requirements of the original policy of insurance is obtained. However, any holder of a certificate may make application to the POD for a voluntary suspension of the certificate for a term not to exceed six months and not to extend beyond the certificate term. The POD, in granting a voluntary suspension of a certificate, shall require the holder of the certificate to surrender possession of the certificate to the POD, but the surrender of possession of the certificate shall not be construed to be a cancellation thereof unless the holder of the certificate shall fail to file with the POD a policy of insurance before the expiration date of the suspension period. During the time of the voluntary suspension of the certificate, the operator shall not be required to maintain the policy of insurance as required by this article. A public vehicle certificate may be transferred during the voluntary suspension period.

(h) The holder of any public vehicle certificate may assign the certificate to any person or any vehicle otherwise qualified under this article, however, a transfer fee of $25.00 must be paid to the City and the appropriate transfer application must be filed with the City before each certificate may be transferred. The person or vehicle that the certificate is to be transferred to must meet all the requirements for the issuance of a public vehicle certificate. The holder shall not transfer the certificate to any other vehicle without filing a transfer application and making payment of the transfer fee of $25.00 per certificate transferred. Each vehicle
receiving a transferred certificate must meet all the requirements for the issuance of public vehicle certification.

(i) The applicant for a certificate required by this section shall make a notarized application therefor to the POD upon application blanks to be furnished by the POD, which application shall contain, but not be limited to, the following information:

1. The owner of the vehicle and, if not owned by the applicant, from whom the vehicle is leased or rented;
2. The make and model of the vehicle and the year of its manufacture, together with the serial number of the vehicle and the seating capacity thereof;
3. The State license plate number of the motor vehicle;
4. If the owner of the vehicle is a corporation, the officers thereof;
5. If the owner of the vehicle is a partnership, the name and residence of each partner;
6. The principal business location of the owner of the vehicle;
7. A detailed rate and fare schedule to be charged for the vehicle, if applicable;
8. Whether the vehicle is to be operated as a taxicab, van, limousine/car service, low speed vehicle, vessel or non-motorized vehicle; and
9. If the vehicle is a taxicab, taxicab parent company information including: name, address, and telephone number,
   a. Name, address, and phone number;
   b. Color scheme;
   c. Insignia design; and
   d. Cruising light design.

(j) In addition to the above required application information, the applicant shall:

1. State, declare and agree that the applicant will comply with all of the requirements of this chapter and that for a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter, the POD shall be at liberty to cancel and withdraw the certificate and terminate the right of the person to use the streets of the City to operate a public vehicle, upon notice and a reasonable opportunity to be heard regarding such proposed action;
2. Agree to maintain and keep in workable condition one vehicle for each certificate;
3. Include an attached notarized statement from the applicant's mechanic or from a licensed automotive garage or a mechanic accepted by the City, certifying that the vehicle meets the minimum standards contained within this chapter and applicable State law. Low speed vehicles shall provide to the POD a copy of the certificate of title and registration from the State and any other document deemed necessary by the POD to show that the vehicle is a low speed vehicle including, but not limited to, a State approved inspection sheet;
(4) State, declare and agree that the applicant and all employees will service all areas of the City. Non-motorized vehicles, vessels, and low speed vehicles are not required to comply with this provision; and

(5) If a taxicab, include an attached notarized statement from the owner of the taxicab that the owner will provide 24-hour radio dispatch service or an equivalent of radio dispatch.

(k) Before a certificate required by this article shall be issued by the POD, the applicant for a certificate of the public vehicle shall conform to the following requirements:

(1) Pay to the City the administrative certificate fee for each public vehicle certificate as set forth in chapter 12.

(2) File with the POD satisfactory evidence of holding a motor vehicle liability insurance policy insuring against loss from liability for bodily injury, death, and property damage, with coverage limits not less than the minimum amounts specified by F.S. § 324.032 or such greater minimum amounts as may be required by other provisions of F.S. ch. 324, applicable to the applicant. Notwithstanding the foregoing, non-motorized vehicles, except for pedal buses, shall be required to obtain general liability insurance in the amount of $300,000.00 per occurrence, pedal buses shall provide the insurance limits as set forth in this chapter (currently 28-14(f)), and the City shall be named as an additional insured on the insurance certificate. The policy of insurance shall provide that notice for the cancellation thereof shall be given not less than ten days in advance of the effective date of such cancellation to the POD. The insurance policy shall provide that the City shall receive all notices of any kind (termination, cancellation, renewal, nonrenewal, rate increase, etc.) which shall be sent to the POD.

   a. If the holder of public vehicle certificates has more than one insurance policy for the holder’s public vehicles, the policies shall have the same expiration date. Any exceptions must be approved in writing by the POD.

   b. The holder of a public vehicle certificate shall provide a schedule issued by the insurance carrier of all vehicles covered by the certificate of insurance. A change of the certificate of insurance shall be provided to the POD from the authorized insurance representative when public vehicles are added or deleted from the policy. The City shall be named as a certificate holder on the insurance certificate of all insurance policies maintained to satisfy the requirements of this section.

(3) Provide evidence that the operator shall have at least three public vehicle certificates to operate three taxicabs for public transportation in the City as part of his taxicab business. This provision shall only apply to taxicabs.

(l) Non-motorized vehicles and low speed vehicles are required to comply with this section unless otherwise specifically exempted from a particular provision. All exempt vehicles are not required to comply with this section.

(m) A person who makes application for a public vehicle certificate shall be issued such a certificate upon a showing to the City, in the manner prescribed in this chapter of the Code that the person has met all the requirements for issuance of such a certificate.
(n) The public vehicle certificate is delinquent if not renewed by September 30 of each year. Any public vehicle certificate not renewed is deemed expired. Delinquent fees are subject to a delinquency penalty of ten percent for the month of October plus an additional five percent penalty for each month or portion thereof of delinquency thereafter until paid. The total delinquency penalty shall not exceed 25 percent of the fee due. The payment of this delinquency penalty is not in lieu of other penalties provided by this article. It is no defense of nonpayment of any public vehicle certificate that the business or person was not notified that payment was due to the City. Any holder of a public vehicle certificate for a previous year who does not renew by September 30 of the current year is subject to the delinquency penalty as set forth in this paragraph regardless of the subtraction or addition of new vehicles to their fleet. If a delinquent certificate holder adds or subtracts new vehicles to their fleet, a delinquency penalty shall be assessed on the total vehicles within their fleet at the time of reinstatement.

(o) The holder of any public vehicle certificate may purchase a temporary 14-day certificate for a replacement vehicle should an event occur rendering a vehicle assigned a public vehicle certificate to be disabled. A temporary 14-day certificate fee of $15.00 shall be paid to the POD. The temporary public vehicle certificate application must be filed with the City before a certificate may be issued. The person and/or vehicle that the certificate is to be issued to must meet all the requirements for the issuance of a public vehicle certificate. Proof of the disabled vehicle is required to be provided to the POD. Failure to obtain a public vehicle certificate for the replacement vehicle after the expiration of the 14 days, or failure to obtain an additional temporary certificate, or failure to reinstate the original vehicle assigned a public vehicle certificate shall be a violation of this article. No more than two consecutive, temporary 14-day certificates may be issued for any single public vehicle.

(p) Any holder of a revoked public vehicle certificate must file a new application and pay all applicable fees for reinstatement of the public vehicle certificate.

Section 4. Section 28-27 of the St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 28-27. - Prohibited conduct of public vehicle drivers.

(a) Background check requirements for all public vehicle drivers:

(1) It shall be unlawful for any driver of a public vehicle to drive or operate a public vehicle within the City unless that person has first undergone a background check meeting the requirements of this Chapter. It shall be unlawful for any vehicle for hire parent company to allow a person who has not met the background check requirements of this Chapter to drive or operate a public vehicle for hire within the City, which is owned, leased, or contracted by the parent company.
(2) All drivers must have undergone a local and national criminal background check that includes:
   a. A search of the Multi-State/Multi-Jurisdiction Criminal Records Locator or other similar commercial nationwide database with validation of any records through primary source search; and
   b. A search of the National Sex Offender Public Website maintained by the U.S. Department of Justice; and
   c. A driving history report including driving history for the preceding 3 years.

(3) No driver may be permitted to drive or operate a public vehicle if any of the following conditions exists, as determined by the required background check:
   a. The driving history research report reveals that the individual has had more than three moving violations in the prior 3-year period.
   b. The individual has been convicted, within the past 5 years of:
      i. A felony;
      ii. A misdemeanor for driving under the influence of drugs or alcohol, reckless driving, hit and run, or for fleeing or attempting to elude a law enforcement officer;
      iii. A misdemeanor for a violent offense or sexual battery, or a crime of lewdness or indecent exposure under Chapter 800, Florida Statutes;
   c. Has been convicted within the past 3 years of driving with a suspended or revoked license;
   d. Is a match in the National Sex Offender Public Website maintained by the U.S. Department of Justice; or
   e. Does not possess a valid driver’s license,
   f. Date of conviction means the date of adjudication and imposition of sentence.

(ab) It shall be unlawful for any driver of a public vehicle to:

(1) Violate any of the terms, provisions or directions of this article;

(2) Fail to keep a written or digital manifest of all trips, which documents information as to the time of each trip, the starting and ending point of each trip, together with the number of persons carried. Every driver shall maintain a daily manifest upon which they shall promptly and legibly record the following information: name of driver, vehicle number, year, month, date, and the starting time, place of origin and destination of each trip during a driver's operating period. All manifests shall be subject to inspection by the POD and law enforcement officials. The manifest shall be available for inspection at all times and shall be kept available for a period of not less than six months.

(3) Fail to report promptly all accidents to the Police Department;

(4) Operate any public vehicle when the individual's driver's permit required by this article or state driver's license required by State law has been revoked or during the time when the individual's driver's permit or state driver's license is suspended;
(5) Fail to give a written or digital receipt for fares when requested by passengers. Such
digital receipts must be provided within 24 hours of the end of the fare, or

(6) Operate a vehicle for more than 12 hours of any continuous 24 hour period.

(7) It shall be unlawful for a driver of a public vehicle as defined herein to have located
within the interior of that public vehicle any:
   a. Two-way or similar scanners;
   b. Two-way radio frequency monitors; or
   c. A radar detector.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person to drive or operate a public vehicle for hire within the
City unless that person has a valid, current public vehicle driver's permit. It shall be unlawful
for any vehicle for hire parent company to allow a person to drive or operate a public vehicle
for hire within the City, which is owned, leased, or contracted by the parent company, unless
that person has a valid, current public vehicle driver's permit.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any person to drive a handicap, a low speed vehicle or non-
motorized vehicle for hire within the City unless that individual has obtained from the City a
public vehicle driver's permit.

(d) If a taxicab parent company fails to provide 24-hour dispatch service, or the equivalent
thereof, or to keep a minimum of three certificates to operate three taxicabs for public
transportation in the City, then all certificates issued under that parent company will be
revoked.

(ec) All public vehicle trips dispatched by the public vehicle dispatch service holder shall be
immediately recorded on a dispatch ticket indicating the time, date and origin of each trip
dispatched. All dispatch tickets shall be maintained by the public vehicle certificate holder
for at least 30 days from the date of the dispatch ticket and shall be available for inspection
at all times within that period. All dispatch tickets shall be subject to inspection by the POD
and law enforcement officials. Such dispatch tickets may be kept and provided as digital
records.

(ed) All public vehicle drivers shall comply with all applicable laws regarding non-
discrimination against passengers or potential passengers on the basis of destination, race,
color, national origin, religious belief or affiliation, sex, disability, age, sexual orientation, or
gender identity.

(ee) All public vehicle drivers shall comply with all applicable laws relating to accommodation
of service animals.

(hf) There shall be no additional charges for providing services to persons with disabilities
because of those disabilities.

(ig) Public vehicle drivers shall provide passengers an opportunity to indicate whether they
require a wheelchair-accessible vehicle. If a driver cannot arrange a wheelchair-accessible
service, it shall direct the passenger to an alternate provider of wheelchair-accessible public
vehicle services.
Section 5. Section 28-28 of the St. Petersburg City Code is hereby deleted in its entirety.

Section 6. The “Public vehicle certificate” and “Public vehicle driver background check” categories in Section 12-6(9) of the St. Petersburg City Code are hereby amended to read as follows:

Public vehicle certificate.....200.00
Public vehicle driver background check.....90.00

Section 7. As used in this ordinance, language appearing in struck-through type is language to be deleted from the City Code, and underlined language is language to be added to the City Code, in the section, subsection, or other location where indicated. Language in the City Code not appearing in this ordinance continues in full force and effect unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. Sections of this ordinance that amend the City Code to add new sections or subsections are generally not underlined.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed to be severable. If any provision of this ordinance is determined unconstitutional or otherwise invalid, such determination shall not affect the validity of any other provisions of this ordinance.

Section 9. In the event this Ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective upon the expiration of the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto this Ordinance, in which case this Ordinance shall become effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this Ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

Approved as to form and content:

___________________________
City Attorney or Designee
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG
Energy, Natural Resources and Sustainability Committee
Thursday, August 17, 2017 10:30 a.m.

PRESENT: Chair Rice, Councilmembers Karl Nurse, Ed Montanari, Steve Kornell, and Lisa Wheeler-Bowman (Alternate)

ABSENT: None.

ALSO: Assistant City Attorney Michael Dema, City Finance Director, Anne Fritz, City Administrator Gary Cornwell, Energy Engineer, Lisa Glover-Henderson, Sustainability & Resiliency Manager Sharon Wright, City Clerk Specialist, Paul Traci

Chair Rice called the meeting to order and the following topics were discussed:

Approval of June 15, 2017 Minutes: Passed 4-0

Office of Sustainability & Resiliency Updates
- Plastic Bag Ban Ordinance
  - Additional input coming in
  - Survey under development expected to go out for 2 weeks in Sept.
  - Chamber may also do a survey
- County Vulnerability Work expected to start by end of year
- Tree Plantings – Quotes under development
- SELF/FL SUN – agreements in progress
- PACE – County workshop then expected to pass no later than Oct/Nov

Solar Ordinance Update
Derek Kilborn provided a draft Ordinance Review and Public Outreach Plan and a draft agenda for a public kick-off. The outreach plan includes technical expert stakeholders, developers and builders, and renewable energy advocates. The ordinance review process would also include a DRC workshop and hearings. The draft schedule shows the process completing in December 2017 with an effective date to be determined.

Council Member Montanari asked that pros/cons be presented as part of the outreach plan.

Council Member Nurse asked that the solar access, tree preservation, and shade issues be included in outreach information and ordinance. He also asked that information be provided on energy efficient mortgages. Council Member Nurse also asked staff to consider the inclusion of multi-family (for common areas) and owner-occupied commercial.

Chair Rice asked that the public meetings be recorded and viewable on line after the public meeting.
Energy Efficiency and Retrofits Analysis and Financing Strategies

Lisa Glover-Henderson provided a thorough summary of energy analysis work with USF Clean Energy Research Center, Duke Energy, and many city facility operators. As part of the City Council ask to determine what size program and what funding and financing options there are for Energy Efficiency Upgrades to city facilities.

City staff researched various programs and met in person with the City of Orlando team who has begun a $17.5 million dollar “Better Buildings” program where they implement improvements and use the savings from each city department to pay back the loan and keep any leftover, additional energy savings for their budgets.

In June’s interim ENRS meeting, staff reported that based on the analysis to date, the city could have a $25-$30 million program for all city facilities. Additional work was done in the field and as desktop analysis to select a “Phase 1” program that could possibly be financed at around the $5 million benchmark to prove out the energy work before ramping up to a whole program.

Lisa presented the results of the field and desktop analysis on a first set of facilities. The improvements listed for the facilities would likely apply to most city facilities and can be considered representative of the type of work that would occur in this type of program.

The estimates were based on professional judgment and research, not formal bids. The results show that if the city bonded or received a loan for $3.25 million in projects, the estimated utility savings would be about $326,200 per year. The simple payback would be for 10 years with an IRR of about 13.7%. Operational savings would begin in year 3 with a positive cash flow beyond debt at 8 years. This was based on a 15 year bond with 3.75% interest.

It is important to note that the program will be more successful if city staff are assigned to verify improvements and savings monthly and provide an annual report.

Council Member Montanari asked for more information on grants research.

Sharon mentioned that other financing options in the way of QECB financing and grant funding are in progress to see if the city could do better than a straight loans. Initial grants research shows that it may be possible to apply from $200,000 - $500,000 in grants over time and those are becoming less available.

Council Member Nurse mentioned that grant applications are usually more successful when the applicant is also making an investment.

Below are the potential grant opportunities located through direct calls to state, a 2016 grant strategy for sustainability work, and the city’s fairly new Grantfinder database research:

**Partners in Place**
- Maximum $75,000
- 50% match required
While energy retrofit work may qualify, estimated chances lower as this grant also likes to be related to placemaking, redevelopment, and more visible resiliency efforts.
**EPA – Source Reduction Assistance**
Range is $20,000 - $260,000 per year
5% Match required
Focus is on Pollution Prevention Projects; if energy-related, helps to be a training or demonstration project.

**Duke Energy Environment Grants**
Maximum unknown
Not available for capital projects, so need to tailor to stewardship as well.

**Florida State DEP and Department of Agriculture**
No energy efficiency or renewable energy grants identified but there is the State Revolving Fund (loans at about 0.5%)
This is currently prioritized for other projects, but may be able to tie to future projects that demonstrate water and energy efficiency, green certifications and more.

Council Member Nurse discussed the nine (9) years of discussion around this subject and how at times there is a little money to do a little work. His preference would be to use the confidence in this level of work as representative of the work that could be ongoing in an Energy Efficiency Program and to get started with a Phase 1 loan or bond and keep it going within the bounds of the city’s financing abilities.

Council Member Montanari asked why the MSC and City Hall buildings were not on the Phase I list. Lisa responded that the buildings are actually operating pretty well and under the national average for these building types (lower is good for the energy use). Lisa stated that the facility managers at these buildings are pretty aggressive about shutting things down and controlling lighting and temperature to save energy.

Chair Rice clarified that this program includes a systems and renewable energy. She also clarified that plug loads refer to “vampire” energy consumers like printers, monitors, and other electronics. Lisa responded that we can work with IT, but in the recreation centers, there is not as much use of this equipment. Other facilities, like libraries, are managing their plug loads fairly well with the technology they have, so those plug load programs were not included in this first flush.

Council Member Nurse made a motion to go forward and create a package starting with the $3.2 million or more if it makes sense for Phase 1.

Anne mentioned that this could be part of the annual budgetary process and review terms and make sure we have the spend down timing and align any borrow with projects being implemented and could be multiple bond issues.

Council Member noted that the city could make sure vendors area aware that there is a larger program to bid. For example, start with weatherizing 10 buildings but there are likely really 30 buildings and perhaps could be bid accordingly.
Council Member Montanari requested an amendment to the motion because borrowing funds may hurt chances of grant awards, and he would like to hear more about financing at BFT. Council Member Nurse responded that it’s actually more likely to be successful with grant applications if the city is also investing money. He noted this program is an investment more than a borrow.

Anne noted that no matter what, any financing would come back to BFT as part of the process.

Dr. Cornwell reminded Committee Members that overall, there are some unknowns related to the Penny for Pinellas and the Homestead Exemption increase which all could decrease available general funds available. He also noted the gap in years 1 and 2 that need to be covered by the general fund until energy savings kick in.

Council Member Kornell raised the example of dropping revenues as has happened in the past. While he supports the idea of the energy efficiency investments, he is concerned that the city would have to cover a part of the debt in a year with decreasing revenues.

Council Member Nurse reinforced that the savings start within the first few years. He stated that if revenues are decreasing, that is the time to have savings in place. He also suggested we use available BP funds to cover the gap or spread until savings kick in to fill some of that general fund need for payment on the debt.

Discussion around the cash flow spreadsheet that shows positive cash flow at 8 years and how that relates to the savings that kick in within 3 years, and Council Member Kornell raised the point that in phases, the city would be renewing the debt in the future, and it is better to have the money up front.

Council Member Nurse updated his motion to move forward with the financing presented and to include the $185,000 of BP Settlement funds still available for this Energy Efficiency & Retrofits work to cover the part of the initial payments needed.

The motion tied 2-2 with Chair Rice and Council Member Nurse as yes, and Council Members Montanari and Kornell as nos. Alternate Committee Member Lisa Wheeler-Bowman was in support of the motion.

Meeting adjourned.
PROPOSED MANDATORY SOLAR PANEL INSTALLATION 
REQUIREMENTS FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 
(NEW CONSTRUCTION, ADDITIONS AND MAJOR ROOF REPAIR)

ORDINANCE REVIEW AND PUBLIC OUTREACH PLAN

Introduction:
On May 25, 2017, Delaney Reynolds, a resident, student, and activist from South Miami spoke to the ENRS Committee about her efforts as a student activist supporting environmental reform to address climate change, sea level rise, and energy policy. Delaney has been working with Mayor Stoddard and the South Miami City Commission to adopt a city ordinance requiring all new construction and rehabilitations (over 75% alterations or additions) of single-family, townhouses, and multi-story residences to install solar PV and solar thermal systems in the identified “solar zone.” A solar zone is a space on the roof that is unshaded, free of obstructions serving as a suitable place for the installation of solar panels and can include patios, carports, gazebos, and similar structures.

On July 27, 2017, the City’s Energy, Natural Resource, and Sustainability Committee received a presentation on the subject. During the meeting, Committee members also discussed a draft ordinance modelled on the South Miami example. The Committee requested, before considering a final draft, that the Planning and Economic Development Department conduct a public input program to solicit public opinion and assist the Committee in identifying potential challenges and alternative opportunities. City Council public hearing(s) are tentatively scheduled for December 2017.

Overview of Outreach Plan:
The recommended outreach plan would engage specific stakeholder groups individually and the general public.

Stakeholder Meetings
Stakeholder meetings are being scheduled to gain insight from groups that are specifically affected and/or have a known interest in solar power/renewable energy. Below are the stakeholder groups recommended for specific contact. The stakeholders will be provided with a copy of the proposed ordinance in advance of their respective meetings.
Suggested Stakeholders

Technical Assistance
- Florida Solar Energy Center (FSEC)  James Fenton, Ph.D
- Local Solar Contractors
- Homebuilders, Contractors and Architects
  Inc. Habitat for Humanity
  Inc. Contractor and Design Professional Group
- Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council (TBRPC)  Brady Smith
- USF Clean Energy Research Center  Dr. Yogi Goswami
- Florida Solar Energy Center  TBD Orlando Contact

Solar Advocates
- Sierra Club Ready for 100  David Harbeitner
- Community Power Network (CPN)  TBD
- League of Women Voters  Julie Kessel
- St. Petersburg Sustainability Council  Cathy Harrelson
- Chart 411/Earth Day  Lucinda Johnston
- Southern Alliance for Clean Energy  Susan Glickman
- 2016 Solar Co-Op Residents (1-2)  TBD

Energy Sector
- Duke Energy  Tom Lawry

Business and Property Owner Organizations
- St. Petersburg Area Chamber of Commerce  Travis Norton
- Pinellas Realtors Organization (PRO)  Joe Farrell
- Council of Neighborhood Associations (CONA)  Marlene Murray
- Downtown Partnership  Peter Betzer
- St. Pete Preservation  TBD
- Pinellas County Urban League  Watson Haynes
- Local Architect(s)  TBD
- Urban Development Working Group  TBD
- Urban Land Institute  TBD

General Public Meetings
Two general public outreach meetings are recommended. First, a kick-off meeting that would include
an overview and background on solar powering of residential homes, a review of the proposed
ordinance, similar ordinances in peer communities, and an overview of important considerations and
issues followed by a review of next steps, questions and answers.

The second public meeting would primarily be a summary of input received from the stakeholders
and from the general public at the kick-off meeting with a review of the proposed ordinance and
changes from the initial draft presented at the kick-off meeting.
All information will be posted online and an email address provided as an additional avenue for public input access.

**Calendar:**

- **Kick-off Meeting:** Sunshine Center, Wednesday, August 30, 6:30 – 8:00
- **Stakeholder Meetings:** Miscellaneous
- **Summary (Public) Meeting:** Main Library, Tuesday, September 19, 6:00 – 8:00
- **DRC Workshop:** October 4, 2017
- **DRC Public Hearing:** November 1, 2017
- **DRC Back-up Public Hearing:** December 6, 2017 (if needed)
- **City Council, 1st Reading:** December 7, 2017
- **City Council, Public Hearing:** December 21, 2017
- **Transmit Amendment:** December 21, 2017
- **Effective Date of Ordinance:** To Be Determined

1. Public notification must include text amendment and requirements to satisfy FL Statute 553.73 (4-7) regarding local technical amendments.
2. Transmit to the Pinellas County Contractors Licensing Board (“PCCLB”)
3. Local technical amendment shall not become effective until 30 days after the amendment has been received and published by the PCCLB.

**Staffing:**

Text amendments to the Land Development Regulations are typically processed through the City’s *Urban Planning and Historic Preservation Division*. Due to the nature of this request, internal support will be provided from the following:

- **Planning and Economic Development:** Dave Goodwin
- **Urban Planning / Historic Preservation Division:** Derek Kilborn, Luis Teba
- **Development Review Services Division:** Liz Abernethy, Kathryn Younkin
- **Construction Services and Permitting Division:** Rick Dunn
- **Sustainability & Resiliency Manager:** Sharon Wright
- **City Attorney’s Office:** Michael Dema, Heather Judd
- **Economic Development Division:** Sarah Hughart
- **Housing Department:** Stephanie Lampe

**Attachment:** A copy of the *draft* ordinance is attached. The *draft* was first presented to the ENRS Committee on July 27, 2017, and is provided here for discussion purposes only. The final draft will be amended in response to the public and stakeholder input.
ORDINANCE ____________

AMENDING THE CITY CODE TO ADOPT SOLAR ENERGY REQUIREMENTS FOR NEW RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION AND CERTAIN ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS TO EXISTING STRUCTURES; PROVIDING DEFINITIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Constitution of the State of Florida has, in Article VIII, Section 2 – Municipalities, conferred upon local governments the authority to adopt regulations designed to promote the public health, safety, and general welfare of its citizenry; and

WHEREAS, the St. Petersburg City Council expressly declares that the following amendments to the St. Petersburg City Code are reasonable and necessary because of local climatic, topological, and geological conditions as listed below; and

WHEREAS, as a coastal city located on the tip of a peninsula, St. Petersburg is vulnerable to sea level rise, and human activities releasing greenhouse gases into the atmosphere that increase the worldwide average temperature, contributing to the melting of glaciers, thermal expansion of ocean water, and shifting or slowing of the Gulf Stream and other oceanic currents, all resulting in rising sea levels; and

WHEREAS, St. Petersburg is already experiencing the repercussions of excessive CO$_2$ emissions as rising sea levels threaten shorelines and infrastructure, have caused significant erosion, and have increased impacts to infrastructure during extreme tides; and

WHEREAS, some people in St. Petersburg, such as the elderly, may be particularly vulnerable to higher temperatures resulting from climate changes; and

WHEREAS, distributed power, such as rooftop solar, can serve as generator systems for the purposes of hurricane preparedness and thus comports with Section 252.371 Fla. Stat., the Emergency Management, Preparedness, and Assistance Trust Fund; and

WHEREAS, distributed photovoltaic generation provides a basis for future microgrids, making the city more resilient as a whole, benefiting the health, welfare, and resiliency of St. Petersburg and its residents; and

WHEREAS, the 2008 Florida legislature enacted Section 163.04, Fla. Stat., with the legislative intent of protecting the public health, safety, and welfare by encouraging the development and use of renewable resources; and

WHEREAS, Section 163.08 (1)(a), Fla. Stat., found that chapter 2008-227, Laws of Florida, amended the energy goal of the state comprehensive plan to provide,
in part, that the state shall reduce its energy requirements and reduce atmospheric carbon dioxide by promoting an increased use of renewable energy resources; and

WHEREAS, Section 163.08 (1)(b), Fla. Stat., found that the installation and operation of improvements not only benefitted the affected properties for which the improvements were made, but also assisted the state in fulfilling the goals of the state’s energy mitigation policies; and

WHEREAS, it is reasonably necessary to require builders to take steps to reduce the energy consumed by inefficient building operations and produce renewable, low-carbon electricity, or capture solar energy, in order to reduce pollution, benefit biodiversity, improve resilience to climate change by reducing localized heat islands, and reduce the global warming effects of energy consumption; and

WHEREAS, requiring solar photovoltaics at the time of new construction is more cost-effective for the homeowner than installing the equipment after home construction because (i) it is less expensive to reinforce a roof to accommodate the extra forces of a solar array, (ii) solar wiring can be incorporated more efficiently into electrical panels at the time of initial wiring, and, (iii) a solar system can be financed at a lower rate if included in the initial home financing; and

WHEREAS, the cost of rooftop solar power continues to fall, while the cost of utility power in St. Petersburg continues to rise, signaling an increasing economic benefit to the home owner, while also increasing the value of the property; and

WHEREAS, the Solar Energy Center at the University of Central Florida has shown that, at today’s electricity and solar PV prices and historic inflation rates over the past 20 years, the Internal Rate of Return on rooftop photovoltaic systems runs between 9% and 14%; and

WHEREAS, the Low-income Solar Policy Guide, produced by the Center for Social Inclusion in 2016 states: “Because low-income families spend a disproportionate amount of their income on utility bills, they receive a proportionally greater economic benefit from solar power”, (Low Income Solar Policy Guide, http://www.lowincomesolar.org); and

WHEREAS, rooftop solar installation may benefit the local economy by supporting small businesses, creating well-paying jobs, and directing profits to local business owners; and

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg, Florida’s (“City”) Sustainability Core Values are community collaboration and partnerships, creativity and quality outcomes, cost effective economics, environmental stewardship, and leadership in innovation; and

WHEREAS, the City’s Sustainability Goals include a 100% clean energy transition, protection and enhancement of natural systems and preserves, sustainable built environment practices, improvement of our local economy, and a Healthy St. Pete; and
WHEREAS, on July 20, 2017, the St. Petersburg City Council unanimously approved funding and execution of an agreement to develop an Integrated Sustainability Action Plan (ISAP) including a Roadmap to 100% Clean Energy; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor’s 2017 Executive Order for the Office of Sustainability and Resiliency requires action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and increase energy efficiencies employing policies and measures to include city facility retrofits and improvements (buildings, water, wastewater, and transportation infrastructure, alternative fuel fleet transition) and by utilizing renewable energy sources like solar power, biosolids-to-energy, and geothermal technology to contribute to the City’s transition to 100% clean energy; and

WHEREAS, installing solar will help St. Petersburg meet its sustainability goals under the ISAP and the Mayor’s 2017 Executive Order; and

WHEREAS, a Florida Solar United Neighborhoods (“FL SUN”) program has been established to help communities learn about solar and use a purchasing cooperative model to achieve discounts by purchasing solar through a competitive bulk-purchasing process; and

WHEREAS, in July 2016, a FL SUN pilot program was established in St. Petersburg and, in six months, it enrolled 230 co-op member households and contracted 55 individual home installations, averaging 7 KW per system; and

WHEREAS, the League has requested the City provide $75,000 to help fund a dedicated, full-time staff member to coordinate FL SUN in St. Petersburg, along with program materials, advertising, and other overhead costs; and

WHEREAS, SELF has requested that the City provide $100,000 a year for three years, along with office space, to help establish a local SELF office with a full-time staff member responsible for lending activities in the City, with a goal of achieving an annual average of $1 million in lending; and

WHEREAS, the City received a net amount of approximately $6.5 million from settlement of the 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico (the “BP Settlement”); and

WHEREAS, the Energy, Natural Resources & Sustainability Committee of City Council (“ENRS”) met on June 15, 2017, and voted to recommend the use of $375,000 of the remaining BP Settlement funds to provide the funding requested for the FL SUN Program and SELF; and

WHEREAS, the City, in furtherance of these on-going efforts to reach its goal of 100% Clean Energy, desires to implement more stringent criteria for residential construction to require the installation of solar systems for new residential construction and certain alterations and additions to existing residential structures; and

WHEREAS, this ordinance requires a local technical amendment to the
Florida Building Code pursuant to Section 553.73, Florida Statutes.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, DOES ORDAIN:

SECTION 1. The Florida Building Code (FBC), which has previously been adopted by the City in Chapter Eight of the St. Petersburg City Code, is hereby amended by making the following amendments to FBC Section R905.17 in Sec. 8-36(c)(2) to read as follows:

(2) Technical amendments to the Florida Building Code, Residential

Modify Sec. R905.17 as follows:

R905.17 Photovoltaic systems.

All new residential construction, or alterations or additions to existing residential buildings, as set forth in the Solar Requirements section of the St. Petersburg Land Development Regulations, shall require solar photovoltaic systems and/or solar thermal systems or a combination thereof. Rooftop mounted photovoltaic systems shall be designed in accordance with this section, and with Section 1511.1 of the Florida Building Code, Building.

SECTION 2. The St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended by adding a new Section 16.40.150., “Supplemental Regulations” to read as follows:

16.40.150. - Supplemental Regulations.


A. Applicability. All new construction of single-family residences, townhouses, and any multi-story residential building where a section of roof can be reasonably allocated, as determined by the POD, to a separately metered dwelling unit (hereinafter referred to as “qualifying multi-story residential building”), that apply for preliminary approval (or final approval if no preliminary approval was obtained) by the commission designated in the Decisions and Appeals Table on or after [insert date 2 months from approval] shall design and construct the roof so as to withstand the weight of all product approved roofing material with the weight of solar collectors and shall install at least the minimum amount of solar collectors required in subsection B. of this section. This requirement shall also apply to existing residential buildings as described above, if an alteration or addition is made that either increases the square footage of the principal structure by 75% or greater, or that replaces 75% or more of the existing sub-roof. Exempt are single family residences with a floor area less than 1100 square feet, and any dwelling undergoing reconstruction to repair damage caused by a natural disaster.

B. Minimum required installation. Solar collectors shall be installed in at least the following amounts, provided a sufficient Solar Zone exists to accommodate them as determined by the certification of a Florida licensed architect or engineer who shall also certify the
total size of available Solar Zone in square feet:

i. one panel with a minimum of 2.75 kW nameplate photovoltaic capacity per 1,000 square feet of gross floor area provided there is sufficient space within the available roof top Solar Zone;

ii. 175 square feet of solar collectors per 1,000 square feet of roof area; or

iii sufficient solar collectors to fill the available Solar Zone, to the extent that such construction is allowed by the Florida Building Code.

C. Avoiding the Creation of Shade. Structures shall be designed in such a way so as to maximize the available Solar Zone and for structures which have been designed by a Florida licensed architect or engineer, the plans submitted shall include a certificate from the architect or engineer of record certifying that the design of the structure has maximized the available Solar Zone. Obstructions which are not located on the roof or another part of the building, such as landscaping or a neighboring building are not subject to these placement requirements.

D. Minimum specifications for solar collectors.

i. Solar photovoltaic systems: Photovoltaic collectors satisfying the requirements of this section shall be at rated at no less than ten (10) watts DC faceplate capacity per square foot.

ii. Solar thermal systems: Single-family residential solar domestic water heating systems shall be OG-300 System Certified by either the Solar Rating and Certification Corporation (SRCC) or the International Association of Plumbing and Mechanical Officials (IAPMO).

iii. Solar photovoltaic systems and solar thermal systems shall be installed in accord with all applicable Florida Building Code requirements, including access, pathway, smoke ventilation, and spacing requirements, all applicable local code requirements, and manufacturer’s specifications.

E. Approval and compliance. All solar installations shall be permitted through the City. The plans shall demonstrate that the requirements of the City and State codes are satisfied and the engineer or architect of record shall sign and seal the plans indicating compliance. Subsequent review approval shall be carried out through the standard review processes for residential construction. Inspection shall be performed by the Building Department as per the City’s permit requirements for solar power or water heating installations. Enforcement of this ordinance shall be carried out by the Codes Compliance Assistance Department.

SECTION 3. Section 16.90.020.3. of the St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended by adding the following definitions:

Nameplate capacity means the intended full-load sustained output of a facility such as a photovoltaic system. Also known as the rated capacity, nominal capacity, installed capacity, or maximum effect.

Photovoltaic System (PV) means a type of solar collector that uses photovoltaic cells to directly convert sunlight into electricity.
**Photovoltaic Thermal Collectors** means photovoltaic, thermal hybrid solar collectors, sometimes known as hybrid PV/T systems or PVT, which are systems that convert solar radiation into thermal and electrical energy.

**Solar Collectors** means any photovoltaic or solar-thermal collectors or any combination thereof.

**Solar Thermal Collectors** means either, low-, medium-, or high-temperature collectors. Low temperature collectors are flat plates generally used to heat swimming pools. Medium-temperature collectors are also usually flat plates but are used for creating hot water for residential and commercial use. High temperature collectors concentrate sunlight using mirrors or lenses and are generally used for electric power production. Hybrid photovoltaic-thermal systems are also included.

**Solar Zone** means a place available on a roof for the installation of solar collectors that will receive unshaded sunlight at least five (5) hours a day. Exempt are roof sections sloped greater than 30 degrees (7/12 rise-to-run) oriented within 80 degrees of true north. If allowed by the Florida Building Code and notwithstanding any other provision in these Land Development Regulations, the Solar Zone shall be located on the roof or suitable overhang of the building of single-family residencies and townhouses and for qualifying multi-family buildings, the Solar Zone can be located on any of the following locations: roof of building, overhang of building, roof and/or overhang of another structure located on the same property within 250 feet of the primary building, covered parking installed with the building, other structures including trellises, arbors, patio covers, carports, gazebos, and similar accessory structures as may be sufficiently strong to support a solar array.

**Sunlit Area** means that portion of a roof section receiving at least 5 hours of sunlight on the equinox.

SECTION 4. Words that are struck through shall be deleted from the existing Florida Building Code and City Code and language which is underlined shall be added to the existing Florida Building Code and City Code. Provisions not specifically amended shall continue in full force and effect.

SECTION 5. The provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed to be severable. If any portion of this ordinance is deemed unconstitutional it shall not affect the constitutionality of any other portion of this ordinance.

SECTION 6. In the event this Ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective upon the expiration of the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the Ordinance, in which case the Ordinance shall become effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this Ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto. [END]
PROPOSED MANDATORY SOLAR PANEL INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
(NEW CONSTRUCTION, ADDITIONS AND MAJOR ROOF REPAIR)

KICK-OFF MEETING AGENDA
Wednesday, August 30, 6:30 – 8:00
Sunshine Center, 330 5th Street North

Agenda:

Introduction by City Council Members

Background of Ordinance by Sharon Wright and Derek Kilborn
  • 2010 - Housing Committee review of renewable technologies and regulatory barriers
  • 2010 - PSI Committee review of solar access
  • 2015 - Executive Order 2015-07 Sustainable St. Petersburg
  • 2017, May - Presentation to ENRS Committee by Delaney Reynolds, South Miami
  • 2017, June - Preliminary research and peer review of other municipal ordinances
  • 2017, July - Request from ENRS Committee to begin City Code text amendment process
  • 2017, July - Executive Order 2017-01 Sustainable St. Petersburg (replaces 2015-07)

Proposed Ordinance
  • Review proposed ordinance
  • Peer review of similar ordinances
  • Residential (single- and multi-family) versus non-residential
  • New construction and renovations, including estimated number of houses impacted
  • Affordable housing impacts
  • STAR Community Rating System
  • Alternatives, including solar PV ready and sustainability point system
Next Steps: Timeline

- Kick-off Meeting: Sunshine Center, Wednesday, August 30, 6:30 – 8:00
- Stakeholder Meetings: Miscellaneous
- Summary (Public) Meeting: Main Library, Tuesday, September 19, 6:00 – 8:00
- DRC Workshop: October 4, 2017
- DRC Public Hearing: November 1, 2017
- DRC Back-up Public Hearing: December 6, 2017 (if needed)
- City Council, 1st Reading: December 7, 2017
- City Council, Public Hearing: December 21, 2017 ¹
- Transmit Amendment: December 21, 2017 ²
- Effective Date of Ordinance: To Be Determined ³

¹ Public notification must include text amendment and requirements to satisfy FL Statute 553.73 (4-7) regarding local technical amendments.
² Transmit to the Pinellas County Contractors Licensing Board (“PCCLB”)
³ Local technical amendment shall not become effective until 30 days after the amendment has been received and published by the PCCLB.

Questions and Answers

Adjourn
ORDINANCE ____________

AMENDING THE CITY CODE TO ADOPT SOLAR ENERGY REQUIREMENTS FOR NEW RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION AND CERTAIN ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS TO EXISTING STRUCTURES; PROVIDING DEFINITIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Constitution of the State of Florida has, in Article VIII, Section 2 – Municipalities, conferred upon local governments the authority to adopt regulations designed to promote the public health, safety, and general welfare of its citizenry; and

WHEREAS, the St. Petersburg City Council expressly declares that the following amendments to the St. Petersburg City Code are reasonable and necessary because of local climatic, topological, and geological conditions as listed below; and

WHEREAS, as a coastal city located on the tip of a peninsula, St. Petersburg is vulnerable to sea level rise, and human activities releasing greenhouse gases into the atmosphere that increase the worldwide average temperature, contributing to the melting of glaciers, thermal expansion of ocean water, and shifting or slowing of the Gulf Stream and other oceanic currents, all resulting in rising sea levels; and

WHEREAS, St. Petersburg is already experiencing the repercussions of excessive CO₂ emissions as rising sea levels threaten shorelines and infrastructure, have caused significant erosion, and have increased impacts to infrastructure during extreme tides; and

WHEREAS, some people in St. Petersburg, such as the elderly, may be particularly vulnerable to higher temperatures resulting from climate changes; and

WHEREAS, distributed power, such as rooftop solar, can serve as generator systems for the purposes of hurricane preparedness and thus comports with Section 252.371 Fla. Stat., the Emergency Management, Preparedness, and Assistance Trust Fund; and

WHEREAS, distributed photovoltaic generation provides a basis for future microgrids, making the city more resilient as a whole, benefiting the health, welfare, and resiliency of St. Petersburg and its residents; and

WHEREAS, the 2008 Florida legislature enacted Section 163.04, Fla. Stat., with the legislative intent of protecting the public health, safety, and welfare by encouraging the development and use of renewable resources; and

WHEREAS, Section 163.08 (1)(a), Fla. Stat., found that chapter 2008-227, Laws of Florida, amended the energy goal of the state comprehensive plan to provide,
WHEREAS, Section 163.08 (1)(b), Fla. Stat., found that the installation and operation of improvements not only benefitted the affected properties for which the improvements were made, but also assisted the state in fulfilling the goals of the state’s energy mitigation policies; and

WHEREAS, it is reasonably necessary to require builders to take steps to reduce the energy consumed by inefficient building operations and produce renewable, low-carbon electricity, or capture solar energy, in order to reduce pollution, benefit biodiversity, improve resilience to climate change by reducing localized heat islands, and reduce the global warming effects of energy consumption; and

WHEREAS, requiring solar photovoltaics at the time of new construction is more cost-effective for the homeowner than installing the equipment after home construction because (i) it is less expensive to reinforce a roof to accommodate the extra forces of a solar array, (ii) solar wiring can be incorporated more efficiently into electrical panels at the time of initial wiring, and, (iii) a solar system can be financed at a lower rate if included in the initial home financing; and

WHEREAS, the cost of rooftop solar power continues to fall, while the cost of utility power in St. Petersburg continues to rise, signaling an increasing economic benefit to the home owner, while also increasing the value of the property; and

WHEREAS, the Solar Energy Center at the University of Central Florida has shown that, at today’s electricity and solar PV prices and historic inflation rates over the past 20 years, the Internal Rate of Return on rooftop photovoltaic systems runs between 9% and 14%; and

WHEREAS, the Low-income Solar Policy Guide, produced by the Center for Social Inclusion in 2016 states: “Because low-income families spend a disproportionate amount of their income on utility bills, they receive a proportionally greater economic benefit from solar power”, (Low Income Solar Policy Guide, http://www.lowincomesolar.org); and

WHEREAS, rooftop solar installation may benefit the local economy by supporting small businesses, creating well-paying jobs, and directing profits to local business owners; and

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg, Florida’s (“City”) Sustainability Core Values are community collaboration and partnerships, creativity and quality outcomes, cost effective economics, environmental stewardship, and leadership in innovation; and

WHEREAS, the City’s Sustainability Goals include a 100% clean energy transition, protection and enhancement of natural systems and preserves, sustainable built environment practices, improvement of our local economy, and a Healthy St. Pete; and
WHEREAS, on July 20, 2017, the St. Petersburg City Council unanimously approved funding and execution of an agreement to develop an Integrated Sustainability Action Plan (ISAP) including a Roadmap to 100% Clean Energy; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor’s 2017 Executive Order for the Office of Sustainability and Resiliency requires action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and increase energy efficiencies employing policies and measures to include city facility retrofits and improvements (buildings, water, wastewater, and transportation infrastructure, alternative fuel fleet transition) and by utilizing renewable energy sources like solar power, biosolids-to-energy, and geothermal technology to contribute to the City’s transition to 100% clean energy; and

WHEREAS, installing solar will help St. Petersburg meet its sustainability goals under the ISAP and the Mayor’s 2017 Executive Order; and

WHEREAS, a Florida Solar United Neighborhoods (“FL SUN”) program has been established to help communities learn about solar and use a purchasing cooperative model to achieve discounts by purchasing solar through a competitive bulk-purchasing process; and

WHEREAS, in July 2016, a FL SUN pilot program was established in St. Petersburg and, in six months, it enrolled 230 co-op member households and contracted 55 individual home installations, averaging 7 KW per system; and

WHEREAS, the League has requested the City provide $75,000 to help fund a dedicated, full-time staff member to coordinate FL SUN in St. Petersburg, along with program materials, advertising, and other overhead costs; and

WHEREAS, SELF has requested that the City provide $100,000 a year for three years, along with office space, to help establish a local SELF office with a full-time staff member responsible for lending activities in the City, with a goal of achieving an annual average of $1 million in lending; and

WHEREAS, the City received a net amount of approximately $6.5 million from settlement of the 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico (the “BP Settlement”); and

WHEREAS, the Energy, Natural Resources & Sustainability Committee of City Council (“ENRS”) met on June 15, 2017, and voted to recommend the use of $375,000 of the remaining BP Settlement funds to provide the funding requested for the FL SUN Program and SELF; and

WHEREAS, the City, in furtherance of these on-going efforts to reach its goal of 100% Clean Energy, desires to implement more stringent criteria for residential construction to require the installation of solar systems for new residential construction and certain alterations and additions to existing residential structures; and

WHEREAS, this ordinance requires a local technical amendment to the
Florida Building Code pursuant to Section 553.73, Florida Statutes.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, DOES ORDAIN:

SECTION 1. The Florida Building Code (FBC), which has previously been adopted by
the City in Chapter Eight of the St. Petersburg City Code, is hereby amended by making
the following amendments to FBC Section R905.17 in Sec. 8-36(c)(2) to read as follows:

(2) Technical amendments to the Florida Building Code, Residential

Modify Sec. R905.17 as follows:

R905.17 Photovoltaic systems.

All new residential construction, or alterations or additions to existing residential
buildings, as set forth in the Solar Requirements section of the St. Petersburg Land
Development Regulations, shall require solar photovoltaic systems and/or solar
thermal systems or a combination thereof. Rooftop mounted photovoltaic systems
shall be designed in accordance with this section, and with Section 1511.1 of the
Florida Building Code, Building.

SECTION 2. The St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended by adding a new Section
16.40.150., “Supplemental Regulations” to read as follows:

16.40.150. - Supplemental Regulations.


A. Applicability. All new construction of single-family residences, townhouses, and any
multi-story residential building where a section of roof can be reasonably allocated, as
determined by the POD, to a separately metered dwelling unit (hereinafter referred to as
“qualifying multi-story residential building”), that apply for preliminary approval (or final
approval if no preliminary approval was obtained) by the commission designated in the
Decisions and Appeals Table on or after [insert date 2 months from approval] shall design
and construct the roof so as to withstand the weight of all product approved roofing
material with the weight of solar collectors and shall install at least the minimum amount
of solar collectors required in subsection B. of this section. This requirement shall also apply
to existing residential buildings as described above, if an alteration or addition is made
that either increases the square footage of the principal structure by 75% or greater, or
that replaces 75% or more of the existing sub-roof. Exempt are single family residences
with a floor area less than 1100 square feet, and any dwelling undergoing reconstruction
to repair damage caused by a natural disaster.

B. Minimum required installation. Solar collectors shall be installed in at least the following
amounts, provided a sufficient Solar Zone exists to accommodate them as determined
by the certification of a Florida licensed architect or engineer who shall also certify the
total size of available Solar Zone in square feet:

   i. one panel with a minimum of 2.75 kW nameplate photovoltaic capacity per 1,000 square feet of gross floor area provided there is sufficient space within the available roof top Solar Zone;

   ii. 175 square feet of solar collectors per 1,000 square feet of roof area; or

   iii. sufficient solar collectors to fill the available Solar Zone, to the extent that such construction is allowed by the Florida Building Code.

C. Avoiding the Creation of Shade. Structures shall be designed in such a way so as to maximize the available Solar Zone and for structures which have been designed by a Florida licensed architect or engineer, the plans submitted shall include a certificate from the architect or engineer of record certifying that the design of the structure has maximized the available Solar Zone. Obstructions which are not located on the roof or another part of the building, such as landscaping or a neighboring building are not subject to these placement requirements.

D. Minimum specifications for solar collectors.

   i. Solar photovoltaic systems: Photovoltaic collectors satisfying the requirements of this section shall be at rated at no less than ten (10) watts DC faceplate capacity per square foot.

   ii. Solar thermal systems: Single-family residential solar domestic water heating systems shall be OG-300 System Certified by either the Solar Rating and Certification Corporation (SRCC) or the International Association of Plumbing and Mechanical Officials (IAPMO).

   iii. Solar photovoltaic systems and solar thermal systems shall be installed in accord with all applicable Florida Building Code requirements, including access, pathway, smoke ventilation, and spacing requirements, all applicable local code requirements, and manufacturer's specifications.

E. Approval and compliance. All solar installations shall be permitted through the City. The plans shall demonstrate that the requirements of the City and State codes are satisfied and the engineer or architect of record shall sign and seal the plans indicating compliance. Subsequent review approval shall be carried out through the standard review processes for residential construction. Inspection shall be performed by the Building Department as per the City's permit requirements for solar power or water heating installations. Enforcement of this ordinance shall be carried out by the Codes Compliance Assistance Department.

SECTION 3. Section 16.90.020.3. of the St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended by adding the following definitions:

Nameplate capacity means the intended full-load sustained output of a facility such as a photovoltaic system. Also known as the rated capacity, nominal capacity, installed capacity, or maximum effect.

Photovoltaic System (PV) means a type of solar collector that uses photovoltaic cells to directly convert sunlight into electricity.
Photovoltaic Thermal Collectors means photovoltaic, thermal hybrid solar collectors, sometimes known as hybrid PV/T systems or PVT, which are systems that convert solar radiation into thermal and electrical energy.

Solar Collectors means any photovoltaic or solar-thermal collectors or any combination thereof.

Solar Thermal Collectors means either, low-, medium-, or high-temperature collectors. Low temperature collectors are flat plates generally used to heat swimming pools. Medium-temperature collectors are also usually flat plates but are used for creating hot water for residential and commercial use. High temperature collectors concentrate sunlight using mirrors or lenses and are generally used for electric power production. Hybrid photovoltaic-thermal systems are also included.

Solar Zone means a place available on a roof for the installation of solar collectors that will receive unshaded sunlight at least five (5) hours a day. Exempt are roof sections sloped greater than 30 degrees (7/12 rise-to-run) oriented within 80 degrees of true north. If allowed by the Florida Building Code and notwithstanding any other provision in the these Land Development Regulations, the Solar Zone shall be located on the roof or suitable overhang of the building of single-family residences and townhouses and for qualifying multi-family buildings, the Solar Zone can be located on any of the following locations: roof of building, overhang of building, roof and/or overhang of another structure located on the same property within 250 feet of the primary building, covered parking installed with the building, other structures including trellises, arbors, patio covers, carports, gazebos, and similar accessory structures as may be sufficiently strong to support a solar array.

Sunlit Area means that portion of a roof section receiving at least 5 hours of sunlight on the equinox.

SECTION 4. Words that are struck through shall be deleted from the existing Florida Building Code and City Code and language which is underlined shall be added to the existing Florida Building Code and City Code. Provisions not specifically amended shall continue in full force and effect.

SECTION 5. The provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed to be severable. If any portion of this ordinance is deemed unconstitutional it shall not affect the constitutionality of any other portion of this ordinance.

SECTION 6. In the event this Ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective upon the expiration of the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the Ordinance, in which case the Ordinance shall become effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this Ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.
Approved as to form and content:

______________________________
City Attorney (designee)
Updates: Office of Sustainability & Resiliency

- Plastic Bag Ban Ordinance
  - Additional input coming in
  - Survey under development expected to go out for 2 weeks in Sept.
    - Chamber may also do a survey
- County Vulnerability Work expected to start by end of year
- Tree Plantings - Quotes under development
- SELF/FL SUN - agreements in progress
- PACE - County workshop then expected to pass no later than Oct./Nov
Sustainability Gains: ISAP + More

Suncoast Sierra Club Executive Committee Invites You!
Meet & Greet with ISAP Consultant Team and City Staff
When: Tuesday, September 12, 2017
Time: 5:00 p.m. - 7 p.m.
Where: Cage Brewery
2001 1st Ave S, St. Petersburg

Buy your own beer, wine, or kombucha; some light snacks will be available

Solar Ordinance Update
Energy Efficiency Program for City Facilities
August 17, 2017

Agenda

- The Goal
- Our Strategy
- Building Review
- Summary
- Financing
- Discussion
The Goal: Net Zero with 100% Renewable Energy Systems

Opportunities with 10 year simple payback or less

Usage by Type

- Building: 37%
- Sports Lights: 10%
- Pool: 4%
- Outdoor Lights: 3%
- Wastewater: 2%
- Potable water: 1%
- Stormwater: 1%
- Power source: 1%
- Traffic Lights: 0%
- Landscaping: 0%
Our Strategy

Focus List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>2016 Energy Total Cost</th>
<th>% Above EUI Nat. Avg.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Shore Aquatic Center**</td>
<td>$125,336</td>
<td>No EUI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Library**</td>
<td>$101,201</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire &amp; Rescue Headquarters</td>
<td>$78,416</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas 'Jet' Jackson Rec**</td>
<td>$60,801</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundial Parking Garage</td>
<td>$45,753</td>
<td>178%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.W. Cate Rec</td>
<td>$42,248</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gladden Park Rec</td>
<td>$41,414</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willis S. Johns Rec</td>
<td>$40,567</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Fuller Rec</td>
<td>$38,958</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirror Lake Library</td>
<td>$38,436</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childs Park Rec</td>
<td>$35,702</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoch Davis Rec</td>
<td>$34,965</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank W. Pierce Rec</td>
<td>$31,140</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangrove Bay Clubhouse</td>
<td>$29,564</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Station 3</td>
<td>$21,113</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Station 13</td>
<td>$18,462</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitation Operations</td>
<td>$16,381</td>
<td>123%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Station 4</td>
<td>$15,900</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azalea Park Rec</td>
<td>$13,772</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Technologies

- LED light upgrade
- Weather stripping on outside doors
- Air curtains on outside doors
- Replace and upgrade air handling units with energy recovery (ERV) and variable speed drive (VFD)
- Advanced and Optimized building automation
- Window film
- Heat pipe in HVAC
- Enhance refrigerant
- Thermal storage

NSAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Savings/year</th>
<th>Est. Cost</th>
<th>Simple Payback (yr)</th>
<th>Annual Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal for 25m pool</td>
<td>$31,600</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace and upgrade 4 air handling units with ERV and VFD</td>
<td>$14,400</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defender filter on 25m pool</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$63,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED light upgrade</td>
<td>$5,700</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$58,200</strong></td>
<td><strong>$393,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
<td><strong>49%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2016 Utility Costs:
- Duke electric: $114,643
- Natural Gas: $10,693
- Total: $125,336
### Main Library

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Savings/ year</th>
<th>Est. Cost</th>
<th>Simple Payback (yr)</th>
<th>Annual Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat pipe in HVAC</td>
<td>$ 50,600</td>
<td>$ 354,200</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal storage tanks</td>
<td>$ 17,500</td>
<td>$ 213,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED light upgrade</td>
<td>$ 11,600</td>
<td>$ 64,300</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window film</td>
<td>$ 1,500</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air curtain on main door</td>
<td>$ 1,400</td>
<td>$ 7,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather stripping on main door</td>
<td>$ 700</td>
<td>$ 2,000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>$ 83,300</td>
<td>$ 655,500</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2016 Utility Costs:
- Duke electric: $ 83,425
- Natural Gas: $ 17,776
- Total: $ 101,201

### Fire & Rescue Headquarters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Savings/ year</th>
<th>Est. Cost</th>
<th>Simple Payback (yr)</th>
<th>Annual Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building automation control optimization</td>
<td>$ 11,700</td>
<td>$ 110,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficient chiller replacement</td>
<td>$ 9,800</td>
<td>$ 100,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED light upgrade</td>
<td>$ 3,500</td>
<td>$ 28,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window film in lobby</td>
<td>$ 900</td>
<td>$ 8,500</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>$ 25,900</td>
<td>$ 246,500</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2016 Utility Costs:
- Duke electric: $ 78,416

---

*Note: The tables summarize the potential energy savings, costs, and payback periods for various energy efficiency opportunities at two different locations, Main Library and Fire & Rescue Headquarters, along with the 2016 utility costs.*
### Thomas ‘Jet’ Jackson Recreation Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Savings/ year</th>
<th>Est. Cost</th>
<th>Simple Payback (yr)</th>
<th>Annual Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replace and upgrade all air handling units with ERV and VFD</td>
<td>$19,300</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase solar on roof</td>
<td>$8,100</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced building automation</td>
<td>$7,200</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED light upgrade</td>
<td>$5,400</td>
<td>$42,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air curtains on front/side doors</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather stripping on all doors</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>$43,700</td>
<td>$445,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### J.W. Cate Recreation Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Savings/ year</th>
<th>Est. Cost</th>
<th>Simple Payback (yr)</th>
<th>Annual Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replace and upgrade all air handling units with ERV and VFD</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED light upgrade</td>
<td>$2,900</td>
<td>$23,200</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air curtains on all doors</td>
<td>$2,400</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace window film</td>
<td>$2,100</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather stripping on all doors</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>$16,200</td>
<td>$158,700</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Gladden Park Recreation Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Savings/ year</th>
<th>Est. Cost</th>
<th>Simple Payback (yr)</th>
<th>Annual Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building automation control optimization</td>
<td>$4,700</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance refrigerant</td>
<td>$3,100</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED light upgrade</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air curtain on main door</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather stripping on main door</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$71,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2016 Utility Costs:
- Duke electric: $41,414

### Walter Fuller Recreation Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Savings/ year</th>
<th>Est. Cost</th>
<th>Simple Payback (yr)</th>
<th>Annual Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enhance refrigerant</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
<td>$14,200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace and upgrade 3 air handling units with ERV and VFD</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED light upgrade</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
<td>$12,800</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside door air curtain</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather stripping on main door</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,800</strong></td>
<td><strong>$81,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td><strong>29%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2016 Utility Costs:
- Duke electric: $38,958
### Mirror Lake Library

#### 2016 Utility Costs:
- Duke electric: $38,436

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Savings/ year</th>
<th>Est. Cost</th>
<th>Simple Payback (yr)</th>
<th>Annual Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replace windows</td>
<td>$10,100</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace and upgrade 6 air handling units with ERV and VFD</td>
<td>$6,100</td>
<td>$87,800</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED light upgrade</td>
<td>$3,400</td>
<td>$27,200</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced building automation</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside door air curtain</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather stripping on all doors</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$26,300</strong></td>
<td><strong>$239,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
<td><strong>68%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Childs Park Recreation & Fitness Center

#### 2016 Utility Costs:
- Duke electric: $35,702

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Savings/ year</th>
<th>Est. Cost</th>
<th>Simple Payback (yr)</th>
<th>Annual Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enhance refrigerant</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
<td>$12,600</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace and upgrade 3 air handling units with ERV and VFD</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
<td>$46,500</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED light upgrade</td>
<td>$2,600</td>
<td>$20,800</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside door air curtain</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather stripping on main door</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$88,900</strong></td>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
<td><strong>28%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enoch Davis Recreation Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Savings/ year</th>
<th>Est. Cost</th>
<th>Simple Payback (yr)</th>
<th>Annual Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar</td>
<td>$12,200</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace and upgrade 3 air handling units with ERV and VFD</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED light upgrade</td>
<td>$2,600</td>
<td>$20,800</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside door air curtain</td>
<td>$1,300</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather stripping on all doors</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$23,300</strong></td>
<td><strong>$270,300</strong></td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
<td><strong>67%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2016 Utility Costs: 
Duke electric $34,965

Fire Station #3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Savings/ year</th>
<th>Est. Cost</th>
<th>Simple Payback (yr)</th>
<th>Annual Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replace and upgrade air handling units with ERV and VFD</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED light upgrade</td>
<td>$1,300</td>
<td>$10,900</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced building automation</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,400</strong></td>
<td><strong>$60,900</strong></td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
<td><strong>36%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2016 Utility Costs: 
Duke electric $21,113
### Azalea Park Recreation Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Savings/ year</th>
<th>Est. Cost</th>
<th>Simple Payback (yr)</th>
<th>Annual Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replace and upgrade air handling units with ERV and VFD</td>
<td>$3,300</td>
<td>$48,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED light upgrade</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>$6,400</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside door air curtain</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside door weatherization</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,900</strong></td>
<td><strong>$60,900</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td><strong>36%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2016 Utility Costs:
- Duke electric: $13,772

### Summary
- Estimated utility savings of $326,200 per year
- Funding request for Phase 1 is $3.25 Million
  - $2.8M for projects
  - $450k for soft costs
- Simple payback is 10 years, IRR 13.7%
- Positive cash flow after 8 years
Financing

- 15 year bond, 3.75% interest
- Payments made from saved utility dollars in each building’s budget
- Savings verified by City staff each month; annual report produced

Discussion
## City Facility Energy Efficiency Project

(08/17/2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Year</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Production</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Savings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of electricity savings</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>181,222</td>
<td>371,506</td>
<td>378,889</td>
<td>386,420</td>
<td>394,100</td>
<td>401,932</td>
<td>409,921</td>
<td>418,068</td>
<td>426,377</td>
<td>434,851</td>
<td>443,494</td>
<td>452,308</td>
<td>461,298</td>
<td>470,466</td>
<td>479,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building automation service</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,300</td>
<td>10,609</td>
<td>10,927</td>
<td>11,255</td>
<td>11,593</td>
<td>11,941</td>
<td>12,299</td>
<td>12,668</td>
<td>13,048</td>
<td>13,439</td>
<td>13,842</td>
<td>14,258</td>
<td>14,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff time to verify savings</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>11,316</td>
<td>11,598</td>
<td>11,889</td>
<td>12,186</td>
<td>12,491</td>
<td>12,803</td>
<td>13,123</td>
<td>13,451</td>
<td>13,787</td>
<td>14,132</td>
<td>14,486</td>
<td>14,848</td>
<td>15,219</td>
<td>15,599</td>
<td>16,989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs/replacements</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenses</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11,040</td>
<td>26,316</td>
<td>31,599</td>
<td>32,189</td>
<td>32,795</td>
<td>33,418</td>
<td>34,058</td>
<td>34,716</td>
<td>35,392</td>
<td>36,086</td>
<td>126,800</td>
<td>127,533</td>
<td>128,287</td>
<td>129,061</td>
<td>129,857</td>
<td>130,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Debt</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt payments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>3,250,000</td>
<td>3,085,449</td>
<td>2,914,620</td>
<td>2,737,274</td>
<td>2,553,162</td>
<td>2,362,062</td>
<td>2,163,598</td>
<td>1,957,600</td>
<td>1,743,742</td>
<td>1,521,726</td>
<td>1,291,239</td>
<td>1,051,959</td>
<td>803,550</td>
<td>545,664</td>
<td>277,939</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest6</td>
<td>119,066</td>
<td>112,788</td>
<td>106,271</td>
<td>99,505</td>
<td>92,481</td>
<td>85,189</td>
<td>77,618</td>
<td>69,759</td>
<td>61,600</td>
<td>53,130</td>
<td>44,337</td>
<td>35,208</td>
<td>25,731</td>
<td>15,892</td>
<td>5,678</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal</td>
<td>164,551</td>
<td>170,029</td>
<td>177,346</td>
<td>184,112</td>
<td>191,136</td>
<td>198,428</td>
<td>205,998</td>
<td>213,858</td>
<td>220,072</td>
<td>226,376</td>
<td>233,939</td>
<td>244,769</td>
<td>256,912</td>
<td>167,725</td>
<td>77,939</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total P&amp;I Payment</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>283,617</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash Flow</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense - Loan Payment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>-283,617</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit - Electricity savings</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>181,222</td>
<td>371,506</td>
<td>378,889</td>
<td>386,420</td>
<td>394,100</td>
<td>401,932</td>
<td>409,921</td>
<td>418,068</td>
<td>426,377</td>
<td>434,851</td>
<td>443,494</td>
<td>452,308</td>
<td>461,298</td>
<td>470,466</td>
<td>479,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Flow Per Year</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-294,657</td>
<td>-128,711</td>
<td>56,290</td>
<td>63,084</td>
<td>70,008</td>
<td>77,065</td>
<td>84,258</td>
<td>91,588</td>
<td>99,060</td>
<td>106,674</td>
<td>24,435</td>
<td>32,344</td>
<td>40,455</td>
<td>48,620</td>
<td>56,993</td>
<td>349,141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Positive Cash Flow: 8 years

**IRR:** 13.7%

1 - Initial savings spread over 2 years, 0.5% equipment degradation  
2 - $0.10 initial utility rate with 2.5% increase each year  
3 - Contract escalation 3% increase year 4 and on  
4 - 2.5% increase each year for 8 hours per month  
5 - $10k for 1st 10 years then $100k once out of warranty  
6 - Bond interest 3.75%
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

MEETING OF: August 24, 2017

TO: COUNCIL CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Confirming Preliminary Assessment for Lot Clearing Number(s) LCA 1580

EXPLANATION: The Sanitation Department has cleared the following number of properties under Chapter 16 of the St. Petersburg City Code. The interest rate is 12% per annum on the unpaid balance.

LCA: 1580
NUMBER OF STRUCTURES: 147
ASSESSABLE AMOUNT: $28,547.10

According to the City Code, these assessments constitute a Lien on each property. It is recommended that the assessments be confirmed.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: The total assessable amount of $28,547.10 will be fully assessable to the property owners.

ATTACHMENTS:

MAYOR: ________________

COUNCIL ACTION: ________________

FOLLOW-UP: ________________ AGENDA NO. ____________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71835</td>
<td>CARCARY, SHAUN</td>
<td>26 31 16 00000 420 0100</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 130728</td>
<td>FROM SW COR OF NW 1/4 OF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA</td>
<td>SE 1/4 TH E 413.5FT &amp; N 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FT FOR PEB TH N 169FT TH E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90FT TH S 169FT TH W 90FT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71836</td>
<td>FLORIDA FIRST PROPERTIES INC</td>
<td>06 31 17 01386 001 0050</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2337 BELLEAIR RD STE C</td>
<td>ARCADIA ANNEX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CLEARWATER</td>
<td>BLK A, LOT 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71837</td>
<td>FOSTER, ROBERT E</td>
<td>14 31 16 01782 007 0250</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOT 214</td>
<td>2621 16TH AVE N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2701 50TH AVE N</td>
<td>AVALON</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>BLK 7, LOT 25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71838</td>
<td>EDMOND, JABBAR</td>
<td>28 31 16 02052 000 0040</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1501 38TH ST S</td>
<td>4816 18TH AVE S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>BACK BAY MANOR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOT 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71839</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL COAST GROUP LLC</td>
<td>06 32 17 03924 000 0390</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 TORTUGA CAY</td>
<td>4010 6TH ST S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALISO VIEJO</td>
<td>BAYOU VIEW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA 926562317</td>
<td>LOT 39 AND W 1/2 VAC ALLEY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adj ON E ( PER O.R. 16744/810)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71840</td>
<td>MORGAN STANLEY ABS CAPITAL I I</td>
<td>20 31 16 06030 001 0100</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3476 STATEVIEW BLVD</td>
<td>BEEAR CREEK ESTATES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PORT MILL</td>
<td>BLK 1, LOT 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71841</td>
<td>BEARD, JOANN R</td>
<td>12 31 15 09972 000 0150</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3260 SUNSET DR N</td>
<td>BOCA CIEGA WOODLANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>LOT 15 &amp; SUB LAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71842</td>
<td>L J I PROPERTIES LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 11502 000 0010</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10396 YOSEMITE LN</td>
<td>BRIGHTWOOD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INDIANAPOLIS IN 462349825</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71843</td>
<td>HIPPERT, MICHAEL</td>
<td>30 31 17 12708 000 0290</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>535 CENTRAL AVE</td>
<td>BUENA VISTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337013703</td>
<td>LOT 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71844</td>
<td>PRECISION QUALITY BUILDERS</td>
<td>30 31 17 12708 000 0460</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>997 NW 63RD CT</td>
<td>BUENA VISTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OCALA FL 344827465</td>
<td>LOT 46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71845</td>
<td>EMES, BRETT L IRA</td>
<td>30 31 17 12708 000 1090</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7007 COVINGTON STONE AVE</td>
<td>BUENA VISTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>APOLLO BEACH FL 335721553</td>
<td>E 40FT OF LOT 109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71846</td>
<td>HUDSON, FREDERIC S EST</td>
<td>12 32 16 14292 000 0910</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33 ROBBIE CIR</td>
<td>CATALINA GARDENS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MILFORD CT 064604245</td>
<td>LOT 91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71847</td>
<td>HIGAN LLC</td>
<td>22 31 16 14418 005 0060</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10450 GULF BLVD</td>
<td>CENTRAL AVENUE HEIGHTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TREASURE ISLAND FL 337064815</td>
<td>BLK 5, LOT 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71848</td>
<td>1ST AVE LAND TRUST NO 3863</td>
<td>22 31 16 14418 011 0090</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18864 STATE ROAD 54 STE 322</td>
<td>CENTRAL AVENUE HEIGHTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LUTZ FL 33558</td>
<td>BLK 11, LOT 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71849</td>
<td>HIROCK, SHARI</td>
<td>27 31 16 15732 000 0420</td>
<td>3835 10TH AVE S LOT 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71850</td>
<td>WRIGHT, EMORY E</td>
<td>23 31 16 17442 002 0110</td>
<td>2645 2ND AVE S BLK 2, LOT 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71851</td>
<td>HALL, TYSON</td>
<td>23 31 16 17442 006 0150</td>
<td>2507 3RD AVE S BLK 6, LOTS 15 &amp; 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71852</td>
<td>SULLIVAN, MATTHEW BURTON</td>
<td>23 31 16 17442 007 0120</td>
<td>2533 4TH AVE S BLK 7, LOT 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71853</td>
<td>BANK OF AMERICA N A</td>
<td>23 31 16 17442 012 0010</td>
<td>415 25TH ST S BLK 12, LOT 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71854</td>
<td>CROSSLEY, ELMER N</td>
<td>18 31 17 18900 001 0130</td>
<td>1600 CRESCENT LAKE DR N BLK A, LOT 13 &amp; S 49FT OF LOT 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71855</td>
<td>AMASIS HOLDINGS LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 19350 003 0010</td>
<td>1915 10TH ST S BLK C, S 1/2 OF LOTS 1 AND 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71856</td>
<td>OMNI NATL. BANK</td>
<td>6 CONCOURSE PKWY ATLANTA, GA</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71857</td>
<td>STOKES, JOHN W EST</td>
<td>4401 15TH AVENUE S SAINT PETERSBURG, FL</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71858</td>
<td>COMMUNITY PARTNERS IN REVITALI</td>
<td>2005 PAN AM CIR STE 120 TAMPA, FL</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71859</td>
<td>MIZELL, MARY E</td>
<td>3636 10TH AVE N SAINT PETERSBURG, FL</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71860</td>
<td>4642 12TH AVE S LAND TRUST</td>
<td>610 3RD AVE NE LARGO, FL</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71861</td>
<td>KENTUCKY AFFORDABLE HOUSING CO</td>
<td>2164 15TH CIR N SAINT PETERSBURG, FL</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71862</td>
<td>KENTUCKY AFFORDABLE HOUSING CO</td>
<td>2164 15TH CIR N SAINT PETERSBURG, FL</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME / MAILING ADDRESS</td>
<td>PARCEL ID / LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71863</td>
<td>PARISH, LLOYD 28035 NUMBER 6 RD REDWOOD</td>
<td>30 31 17 21564 000 0170 DISTRICT FLA CORP SUB NO 1 LOT 17</td>
<td>805 PARIS AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71864</td>
<td>NUNNALLY, JACKIE 3601 30TH AVE S SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337113805</td>
<td>30 31 17 21564 000 0480 DISTRICT FLA CORP SUB NO 1 LOT 48</td>
<td>856 PARIS AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71865</td>
<td>NERO, JOE W SR 5206 CAESAR WAY S SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337124257</td>
<td>25 31 16 22320 000 0490 DOUGLAS PARK LOTS 49,50,51 AND 52</td>
<td>1223 21ST ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71866</td>
<td>TRUST NO 641319 1700 66TH ST N STE 104-180 SAINT PETERSBURG FL 33710</td>
<td>23 31 16 24138 006 0160 EAST ROSELAWN BLK 6, LOT 16 &amp; W 1/2 OF LOT 17</td>
<td>2819 6TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71867</td>
<td>JOHNSON, WILLIE EST 2944 FREEMONT TER S SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337121547</td>
<td>23 31 16 24138 011 0060 EAST ROSELAWN BLK 11, LOT 6</td>
<td>2944 FREEMONT TERR S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71868</td>
<td>HOLLAN, WILLIE EST 773 61ST AVE NE SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337031613</td>
<td>31 30 17 24822 007 0130 EDGEMOOR ESTATES BLK 7, LOT 13</td>
<td>773 61ST AVE NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71869</td>
<td>HARRICHARIN, RAVINDRA S 1625 MASSACHUSETTS AVE NE SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337034213</td>
<td>25 31 16 26028 000 0021 ERWIN'S SUB E 76FT OF N 100FT OF E 131 FT OF LOT 2 LESS ST</td>
<td>1101 12TH ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>MAILING ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71870</td>
<td>WILLIAMS, KIMBERLY</td>
<td>25 31 16 26298 000 0480</td>
<td>100 73RD AVE N APT 317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71871</td>
<td>L S F 9 MASTER PARTICIPATION T</td>
<td>06 31 17 26316 001 0160</td>
<td>PO BOX 24330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71872</td>
<td>LARRY, DAVID L</td>
<td>27 31 16 28890 000 0660</td>
<td>146 2ND ST N STE 310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71873</td>
<td>M A C W C P II LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 28908 000 0290</td>
<td>PO BOX 403357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71874</td>
<td>NEWKIRK, LOUISE EST</td>
<td>25 31 16 28944 000 0060</td>
<td>1827 13TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71875</td>
<td>L J I PROPERTIES LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 28944 000 0200</td>
<td>10396 YOSEMITE LN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71876</td>
<td>LIND, JOSE</td>
<td>27 31 16 29754 000 0153</td>
<td>4104 W CREST AVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME /MAILING ADDRESS</td>
<td>PARCEL ID /LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71877</td>
<td>FLORIDA FAIR HOUSING CORP 1344 NW 22ND ST MIAMI FL 331427740</td>
<td>27 31 16 30072 001 0010 GARBUPT'S SUNNYSIDE PARTIAL REPLAT</td>
<td>819 40TH ST S BLK 1, LOT 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71878</td>
<td>BONE PILE PROPERTIES LLC 253 21ST AVE S SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337052779</td>
<td>30 31 17 30078 000 0260</td>
<td>696 NEWTON AVE S LOT 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71879</td>
<td>PROF 2013 SQ LEGAL TITLE TRUST 440 S LA SALLE ST STE 2000 CHICAGO IL 606055011</td>
<td>10 31 16 31410 000 0520 GOLDEN CREST N 26.87FT OF LOT 52 &amp; S 26.84FT OF LOT 53, &amp; W 8FT OF VAC ALLEY ADJ TO E</td>
<td>3301 KINGSTON ST N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71880</td>
<td>CARRINGTON MTG LOAN TRUST 2006 1600 S DOUGLASS RD STE 200A ANAHEIM CA 928065951</td>
<td>30 31 17 32490 000 0120</td>
<td>860 11TH AVE S LOT 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71881</td>
<td>CHMEISSANI, GHADA N 4427 6TH AVE N SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337136209</td>
<td>30 31 17 32490 000 0130 GRAHAM'S, T. W. SUB E 32.25FT OF LOT 13 &amp; E 32.25 FT OF N 31FT OF LOT 14</td>
<td>840 11TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71882</td>
<td>A R K A HOMES 2 LLC 16310 MUIRFIELD DR ODESSA FL 335565423</td>
<td>31 31 17 32796 000 0790 GRAND VIEW PARK LOTS 79 &amp; 81 LESS 6FT X 10 FT T/A OF SE COR OF LOT 81 FOR RD R/W</td>
<td>625 38TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71883</td>
<td>SPOTO, CAROL JEAN REV TRUST 4406 W BROOKWOOD DR TAMPA FL 336294245</td>
<td>31 31 17 32796 000 0800 GRAND VIEW PARK LOT 80 LESS E 1 FT</td>
<td>3733 6TH ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME/MAILING ADDRESS</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>/LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71884</td>
<td>TEEMER, JANICE PO BOX 249</td>
<td>25 31 16 33804 000 0200</td>
<td>GROVE HEIGHTS REV LOT 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PUTNEY GA 317820249</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71885</td>
<td>M D A PROPERTIES LLC PO BOX 21836</td>
<td>25 31 16 33912 000 0250</td>
<td>GROVE PARK LOTS 25 AND 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHILADELPHIA PA 191460436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71886</td>
<td>WHITE, PEARLY M EST 2863 1ST AVE S SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337121001</td>
<td>23 31 16 35118 024 0090</td>
<td>HALL'S CENTRAL AVE NO. 2 BLK 24, LOT 9 &amp; W 5FT OF LOT 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71887</td>
<td>JC CLAIRE LLC 201 4TH ST N SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337013205</td>
<td>21 31 16 35244 002 0010</td>
<td>HALL'S CENTRAL AVE NO. 3 BLK 2, LOT 1 (SEE S 1/2 22-31-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71888</td>
<td>K 2 HOLDINGS LLC 2643 GULF TO BAY BLVD STE 1560 CLEARWATER FL 337594940</td>
<td>21 31 16 35244 004 0080</td>
<td>HALL'S CENTRAL AVE NO. 3 BLK 4, LOT 8 SEE S 1/2 22-31-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71889</td>
<td>JOSEPH, FREDILIA PO BOX 6156 FORT LAUDERDALE FL 333106156</td>
<td>21 31 16 35244 004 0130</td>
<td>HALL'S CENTRAL AVE NO. 3 BLK 4, LOTS 13 AND 14 SEE S 1/2 22-31-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71890</td>
<td>HABITAT FOR HUMANITY OF PINELL 13355 49TH ST N CLEARWATER FL 33762</td>
<td>21 31 16 35244 024 0100</td>
<td>HALL'S CENTRAL AVE NO. 3 BLK 24, LOT 10 SEE S 1/2 22-31-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71891</td>
<td>PORTER, YVETTE R EST</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6240 17TH AVE N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337104808</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71892</td>
<td>PORTER, YVETTE R EST</td>
<td>264.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6240 17TH AVE N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337104808</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71893</td>
<td>WILLIAMS, BRUCE C</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2053 WINCHESTER BLVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAMPBELL CA 950083419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71894</td>
<td>MARI, WAIL</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 16916</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST PETERSBURG FL 337336916</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71895</td>
<td>WARD, SCOTT M</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5695 KIWANIS PL NE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337032525</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71896</td>
<td>MELLOUKI, ABDELGHANI</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 12366</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337332366</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71897</td>
<td>BOLDEN, JULIUS LEE EST</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>719 19TH ST S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337122334</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>MAILING ADDRESS</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71898</td>
<td>MALONEY, MARY T EST</td>
<td>2835 24TH ST N</td>
<td>11 31 16 38268 000 0410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71899</td>
<td>JOSEPH, PHILIP T</td>
<td>7271 MOUNT ARLINGTON DR NE</td>
<td>06 32 17 38592 000 0060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71900</td>
<td>COLLAR, MARIE A TRUST</td>
<td>6500 26TH AVE N</td>
<td>08 31 16 40536 001 0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71901</td>
<td>JOY ZION INTERDENOMINATIONAL</td>
<td>PO BOX 75124</td>
<td>25 31 16 40734 010 0080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71902</td>
<td>JOY ZION INTERDENOMINATIONAL</td>
<td>PO BOX 75124</td>
<td>25 31 16 40734 011 0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71903</td>
<td>BYRD, JOEVIDA A</td>
<td>PO BOX 75124</td>
<td>25 31 16 40734 011 0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71904</td>
<td>WILLIAMS, DEBORAH</td>
<td>4394 9TH AVE S</td>
<td>27 31 16 40842 000 0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME /MAILING ADDRESS</td>
<td>PARCEL ID /LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71905</td>
<td>GAINER, GREGORY 1063 16TH AVE S SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337022429</td>
<td>27 31 16 41814 000 0100 NYLANDS SUB LOT 10 &amp; N 4PT OF LOT 9</td>
<td>3766 8TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71906</td>
<td>TRUST NO 256 1700 66TH ST N STE 104-180 SAINT PETERSBURG FL 33705544</td>
<td>22 31 16 43108 027 0090 INTER BAY BLK 27, S 1/2 OF LOTS 9 &amp; 10</td>
<td>256 45TH ST N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71907</td>
<td>RICH ST PETE 33 LLC 10450 GULF BLVD TREASURE ISLAND FL 33705415</td>
<td>12 31 15 44928 024 0210 JUNGLE TERRACE SEC C BLK 24, LOT 21</td>
<td>3000 BOCA CIEGA DR N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71908</td>
<td>JHAGROO, RANDOLPH 2875 13TH AVE N SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337105513</td>
<td>23 31 16 45720 000 0010 KELLY'S REPLAT LOT 1</td>
<td>3026 FREMONT TERR S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71909</td>
<td>GRAMERCY CAPITAL LLC 2803 GULF TO BAY BLVD STE 408 CLEARWATER FL 337054014</td>
<td>30 31 17 46404 002 0120 KERR ADD BLK 2, LOT 12</td>
<td>1531 5TH ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71910</td>
<td>TRUST NO 1819 1700 66TH ST N STE 104-180 SAINT PETERSBURG FL 33705544</td>
<td>25 31 16 48960 000 0480 LAKESVIEW HEIGHTS LOT 48</td>
<td>1819 11TH ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71911</td>
<td>SPECTRUM MORTGAGE INC 215 49TH ST S SAINT PETERSBURG FL 33707125</td>
<td>36 31 16 49644 002 0190 LAKESWOOD ESTATES SEC H BLK B, LOTS 19 THRU 24</td>
<td>2730 UNION ST S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FINAL ASSESSMENT ROLL

**8-24-2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
<th>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71912</td>
<td>WOODARD, TERRIANCE C</td>
<td>02 32 16</td>
<td>49740 000 0070</td>
<td>2771 BETHEL CT S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6235 30TH ST S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LAKEMOOWD RANCH ESTATES SUB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337124533</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOT 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71913</td>
<td>NAAR, ANSELMO</td>
<td>02 32 16</td>
<td>49740 000 0140</td>
<td>2636 BETHEL CT S</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500 56TH AVE S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LAKEMOOWD RANCH ESTATES SUB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337055146</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOT 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71914</td>
<td>READ, SANDRA</td>
<td>27 31 16</td>
<td>49878 006 0050</td>
<td>3727 14TH AVE S</td>
<td>104.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>319 MIDWAY IS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LANE'S, PAT RESUB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CLEARWATER BEACH FL 337672318</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK 6, LOT 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71915</td>
<td>A C H U INVESTMENTS LLC</td>
<td>26 31 16</td>
<td>51336 000 0130</td>
<td>2501 16TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 941</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LEWARM SUB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INDIAN ROCKS BEACH FL 337850941</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOT 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71916</td>
<td>L S 5 9 MASTER PARTICIPATION T</td>
<td>36 30 16</td>
<td>56610 002 0110</td>
<td>6610 DR. ML KING JR ST N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3701 REGENT BLVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MEADOW LAWN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IRVING TX 750632312</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK 2, LOT 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71917</td>
<td>SMITH, BETTY L EST</td>
<td>25 30 16</td>
<td>56646 020 0130</td>
<td>7122 MEADOWLAWN DR N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7122 MEADOWLAWN DR N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MEADOW LAWN 2ND ADD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337025020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK 20, LOT 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71918</td>
<td>JONES, LANETTE R</td>
<td>25 31 16</td>
<td>57114 000 0060</td>
<td>1340 MELROSE AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>118611 NW 8TH CT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MELLOR'S RESUB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIAMI FL 33169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOT 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAS805R
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71919</td>
<td>BAUCOM, JONATHAN D JR</td>
<td>15 31 16 58518 006</td>
<td>4043 7TH AVE N</td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MONTEREY SUB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK F, LOT 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337136307</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71920</td>
<td>TITAN DEVELOPMENT GROUP LLC</td>
<td>25 31 16 59670 001</td>
<td>1200 12TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEBRASKA PLACE SUB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK A, LOT 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA</td>
<td>FL 336155416</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71921</td>
<td>KOMADA, IRENE M EST</td>
<td>06 31 17 59814 005</td>
<td>607 46TH AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0090</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEW ENGLAND SUB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK E, LOT 9 &amp; E 8FT OF LOT 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337325938</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71922</td>
<td>COTTMAN, PURITY</td>
<td>31 31 17 62460 000</td>
<td>670 26TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OAK HARBOR W 60.48FT OF LOT 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337053112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71923</td>
<td>REDITUS CAPITAL LLC</td>
<td>27 31 16 65340 001</td>
<td>4105 12TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PAINE'S SUB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK A, LOT 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LARGO</td>
<td>FL 337704923</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71924</td>
<td>GRAYSON, DONNA</td>
<td>36 31 16 65358 004</td>
<td>835 WEST HARBOR</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0060</td>
<td>DR S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PALLANZA PARK REPLAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK 4, LOTS 6 AND 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ST PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337333062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71925</td>
<td>JOHNSON, HORACE L</td>
<td>36 31 16 65358 006</td>
<td>754 27TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PALLANZA PARK REPLAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLK 6, LOT 10 &amp; W 1/2 OF LOT 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Special Assessments Division
### FINAL ASSESSMENT ROLL
**8-24-2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71926</td>
<td>EMMERSON, STEVEN H</td>
<td>23 31 16 65862 001 0150</td>
<td>2811 2ND AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td>EMMERSON, STEVEN H</td>
<td>23 31 16 65862 005 0162</td>
<td>331 28TH ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2811 2ND AVE S</td>
<td></td>
<td>PALMETTO PARK BLK 1, LOT 15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337121003</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337121003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71927</td>
<td>PRINCE, MALVIN</td>
<td>23 31 16 65862 008 0040</td>
<td>2826 4TH AVE S</td>
<td>224.47</td>
<td>PHILIPS REFUGEES LLC</td>
<td>23 31 16 65862 008 0040</td>
<td>2826 4TH AVE S</td>
<td>224.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>331 28TH ST S</td>
<td></td>
<td>PALMETTO PARK BLK 8, LOTS 4 &amp; 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337032847</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337032847</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71928</td>
<td>4TH SCHOLARSHIP TRUST</td>
<td>20 31 16 66978 001 0200</td>
<td>6267 2ND AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td>4TH SCHOLARSHIP TRUST</td>
<td>20 31 16 66978 004 0020</td>
<td>6574 1ST AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4534 12TH AVE N</td>
<td></td>
<td>PASADENA ESTATES BLK 1, LOT 20</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337135222</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337135222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71930</td>
<td>HIBISCUS HOMES LLC</td>
<td>20 31 16 66978 004 0020</td>
<td>6574 1ST AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td>HIBISCUS HOMES LLC</td>
<td>20 31 16 66978 004 0020</td>
<td>6574 1ST AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>695 31ST ST S</td>
<td></td>
<td>PASADENA ESTATES BLK 4, LOT 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337121805</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71931</td>
<td>ALVAREZ, JORGE L</td>
<td>19 31 16 67734 002 0060</td>
<td>430 70TH ST N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td>ALVAREZ, JORGE L</td>
<td>19 31 16 67734 002 0060</td>
<td>430 70TH ST N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>430 70TH ST N</td>
<td></td>
<td>PASADENA TERRACE BLK 2, LOTS 6 AND 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337107644</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337107644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71932</td>
<td>URBANCZYK, Wladyslaw</td>
<td>19 31 16 67752 001 0100</td>
<td>6633 3RD AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td>URBANCZYK, Wladyslaw</td>
<td>19 31 16 67752 001 0100</td>
<td>6633 3RD AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 COVEWOOD PARK NE</td>
<td></td>
<td>PASADENA VISTA BLK 1, LOT 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CALGARY AB T3K 4T1</td>
<td></td>
<td>CANADA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAS805R
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID /LEGAL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71933</td>
<td>NOGOL, ELFRIEDE EST</td>
<td>19 31 16 67752 006 0020</td>
<td>6810 3RD AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PASADENA VISTA</td>
<td>BLK 6, LOT 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71934</td>
<td>ZINCK, RONALD L TRE</td>
<td>26 31 16 68004 002 0080</td>
<td>2917 16TH AVE S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120 HOLLY DR</td>
<td>PAUL SUB REVISED MAP</td>
<td>BLK 2, W 50FT OF LOT 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71935</td>
<td>PERRY, VICTOR</td>
<td>12 31 16 69102 014 0050</td>
<td>2034 28TH AVE N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2034 28TH AVE N</td>
<td>PINE CITY SUB REPLAT</td>
<td>BLK 14, LOT 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71936</td>
<td>MC KINNEY, ISAAC III</td>
<td>25 31 16 71766 000 0210</td>
<td>1760 PRESTON ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>145 22ND AVE SE</td>
<td>PINEVILLE SUB LOT 21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71937</td>
<td>MC KINNEY, ISAAC III</td>
<td>25 31 16 71766 000 0220</td>
<td>1768 PRESTON ST S</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>145 22ND AVE SE</td>
<td>PINEVILLE SUB LOT 22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71938</td>
<td>ST PETE HOUSING LLC</td>
<td>18 31 17 72288 000 0121</td>
<td>601 GROVE ST N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 143</td>
<td>PLUNKETS, ELIJAH B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S 14FT OF W 1/2 OF LOT 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&amp; N 1/2 OF W 1/2 OF LOT 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71939</td>
<td>M B C CAPITAL INVESTMENT CORP</td>
<td>18 31 17 72288 000 0290</td>
<td>545 8TH ST N</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>607 HITCHCOCK ST STE 101</td>
<td>PLUNKETS, ELIJAH B</td>
<td>LOT 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>Mailing Address</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71940</td>
<td>VOGT, GLENN C</td>
<td>801 11TH ST N</td>
<td>13 31 16 72504 001 0160</td>
<td>737 11TH ST N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337051228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71941</td>
<td>GULFCOAST SUNS LLC</td>
<td>2837 1ST AVE N</td>
<td>30 31 17 72612 000 0660</td>
<td>835 13TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337138603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71942</td>
<td>STANCIU, MARY L EST</td>
<td>3529 2ND AVE N</td>
<td>22 31 16 72756 014 0110</td>
<td>3529 2ND AVE N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337138403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71943</td>
<td>THIRD &amp; FIRST INC</td>
<td>95 CENTRAL AVENUE</td>
<td>19 31 17 74466 012 0151</td>
<td>115 3RD AVE N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337013930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71944</td>
<td>LANG COURT VENTURES LLC</td>
<td>356 22ND AVE NE</td>
<td>19 31 17 74664 000 0062</td>
<td>803 CALLA TERR N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337043529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71945</td>
<td>LANG COURT VENTURES LLC</td>
<td>356 22ND AVE NE</td>
<td>19 31 17 74664 000 0072</td>
<td>801 CALLA TERR N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337043529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71946</td>
<td>EMBARQ PROPERTY HOLDINGS SOLO</td>
<td>2711 DESOTO WAY S</td>
<td>28 31 16 75024 000 0160</td>
<td>4669 16TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337124002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71947</td>
<td>RAHDERT, GEORGE</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>535 CENTRAL AVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG, FL 337013703</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 31 16 75402 000 1190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RIDGWOOD TERRACE LOT 119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3430 16TH AVE S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71948</td>
<td>KREMINA, JAROSLAV</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11601 4TH ST N APT 2715</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 30 17 75636 012 0090</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RIO VISTA BLK 12, LOT 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>311 80TH AVE NE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71949</td>
<td>NORWOOD, DOROTHY J</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>754 S CLAYTON AVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAKELAND, FL 33801</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18 31 16 76734 001 0250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROYAL POINCIANA UNIT NO. 1 BLK 1, LOT 25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6718 10TH AVE N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71950</td>
<td>NORWOOD, DOROTHY J</td>
<td>104.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>754 S CLAYTON AVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAKELAND, FL 33801</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18 31 16 76734 001 0250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROYAL POINCIANA UNIT NO. 1 BLK 1, LOT 25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6718 10TH AVE N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71951</td>
<td>BAY TO GULF HOLDINGS LLC</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1406 N DALE MABRY HWY STE 300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAMPA, FL 336072506</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 31 17 77184 013 0030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROUSLYNN BLK 13, LOT 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>141 20TH AVE S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71952</td>
<td>PAREDES, ORESTES JULIO</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1555 W 44TH PL APT 261</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HIALEAH, FL 330127123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 31 17 77400 000 1220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROYAL POINCIANA LOT 122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>511 14TH AVE S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71953</td>
<td>ST PETERSBURG PROPERTY I LLC</td>
<td>184.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47 OLD SUDSBURY RD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WAYLAND, MA 017781808</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 31 17 77400 000 1340</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROYAL POINCIANA LOTS 134 AND 135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>661 14TH AVE S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>Mailing Address</td>
<td>Property Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71954</td>
<td>HARRISON ENTERPRISES LLC</td>
<td>30 31 17 77400 000 1400</td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337052761</td>
<td>676 14TH AVE S LOTS 140 &amp; 141 &amp; E 2FT OF LOT 139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71955</td>
<td>SPILLANE, LINDA K</td>
<td>22 31 16 77562 006 0060</td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337137426</td>
<td>4042 DARTMOUTH AVE N RUSSELL PARK BLK 6, LOT 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71956</td>
<td>RICH PROPERTIES LLC</td>
<td>23 31 16 78390 002 0140</td>
<td>SANTA CLARA CA 95054198</td>
<td>2321 DARTMOUTH AVE N ST PETERSBURG INVESTMENT CO SUB BLK 2, LOT 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71957</td>
<td>ST PETERSBURG PROPERTIES INC</td>
<td>23 31 16 78390 033 0070</td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337137650</td>
<td>2448 3RD AVE S ST PETERSBURG INVESTMENT CO SUB BLK 33, LOT 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71958</td>
<td>FUTURE ALTERNATIVE FUEL LLC</td>
<td>27 31 16 80385 001 0070</td>
<td>TAMPA FL 337093842</td>
<td>1900 45TH ST S SHADOW LAWN BLK 1, LOT 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71959</td>
<td>L S F 9 MASTER PARTICIPATION T</td>
<td>08 31 16 80838 003 0140</td>
<td>TALLAHASSEE CA 921271907</td>
<td>3198 61ST WAY N SHIERRY MANOR UNIT 2 1ST ADD BLK 3, LOT 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71960</td>
<td>PATHWAY 2 SUCCESS INC</td>
<td>25 31 16 81126 000 0030</td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337093842</td>
<td>1820 7TH AVE S SHEWMAN, JOHN LOT 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71961</td>
<td>DORBU, VICTOR LAUCY</td>
<td>25 31 16 81126 000 0040</td>
<td>SHEWMAN, JOHN LOT 4</td>
<td>1810 7TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3919 70TH AVE E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELLENTON FL 34227227</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71962</td>
<td>BEDRIN, ROSEANN M</td>
<td>04 31 16 82098 000 0120</td>
<td>SINCLAIR'S REPLAT LOT 12</td>
<td>5301 38TH AVE N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5301 38TH AVE N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337102028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71963</td>
<td>CHRISTOPOULOS, GEORGIA</td>
<td>24 31 15 84042 010 0060</td>
<td>SOUTH CAUSEWAY ISLE 3RD ADD BLK 10, LOT 6</td>
<td>7925 3RD AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1906 DOLPHIN BLVD S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG FL 337073810</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71964</td>
<td>BUTLER FAMILY TRUST NO 4168</td>
<td>27 31 16 84366 000 0100</td>
<td>SOUTH PORTLAND HEIGHTS REPLAT LOT 10</td>
<td>4168 14TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1040 BAYVIEW DR STE 610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FORT LAUDERDALE FL 333042506</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71965</td>
<td>TRUST 4158</td>
<td>27 31 16 84366 000 0110</td>
<td>SOUTH PORTLAND HEIGHTS REPLAT LOT 11</td>
<td>4158 14TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2401 WEST BAY DR STE 302</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LARGO FL 337704923</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71966</td>
<td>WEEWA BUCAY-COUTA</td>
<td>25 31 16 85140 000 0330</td>
<td>STANLEY HEIGHTS LOT 33</td>
<td>1050 MELROSE AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46 PEACH ORCHARD RD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BURLINGTON MA 018033237</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71967</td>
<td>CHRABLA, WILLIAM</td>
<td>25 31 16 89658 000 0420</td>
<td>TANGERINE PARK REPLAT LOT 42</td>
<td>1921 20TH ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3238 99TH ST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JACKSON HEIGHTS NY 113697813</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME</td>
<td>MAILING ADDRESS</td>
<td>PARCEL ID</td>
<td>PARCEL ID/LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71968</td>
<td>MACKEY, LATWANDA S</td>
<td>3862 MANDALAY DR</td>
<td>25 31 16 89658</td>
<td>000 0430 TANGERINE PARK REPLAT LOT 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337056446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71969</td>
<td>GLOVER, RICHARD T</td>
<td>6711 30TH AVE N</td>
<td>07 31 16 90162</td>
<td>000 1500 TERESA GARDENS LOT 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337103103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71970</td>
<td>NICKERSON, JOHN</td>
<td>1342 TYRONE BLVD N</td>
<td>17 31 16 93744</td>
<td>004 0020 VARIETY VILLAGE REPLAT BLK 4, LOT 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337105655</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71971</td>
<td>NICKERSON, JOHN</td>
<td>1342 TYRONE BLVD N</td>
<td>17 31 16 93744</td>
<td>004 0020 VARIETY VILLAGE REPLAT BLK 4, LOT 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337105655</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71972</td>
<td>RANDOM PROPERTIES ACQUISITION</td>
<td>2900 ESPERANZA XING</td>
<td>17 31 16 93744 004 0100 VARIETY VILLAGE REPLAT BLK 4, LOT 10</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUSTIN</td>
<td>TX 787583658</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71973</td>
<td>RANDOM PROPERTIES ACQUISITION</td>
<td>2900 ESPERANZA XING</td>
<td>17 31 16 93744 004 0100 VARIETY VILLAGE REPLAT BLK 4, LOT 10</td>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUSTIN</td>
<td>TX 787583658</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71974</td>
<td>DARBY, GRANT D</td>
<td>1110 62ND ST N</td>
<td>17 31 16 93744</td>
<td>004 0120 VARIETY VILLAGE REPLAT BLK 4, LOT 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337106220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71976</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71978</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71979</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71980</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71981</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BALL, JOSEPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTONIO, RICHARD F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INWOOD, BRAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA FED NATL MTG ASSN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUGGS, JEFFERY C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUST NO 641319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAILING ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PO BOX 517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2047 2ND AVE N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2248 STARLINE DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3516 3RD AVE S TRUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950 E PACES FERRY RD NE STE 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2709 UNION ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700 66TH ST N STE 104-180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21 31 16 94032 010 0080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 31 16 94248 007 0160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 31 16 94248 009 0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3516 3RD AVE S TRUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 31 16 96588 012 0090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 31 16 97560 000 0220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 31 16 97560 000 0690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VICTORY HEIGHTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VINTSETTA PARK ADD REV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VINTSETTA PARK ADD REV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST CENTRAL AVENUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTMINSTER PLACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILDWOOD SUB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILDWOOD SUB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4660 6TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4835 10TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4626 9TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3516 3RD AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5263 5TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2576 14TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2720 13TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORIGINAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BALL, JOSEPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTONIO, RICHARD F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INWOOD, BRAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA FED NATL MTG ASSN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUGGS, JEFFERY C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUST NO 641319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAILING ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PO BOX 517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2047 2ND AVE N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2248 STARLINE DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3516 3RD AVE S TRUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950 E PACES FERRY RD NE STE 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2709 UNION ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700 66TH ST N STE 104-180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21 31 16 94032 010 0080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 31 16 94248 007 0160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 31 16 94248 009 0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3516 3RD AVE S TRUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 31 16 96588 012 0090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 31 16 97560 000 0220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 31 16 97560 000 0690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VICTORY HEIGHTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VINTSETTA PARK ADD REV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VINTSETTA PARK ADD REV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST CENTRAL AVENUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTMINSTER PLACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILDWOOD SUB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILDWOOD SUB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4660 6TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4835 10TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4626 9TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3516 3RD AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5263 5TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2576 14TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2720 13TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORIGINAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA 1580 71981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BALL, JOSEPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTONIO, RICHARD F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INWOOD, BRAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA FED NATL MTG ASSN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUGGS, JEFFERY C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUST NO 641319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAILING ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PO BOX 517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2047 2ND AVE N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2248 STARLINE DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3516 3RD AVE S TRUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950 E PACES FERRY RD NE STE 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2709 UNION ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700 66TH ST N STE 104-180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21 31 16 94032 010 0080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 31 16 94248 007 0160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 31 16 94248 009 0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3516 3RD AVE S TRUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 31 16 96588 012 0090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 31 16 97560 000 0220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 31 16 97560 000 0690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VICTORY HEIGHTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VINTSETTA PARK ADD REV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VINTSETTA PARK ADD REV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST CENTRAL AVENUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTMINSTER PLACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILDWOOD SUB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILDWOOD SUB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4660 6TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4835 10TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4626 9TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3516 3RD AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5263 5TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2576 14TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2720 13TH AVE S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORIGINAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAS805R
**FINAL ASSESSMENT ROLL**

**City of St. Petersburg**
Special Assessments Division

**8-24-2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME /MAILING ADDRESS</th>
<th>PARCEL ID /LEGAL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**TOTAL NUMBER OF ASSESSMENTS:** 147

**TOTAL ASSESSMENT AMOUNT:** 28,547.10
LOT CLEARING NUMBER 1580
COST / FUNDING / ASSESSMENT INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY ASSESSED</th>
<th>AMOUNT TO BE ASSESSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOT CLEARING COST</td>
<td>$ 18,992.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMINISTRATIVE FEE</td>
<td>$ 9,555.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>$ 28,547.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AND APPROVING PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR LOT CLEARING NO. 1580; PROVIDING FOR AN INTEREST RATE ON UNPAID ASSESSMENTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, preliminary assessment rolls for Lot Clearing No. 1580 have been submitted by the Mayor to the City Council pursuant to St. Petersburg Code Section 16.40.060.4.4; and

WHEREAS, notice of the public hearing was duly published in accordance with St. Petersburg City Code Section 16.40.060.4.4; and

WHEREAS, City Council did meet at the time and place specified in the notice and heard any and all complaints that any person affected by said proposed assessments wished to offer; and

WHEREAS, City Council has corrected any and all mistakes or errors appearing on said preliminary assessment rolls.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the preliminary assessment rolls for Lot Clearing No. 1580 are approved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the principal amount of all assessment liens levied and assessed herein shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum from the date of this resolution.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
00336553
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

MEETING OF:  August 24, 2017

TO:  COUNCIL CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT:  Confirming Preliminary Assessment for Building Securing Number SEC 1226

EXPLANATION:  Codes Compliance Assistance has secured the attached structures which were found to be unfit or unsafe under Chapter 8 of the St. Petersburg City Code. The interest rate is 12% per annum on the unpaid balance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEC:</th>
<th>1226</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF STRUCTURES</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSABLE AMOUNT:</td>
<td>$2,963.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the City Code, these assessments constitute a lien on each property. It is recommended that the assessments be confirmed.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION:
The total assessable amount of $2,963.56 will be fully assessable to the property owners.

ATTACHMENTS:

MAYOR: ________________________________

COUNCIL ACTION: _______________________

FOLLOW-UP: ____________________________  AGENDA NO. ____________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07762</td>
<td>LOVETT, TRIKA S</td>
<td>06 32 17 03852 005 0170</td>
<td>100.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1709 ANASTASIA WAY S</td>
<td>BAYOU HEIGHTS, HANLON'S BLK 5, LOT 17 &amp; E 25FT OF LOT 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337124422</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07763</td>
<td>MORGAN STANLEY ABS CAPITAL I I</td>
<td>20 31 16 06030 001 0100</td>
<td>124.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3476 STATEVIEW BLVD</td>
<td>6024 5TH AVE S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FORT MILL</td>
<td>SC 297157203</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07764</td>
<td>HICKS, JEAN</td>
<td>22 31 16 08334 005 0220</td>
<td>88.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3341 62ND AVE N</td>
<td>525 37TH ST S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337026106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07765</td>
<td>PACKAGE A</td>
<td>22 31 16 29950 001 0010</td>
<td>76.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1201 OAKFIELD DR</td>
<td>101 34TH ST S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRANDON</td>
<td>FL 335114928</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07766</td>
<td>HOLISTIC ASSETS LLC</td>
<td>31 31 17 32796 000 0260</td>
<td>120.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KORIANDERLAAN 62 AMSTELVEEN</td>
<td>3625 6TH ST S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NETHERLANDS NH 1187E-E NH</td>
<td>LOT 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07767</td>
<td>MARTIN, JOSEPH T EST</td>
<td>21 31 16 35244 015 0060</td>
<td>199.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4242 4TH AVE S</td>
<td>4242 4TH AVE S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337111504</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07768</td>
<td>WILLIAMS, BRUCE C</td>
<td>25 31 16 35442 003 0170</td>
<td>382.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2053 WINCHESTER BLVD</td>
<td>1430 16TH ST S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAMPBELL</td>
<td>CA 950083419</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</td>
<td>OWNER NAME /MAILING ADDRESS</td>
<td>PARCEL ID /LEGAL DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07769</td>
<td>MELLOUKI, ABDELGHANI PO BOX 12366</td>
<td>25 31 16 37152 000 0110 HARRIS', T.C. &amp; A.H. SUB LOT 11</td>
<td>1436 PRESTON ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07770</td>
<td>ZHUROVSKY USA LLC 100 ASHLEY DR STE 600</td>
<td>15 31 16 45828 003 0020 KENILWORTH BLK C, LOTS 2 AND 3</td>
<td>4320 8TH AVE N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07771</td>
<td>VALENTINE, LEVI JR EST 2108 12TH ST S</td>
<td>25 31 16 48960 000 0230 LAKEVIEW HEIGHTS LOT 23</td>
<td>2108 12TH ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07772</td>
<td>JOHNSON, LOUIS 2217 QUEEN ST S</td>
<td>36 31 16 49068 000 0010 LAKEVIEW TERRACE LOT 1</td>
<td>2217 QUEEN ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07773</td>
<td>BAUCOM, JONATHAN D JR 4043 7TH AVE N</td>
<td>15 31 16 58518 006 0110 MONTEREY SUB BLK F, LOT 11</td>
<td>4043 7TH AVE N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07774</td>
<td>EXCEL GLORY ENTERPRISES INC 1172 SHERMAN AVE # 4</td>
<td>36 31 16 63792 003 0030 OHIO PARK BLK 3, LOT 3</td>
<td>2421 20TH ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC 1226 07775</td>
<td>FUTURE ALTERNATIVE FUEL LLC 4770 LANCASHURE LN</td>
<td>27 31 16 80385 001 0070 SHADOW LAWN BLK 1, LOT 7</td>
<td>1900 45TH ST S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Special Assessments Division

### FINAL ASSESSMENT ROLL
8-24-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRC 1226 07776</td>
<td>Fowler &amp; Hall Property Mgmt MA</td>
<td>22316961920030100</td>
<td>175.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>215 39TH ST S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saint Petersburg</td>
<td>3655 1ST AVE S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL 33711228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WEST CENTRAL AVE SUB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLK C, LOT 10 LESS ST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL NUMBER OF ASSESSMENTS:** 15

**TOTAL ASSESSMENT AMOUNT:** 2,963.56
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>AMOUNT TO BE ASSESSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SECURING COST</td>
<td>$1,550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERIAL COST</td>
<td>$311.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGAL AD</td>
<td>$427.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMIN. FEE</td>
<td>$675.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,963.56</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg has proceeded under the provision of Chapter 8, of the St. Petersburg City Code to secure certain properties; and

WHEREAS, the structures so secured are listed on Securing Building No. 1226 ("SEC 1226"); and

WHEREAS, Section 8-270 of the St. Petersburg City Code provides that the City Council shall assess the entire cost of such securing against the property on which the costs were incurred and that assessments shall become a lien upon the property superior to all others, except taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has held a public hearing on August 24, 2017, to hear all persons who wished to be heard concerning this matter.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that this Council assesses the costs of securing listed on Securing Building No. 1226 ("SEC 1226") as liens against the respective real property on which the costs were incurred and that pursuant to Section 8-270 of the St. Petersburg City Code said liens shall be superior in dignity to all other liens except taxes; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute and record notice(s) of the lien(s) provided for herein in the public records of the County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Special Assessment Certificates to be issued hereunder shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum on the unpaid balance from the date of the adoption of this resolution.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
00336554
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

MEETING OF: August 24, 2017

TO: COUNCIL CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Confirming Preliminary Assessment for Building Demolition Number DMO 452

EXPLANATION: The privately owned structures on the attached list were condemned by the City in response to unfit or unsafe conditions as authorized under Chapter 8 of the St. Petersburg City Code. The City’s Codes Compliance Assistance Department incurred costs of condemnation/securing/appeal/abatement/demolition and under the provisions of City Code Section 8-270, these costs are to be assessed to the property. The interest rate is 12% per annum on the unpaid balance.

DMO: 452
NUMBER OF STRUCTURES: 5
ASSESSABLE AMOUNT: $12,841.87

According to the City Code, these assessments constitute a lien on each property. It is recommended that the assessments be confirmed.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION:
The total assessable amount of $12,841.87 will be fully assessable to the property owners.

ATTACHMENTS:

MAYOR: ________________________________

COUNCIL ACTION: ________________________________

FOLLOW-UP: ________________________________  AGENDA NO. ____________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER NAME</th>
<th>PARCEL ID</th>
<th>PROPERTY ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0452 03255</td>
<td>HEYWOOD, CODY STAR</td>
<td>31 31 17 36684 000 0670</td>
<td>527 25TH AVE S</td>
<td>488.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3765 41ST ST S APT 74H</td>
<td>HARBORDALE SUB</td>
<td>LOT 67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337114938</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0452 03256</td>
<td>665 26TH AVE S TRUST</td>
<td>31 31 17 36684 000 0910</td>
<td>665 26TH AVE S</td>
<td>474.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19715 SPRING WILLOW CT</td>
<td>HARBORDALE SUB</td>
<td>LOT 91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ODESSA</td>
<td>FL 33561737</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0452 03257</td>
<td>HUNGERFORD, PAUL</td>
<td>27 31 16 54468 004 0030</td>
<td>1420 46TH ST S</td>
<td>488.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>260 COMMODORE DR APT 1215</td>
<td>MAGNUS' REPLAT, JOHN K.</td>
<td>BLK D, LOT 3 &amp; S 21.25FT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAVIE</td>
<td>FL 333252685</td>
<td>OF LOT 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0452 03258</td>
<td>4033 15TH AVE S TRUST</td>
<td>27 31 16 56970 000 0090</td>
<td>4033 15TH AVE S</td>
<td>466.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3945 WELLINGTON PKWY</td>
<td>MEAN'S SUB</td>
<td>LOT 9 LESS ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PALM HARBOR</td>
<td>FL 346851172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMO 0452 03259</td>
<td>GENERAL HOME DEVELOPMENT CORP</td>
<td>28 31 16 94248 012 0070</td>
<td>4834 10TH AVE S</td>
<td>10,922.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>215 49TH ST S</td>
<td>VINESEITA PARK ADD REV</td>
<td>BLK 12, LOT 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAINT PETERSBURG</td>
<td>FL 337071925</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL NUMBER OF ASSESSMENTS: 5
TOTAL ASSESSMENT AMOUNT: 12,841.87
### Building Demolition Number DMO 452

**Cost/Funding/Assessment Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount to Be Assessed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demolition Cost</td>
<td>$4,840.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos Cost</td>
<td>$5,615.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Ad</td>
<td>$1,261.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer's Charge</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Fee</td>
<td>$1,125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,841.87</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A RESOLUTION ASSESSING THE COSTS OF DEMOLITION LISTED ON BUILDING DEMOLITION NO. 452 ("DMO NO. 452") AS LIENS AGAINST THE RESPECTIVE REAL PROPERTY ON WHICH THE COSTS WERE INCURRED; PROVIDING THAT SAID LIENS HAVE A PRIORITY AS ESTABLISHED BY CITY CODE SECTION 8-270; PROVIDING FOR AN INTEREST RATE ON UNPAID BALANCES; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND RECORD NOTICE(S) OF LIEN(S) IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF THE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg has proceeded under the provision of Chapter 8, of the St. Petersburg City Code to demolish certain properties; and

WHEREAS, the structures so demolished are listed on Building Demolition No. 452 ("DMO No. 452"); and

WHEREAS, Section 8-270 of the St. Petersburg City Code provides that the City Council shall assess the entire cost of such demolition against the property on which the costs were incurred and that assessments shall become a lien upon the property superior to all others, except taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has held a public hearing on August 24, 2017, to hear all persons who wished to be heard concerning this matter.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that this Council assesses the costs of the demolition listed on Building Demolition No. 452 ("DMO No. 452") as liens against the respective real property on which the costs were incurred and that pursuant to Section 8-270 of the St. Petersburg City Code said liens shall be superior in dignity to all other liens except taxes; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Special Assessment Certificates to be issued hereunder shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum on the unpaid balance from the date of the adoption of this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute and record notice(s) of the lien(s) provided for herein in the public records of the County.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
00336552
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL
Meeting of August 24, 2017

TO

The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and Members of City Council

SUBJECT

Second Reading and Public Hearing of Ordinance 292-H adopting Amendments to the Intown Redevelopment Plan (IRP) to delete the reference to the “Mixed Use Transportation Facility” in Table 2 and reallocate its $14 million in allowable project costs that can be funded by tax increment financing (TIF) to “Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project” and/or “Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District” as well as to the “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” project; establish a $190,984,882 ceiling for total tax increment financing contributions needed to complete the IRP program for the projects identified in Table 2; and allow for discussions between the City of St. Petersburg and Pinellas County on future projects in the Intown Community Area and enable certain amendments to the IRP Interlocal Agreement to modify the terms for use of IRP TIF revenues if mutually agreed upon.

RECOMMENDATION

Administration recommends City Council approve the attached Ordinance and adopt the Amendments to the Intown Redevelopment Plan.

BACKGROUND

The proposed amendments to the Intown Redevelopment Plan are required by Resolution 2017-453 that was adopted by City Council on August 3, 2017, and approved the Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement to the Intown Redevelopment Plan (Interlocal). The Interlocal reallocated $14 million previously allotted for a “Mixed Use Transportation Facility” in the following manner:

- Reallocate $14 million previously allotted for a “Mixed Use Transportation Facility” to:
  - expend up to $10 million in TIF on (i) “Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project” and/or (ii) “Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District”.
  - expend $4 million on “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” throughout the Intown Redevelopment Area.
allocate to “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” any remaining amount of $10 million not spent on enhancements to the “Municipal Pier Project” and/or “Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District”.

The Interlocal also establishes a ceiling of $190,984,882 for total tax increment financing (TIF) contributions needed to complete the IRP program for the projects identified in Table 2 of the IRP. In addition, the Interlocal allows for future discussions between the City and County to increase TIF contributions if mutually agreed upon for projects related to parking and transportation enhancements within the Intown CRA and projects related to the redevelopment of the Tropicana Field property.

The amendments to the Intown Redevelopment Plan reflecting the requirements of the Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement to the Intown Redevelopment Plan can be found in Exhibit 1 of the ordinance on pages 3, 14, 18, 26, 29, 36 and 37.

RECOMMENDATION

Administration recommends City Council approve the attached Ordinance and adopt the amendments to the Intown Redevelopment Plan.

Attachment: Ordinance and Exhibit 1: Amended Chapters of the Intown Redevelopment Plan
AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING AMENDMENTS TO THE INTOWN REDEVELOPMENT PLAN (IRP) OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, TO DELETE THE REFERENCE TO “MIXED-USE TRANSPORTATION FACILITY” IN TABLE 2 AND REALLOCATE ITS $14 MILLION IN ALLOWABLE PROJECT COSTS THAT CAN BE FUNDED BY TAX INCREMENT FINANCING (TIF) TO THE “ENHANCEMENTS TO THE MUNICIPAL PIER PROJECT” AND/OR “ENHANCEMENTS TO THE DOWNTOWN WATERFRONT MASTER PLAN IMPROVEMENTS IN THE PIER DISTRICT” AS WELL AS TO THE “DOWNTOWN TRANSPORTATION AND PARKING IMPROVEMENTS” PROJECT; ESTABLISH A $190,984,882 CEILING FOR TOTAL TIF CONTRIBUTIONS NEEDED TO COMPLETE THE IRP PROGRAM FOR THE PROJECTS LISTED IN TABLE 2; AND ALLOW FOR DISCUSSIONS BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG AND PINELLAS COUNTY ON FUTURE PROJECTS IN THE INTOWN REDEVELOPMENT AREA AND ENABLE CERTAIN AMENDMENTS TO THE IRP INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT TO MODIFY THE TERMS FOR USE OF IRP TIF REVENUES IF MUTUALLY AGREED UPON; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg approved the Intown Redevelopment Plan (IRP) to revitalize the City’s original downtown core area and waterfront for urban entertainment, sports, residential, commercial, institutional and office uses; and

WHEREAS, the Waterfront Plan section of the IRP calls for the continued renovation of the waterfront parks and Pier area and the development of specialty retail and cultural and recreational facilities; and

WHEREAS, on June 4, 2015, City Council approved the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan, which was mandated by an amendment to the City Charter approved by referendum on November 8, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan identified potential future public improvements throughout the waterfront planning area, including the Pier District; and

WHEREAS, the Pier District provides a vital link between the new Municipal Pier and the Downtown Core and public improvements are necessary to energize and connect activity between both to ensure the long-term success of the Municipal Pier; and

WHEREAS, in 2005, the St. Petersburg City Council and the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners first approved the “Interlocal Agreement between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Pinellas County, Florida for the Commitment of Tax Increment
Revenues in the Intown Redevelopment Area” (Interlocal Agreement) and have since approved four amendments; and

WHEREAS, on April 20, 2017, the St. Petersburg City Council, in support of additional funding for the Pier District improvements, approved Resolution 2017-260, the Fifth Amendment to the Restated Interlocal Agreement (Fifth Amendment), which amends Table 2 of the IRP to delete the $14-million “Mixed-Use Transportation Facility” and re-allocate its funding in the following manner:

- expend up to $10 million in TIF on (i) Enhancements to the “Municipal Pier Project” and/or (ii) “Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District”;
- expend $4 million on “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” throughout the Intown Redevelopment Area; and
- allocate to “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” any of the $10 million in TIF not utilized for “Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project” and/or “Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District”.

WHEREAS, after reviewing Resolution 2017-260 and the Fifth Amendment, Pinellas County is requiring a ceiling of $190,984,882 on total tax increment financing contributions needed to complete the IRP program identified in Table 2 and other substantive changes that require City Council approval; and

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg and Pinellas County agree to discussions on future projects in the Intown Redevelopment Area and enable certain amendments to the IRP Interlocal Agreement to modify the terms for use of IRP TIF revenues if mutually agreed upon.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES HEREBY ORDAIN:

Section 1. Exhibit A of Ordinance 557-F, as amended, is hereby amended to read as provided in Exhibit 1 of this ordinance, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein.

Section 2. Words that are struck through shall be deleted from the existing Intown Redevelopment Plan (IRP) and language that is underlined shall be added to the existing IRP. Provisions not specifically amended shall continue in full force and effect.
Section 3. Severability. The provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed to be severable. If any portion of this ordinance is deemed unconstitutional, it shall not affect the constitutionality of any other portion of this ordinance.

Section 4. Effective Date. In the event this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective when the Board of County Commissioners approves it as an amendment to the Intown Redevelopment Plan. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective as described above.

First Reading conducted on 3rd day of August, 2017.

Passed by St. Petersburg City Council on second and final reading held on the 24th day of August, 2017.

Approved as to Form and Substance

[Signatures]

City Attorney Date

Director, Planning & Economic Development Date
Exhibit 1

Amended Sections of Exhibit A of Ordinance 557-F
Intown Redevelopment Plan

MAYOR/CRA EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
Rick Kriseman

CITY COUNCIL/COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY (CRA)

Vice Chair

ADMINISTRATION
Gary Cornwell, City Administrator
Alan DeLisle, City Development Administrator

Darden Rice, Chair
Lisa Wheeler-Bowman, Vice Chair
Amy Foster
Charlie Gerdes
Jim Kennedy
Steve Kornell
Ed Montanari
Karl Nurse

Originally Adopted in March 1982
Approved as Amended on September 3, 2015
INTRODUCTION

The Intown Redevelopment Plan (IRP) is the revitalization plan for the downtown. The development and implementation of the plan involves the efforts of City Council, the Community Redevelopment Agency, and the residential, financial, and business communities.

The Intown Redevelopment Plan (IRP) provides mechanisms and programs for coordinating and facilitating public and private improvements to encourage revitalization. The authority and powers invested in this plan come from the Community Redevelopment Act of 1969 (Florida Statutes, Chapter 163, Part III).

The Community Redevelopment Act grants local municipalities and local redevelopment agencies the authority to undertake community redevelopment projects following the designation of a redevelopment area to be of slum or blight, or a combination thereof.

Once an area has been declared appropriate for redevelopment, a community redevelopment plan is prepared. Before the plan is approved, the local governing body must hold a public hearing on the proposed plan.

In conjunction with preparing the plan, a redevelopment agency must be established to carry out the plan. On June 30, 1981, the City Council received redevelopment powers from the Pinellas County Board of Commissioners. Then the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg declared itself the Community Redevelopment Agency for the Intown Redevelopment Plan (See Appendix A).

The Intown Redevelopment Plan was the second of four community redevelopment plans adopted for Downtown and its environs to promote revitalization (see Map 1). The first, the Jamestown Redevelopment Area, was established in 1977 and expired in 2007.

The 193-acre Bayboro Harbor Community Redevelopment Plan was approved in December 1985, with a tax increment financing (TIF) district approved in March 1988. The CRA lies south of the Intown Redevelopment Area and is bounded by the Port of St. Petersburg, Albert Whitted Airport, USF-St. Petersburg, the Old Southeast Neighborhood and the medical center complex. Bayboro’s development program and public projects, funded through TIF, supports these important assets. The district’s southern section, particularly along Salt Creek, also contains marine-related industries such as marinas, yacht builders, boat repair facilities and research uses not found elsewhere in the city.

The 123-acre Intown West Redevelopment Area lies north and west of Tropicana Field, and was created in 1990, with a tax increment district. Intown West was created to capitalize on the development of Tropicana Field and the eventual award of a Major League Baseball franchise. Specific issues the IWRP attempts to address include physical deterioration of structures and properties, poor visual identity and lack of a unified architectural theme or development pattern.
PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The redevelopment of Intown has been a long-standing goal in St. Petersburg. It was recognized in the Goals for St. Petersburg 1973, the 1977 Intown Sector Land Use Plan, and, in 1979, the Intown Design and Development Program (IDDP). Given the above precedents, part of Intown Sector (see Map 2) has been identified as suitable for redevelopment as required under Chapter 163, Part III.

The redevelopment area is outlined on Map 2 and covers 309 acres, excluding rights-of-way. This area was declared suitable for redevelopment on December 17, 1981, (see Appendix A). Since its 1982 adoption, the IRP has been modified in response to changing market conditions, including amendments in 1995 that refined the plan emphasis for the Core, Waterfront, Duke Energy Center for the Arts and other project areas (see Ordinance No. 205-G). In 2005, the City of St. Petersburg amended the Intown Redevelopment Plan to establish April 7, 2035, as its expiration date and utilize its tax increment financing revenue until that date to bond public projects related to the Pier, improvements to the Duke Energy Center for the Arts, finance a mixed-use parking garage/transportation facility in an appropriate location within the IRP area, and fund pedestrian, streetscape and park improvements within the tax increment district. In 2015, the City amended the IRP to add $20 million in budgetary authority to fund public improvements identified in the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan. Pinellas County’s obligation to appropriate tax increment revenues will terminate the earlier of April 7, 2032, or at such time as the $117.4 million in funding required to pay for these projects has been repaid. The total TIF contributions needed to complete the IRP program identified in Table 2 shall not exceed $190,984,882 as calculated since the approval of the 2005 IRP Interlocal Agreement. This ceiling on TIF contributions shall not prevent the City of St. Petersburg and Pinellas County from having future discussions regarding potential projects within the Intown CRA and amending the Intown Interlocal Agreement, if mutually agreed upon, to effectuate the implementation of those projects.

The written description of the Intown Redevelopment Area is as follows:

Starting at a point located at 7th Avenue N.E. extended and Tampa Bay moving west along 7th Avenue N. E. to Beach Drive, South along Beach Drive to 5th Avenue North, West along 5th Avenue North to 2nd Street, South along 2nd Street to 4th Avenue North, West along 4th Avenue North to 3rd Street, South along 3rd Street to 3rd Avenue North, East along 3rd Avenue North to 2nd Street, South along 2nd Street to 2nd Avenue North, West along 2nd Avenue North to 3rd Street, South along 3rd Street to 1st Avenue North, West along 1st Avenue North to 6th Street, North along 6th Street to Mirror Lake Drive, West along Mirror Lake Drive to Arlington Avenue, Westerly along Arlington Avenue to 7th Street, South along 7th Street to 1st Avenue North, West along 1st Avenue North to 8th Street, South along 8th Street to 1st Avenue South, West along 1st Avenue South to 16th Street, South along 16th Street to I-175, East along I-175 to 4th Street, South on 4th Street to 5th Avenue South, East along 5th Avenue South to 3rd Street, North along 3rd Street to 4th Avenue South, East along 4th Avenue South to 2nd Street, South along 2nd Street to 5th Avenue South, Easterly along 5th Avenue South to Bayshore Drive, Easterly along Bayshore Drive to the Southern boundary of Municipal Parking Lot No. 51 on Plat Sheets D-I, D-3 and E-3 of the Official Zoning Map of the City of St. Petersburg, Easterly along the Southern boundary of Municipal Parking Lot No. 51, then Northerly along the Eastern boundary of Municipal Parking Lot No. 51 to Tampa Bay, Northerly along the coastline including any natural or artificial structures or land masses emanating from the coastline to a point located at the
intersection of 7th Avenue N. E. extended and Tampa Bay; less the area beginning at the intersection of 1st Avenue South and 7th Street, South along 7th Street to 2nd Avenue South, East along 2nd Avenue South to 5th Street, South along 5th Street to 3rd Avenue South, East along 3rd Avenue South to 4th Street, North along 4th Street to 1st Avenue South, West along 1st Avenue South to 7th Street.

Within the redevelopment area are four important focus areas for new development: the Core, Webb’s City, the Stadium Complex and surrounding residential areas (see Map 2). The first focus area is the Core, which also encompasses the waterfront. The integration of the Core and waterfront into a single focus area recognizes the importance of unifying these areas, which is a vital and unique part of the Intown and downtown revitalization.

Webb’s City represents the second focus area and consists of the former Webb’s City Department Store site and adjacent parcels. The Webb’s City Department Store had functioned over the years as a residential service center as well as a tourist attraction. However, Webb’s City Incorporated became financially troubled in 1976.

In January 1981, the City’s involvement with Webb’s City redevelopment program occurred when the Economic Development Administration transferred title of its property to the City. The City, in return, began making payments to EDA on the $1.1 million transaction on February 18, 1987.

The Stadium Complex is the third focus area and is located between Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. and 16th Streets and between 1st Avenue South and 1-175. The Stadium Complex, formerly known as the Gas Plant area, was declared a redevelopment area by City Council on September 7, 1978, under Chapter 163, Part III, F.S.; (Council Resolution 78-738). Initially envisioned to support industrial park and residential development, the Gas Plant Redevelopment Plan, which included plans to construct a multipurpose stadium on the site, was incorporated into the Intown Redevelopment Plan in 1983 (Ord. No. 669-F). Land acquisition and construction took the remainder of the decade, with the new domed stadium officially opened to the public on March 3, 1990.

The surrounding residential areas represent an important facet of establishing a permanent residential base in the downtown and providing for a 24-hour working, living and recreational activity center.

REDEVELOPMENT ROLES

The City Council is the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) of the Intown Redevelopment Area and reviews certain projects for consistency with the Intown Redevelopment Plan, according to project cost thresholds adopted by resolution. The CRA has the authority to recommend amendments to the Redevelopment Plan (“Plan”) with final approval by the City Council. As part of any redevelopment process, there may be times when appropriate modifications to the Plan are necessary.

Under Chapter 163, F.S., the governing body (City Council) has the authority to amend the Plan in conjunction with holding a public hearing. All plan changes, modifications, and
amendments shall also be approved by the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners.

REDEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

The St. Petersburg City Council, acting as the Community Redevelopment Agency, will achieve the goal of downtown revitalization, in conformance with this adopted Plan, through the following implementation techniques and as further described in this Plan:

1. Acquisition of real property, as provided for under Chapter 163, F.S.;

2. Demolition, removal or clearance of existing building, structures and improvements and preparation of the project area as defined by this Plan;

3. Rehabilitation of certain existing structures, as defined in the Design and Development Guidelines section;

4. A relocation of site occupants presently residing in structures that are acquired by the Community Redevelopment Agency, as set forth in the Neighborhood Impact Chapter;

5. Construction of public improvements as deemed necessary to implement the Plan, encourage private investment and provide for the overall benefit of the City;

6. Disposition, by sale or lease, of property within the redevelopment area to private enterprises or for public purposes for uses in accord with this Plan and with such other conditions, disposition agreements and covenants running with the land as are necessary to ensure implementation of the Plan;

7. Formulation and Administration of rules governing reasonable preference to persons who are engaged in business within the redeveloped area if feasible and to establish rules governing the right of owners to participate in the redevelopment process.

8. Establish design and development guidelines to ensure new development and rehabilitation of existing structures are compatible with the surrounding area and conform to sound urban design practices; and

9. Management of property acquired by the CRA from the time of acquisition until disposition of the property.

OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES

The overall planning framework for the specific redevelopment programs of the IRP area is based on the 1979 Intown Design and Development Program (IDDP) and the 1977 Intown Sector Land Use Plan. These documents provide the framework for encouraging private development and rehabilitation. The overall objectives of the redevelopment plan are listed below:
A. ENCOURAGE AND REINFORCE DEVELOPMENT

1. Encourage economic activity through the development of a unified commercial core area.
2. Formulate a participatory (public/private) approach to redevelopment.
3. Explore and develop organizational and leveraging devices to encourage private investment, such as construction of public improvements, establishment of a development corporation, and use of tax increment financing, interest subsidies, loan guarantees, and federal grants.
4. Define a mixed-use and middle income residential development project, formulating prototype design criteria and strategies and utilizing a participatory (public/private) development concept for marketing and packaging the project.
5. Provide support services for residential development.
6. Provide economic and employment opportunities for all citizens, with special emphasis on the disadvantaged and unemployed persons, working closely with the private sector and other organizations to promote the revitalization of Downtown St. Petersburg.
7. Continue the Waterfront Plan, Downtown Core Area, the Stadium Plan, Webb’s City and Duke Energy Center for the Arts projects.

B. PROVIDE GREATER ACCESSIBILITY TO INTOWN ACTIVITY AREAS AND VISUAL ASSETS THROUGH THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN INTEGRATED MOVEMENT SYSTEM FOR VEHICLES, TRANSIT, PEDESTRIANS AND PARKING.

1. Develop a pedestrian system based on pedestrian counts and surveys in addition to current and projected development activity.
2. Utilize existing sidewalks and alleys for establishing a pedestrian system base.
3. Determine current and projected Intown vehicular circulation patterns by defining major roadways and their connecting streets, and identifying current and future activity nodes.
4. Determine appropriate areas to locate future parking facilities, de-emphasizing surface parking and focusing on potential areas for joint venture parking facility development.
5. Pursue a regional premium transit system with multiple downtown stations that serve Intown’s existing activity areas and promote the development and expansion of others.

C. ENSURE THAT THE FORM OF NEW DEVELOPMENT AND REDEVELOPMENT PROMOTES, REINFORCES AND MAINTAINS THE HISTORIC, CULTURAL AND AESTHETIC INTEGRITY OF THE INTOWN REDEVELOPMENT AREA.

1. Maintain strict enforcement of City codes related to
landscaping and signage through increased inspection.

2. Continue the beautification program (landscaping, street graphics and lighting) along Intown’s visual corridors, utilizing where appropriate the streets earmarked for the Street Tree Planting Program, and encouraging private sector participation, through the Chamber of Commerce and other interested organizations, in maintaining the aesthetic appearance of this vegetation.

3. Develop design criteria and prototypes related to sidewalk textures, service delivery, landscaping, pedestrian facilities, pedestrian crossings, pedestrian lighting, sun and shade, and connections between buildings and public and private open space.

4. Develop prototypes for design of required open space to encourage quality design and establish concepts for relating building form and green space to other buildings, street and pedestrian systems and historic elements.

5. Increase Intown green open space through development of a landscaped pedestrian system and the Street Tree Planting Program and encourage developers to provide increased open space through incentives.

METHODS OF FINANCING

There are several funding techniques that will be utilized to finance redevelopment. The following is a brief explanation of these techniques.

- Tax increment financing is a redevelopment funding mechanism established under Chapter 163 (Community Redevelopment Act) of the Florida Statutes. As a financial tool, it provides that the assessed value of a designated redevelopment area may be frozen upon establishment of a redevelopment plan. The frozen base continues to be available to all local taxing agencies for operating purposes throughout the duration of the redevelopment project.

However, any growth in assessed value over the frozen base is reserved for the repayment of indebtedness incurred by the Community Redevelopment Agency in conjunction with redeveloping the area. The tax revenue generated by the redevelopment area is placed into a tax increment trust fund (T.I. Trust Fund or Trust Fund).

The property tax rates of local agencies continue to apply to this assessed value increment, but the revenue resulting therefrom is not available to other local taxing agencies (except the School Board) until all project indebtedness has been repaid.

- When available, Federal funds will continue to be used for downtown redevelopment projects.
• Industrial Revenue or Development Bonds (Chapter 159, Part III, F.S.) may be issued by the City to finance private improvements on behalf of a developer for project construction. The developer is responsible for the debt service.

• Revenue bonds can be issued by the City to finance public improvements e.g. parking structures and debt service paid back through parking revenues or a special fund.

• A special assessment district can be established for the purpose of assessing property owners for public improvements e.g. sidewalk improvements.

These financing methods will be used by the City in conjunction with the Community Redevelopment Agency and private sector to implement a comprehensive program for redevelopment.

Since the necessary components of a redevelopment program can be quite diverse, the available funding sources for each specific redevelopment component will be explored to the extent appropriate. The scope and quality of redevelopment may depend on a municipality's ability to complement the objectives of the redevelopment program and lower development costs to the private sector.

Summarized on the following page are some typical components of a hypothetical large scale redevelopment project. These components are matched with potential available financing sources. Please note that one or more financing sources may be used.
Typical Project Components

- Land acquisition, demolition of existing improvements, site grading and preparation of site for construction.

- Infrastructure (location or relocation of utilities, the closing or opening of public streets and/or sidewalks, the construction and maintenance of public roads, sidewalks, skywalks and lighting).

- Public parking facilities (grade level and structure).

- Public recreational facilities (athletic facilities, parks, docks, etc.).

- Municipal facilities (city hall, police station, library, etc.)

- Mass public facilities (convention hall, arena, museum, theatre, etc.).

- Commercial/retail facilities (hotels, restaurants, offices and specialty retail).

- Manufacturing/warehousing facilities.

- Middle-to-upper income multi-family housing (condominium and rental).

- Historic rehabilitation and restoration.

Financing Sources


- Proceeds of tax increment bonds. State and Federal grants.

- Parking revenue bonds. Proceeds of tax increment bonds.


- Municipal general obligation bonds.

- Municipal non-ad valorem revenue or general obligation bonds. Resort tax. Industrial development bonds.


- Conventional mortgage financing. Local single family mortgage revenue bond financing.

- Federal loans or grants. Industrial development bonds for commercial operations.
Plan Implementation
IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH

The overall implementation program revolves around adherence to a comprehensive program approach focusing on:

1. Public improvements, such as parking and sidewalk improvements, developed in conjunction with private sector projects;

2. Design programs and guidelines to ensure design compatibility between buildings and blocks and within the Intown as a whole;

3. Financial involvement by the City through tax increment financing, by State and Federal funding sources, and by financial institutions that create the types of lending programs necessary to accomplish downtown revitalization. This involvement focuses on utilizing public funds to generate greater private investment through leveraging techniques;

4. The organization of downtown activities through a centralized agency or group working with the City and merchants for the purpose of promotion, administration, and business development. This should also include lobbying efforts to modify existing and promote new state legislation favorable to downtown development.

PLAN EMPHASIS

Part of the plan implementation is developing an overall land use emphasis in order to achieve the concentration and form of development desired. Map 3 depicts the Downtown Center zoning districts within the redevelopment area that implement the land use focus for Intown.\(^1\) The uses indicated correspond to the Downtown Center zoning within each block as well as the Intown Sector Land Use Plan. This plan is in compliance with the City's Comprehensive Plan prepared under Chapter 163, Part II, F.S.

The central portion of the Downtown Core area is defined as a mixed use emphasis, either office, retail, residential or a combination thereof, reflecting the importance of concentrating intense office and major retail activity within this small area. This concentration achieves a 24-hour activity center and emphasizes a pedestrian orientation. The surrounding blocks provide a support base with mixed-use activities (office, residential and/or minor retail), with a specialty retail focus along the waterfront.

The Webb's City area will provide essential residential support services as well as expanding the employment base through office development. Another important emphasis for the Webb's City area is market rate housing.

\(^1\) Map 3 is for illustrative purposes. Please refer to the City's Official Zoning Map for the most up-to-date information.
The plan for the **Stadium Complex** is substantially complete with the construction of the stadium and attraction of a Major League Baseball franchise but ongoing refinements can be expected in order to meet the evolving needs of baseball and its fans.

The plan emphasis is designed to reflect the various activities for each focus area as implemented through the Downtown Center zoning districts and how these activity concentrations should integrate and support each other. Residential uses will be allowed throughout the redevelopment area, either as a permitted use or through the special exception or streamline approval processes provided by the land development regulations.

Open space and street layouts are depicted on Map 3. In addition, the limitation on the size and type of development in the area is governed by the City’s Land Development Regulations, including open space and parking requirements and this Plan.

### TRUST FUND PROGRAMMING

The City of St. Petersburg approved the Intown Redevelopment Plan (IRP) to revitalize the city’s original downtown core area and waterfront for urban entertainment, residential, commercial, institutional, and office uses. To stimulate private investment within Intown through public improvements, the City also established a tax increment financing district and issued bonds totaling $72.5 million to pay for these improvements. Through four separate bond issues in 1984, 1985 and two in 1989, the City issued bonds to pay for projects such as improvements to Bayfront Center (now Duke Energy Center for the Arts) and the Pier, South Core garage, streetscape improvements, land acquisition, Tropicana Field improvements and other public initiatives.

In 2005, the City amended the IRP to extend until 2032 its use of tax increment financing to fund public improvement projects throughout Intown (see Ordinance 715-G and interlocal agreement in Appendix A). In addition to renovations to the Mahaffey Theater, the extension was designed to pay for projects such as the Pier project and its approach, a mixed-use transportation facility, pedestrian and streetscape improvements as well as improvements to the waterfront park system. The TIF related costs of these projects were approved by Pinellas County via interlocal agreement in the amount of $95.4 million.

In 2006, the City Council and Pinellas County increased this amount to provide an additional $2 million in tax increment financing proceeds to complete the Mahaffey Theater renovation project (see Ordinance 762-G and Appendix A). In 2010, City Council approved $2.5 million from tax increment financing for use at the Duke Energy Center for the Arts to augment needed funding to complete the new Salvador Dali Museum. Pinellas County matched the City’s funding with monies available through the Tourist Development Tax. In

---

2 TIF is a method of facilitating redevelopment by utilizing future city and county real property tax revenues to pay for public improvements. TIF earmarks any future growth in real property taxable values from the year the tax increment financing district is designated to pay for the cost of improvements.
2015, the City amended the IRP to add $20 million in budgetary authority to fund public improvements identified in the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan approved in June 2015. City Council approved plan amendments in 2017 that established a ceiling of $190,984,882 for total TIF contributions needed to complete the IRP program, while reallocating funding for projects identified in Table 2 below. This ceiling on TIF contributions shall not prevent the City of St. Petersburg and Pinellas County from having future discussions regarding potential projects within the Intown CRA and amending the Intown Interlocal Agreement, if mutually agreed upon, to effectuate the implementation of those projects.

Table 2 was amended to delete the $14-million “Mixed Use Transportation Facility” and reallocated its funding to other approved projects in the following manner:

- expend up to $10 million in TIF on (i) “Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project” and/or (ii) “Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District”;
- expend $4 million on “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” throughout the Intown Redevelopment Area; and
- allocate to “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” any remaining amount of the $10 million not spent on enhancements to the “Municipal Pier Project” and/or “Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District”.

The total Intown Redevelopment Plan budget of $117.354 million remained unchanged.

PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

When the City adopted the IRP in 1982, it identified an array of public improvement projects throughout Intown designed to facilitate private development. Major improvement goals included:

- redeveloping the downtown core into an intense mixed-use activity center that serves a broad range of dense land-uses;
- consolidating blocks for conveyance to developers;
- building parking garages to reduce or eliminate the demand for surface parking lots damaging to the urban fabric;
- enhancing the pedestrian experience by improving sidewalks, streetscaping and waterfront parks;
- expanding the cultural offerings through the ongoing development of what is now the Duke Energy Center for the Arts;
- developing a sports stadium;
- expanding market-rate residential development;
- establishing a transit system to reduce the need for automobile use downtown; and
- developing the Webb’s City site.

On many of these fronts, the City has made significant progress. In others, work remains. The section below outlines the public and private development activity that has taken place since the IRP’s adoption, as well as those actions that are needed. The projects identified are those which will have the greatest impact on leveraging private investment and provide important public amenities. All the public improvements will be constructed in conjunction with new development or rehabilitation. All costs identified in this plan are estimates (emphasis added) and include planning, design, construction and project management costs. Maintenance of landscaping (including watering) for all the pedestrian improvements will be the responsibility of the abutting property owner.

In the section entitled “Summary” on page 345 below, Table 1 summarizes projects implemented between 1982 and 2004, while Table 2 identifies new public improvement projects proposed between 2005 and 2035. In addition, development and design guidelines for all projects in the redevelopment area are discussed in the section beginning on page 367 below entitled “Design and Development Guidelines.”

**Core Area Project**

The core project represents the establishment of a major activity center linking the downtown and waterfront (see Map 4). The public improvement programs identified for the core are designed to encourage private development and create the type of activity center that will attract people and business.

Since 1998, the core has seen the bulk of downtown’s high-profile development activity, including the development of five residential condominium towers and a hotel on Beach Drive, construction of an urban entertainment complex and a major corporate headquarters, the establishment of a downtown college campus and cultural activities as well as the opening of many restaurants. These have all energized downtown into the 24/7 activity center envisioned by the City.

**Unified Retail Program**

The unified retail program encompasses an eight-block area (blocks A, B, C, D, E, G, Duke Energy/St. Petersburg College, and Jannus Landing - Map 4) within the Core. The project focuses on new development with intense retail activity that integrates with St. Petersburg College, Sundial, Jannus Landing, and the Beach Drive Shops and implements the Waterfront Plan.

The unified retail concept seeks to create pedestrian oriented streets within the Core, to establish a strong tie between the major retail blocks. This concept will create the type of compact retail area necessary for attracting pedestrian shoppers, generating retail variety, and creating a major focal point for the Core.
Key Development Blocks in Downtown St. Petersburg

Map 4

Key Development Blocks in Downtown St. Petersburg
The unified retail concept establishes street and upper level activities in order to create a successful integration of retail stores. The successful development, marketing/promotion, management, and uniform maintenance of the unified retail district may require management by a single entity. Another important element of the unified retail program is ensuring quality architectural design unity and compatibility of existing development, new development and redevelopment within the core area.

The City’s Land Development Regulations adopted in 2007 are the primary regulatory vehicle for ensuring the type and quality of development sought for Downtown. Through the Downtown Center zoning requirements, the LDRs establish allowable uses, development intensity, height, design details and other features necessary for the vibrant urban environment sought by the Intown Redevelopment Plan. Consequently, all future development and redevelopment must be consistent with the Downtown Center zoning requirements as well as the Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines.

Below is a brief description of the development activity and use emphasis within the unified retail area:

**St. Petersburg College/Duke Energy Block** The block, located just east of Williams Park has made significant strides toward achieving the IRP’s vision. St. Petersburg College opened its Downtown Center in 2005 by renovating the former Maas Brother furniture building and providing 111,000 sf of classroom and administrative space. Duke Energy Florida opened its 220,000-sf headquarters in 2006 allowing it to consolidate its functions in Pinellas County. Finally, SPC, American Stage and the Florida Orchestra have collaborated to build a new 25,000-sf cultural arts center linked with SPC’s Downtown Campus that opened in 2009.

**Jannus Landing Block** The historic block has seen substantial renovation activity since the IRP’s inception, including the adaptive reuse of the Detroit Hotel into condominiums, as well as tenant improvements for restaurants, offices and specialty retail. The block has also served as a concert venue for several decades, adding to the cultural and entertainment mix essential for downtown. Future development should continue the existing mixed-use pattern with a major street-level retail emphasis to reinforce and support the unified retail program.

**Block A** The 2000 opening of BayWalk, a 160,000-sf urban entertainment center with shopping and movie theaters, was an immediate success, drawing nearly 3 million/year in its first few years. After struggling during the Great Recession and its aftermath, the complex underwent a $30 million renovation, and reopened in 2014 as Sundial St. Petersburg.

**Block B** The South Trust Tower at 125 2nd Ave N and the MidCore Parking Garage are the most significant development projects on this block. The 207,000-sf tower that opened in 1985 implemented the IRP’s vision for a major office component, while the parking garage satisfied a downtown-wide emphasis. The garage, completed in...
2000, also provided nearly 60,000 sf of retail space. The construction of the Millenium Walkway, linking the MidCore Garage with Sundial, met the IRP’s design vision for a pedestrian network providing north/south connection lined by bronze sculptures.

**Block C** The block is strategically located between the waterfront park system, Beach Drive and Sundial. Two major condominium towers - Florencia (2000) and Ovation (2009) – opened in the 21st Century and implemented the IRP’s vision for mixed-use residential with a specialty retail emphasis to blend with Beach Drive Shops. The streetscape features, ground-floor retail and public art built by Ovation creates the major public open space that physically and visually links the unified retail core program with the waterfront park system.

**Block D** In 2011, this block located on Central Avenue is a surface parking lot, and is the most significant development site remaining in the Unified Retail Core. The Downtown Core zoning requirements call for an intense mixed-use block with significant ground-floor retail uses provided on all sides of the building. Because of the pedestrian linkages already established by the MidCore Garage arcade and the Millenium Walkway, major retail activity (2 or 3 levels) should be provided along a north/south pedestrian corridor linking Central Avenue with the Sundial block.

**Block E** When the IRP was first approved in 1982, the small block contained only the historic Ponce de Leon Hotel, an accessory structure and a surface parking lot. Since then the Hotel has undergone renovations, including the outfitting of three retail spaces for restaurant and nightclub use, and has been joined on the block by a Hampton Inn and Suites, a 92-room hotel with ground floor retail that opened in 2001.

**Parking Structures**

Public parking structures and mixed-use parking structures/transportation facilities will continue to be constructed at key locations within the core area. Through the 2017 amendments to the IRP, City Council allocated at least $4 million for “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” that could be used to fund parking structures. These structures should include ground level retail and may include air rights development above the parking structure, and will be located at appropriate locations within the IRP area (see Map 7).

**Pedestrian System**

An important part of establishing a strong downtown revitalization program is providing pedestrian amenities. The Land Development Regulations (LDRs) identify areas within Intown where development may be required to upgrade or enhance streetscaping.

The Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines described in Appendix B serve as the design framework for the level of pedestrian treatment (pedestrian system classification) that is intended by the LDRs. Other blocks in the redevelopment area may be
considered for inclusion as part of the pedestrian improvement program depending upon the availability of trust fund money and participation by all property owners along a given block.

The pedestrian system cost breakdown for the Core includes, pedestrian mall areas, partial mall covering for weather protection, pedestrian improvements and skyways. The City will participate with the private sector in developing the pedestrian system.

Part of developing a unified core area is the ability to evaluate the design and human scale impacts of new development. Many of these design considerations were addressed during the 2007 amendments to the City's land development regulations that created the Downtown Center zoning districts. The urban design standards set forth in the DC districts improve the design and human scale of new development. These include:

- Ensuring maximum building setbacks to create an urban edge to new development;
- “Stepbacks” for new construction above a certain height to prevent the creation of a “canyon effect” on downtown streets;
- Discouraging demolition of buildings without prior approval of a site plan and submission of building permits to maintain the urban fabric; and
- Incorporation of a minimum amount of pedestrian type uses in new construction (i.e., galleries, shops, restaurants) to ensure street-level pedestrian activity.

Block Consolidation

The Community Redevelopment Agency, for the purpose of consolidating development parcels, may undertake selected land acquisition to consolidate blocks for development. The Agency has undertaken acquisition before, most notably in assembling land in the 1990s for Sundial and the MidCore Parking Garage, as well as for the Duke Energy corporate headquarters during the early 2000s.

Block consolidation includes the establishment of the unified retail core concept (Blocks A, B, C, D, G, E,) and Duke Energy/St. Petersburg College, Sundial and Jannus Landing and consolidation of Block F (see Map 4).

The following is a brief description of the development activity and use emphasis of the remaining Core blocks (F and G).

Block F In 1991, construction was completed on a 340,000-sf mixed-use office tower. The tower, which has undergone several name changes, was the last large office project built in downtown before the opening of the Duke Energy headquarters. The tower’s parking needs are mostly met by the nearby SouthCore Garage, which can be accessed by an elevated pedestrian bridge. Any future development on the site must comply with the

---

4 Map 5 is for illustrative purposes. Please refer to the City’s Land Development Regulations for the most up-to-date information.
requirements of the Downtown Center zoning district.

**Block G** The SouthCore parking garage occupies the entire block providing 1,300 parking spaces, and more than 130,000 sf of commercial space. Future development of the site or air rights must comply with the Downtown Core zoning district.

**DUKE ENERGY CENTER FOR THE ARTS**

The Duke Energy Center for the Arts, which includes the Salvador Dali Museum that opened in 2011, the Mahaffey Theater and other facilities, represents an important cultural resource and amenity to the community and a vital component of the downtown redevelopment program. It is necessary, therefore to prepare and periodically update (1) market and design studies to identify its appropriate role in the local and regional market (performing arts, theater, conventions, conferences and other related entertainment activities), and (2) facility improvements.

Project funding was required for market and architectural studies, public improvements required to support development of the Salvador Dali Museum, the rehabilitation of the Mahaffey Theater and expansion of the lobby, reorientation of the Theater entry toward the waterfront area, creation of an outdoor plaza, development of a new waterfront public park and funding for parking, landscaping and other related pedestrian and open space improvements (see Figure 1 for an aerial view of the Center and its environs).

**WEBB’S CITY**

When the IRP was first adopted in 1982, Downtown St. Petersburg was losing retail services and employment to the suburbs and struggling to retain its residential base. The Webb’s City project was devised to address these issues and encompasses a six-block area focusing on office, residential and residential service retail (see Map 6).

By the mid 1980s, the project was successful by attracting Webb’s Plaza, the AAA Headquarters, and the headquarters of St. Petersburg’s Fire Department. In fact, the Winn Dixie at Webb’s Plaza would be the only grocer to serve Downtown for nearly twenty years. By 2011, however, the Plaza is no longer competitive in the downtown retail market that emerged in the past fifteen years and is a potential redevelopment opportunity. In addition, another three blocks in the Webb’s City project area are either vacant or underbuilt, also providing redevelopment potential. However, as development in Downtown has resumed after the Great Recession, the Webb’s City area is poised to take off. In 2015, The Hermitage, 357-unit luxury apartment complex has broken ground in the 700 block of 1st Avenue South. Bordering Webb’s City are several projects that illustrate investment interest in the area, including: a Publix Supermarket under construction across 1st Avenue South, along with gallery space to support the Morean Arts Center and Hot Shop on Central Avenue; the renovation of the Historic YWCA Building at 642 2nd Avenue South into a high-end steakhouse in 2013; the conversion of a former public housing complex on Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Street South, into the market Urban Flats; and the construction of
Casablanca Tower and Orion, both market-rate multifamily complexes on 8th Street South. Webb’s City strategic location between the IRP’s “Core Area,” Tropicana Field, the Intown West CRA and the Bayfront/All Children’s medical district make it an attractive redevelopment opportunity for several different market sectors. The Downtown Center zoning district describes the uses allowed for the Webb’s City project area. The LDRs along with the Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines, also prescribe appropriate urban design treatments for this important area.

THE DOWNTOWN WATERFRONT AREA

The Downtown waterfront park system stretches from the Vinoy Park Hotel along 5th Avenue NE to the Salvador Dali Museum at the Duke Energy Center for the Arts on Bayshore Drive/Dali Boulevard SE (Figure 2). It represents St. Petersburg’s signature planning triumph and continues to attract millions of visitors a year for festivals, dining, sports, culture and entertainment, and leisure. Over many years, the City has attempted to upgrade facilities to respond to the waterfront’s ever-evolving needs. For instance, in the late 1980s, the City constructed $12.5 million in improvements to the Pier and Pier approach that expanded parking opportunities.

The IRP’s objective for the Downtown Waterfront Area entails the continued revitalization of the waterfront parks and Pier area and focuses on development of specialty retail, parking, cultural and recreational facilities. To that end, the City will be funding major public improvement projects to sustain and expand the success of Downtown St. Petersburg, including the Municipal Pier Project, implementation of the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan and continued streetscaping and waterfront park investments.

The Municipal Pier Project

The $50-million Municipal Pier Project will result in extensive renovation or replacement of the Pier based on problems and issues cited in a City Engineering report to City Council on March 13, 2004, and subsequent documents. The report identified issues of deterioration that would not be remedied through the City’s ongoing Pier maintenance program and determined that these efforts were not cost effective.

Downtown Waterfront Master Plan

On November 8, 2011, St. Petersburg voters approved an amendment to the City Charter requiring City Council to “develop and approve an inclusive Downtown Waterfront Master Plan (DWMP) by July 1, 2015.” On June 4, 2015, City Council approved the DWMP, which identified nearly $800 million in potential public and private improvements throughout the DWMP planning area that will enhance St. Petersburg’s signature planning achievement.

The study area for the DWMP is comprised of six “character” districts that collectively span approximately seven miles of contiguous public waterfront beginning at the Northeast Exchange Club Coffee Pot Park on the north to Lassing Park to the south. Two of the districts – Pier District and South Basin District – are wholly contained within the Intown...
The Downtown Waterfront Area

Figure 2
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Redevelopment Area. The Pier District lies east of Beach Drive, north of Demens Landing and south of the North Mole seawall. The South Basin District adjoins the Pier District to the south and reaches south to Albert Whitted Park and is generally bounded on the west by 1st Street South. A portion of a third district - North Shore- lying south of 7th Avenue NE and east of Bayshore Drive is within Intown (see Figure 2).

City Council’s near concurrent approval of the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan with its May 2015 approval of the new St. Petersburg Pier Park design provides an opportunity to fund strategic public improvements within the Pier Approach to better link the proposed Municipal Pier with the bustling activity found on Straub Park, Beach Drive, Sundial St. Petersburg and Central Avenue. Within the Pier District, the DWMP identified $51.7 million in improvements. Within the Pier Approach the City will fund through tax increment financing $20 million in public improvements including but not limited to the redesign of existing downtown parks; street reconfiguration and streetscaping; and development of the Vinoy Basin area, any portion of which may include, without limitation, pedestrian areas and facilities, an open market, ferry/water taxi facilities, and restaurant/café facilities.

In 2017, City Council approved up to $10 million for “Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project” and/or “Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District”. Any surplus that remains from this funding source will be used to augment the $4 million in TIF allocated to the “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” project (see Table 2).

Another $2.5 million to fund park improvements that was approved in 2005, will continue the City’s focus on maintaining and improving the IRP’s park system and facilities as support amenities for Downtown’s residential and specialty retail market (see Table 2).

The City Charter will require a public referendum for any disposition of or long-term lease on City property in the Downtown Waterfront Area east of Beach Drive to the Municipal Pier structure.

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

The development of an expanded residential base in the Intown is essential to achieve a successful downtown redevelopment program. People living and working downtown will generate the 24-hour activity and community spirit necessary to continue the expansion of the downtown economic and cultural base. One important aspect of residential development is the utilization of the existing housing stock.

To ensure housing opportunities for all citizens of St. Petersburg, the residential development program focuses on two aspects of the housing market:

1. aid low and middle-income persons in the rehabilitation of their property or investor owners who provide housing for low and middle-income groups; and
aid in defining and assisting new middle-income residential development and infill housing, and ensuring its compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood. New low-income housing will continue to be provided through the City's existing programs in the Jamestown and Gas Plant area and through other federal programs.

The residential development program utilizes a variety of federal, state and local programs to encourage new housing and rehabilitation of the existing housing stock. This plan incorporates spot clearance and rehabilitation on a majority of the blocks in the redevelopment area and in other selected blocks utilizes rehabilitation and block consolidation for new infill housing (see Map 7). The program will consist of voluntary and compulsory participation by owners in the rehabilitation of their buildings in accordance with design criteria set forth in this plan.

The available funding alternatives include, but are not limited to, the following:

**Federal**
- 312 Rehabilitation Loan Program offers direct loans and works on a revolving loan fund basis;
- Section 8 rent supplement for low-income persons.
- Mortgage insurance programs designed to encourage lending institutions investment in housing by reducing the risk related.
- The Historic Preservation Tax Credit program provides a 20 percent tax credit for developers of who renovate rental housing that are listed on the National Register of Historic Places.

**State**
- The Community Contribution Tax Credit (Section 220.183, F.S.) offers a 50% credit against state corporate income taxes for contributions of up to $200,000, for community development, which could be used as direct grant or to start a revolving loan fund;
- The State of Florida provides tax incentives and loans to carry out projects in declared or distress areas;
- The Florida Housing Development Finance Agency may make available financing opportunities for residential rehabilitation, specifically through tax-exempt bonding.

**Local**
- promoting development of residential services;
- use of tax increment financing for residential related public improvements, such as recreation areas (use of alleyways), infrastructure, landscaping, lighting, etc;
- City may initiate vacation of alleys and streets for development;
- use a loan principal or interest subsidy program on
conventional loans;

• use of tax increment financing for land acquisition;

• use of the Ad Valorem Tax Exemption for Historic Properties enabled by City ordinance;

• City may issue housing mortgage revenue bonds;

• local banks establishing a special loan pool for all types of residential development.

The key to encouraging the housing market to respond to the needs of housing consumers and stimulating new residential growth in the downtown, lies in creative financing techniques. When the IRP was adopted, it was estimated that the plan could generate 1500 or more additional housing units in the area. The IRP has exceeded that estimate. Since the IRP was adopted in 1982, more than 2,100 residential units have been added within the community redevelopment area. In the rest of downtown, more than 820 dwelling units have been constructed during the same period. All but approximately four hundred units have been constructed since 1998 throughout downtown.

**Block Consolidation**

The Community Redevelopment Agency, for the potential purpose of consolidating parcels, may undertake selected land acquisition for the residential development program. Blocks identified for consolidation are shown on Map 7.

The residential program involves the Vinoy project and the University Park Residential District. The development concept for these areas is described below:

**Vinoy Project**

The Vinoy Project encompassed the renovation of the Renaissance Vinoy Park Hotel, construction of condominiums on adjoining property and establishment of a marina. The Vinoy represents a unique landmark within the City’s signature waterfront park system. At one time in the 1970s and 1980s, the Vinoy was an economic and aesthetic blight on the waterfront due to its deteriorated condition and vacant status for approximately 18 years, from 1974 to 1992. However, its restoration and reopening in 1992, the development of the Vinoy Condominiums in 2001, and the construction of the yacht basin, have been essential ingredients in the resurgence of downtown and the waterfront.

The continuing use of the Vinoy for residential or hotel uses, or both, is vital to establishing and maintaining a permanent population base in the downtown in order to stimulate and support hotel, office and retail growth, expand the City’s tax base, encourage the rehabilitation of existing downtown neighborhoods, and reinforce the aesthetic quality of the waterfront park system.

The continued success of the Vinoy development will:

- ensure compatible development on the site that is sensitive to the visual image of the waterfront;
- develop and preserve a 200-foot wide open space buffer parallel to and west of Bayshore Drive NE between 7th Avenue NE and Fifth Avenue NE;
- protect the community’s investment in the downtown waterfront park system; and
- enhance and achieve the specific development goals the Downtown Waterfront Area.

University Park

Block “K” and Block “L” are located in an area identified by Downtown Core zoning for residential support (see Map 4 on page 16). The design concept should provide ground level green open space and may provide support service retail, in conformance with underlying zoning requirements.

The remainder of the district (8th-4th Streets between 3rd Avenue South and I-175) is appropriate for selected land acquisition and demolition for new in-fill housing and housing rehabilitation.

TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM

A vibrant downtown requires a transportation system that balances automobile access with pedestrian-oriented facilities such as light rail, bus, trolley, biking and walking. The transportation program for Downtown St. Petersburg is a multimodal approach that recognizes Downtown as a regional activity center within Tampa Bay that needs to accommodate vehicular traffic while also maximizing the pedestrian experience so vital to its success. The City also expects that multiple stations will be located within Intown to serve any premium transit system that will be developed to improve regional access to Downtown St. Petersburg.

The interstate system carries visitors and workers to and from Downtown St. Petersburg, but once in Downtown the IRP program focuses on providing mass transit opportunities. The Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority (PSTA) operates more than a dozen bus routes that use Williams Park in Downtown as a transfer point. In 2016, the City is working with PSTA to relocate the transfer point from Williams Park to a new intermodal facility elsewhere in downtown and create a new grid bus network in Downtown. It is anticipated that the facility will be funded by $14 million in tax increment financing, as approved by amendments to the IRP in 2005. In 2017, City Council approved $4 million for “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” to fund improvements to the Intown transportation network.

Transit within Intown and its environs is provided by the Looper Trolley, which was established in 1996. The program is administered by the St. Petersburg Downtown Partnership, Inc., and receives funding from several different sources, including the City of St. Petersburg, Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority, Florida Department of Transportation and private sector organizations. The Looper serves the main activity generators in downtown including the waterfront park system and Beach Drive, Central Avenue, and the Duke Energy Center for the Arts.
The Downtown Partnership, or successor, is also responsible for the Central Avenue Shuttle, which was established in Fall 2009. The Shuttle links the Downtown waterfront with the Grand Central Main Street District along Central Avenue.

In a dense urban environment, bicycles are an important mode of transportation costing little and using little space for parking. The City has been integrating bike lanes onto many downtown streets for the last decade to improve cyclist safety. In 2008, the Pinellas Trail was extended into downtown St. Petersburg along First Avenue South allowing users to travel on the trail from Demens Landing on Tampa Bay to Tarpon Springs. The trail is separated from traffic by parking and curbs to better ensure user safety.

Finally, several sites within Intown have been identified to serve as stations for the region's first Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project. As planned, the Central Avenue BRT would travel the First Avenue corridors from Downtown to the Gulf Beaches. The goals of the project are to develop and implement a successful BRT project along St. Petersburg's Central Avenue corridor that supports local revitalization and economic development plans; improves long-term livability; enhances safety and access for pedestrians and bicyclists; attracts new ridership; supports the unique character of the area; and provides service in a cost-effective manner.

The preferred route for the the Central Avenue BRT service is from Downtown to Grand Central Station and then to St. Pete Beach. The Central Avenue BRT project is a top priority for the Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority (PSTA) and it is included in the Tampa Bay Area Regional Transportation Authority's Master Plan. Additional funding will be needed to produce the final design plans, construct the project, acquire BRT vehicles and operate the service. The City and PSTA are actively seeking this funding from federal and state funding sources.

PLAZA PARKWAY

The Plaza Parkway program entails construction of public improvements, including pedestrian system improvements, as an incentive for owners to rehabilitate or redevelop their property. To that end, the City has allocated $2.5 million for the program from tax increment financing (see Table 2). In addition, the program also requires property owners undertaking development to upgrade streetscaping, construct façade treatments and provide appropriate uses in downtown to implement the City's objective for a pedestrian-friendly downtown. (Such treatments are described in the Land Development Regulations and design requirements specified in the Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines.)

The primary focus of the program is on the properties located on Pedestrian Level “A” and “B” streets (see Map 5), although this program can be expanded to any part of the Intown Redevelopment Area. All other streets not designated as “A” or “B” streets shall comply with the minimum streetscape provisions provided in the Plaza Parkway Street System (see Appendix B for “Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines”).
UTILITY PROGRAM

Water, sewer and other utilities in the Intown represent an important factor in revitalizing the area. Because of the age and substandard line sizes in the Intown, a detailed analysis of utilities is being conducted that will eventually result in a programming of capital improvements to meet the expected increase in demand.

Funding sources for infrastructure improvements will be through the City's capital improvement program and possibly Federal and State funds.

Costs incurred for the City to re-route water and sewer lines within or around a block because of a private development project will be borne by the developer.

STADIUM PLAN

The Stadium Plan is a multi-purpose stadium project that was constructed on the original Gas Plant site. City Council approved an amendment to the Intown Redevelopment Plan changing the development program for the area to allow construction of a domed stadium. The stadium was opened to the public on March 3, 1990, eventually welcoming Major League Baseball in 1998.

OTHER PROJECTS

The previously described public improvements represent important elements of revitalizing the area and providing an expanded and diversified retail, employment, residential and cultural base. In addition to these areas, other sites have been identified for selected public improvements:

- The City may participate in a joint development with the County and/or other private developer(s) in constructing a public parking structure or mixed-use parking structure/transportation facility at an appropriate location within the IRP area. Office and/or retail or other allowable uses shall be located on the ground level of the parking structure and may be located above the parking structure.

- The Block “H” office project, more commonly known as City Center, was completed in 1984 and was another joint public/private partnership involving the construction of a parking structure with possible future air rights above the structure (see Map 4 on page 16).

- in conjunction with the rehabilitation of the Vinoy Park Hotel and adjacent new residential development, the City supported the development of marina slips adjacent to 5th Avenue NE in the North (Vinoy) Basin.

- Several sites within the redevelopment area may require block consolidation for commercial and/or residential development. These blocks are located on the fringe between the Core and the residential area, representing a transition zone requiring appropriate planning design and development. The blocks in this transition zone are identified as “I” and “J” on Map 4 on page 16. Future development shall comply with the Downtown Center zoning requirements.
### TABLE 1
Major Public Improvement Projects Implemented in the Intown Redevelopment Area
1982 to 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Development Cost (1)</th>
<th>TIF - City and County</th>
<th>City and Other Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stadium Development (Tropicana Field)</td>
<td>$209,549,851</td>
<td>$22,500,000</td>
<td>$187,049,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayfront Center/Mahaffey Theater Renovation</td>
<td>27,157,920</td>
<td>8,209,000</td>
<td>18,948,920 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundial and MidCore Garage</td>
<td>22,135,606</td>
<td>5,496,000</td>
<td>16,639,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Core Garage</td>
<td>20,377,765</td>
<td>13,887,000</td>
<td>6,490,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Sites Acquisition Costs</td>
<td>16,032,171</td>
<td>632,000</td>
<td>15,400,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Pier</td>
<td>14,862,273</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
<td>13,262,273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intown Streetscape Program</td>
<td>5,696,215</td>
<td>620,000</td>
<td>5,076,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterfront Park Improvements</td>
<td>2,214,353</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,214,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Museums Development</td>
<td>1,294,438</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>494,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Transit Initiatives</td>
<td>583,110</td>
<td></td>
<td>583,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Marketing and Promotion</td>
<td>231,070</td>
<td></td>
<td>231,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Energy Park Improvements</td>
<td>204,021</td>
<td></td>
<td>204,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$320,338,793</strong></td>
<td><strong>$53,744,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$266,594,793</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Some projects include land acquisition costs.
(2) $2.6 M of development cost was donated by the Mahaffey Theater Foundation as part of the 1987-88 renovations.
SUMMARY

Map 7 illustrates some of the various public improvements proposed and/or implemented in the Intown Redevelopment Plan since its inception, some of which have been described in the sections above. Table 1 describes projects implemented between 1982 and 2004 and their source of funding.

One important conclusion should be noted in regard to the trust fund allocation. Tax increment bonds have not been the only source of redevelopment funding in the past nor will they be the only source of funds available in the future for implementing projects. As outlined in Tables 1 and 2 and described in the "Methods of Financing" Chapter, a wide range of sources have been and may be used for project funding. The tax increment generated by the redevelopment area serves only as a starting basis.

ADMINISTRATIVE AND RELOCATION COSTS

Business and residential relocation costs and administrative costs related to the project will be funded through tax increment trust fund or tax increment bond proceeds. Tax increment bond proceeds may be used for necessary architectural and other professional services to implement development projects described in the Plan.

PROPERTY DISPOSITION AND DEVELOPMENT POLICY

For the purposes of this Plan, the Community Redevelopment Agency is authorized to sell, lease, exchange, subdivide, transfer, assign, pledge, encumber by mortgage or deed of trust, or otherwise dispose of any interest in real property. To the extent permitted by law, the Agency is authorized to dispose of real property in accordance with Florida Statute Chapter 163 and in compliance with this Plan.

Owner Participation

Owner participation is an important part of ensuring a cohesive downtown revitalization program. Therefore, owner participation is encouraged in the redevelopment of downtown.

Before the City pursues any development project on a particular site, contact will be made with the property owners to determine their interest in participating in the project. Such participation by an owner shall be contingent upon execution by such owner of a binding agreement by which the property retained or acquired will be developed and used in conformance with the plan.

The Community Redevelopment Agency may, prior to the execution of an agreement, determine in its sole discretion that it is in the best interest of the City to acquire such property for development by the City or disposition for competitive bidding. The Community Redevelopment Agency may acquire property which is retained by an owner under an Owner Participation Agreement if the owner fails, refuses or neglects to perform his/her obligation under said agreement.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designated Projects</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>TIF Funds Required (in $Millions)</th>
<th>Other Potential Funding Sources</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Pier Project (1)</td>
<td>2008-2018</td>
<td>Downtown</td>
<td>$50M</td>
<td>To be Determined</td>
<td>$50M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Waterfront at 2nd Avenue NE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements – Pier District</td>
<td>2016-2020</td>
<td>Pier Approach</td>
<td>$20M</td>
<td>No other public funding identified</td>
<td>$20M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Energy Center for the Arts</td>
<td></td>
<td>NE Corner of 1st St/5th Ave S</td>
<td>$31.286M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahaffey Theater</td>
<td>2005-2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>$25.854M</td>
<td>City ($2.932M)</td>
<td>$31.286M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvador Dali Museum</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2.5M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Use Transportation Facility</td>
<td>2006-2017</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>$14M</td>
<td>No other public funding identified</td>
<td>$14M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project (2)</td>
<td>2018-2019</td>
<td>Downtown</td>
<td>$10M</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Waterfront at 2nd Avenue NE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pier Approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements</td>
<td>2017-2020</td>
<td>Throughout the IRP District</td>
<td>$4M</td>
<td>No other public funding identified</td>
<td>$4M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian System/Streetscape Improvements</td>
<td>2006-2035</td>
<td>Throughout IRP District</td>
<td>$2.5M</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>$2.5M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Improvements</td>
<td>2006-2035</td>
<td>Waterfront Park System</td>
<td>$2.5M</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>$2.5M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* TIF expenditures may only be utilized for those Designated Projects in Table 2 where TIF funds are required as noted herein; provided, however, that no TIF expenditures may occur for Projects other than Designated Projects with TIF funds required as noted herein, without prior approval of the St. Petersburg City Council and the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners.
### TABLE 2
Intown Redevelopment Plan
TIF Funding Required for New Public Improvement Projects - 2005-2035*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designated Projects</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>TIF Funds Required (in $Millions)</th>
<th>Other Potential Funding Sources</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utility Improvements</td>
<td>2005-2035</td>
<td>Throughout IRP District</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>City and Private Developers</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signage</td>
<td>2005-2035</td>
<td>Throughout IRP District</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle Trails</td>
<td>2005-2035</td>
<td>Throughout IRP District</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>City, State and Federal</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Marina Improvements</td>
<td>2005-2035</td>
<td>Throughout IRP District</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>City, State and Federal</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maximum TIF Funds Required: $117.354

* TIF expenditures may only be utilized for those Designated Projects in Table 2 where TIF funds are required as noted herein; provided, however, that no TIF expenditures may occur for Projects other than Designated Projects with TIF funds required as noted herein, without prior approval of the St. Petersburg City Council and the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners.

(1) Because of the size of the project, the timing and/or amounts necessary for the Municipal Pier Project may need to be revised in the future. Such changes shall only occur in an amendment to the Interlocal Agreement between the City and County.

(2) The allocation of up to $10 million in TIF for Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project and/or Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District shall be determined by the City. Any of the $10 million in TIF not utilized for Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project and/or Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District shall be allocated to augment the $4 million in TIF allocated to Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements.
Developer Disposition Agreement

The Community Redevelopment Agency shall reserve such powers and controls through disposition and development agreements with purchaser or leases of property as may be necessary to insure that development conforms to this plan. The leases, deeds, contracts, agreements and declarations of restrictions may contain restrictions, covenants, covenants running with the land, rights of reverter, conditions subsequent, equitable servitudes or any other provisions necessary to carry out this Plan.

ENFORCEMENT

After development, the administration and enforcement of this Plan or other documents implementing this Plan shall be performed by the City or the Agency.

The provisions of this Plan or other documents entered into pursuant to this Plan may also be enforced by Court litigation instituted by either the Agency or the City. Such remedies may include, but are not limited to, specific performance, damages, re-entry, injunctions, or any other remedies appropriate to the purposes of this Plan. In addition, any recorded provisions expressly for the benefit of owners of property in the project area may be enforced by such owners.

The provisions of this Plan shall be effective until April 7, 2035.

DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES

The design and development guidelines listed below were created in order to ensure compatibility between the types of developments that are desired in the downtown and how such developments should relate to the environment and each other.

All real property in the project area is hereby made subject to the controls and requirements of this Plan. No real property shall be developed, rehabilitated, or otherwise changed after the date of adoption of this Plan except in conformance with the provisions of this Plan and all applicable State and local laws in effect from time to time.

DESIGN PARAMETERS

General

- All redevelopment sites shall meet all the applicable Land Development Regulations.
- Developers of projects within the redevelopment area shall submit project proposals and designs to the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) for development review.
- All development should demonstrate the use of energy conservation techniques to reduce space cooling, hot water, and space heating demands. These techniques should address, but not be limited to:
  - building orientation
  - building facade materials
- shading of buildings and parking lots
- wind control for cooling ground level spaces and/or buildings
- use of solar energy (if practical) to meet development energy needs or individual building requirements, e.g., shared solar hot water
- use of paving material other than concrete or asphalt for parking lots to reduce area heat gain (such as turf block)
- use of natural sunlight for interior lighting (daylighting).

• All new and redeveloped surface parking areas shall be landscaped according to applicable City requirements.

• All parking structures should provide decorative facades through building materials and/or landscaping along each parking level and shall contain street level retail, office, cultural, or recreational activities.

• All buildings within the development project should integrate architecturally, aesthetically and functionally through building design, materials, open spaces, scale, circulation systems, pedestrian level activities, and uniform signage and lighting.

• All new development and redevelopment should provide design elements (trees, canopies, street furniture, entryways, etc.) to bring the building and related activity spaces in scale with human dimensions and perception of space.

• Development should provide appropriate architectural variety to the area and generate street level activities, such as outdoor cafes and cultural activities.

Open and Pedestrian Spaces

Open spaces shall:

• be directly linked to the pedestrian system (sidewalks or skyways) and these links shall meet the Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines established in Appendix B; and

• provide sufficient lighting to ensure night security;

Open spaces should:

• relate to activities and buildings within the block;

• establish visual and functional ties to surrounding activities and create a sense of seclusion in spaces set aside from the main pedestrian flow such as found in court yards;

• provide various types of open space use (public, private, and semi-public spaces);

• provide sit-ability in terms of comfort and number of seating spaces (1 linear foot of seating space for each 300 square feet of open space), and such seating can be provided by appropriately designed benches, ledges or chairs;

• provide for human comfort and scale through the use of landscaping and/or canopies for shade and highlighting.
building entrances;

- be considered for location on rooftops or upper levels in conjunction with activity spaces, to provide views of Tampa Bay, especially for development along Beach Drive and 1st Street;

- provide sculptures, murals &/or water features; &

- provide simple designs which dictate logical order and arrangement, allowing users to easily orient and relate themselves to the space and surrounding activities.

Pedestrian systems (all projects and areas within the Intown Redevelopment Area):

- shall be designed in conformance with the Plaza Parkway Design Manual (CRA Resolution 92-2).

Historic

- Renovation, redevelopment or new construction on historic properties shall comply with the City’s historic preservation ordinance.

- Developments on sites with historic structures are encouraged to utilize the incentives offered by the City’s land development regulations.

Residential

- All infill development should create a sense of place and neighborhood identity by relating to old and new architecture and by developing interrelated open and pedestrian spaces.

- All new development within and adjacent to residential areas should relate in building scale and mass with the surrounding neighborhood.

Waterfront

Within the boundaries of the City of St. Petersburg lies one of the most unique aesthetically and economically valuable assets of the Region; our downtown waterfront.

The park-like character of the waterfront forms a U-shape around the eastern edge of the downtown which is anchored at its southern end by the Duke Energy Center for the Arts, and its northern end by the Vinoy property. These two anchors represent prominent visual points that frame the Intown waterfront park system and, therefore, the development of the Vinoy site and the Duke Center for the Arts as activity and visual image centers is very important to the successful redevelopment of the downtown, the use of the waterfront as a public activity space, and the reinforcement of the aesthetic quality of the waterfront park system.

The downtown waterfront has established itself as an area with its own sense of time and place. In order to preserve and enhance this historical and visual continuity, it is important to establish the design compatibility of buildings along the waterfront with each other as well as with the park-like character of the waterfront. It is equally important to provide
for a variety of activities along the waterfront and in the
downtown so all citizens of St. Petersburg can enjoy the
present and the future opportunities these City assets create.

Vinoy Property Development

The Vinoy property is approximately bounded by 5th Avenue NE
and 7th Avenue NE, and Bayshore and Beach Drives NE. Design
considerations for the property include:

- shall maintain a compatible design relationship to the
  Vinoy and the waterfront in terms of building mass, scale,
  height, materials, color, and architectural character;

- shall provide for a 200-foot wide open space buffer
  parallel to and west of Bayshore Drive between Baywood
  Park and Fifth Avenue N.E. to maintain the open
  character of the waterfront allowing for visual access to
  and through the open space buffer area;

- shall preserve the Banyan trees and Indian Midden;

- shall provide landscaped buffers along all streets and any
  walls facing the street;

- shall landscape parking structures and areas;

- shall provide a landscaped design separation between
  the development, Baywood Park and open space buffer
  parallel to and west of Bayshore Drive.

- shall avoid utilizing large and continuous building masses
to create a walled image or effect along Fifth Avenue
N.E., since it is important to maintain the aesthetic charm
and openness of the Vinoy Basin area and waterfront
park system, especially as viewed from Pier Park and
along Straub Park.

- should minimize visual intrusion of parking structures
  along Fifth Avenue N.E. and Bayshore Drive via
  landscaping and/or site design of the project;

- The development that conforms to the stipulation
  entered into between the parties and approved by a final
  judgment executed by Judge Bryson on December 3,
  1982, in the case of Padula and Workman v. City of St.
  Petersburg (Circuit Civil No. 82-6574-17) shall be deemed
  to conform to the provisions of the Community
  Redevelopment Plan. This final judgment is recorded at:
  pages 7 and 8 of O.R. Book 5439 of the Official Records of
  Pinellas County, Florida.

Core Area (Unified Retail Core)

- Mediterranean Revival is a prominent architectural style
  in St. Petersburg. Mediterranean Revival design elements
  should be encouraged in the Core Area. New
development should use appropriate building materials
and design elements such as stucco, key stone or cast
stone to highlight entryways and along 1st and 2nd level
facades, barrel tile roofs, terra cotta tiles, towers with
pyramidal or triangular shaped tops, accent brick (light
colors), or canopies, arches, and arcades.
• The Jannus Landing Block should be rehabilitated or redeveloped in keeping with the architectural style (vernacular), scale, and character of the block. This involves addressing design issues related to the preservation of important building facades, pedestrian linkages through the block, and integrating internal and external open spaces.

• The Core area will be encouraged to develop using the concept of a strong pedestrian orientation including open spaces and plazas.

• The Unified Retail Core should capitalize on and reinforce the existing urban fabric of the waterfront and the existing downtown business district.

• The major pedestrian axes shall directly link the waterfront and downtown business district.

• The major pedestrian axes shall function as the major retail spine linking the existing downtown business district.

• Retail activity will be encouraged to orient along the street as well as within the interior parts of the development.

• The pedestrian/open space system within the Core Area shall be a series of interconnected outdoor and/or indoor open spaces, with a focus on water features that link developments within the Core and to Downtown, Williams Park, the Waterfront and the Duke Energy Center for the Arts. Developments in the Core Area shall provide for the pedestrian/open space system through maximum use of natural sunlight through a large or series of glass atriums or open air designs (high ceilings, central outdoor plazas, sunlight filtration from the ceilings). Gateway/entry points into the pedestrian/open space system shall be highlighted through large landscaped plazas or open spaces. The pedestrian/open space system and gateway shall include features such as sculptures, water landscaping and murals to create an exciting urban space.

• Development along the waterfront (Beach Drive) should maintain a building (east-west) axis perpendicular to Beach Drive on levels above the second floor.

Webb's City

• All new development shall conform to the requirements of the Downtown Center zoning district and the Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines.

Rehabilitation

• Rehabilitation of existing structures shall conform to all applicable rules and regulations of the City of St. Petersburg.
All buildings (including fences and accessory structures) within a commercial or residential rehabilitation project should integrate architecturally, aesthetically and functionally through building design, materials, scale, open spaces, circulation systems, pedestrian level activities, and uniform signage and lighting.

**DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES**

- All new development shall be consistent with the permitted uses in the downtown zoning district in which it is located.

- Development intensity and uses shall be governed by the underlying zoning district. Of particular note are the Downtown Center zones (DC) which provide for mixed-use development based on floor area ratio (F.A.R.) system as outlined below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Emphasis</th>
<th>FAR+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC-C</td>
<td>Downtown Core</td>
<td>4.0 to 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC -1</td>
<td>Downtown Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East of Dr. ML King St</td>
<td>3.0 to 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West of Dr. ML King St</td>
<td>3.0 to 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC -2</td>
<td>Downtown Residential</td>
<td>3.0 to 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC -3</td>
<td>Downtown Waterfront</td>
<td>2.0 to 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC -P</td>
<td>Downtown Park</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Range only applies from base FAR to administrative approval of FAR bonuses through streamline process. Additional bonuses can be awarded through a public hearing.*

The Downtown Center land development regulations also contain bonus and exemption provisions which allow an increase in floor area ratio (F.A.R.) if selected open space, building program and urban design features are incorporated into the project. These include, but are not limited to, protecting designated historic landmarks, providing affordable housing, including retail uses on the first floor of a mixed-use project, constructing streetscape improvements and providing specified percentage of office space. For more details on FAR bonuses, see the Downtown Center land development regulations.

- The major retail activity of the Intown shall be located in conformance with the uses permitted in the Downtown Center zoning district as depicted on Map 3 and described in the “Plan Emphasis” section contained herein.

- To encourage consolidation of blocks and promote a unified development concept, the City will consider the closing of selected streets and alleyways in accordance with an appropriate proposal.

- The development of both affordable and market rate housing should be encouraged through incentives.

- Building rehabilitation should conform to the permitted uses of the downtown zoning district in which it is located.

Uses or structures that, by reasons of appearance, traffic, smoke, glare, noise, odor, or other similar factors, would be incompatible with surrounding areas or structures shall not be permitted in any part of the project area.
ORDINANCE NO. ___

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2, ARTICLE V, DIVISION 4 OF THE ST. PETERSBURG CITY CODE RELATING TO PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS FOR SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISES; PROVIDING FOR ANNUAL CITY WIDE GOALS FOR SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PARTICIPATION; PROVIDING FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION AND PENALTIES; PROVIDING FOR CITIZEN MEMBERSHIP ON THE SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE COMMITTEE; REQUIRING QUARTERLY UPDATES TO CITY COUNCIL REGARDING THE AWARDING OF CONTRACTS TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISES; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG DOES ORDAIN:

Section 1. Section 2-272(b) of the St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

(b) **SBE Committee.** The POD shall establish an SBE Committee with membership consisting of City staff members and two City residents; one appointed by the Mayor and one appointed by the City Council Chair. The SBE Committee shall utilize a recommended city wide SBE goal, based on readiness, willingness and availability of prime contractors and subcontractors. The annual city wide goal shall be achieved through the utilization of participation percentages and/or the sheltered market program to achieve the following annual city wide SBE participation goals: FY 2017 – 8%; FY 2018 – 10%; FY 2019 – 12%; FY 2020 – 14%.

Section 2. The St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended by adding a new subsection 272(k) to read as follows:

(k) **Compliance; Corrective Action; Penalties.** If the contractor has not met the requirements of this division, the POD will provide written notice regarding noncompliance. The contractor will be required to present a corrective action plan within 30 days of such notice. Once the corrective action plan is approved by the POD, the POD will provide a time period for completion of the corrective action plan. The time period for completion of the corrective action plan shall not exceed 60 days unless the Mayor or his or her designee determines that the contractor has demonstrated that a longer time period is necessary and in the best interest of the
City. If the contractor fails to correct the deficiency within the time period, the POD shall impose the following penalties:

i. For a first violation . . . retainer will be withheld

ii. For a second violation . . . one year suspension from bidding on City contracts

iii. For a third violation . . . three year suspension from bidding on City contracts

Section 3. Section 2-274 of the St. Petersburg City Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 2-274. Report to the City Council Budget Finance and Taxation Committee.

The POD shall annually quarterly provide information to the City Council Budget Finance and Taxation Committee concerning the awarding of contracts to SBEs. To the extent practicable, the information provided should include the total dollar value of awards made in the fiscal year to SBEs.

Section 4. As used in this ordinance, language appearing in struck-through type is language to be deleted from the City Code, and underlined language is language to be added to the City Code, in the section, subsection, or other location where indicated. Language in the City Code not appearing in this ordinance continues in full force and effect unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. Sections of this ordinance that amend the City Code to add new sections or subsections are generally not underlined.

Section 5. The unconstitutionality or invalidity of any word, sentence, or portion of this ordinance shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions.

Section 6. In the event this ordinance is not vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall become effective upon the expiration of the fifth business day after adoption unless the Mayor notifies the City Council through written notice filed with the City Clerk that the Mayor will not veto the ordinance, in which case the ordinance shall become effective immediately upon filing such written notice with the City Clerk. In the event this ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor in accordance with the City Charter, it shall not become effective unless and until the City Council overrides the veto in accordance with the City Charter, in which case it shall become effective immediately upon a successful vote to override the veto.

Approved as to form and content:

________________________   
City Attorney (designee)
REQUEST

Community Redevelopment Agency recommendation that City Council approve the proposed amendments to the Intown Redevelopment Plan.

OVERVIEW

City Administration is proposing a series of amendments to the Intown Redevelopment Plan (IRP) highlighted by increased budgetary authority in the IRP redevelopment program for $20 million in improvements to the Pier District that will be funded through tax increment financing.

The proposed amendments to the Intown Redevelopment Plan are required by Resolution 2017-453 that was adopted by City Council on August 3, 2017, and approved the Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement to the Intown Redevelopment Plan (Interlocal). The Interlocal reallocated $14 million previously allotted for a “Mixed Use Transportation Facility” in the following manner:

- Reallocate $14 million previously allotted for a “Mixed Use Transportation Facility” to:
  - expend up to $10 million in TIF on (i) “Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project” and/or (ii) “Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District”.
  - expend $4 million on “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” throughout the Intown Redevelopment Area.
  - allocate to “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” any remaining amount of $10 million not spent on enhancements to the “Municipal Pier Project” and/or “Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District”.

The Interlocal also establishes a ceiling of $190,984,882 for total tax increment financing (TIF) contributions needed to complete the IRP program for the projects identified in Table 2 of the IRP. In addition, the Interlocal allows for future discussions between the City and County to increase TIF contributions if mutually agreed upon for projects related to parking and transportation enhancements within the Intown CRA and projects related to the redevelopment of the Tropicana Field property.

The amendments to the Intown Redevelopment Plan reflecting the requirements of the Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement to the Intown Redevelopment Plan can be found in Exhibit 1 of the ordinance on pages 3, 14, 18, 26, 29, 36 and 37.

Amendments to community redevelopment plans (CRPs) require adoption by ordinance and must comply with procedures established by the Florida Community Redevelopment Act. Each amendment to a CRP requires a recommendation by the St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Agency (Agency) and approval by City Council as well as the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners (BCC).

On August 24, 2017, City Council is scheduled to take action on the IRP amendments at Second Reading after a public hearing. Final approval of the IRP amendments is contingent on favorable action by the Pinellas County BCC pursuant to its status as a charter county, wherein it has retained authority to review and approve the initial redevelopment plan and amendments thereto. It is expected that the Pinellas County BCC will take action on the Redevelopment Plan on September 14, 2017.

RECOMMENDATION

Administration recommends that the Community Redevelopment Agency recommend City Council adopt the proposed amendments to the Intown Redevelopment Plan.

Attachments: CRA Resolution
Proposed Amendments to Intown Redevelopment Plan
CRA RESOLUTION 17-____

A RESOLUTION OF THE ST. PETERSBURG COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY RECOMMENDING THAT THE ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL ADOPT THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE INTOWN COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT PLAN; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, in 1982, the St. Petersburg City Council first approved the Intown Redevelopment Plan and then later amendments thereto by ordinance and in conformance with the requirements of Chapter 163, Part III of the Florida Statutes;

WHEREAS, Section 163.360 et seq of the Florida Statutes requires the submittal of any amendments to a community redevelopment plan approved by a community redevelopment agency to the governing body for its review and approval; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Community Redevelopment Agency of the City of St. Petersburg recommends the St. Petersburg City Council adopt the proposed amendments to the Intown Redevelopment Plan that are detailed in IRP-2017-01.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:  APPROVED BY:

City Attorney (Designee)  Dave Goodwin, Director
Planning and Economic Development
INTRODUCTION

The Intown Redevelopment Plan (IRP) is the revitalization plan for the downtown. The development and implementation of the plan involves the efforts of City Council, the Community Redevelopment Agency, and the residential, financial, and business communities.

The Intown Redevelopment Plan (IRP) provides mechanisms and programs for coordinating and facilitating public and private improvements to encourage revitalization. The authority and powers invested in this plan come from the Community Redevelopment Act of 1969 (Florida Statutes, Chapter 163, Part III).

The Community Redevelopment Act grants local municipalities and local redevelopment agencies the authority to undertake community redevelopment projects following the designation of a redevelopment area to be of slum or blight, or a combination thereof.

Once an area has been declared appropriate for redevelopment, a community redevelopment plan is prepared. Before the plan is approved, the local governing body must hold a public hearing on the proposed plan.

In conjunction with preparing the plan, a redevelopment agency must be established to carry out the plan. On June 30, 1981, the City Council received redevelopment powers from the Pinellas County Board of Commissioners. Then the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg declared itself the Community Redevelopment Agency for the Intown Redevelopment Plan (See Appendix A).

The Intown Redevelopment Plan was the second of four community redevelopment plans adopted for Downtown and its environs to promote revitalization (see Map 1). The first, the Jamestown Redevelopment Area, was established in 1977 and expired in 2007.

The 193-acre Bayboro Harbor Community Redevelopment Plan was approved in December 1985, with a tax increment financing (TIF) district approved in March 1988. The CRA lies south of the Intown Redevelopment Area and is bounded by the Port of St. Petersburg, Albert Whitted Airport, USF-St. Petersburg, the Old Southeast Neighborhood and the medical center complex. Bayboro’s development program and public projects, funded through TIF, supports these important assets. The district’s southern section, particularly along Salt Creek, also contains marine-related industries such as marinas, yacht builders, boat repair facilities and research uses not found elsewhere in the city.

The 123-acre Intown West Redevelopment Area lies north and west of Tropicana Field, and was created in 1990, with a tax increment district. Intown West was created to capitalize on the development of Tropicana Field and the eventual award of a Major League Baseball franchise. Specific issues the IWRP attempts to address include physical deterioration of structures and properties, poor visual identity and lack of a unified architectural theme or development pattern.
Community Redevelopment Areas in Downtown St. Petersburg

Map 1

Redevelopment Areas
- Intown
- Bayboro Harbor
- Intown West
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Intown Redevelopment Plan
PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The redevelopment of Intown has been a long-standing goal in St. Petersburg. It was recognized in the Goals for St. Petersburg 1973, the 1977 Intown Sector Land Use Plan, and, in 1979, the Intown Design and Development Program (IDDP). Given the above precedents, part of Intown Sector (see Map 2) has been identified as suitable for redevelopment as required under Chapter 163, Part III.

The redevelopment area is outlined on Map 2 and covers 309 acres, excluding rights-of-way. This area was declared suitable for redevelopment on December 17, 1981, (see Appendix A). Since its 1982 adoption, the IRP has been modified in response to changing market conditions, including amendments in 1995 that refined the plan emphasis for the Core, Waterfront, Duke Energy Center for the Arts and other project areas (see Ordinance No. 205-G). In 2005, the City of St. Petersburg amended the Intown Redevelopment Plan to establish April 7, 2035, as its expiration date and utilize its tax increment financing revenue until that date to bond public projects related to the Pier, improvements to the Duke Energy Center for the Arts, finance a mixed-use parking garage/transportation facility in an appropriate location within the IRP area, and fund pedestrian, streetscape and park improvements within the tax increment district. In 2015, the City amended the IRP to add $20 million in budgetary authority to fund public improvements identified in the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan. Pinellas County’s obligation to appropriate tax increment revenues will terminate the earlier of April 7, 2032, or at such time as the $117.4 million in funding required to pay for these projects has been repaid. The total TIF contributions needed to complete the IRP program identified in Table 2 shall not exceed $190,984,882 as calculated since the approval of the 2005 IRP Interlocal Agreement. This ceiling on TIF contributions shall not prevent the City of St. Petersburg and Pinellas County from having future discussions regarding potential projects within the Intown CRA and amending the Intown Interlocal Agreement, if mutually agreed upon, to effectuate the implementation of those projects.

The written description of the Intown Redevelopment Area is as follows:

Starting at a point located at 7th Avenue N.E. extended and Tampa Bay moving west along 7th Avenue N. E. to Beach Drive, South along Beach Drive to 5th Avenue North, West along 5th Avenue North to 2nd Street, South along 2nd Street to 4th Avenue North, West along 4th Avenue North to 3rd Street, South along 3rd Street to 3rd Avenue North, East along 3rd Avenue North to 2nd Street, South along 2nd Street to 2nd Avenue North, West along 2nd Avenue North to 3rd Street, South along 3rd Street to 1st Avenue North, West along 1st Avenue North to 6th Street, North along 6th Street to Mirror Lake Drive, West along Mirror Lake Drive to Arlington Avenue, Westerly along Arlington Avenue to 7th Street, South along 7th Street to 1st Avenue North, West along 1st Avenue North to 8th Street, South along 8th Street to 1st Avenue South, West along 1st Avenue South to 16th Street. South along 16th Street to I-175, East along I-175 to 4th Street, South on 4th Street to 5th Avenue South, East along 5th Avenue South to 3rd Street, North along 3rd Street to 4th Avenue South, East along 4th Avenue South to 2nd Street, South along 2nd Street to 5th Avenue South, Easterly along 5th Avenue South to Bayshore Drive, Easterly along Bayshore Drive to the Southern boundary of Municipal Parking Lot No. 51 on Plat Sheets D-1, D-3 and E-3 of the Official Zoning Map of the City of St. Petersburg, Easterly along the Southern boundary of Municipal Parking Lot No. 51, then Northerly along the Eastern boundary of Municipal Parking Lot No. 51 to Tampa Bay, Northerly along the coastline including any natural or artificial structures or land masses emanating from the coastline to a point located at the...
intersection of 7th Avenue N. E. extended and Tampa Bay; less the area beginning at the intersection of 1st Avenue South and 7th Street, South along 7th Street to 2nd Avenue South, East along 2nd Avenue South to 5th Street, South along 5th Street to 3rd Avenue South, East along 3rd Avenue South to 4th Street, North along 4th Street to 1st Avenue South, West along 1st Avenue South to 7th Street.

Within the redevelopment area are four important focus areas for new development: the Core, Webb's City, the Stadium Complex and surrounding residential areas (see Map 2). The first focus area is the Core, which also encompasses the waterfront. The integration of the Core and waterfront into a single focus area recognizes the importance of unifying these areas, which is a vital and unique part of the Intown and downtown revitalization.

Webb's City represents the second focus area and consists of the former Webb's City Department Store site and adjacent parcels. The Webb's City Department Store had functioned over the years as a residential service center as well as a tourist attraction. However, Webb's City Incorporated became financially troubled in 1976.

In January 1981, the City's involvement with Webb's City redevelopment program occurred when the Economic Development Administration transferred title of its property to the City. The City, in return, began making payments to EDA on the $1.1 million transaction on February 18, 1987.

The Stadium Complex is the third focus area and is located between Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. and 16th Streets and between 1st Avenue South and 1-175. The Stadium Complex, formerly known as the Gas Plant area, was declared a redevelopment area by City Council on September 7, 1978, under Chapter 163, Part III, F.S.; (Council Resolution 78-738). Initially envisioned to support industrial park and residential development, the Gas Plant Redevelopment Plan, which included plans to construct a multipurpose stadium on the site, was incorporated into the Intown Redevelopment Plan in 1983 (Ord. No. 669-F). Land acquisition and construction took the remainder of the decade, with the new domed stadium officially opened to the public on March 3, 1990.

The surrounding residential areas represent an important facet of establishing a permanent residential base in the downtown and providing for a 24-hour working, living and recreational activity center.

REDEVELOPMENT ROLES

The City Council is the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) of the Intown Redevelopment Area and reviews certain projects for consistency with the Intown Redevelopment Plan, according to project cost thresholds adopted by resolution. The CRA has the authority to recommend amendments to the Redevelopment Plan ("Plan") with final approval by the City Council. As part of any redevelopment process, there may be times when appropriate modifications to the Plan are necessary.

Under Chapter 163, F.S., the governing body (City Council) has the authority to amend the Plan in conjunction with holding a public hearing. All plan changes, modifications, and
amendments shall also be approved by the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners.

REDEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

The St. Petersburg City Council, acting as the Community Redevelopment Agency, will achieve the goal of downtown revitalization, in conformance with this adopted Plan, through the following implementation techniques and as further described in this Plan:

1. Acquisition of real property, as provided for under Chapter 163, F.S.;

2. Demolition, removal or clearance of existing building, structures and improvements and preparation of the project area as defined by this Plan;

3. Rehabilitation of certain existing structures, as defined in the Design and Development Guidelines section;

4. A relocation of site occupants presently residing in structures that are acquired by the Community Redevelopment Agency, as set forth in the Neighborhood Impact Chapter;

5. Construction of public improvements as deemed necessary to implement the Plan, encourage private investment and provide for the overall benefit of the City;

6. Disposition, by sale or lease, of property within the redevelopment area to private enterprises or for public purposes for uses in accord with this Plan and with such other conditions, disposition agreements and covenants running with the land as are necessary to ensure implementation of the Plan;

7. Formulation and Administration of rules governing reasonable preference to persons who are engaged in business within the redeveloped area if feasible and to establish rules governing the right of owners to participate in the redevelopment process.

8. Establish design and development guidelines to ensure new development and rehabilitation of existing structures are compatible with the surrounding area and conform to sound urban design practices; and

9. Management of property acquired by the CRA from the time of acquisition until disposition of the property.

OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES

The overall planning framework for the specific redevelopment programs of the IRP area is based on the 1979 Intown Design and Development Program (IDDP) and the 1977 Intown Sector Land Use Plan. These documents provide the framework for encouraging private development and rehabilitation. The overall objectives of the redevelopment plan are listed below:
A. ENCOURAGE AND REINFORCE DEVELOPMENT

1. Encourage economic activity through the development of a unified commercial core area.

2. Formulate a participatory (public/private) approach to redevelopment.

3. Explore and develop organizational and leveraging devices to encourage private investment, such as construction of public improvements, establishment of a development corporation, and use of tax increment financing, interest subsidies, loan guarantees, and federal grants.

4. Define a mixed-use and middle income residential development project, formulating prototype design criteria and strategies and utilizing a participatory (public/private) development concept for marketing and packaging the project.

5. Provide support services for residential development.

6. Provide economic and employment opportunities for all citizens, with special emphasis on the disadvantaged and unemployed persons, working closely with the private sector and other organizations to promote the revitalization of Downtown St. Petersburg.

7. Continue the Waterfront Plan, Downtown Core Area, the Stadium Plan, Webb’s City and Duke Energy Center for the Arts projects.

B. PROVIDE GREATER ACCESSIBILITY TO INTOWN ACTIVITY AREAS AND VISUAL ASSETS THROUGH THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN INTEGRATED MOVEMENT SYSTEM FOR VEHICLES, TRANSIT, PEDESTRIANS AND PARKING.

1. Develop a pedestrian system based on pedestrian counts and surveys in addition to current and projected development activity.

2. Utilize existing sidewalks and alleys for establishing a pedestrian system base.

3. Determine current and projected Intown vehicular circulation patterns by defining major roadways and their connecting streets, and identifying current and future activity nodes.

4. Determine appropriate areas to locate future parking facilities, de-emphasizing surface parking and focusing on potential areas for joint venture parking facility development.

5. Pursue a regional premium transit system with multiple downtown stations that serve Intown’s existing activity areas and promote the development and expansion of others.

C. ENSURE THAT THE FORM OF NEW DEVELOPMENT AND REDEVELOPMENT PROMOTES, REINFORCES AND MAINTAINS THE HISTORIC, CULTURAL AND AESTHETIC INTEGRITY OF THE INTOWN REDEVELOPMENT AREA.

1. Maintain strict enforcement of City codes related to...
landscaping and signage through increased inspection.

2. Continue the beautification program (landscaping, street graphics and lighting) along Intown's visual corridors, utilizing where appropriate the streets earmarked for the Street Tree Planting Program, and encouraging private sector participation, through the Chamber of Commerce and other interested organizations, in maintaining the aesthetic appearance of this vegetation.

3. Develop design criteria and prototypes related to sidewalk textures, service delivery, landscaping, pedestrian facilities, pedestrian crossings, pedestrian lighting, sun and shade, and connections between buildings and public and private open space.

4. Develop prototypes for design of required open space to encourage quality design and establish concepts for relating building form and green space to other buildings, street and pedestrian systems and historic elements.

5. Increase Intown green open space through development of a landscaped pedestrian system and the Street Tree Planting Program and encourage developers to provide increased open space through incentives.

METHODS OF FINANCING

There are several funding techniques that will be utilized to finance redevelopment. The following is a brief explanation of these techniques.

- Tax increment financing is a redevelopment funding mechanism established under Chapter 163 (Community Redevelopment Act) of the Florida Statutes. As a financial tool, it provides that the assessed value of a designated redevelopment area may be frozen upon establishment of a redevelopment plan. The frozen base continues to be available to all local taxing agencies for operating purposes throughout the duration of the redevelopment project.

However, any growth in assessed value over the frozen base is reserved for the repayment of indebtedness incurred by the Community Redevelopment Agency in conjunction with redevelopment of the area. The tax revenue generated by the redevelopment area is placed into a tax increment trust fund (T.I. Trust Fund or Trust Fund).

The property tax rates of local agencies continue to apply to this assessed value increment, but the revenue resulting therefrom is not available to other local taxing agencies (except the School Board) until all project indebtedness has been repaid.

- When available, Federal funds will continue to be used for downtown redevelopment projects.
• Industrial Revenue or Development Bonds (Chapter 159, Part III, F.S.) may be issued by the City to finance private improvements on behalf of a developer for project construction. The developer is responsible for the debt service.

• Revenue bonds can be issued by the City to finance public improvements e.g. parking structures and debt service paid back through parking revenues or a special fund.

• A special assessment district can be established for the purpose of assessing property owners for public improvements e.g. sidewalk improvements.

These financing methods will be used by the City in conjunction with the Community Redevelopment Agency and private sector to implement a comprehensive program for redevelopment.

Since the necessary components of a redevelopment program can be quite diverse, the available funding sources for each specific redevelopment component will be explored to the extent appropriate. The scope and quality of redevelopment may depend on a municipality's ability to complement the objectives of the redevelopment program and lower development costs to the private sector.

Summarized on the following page are some typical components of a hypothetical large scale redevelopment project. These components are matched with potential available financing sources. Please note that one or more financing sources may be used.
Typical Project Components

- Land acquisition, demolition of existing improvements, site grading and preparation of site for construction.

- Infrastructure (location or relocation of utilities, the closing or opening of public streets and/or sidewalks, the construction and maintenance of public roads, sidewalks, skywalks and lighting).

- Public parking facilities (grade level and structure).

- Public recreational facilities (athletic facilities, parks, docks, etc.).

- Municipal facilities (city hall, police station, library, etc.).

- Mass public facilities (convention hall, arena, museum, theatre, etc.).

- Commercial/retail facilities (hotels, restaurants, offices and specialty retail).

- Manufacturing/warehousing facilities.

- Middle-to-upper income multi-family housing (condominium and rental).

- Historic rehabilitation and restoration.

Financing Sources


- Proceeds of tax increment bonds. State and Federal grants.

- Parking revenue bonds. Proceeds of tax increment bonds.


- Municipal general obligation bonds.

- Municipal non-ad valorem revenue or general obligation bonds. Resort tax. Industrial development bonds.


- Conventional mortgage financing. Local single family mortgage revenue bond financing.

- Federal loans or grants. Industrial development bonds for commercial operations.
Plan Implementation
IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH

The overall implementation program revolves around adherence to a comprehensive program approach focusing on:

1. Public improvements, such as parking and sidewalk improvements, developed in conjunction with private sector projects;

2. Design programs and guidelines to ensure design compatibility between buildings and blocks and within the Intown as a whole;

3. Financial involvement by the City through tax increment financing, by State and Federal funding sources, and by financial institutions that create the types of lending programs necessary to accomplish downtown revitalization. This involvement focuses on utilizing public funds to generate greater private investment through leveraging techniques;

4. The organization of downtown activities through a centralized agency or group working with the City and merchants for the purpose of promotion, administration, and business development. This should also include lobbying efforts to modify existing and promote new state legislation favorable to downtown development.

PLAN EMPHASIS

Part of the plan implementation is developing an overall land use emphasis in order to achieve the concentration and form of development desired. Map 3 depicts the Downtown Center zoning districts within the redevelopment area that implement the land use focus for Intown. The uses indicated correspond to the Downtown Center zoning within each block as well as the Intown Sector Land Use Plan. This plan is in compliance with the City's Comprehensive Plan prepared under Chapter 163, Part II, F.S.

The central portion of the Downtown Core area is defined as a mixed use emphasis, either office, retail, residential or a combination thereof, reflecting the importance of concentrating intense office and major retail activity within this small area. This concentration achieves a 24-hour activity center and emphasizes a pedestrian orientation. The surrounding blocks provide a support base with mixed-use activities (office, residential and/or minor retail), with a specialty retail focus along the waterfront.

The Webb's City area will provide essential residential support services as well as expanding the employment base through office development. Another important emphasis for the Webb's City area is market rate housing.

---

1 Map 3 is for illustrative purposes. Please refer to the City's Official Zoning Map for the most up-to-date information.
The plan for the **Stadium Complex** is substantially complete with the construction of the stadium and attraction of a Major League Baseball franchise but ongoing refinements can be expected in order to meet the evolving needs of baseball and its fans.

The plan emphasis is designed to reflect the various activities for each focus area as implemented through the Downtown Center zoning districts and how these activity concentrations should integrate and support each other. Residential uses will be allowed throughout the redevelopment area, either as a permitted use or through the special exception or streamline approval processes provided by the land development regulations.

Open space and street layouts are depicted on Map 3. In addition, the limitation on the size and type of development in the area is governed by the City's Land Development Regulations, including open space and parking requirements and this Plan.

**TRUST FUND PROGRAMMING**

The City of St. Petersburg approved the Intown Redevelopment Plan (IRP) to revitalize the city's original downtown core area and waterfront for urban entertainment, residential, commercial, institutional, and office uses. To stimulate private investment within Intown through public improvements, the City also established a tax increment financing district and issued bonds totaling $72.5 million to pay for these improvements. Through four separate bond issues in 1984, 1985 and two in 1989, the City issued bonds to pay for projects such as improvements to Bayfront Center (now Duke Energy Center for the Arts) and the Pier, South Core garage, streetscape improvements, land acquisition, Tropicana Field improvements and other public initiatives.

In 2005, the City amended the IRP to extend until 2032 its use of tax increment financing to fund public improvement projects throughout Intown (see Ordinance 715-G and interlocal agreement in Appendix A). In addition to renovations to the Mahaffey Theater, the extension was designed to pay for projects such as the Pier project and its approach, a mixed-use transportation facility, pedestrian and streetscape improvements as well as improvements to the waterfront park system. The TIF related costs of these projects were approved by Pinellas County via interlocal agreement in the amount of $95.4 million.

In 2006, the City Council and Pinellas County increased this amount to provide an additional $2 million in tax increment financing proceeds to complete the Mahaffey Theater renovation project (see Ordinance 762-G and Appendix A). In 2010, City Council approved $2.5 million from tax increment financing for use at the Duke Energy Center for the Arts to augment needed funding to complete the new Salvador Dali Museum. Pinellas County matched the City's funding with monies available through the Tourist Development Tax. In

---

2 TIF is a method of facilitating redevelopment by utilizing future city and county real property tax revenues to pay for public improvements. TIF earmarks any future growth in real property taxable values from the year the tax increment financing district is designated to pay for the cost of improvements.
2015, the City amended the IRP to add $20 million in budgetary authority to fund public improvements identified in the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan approved in June 2015.

City Council approved plan amendments in 2017 that established a ceiling of $190,984,882 for total TIE contributions needed to complete the IRP program, while reallocating funding for projects identified in Table 2 below. This ceiling on TIF contributions shall not prevent the City of St. Petersburg and Pinellas County from having future discussions regarding potential projects within the Intown CRA and amending the Intown Interlocal Agreement, if mutually agreed upon, to effectuate the implementation of those projects.

Table 2 was amended to delete the $14-million “Mixed Use Transportation Facility” and reallocated its funding to other approved projects in the following manner:

- expend up to $10 million in TIF on (i) “Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project” and/or (ii) “Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District”;
- expend $4 million on “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” throughout the Intown Redevelopment Area; and
- allocate to “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” any remaining amount of the $10 million not spent on enhancements to the “Municipal Pier Project” and/or “Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District”.

The total Intown Redevelopment Plan budget of $117.354 million remained unchanged.

**PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS**

When the City adopted the IRP in 1982, it identified an array of public improvement projects throughout Intown designed to facilitate private development. Major improvement goals included:

- redeveloping the downtown core into an intense mixed-use activity center that serves a broad range of dense land-uses;
- consolidating blocks for conveyance to developers;
- building parking garages to reduce or eliminate the demand for surface parking lots damaging to the urban fabric;
- enhancing the pedestrian experience by improving sidewalks, streetscaping and waterfront parks;
- expanding the cultural offerings through the ongoing development of what is now the Duke Energy Center for the Arts;
- developing a sports stadium;

---

\[1\] The contribution ceiling was based on prior and future TIF expenditures for projects and associated debt service costs incurred since the approval of the 2005 IRP Interlocal Agreement to the completion of the IRP program.
- expanding market-rate residential development;
- establishing a transit system to reduce the need for automobile use downtown; and
- developing the Webb’s City site.

On many of these fronts, the City has made significant progress. In others, work remains. The section below outlines the public and private development activity that has taken place since the IRP’s adoption, as well as those actions that are needed. The projects identified are those which will have the greatest impact on leveraging private investment and provide important public amenities. All the public improvements will be constructed in conjunction with new development or rehabilitation. All costs identified in this plan are estimates (emphasis added) and include planning, design, construction and project management costs. Maintenance of landscaping (including watering) for all the pedestrian improvements will be the responsibility of the abutting property owner.

In the section entitled “Summary” on page 345 below, Table 1 summarizes projects implemented between 1982 and 2004, while Table 2 identifies new public improvement projects proposed between 2005 and 2035. In addition, development and design guidelines for all projects in the redevelopment area are discussed in the section beginning on page 367 below entitled “Design and Development Guidelines.”

Core Area Project

The core project represents the establishment of a major activity center linking the downtown and waterfront (see Map 4). The public improvement programs identified for the core are designed to encourage private development and create the type of activity center that will attract people and business.

Since 1998, the core has seen the bulk of downtown’s high-profile development activity, including the development of five residential condominium towers and a hotel on Beach Drive, construction of an urban entertainment complex and a major corporate headquarters, the establishment of a downtown college campus and cultural activities as well as the opening of many restaurants. These have all energized downtown into the 24/7 activity center envisioned by the City.

Unified Retail Program

The unified retail program encompasses an eight-block area (blocks A, B, C, D, E, G, Duke Energy/St. Petersburg College, and Jannus Landing - Map 4) within the Core. The project focuses on new development with intense retail activity that integrates with St. Petersburg College, Sundial, Jannus Landing, and the Beach Drive Shops and implements the Waterfront Plan.

The unified retail concept seeks to create pedestrian oriented streets within the Core, to establish a strong tie between the major retail blocks. This concept will create the type of compact retail area necessary for attracting pedestrian shoppers, generating retail variety, and creating a major focal point for the Core.
The unified retail concept establishes street and upper level activities in order to create a successful integration of retail stores. The successful development, marketing/promotion, management, and uniform maintenance of the unified retail district may require management by a single entity. Another important element of the unified retail program is ensuring quality architectural design unity and compatibility of existing development, new development and redevelopment within the core area.

The City’s Land Development Regulations adopted in 2007 are the primary regulatory vehicle for ensuring the type and quality of development sought for Downtown. Through the Downtown Center zoning requirements, the LDRs establish allowable uses, development intensity, height, design details and other features necessary for the vibrant urban environment sought by the Intown Redevelopment Plan. Consequently, all future development and redevelopment must be consistent with the Downtown Center zoning requirements as well as the Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines.

Below is a brief description of the development activity and use emphasis within the unified retail area:

**St. Petersburg College/Duke Energy Block** The block, located just east of Williams Park has made significant strides toward achieving the IRP’s vision. St. Petersburg College opened its Downtown Center in 2005 by renovating the former Maas Brother furniture building and providing 111,000 sf of classroom and administrative space. Duke Energy Florida opened its 220,000-sf headquarters in 2006 allowing it to consolidate its functions in Pinellas County. Finally, SPC, American Stage and the Florida Orchestra have collaborated to build a new 25,000-sf cultural arts center linked with SPC’s Downtown Campus that opened in 2009.

**Jannus Landing Block** The historic block has seen substantial renovation activity since the IRP’s inception, including the adaptive reuse of the Detroit Hotel into condominiums, as well as tenant improvements for restaurants, offices and specialty retail. The block has also served as a concert venue for several decades, adding to the cultural and entertainment mix essential for downtown. Future development should continue the existing mixed-use pattern with a major street-level retail emphasis to reinforce and support the unified retail program.

**Block A** The 2000 opening of BayWalk, a 160,000-sf urban entertainment center with shopping and movie theaters, was an immediate success, drawing nearly 3 million/year in its first few years. After struggling during the Great Recession and its aftermath, the complex underwent a $30 million renovation, and reopened in 2014 as Sundial St. Petersburg.

**Block B** The South Trust Tower at 125 2nd Ave N and the MidCore Parking Garage are the most significant development projects on this block. The 207,000-sf tower that opened in 1985 implemented the IRP’s vision for a major office component, while the parking garage satisfied a downtown-wide emphasis. The garage, completed in
2000, also provided nearly 60,000 sf of retail space. The construction of the Millenium Walkway, linking the MidCore Garage with Sundial, met the IRP’s design vision for a pedestrian network providing north/south connection lined by bronze sculptures.

**Block C** The block is strategically located between the waterfront park system, Beach Drive and Sundial. Two major condominium towers - Florencia (2000) and Ovation (2009) – opened in the 21st Century and implemented the IRP’s vision for mixed-use residential with a specialty retail emphasis to blend with Beach Drive Shops. The streetscape features, ground-floor retail and public art built by Ovation creates the major public open space that physically and visually links the unified retail core program with the waterfront park system.

**Block D** In 2011, this block located on Central Avenue is a surface parking lot, and is the most significant development site remaining in the Unified Retail Core. The Downtown Core zoning requirements call for an intense mixed-use block with significant ground-floor retail uses provided on all sides of the building. Because of the pedestrian linkages already established by the MidCore Garage arcade and the Millenium Walkway, major retail activity (2 or 3 levels) should be provided along a north/south pedestrian corridor linking Central Avenue with the Sundial block.

**Block E** When the IRP was first approved in 1982, the small block contained only the historic Ponce de Leon Hotel, an accessory structure and a surface parking lot. Since then the Hotel has undergone renovations, including the outfitting of three retail spaces for restaurant and nightclub use, and has been joined on the block by a Hampton Inn and Suites, a 92-room hotel with ground floor retail that opened in 2001.

**Parking Structures**

Public parking structures and mixed-use parking structures/transportation facilities will continue to be constructed at key locations within the core area. Through the 2017 amendments to the IRP, City Council allocated at least $4 million for “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” that could be used to fund parking structures. These structures should include ground level retail and may include air rights development above the parking structure, and will be located at appropriate locations within the IRP area (see Map 7).

**Pedestrian System**

An important part of establishing a strong downtown revitalization program is providing pedestrian amenities. The Land Development Regulations (LDRs) identify areas within Intown where development may be required to upgrade or enhance streetscaping.

The Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines described in Appendix B serve as the design framework for the level of pedestrian treatment (pedestrian system classification) that is intended by the LDRs. Other blocks in the redevelopment area may be
considered for inclusion as part of the pedestrian improvement program depending upon the availability of trust fund money and participation by all property owners along a given block.

The pedestrian system cost breakdown for the Core includes, pedestrian mall areas, partial mall covering for weather protection, pedestrian improvements and skyways. The City will participate with the private sector in developing the pedestrian system.

Part of developing a unified core area is the ability to evaluate the design and human scale impacts of new development. Many of these design considerations were addressed during the 2007 amendments to the City’s land development regulations that created the Downtown Center zoning districts. The urban design standards set forth in the DC districts improve the design and human scale of new development. These include:

- Ensuring maximum building setbacks to create an urban edge to new development;
- “Stepbacks” for new construction above a certain height to prevent the creation of a “canyon effect” on downtown streets;
- Discouraging demolition of buildings without prior approval of a site plan and submission of building permits to maintain the urban fabric; and
  Incorporation of a minimum amount of pedestrian type uses in new construction (i.e., galleries, shops, restaurants) to ensure street-level pedestrian activity.

many of downtown’s major streets (see “A” and “B” Streets on Map 5).

**Block Consolidation**

The Community Redevelopment Agency, for the purpose of consolidating development parcels, may undertake selected land acquisition to consolidate blocks for development. The Agency has undertaken acquisition before, most notably in assembling land in the 1990s for Sundial and the MidCore Parking Garage, as well as for the Duke Energy corporate headquarters during the early 2000s.

Block consolidation includes the establishment of the unified retail core concept (Blocks A, B, C, D, G, E,) and Duke Energy/St. Petersburg College, Sundial and Jannus Landing and consolidation of Block F (see Map 4).

The following is a brief description of the development activity and use emphasis of the remaining Core blocks (F and G).

**Block F** In 1991, construction was completed on a 340,000-sf mixed-use office tower. The tower, which has undergone several name changes, was the last large office project built in downtown before the opening of the Duke Energy headquarters. The tower’s parking needs are mostly met by the nearby SouthCore Garage, which can be accessed by an elevated pedestrian bridge. Any future development on the site must comply with the

---

4 Map 5 is for illustrative purposes. Please refer to the City’s Land Development Regulations for the most up-to-date information.
requirements of the Downtown Center zoning district.

**Block G** The SouthCore parking garage occupies the entire block providing 1,300 parking spaces, and more than 130,000 sf of commercial space. Future development of the site or air rights must comply with the Downtown Core zoning district.

**DUKE ENERGY CENTER FOR THE ARTS**

The Duke Energy Center for the Arts, which includes the Salvador Dali Museum that opened in 2011, the Mahaffey Theater and other facilities, represents an important cultural resource and amenity to the community and a vital component of the downtown redevelopment program. It is necessary, therefore to prepare and periodically update (1) market and design studies to identify its appropriate role in the local and regional market (performing arts, theater, conventions, conferences and other related entertainment activities), and (2) facility improvements.

Project funding was required for market and architectural studies, public improvements required to support development of the Salvador Dali Museum, the rehabilitation of the Mahaffey Theater and expansion of the lobby, reorientation of the Theater entry toward the waterfront area, creation of an outdoor plaza, development of a new waterfront public park and funding for parking, landscaping and other related pedestrian and open space improvements (see Figure 1 for an aerial view of the Center and its environs).

**WEBB’S CITY**

When the IRP was first adopted in 1982, Downtown St. Petersburg was losing retail services and employment to the suburbs and struggling to retain its residential base. The Webb's City project was devised to address these issues and encompasses a six-block area focusing on office, residential and residential service retail (see Map 6).

By the mid 1980s, the project was successful by attracting Webb’s Plaza, the AAA Headquarters, and the headquarters of St. Petersburg’s Fire Department. In fact, the Winn Dixie at Webb's Plaza would be the only grocer to serve Downtown for nearly twenty years. By 2011, however, the Plaza is no longer competitive in the downtown retail market that emerged in the past fifteen years and is a potential redevelopment opportunity. In addition, another three blocks in the Webb’s City project area are either vacant or underbuilt, also providing redevelopment potential. However, as development in Downtown has resumed after the Great Recession, the Webb’s City area is poised to take off. In 2015, The Hermitage, 357-unit luxury apartment complex has broken ground in the 700 block of 1st Avenue South. Bordering Webb’s City are several projects that illustrate investment interest in the area, including: a Publix Supermarket under construction across 1st Avenue South, along with gallery space to support the Morean Arts Center and Hot Shop on Central Avenue; the renovation of the Historic YWCA Building at 642 2nd Avenue South into a high-end steakhouse in 2013; the conversion of a former public housing complex on Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Street South, into the market Urban Flats; and the construction of
Casablanca Tower and Orion, both market-rate multifamily complexes on 8th Street South. Webb’s City strategic location between the IRP’s “Core Area,” Tropicana Field, the Intown West CRA and the Bayfront/All Children’s medical district make it an attractive redevelopment opportunity for several different market sectors. The Downtown Center zoning district describes the uses allowed for the Webb’s City project area. The LDRs along with the Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines, also prescribe appropriate urban design treatments for this important area.

THE DOWNTOWN WATERFRONT AREA

The Downtown waterfront park system stretches from the Vinoy Park Hotel along 5th Avenue NE to the Salvador Dali Museum at the Duke Energy Center for the Arts on Bayshore Drive/Dali Boulevard SE (Figure 2). It represents St. Petersburg’s signature planning triumph and continues to attract millions of visitors a year for festivals, dining, sports, culture and entertainment, and leisure. Over many years, the City has attempted to upgrade facilities to respond to the waterfront’s ever-evolving needs. For instance, in the late 1980s, the City constructed $12.5 million in improvements to the Pier and Pier approach that expanded parking opportunities.

The IRP’s objective for the Downtown Waterfront Area entails the continued revitalization of the waterfront parks and Pier area and focuses on development of specialty retail, parking, cultural and recreational facilities. To that end, the City will be funding major public improvement projects to sustain and expand the success of Downtown St. Petersburg, including the Municipal Pier Project, implementation of the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan and continued streetscaping and waterfront park investments.

The Municipal Pier Project

The $50-million Municipal Pier Project will result in extensive renovation or replacement of the Pier based on problems and issues cited in a City Engineering report to City Council on March 13, 2004, and subsequent documents. The report identified issues of deterioration that would not be remedied through the City’s ongoing Pier maintenance program and determined that these efforts were not cost effective.

Downtown Waterfront Master Plan

On November 8, 2011, St. Petersburg voters approved an amendment to the City Charter requiring City Council to “develop and approve an inclusive Downtown Waterfront Master Plan (DWMP) by July 1, 2015.” On June 4, 2015, City Council approved the DWMP, which identified nearly $800 million in potential public and private improvements throughout the DWMP planning area that will enhance St. Petersburg’s signature planning achievement.

The study area for the DWMP is comprised of six “character” districts that collectively span approximately seven miles of contiguous public waterfront beginning at the Northeast Exchange Club Coffee Pot Park on the north to Lassing Park to the south. Two of the districts – Pier District and South Basin District – are wholly contained within the Intown Redevelopment Plan.
Redevelopment Area. The Pier District lies east of Beach Drive, north of Demens Landing and south of the North Mole seawall. The South Basin District adjoins the Pier District to the south and reaches south to Albert Whitted Park and is generally bounded on the west by 1st Street South. A portion of a third district - North Shore- lying south of 7th Avenue NE and east of Bayshore Drive is within Intown (see Figure 2).

City Council’s near concurrent approval of the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan with its May 2015 approval of the new St. Petersburg Pier design provides an opportunity to fund strategic public improvements within the Pier Approach to better link the proposed Municipal Pier with the bustling activity found on Straub Park, Beach Drive, Sundial St. Petersburg and Central Avenue. Within the Pier District, the DWMP identified $51.7 million in improvements. Within the Pier Approach the City will fund through tax increment financing $20 million in public improvements including but not limited to the redesign of existing downtown parks; street reconfiguration and streetscaping; and development of the Vinoy Basin area, any portion of which may include, without limitation, pedestrian areas and facilities, an open market, ferry/water taxi facilities, and restaurant/café facilities.

In 2017, City Council approved up to $10 million for “Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project” and/or “Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District”. Any surplus that remains from this funding source will be used to augment the $4 million in TIF allocated to the “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” project (see Table 2).

Another $2.5 million to fund park improvements that was approved in 2005, will continue the City’s focus on maintaining and improving the IRP’s park system and facilities as support amenities for Downtown’s residential and specialty retail market (see Table 2).

The City Charter will require a public referendum for any disposition of or long-term lease on City property in the Downtown Waterfront Area east of Beach Drive to the Municipal Pier structure.

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

The development of an expanded residential base in the Intown is essential to achieve a successful downtown redevelopment program. People living and working downtown will generate the 24-hour activity and community spirit necessary to continue the expansion of the downtown economic and cultural base. One important aspect of residential development is the utilization of the existing housing stock.

To ensure housing opportunities for all citizens of St. Petersburg, the residential development program focuses on two aspects of the housing market:

1. aid low and middle-income persons in the rehabilitation of their property or investor owners who provide housing for low and middle-income groups; and
2. Aid in defining and assisting new middle-income residential development and infill housing, and ensuring its compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood. New low-income housing will continue to be provided through the City's existing programs in the Jamestown and Gas Plant area and through other federal programs.

The residential development program utilizes a variety of federal, state and local programs to encourage new housing and rehabilitation of the existing housing stock. This plan incorporates spot clearance and rehabilitation on a majority of the blocks in the redevelopment area and in other selected blocks utilizes rehabilitation and block consolidation for new infill housing (see Map 7). The program will consist of voluntary and compulsory participation by owners in the rehabilitation of their buildings in accordance with design criteria set forth in this plan.

The available funding alternatives include, but are not limited to, the following:

**Federal**
- 312 Rehabilitation Loan Program offers direct loans and works on a revolving loan fund basis;
- Section 8 rent supplement for low-income persons.
- Mortgage insurance programs designed to encourage lending institutions investment in housing by reducing the risk related.
- The Historic Preservation Tax Credit program provides a 20 percent tax credit for developers of who renovate rental housing that are listed on the National Register of Historic Places.

**State**
- The Community Contribution Tax Credit (Section 220.183, F.S.) offers a 50% credit against state corporate income taxes for contributions of up to $200,000, for community development, which could be used as direct grant or to start a revolving loan fund;
- The State of Florida provides tax incentives and loans to carry out projects in declared or distress areas;
- The Florida Housing Development Finance Agency may make available financing opportunities for residential rehabilitation, specifically through tax-exempt bonding.

**Local**
- Promoting development of residential services;
- Use of tax increment financing for residential related public improvements, such as recreation areas (use of alleyways), infrastructure, landscaping, lighting, etc;
- City may initiate vacation of alleys and streets for development;
- Use a loan principal or interest subsidy program on
conventional loans;

- use of tax increment financing for land acquisition;

- use of the Ad Valorem Tax Exemption for Historic Properties enabled by City ordinance;

- City may issue housing mortgage revenue bonds;

- local banks establishing a special loan pool for all types of residential development.

The key to encouraging the housing market to respond to the needs of housing consumers and stimulating new residential growth in the downtown, lies in creative financing techniques. When the IRP was adopted, it was estimated that the plan could generate 1500 or more additional housing units in the area. The IRP has exceeded that estimate. Since the IRP was adopted in 1982, more than 2,100 residential units have been added within the community redevelopment area. In the rest of downtown, more than 820 dwelling units have been constructed during the same period. All but approximately four hundred units have been constructed since 1998 throughout downtown.

Block Consolidation

The Community Redevelopment Agency, for the potential purpose of consolidating parcels, may undertake selected land acquisition for the residential development program. Blocks identified for consolidation are shown on Map 7.

The residential program involves the Vinoy project and the University Park Residential District. The development concept for these areas is described below:

**Vinoy Project**

The Vinoy Project encompassed the renovation of the Renaissance Vinoy Park Hotel, construction of condominiums on adjoining property and establishment of a marina. The Vinoy represents a unique landmark within the City's signature waterfront park system. At one time in the 1970s and 1980s, the Vinoy was an economic and aesthetic blight on the waterfront due to its deteriorated condition and vacant status for approximately 18 years, from 1974 to 1992). However, its restoration and reopening in 1992, the development of the Vinoy Condominiums in 2001, and the construction of the yacht basin, have been essential ingredients in the resurgence of downtown and the waterfront.

The continuing use of the Vinoy for residential or hotel uses, or both, is vital to establishing and maintaining a permanent population base in the downtown in order to stimulate and support hotel, office and retail growth, expand the City's tax base, encourage the rehabilitation of existing downtown neighborhoods, and reinforce the aesthetic quality of the waterfront park system.

The continued success of the Vinoy development will:

- ensure compatible development on the site that is sensitive to the visual image of the waterfront;
- develop and preserve a 200-foot wide open space buffer parallel to and west of Bayshore Drive NE between 7th Avenue NE and Fifth Avenue NE;
- protect the community's investment in the downtown waterfront park system; and
- enhance and achieve the specific development goals the Downtown Waterfront Area.

University Park

Block “K” and Block “L” are located in an area identified by Downtown Core zoning for residential support (see Map 4 on page 16). The design concept should provide ground level green open space and may provide support service retail, in conformance with underlying zoning requirements.

The remainder of the district (8th-4th Streets between 3rd Avenue South and l-175) is appropriate for selected land acquisition and demolition for new in-fill housing and housing rehabilitation.

TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM

A vibrant downtown requires a transportation system that balances automobile access with pedestrian-oriented facilities such as light rail, bus, trolley, biking and walking. The transportation program for Downtown St. Petersburg is a multimodal approach that recognizes Downtown as a regional activity center within Tampa Bay that needs to accommodate vehicular traffic while also maximizing the pedestrian experience so vital to its success. The City also expects that multiple stations will be located within Intown to serve any premium transit system that will be developed to improve regional access to Downtown St. Petersburg.

The interstate system carries visitors and workers to and from Downtown St. Petersburg, but once in Downtown the IRP program focuses on providing mass transit opportunities. The Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority (PSTA) operates more than a dozen bus routes that use Williams Park in Downtown as a transfer point. In 2016, the City worked with PSTA to relocate the transfer point from Williams Park to a new intermodal facility elsewhere in downtown and create a new grid bus network in Downtown. It is anticipated that the facility will be funded by $14 million in tax increment financing, as approved by amendments to the IRP in 2005. In 2017, City Council approved $4 million for “Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements” to fund improvements to the Intown transportation network.

Transit within Intown and its environs is provided by the Looper Trolley, which was established in 1996. The program is administered by the St. Petersburg Downtown Partnership, Inc., and receives funding from several different sources, including the City of St. Petersburg, Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority, Florida Department of Transportation and private sector organizations. The Looper serves the main activity generators in downtown including the waterfront park system and Beach Drive, Central Avenue, and the Duke Energy Center for the Arts.
The Downtown Partnership, or successor, is also responsible for the Central Avenue Shuttle, which was established in Fall 2009. The Shuttle links the Downtown waterfront with the Grand Central Main Street District along Central Avenue.

In a dense urban environment, bicycles are an important mode of transportation costing little and using little space for parking. The City has been integrating bike lanes onto many downtown streets for the last decade to improve cyclist safety. In 2008, the Pinellas Trail was extended into downtown St. Petersburg along First Avenue South allowing users to travel on the trail from Demens Landing on Tampa Bay to Tarpon Springs. The trail is separated from traffic by parking and curbs to better ensure user safety.

Finally, several sites within Intown have been identified to serve as stations for the region’s first Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project. As planned, the Central Avenue BRT would travel the First Avenue corridors from Downtown to the Gulf Beaches. The goals of the project are to develop and implement a successful BRT project along St. Petersburg’s Central Avenue corridor that supports local revitalization and economic development plans; improves long-term livability; enhances safety and access for pedestrians and bicyclists; attracts new ridership; supports the unique character of the area; and provides service in a cost-effective manner.

The preferred route for the Central Avenue BRT service is from Downtown to Grand Central Station and then to St. Pete Beach. The Central Avenue BRT project is a top priority for the Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority (PSTA) and it is included in the Tampa Bay Area Regional Transportation Authority’s Master Plan. Additional funding will be needed to produce the final design plans, construct the project, acquire BRT vehicles and operate the service. The City and PSTA are actively seeking this funding from federal and state funding sources.

PLAZA PARKWAY

The Plaza Parkway program entails construction of public improvements, including pedestrian system improvements, as an incentive for owners to rehabilitate or redevelop their property. To that end, the City has allocated $2.5 million for the program from tax increment financing (see Table 2). In addition, the program also requires property owners undertaking development to upgrade streetscapes, construct façade treatments and provide appropriate uses in downtown to implement the City’s objective for a pedestrian-friendly downtown. (Such treatments are described in the Land Development Regulations and design requirements specified in the Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines.)

The primary focus of the program is on the properties located on Pedestrian Level “A” and “B” streets (see Map 5), although this program can be expanded to any part of the Intown Redevelopment Area. All other streets not designated as “A” or “B” streets shall comply with the minimum streetscape provisions provided in the Plaza Parkway Street System (see Appendix B for “Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines”).
UTILITY PROGRAM

Water, sewer and other utilities in the Intown represent an important factor in revitalizing the area. Because of the age and substandard line sizes in the Intown, a detailed analysis of utilities is being conducted that will eventually result in a programming of capital improvements to meet the expected increase in demand.

Funding sources for infrastructure improvements will be through the City's capital improvement program and possibly Federal and State funds.

Costs incurred for the City to re-route water and sewer lines within or around a block because of a private development project will be borne by the developer.

STADIUM PLAN

The Stadium Plan is a multi-purpose stadium project that was constructed on the original Gas Plant site. City Council approved an amendment to the Intown Redevelopment Plan changing the development program for the area to allow construction of a domed stadium. The stadium was opened to the public on March 3, 1990, eventually welcoming Major League Baseball in 1998.

OTHER PROJECTS

The previously described public improvements represent important elements of revitalizing the area and providing an expanded and diversified retail, employment, residential and cultural base. In addition to these areas, other sites have been identified for selected public improvements:

- The City may participate in a joint development with the County and/or other private developer(s) in constructing a public parking structure or mixed-use parking structure/transportation facility at an appropriate location within the IRP area. Office and/or retail or other allowable uses shall be located on the ground level of the parking structure and may be located above the parking structure.

- The Block "H" office project, more commonly known as City Center, was completed in 1984 and was another joint public/private partnership involving the construction of a parking structure with possible future air rights above the structure (see Map 4 on page 16).

- In conjunction with the rehabilitation of the Vinoy Park Hotel and adjacent new residential development, the City supported the development of marina slips adjacent to 5th Avenue NE in the North (Vinoy) Basin.

- Several sites within the redevelopment area may require block consolidation for commercial and/or residential development. These blocks are located on the fringe between the Core and the residential area, representing a transition zone requiring appropriate planning design and development. The blocks in this transition zone are identified as "I" and "i" on Map 4 on page 16. Future development shall comply with the Downtown Center zoning requirements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Development Cost (1)</th>
<th>TIF - City and County</th>
<th>City and Other Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stadium Development (Tropicana Field)</td>
<td>$209,549,851</td>
<td>$22,500,000</td>
<td>$187,049,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayfront Center/Mahaffey Theater Renovation</td>
<td>27,157,920</td>
<td>8,209,000</td>
<td>18,948,920 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundial and MidCore Garage</td>
<td>22,135,606</td>
<td>5,496,000</td>
<td>16,639,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Core Garage</td>
<td>20,377,765</td>
<td>13,887,000</td>
<td>6,490,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Sites Acquisition Costs</td>
<td>16,032,171</td>
<td>632,000</td>
<td>15,400,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Pier</td>
<td>14,862,273</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
<td>13,262,273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intown Streetscape Program</td>
<td>5,696,215</td>
<td>620,000</td>
<td>5,076,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterfront Park Improvements</td>
<td>2,214,353</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>2,214,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Museums Development</td>
<td>1,294,438</td>
<td>494,438</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Transit Initiatives</td>
<td>583,110</td>
<td>583,110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Marketing and Promotion</td>
<td>231,070</td>
<td>231,070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Energy Park Improvements</td>
<td>204,021</td>
<td>204,021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total                                                 $320,338,793       $53,744,000                  $266,594,793

(1) Some projects include land acquisition costs.
(2) $2.6 M of development cost was donated by the Mahaffey Theater Foundation as part of the 1987-88 renovations.
SUMMARY

Map 7 illustrates some of the various public improvements proposed and/or implemented in the Intown Redevelopment Plan since its inception, some of which have been described in the sections above. Table 1 describes projects implemented between 1982 and 2004 and their source of funding.

One important conclusion should be noted in regard to the trust fund allocation. Tax increment bonds have not been the only source of redevelopment funding in the past nor will they be the only source of funds available in the future for implementing projects. As outlined in Tables 1 and 2 and described in the “Methods of Financing” Chapter, a wide range of sources have been and may be used for project funding. The tax increment generated by the redevelopment area serves only as a starting basis.

ADMINISTRATIVE AND RELOCATION COSTS

Business and residential relocation costs and administrative costs related to the project will be funded through tax increment trust fund or tax increment bond proceeds. Tax increment bond proceeds may be used for necessary architectural and other professional services to implement development projects described in the Plan.

PROPERTY DISPOSITION AND DEVELOPMENT POLICY

For the purposes of this Plan, the Community Redevelopment Agency is authorized to sell, lease, exchange, subdivide, transfer, assign, pledge, encumber by mortgage or deed of trust, or otherwise dispose of any interest in real property. To the extent permitted by law, the Agency is authorized to dispose of real property in accordance with Florida Statute Chapter 163 and in compliance with this Plan.

Owner Participation

Owner participation is an important part of ensuring a cohesive downtown revitalization program. Therefore, owner participation is encouraged in the redevelopment of downtown.

Before the City pursues any development project on a particular site, contact will be made with the property owners to determine their interest in participating in the project. Such participation by an owner shall be contingent upon execution by such owner of a binding agreement by which the property retained or acquired will be developed and used in conformance with the plan.

The Community Redevelopment Agency may, prior to the execution of an agreement, determine in its sole discretion that it is in the best interest of the City to acquire such property for development by the City or disposition for competitive bidding. The Community Redevelopment Agency may acquire property which is retained by an owner under an Owner Participation Agreement if the owner fails, refuses or neglects to perform his/her obligation under said agreement.
### TABLE 2
Intown Redevelopment Plan
TIF Funding Required for New Public Improvement Projects - 2005-2035*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designated Projects</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>TIF Funds Required (in $Millions)</th>
<th>Other Potential Funding Sources</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Municipal Pier Project (1)</strong></td>
<td>2008-2018</td>
<td>Downtown Waterfront at 2nd Avenue NE</td>
<td>$50M</td>
<td>To be Determined</td>
<td>$50M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements – Pier District</strong></td>
<td>2016-2020</td>
<td>Pier Approach</td>
<td>$20M</td>
<td>No other public funding identified.</td>
<td>$20M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duke Energy Center for the Arts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>NE Corner of 1st S/5th Ave S</td>
<td>$31.286M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahaffey Theater</td>
<td>2005-2011</td>
<td>Downtown Waterfront at 2nd Avenue NE</td>
<td>$25.854M</td>
<td>City ($2.932M)</td>
<td>$28.786M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvador Dali Museum</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>Downtown Waterfront at 2nd Avenue NE</td>
<td>$2.5M</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2.5M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mixed Use Transportation Facility</strong></td>
<td>2016-2017</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>$2.4M</td>
<td>No other public funding identified.</td>
<td>$2.4M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project (2)</strong></td>
<td>2018-2019</td>
<td>Downtown Waterfront at 2nd Avenue NE</td>
<td>$0.9M</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.9M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District (2)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pier Approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements</strong></td>
<td>2017-2020</td>
<td>Throughout the IRP District</td>
<td>$4M</td>
<td>No other public funding identified.</td>
<td>$4M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pedestrian System/Streetscape Improvements</strong></td>
<td>2006-2035</td>
<td>Throughout IRP District</td>
<td>$2.5M</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>$2.5M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Park Improvements</strong></td>
<td>2006-2035</td>
<td>Waterfront Park System</td>
<td>$2.5M</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>$2.5M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* TIF expenditures may only be utilized for those Designated Projects in Table 2 where TIF funds are required as noted herein; provided, however, that no TIF expenditures may occur for Projects other than Designated Projects with TIF funds required as noted herein, without prior approval of the St. Petersburg City Council and the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners.
## TABLE 2
### Intown Redevelopment Plan
#### TIF Funding Required for New Public Improvement Projects - 2005-2035*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designated Projects</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>TIF Funds Required (in $Millions)</th>
<th>Other Potential Funding Sources</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utility Improvements</td>
<td>2005-2035</td>
<td>Throughout IRP District</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>City and Private Developers</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signage</td>
<td>2005-2035</td>
<td>Throughout IRP District</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle Trails</td>
<td>2005-2035</td>
<td>Throughout IRP District</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>City, State and Federal</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Marina Improvements</td>
<td>2005-2035</td>
<td>Throughout IRP District</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>City, State and Federal</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Maximum TIF Funds Required: $117.354

* TIF expenditures may only be utilized for those Designated Projects in Table 2 where TIF funds are required as noted herein; provided, however, that no TIF expenditures may occur for Projects other than Designated Projects with TIF funds required as noted herein, without prior approval of the St. Petersburg City Council and the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners.

(1) Because of the size of the project, the timing and/or amounts necessary for the Municipal Pier Project may need to be revised in the future. Such changes shall only occur in an amendment to the Interlocal Agreement between the City and County.

(2) The allocation of up to $10 million in TIF for Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project and/or Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District shall be determined by the City. Any of the $10 million in TIF not utilized for Enhancements to the Municipal Pier Project and/or Enhancements to the Downtown Waterfront Master Plan Improvements in the Pier District shall be allocated to augment the $4 million in TIF allocated to Downtown Transportation and Parking Improvements.
**Developer Disposition Agreement**

The Community Redevelopment Agency shall reserve such powers and controls through disposition and development agreements with purchaser or leases of property as may be necessary to insure that development conforms to this plan. The leases, deeds, contracts, agreements and declarations of restrictions may contain restrictions, covenants, covenants running with the land, rights of reverter, conditions subsequent, equitable servitudes or any other provisions necessary to carry out this Plan.

**ENFORCEMENT**

After development, the administration and enforcement of this Plan or other documents implementing this Plan shall be performed by the City or the Agency.

The provisions of this Plan or other documents entered into pursuant to this Plan may also be enforced by Court litigation instituted by either the Agency or the City. Such remedies may include, but are not limited to, specific performance, damages, re-entry, injunctions, or any other remedies appropriate to the purposes of this plan. In addition, any recorded provisions expressly for the benefit of owners of property in the project area may be enforced by such owners.

The provisions of this Plan shall be effective until April 7, 2035.

**DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES**

The design and development guidelines listed below were created in order to ensure compatibility between the types of developments that are desired in the downtown and how such developments should relate to the environment and each other.

All real property in the project area is hereby made subject to the controls and requirements of this Plan. No real property shall be developed, rehabilitated, or otherwise changed after the date of adoption of this Plan, except in conformance with the provisions of this Plan and all applicable State and local laws in effect from time to time.

**DESIGN PARAMETERS**

**General**

- All redevelopment sites shall meet all the applicable Land Development Regulations.

- Developers of projects within the redevelopment area shall submit project proposals and designs to the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) for development review.

- All development should demonstrate the use of energy conservation techniques to reduce space cooling, hot water, and space heating demands. These techniques should address, but not be limited to:
  - building orientation
  - building facade materials
- shading of buildings and parking lots
- wind control for cooling ground level spaces and/or buildings
- use of solar energy (if practical) to meet development energy needs or individual building requirements, e.g., shared solar hot water
- use of paving material other than concrete or asphalt for parking lots to reduce area heat gain (such as turf block)
- use of natural sunlight for interior lighting (daylighting).

- All new and redeveloped surface parking areas shall be landscaped according to applicable City requirements.

- All parking structures should provide decorative facades through building materials and/or landscaping along each parking level and shall contain street level retail, office, cultural, or recreational activities.

- All buildings within the development project should integrate architecturally, aesthetically and functionally through building design, materials, open spaces, scale, circulation systems, pedestrian level activities, and uniform signage and lighting.

- All new development and redevelopment should provide design elements (trees, canopies, street furniture, entryways, etc.) to bring the building and related activity spaces in scale with human dimensions and perception of space.

- Development should provide appropriate architectural variety to the area and generate street level activities, such as outdoor cafes and cultural activities.

Open and Pedestrian Spaces

Open spaces shall:

- be directly linked to the pedestrian system (sidewalks or skyways) and these links shall meet the Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines established in Appendix B; and
- provide sufficient lighting to ensure night security;

Open spaces should:

- relate to activities and buildings within the block;
- establish visual and functional ties to surrounding activities and create a sense of seclusion in spaces set aside from the main pedestrian flow such as found in courtyards;
- provide various types of open space use (public, private, and semi-public spaces);
- provide sit-ability in terms of comfort and number of seating spaces (1 linear foot of seating space for each 300 square feet of open space), and such seating can be provided by appropriately designed benches, ledges or chairs;
- provide for human comfort and scale through the use of landscaping and/or canopies for shade and highlighting
building entrances;

- be considered for location on roof tops or upper levels in conjunction with activity spaces, to provide views of Tampa Bay, especially for development along Beach Drive and 1st Street;

- provide sculptures, murals &/or water features; &

- provide simple designs which dictate logical order and arrangement, allowing users to easily orient and relate themselves to the space and surrounding activities.

Pedestrian systems (all projects and areas within the Intown Redevelopment Area):

- shall be designed in conformance with the Plaza Parkway Design Manual (CRA Resolution 92-2).

Historic

- Renovation, redevelopment or new construction on historic properties shall comply with the City’s historic preservation ordinance.

- Developments on sites with historic structures are encouraged to utilize the incentives offered by the City’s land development regulations.

Residential

- All infill development should create a sense of place and neighborhood identity by relating to old and new architecture and by developing interrelated open and pedestrian spaces.

- All new development within and adjacent to residential areas should relate in building scale and mass with the surrounding neighborhood.

Waterfront

Within the boundaries of the City of St. Petersburg lies one of the most unique aesthetically and economically valuable assets of the Region; our downtown waterfront.

The park-like character of the waterfront forms a U-shape around the eastern edge of the downtown which is anchored at its southern end by the Duke Energy Center for the Arts, and its northern end by the Vinoy property. These two anchors represent prominent visual points that frame the Intown waterfront park system and, therefore, the development of the Vinoy site and the Duke Center for the Arts as activity and visual image centers is very important to the successful redevelopment of the downtown, the use of the waterfront as a public activity space, and the reinforcement of the aesthetic quality of the waterfront park system.

The downtown waterfront has established itself as an area with its own sense of time and place. In order to preserve and enhance this historical and visual continuity, it is important to establish the design compatibility of buildings along the waterfront with each other as well as with the park-like character of the waterfront. It is equally important to provide
for a variety of activities along the waterfront and in the downtown so all citizens of St. Petersburg can enjoy the present and the future opportunities these City assets create.

Vinoy Property Development

The Vinoy property is approximately bounded by 5th Avenue NE and 7th Avenue NE, and Bayshore and Beach Drives NE. Design considerations for the property include:

- shall maintain a compatible design relationship to the Vinoy and the waterfront in terms of building mass, scale, height, materials, color, and architectural character;
- shall provide for a 200-foot wide open space buffer parallel to and west of Bayshore Drive between Baywood Park and Fifth Avenue N.E. to maintain the open character of the waterfront allowing for visual access to and through the open space buffer area;
- shall preserve the Banyan trees and Indian Midden;
- shall provide landscaped buffers along all streets and any walls facing the street;
- shall landscape parking structures and areas;
- shall provide a landscaped design separation between the development, Baywood Park and open space buffer parallel to and west of Bayshore Drive.

- shall avoid utilizing large and continuous building masses to create a walled image or effect along Fifth Avenue N.E., since it is important to maintain the aesthetic charm and openness of the Vinoy Basin area and waterfront park system, especially as viewed from Pier Park and along Straub Park.
- should minimize visual intrusion of parking structures along Fifth Avenue N.E. and Bayshore Drive via landscaping and/or site design of the project;
- The development that conforms to the stipulation entered into between the parties and approved by a final judgment executed by Judge Bryson on December 3, 1982, in the case of Padula and Workman v. City of St. Petersburg (Circuit Civil No. 82-6574-17) shall be deemed to conform to the provisions of the Community Redevelopment Plan. This final judgment is recorded at pages 7 and 8 of O.R. Book 5439 of the Official Records of Pinellas County, Florida.

Core Area (Unified Retail Core)

- Mediterranean Revival is a prominent architectural style in St. Petersburg. Mediterranean Revival design elements should be encouraged in the Core Area. New development should use appropriate building materials and design elements such as stucco, key stone or cast stone to highlight entryways and along 1st and 2nd level facades, barrel tile roofs, terra cotta tiles, towers with pyramidal or triangular shaped tops, accent brick (light colors), or canopies, arches, and arcades.
• The Jannus Landing Block should be rehabilitated or redeveloped in keeping with the architectural style (vernacular), scale, and character of the block. This involves addressing design issues related to the preservation of important building facades, pedestrian linkages through the block, and integrating internal and external open spaces.

• The Core area will be encouraged to develop using the concept of a strong pedestrian orientation including open spaces and plazas.

• The Unified Retail Core should capitalize on and reinforce the existing urban fabric of the waterfront and the existing downtown business district.

• The major pedestrian axes shall directly link the waterfront and downtown business district.

• The major pedestrian axes shall function as the major retail spine linking the existing downtown business district.

• Retail activity will be encouraged to orient along the street as well as within the interior parts of the development.

• The pedestrian/open space system within the Core Area shall be a series of interconnected outdoor and/or indoor open spaces, with a focus on water features that link developments within the Core and to Downtown, Williams Park, the Waterfront and the Duke Energy Center for the Arts. Developments in the Core Area shall provide for the pedestrian/open space system through maximum use of natural sunlight through a large or series of glass atriums or open air designs (high ceilings, central outdoor plazas, sunlight filtration from the ceilings). Gateway/entry points into the pedestrian/open space system shall be highlighted through large landscaped plazas or open spaces. The pedestrian/open space system and gateway shall include features such as sculptures, water landscaping and murals to create an exciting urban space.

• Development along the waterfront (Beach Drive) should maintain a building (east-west) axis perpendicular to Beach Drive on levels above the second floor.

Webb's City

• All new development shall conform to the requirements of the Downtown Center zoning district and the Plaza Parkway Design Guidelines.

Rehabilitation

• Rehabilitation of existing structures shall conform to all applicable rules and regulations of the City of St. Petersburg.
• All buildings (including fences and accessory structures) within a commercial or residential rehabilitation project should integrate architecturally, aesthetically and functionally through building design, materials, scale, open spaces, circulation systems, pedestrian level activities, and uniform signage and lighting.

DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES

• All new development shall be consistent with the permitted uses in the downtown zoning district in which it is located.

• Development intensity and uses shall be governed by the underlying zoning district. Of particular note are the Downtown Center zones (DC) which provide for mixed-use development based on floor area ratio (F.A.R.) system as outlined below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Emphasis</th>
<th>FAR+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC-C</td>
<td>Downtown Core</td>
<td>4.0 to 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC -1</td>
<td>Downtown Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East of Dr. ML King St</td>
<td>3.0 to 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West of Dr. ML King St</td>
<td>3.0 to 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC -2</td>
<td>Downtown Residential</td>
<td>3.0 to 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC -3</td>
<td>Downtown Waterfront</td>
<td>2.0 to 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC -P</td>
<td>Downtown Park</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+Range only applies from base FAR to administrative approval of FAR bonuses through streamline process. Additional bonuses can be awarded through a public hearing.

The Downtown Center land development regulations also contain bonus and exemption provisions which allows an increase in floor area ratio (F.A.R.) if selected open space, building program and urban design features are incorporated into the project. These include, but are not limited to, protecting designated historic landmarks, providing affordable housing, including retail uses on the first floor of a mixed use project, constructing streetscape improvements and providing specified percentage of office space. For more details on FAR bonuses, see the Downtown Center land development regulations.

• The major retail activity of the Intown shall be located in conformance with the uses permitted in the Downtown Center zoning district as depicted on Map 3 and described in the “Plan Emphasis” section contained herein.

• To encourage consolidation of blocks and promote a unified development concept, the City will consider the closing of selected streets and alleyways in accordance with an appropriate proposal.

• The development of both affordable and market rate housing should be encouraged through incentives.

• Building rehabilitation should conform to the permitted uses of the downtown zoning district in which it is located.

Uses or structures that, by reasons of appearance, traffic, smoke, glare, noise, odor, or other similar factors, would be incompatible with surrounding areas or structures shall not be permitted in any part of the project area.
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving the renewal of blanket purchase agreements with Sunbelt Sod & Grading Company and Tom's Sod Service, Inc., for sod, pick-up, delivery, and installation, at an estimated annual cost of $160,000, for a total contract amount of $665,000.

Explanation: On September 5, 2013, City Council approved a one-year agreement for the pick-up, delivery, and installation of sod. The agreements were effective through August 31, 2014. The agreements had four one-year renewal options. On August 28, 2014, August 20, 2015, and June 2, 2016, City Council approved the first three renewal options. This is the final renewal.

The vendors furnish sod, which is used to maintain parks, ball fields, golf courses, other facilities and projects. It is also used to re-sod easements when maintaining the City's water and sewer system. Tom's Sod furnishes sod for small jobs, and is for City pickup only; whereas Sunbelt furnishes, delivers and installs sod for larger jobs. The primary users are the Parks & Recreation, Engineering, and Water Resources departments.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Parks & Recreation Department, recommends for renewal:

Sod, Pick Up, Delivery, and Installation .......................................................... $160,000

Sunbelt Sod & Grading Company (Ruskin)
Tom's Sod Service, Inc. (Clearwater)

| Original agreement amount | $120,000 |
| 1<sup>st</sup> renewal | 120,000 |
| 2<sup>nd</sup> renewal | 120,000 |
| 3<sup>rd</sup> renewal | 145,000 |
| Final renewal | 160,000 |
| Total contract amount | $665,000 |

The vendors have agreed to renew under the same terms and conditions of IFB No 7525, dated July 25, 2013. Administration recommends renewal of the agreement based on the vendor's past satisfactory performance, and demonstrated ability to comply with the terms and conditions of the agreement. The renewal will be effective from the date of approval through July 31, 2018. Amounts paid to the vendors pursuant to this renewal should not exceed a combined total of $160,000.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the General Fund (0001), the Recreation & Culture Capital Fund (3029), the Water Resources Fund (4001), the Water Resource Capital Project Fund (4003), the Sunken Gardens Fund (1207), and the Transportation Improvement Fund (3071).

Attachments: Bid Tabulation
Resolution

Approvals:  

[Signatures]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>EAUs</th>
<th>UOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Argentine Bahia</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bermuda 419 Tileway</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bermudagrass Tiger Eye</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>St Augustine 'Tifgreen'</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>St Augustine, Bitter Blue</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>St Augustine, Floratam, muh groen</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>St Augustine, Floratam, sand green</td>
<td>40.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Zoysia</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** EAUs are City of St. Petersburg Usage Only

**Group 1: Pick up at Vendor's Location**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>EAUs</th>
<th>UOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Argentine Bahia</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bermuda 419 Tileway</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bermudagrass Tiger Eye</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>St Augustine 'Tifgreen'</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>St Augustine, Bitter Blue</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>St Augustine, Floratam, muh groen</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>St Augustine, Floratam, sand green</td>
<td>40.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Zoysia</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Group 2: Delivery Only**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>EAUs</th>
<th>UOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Argentine Bahia</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bermuda 419 Tileway</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bermudagrass Tiger Eye</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>St Augustine 'Tifgreen'</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>St Augustine, Bitter Blue</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>St Augustine, Floratam, muh groen</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>St Augustine, Floratam, sand green</td>
<td>40.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Zoysia</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Group 3: Delivered, Installed and Rolled**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>EAUs</th>
<th>UOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Argentine Bahia</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bermuda 419 Tileway</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bermudagrass Tiger Eye</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>St Augustine 'Tifgreen'</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>St Augustine, Bitter Blue</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>St Augustine, Floratam, muh groen</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>St Augustine, Floratam, sand green</td>
<td>40.000</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Zoysia</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Sq. Ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Items**

- **Price per Uncovered Pallet:**
  - 23: $9,000.00
  - 24: $10,000.00
  - 25: $12,000.00

- **Price per Drop Location:**
  - 23: $0.00
  - 24: $0.00
  - 25: $0.00

- **Price for Inadesis, inclusive:**
  - 42: $0.00
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FOURTH AND FINAL RENEWAL OPTION TO THE BLANKET AGREEMENTS WITH SUNBELT SOD & GRADING COMPANY AND TOM’S SOD SERVICE, INC. FOR THE PURCHASE, PICK-UP, DELIVERY, AND INSTALLATION OF SOD FOR THE PARKS & RECREATION, ENGINEERING & CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS, AND WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENTS AT AN ESTIMATED ANNUAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $160,000 FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT OF $665,000; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THESE TRANSACTIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on September 5, 2013, City Council approved the award of one-year blanket agreements (“Agreements”) with four one-year renewal options to Sunbelt Sod & Grading Company and Tom’s Sod Service, Inc. for the purchase, pick-up, delivery, and installation of sod for various City facilities and projects pursuant to IFB No. 7525, dated July 25, 2013; and

WHEREAS, on August 28, 2014, City Council approved the first renewal option to the Agreements in the amount of $120,000; and

WHEREAS, on August 20, 2015, City Council approved the second renewal option to the Agreements in the amount of $120,000; and

WHEREAS, on June 2, 2016, City Council approved the third renewal option to the Agreements in the amount of $145,000; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to exercise the fourth and final renewal option to the Agreements at an estimated annual cost not to exceed $160,000 for a term through July 31, 2018; and

WHEREAS, Sunbelt Sod & Grading Company and Tom’s Sod Service, Inc. have agreed to hold prices firm under the terms and conditions of RFP No. 7525; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department in cooperation with the Parks and Recreation Department, recommends approval of these renewals.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the fourth and final renewal option to the blanket agreements with Sunbelt Sod & Grading Company and Tom’s Sod Service, Inc. for the purchase, pick-up, delivery, and installation of sod for the Parks & Recreation, Engineering & Capital Improvements, and Water Resources Departments at an estimated annual cost not to exceed $160,000 for a total contract amount of $665,000 are hereby approved.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate these transactions.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
00336695
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A resolution authorizing the Mayor or his designee to accept a grant of $1,111,704 from the Florida Housing Finance Corporation ("FHFC") for FY 2017/18 to fund the State Housing Initiatives Partnership ("SHIP") Program for the purpose of providing affordable housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income persons; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute a grant agreement with FHFC and all other documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; and providing an effective date.

EXPLANATION: The State Housing Initiatives Partnership ("SHIP") Program was created through the William E. Sadowski Affordable Housing Act, pursuant to Chapter 420.907 – 420.9079, Florida Statutes ("Act"), for the purpose of providing assistance through the transfer of funds from documentary stamps generated from the sale of residential properties to the Florida Housing Finance Corporation ("FHFC") for use by local governments in implementing SHIP Programs to produce and preserve affordable housing. During the 2017 regular session, $94.2 million in SHIP funds were allocated for distribution to local governments to continue to implement their SHIP Program, a program that provides affordable housing opportunities to residents of the City of St. Petersburg.

To receive SHIP funds from the State, eligible municipalities must develop affordable housing strategies ("Strategies") and must submit a SHIP Local Housing Assistance Plan ("Plan") a minimum of every three years to FHFC to be eligible to receive funding. During the 2017 regular session of the Florida Legislature, the Florida Legislature allocated more than $137 million in funding to fund affordable housing. FHFC allocated $94.2 to fund the SHIP Program with the remaining funding allocated to the State Apartment Incentive Loan (SAIL) program. The City is scheduled to receive its allocation of $1,111,704 from the FHFC and must comply with the Act during the implementation of the SHIP Program during FY 2017/18.

To accept the funding, the City has to budget the strategies in its Plan under the SHIP Program for FY 2017/18, where funding will be allocated to provide assistance to eligible applicants.

The City is required to reserve at least 30 percent of the funds for awards to very-low income persons and at least 30 percent of the funds for awards to low-income persons as required in the Act. In addition, there is a requirement to expend at least 75 percent of the funds for construction or rehabilitation, and at least 65 percent of the funds for home ownership. The City is also required to make 20 percent of funds available for persons with special needs (preference to persons with developmental disabilities).

The City must expend all funding by June 30, 2020.

RECOMMENDATION: Administration recommends that City Council adopt the attached resolution authorizing the Mayor or his designee to accept a grant of $1,111,704 from the Florida Housing Finance Corporation ("FHFC") for FY 2017/18 to fund the State Housing Initiatives Partnership ("SHIP") Program for the purpose of providing affordable housing opportunities for low-
and moderate-income persons; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute a grant agreement with the FHFC and all other documents necessary to effectuate this resolution; and providing an effective date.

**COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION:** Revenues of $1,111,704 will be appropriated with the overall City budget on September 21, 2017 with an effective date of October 1, 2017.

Attachments: Resolution

APPROVALS:

Administration: ____________________

Budget:________________________

Legal: 00334692.doc v1
Resolution No. 2017 - ______

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO ACCEPT A GRANT OF $1,111,704 FROM THE FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION (“FHFC”) FOR FY 2017/18 TO FUND THE STATE HOUSING INITIATIVES PARTNERSHIP (“SHIP”) PROGRAM FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR LOW- AND MODERATE-INCOME PERSONS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH FHFC AND ALL OTHER DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS RESOLUTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the State Housing Initiatives Partnership (“SHIP”) Program was created through the William E. Sadowski Affordable Housing Act, Chapter 420.907 – 420.9079, Florida Statutes (“Act”); and

WHEREAS, the SHIP Program transfers documentary stamp revenues to the Florida Housing Finance Corporation (“FHFC”) and local governments for use in implementing partnership programs to produce and preserve affordable housing; and

WHEREAS, during the 2017 regular session of the Florida Legislature, the Florida Legislature allocated more than $137 million in funding to affordable housing to include the SHIP and State Apartment Incentive Loan (SAIL) programs; and

WHEREAS, $94.2 million was allocated to the SHIP Program; and

WHEREAS, The City is to receive its allocation from the Florida Housing Finance Corporation (“FHFC”) in the amount of $1,111,704, and must comply with the Act during the implementation of the SHIP program for FY 2017/18; and

WHEREAS, to accept the funding, the City has to budget the strategies in its SHIP Local Housing Assistance Plan under the SHIP Program for FY 2017/18, where funding will be allocated to provide assistance to eligible applicants; and

WHEREAS, the City is required to expend at least 75 percent of the funds for construction or rehabilitation, and at least 65 percent of the funds for home ownership; and reserve at least 30 percent of the total funds for awards to very-low income persons and at least 30 percent of the total funds for awards to low-income persons as required by the Act and;

WHEREAS, the City must expend all funding from this allocation by June 30, 2020.
NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to accept a grant of $1,111,704 from the Florida Housing Finance Corporation ("FHFC") for FY 2017/18 to fund the State Housing Initiatives Partnership ("SHIP") Program for the purpose of providing affordable housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income persons; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute a grant agreement with FHFC and all other documents necessary to effectuate this resolution.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approvals:

Legal: [Signature]  Administration: [Signature]

Legal: 00334693.doc v1
## State Housing Initiative Partnership Program (SHIP)

**FY 2017/2018 Funding**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Total $ Amount to be Expended *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase Assistance Program</td>
<td>245,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation Assistance Program</td>
<td>248,034.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier Free/Special Needs Program</td>
<td>71,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Assistance Program (RRH)</td>
<td>75,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family Housing Development</td>
<td>171,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeownership Counseling</td>
<td>90,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer/Sponsor Incentive Program</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Planning/Administration of Grant</td>
<td>111,170.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Projected Allocation**  
1,111,704.00

*Does not include anticipated program income*
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: A resolution approving a second amendment to the contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Hubbard Construction Company for the City Wide Street Milling and Resurfacing Project to extend the term and increase the contract price in an amount not to exceed $344,000 for a total contract price not to exceed $8,569,000; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; and providing an effective date. (Engineering & Capital Improvements Project 17003-130; Oracle Project Numbers 15624, 15659, 15667, 15104, 15111, 14664 and 15764)

Explanation: On December 3, 2015, City Council approved a contract with Hubbard Construction Company in the amount of $4,140,000.00 for the City Wide Street Milling and Resurfacing Project. The work consisted of furnishing all labor, material and equipment necessary to perform street milling, street and alley resurfacing, city facility parking lot resurfacing, and all related operations at various locations throughout the City. Streets were resurfaced with asphaltic concrete, in accordance with City specifications. City manhole rings were adjusted and traffic signal detector loops were restored. All work was completed satisfactorily in September 2016.

A first amendment to the contract was approved by City Council on November 3, 2016 to extend the term for one hundred and eighty (180) days and increase the contract in the amount of $4,085,000 for a total contract amount of $8,225,000. This phase of the work is substantially complete.

A second amendment to the contract is proposed to increase the contract by $344,000 and extend the term for one hundred and eighty (180) days.

The contract extension will benefit the City by reducing project expenses for bidding the work. Additionally, renewing the contract allows the resurfacing work to be started more quickly. The parking lot resurfacing to be performed by this extension at the Mahaffey Theatre and Johnson Branch Library and Mirror Lake Library is desired to be completed in the summer to minimize impact to residents. Furthermore, Hubbard Construction Company has demonstrated the ability to work in accordance with the contract terms and conditions. Hubbard Construction Company is located in Winter Park, Florida. The principals of the firm are Alan Cahill, President and P. Frederick O'Dea, Jr., Vice President.

Recommendation: Administration recommends a second amendment to the contract with Hubbard Construction Company to extend the term for one hundred and eighty (180) days and increase the contract in the amount of $344,000.00 for a total contract amount of $8,569,000.
Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Neighborhood & Citywide Infrastructure CIP Fund (3027) Street & Road Improv FY17 Project (15624), the Recreation & Culture Capital Improvement Fund (3029) Parking Lot Imps FY17 Project (15659), Mahaffey Theater Imps FY17 Project (15667), Parking Lot Repair FY16 Project (15104), and Branch Library Imps FY16 Project (15111), the City Facilities Capital Improvement Fund (3031) Dwight Jones Imps FY15 Project (14664) and the Weeki Wachee Capital Improvement Fund (3041) Mangrove Bay Renovation Project (15764).

Attachments: Resolution

Approvals:
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-____

WHEREAS, on December 3, 2015 City Council approved a contract with Hubbard Construction Company ("Hubbard") in the amount of $4,140,000 for the City Wide Street Milling and Resurfacing FY 2016 Project; and

WHEREAS, a first amendment to the contract was approved by City Council on November 3, 2016 to extend the term and increase the contract price in the amount of $4,085,000 to cover work to be performed in the extended term; and

WHEREAS, Administration desires to amendment the contract for a second time to further extend the term and increase the contract price in the amount of $344,000; and

WHEREAS, Hubbard has agreed to extend the contract (as previously extended) with a negotiated decrease in the unit price; and

WHEREAS, if approved by City Council, the City and Hubbard will execute a second amendment to the contract with Hubbard to further extend the term and increase the contract price in the amount of $344,000 for a total contract amount of $8,569,000.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that a second amendment to the contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Hubbard Construction Company for the City Wide Street Milling and Resurfacing Project to extend the term and increase the contract price in an amount not to exceed $344,000 for a total contract price not to exceed $8,569,000; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on December 3, 2015 City Council approved a contract with Hubbard Construction Company ("Hubbard") in the amount of $4,140,000 for the City Wide Street Milling and Resurfacing FY 2016 Project; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved by: 

Legal Department
By: (City Attorney or Designee)
00336921

Approved by:

Brijesh Prayman
Brijesh Prayman, P.E., SP, ENV
Engineering & Capital Improvements Director
FIRST AMENDMENT TO AGREEMENT

THIS FIRST AMENDMENT ("First Amendment") is made and entered into on the 24th day of December, 2016, by and between Hubbard Construction Company ("Contractor" or "party of the first part") and the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City" or "party of the second part").

WHEREAS, on December 17, 2015, the City and Contractor executed an agreement ("Agreement" or "Contract") for Citywide Street Milling and Resurfacing FY 16 with an option to extend after the initial term; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Agreement, Contractor furnished labor, materials and equipment necessary to perform street milling, street and alley resurfacing, city facility parking lot resurfacing at various locations throughout the City in accordance with the notice to proceed issued by the City during the initial term; and

WHEREAS, Contractor has agreed to extend the Agreement with a negotiated decrease in the unit price; and

WHEREAS, the City and Contractor desire to execute this First Amendment for Contractor to perform work in an amount not to exceed $4,085,000 in accordance with a notice to proceed which will be issued after execution of this First Amendment.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the foregoing recitals (all of which are incorporated herein as an integral part of this First Amendment) and the mutual promises, covenants, and conditions herein contained and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which are hereby acknowledged, Contractor and the City hereby agree as follows:

1. Paragraph a) of the Agreement is hereby amended to read as follows:

   Contractor shall furnish all material and perform all of the work for:

   **Citywide Street Milling & Resurfacing FY16 and FY17**

   St. Petersburg, Florida, Project No. 16003-130, in full and complete accordance with the Contract Documents (as defined in the General Conditions), which documents include this First Amendment, the Agreement and the following documents attached hereto and made a part hereof:

   - Proposal
   - Execution of the Contract
   - Riders to the Public Construction Bond, if any
   - General Conditions
   - Technical Specifications
   - Appendix
   - Instructions to Bidders
   - Public Construction Bond(s)
   - Certificates of Insurance
   - Addenda
   - Plans
2. Paragraph b) of the Agreement is hereby amended to read as follows:

The initial term of the Contract commenced on December 17, 2015, and Contractor performed the Work (during the initial term) within one hundred and eighty (180) consecutive calendar days from the date set forth in the written Notice to Proceed. On November 3, 2016, City Council agreed to extend the Contract and Contractor shall commence the Work during this renewal period within ten (10) consecutive calendar days after the date contained in the written Notice to Proceed and fully complete the Work for the renewal period within one hundred and eighty (180) consecutive calendar days from the date set forth in the written Notice to Proceed. A rider to the Public Construction Bond or a new Public Construction Bond to include the Work to be performed during the renewal period must be approved by the City Attorney's Office prior to issuance of the written Notice to Proceed for Work to be performed during the renewal period.

3. Paragraph c) of the Agreement is hereby amended to read as follows:

The City hereby agrees to pay Contractor at the time and in the manner set forth in the Contract Documents, subject to additions and deductions as provided for, an amount not to exceed four million eighty five thousand dollars $4,085,000 for Work to be performed during the renewal period (provided Contractor is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the Contract). The total Contract Price for all Work performed during the initial term and the renewal period shall not exceed eight million two hundred and twenty five thousand dollars ($8,225,000).

4. Article 50 of the General Conditions is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE G-50 PUBLIC RECORDS

A. Contractor shall (i) keep and maintain public records (as defined in Chapter 119, Florida Statutes) required by the City to perform the services and work pursuant to the Agreement; (ii) upon request from the City Clerk’s Office, provide the City (at no cost to the City) with a copy of the requested records or allow the records to be inspected or copied within a reasonable time at a cost that does not exceed the cost provided under Florida laws regarding public records or other applicable laws; (iii) ensure that public records in Contractor’s possession that are exempt or confidential and exempt from public records disclosure requirements are not disclosed except as authorized by applicable laws for the Contract Time and after the expiration or earlier termination of the Agreement; and (iv) after the expiration or earlier termination of this Agreement, at the City’s request, either transfer, at no cost, to the City all public records in Contractor’s possession within ten (10) days following the City’s request and/or keep and maintain any public records required by the City to perform the services and work pursuant to the Agreement. If Contractor transfers all public records to the City upon final completion or earlier termination of the Agreement, Contractor shall destroy any duplicate public records that are exempt or confidential and exempt from public records disclosure requirements. If Contractor keeps and maintains public records upon the expiration or earlier termination of this Agreement, Contractor shall meet all applicable requirements for retaining public records in accordance with the
Agreement and all applicable laws. At the City's request, all public records stored electronically by Contractor shall be provided to the City in a format approved by the City.

B. IF CONTRACTOR HAS QUESTIONS REGARDING THE APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 119, FLORIDA STATUTES, AS TO CONTRACTOR'S DUTY TO PROVIDE PUBLIC RECORDS RELATING TO THE AGREEMENT, CONTACT THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICE (THE CUSTODIAN OF PUBLIC RECORDS) AT (727) 893-7448, CITY.CLERK@STPETE.ORG, OR 175 FIFTH ST. N., ST. PETERSBURG FL 33701.

C. Nothing contained herein shall be construed to affect or limit Contractor's obligations including but not limited to Contractor's obligations to comply with all other applicable laws and to maintain books and records pursuant to the Agreement.

5. Article 51 is added to the General Conditions as follows:

ARTICLE G-51 SCRUTINIZED BUSINESS OPERATIONS

51.1 A company is deemed to engage in “Scrutinized Business Operations” if it is (i) on the Scrutinized Companies that Boycott Israel List or engaged in a boycott of Israel, pursuant to Florida Statutes section 215.4725; (ii) on the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in Sudan List or the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in the Iran Petroleum Energy Sector List, pursuant to Florida Statutes section 215.473; or (iii) engaged in business operations in Cuba or Syria, pursuant to Florida Statutes section 287.135(1)(c). The lists related to Israel, Sudan, and Iran are maintained by the Florida State Board of Administration and are amended from time to time.

51.2 The scope of “Scrutinized Affiliates” for the Contractor is established by Florida Statutes section 215.473(1)(d) and includes, generally, all wholly owned subsidiaries, majority-owned subsidiaries, parent companies, and affiliates of the Contractor that exist for the purpose of making profit.

51.3 In accordance with Florida Statutes section 287.135(5), the Contractor certifies that Contractor and its Scrutinized Affiliates (if any) are not engaged in Scrutinized Business Operations, and the Contractor acknowledges that falsely making such a certification may result in termination of this Agreement for default, civil penalties, and a three-year prohibition on contracting with any agency or local governmental entity in the state of Florida.

51.4 The City may terminate this Agreement for default if the City determines (i) that the certification provided by the Contractor in Article 51.3 is false or (ii) that the Contractor or any of its Scrutinized Affiliates have engaged in Scrutinized Business Operations at any point during the Term of the Agreement.
51.5 If any provision of the Florida Statutes underlying this Article 51 is removed from the Florida Statutes, enjoined, or otherwise held to be invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the corresponding language in this Article 51 is severed from this Agreement, with the remainder of Article 51 remaining in effect.

6. Any and all provisions of the Contract not specifically amended by this First Amendment shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Contractor and the City have caused this First Amendment to be executed by their duly authorized representatives on the date first above written.

HUBBARD CONSTRUCTION
COMPANY:
By: Frederick O'Dea, Jr.
Print: Frederick O'Dea, Jr.
Title: Vice President & Secretary

CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA
By: Louis Moore
Procurement and Supply Management Director

WITNESSES
By: Patricia Green
Print: Patricia Green
By: Vicky Clark
Print: Vicky Clark

ATTEST:
Chan Srinivasan, City Clerk

FORM ONLY
City Attorney (Designee)
2991/37
THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the Board of Directors of Hubbard Construction Company, at its January 4, 2016 meeting, on motion duly made, seconded and unanimously carried, authorized Patrick Sulliot, Chairman of the Board, Alan M. Cahill, President and CEO, P. Frederick O'Dea, Jr., Vice President, CFO, Secretary & Treasurer, Thomas O. Craft, Vice President, and William Dumas, Assistant Secretary to execute Change Orders and Contracts on behalf of the Corporation, effective on or after January 1, 2016.

P. Frederick O'Dea, Jr., Secretary
## Certificate of Liability Insurance

**Certificate Holder:**
City of St. Petersburg

**CANCELLATION**

Should any of the above described policies be cancelled before the expiration date thereof, notice will be delivered in accordance with the policy provisions.

**AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:**

Charles T. Draper

---

### Coverage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Insurance</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Policy Number</th>
<th>Policy Start Date</th>
<th>Policy End Date</th>
<th>Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Commercial General Liability</td>
<td>QLO8184582-01</td>
<td>10/01/2016</td>
<td>10/01/2017</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Automobile Liability</td>
<td>BAP0184937-01</td>
<td>10/01/2016</td>
<td>10/01/2017</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Umbrella Liability</td>
<td>US00004220/L16A</td>
<td>10/01/2016</td>
<td>10/01/2017</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Workers Compensation and Employers Liability</td>
<td>WIC0184999-01</td>
<td>10/01/2016</td>
<td>10/01/2017</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Important:**

- If the certificate holder is an ADDITIONAL INSURED, the policy(ies) must be endorsed. If SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieu of such endorsement(s).

**Certification:**

This certificate is issued as a matter of information only and confers no rights upon the certificate holder. This certificate does not constitute a contract between the issuing insurer(s), authorized representative or producer, and the certificate holder.

**City of St. Petersburg is included as an Additional Insured as respects to General Liability, where required by contract.**

---

**City of St. Petersburg**

10th Street North, 5th Floor
Saint Petersburg, FL 33701

**Risk Management Analyst**

Jordan Solomon

**City of St. Petersburg**

10th Street North, 5th Floor
Saint Petersburg, FL 33701

**Risk Management Analyst**

Jordan Solomon

**City of St. Petersburg**

10th Street North, 5th Floor
Saint Petersburg, FL 33701

---

**Certification Date:**

8/30/2015

**Expiration Date:**

8/30/2016

---

**Insurers:**

- Zurich American Insurance Company
- XL Specialty Insurance Company
- American Zurich Insurance Company

**Address:**

Willis Towers Watson Certificate Center

P.O. Box 305161
Nashville, TN 37230-5181

---

**Contact Information:**

- **Name:** Willis Towers Watson Certificate Center
- **Address:** P.O. Box 305161, Nashville, TN 37230-5181
- **Phone:** (877) 945-7376
- **Fax:** (888) 467-2378

---

**Credits:**

- The ACORD name and logo are registered marks of ACORD

---

**Acknowledgment:**

This document was prepared under the guidelines of the American Council on Risk Management (ACORD) and is intended for use by insurers and their agents in the exchange of information. It is not intended to be a contract and does not confer any rights upon the certificate holder.
THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the Board of Directors of Hubbard Construction Company, at its January 3, 2014 meeting, on motion duly made, seconded and unanimously carried, authorized Patrick Sullivan, Chairman of the Board, Alan M. Cahill, President and CEO, Steven M. Plastek, Vice President and Assistant Secretary, P. Frederick O’Den, Jr., Vice President, CFO, Secretary & Treasurer and William Dumas, Assistant Secretary to execute Change Orders and Contracts on behalf of the Corporation, effective on or after January 1, 2014.

P. Frederick O’Den, Jr., Secretary
KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That we, Hubbard Construction Company (hereinafter called the "Principal"), located at 1936 Lee Road, Winter Park, FL 32789, (407) 645-5500, and Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America (hereinafter called the "Surety"), located at One Tower Square, Bond/5PB, Hartford Connecticut, (800) 842-5075, are held and firmly bound unto the City of St. Petersburg, Florida (hereinafter called the "City") located at One 4th Street North, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, phone: (727) 893-7220, in the penal sum of: $4,085,000.00 for the payment of which we bind ourselves, our heirs, personal representatives, successors, and assigns, jointly and severally, for the faithful performance of a certain written Agreement, dated the 17th day of December, 2015, as amended, entered into between the Principal and the City ("Contract") for:

Principal to furnish labor, materials and equipment necessary to perform street milling street and alley resurfacing; city facility parking lot resurfacing at various locations throughout the City during the renewal period, 12/17/2016 to 12/17/2017 in accordance with the notice to proceed issued by the City.

The location of the proposed Work for the Project is Citywide.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CONDITIONS of this bond are such that, if the Principal shall (i) in all respects comply with the terms and conditions of the Contract (the Contract being made a part of this bond by reference), including but not limited to the guarantee and warranty requirements, all obligations contained in the Contract Documents (as defined in the Contract) and all modifications made to the Contract as therein provided, for the original term of the Contract and any extensions which may be granted by the City, with or without notice to the Surety; and (ii) promptly make payments to all claimants, as defined in Section 255.05(1), Florida Statutes, supplying labor, materials, or supplies used directly or indirectly in the prosecution of the work provided for in the Contract; and (iii) pay the City all losses, damages, liquidated damages, expenses, costs, and attorneys' fees at trial and on appeal sustained by the City due to a default by Principal under the Contract; and (iv) fulfill its obligations related to the guarantee and warranty of all work and materials furnished under the Contract pursuant to the terms and conditions specified in the Contract, then this bond shall be void; otherwise, it shall remain in full force.

This bond is meant to comply with all the requirements of Section 255.05, Florida Statutes, and herewith incorporates all duties of a surety required by Section 255.05, Florida Statutes, and all notice and time limitation provisions set forth in Sections 255.05(2) and 255.05 (10), Florida Statutes. Any action instituted by a claimant under this bond for payment must be in accordance with the notice and time limitation provisions set forth in Sections 255.05(2) and 255.05(10), Florida Statutes.
The Surety, for value received, hereby stipulates and agrees that no change, extension of time, alteration or addition to the terms of the Contract or to the work to be performed thereunder or to the specifications accompanying the same shall in any way affect its obligations on this bond, and it does hereby waive notice of any such change, extension of time, alteration or addition to the terms of the Contract or to the work or to the specifications.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, the hands and seals of the parties hereto this 27th day of December, 2016

Hubbard Construction Company
By: P. Frederick O'Dea, Jr.
Vice President & Secretary

Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America
By: Maria Signorelli
Attorney-in-Fact

ATTEST:
By: William Dumas
Director of Contract Administration

WITNESS 1:
By: ____________________________

WITNESS 2:
By: ____________________________

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
By: ____________________________
City Attorney (Designee)

Note: A copy of a power of attorney attested by the corporate secretary of the Surety evidencing the Attorney-in-Fact named above is currently authorized to execute this bond on behalf of the Surety must be attached to this bond.
Acknowledgment of Principal

(STATE OF FLORIDA)

(COUNTY OF Orange)

(CITY OF Winter Park)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 8th day of December, 2016
by P. Frederick O'Dea, Jr.
(Name and Title of Officer)

Florida Corporation (“Entity”), on behalf of said Entity. He/She is personally known to me or has produced Personally Known as identification.

He/She warrants that he/she is duly authorized by all necessary actions of the Entity in accordance with the governing documents of the Entity to execute the foregoing instrument.

NOTARY PUBLIC

Sign: 

Print/Type: Patrick Wells

SEAL

PATRICK N. WELLS
Notary Public - State of Florida
Commission # FF 222108
My Comm. Expires May 8, 2019
Bonded through National Notary Assn.
Acknowledgment of Surety,
(Where Executed by Attorney-in-Fact, as Agent)

(STATE OF FLORIDA) GEORGIA
(COUNTY OF FULTON)
(CITY OF ATLANTA)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 27TH day of December, 2016, by Maria Signorile
(Name of Attorney-in-Fact)
as Attorney-in-Fact for Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America
(Name of Surety)
who is personally known to me or has produced
(personally known)
(Type of Identification)
as identification.

By virtue of a power of attorney from said corporation, a copy of which is attached hereto,
he/she is duly authorized to execute the foregoing instrument.

NOTARY PUBLIC:
Sign: Emae Jean Hawkins
Print/Type: Emae Jean Hawkins
Acknowledgment of Countersigner,  
(Only Required if Attorney-in-Fact is not a Florida Licensed Agent)

(STATE OF FLORIDA)

(COUNTY OF ____________)  
(CITY OF ____________)  

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this ___ day of __________, 20___,  
by ____________________________  
(Name of Countersigner)  
as Countersignator for ____________________________,  
(Name of Surety)  
who is personally known to me or has produced ____________________________  
(Type of Identification)  
as identification.  

By virtue of a power of attorney from said corporation, a copy of which is attached hereto,  
he/she is duly authorized to execute the foregoing instrument.  

(Select)  

NOTARY PUBLIC:  
Sign: ____________________________  
Print/Type: ____________________________  
SEAL.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

Attorney-In-Fact No. 230565

Certificate No. 007064230

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: That Farmington Casualty Company, St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company, St. Paul Guardian Insurance Company, St. Paul Mercury Insurance Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America, and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company are corporations duly organized under the laws of the State of Connecticut; that Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Company is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of Iowa; and that Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Underwriters, Inc., is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of Wisconsin (herein collectively called the "Companies"), and that the Companies do hereby make, constitute and appoint Maria Signorile, and Wesley P. Williams

of the City of Atlanta, State of Georgia, their true and lawful Attorney(s)-in-Fact, each in their separate capacity if more than one is named above, to sign, execute, seal and acknowledge any and all bonds, recognizances, conditional undertakings and other writings obligatory in the nature thereof on behalf of the Companies in their business of guaranteeing the fidelity of persons, guaranteeing the performance of contracts and executing or guaranteeing bonds and undertakings required or permitted in any actions or proceedings allowed by law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Companies have caused this instrument to be signed and their corporate seals to be hereeto affixed, this 6th day of December, 2016.

By:

Robert L. Raney, Senior Vice President

Marie C. Tetreault, Notary Public

On this the 6th day of December, 2016, before me personally appeared Robert L. Raney, who acknowledged himself to be the Senior Vice President of Farmington Casualty Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Underwriters, Inc., St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company, St. Paul Guardian Insurance Company, St. Paul Mercury Insurance Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America, and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company, and that he, as such, being authorized so to do, executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes therein contained by signing on behalf of the Corporations by himself as a duly authorized officer.
This Power of Attorney is granted under and by the authority of the following resolutions adopted by the Boards of Directors of Farmington Casualty Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Underwriters, Inc., St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company, St. Paul Guardian Insurance Company, St. Paul Mercury Insurance Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America, and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company, which resolutions are now in full force and effect, reading as follows:

RESOLVED, that the Chairman, the President, any Vice Chairman, any Executive Vice President, any Senior Vice President, any Vice President, any Second Vice President, the Treasurer, any Assistant Treasurer, the Corporate Secretary or any Assistant Secretary may appoint Attorneys-in-Fact and Agents to act for and on behalf of the Company and may give such appointee such authority as his or her certificate of authority may prescribe to sign with the Company's name and seal the Company's seal bonds, recognizances, contracts of indemnity, and other writings obligatory in the nature of a bond, recognizance, or conditional undertaking, and any of said officers or the Board of Directors at any time may remove any such appointee and revoke the power given him or her, and it is

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairman, the President, any Vice Chairman, any Executive Vice President, any Senior Vice President or any Vice President may delegate all or any part of the foregoing authority to one or more officers or employees of this Company, provided that each such delegation is in writing and a copy thereof is filed in the office of the Secretary, and it is

FURTHER RESOLVED, that any bond, recognizance, contract of indemnity, or writing obligatory in the nature of a bond, recognizance, or conditional undertaking shall be valid and binding upon the Company when (a) signed by the President, any Vice Chairman, any Executive Vice President, any Senior Vice President or any Vice President, any Second Vice President, the Treasurer, any Assistant Treasurer, the Corporate Secretary or any Assistant Secretary and duly attested and sealed with the Company's seal by a Secretary or Assistant Secretary; or (b) duly executed (under seal, if required) by one or more Attorneys-in-Fact and Agents pursuant to the power prescribed in his or her certificate or their certificates of authority or by one or more Company officers pursuant to a written delegation of authority, and it is

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the signature of each of the following officers: President, any Executive Vice President, any Senior Vice President, any Vice President, any Assistant Vice President, any Secretary, any Assistant Secretary, and the seal of the Company may be affixed by facsimile to any Power of Attorney or to any certificate relating thereto appointing Resident Vice Presidents, Resident Assistant Secretaries or Attorneys-in-Fact for purposes only of executing and attesting bonds and undertakings and other writings obligatory in the nature thereof, and any such Power of Attorney or certificate bearing such facsimile signature or facsimile seal shall be valid and binding upon the Company and any such power so executed and certified by such facsimile signature and facsimile seal shall be valid and binding on the Company in the future with respect to any bond or understanding to which it is attached.

I, Kevin E. Hughes, the undersigned, Assistant Secretary, of Farmington Casualty Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Underwriters, Inc., St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company, St. Paul Guardian Insurance Company, St. Paul Mercury Insurance Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America, and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Power of Attorney executed by said Companies, which is in full force and effect and has not been revoked.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seals of said Companies this 8th day of December, 2011.

Kevin E. Hughes, Assistant Secretary

To verify the authenticity of this Power of Attorney, call 1-800-421-3880 or contact us at www.travelersbond.com. Please refer to the Attorney-in-Fact number, the above-named individuals and the details of the bond to which the power is attached.
RESOLUTION NO. 2016-507

A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA AND HUBBARD CONSTRUCTION COMPANY TO EXTEND THE TERM AND INCREASE THE CONTRACT PRICE IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $4,085,000 FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT PRICE NOT TO EXCEED $8,225,000; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on December 17, 2016, the City of St. Petersburg, Florida (“City”) and Hubbard Construction Company (“Hubbard”) executed a contract for Citywide Street Milling and Resurfacing FY 16 with an option to extend beyond the initial term; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the contract, Hubbard furnished labor, materials and equipment necessary to perform street milling, street and alley resurfacing, city facility parking lot resurfacing at various locations throughout the City in accordance with the notice to proceed issued by the City during the initial term; and

WHEREAS, Hubbard has agreed to extend the contract with a negotiated decrease in the unit price; and

WHEREAS, if approved by City Council, the City and Hubbard will execute an amendment to contract and following execution of such amendment, the City will issue Hubbard a notice to proceed to perform work in an amount not to exceed $4,085,000 for a total contract price not to exceed $8,225,000; and

WHEREAS, Administration recommends approval of this resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that an amendment to the contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Hubbard Construction Company to extend the term and increase the contract price in an amount not to exceed $4,085,000 for a total contract price not to exceed $8,225,000 is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved by:

By: (City Attorney or Designee)

Approved by:

By: (City Attorney or Designee)
FIRST AMENDMENT TO AGREEMENT

THIS FIRST AMENDMENT ("First Amendment") is made and entered into on the day of December, 2016, by and between Hubbard Construction Company ("Contractor" or "party of the first part") and the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City" or "party of the second part").

WHEREAS, on December 17, 2015, the City and Contractor executed an agreement ("Agreement" or "Contract") for Citywide Street Milling and Resurfacing FY 16 with an option to extend after the initial term; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Agreement, Contractor furnished labor, materials and equipment necessary to perform street milling, street and alley resurfacing, city facility parking lot resurfacing at various locations throughout the City in accordance with the notice to proceed issued by the City during the initial term; and

WHEREAS, Contractor has agreed to extend the Agreement with a negotiated decrease in the unit price; and

WHEREAS, the City and Contractor desire to execute this First Amendment for Contractor to perform work in an amount not to exceed $4,085,000 in accordance with a notice to proceed which will be issued after execution of this First Amendment:

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the foregoing recitals (all of which are incorporated herein as an integral part of this First Amendment) and the mutual promises, covenants, and conditions herein contained and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which are hereby acknowledged, Contractor and the City hereby agree as follows:

1. Paragraph a) of the Agreement is hereby amended to read as follows:

   Contractor shall furnish all material and perform all of the work for:

   Citywide Street Milling & Resurfacing FY16 and FY17

St. Petersburg, Florida, Project No. 16003-130, in full and complete accordance with the Contract Documents (as defined in the General Conditions), which documents include this First Amendment, the Agreement and the following documents attached hereto and made a part hereof:

Proposal
Execution of the Contract
Riders to the Public Construction Bond, if any
General Conditions
Technical Specifications
Appendix

Instructions to Bidders
Public Construction Bond(s)
Certificates of Insurance
Addenda
Plans
Paragraph b) of the Agreement is hereby amended to read as follows:

The initial term of the Contract commenced on December 17, 2015, and Contractor performed the Work (during the initial term) within one hundred and eighty (180) consecutive calendar days from the date set forth in the written Notice to Proceed. On November 3, 2016, City Council agreed to extend the Contract and Contractor shall commence the Work during this renewal period within ten (10) consecutive calendar days after the date contained in the written Notice to Proceed and fully complete the Work for the renewal period within one hundred and eighty (180) consecutive calendar days from the date set forth in the written Notice to Proceed. A rider to the Public Construction Bond or a new Public Construction Bond to include the Work to be performed during the renewal period must be approved by the City Attorney's Office prior to issuance of the written Notice to Proceed for Work to be performed during the renewal period.

Paragraph c) of the Agreement is hereby amended to read as follows:

The City hereby agrees to pay Contractor at the time and in the manner set forth in the Contract Documents, subject to additions and deductions as provided for, an amount not to exceed four million eighty five thousand dollars $4,085,000 for Work to be performed during the renewal period (provided Contractor is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the Contract). The total Contract Price for all Work performed during the initial term and the renewal period shall not exceed eight million two hundred and twenty five thousand dollars ($8,225,000).

Article 50 of the General Conditions is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE G-50 PUBLIC RECORDS

A. Contractor shall (i) keep and maintain public records (as defined in Chapter 119, Florida Statutes) required by the City to perform the services and work pursuant to the Agreement; (ii) upon request from the City Clerk's Office, provide the City (at no cost to the City) with a copy of the requested records or allow the records to be inspected or copied within a reasonable time at a cost that does not exceed the cost provided under Florida laws regarding public records or other applicable laws; (iii) ensure that public records in Contractor's possession that are exempt or confidential and exempt from public records disclosure requirements are not disclosed except as authorized by applicable laws for the Contract Time and after the expiration or earlier termination of the Agreement; and (iv) after the expiration or earlier termination of the Agreement, at the City's request, either transfer, at no cost, to the City all public records in Contractor's possession within ten (10) days following the City's request and/or keep and maintain any public records required by the City to perform the services and work pursuant to the Agreement. If Contractor transfers all public records to the City upon final completion or earlier termination of the Agreement, Contractor shall destroy any duplicate public records that are exempt or confidential and exempt from public records disclosure requirements. If Contractor keeps and maintains public records upon the expiration or earlier termination of this Agreement, Contractor shall meet all applicable requirements for retaining public records in accordance with the
Agreement and all applicable laws. At the City’s request, all public records stored electronically by Contractor shall be provided to the City in a format approved by the City.

B. IF CONTRACTOR HAS QUESTIONS REGARDING THE APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 119, FLORIDA STATUTES, AS TO CONTRACTOR’S DUTY TO PROVIDE PUBLIC RECORDS RELATING TO THE AGREEMENT, CONTACT THE CITY CLERK’S OFFICE (THE CUSTODIAN OF PUBLIC RECORDS) AT (727) 893-7448, CITY.CLERK@STPETE.ORG, OR 175 FIFTH ST. N., ST. PETERSBURG FL 33701.

C. Nothing contained herein shall be construed to affect or limit Contractor’s obligations including but not limited to Contractor’s obligations to comply with all other applicable laws and to maintain books and records pursuant to the Agreement.

Article 51 is added to the General Conditions as follows:

ARTICLE G-51 SCRUTINIZED BUSINESS OPERATIONS

51.1 A company is deemed to engage in “Scrutinized Business Operations” if it is (i) on the Scrutinized Companies that Boycott Israel List or engaged in a boycott of Israel, pursuant to Florida Statutes section 215.4725; (ii) on the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in Sudan List or the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in the Iran Petroleum Energy Sector List, pursuant to Florida Statutes section 215.473; or (iii) engaged in business operations in Cuba or Syria, pursuant to Florida Statutes section 287.135(1)(c). The lists related to Israel, Sudan, and Iran are maintained by the Florida State Board of Administration and are amended from time to time.

51.2 The scope of “Scrutinized Affiliates” for the Contractor is established by Florida Statutes section 215.473(1)(d) and includes, generally, all wholly owned subsidiaries, majority-owned subsidiaries, parent companies, and affiliates of the Contractor that exist for the purpose of making profit.

51.3 In accordance with Florida Statutes section 287.135(5), the Contractor certifies that Contractor and its Scrutinized Affiliates (if any) are not engaged in Scrutinized Business Operations, and the Contractor acknowledges that falsely making such a certification may result in termination of this Agreement for default, civil penalties, and a three-year prohibition on contracting with any agency or local governmental entity in the state of Florida.

51.4 The City may terminate this Agreement for default if the City determines (i) that the certification provided by the Contractor in Article 51.3 is false or (ii) that the Contractor or any of its Scrutinized Affiliates have engaged in Scrutinized Business Operations at any point during the Term of the Agreement.
51.5 If any provision of the Florida Statutes underlying this Article 51 is removed from the Florida Statutes, enjoined, or otherwise held to be invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the corresponding language in this Article 51 is severed from this Agreement, with the remainder of Article 51 remaining in effect.

6. Any and all provisions of the Contract not specifically amended by this First Amendment shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Contractor and the City have caused this First Amendment to be executed by their duly authorized representatives on the date first above written.

HUBBARD CONSTRUCTION COMPANY:
By: P. Frederick O'Dea, Jr.
Print: P. Frederick O'Dea, Jr.
Title: Vice President & Secretary

CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA
By: Louis Moser
Procurement and Supply Management Director

WITNESSES
By: 
Print: 

By: 
Print: 

ATTEST:
Chan Srinivasa, City Clerk

FORM ONLY

City Attorney (Designee)

299137
THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the Board of Directors of Hubbard Construction Company, at its January 4, 2016 meeting, on motion duly made, seconded and unanimously carried, authorized Patrick Sulliot, Chairman of the Board, Alan M. Cahill, President and CEO, P. Frederick O'Dea, Jr., Vice President, CFO, Secretary & Treasurer, Thomas O. Craft, Vice President, and William Dumas, Assistant Secretary to execute Change Orders and Contracts on behalf of the Corporation, effective on or after January 1, 2016.

P. Frederick O'Dea, Jr., Secretary
**CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE**

THIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION ONLY AND CONFER NO RIGHTS UPON THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER. THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMATIVELY OR NEGATIVELY AMEND, EXTEND OR ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES BELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE ISSUING INSURER(S), AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, AND THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.

**IMPORTANT:** If the certificate holder is an ADDITIONAL INSURED, the policy must be endorsed. If SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieu of such endorsement(s).

**PRODUCER:**
Willis of Michigan, Inc.
30 Century Blvd
P.O. Box 355191
Nashville, TN 37202

**CONTACT:**
Willis Toward Waterman Certificate Center
P.O. Box 355191
Nashville, TN 37202

**PHONE:** (877) 945-7378
**EMAIL:** Certificates@willis.com

**INSCRIBER A:**
Zurich American Insurance Company

**INSURER A:**
16525

**INSURER B:**
XL Specialty Insurance Company
37665

**INSURER C:**
American Zurich Insurance Company
40142

**CERTIFICATE NUMBER:**

**CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE**

**COVERAGES**

**CANCELLATION**

**DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHICLES**

**ACORD 25 (2014/01)**

The ACORD name and logo are registered marks of ACORD.

--

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF INSURANCE</th>
<th>CLAIMS MADE</th>
<th>OCCUR</th>
<th>LIMITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B AUTO LIABILITY</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C WORKERS COMPENSATION LIABILITY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLICY NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLO164998-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAP164997-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US000642200L18A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC0164999-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EACH OCCURRENCE</th>
<th>AGGREGATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAMAGE TO RENTED PERSONAL OR PROPERY</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED EXPANDED LIMITS</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONAL &amp; ADJUTY INSURANCE</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL AGGREGATE</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRODUCTS - COMPAD AGG</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BODILY INJURY (PDL person)</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BODILY INJURY (Per accident)</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPERTY DAMAGE</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CERTIFICATE HOLDER:**
City of St. Petersburg

**CANCELLATION:**

SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE THEREOF, NOTICE WILL BE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POLICY PROVISIONS.

**AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:**

---

© 1988-2014 ACORD CORPORATION. All rights reserved.
THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the Board of Directors of Hubbard
Construction Company, at its January 3, 2014 meeting, on motion
duly made, seconded and unanimously carried, authorized Patrick Sullivan,
Chairman of the Board, Alnu M. Cahill, President and CEO, Steven M.
Plastek, Vice President and Assistant Secretary, P. Frederick O'Dea, Jr.,
Vice President; CFO, Secretary & Treasurer and William Dumas, Assistant
Secretary to execute Change Orders and Contracts on behalf of the
Corporation, effective on or after January 1, 2014.

P. Frederick O'Dea, Jr., Secretary
PUBLIC CONSTRUCTION BOND

Bond # 106545163

(STATE OF FLORIDA)

(COUNTY OF PINELLAS)

(CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG)

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That we, Hubbard Construction Company (hereinafter called the "Principal"),

located at 1936 Lee Road, Winter Park, FL 32789, (407) 645-5500

and Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America (hereinafter called the "Surety"),

located at One Tower Square, Bond/5PB, Hartford Connecticut, (800) 842-5075

are held and firmly bound unto the City of St. Petersburg, Florida (hereinafter called the "City") located at One 4th Street North, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, phone: (727) 893-7220, in the penal sum of $4,085,000.00 for the payment of which we bind ourselves, our heirs, personal representatives, successors, and assigns, jointly and severally, for the faithful performance of a certain written Agreement, dated the 17th day of December, 2015, as amended, entered into between the Principal and the City ("Contract") for:

Principal to furnish labor, materials and equipment necessary to perform street milling street and alley resurfacing, city facility parking lot resurfacing at various locations throughout the City during the renewal period, 12/17/2016 to 12/17/2017 in accordance with the notice to proceed issued by the City.

The location of the proposed Work for the Project is Citywide.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CONDITIONS of this bond are such that, if the Principal shall (i) in all respects comply with the terms and conditions of the Contract (the Contract being made a part of this bond by reference), including but not limited to the guarantee and warranty requirements, all obligations contained in the Contract Documents (as defined in the Contract) and all modifications made to the Contract as therein provided, for the original term of the Contract and any extensions which may be granted by the City, with or without notice to the Surety; and (ii) promptly make payments to all claimants, as defined in Section 255.05(1), Florida Statutes, supplying labor, materials, or supplies used directly or indirectly in the prosecution of the work provided for in the Contract; and (iii) pay the City all losses, damages, liquidated damages, expenses, costs, and attorneys’ fees at trial and on appeal sustained by the City due to a default by Principal under the Contract; and (iv) fulfill its obligations related to the guarantee and warranty of all work and materials furnished under the Contract pursuant to the terms and conditions specified in the Contract, then this bond shall be void; otherwise, it shall remain in full force.

This bond is meant to comply with all the requirements of Section 255.05, Florida Statutes, and herewith incorporates all duties of a surety required by Section 255.05, Florida Statutes, and all notice and time limitation provisions set forth in Sections 255.05(2) and 255.05(10), Florida Statutes. Any action instituted by a claimant under this bond for payment must be in accordance with the notice and time limitation provisions set forth in Sections 255.05(2) and 255.05(10), Florida Statutes.
The Surety, for value received, hereby stipulates and agrees that no change, extension of time, alteration or addition to the terms of the Contract or to the work to be performed thereunder or to the specifications accompanying the same shall in any way affect its obligations on this bond, and it does hereby waive notice of any such change, extension of time, alteration or addition to the terms of the Contract or to the work or to the specifications.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, the hands and seals of the parties hereto this 27th day of December, 2015/2016

Hubbard Construction Company

By: P. FREDERICK O'DEA, JR.
   Vice President & Secretary

SURETY NAME
Attorney-in-Fact
By: Maria Signonie
   Florida State Lic# W175323

Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America

WITNESS 1:
By: ____________________________
   ____________________________
   ____________________________

WITNESS 2:
By: ____________________________
   ____________________________
   ____________________________

Countersignature of Florida Licensed Agent (Only Required if Attorney-In-Fact is not a Florida Licensed Agent.)

Note: A copy of a power of attorney attested by the corporate secretary of the Surety evidencing the Attorney-In-Fact named above is currently authorized to execute this bond on behalf of the Surety must be attached to this bond.
(STATE OF FLORIDA)
(COUNTY OF Orange)
(CITY OF Winter Park)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 8th day of December, 2016.

P. Frederick O' DEA, Jr.
Vice President & Secretary
Hubbard Construction Company

Florida Corporation ("Entity"), on behalf of said Entity. He/She is
Personally known to me or has produced Personally Known as Identification.

He/She warrants that he/she is duly authorized by all necessary actions of the Entity in
accordance with the governing documents of the Entity to execute the foregoing instrument.

NOTARY PUBLIC:

Sign:

Print/Type: Patrick Wells

SEAL

PATRICK N. WELLS
Notary Public - State of Florida
Commission # FF 222108
My Comm. Expires May 8, 2019
Bonded through National Notary Assn.
Acknowledgment of Surety,  
(Where Executed by Attorney-in-Fact, as Agent)  

(STATE OF FLORIDA) GEORGIA  
(COUNTY OF FULTON)  
(CITY OF ATLANTA)  

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 21st day of December, 2016,  
by Maria Signorile  
(Name of Attorney-in-Fact)  
as Attorney-in-Fact for Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America  
(Name of Surety)  

who is personally known to me or has produced personally known  
(Select)  
(Type of Identification)  
as identification.  

By virtue of a power of attorney from said corporation, a copy of which is attached hereto,  
he/she is duly authorized to execute the foregoing instrument.  

NOTARY PUBLIC:  
Sign:  
Print/Type:  
Emma Jean Hawkins  

[Stamp: NOTARY PUBLIC, FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA]
Acknowledgment of Countersigner,
(Only Required if Attorney-in-Fact is not a Florida Licensed Agent)

(STATE OF FLORIDA)

(COUNTY OF ___________)

(CITY OF ___________)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this _____ day of ________, 20___.

by ____________________________________________

(Name of Countersigner)

as Countersignator for ____________________________________________

(Name of Surety)

who is personally known to me or has produced ____________________________ (Type of Identification)

as identification.

By virtue of a power of attorney from said corporation, a copy of which is attached hereto, he/she is duly authorized to execute the foregoing instrument.

(SELECT)

NOTARY PUBLIC:

Sign: __________________________

Print/Type: __________________________

SEAL
KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: That Farmington Casualty Company, St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company, St. Paul Guardian Insurance Company, St. Paul Mercury Insurance Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America, United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Underwriters, Inc., and St. Paul Guardian Insurance Company are corporations duly organized under the laws of the State of Connecticut, that Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Company is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of Iowa, and that Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Underwriters, Inc., is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of Wisconsin (herein collectively called the "Companies"), and that the Companies do hereby make, constitute and appoint

Maria Signorile, and Wesley P. Williams

of the City of Atlanta, State of Georgia their true and lawful Attorney(s)-in-Fact, each in their separate capacity if more than one is named above, to sign, execute, seal and acknowledge any and all bonds, recognizances, conditional undertakings and other writings obligatory in the nature thereof on behalf of the Companies in their business of guaranteeing the fidelity of persons, guaranteeing the performance of contracts and executing or guaranteeing bonds and undertakings required or permitted in any actions or proceedings allowed by law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Companies have caused this instrument to be signed and their corporate seals to be hereunto affixed, this 6th day of December, 2016

By: Robert L. Raney, Senior Vice President

State of Connecticut
City of Hartford ss.

On this the 6th day of December, 2016, before me personally appeared Robert L. Raney, who acknowledged himself to be the Senior Vice President of Farmington Casualty Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Underwriters, Inc., St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company, St. Paul Guardian Insurance Company, St. Paul Mercury Insurance Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America, and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company, and that he, as such, being authorized so to do, executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes therein contained by signing on behalf of the corporations by himself as a duly authorized officer.

In Witness Whereof, I hereunto set my hand and official seal. My Commission expires the 30th day of June, 2021.

Marie C. Tetreault
Notary Public
This Power of Attorney is granted under and by the authority of the following resolutions adopted by the Boards of Directors of Farmington Casualty Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Underwriters, Inc., St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company, St. Paul Guardian Insurance Company, St. Paul Mercury Insurance Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America, and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company, which resolutions are now in full force and effect, reading as follows:

RESOLVED, that the Chairman, the President, any Vice Chairman, any Executive Vice President, any Senior Vice President, any Vice President, any Second Vice President, the Treasurer, any Assistant Treasurer, the Corporate Secretary or any Assistant Secretary may appoint Attorney-in-Fact and Agents to act for and on behalf of the Company and may give such appointee such authority as his or her certificate of authority may prescribe to sign with the Company's name and seal with the Company's seal bonds, recognizances, contracts of indemnity, and other writings obligatory in the nature of a bond, recognizance, or conditional undertaking, and any of said officers or the Board of Directors at any time may remove any such appointee and revoke the power given him or her; and it is

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairman, the President, any Vice Chairman, any Executive Vice President, any Senior Vice President or any Vice President may delegate all or any part of the foregoing authority to one or more officers or employees of this Company, provided that each such delegation is in writing and a copy thereof is filed in the office of the Secretary; and it is

FURTHER RESOLVED, that any bond, recognizance, contract of indemnity, or writing obligatory in the nature of a bond, recognizance, or conditional undertaking shall be valid and binding upon the Company when (a) signed by the President, any Vice Chairman, any Executive Vice President, any Senior Vice President or any Vice President, any Second Vice President, the Treasurer, any Assistant Treasurer, the Corporate Secretary or any Assistant Secretary and duly attested and sealed with the Company's seal by a Secretary or Assistant Secretary; or (b) duly executed (under seal if required) by one or more Attorneys-in-Fact and Agents pursuant to the power prescribed in his or her certificate of authority or by one or more Company officers pursuant to a written delegation of authority; and it is

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the signature of each of the following officers: President, any Executive Vice President, any Senior Vice President, any Vice President, any Assistant Vice President, any Secretary, any Assistant Secretary, and the seal of the Company may be affixed by facsimile to any Power of Attorney or to any certificate relating thereto appointing Resident Vice Presidents, Resident Assistant Secretaries or Attorneys-in-Fact for purposes only of executing and attesting bonds and undertaking and other writings obligatory in the nature thereof, and any such Power of Attorney or certificate bearing such facsimile signature or facsimile seal shall be valid and binding upon the Company and any such power so executed and certified by such facsimile signature and facsimile seal shall be valid and binding on the Company in the future with respect to any bond or undertaking to which it is attached.

I, Kevin E. Hughes, the undersigned, Assistant Secretary, of Farmington Casualty Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Company, Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Underwriters, Inc., St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company, St. Paul Guardian Insurance Company, St. Paul Mercury Insurance Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company, Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America, and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Power of Attorney executed by said Companies, which is in full force and effect and has not been revoked.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seals of said Companies this 8th day of December, 2010.

Kevin E. Hughes, Assistant Secretary

To verify the authenticity of this Power of Attorney, call 1-800-421-3880 or contact us at www.travelersbond.com. Please refer to the Attorney-In-Fact number, the above-named individuals and the details of the bond to which the power is attached.
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving a proposal from Intergraph Corporation, dba Hexagon Safety & Infrastructure, a sole source supplier, for software upgrades and services for the Police Department public safety system, at a total contract amount of $286,000.

Explanation: The vendor will provide all labor, materials, software, transportation and personnel necessary to upgrade the Police Department's CAD and MPS public safety systems. The vendor will also provide a single project manager to oversee the implementation schedule, provide software installation, training services, and transition to live operations.

The Intergraph Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) system is used to process and dispatch all emergency (911) and non-emergency calls. The Mobile for Public Safety (MPS) system provides digital communications with the Communications Center by allowing law enforcement officers to view and interact with the CAD system from their laptops. Because Intergraph Corporation is the author and seller of the existing Intergraph public safety systems, a sole source procurement is recommended.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Police Department, recommends for award:

Intergraph Corporation dba Hexagon Safety & Infrastructure .......... $286,000

This purchase is made in accordance with Section 2-249, Sole Source Procurement of the Procurement Code, which authorizes City Council to approve the purchase of a supply or service of over $100,000 without competitive bidding, if it has been determined that the supply or service is available from only one source.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds in the amount of $245,788 have been previously appropriated in the General Capital Improvement Fund (3001), Police CAD Mobile Upgrade Project (13714), and funds in the amount of $40,212 have been previously appropriated in the General Fund (0001) Police Department, Training (140-1397).

Attachments: Sole Source Resolution

Approvals:

[Signatures]
City of St. Petersburg

Sole Source Request
Procurement & Supply Management

Department: Police
Requisition No.

Check One: X Sole Source
Proprietary Specifications

Proposed Vendor: Intergraph Corporation

Estimated Total Cost: $277,821.20

Description of Items (or Services) to be purchased:

To upgrade the Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) and Mobile for Public Safety (MPS) systems. The Mobile for Public Safety (MPS) system was formally known as I/Mobile. The systems are part of the initial purchase and installation from 2005. This upgrade will include all related software, licensing, training, support and warranty services.

Purpose of Function of items:

The Intergraph Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) system is used to process and dispatch all emergency (911), and nonemergency calls. The Mobile for Public Safety (MPS) systems provides digital communications with the Communications Center by allowing the law enforcement officers to view and interact with Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) system from their laptops.

Justification for Sole Source of Proprietary specification:

Intergraph is the sole provider for support services for the specific Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) and Mobile for Public Safety (MPS) systems currently used at the Police Department that was initially acquired in 2005. Intergraph is the author and seller of the software.

I hereby certify that in accordance with Section 2-249 of the City of St. Petersburg Procurement Code, I have conducted a good faith review of available sources and have determined that there is only one potential source for the required items per the above justification. I also understand that under Florida Statute 838.22(2) it is a second degree felony to circumvent a competitive bidding process by using a sole-source contract for commodities or services.

Department Director

Date

Administrator/Chief

Date

Louis Moore, Director
Procurement & Supply Management

Rev (1/11), (6/15)
A RESOLUTION DECLARING INTERGRAPH CORPORATION D/B/A HEXAGON SAFETY & INFRASTRUCTURE ("INTERGRAPH") TO BE A SOLE SOURCE SUPPLIER FOR SOFTWARE UPGRADES AND SERVICES FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT; APPROVING AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, AND INTERGRAPH FOR THE PURCHASE OF SOFTWARE UPGRADES AND SERVICES FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AT A TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $286,000; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City wishes to purchase software upgrades and services for the Police Department’s CAD and MPS public safety systems; and

WHEREAS, Intergraph Corporation d/b/a Hexagon Safety & Infrastructure ("Intergraph") is the sole authorized provider of the existing Intergraph public safety system; and

WHEREAS, Section 2-249 of the City Code provides for sole source procurement when a supply or service is available from only one source; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement and Supply Management Department, in cooperation with the Police Department, recommends approval of an agreement for software upgrades and services to Intergraph as a sole source supplier; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor or his designee has prepared a written statement to the City Council certifying the condition and circumstances for the sole source purchase.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Intergraph Corporation d/b/a Hexagon Safety & Infrastructure ("Intergraph") is a sole source supplier.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that an agreement between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Intergraph for the purchase of software upgrades and services for the Police Department at a total cost not to exceed $286,000 is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved by:

[Signature]

Legal Department
By: (City Attorney or Designee)
00336778
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving the purchase of two (2) mowers and nineteen (19) utility vehicles from Deere & Company for the Fleet Management Department, at a total cost of $265,485.21; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $58,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Stormwater Utility Operating Fund (4011) to the Stormwater, Pavement & Traffic Operations Department, Mowing Operations (400-1321).

Explanation: This purchase is being made from the National Joint Powers Alliance Contract No. 070313-DAC.

The vendor will provide two (2) 1570 TerrainCut mowers, and nineteen (19) utility vehicles for the Fleet Department. (See attached Purchase Summary by department).

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Fleet Management Department, recommends an award utilizing National Joint Powers Alliance Contract No. 070313-DAC:

Deere & Company (Moline)........................................................................... $265,485.21

1. Terrain cut front mower, John Deere-1570 2 EA $19,955.32 $39,910.64
   72-inch commercial side discharge mower deck 2 EA 3,685.99 7,371.98
   four-wheel drive (full time or on demand) 2 EA 2,243.01 4,486.02
   4-post conversion kit with canopy 2 EA 1,309.18 2,618.36
   Suspension seat with armrests 2 EA 300.30 600.60
   Turf drive tires 2 EA 292.49 584.98
   Mulch kit 2 EA 255.40 510.80
   Hydraulic weight transfer kit 2 EA 252.11 504.22
   Beacon light 2 EA 243.87 487.74
   Dual wheel conversion kit 2 EA 229.87 459.74
   Turf drive tires (26.95) (53.90)
   $57,481.18

2. Utility Vehicle, John Deere XUV825i S4 (MY17) 1 EA $12,397.56 $12,397.56
   Cargo box 1 EA 763.08 763.08
   Bighorn radial tires 1 EA 724.08 724.08
   Black roof 1 EA 500.64 500.64
   Full windshield 1 EA 467.38 467.38
   Brake, tail, and reverse lights with protector 1 EA 302.40 302.40
   Occupant protective structure rear screen 1 EA 252.56 252.56
   Front-brush guard 1 EA 220.21 220.21
   Fender guard 1 EA 185.14 185.14
   Side mirrors 1 EA 159.99 159.99
   Turn signal kit 1 EA 117.74 117.74
   Backup alarm 1 EA 90.78 90.78
   Horn kit 1 EA 83.59 83.59
   Rear view mirror 1 EA 73.70 73.70
   Floor mat (front) 1 EA 69.21 69.21
   Floor mat (rear) 1 EA 69.21 69.21
   Switch bank 1 EA 61.12 61.12
   Turn signal light kit 1 EA 43.14 43.14
   Multi-unit discount 1 EA (789.60) (789.60)

$15,791.93

Continued on Page 2
3. Utility Vehicle, HPX Gas Green & Yellow (MY17) 18 EA $8,264.76 $ 148,765.68

Cargo-box lift kit 18 EA 763.08 13,735.44
Abrasion resistant full windshield 18 EA 467.38 8,412.84
Poly roof - black 18 EA 400.86 7,215.48
High capacity alternator 18 EA 319.96 5,759.28
Rear screen 18 EA 252.56 4,546.08
Deluxe signal light kit 18 EA 224.70 4,044.60
Turf tires - yellow 18 EA 121.80 2,192.40
Turn signal light harness kit 18 EA 117.74 2,119.32
38-mm (1.25-inch) rear receiver hitch 18 EA 102.47 1,844.46
Horn kit 18 EA 83.59 1,504.62
Switch bank 18 EA 61.12 1,100.16
Drawbar 18 EA 32.35 582.30
Multi-unit discount 18 EA (533.92) (9,610.56)

$ 192,212.10

This vendor has met the specifications, terms and conditions of the National Joint Powers Alliance Contract 070313-DAC, effective through September 11, 2017. This purchase is made in accordance with Section 2-256 (2) of the Procurement Code, which authorizes the Mayor, or his designee, to piggyback off contracts competitively bid by other governmental entities or a consortium.

During the FY17 budget process, funding for additional vehicles and equipment for the Stormwater, Pavement & Traffic Operations Department was approved, but the budget was inadvertently left out of the FY17 Adopted Budget for the Stormwater Utility Operating Fund. The appropriation included in this item will allow for the purchase of two of these pieces of equipment, the front mowers listed in Item #1 (John Deere-1570 Terrain Cut front mowers).

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: The majority of the funds have been previously appropriated in the Equipment Replacement Fund (5002), Fleet Maintenance, Fleet Mechanical Cost (8002527). Funding for the two front mowers in Item #1 (John Deere 1570 Terrain Cut front mowers) will be available after the approval of a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $58,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Stormwater Utility Operating Fund (4011) to the Stormwater, Pavement & Traffic Operations Department, Mowing Operations (400-1321).

Attachments: Purchase Summary
Price History
Resolution

Approvals:

[Signature]
Administrative

[Signature]
Budget
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Replacement</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Life Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>John Deere 1570 Terrain Cut Front Mower</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stormwater/ Mowing Operations</td>
<td>Used for mowing right-of-way and easement within Stormwater Mowing Operations</td>
<td>Additional</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>JOHN DEERE XUV825I S4 (MY17) Utility Vehicle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation/ Boyd Hill</td>
<td>Used for transporting employees, and guided tours at Boyd Hill Nature Reserve</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>HPX Gas Green &amp; Yellow (MY17) Utility Vehicle</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>City of St. Petersburg Marina</td>
<td>Used for transporting employees, equipment, and tools</td>
<td>Replacements</td>
<td>4-8</td>
<td>4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>HPX Gas Green &amp; Yellow (MY17) Utility Vehicle</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Albert Whitted Airport</td>
<td>Used to transport employees for security purposes</td>
<td>Replacements</td>
<td>4-8</td>
<td>4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>HPX Gas Green &amp; Yellow (MY17) Utility Vehicle</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>Used for transporting employees, equipment, and tools</td>
<td>Replacements</td>
<td>4-8</td>
<td>4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>% Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>John Deere 1570 terrain cut front mower</td>
<td>$24,378.74</td>
<td>$24,597.30</td>
<td>$28,740.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>John Deere XUV825I S4 (MY17) utility vehicle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$16,060.32</td>
<td>$15,791.93</td>
<td>(2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>HPX Gas green and yellow (MY17) utility vehicle</td>
<td>$11,630.00</td>
<td>$10,873</td>
<td>$10,608</td>
<td>$11,064</td>
<td>$10,678.45</td>
<td>(3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE PURCHASE OF TWO (2) NEW MOWERS AND NINETEEN (19) REPLACEMENT UTILITY VEHICLES FROM DEERE & COMPANY FOR THE FLEET MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT AT A TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $265,485.21, UTILIZING THE NATIONAL JOINT POWERS ALLIANCE CONTRACT NO. 070313-DAC; APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $58,000 FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE STORMWATER UTILITY OPERATING FUND (4011) TO THE STORMWATER, PAVEMENT & TRAFFIC OPERATIONS DEPARTMENT, MOWING OPERATIONS (400-1321); AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THESE TRANSACTIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City desires to purchase two (2) new mowers and nineteen (19) replacement utility vehicles that have reached the end of their economic service life for the Fleet Management Department; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2-256(2) of the City Code, the Mayor or his designee is authorized to utilize competitively bid contracts of other governmental entities; and

WHEREAS, Deere & Company has met the specifications, terms and conditions of the National Joint Powers Alliance Contract No. 070313-DAC; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department, in cooperation with the Fleet Management Department, recommends approval of this award.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the purchase of two (2) new mowers and nineteen (19) replacement utility vehicles from Deere & Company for the Fleet Management Department at a total cost not to exceed $265,485.21 utilizing the National Joint Powers Alliance Contract No. 070313-DAC are hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate these transactions.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there is hereby approved the following supplemental appropriation from the unappropriated balance of the Stormwater Utility Operating Fund (4011) for Fiscal Year 2017:

**Stormwater Utility Operating Fund (4011)**

| Stormwater, Pavement & Traffic Operations Department, Mowing Operations (400-1321) | $58,000 |
This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

City Attorney (Designee)
00636715

Budget Director
To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with SMS Systems Maintenance Services, Inc. for computer hardware maintenance for the Department of Technology Services, at an estimated annual cost of $40,000, for a total contract amount of $200,000.

Explanation: On December 19, 2013, City Council approved a three-year agreement for computer hardware maintenance through December 31, 2016, with two, one-year renewal options. On December 15, 2016, City Council approved the first renewal. This is the final renewal.

The vendor provides maintenance services for server equipment in the City’s primary and secondary data centers at the Water Resources Department, Municipal Services Center and Police Headquarters. The equipment is used for file and print services, e-mail services, website hosting, application access to systems such as Oracle e-Business Suite, SunGard Public Sector Land Based Applications, the Police Intergraph CAD/RMS system and other shared applications. The vendor tracks end-of-life status of existing equipment and installs replacement parts in equipment as required. The monthly amount for maintenance vary as equipment is retired from the contract and/or new equipment is added to the contract during the term of the agreement.

SMS uses OEM (Original Equipment Manufacturer) parts for all services and technical personnel are trained and certified on the City’s data systems.

The Procurement Department, in cooperation with the Department of Technology Services, recommends renewal:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMS Systems Maintenance Services, Inc. (Charlotte, NC)</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original contract amount</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st renewal</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd renewal</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total contract amount</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Contractor has agreed to hold prices firm under the terms and conditions of RFP 7520, dated September 18, 2013. Administration recommends renewal of the agreement based on the vendor’s past satisfactory performance, demonstrated ability to comply with the terms and conditions of the contract, and no increase in unit price. The renewal will be effective from the date of approval through December 31, 2018.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds have been previously appropriated in the Technology Services Fund (5011) Department of Technology Services, Telecommunications Division (8502569).

Attachments: Resolution

Approvals: Administrative  Budget
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE SECOND AND FINAL RENEWAL OPTION TO THE BLANKET AGREEMENT WITH SMS SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SERVICES, INC. FOR COMPUTER HARDWARE MAINTENANCE SERVICES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TECHNOLOGY SERVICES AT AN ESTIMATED ANNUAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $40,000 FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT OF $200,000; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on December 19, 2013, City Council approved the award of a three year blanket agreement ("Agreement") with two one-year renewal options to SMS Systems Maintenance Services, Inc. for the purchase of computer hardware maintenance services for the City’s primary and secondary data centers at the Water Resources Department, Municipal Services Center and Police Headquarters pursuant to RFP No. 7520, dated September 18, 2013; and

WHEREAS, on December 15, 2016 City Council approved the first renewal option to the Agreement in the amount of $40,000; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to exercise the second and final renewal option to the Agreement in the amount of $40,000 for a term through December 31, 2018; and

WHEREAS, SMS Systems Maintenance Services, inc. has agreed to hold prices firm under the terms and conditions of RFP No. 7520; and

WHEREAS, the Procurement & Supply Management Department in cooperation with the Department of Technology Services recommends approval of this renewal.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the second and final renewal option to the blanket agreement with SMS Systems Maintenance Services, Inc. for computer hardware maintenance services for the Department of Technology Services at an estimated annual cost not to exceed $40,000 for a total contract amount of $200,000 is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to Form and Substance:

[Signature]
City Attorney (Designee)

00336632
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A resolution authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a five (5) year Lease Agreement with Romac-Air, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, for use of Hangar No. 4, located at 107 Eighth Avenue S.E., St. Petersburg, within Albert Whitted Airport; and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate same; and providing an effective date. (Requires affirmative vote of at least six (6) members of City Council.)

EXPLANATION: On July 12, 2012, City Council approved Resolution No. 2012-314 authorizing the execution of a five (5) year lease agreement ("Agreement") with West Florida Helicopters, Inc., ("West Florida") for the use of ±4,350 square feet of space in Hangar No. 1 and ±7,000 square feet of space in Hangar No. 4 (collectively, "WFH Premises"), for maintaining and storing company/client-owned aircraft, conducting flight training, sight-seeing tours, charter flights, aircraft sales, and performing aerial photography services at Albert Whitted Airport ("Airport") located at 107 Eighth Avenue S.E., St. Petersburg.

Under the terms of the Agreement, West Florida was permitted to sublease a portion of the WFH Premises to other aircraft owners for a term of one (1) year or less. In accordance with that permission, West Florida subleased the North half of Hangar No. 4 to Romac-Air, LLC ("Romac"). In 2016, West Florida indicated it was in the process of winding down its operations and requested to reduce the size of its controlled space in Hangar No. 1. A First Amendment to the Agreement was approved by City Council on November 3, 2016 via Resolution No. 2016-497 to reduce the total space in Hangar No. 1.

On May 25, 2017, the City's Airport Manager forwarded Real Estate & Property Management Department ("Real Estate") a request from West Florida to assign its use of Hangar No. 4 to Romac ("Request"). In the Request, West Florida stated it continues to wind down its operations, while Romac plans to expand its business operations. Following review of the Request and discussions with West Florida and Romac, the Airport Manager thought it would be best to allow West Florida to continue on a month-to-month tenancy its use of the WFH Premises due to the Lease term expiring on July 31, 2017 for the purpose of allowing Real Estate and Romac sufficient time to negotiate a new five (5) year lease agreement for use of Hangar No. 4.

Romac has executed a lease agreement for a term of five (5) years ("Lease") for the primary purpose of operating an aircraft maintenance and repair business in Hangar No. 4, subject to approval by City Council, substantially under the same terms and conditions previously provided to West Florida under the its previous Agreement with the City. Romac will have the option to sublease a portion of Hangar No. 4 ("Premises"), subject to certain conditions, and
intends to initially sublease the South half of the Premises to West Florida, allowing time for West Florida to complete its retirement from operations. Romac will pay an initial rent in the amount of $3,195.00 per month, plus applicable sales tax. At the beginning of each 12-month period ("Lease Year") during the term, the monthly rent will be increased by the percentage increase of the Consumer Price Index ("CPI") with the maximum increase in any single Lease Year being 3.5%, unless the CPI remains unchanged or shows a decrease, in which case the rent will not be changed for the Lease Year in effect. The Lease provides for a renewal of an additional five (5) year term, with written request of said renewal at least one hundred eighty (180) days prior to expiration and subject to City Council approval. Romac has agreed to lease the Premises in "as is" condition and will be responsible for making all repairs to the interior of the Premises, in addition to payment of utilities including, but not limited to, electric and gas, plus any applicable taxes and insurance. Romac will be billed separately by the City for water, sewer, refuse collection, and stormwater fees. Additionally, Romac will maintain a commercial general liability insurance policy in the amount of at least $1,000,000 per occurrence and $2,000,000 in the aggregate, protecting the City against all claims or demands that may arise or be claimed on account of Romac's use of the Premises. The City, in its sole and absolute discretion, may terminate the Lease by providing Romac with one hundred eighty (180) day written notice. The City is under no obligation to provide a replacement facility under any circumstances.

This Lease is in compliance with Section 1.02(c)(4)A.1, of the City Charter, which permits the leasing of property at the Airport for a term not to exceed twenty-five (25) years with an affirmative vote of at least six (6) members of City Council. This property is zoned IT (Industrial Traditional).

RECOMMENDATION: Administration recommends that City Council adopt the attached resolution authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a five (5) year Lease Agreement with Romac-Air, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, for use of Hangar No. 4, located at 107 Eighth Avenue S.E., St. Petersburg, within Albert Whitted Airport; and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate same; and providing an effective date.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: N/A

ATTACHMENTS: Illustration and Resolution

APPROVALS: Administration: 

Budget: N/A

Legal: 

(As to consistency w/attached legal documents) Legal: 00337119.doc v2
ILLUSTRATION
Hangar No. 4
(Premises)
Resolution No. 2017 - ________

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR, OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO EXECUTE A FIVE (5) YEAR LEASE AGREEMENT WITH ROMAC-AIR, LLC, A FLORIDA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, FOR USE OF HANGAR NO. 4, LOCATED AT 107 EIGHTH AVENUE S.E., ST. PETERSBURG, WITHIN ALBERT WHITTED AIRPORT; AND TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAME; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Romac has executed a lease agreement for a term of five (5) years ("Lease") for the primary purpose of operating an aircraft maintenance and repair business in Hangar No. 4, subject to approval by City Council, substantially under the same terms and conditions previously provided to West Florida under its previous Agreement with the City; and

WHEREAS, Romac will have the option to sublease a portion of Hangar No. 4 ("Premises"), subject to certain conditions, and intends to initially sublease the South half of the Premises to West Florida, allowing time for West Florida to complete its retirement from operations; and

WHEREAS, Romac will pay an initial rent in the amount of $3,195.00 per month, plus applicable sales tax; and

WHEREAS, at the beginning of each 12-month period ("Lease Year") during the term, the monthly rent will be increased by the percentage increase of the Consumer Price Index ("CPI") with the maximum increase in any single Lease Year being 3.5%, unless the CPI remains unchanged or shows a decrease, in which case the rent will not be changed for the Lease Year in effect; and

WHEREAS, the Lease provides for a renewal of an additional five (5) year term, with written request of said renewal at least one hundred eighty (180) days prior to expiration and subject to City Council approval; and

WHEREAS, Romac has agreed to lease the Premises in "as is" condition and will be responsible for making all repairs to the interior of the Premises, in addition to payment of utilities including, but not limited to, electric and gas, plus any applicable taxes and insurance; and

WHEREAS, Romac will be billed separately by the City for water, sewer, refuse collection, and stormwater fees associated with its use of the Premises; and
WHEREAS, Romac will maintain a commercial general liability insurance policy in the amount of at least $1,000,000 per occurrence and $2,000,000 in the aggregate, protecting the City against all claims or demands that may arise or be claimed on account of Romac’s use of the Premises; and

WHEREAS, the City, in its sole and absolute discretion, may terminate the Lease by providing Romac with one hundred eighty (180) day written notice; and

WHEREAS, the City is under no obligation to provide a replacement facility under any circumstances; and

WHEREAS, this Lease is in compliance with Section 1.02(c)(4)A.1, of the City Charter, which permits the leasing of property at the Airport for a term not to exceed twenty-five (25) years with an affirmative vote of at least six (6) members of City Council.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Mayor, or his designee, is authorized to execute a five (5) year Lease Agreement with Romac-Air, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, for use of Hangar No. 4, located at 107 Eighth Avenue S.E., St. Petersburg, within Albert Whitted Airport; and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate same.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

LEGAL:

City Attorney (Designee)
Legal: 00337119.doc v2

APPROVED BY:

Clay D. Smith, Director
Downtown Enterprise Facilities

APPROVED BY:

Bruce E. Grimes, Director
Real Estate & Property Management
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL

Consent Agenda

Meeting of August 24, 2017

TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A resolution authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a Lease Agreement with Simon Capital GP, a Delaware general partnership, for the St. Petersburg Library System’s use of kiosk space within Tyrone Square, located at 6901 – 22nd Avenue North, St. Petersburg, for September 5 through September 30, 2017, for a rental fee of $2,000.00; and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate same; and providing an effective date.

EXPLANATION: Real Estate & Property Management Department received a request from the St. Petersburg Library System (“Library System”) to initiate a lease agreement with Simon Capital GP, a Delaware general partnership, for the temporary use of kiosk space within the Tyrone Square (“Shopping Center”) located at 6901 – 22nd Avenue North, St. Petersburg to conduct public registration for library cards and other related marketing during September 5 through September 30, 2017.

The Library System intends to participate in the celebration of the American Library Association’s National Library Card Sign-Up Month event by initiating a special program consisting of a robust library promotion and marketing campaign during the month of September 2017 (“Program”). During this month, the Library team will educate the public on the benefits of membership with the Library System and the Pinellas Public Library Cooperative. The Library team will register prospective patrons for library cards, and will also demonstrate a host of electronic resources that have both personal and professional benefits. These benefits include, but are not limited to, support of workforce development, e-government and e-business support, personal enrichment and “edutainment”, as well as leisure reading and entertainment via a variety of streaming video, on-demand training programs, electronic books, magazines and research tools on a myriad of subjects. While electronic resources will be emphasized, as the community typically is less aware of these benefits, the Library team will promote physical materials housed in the City’s seven (7) libraries, as well as the Library System’s programs and events for infants to seniors planned and presented by the Library team for the community throughout the year.

The proposed Lease Agreement will be for the period September 5 through September 30, 2017 (“Term”) for use of the kiosk space, subject to City Council approval. The Library System will pay rent in the amount of $2,000 for the Term.
RECOMMENDATION: Administration recommends that City Council adopt the attached resolution authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a Lease Agreement with Simon Capital GP, a Delaware general partnership, for the St. Petersburg Library System's use of kiosk space within Tyrone Square, located at 6901 – 22nd Avenue North, St. Petersburg, for September 5 through September 30, 2017, for a rental fee of $2,000.00; and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate same; and providing an effective date.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: Funds have been previously appropriated in the General Fund (0001) Library, Library Administration (2001133).

ATTACHMENTS: Illustration and Resolution

APPROVALS: Administration:

Budget:

Legal: (As to consistency w/attached legal documents)
Legal: 00337085.doc v1
ILLUSTRATION

TYRONE SQUARE
Resolution No. 2017 - _____

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR, OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO EXECUTE A LEASE AGREEMENT WITH SIMON CAPITAL GP, A DELAWARE GENERAL PARTNERSHIP, FOR THE ST. PETERSBURG LIBRARY SYSTEM'S USE OF KIOSK SPACE WITHIN TYRONE SQUARE, LOCATED AT 6901 – 22ND AVENUE NORTH, ST. PETERSBURG, FOR SEPTEMBER 5 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2017, FOR A RENTAL FEE OF $2,000.00; AND TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAME; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Real Estate & Property Management Department received a request from the St. Petersburg Library System ("Library System") to initiate a lease agreement with Simon Capital GP, a Delaware general partnership, for the temporary use of kiosk space within the Tyrone Square ("Shopping Center") located at 6901 – 22nd Avenue North, St. Petersburg to conduct public registration for library cards and other related marketing; and

WHEREAS, the Library System intends to participate in the celebration of the American Library Association's National Library Card Sign-Up Month event by initiating a special program consisting of a robust library promotion and marketing campaign during the month of September 2017 ("Program"); and

WHEREAS, during this month, the Library team will educate the public on the benefits of membership with the Library System and the Pinellas Public Library Cooperative; and

WHEREAS, the Library team will register prospective patrons for library cards, and will also demonstrate a host of electronic resources that have both personal and professional benefits; and

WHEREAS, these benefits include, but are not limited to, support of workforce development, e-government and e-business support, personal enrichment and "edutainment", as well as leisure reading and entertainment via a variety of streaming video, on-demand training programs, electronic books, magazines and research tools on a myriad of subjects; and

WHEREAS, while electronic resources will be emphasized, as the community typically is less aware of these benefits, the Library team will promote physical materials housed in the City's seven (7) libraries, as well as the Library System's programs and events for infants to seniors planned and presented by the Library team for the community throughout the year; and
WHEREAS, the proposed Lease Agreement will be for the period September 5 through September 30, 2017 ("Term") for use of the kiosk space, subject to City Council approval; and

WHEREAS, the Library System will pay rent in the amount of $2,000 for the Term.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Mayor, or his designee, is authorized to execute a Lease Agreement with Simon Capital GP, a Delaware general partnership, for the St. Petersburg Library System’s use of kiosk space within Tyrone Square, located at 6901 – 22nd Avenue North, St. Petersburg, for September 5 through September 30, 2017, for a rental fee of $2,000.00; and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate same.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.
MEMORANDUM
City of St. Petersburg City Council
Meeting of August 24, 2017
Consent

TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and City Council Members

SUBJECT: A Resolution superceding Resolution 86-143 to eliminate redundant and conflicting development restrictions and requirements placed on the development of the St. Petersburg Gateway Industrial Park

REQUEST: Approve the attached Resolution that supercedes Resolution 86-143

The existence of Resolution 86-143, originally adopted on February 13, 1986, was recently rediscovered during a title search involving the sale of land in the St. Petersburg Gateway Industrial Park (“Park”). Resolution 86-143, through restrictive covenants, provides for specific development limitations and design standards for the Park. The Park is generally located between Roosevelt Boulevard, 102nd Avenue North, Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street North and 16th Street North (map attached) and includes most of the parcels surrounding Blue Heron Lake. The Park was established by the City/County in the 1980s and was subsequently sold off for business development purposes. Businesses in the area include Jabil, Dex, Draper and other smaller businesses. The last City-owned developable parcel was recently leased to Jabil with a buy-out provision that will likely result in Jabil owning the property within 2 years.

The use limitations and design standards provided in Resolution 86-143 are now inconsistent with the current zoning of the property (EC, Employment Center) and the economic development objectives for the area. For example, the land use restrictions allow a narrowly defined and limited list of business types including limiting office uses/leases to 30,000 square feet. The development standards in the Resolution are at best redundant and at worse conflict with the standards provided in the EC zoning district. The existence of the Resolution 86-143 at this point in time only serves to create confusion and uncertainty about development regulations that are applicable to the properties. Finally, the existence of the Resolution 86-143 may create a cloud in title to the properties within the Park, affecting land values and development potential.

Recommendation
For the above explained reasons, Administration recommends APPROVAL of the attached Resolution superceding Resolution 86-143.

Approvals

Legal

Attachment (2): Resolution Map
WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg ("City") and Pinellas County ("County") established the St. Petersburg Gateway Industrial Park ("Park") in the 1980s; and

WHEREAS, on November 21, 1985, the plat entitled, "St. Petersburg Gateway Industrial Park" was approved by the City and later recorded with the County in Plat Book 92, Page Number 88; and

WHEREAS, on February 13, 1986, the St. Petersburg City Council passed Resolution No. 86-143, which authorized the City Manager to record certain restrictive covenants on property located within the Park, and is attached hereto as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, Exhibit B to Resolution No. 86-143 set forth the "Protective Covenants for the Gateway Industrial Park," which placed use limitations and design standards on development throughout the Park, including such parameters as setbacks, landscaping, building materials, fencing, maintenance, allowable uses, and utilities; and

WHEREAS, City planning and economic development staff has determined that the use restrictions and design standards in Resolution No. 86-143 are now inconsistent with the current zoning of the Park, which is Employment Center ("EC"); and

WHEREAS, the current EC zoning and the City's Comprehensive Plan adequately regulate development and protect the public interest throughout the Park, rendering Resolution No. 86-143 obsolete, redundant, and potentially in conflict with the EC development standards; and

WHEREAS, the existence of Resolution No. 86-143, in addition to creating potential conflict among applicable development standards, may create a cloud in title to properties within the Park, affecting land values and development potential.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida does hereby supercede Resolution No. 86-143 with this resolution, thus eliminating all force and effect of the "Protective Covenants for the Gateway Industrial Park" set forth in Exhibit B to Resolution No. 86-143.
This resolution shall become effective immediately upon adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

[Signature]

City Attorney (designee)

00336761.docx
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>PIN</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>SITE ADDRESS</th>
<th>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1330167838400000032</td>
<td>BIEL R E O LLC</td>
<td>10901 DANKA CIR N</td>
<td>PT OF LOT 3 &amp; PT OF VAC 16TH ST N ADJ ON W DESC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1330167838400000031</td>
<td>MIDCOUNTRY INVESTMENTS INC</td>
<td>11201 CORPORATE CIR N</td>
<td>PT OF LOT 3 &amp; PT OF VAC 16TH ST N ADJ ON W DESC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1330167838400000033</td>
<td>AMERICAN HEART ASSN INC</td>
<td>11207 BLUE HERON BLVD N</td>
<td>PT OF LOT 3 DESC FROM MOST N'LY COR OF LOT 3 TH SSDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1330167838400000034</td>
<td>POINTE BLUE HERON LLC</td>
<td>11208 BLUE HERON BLVD N</td>
<td>PT OF LOT 3 &amp; E 1/2 OF VAC 16TH ST N ADJ ON W DESC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1330167838400000030</td>
<td>K BARGER REALTY LLC</td>
<td>0 BLUE HERON BLVD N</td>
<td>PT OF LOT 3 DESC FROM MOST N'LY COR OF LOT 3 TH SSDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1330167838400000035</td>
<td>DANIELSON LTD</td>
<td>0 BLUE HERON BLVD</td>
<td>PT OF LOT 3 DESC BEG MOST E'LY COR Of LOT 3 TH SSDEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1330167838400000020</td>
<td>ST PETERSBURG, CITY OF</td>
<td>0 16TH ST N</td>
<td>LOT 2 &amp; E 1/2 OF VAC 16TH ST N ADJ ON W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1330167838400000040</td>
<td>ST PETERSBURG, CITY OF</td>
<td>10900 ROOSEVELT BLVD N</td>
<td>LOT 4 LESS THAT PART DESC FROM NE COR OF SD LOT 4 TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1330167838400000041</td>
<td>FL DEPT OF TRAN</td>
<td>0 ROOSEVELT BLVD N</td>
<td>PT OF LOT 4 DESC FROM NE COR OF SD LOT 4 TH N51D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1330167838400000010</td>
<td>LIBERTY PROPERTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP</td>
<td>1551 102ND AVE N</td>
<td>LOT 1 &amp; E 1/2 OF VAC 16TH ST N ADJ ON W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1330167838400000050</td>
<td>JABIL CIRCUIT CO INC</td>
<td>1080 DR ML KING JR ST N</td>
<td>LOT 5 &amp; PT OF LOT 6 DESC AS FR MOST N'LY COR OF LOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1330167838400000070</td>
<td>SUPERMEDIA SERVICESWEST INC</td>
<td>10200 DR ML KING JR ST N</td>
<td>LOT 7 AND PT OF LOT 6 DESC AS BEG SE COR LOT 6 TH W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: Authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute an agreement between the Juvenile Welfare Board of Pinellas County ("JWB") and City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") for a term of one year ("Agreement") that provides for: 1) a partnership between the City and JWB for $1,000,000 for youth services in specific areas at a cost of $500,000 to both the City and JWB, and 2) a grant from JWB in the amount of $1,453,924 for the Teen Arts, Sports and Cultural Opportunities (TASCO) Center Based Teen Programs; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute the agreement and all other necessary documents.

EXPLANATION: On May 19, 2011 City Council convened as the Committee of the Whole (COW) to consider funding options to expand youth services programs. In addition to administration’s overview of the youth services currently provided, the Juvenile Welfare Board of Pinellas County (JWB) suggested there may be an opportunity for them to match dollars that the City might provide to implement programs that assist at-risk youth. However, such matching opportunity would be subject to the approval of the JWB board. City Council also requested that Administration meet with JWB staff to further research viable program enhancement opportunities.

City staff met with JWB staff on Monday, June 6, 2011, to discuss expanding our collaborative efforts in the youth services area. There were four specific youth services program enhancements that were discussed as viable options. JWB staff indicated that, based on the unique demographics of the City of St. Petersburg and the potential positive impact of the four (4) program components, that these initiatives would be consistent with JWB’s strategic goals and that there may be an opportunity for the City to receive matching funds from JWB. The four component areas include expansion and/or enhancement for the Pre-Teen Year Round Out of School Time program, the Middle School Year Round Out of School Time program, the Middle School Summer Teen Camp program, and Year Round Teen Employment Opportunities.

The JWB board met on June 9, 2011 and voted unanimously to approve an allocation of up to $500,000 (annualized) to match funding to be provided by the City up to $500,000 for these proposed youth service enhancements. The matched partnership between the City and JWB is an ongoing agreement which is renewed annually at the discretion of both parties.

JWB has also awarded its eighteenth year of funding for a grant to the City of St. Petersburg Parks and Recreation Department in the amount of $1,453,924 to provide Teen Arts, Sports and Cultural Opportunities (TASCO) Center Based Teen Programs which includes the TASCO Summer Teen Camp and the Middle School Before and After School Program. JWB has decided to merge the two programs into one agreement. The funding is for the period from October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018.

TASCO Summer Teen Camp provides summer teen camp programs at twelve recreation sites: Shore Acres, Gladden Park, Frank Pierce, Lake Vista, Campbell Park, Childs Park, Thomas "Jet"
Jackson, J.W. Cate, Camp Redbird, Roberts, Walter Fuller and Willis S. Johns. TASCO Summer Teen Camps are 10 weeks long from May 29 until August 3, 2018. St. Petersburg continues to be a leader in providing programming for teens that stimulates and develops youth. Youth completing the sixth grade through ninth grade are eligible to participate in the camps.

TASCO Center Based Teen Programs also provides Middle School Before and After School programs at 11 Recreation Centers: Campbell Park, Childs Park, J.W. Cate, Shore Acres, Thomas “Jet” Jackson, Willis S. Johns, Frank Pierce, Lake Vista, Roberts, Walter Fuller and Gladden. This grant funds 17 full time positions and 49 part-time positions to implement programming. Five core areas designed by the teens for programming include school readiness, parent involvement, leadership development, community service, and powerful and productive adult interaction. Drug, alcohol and tobacco use prevention, building resiliency, and reducing risk-taking behaviors will be the main program focus.

RECOMMENDATION: Administration recommends authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute an agreement between the Juvenile Welfare Board of Pinellas County (“JWB”) and City of St. Petersburg, Florida (“City”) for a term of one year (“Agreement”) that provides for: 1) a partnership between the City and JWB for $1,000,000 for youth services in specific areas at a cost of $500,000 to both the City and JWB, and 2) a grant from JWB in the amount of $1,453,924 for the Teen Arts, Sports and Cultural Opportunities (TASCO) Center Based Teen Programs.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: The funds will be available in the FY 18 Operating Budget in the General Fund (0001), Parks and Recreation Department (190) Parks and Recreation Administration Division (1573) after City Council adoption of the City of St. Petersburg FY 18 Budget.

ATTACHMENTS: Resolution

APPROVALS:

Shay K. McElvee
Administration

Budget

Legal: 00336263
RESOLUTION NO. 2017 - 

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE JUVENILE WELFARE BOARD OF PINELLAS COUNTY ("JWB") AND CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA ("CITY") FOR A TERM OF ONE YEAR ("AGREEMENT") THAT PROVIDES FOR: 1) A PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN THE CITY AND JWB FOR $1,000,000 FOR YOUTH SERVICES IN SPECIFIC AREAS AT A COST OF $500,000 TO BOTH THE CITY AND JWB, AND 2) A GRANT FROM JWB IN THE AMOUNT OF $1,453,924 FOR THE TEEN ARTS, SPORTS AND CULTURAL OPPORTUNITIES (TASCO) CENTER BASED TEEN PROGRAMS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND ALL OTHER NECESSARY DOCUMENTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg's youth are an important and valuable resource; and

WHEREAS, the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") and Juvenile Welfare Board of Pinellas County ("JWB") desire to enter into an agreement that provides for: 1) a partnership between the City and JWB for $1,000,000 for youth services in specific areas at a cost of $500,000 to both the City and JWB and 2) a grant from JWB in the amount of $1,453,924 for the Teen Arts, Sports and Cultural Opportunities (TASCO) Center Based Teen Programs ("Grant"); and

WHEREAS, the City and JWB have agreed that JWB will reimburse the City in the amount of $500,000, for youth services in specific areas; and

WHEREAS, the Grant funds will be used to fund the TASCO Center Based Teen Programs; and

WHEREAS, the funds will be available in the FY 18 Operating Budget in the General Fund (0001), Parks and Recreation Department (190) Parks and Recreation Administration Division (1573) after City Council adoption of the City of St. Petersburg FY 18 Budget.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute an agreement between the Juvenile Welfare Board of Pinellas County ("JWB") and City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") for a term of one year ("Agreement") that provides for: 1) a partnership between the City and JWB for $1,000,000 for youth services in specific areas at a cost of $500,000 to both the City and JWB, and 2) a grant from JWB in the amount of $1,453,924 for the Teen Arts, Sports and Cultural Opportunities (TASCO) Center Based Teen Programs.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute the Agreement and all other necessary documents.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approvals:

Legal: [Signature]
00336265

Administration: [Signature]

Budget: [Signature]
AGREEMENT
Between
THE JUVENILE WELFARE BOARD
and
City of St. Petersburg, Florida (OPROV16)
TASCO Center Based Teen Programs-OST

I. PURPOSE

The Juvenile Welfare Board of Pinellas County, hereinafter referred to as "JWB", and City of St. Petersburg, Florida (OPROV16), hereinafter referred to as "Provider", enter into this mutual Agreement, including all attachments referred to herein, for the period commencing October 1, 2017 and extending through September 30, 2018. This Agreement does not take effect and JWB has no responsibility for any of its obligations hereunder until this Agreement is executed by all parties to this Agreement.

II. STAFF

Provider agrees to employ staff, at its own expense, to execute services provided in accordance with this Agreement. Such individuals shall not be considered employees of JWB, and are subject to the supervision, personnel practices and policies of the Provider. Unless otherwise approved by JWB, all staff shall meet qualifications as stated in the approved job descriptions on file at JWB and any approved revisions which are submitted for FY 18 funding.

III. SERVICES

Provider agrees to deliver services as stated in the currently approved program methodology on file at JWB and to accomplish performance measures stated in Attachment 2, as determined by JWB, according to service areas stated in Attachment 3, and pursuant to the General Conditions stated in Section VIII and Special Conditions listed in Attachment 1. A program methodology update, or certification that no updates are needed, is due for submission thirty (30) days after the effective date of this Agreement (see Attachment 5 for an outline of all document submittals). The Provider shall cooperate with JWB on the process for approval of program methodology updates by no later than January 5th, 2018. Any proposed changes in service delivery after January 5th must be approved in writing by JWB and program methodologies shall subsequently be updated within 30 days of that approval.

IV. FUNDS

The program budget for both JWB and other funds (if any) for accomplishing the above stated services must be approved in the JWB data system or other designated format before payment will commence. JWB agrees to reimburse up to $1,953,924 for TASCO Center Based Teen Programs-OST for services rendered pursuant to this Agreement.
V. METHOD OF PAYMENT

1. JWB issues reimbursements in accordance with the schedule listed on Attachment 4 (or on the preceding working day in case of a holiday). The Provider shall elect to submit a request for payment either every other week or once a month. Reimbursements shall be made on pace throughout the fiscal year in order to allow for a consistent and orderly delivery of services. Reimbursement requests shall be submitted timely and only for amounts actually incurred that should properly be reimbursed per this Agreement within reasonably needed amounts based on the budget and other funding sources. Request for payment must be accompanied by the appropriate documentation as prescribed by JWB.

2. Requests for advance funds may be made, consistent with JWB policy.

VI. TERMINATION

1. It is the intent of JWB to ensure a consistent and orderly delivery of services. It is the further intent of JWB to terminate agreements in those situations where such action is essential to the protection of its interests and the interests of children and families served by JWB, as determined solely by JWB.

2. In the event funds to finance this Agreement become unavailable, JWB may terminate the Agreement upon no less than twenty-four (24) hours' notice in writing to the Provider. Said notice shall be delivered by certified mail, return receipt requested, or in person with proof of delivery.

3. JWB shall endeavor, whenever possible and consistent with its legal obligations and principles of prudent management, to provide thirty (30) days' notice for termination due to lack of funds. JWB shall be the final authority as to the availability of funds and extension of notice beyond the minimum time herein stated.

4. In addition to the rights as set forth in paragraph VI. 2 above, this Agreement may be terminated by JWB for any reason whatsoever upon twenty-four (24) hours written notice. Said notice shall be delivered by certified mail, return receipt requested, or in person with proof of delivery.

5. Provider shall only be compensated for services performed prior to the termination date. Provider may only terminate this Agreement upon thirty (30) days written notice to JWB delivered in person, by facsimile or by US mail, return receipt requested.

6. The above provisions shall not limit JWB's right to remedies at law or to damages.

VII. COMMENCEMENT OF PAYMENT

Unless specifically authorized by JWB, payment shall not be made for services rendered prior to the effective date of this Agreement.

VIII. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. Agreement Revisions

This Agreement and its attachments constitute the contractual relationship between the Provider and JWB. If there is any discrepancy between program-related documents, this Agreement preempts all other documents. No amendment to this Agreement or its attachments may be made without the prior written approval of JWB and Provider, except as may be provided in General Condition #4.
2. Fiscal Responsibility

a. Provider agrees to maintain books, records and documents in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and practices which accurately and appropriately reflect all expenditures of funds listed in the approved budget on file at JWB.

b. Provider agrees that all financial records and supporting documentation shall be subject at all times to inspection, review, or audit by JWB personnel or its duly authorized agent.

c. Provider agrees to maintain and file with JWB in a timely fashion reports related to services provided under this Agreement.

d. Provider agrees to retain all financial records, supporting documentation, statistical records, and any other documents pertinent to this Agreement for a period of five (5) years after termination of this Agreement. If an audit has been initiated and audit findings have not been resolved at the end of five (5) years, all records shall be retained until resolution of audit findings.

e. Payments during Disaster Recovery: JWB agrees to support funded programs that are unable to provide normal services for a period of at least sixty (60) days after a disaster has been declared, provided the program agrees to comply with requests of JWB and Recover Pinellas. This period may be extended at the discretion of the Board upon recommendation of the Chief Executive Officer. Provider must file an insurance and FEMA claim and shall reimburse JWB for any amounts received from FEMA and insurance that have previously been funded by JWB. The Provider must submit to JWB a copy of the Provider's Continuity of Operations Plan within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Agreement.

f. The Provider agrees to provide prior to their budget submission or subsequent amendment, Board meeting minutes that show explanation and approval of a compensation plan, if JWB funds are to be utilized to pay merit increases, cost of living adjustments, bonuses, incentives or retention payments of any kind, or if annualized salary or benefit changes may impact future budgets. JWB shall not reimburse more than two weeks of accrued leave for terminating employees. JWB shall not reimburse expenses not previously approved by JWB.

3. Audit and Management Letter

The Provider agrees to submit to JWB an independent audit of the financial statements of the entity in its entirety and any accompanying management letter(s) immediately upon receipt by the Provider's board, but within a period not to exceed one hundred and eighty (180) calendar days of the close of the Provider's fiscal year. The audit must be performed by a firm licensed to perform audits in the State of Florida and conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

The Provider's auditor shall not provide any non-audit service to an issuer contemporaneously with the audit, including:
(1) bookkeeping or other services related to the accounting records or financial statements of the audit client;
(2) financial information systems design and implementation;
(3) appraisal or valuation services, fairness opinions, or contribution-in-kind reports;
(4) actuarial services;
(5) internal audit outsourcing services;
(6) management functions or human resources;
(7) broker or dealer, investment adviser, or investment banking services;
(8) legal services and expert services unrelated to the audit.

The Provider is required to engage an audit firm that follows Government/Non-Profit Audit Standards when conducting the financial audit and that shows evidence of a peer review report completed every three years. The audit will separately identify JWB revenues, fees and donations, and expenditures by program.
4. Other Financial Support

a. Provider shall report within ninety (90) calendar days any major changes in non-JWB revenue which would impact targeted service levels in JWB funded programs during the fiscal year.

b. JWB funds shall not be used for expenditures also funded by other sources. JWB funds shall not be used to supplant other sources of revenue.

c. Generally, JWB encourages use of its funds as financial match for securing funds from other sources. However, in such instances, Provider is required to obtain prior written approval to submit an application with JWB committed funds.

d. Revenues earned in a JWB funded program must remain in that program as a condition of funding.

5. Program Monitoring

Provider agrees to submit monitoring, site visit, accreditation and licensing reports supplied by funding, accreditation and licensing entities, as applicable, to JWB upon Provider receipt. Provider agrees to submit progress reports and other information in such format and at such times as may be prescribed by JWB (including JWB Databases, JWB Secure Portals and Provider specific data collection systems), and to cooperate in monitoring activities (including, but not limited to, access to sites, staff, fiscal and participant records, logs, participant rights and responsibilities policies, participant handbooks, grievance and complaint procedures and the provision of related participant and participant rights information). Provider shall maintain and have available for audit inspection participant records for five years post termination of services. Provider agrees to cooperate with Monitoring JWB Funded Program policy and procedures.

Provider agrees to provide JWB representatives access, without presence of the Provider supervisor, to staff or program participants during any monitoring with or without advance notice. Programs charging a fee for services supported by JWB funding must forward a copy of the fee schedule to JWB. Lead agencies are responsible for monitoring subcontracts funded by JWB unless otherwise specified in a Special Condition of this Agreement.

Program staff shall adhere to JWB's Data Quality Manual and Financial Guidelines for Funded Programs, and all staff who have any responsibility (supervisory or direct) for data entry shall be trained within thirty (30) calendar days of hire. The Provider is responsible for maintaining written policies and procedures for providing data to JWB in a timely manner. The Provider is responsible for reviewing JWB Database and JWB Secure Portal User Access to ensure that all users are active employees with access to appropriate data systems and modules. The Provider shall notify support@mosaic-network.com for GEMS support and help@jwbpinellas.org for JWB Secure Portal support. Provider shall notify the JWB Program Consultant and complete the designated form immediately upon involuntary termination and within five (5) business days upon voluntary separation or whenever a Provider staff person's access must be inactivated due to the reasons outlined in JWB's Data Quality Manual. The Provider is responsible for implementing appropriate procedures into their data policies to protect data and prevent accidental or malicious disclosure of participant information. The Provider is responsible for maintaining fiscal workflow approval prior to creation of a program budget.


Within thirty (30) calendar days of approval or a change of Board composition, Provider shall submit to JWB
an updated list of Board Members to include the e-mail and physical address, phone number and place of employment of the Board Chair.

Submission of Provider policies and procedures regarding Board review of Provider finances shall be required within thirty (30) calendar days of this Agreement and upon revision and approval by the Provider's Board.

Training of new board members by an external trainer is required prior to issuance of a funding contract or by August 1st of the current fiscal year, whichever occurs first. Training must consist of the following topics: non-profit governance, fiduciary risks, and liabilities. Documentation of this training shall include an outline of topics covered, the Board members in attendance and who provided the training. Providers that are governmental entities, higher education institutions, and hospitals are exempt from this policy.

7. Nondiscrimination

Providers receiving funding from JWB shall not discriminate against an employee, volunteer, or participant of the Provider on the basis of race, color, gender, religion, national origin, citizenship, disability, marital status, age, veteran status, sexual orientation or any other legally protected category except that programs may target services for specific participant groups as defined in the application. Additionally, Providers receiving funds shall demonstrate the standards, policies, and practices necessary to render services in a manner that respects the worth of the individual and protects and preserves the dignity of people of diverse cultures, classes, races, religions, and ethnic backgrounds.

8. Publicizing of JWB Support - Endorsements

Requests for endorsements that require a commitment of JWB resources shall be submitted to the JWB Board for its consideration. However, endorsements, which do not require JWB resources may be given to those Providers that support the focus and priorities of the JWB Board, at the discretion of the JWB Chief Executive Officer. JWB's logo must be present on the following Provider materials as an acknowledgement of support:

- Annual Report
- Program Brochures
- Program stationery

JWB's logo may not be used on any other publications requiring JWB resources without JWB approval. However, if a publication does not require a monetary commitment or indicate sponsorship, use of the JWB logo may be authorized at the sole discretion of the JWB Chief Executive Officer.

9. Publications

Provider agrees to supply JWB, without charge, up to three (3) copies of any publication developed in connection with implementation of programs addressed by this Agreement. Such publications will state that the program is supported by JWB. Provider agrees that JWB will have unlimited use of copyrighted materials developed under this Agreement and Provider will be notified by JWB when this occurs.

10. Assignments and Subcontracts

Provider shall not assign the responsibility of this Agreement to another party nor subcontract for any of the work contemplated under this Agreement, unless so specified in the approved budget on file at JWB, without prior written approval of JWB. No such approval by JWB of any assignment or subcontract shall be deemed in
any event or in any manner to provide for the incurrence of any obligation by JWB in addition to the total dollar amount stated in this Agreement. All such assignments or subcontracts shall be subject as applicable to the General Conditions of this Agreement and to any conditions of approval that JWB shall deem necessary. Subcontracts shall be submitted to JWB within thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of this Agreement and upon revision, amendment and execution thereafter.

11. Confidential Information

Provider must follow all laws regarding confidentiality of information including, but not limited to, HIPAA. Provider shall not use or disclose any information which specifically identifies a recipient of services under this Agreement, and shall adopt appropriate procedures for employees' handling of confidential data with the following exceptions: a) such information may be revealed as may be necessary to conform to Fiscal Responsibility and Program Monitoring requirements as stated in the General Conditions of this Agreement; b) such information may be revealed as may be necessary pursuant to applicable federal, state, or local law and related regulations; and c) such information may be revealed with the written consent (authorization) of the recipient, or the recipient's responsible parent or guardian, where authorized by law. Provider is responsible to adopt appropriate policies, notifications, authorizations, and other relevant information that allows for the sharing of confidential information with JWB.

Providers shall adhere to JWB's written statement of purpose for collection of confidential data in compliance with Section 119.071(5), Florida Statutes. Provider must distribute a copy of this statement to recipients of JWB-funded programs and services and obtain the signature of the recipient or recipient's responsible parent or guardian. A copy of this signed statement must be maintained in case files for each participant for whom confidential data is collected. Once executed, this signed statement does not require subsequent renewal.

The Provider shall also maintain in participant files a completed copy of a JWB-approved form for authorizing client consent to release information for each participant receiving services. As allowed by law and Provider policy, the Provider shall add JWB to consent forms including HIPAA consent forms to facilitate data sharing and implement the Fiscal Responsibility and Program Monitoring requirements as stated in the General Conditions of this Agreement and advise JWB within two (2) business days if a participant has withdrawn consent to share data and note this withdrawal of consent in the case file. In no event should participant identifying information ever be emailed or faxed to JWB.

In the event of an improper disclosure of participant information by Provider, Provider shall inform JWB of the improper disclosure and extent thereof within two (2) business days of becoming aware of the improper disclosure. In accordance with JWB Breach Procedures, Provider shall cooperate fully with JWB and take all necessary steps to correct and remedy any damage caused by the Provider's improper disclosure and to prevent future improper disclosure. Provider shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless JWB from any and all damages caused by the improper disclosure of any confidential information as defined by law including, but not limited to, Protected Health Information under HIPAA and any and all costs associated with remedying the disclosure. Provider shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless JWB from any and all damages caused by the improper disclosure as defined by law of any information including but not limited to personally identifiable information (PII) and protected health information (PHI) as required under HIPAA, HITECH and FIPA regulations or other information that is confidential and/or exempt from disclosure per F.S. 119. This provision shall survive the termination of this Agreement.
Likewise, in the event of an improper disclosure of Provider's participant information by JWB, JWB shall inform Provider of the improper disclosure and extent thereof within two (2) business days of becoming aware of the improper disclosure. In accordance with JWB Breach Procedures, JWB shall cooperate fully with Provider and take all necessary steps to correct and remedy any damage caused by JWB's improper disclosure and to prevent future improper disclosure. JWB shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless Provider from any and all damages caused by JWB's improper disclosure and any and all costs associated with remediary the disclosure subject to the doctrine of sovereign immunity and limitations set forth in F.S. 768.28.

12. Public Records

JWB is a public entity subject to Florida's Public Records Law, which includes provisions relating to records retention, production and confidentiality. For purposes of this section, Provider is also referred to as Contractor.

IF THE CONTRACTOR HAS QUESTIONS REGARDING THE APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 119, FLORIDA STATUTES, TO THE CONTRACTOR'S DUTY TO PROVIDE PUBLIC RECORDS RELATING TO THIS CONTRACT, CONTACT THE CUSTODIAN OF PUBLIC RECORDS AT:

Juvenile Welfare Board of Pinellas County
14155 58th St. No., Ste. 100
Clearwater, FL 33760
(727) 453-5600
communications@jwbpinellas.org

Contractors acting on behalf of JWB must comply with 119.0701 and must:

a. Keep and maintain public records required by JWB to perform the service.
b. Upon request from JWB's custodian of public records, provide JWB with a copy of the requested records or allow the records to be inspected or copied within a reasonable time at a cost that does not exceed the cost provided in F.S. 119 or as otherwise provided by law.
c. Ensure that public records that are exempt or confidential and exempt from public records disclosure requirements are not disclosed except as authorized by law for the duration of the contract term and following completion of the contract if the contractor does not transfer the records to the public agency.
d. Upon completion of the contract, transfer, at no cost, to JWB all public records in possession of Contractor or keep and maintain public records required by JWB to perform the service. If the Contractor transfers all public records to JWB upon completion of the contract, Contractor shall destroy any duplicate public records that are exempt or confidential and exempt from public records disclosure requirements. If Contractor keeps and maintains public records upon completion of the contract, the Contractor shall meet all applicable requirements for retaining public records. All records stored electronically must be provided to JWB, upon request from JWB's custodian of public records, in a format that is compatible with the information technology systems of JWB.

In addition, Contractors should be aware that social security numbers are confidential and exempt from disclosure (119.071(5)) and personal identifying information of a child or the parent or guardian of the child held by JWB or service Provider under contract with JWB is exempt from disclosure (125.901(11)). There are many other exemptions in the law that Provider should be cognizant exist. However, for all Providers, any and all contracts between JWB and Provider, program methodology, budgets, requests for reimbursements, emails, other written correspondence and any other documents exchanged between the Provider and JWB are generally
public records and will be disclosed in the sole discretion of JWB and must be retained in accordance with Florida's record retention policy. Providers should not provide any documents to JWB containing Trade Secrets, as defined by F.S. 812.08, or exempt or confidential and exempt information to JWB without specifically marking such document. By submitting any documents or information whatsoever to JWB, Provider agrees that JWB may use and disclose all information and documents submitted for any purpose JWB sees fit and that it is within JWB's sole discretion to determine if any information submitted is exempt from disclosure.

Any Provider who receives a Public Records request for records pertaining to JWB or services funded by JWB, must advise JWB within two (2) business days of the records request and JWB and Provider will work together to respond to any such request. This provision shall survive termination of this Agreement.

13. Return of Funds

Provider agrees to return to JWB any overpayment due to costs not incurred or costs disallowed pursuant to the terms of this Agreement and such funds shall be considered JWB funds and shall be refunded to JWB in accordance with its instructions. Should Provider fail to return said funds, Provider shall be responsible for all costs and fees of collection incurred by JWB - including, but not limited to attorney fees and court costs including any pre-suit collections fees and costs. This provision survives termination of this Agreement and return of funds for overpayment or disallowance will be required even if the overpayment or disallowance is discovered after this Agreement is terminated.

14. Special Situations and Incidents

Provider agrees to inform JWB within one (1) business day of any circumstances or events which may reasonably be considered to jeopardize its capability to continue to meet its obligations under the terms of this Agreement. Participant incidents are required to be reported for situations that occur only while under the Provider's care and includes anything that may reflect negatively or critically upon JWB.

Certain personnel are mandated by law to report their suspicions of child abuse, neglect, or abandonment to the Florida Abuse Hotline (1-800-96-ABUSE). All concerns regarding suspected abuse, neglect, or abandonment of a child or vulnerable adult by the Provider shall first be reported to the Florida Abuse Hotline and then reported to JWB. Incident reporting does not preclude mandated reporting requirements.

Critical Incidents are defined as follows:

Abduction - An incident in which an individual who does not have care and custody of a child has taken the child. Concerns of child abductions shall immediately be reported to the appropriate law enforcement personnel.

Abuse or Neglect - Reasonable cause to suspect that a child has been harmed or is believed to be threatened with harm from a person responsible for the care of the child.

Breach of Information-Sensitive, protected or confidential data has potentially been viewed, stolen or used by an individual unauthorized to do so.

Employee Arrest - Employee conduct or activity that results in potential liability to the Provider or JWB; death or harm to a participant; or results in a law violation, including falsification of official records. If an arrest is made for a potentially disqualifying offense under Level 2 background screening requirements, or if the arrest occurred while in the performance of an employee's official duties, the incident should be reported immediately.
Investigation or Lawsuit—Any formal investigation or legal action brought against Provider which relates to the services funded by JWB or which may reasonably be considered to jeopardize its capability to continue to meet its obligations under the terms of this Agreement.

Media Coverage or Public Inquiry—Media coverage or public reaction that may have an impact on the Provider or JWB’s ability to protect and serve its participants, or other significant effect on the Provider or JWB.

Participant Death—The death of any participant receiving JWB services, regardless if the death occurred while under Provider’s care.

Participant Illness—An illness of a participant receiving services determined by a licensed health care professional to be life-threatening or the result of apparent abuse or neglect.

Participant Injury—A medical condition of a participant determined by a licensed health care professional to be life-threatening or the result of apparent abuse or neglect.

Sexual Battery—An allegation of sexual battery involving a participant or employee as evidenced by medical evidence or law enforcement involvement. Sexual battery includes participant on participant incidents, employee on participant, and participant on employee.

Suicide Attempt—An act which clearly reflects the physical attempt by an active participant to cause his or her own death, which results in bodily injury requiring medical treatment by a licensed health care professional.

In addition, the Provider shall notify JWB immediately upon knowledge of any action or incident involving Provider staff or volunteers that could potentially jeopardize the terms of this Agreement which includes misconduct, malfeasance during working hours, or any conduct that results in the arrest of a staff member or volunteer after hours.

Within one (1) business day, the Provider must submit electronically a completed Incident Report to IRreviewteam@jwbpinellas.org with full details and disposition of the incident, excluding identifying information such as name, date of birth, and address. In the event of a participant death please contact by phone the JWB Chief Operating Officer within three (3) hours of knowledge of the incident.

All e-mail communications made or received by JWB members or staff are considered public records and are retained and, upon request, made available to the public and media in accordance with Chapter 119, Florida Statutes.

Provider must adhere to the reporting requirements hereunder after the termination of this Agreement if Provider becomes aware of a Critical Incident after the termination of the Agreement if the Critical Incident occurred during the term of the Agreement and/or relates to the services funded by JWB.

15. Provider Staff Membership on Board

Provider agrees that Provider staff shall not serve as voting members of the Provider’s governing board.
16. Waiver

JWB reserves the right to waive requirements of this Agreement and General Conditions where warranted by special circumstances. Any waiver shall be in writing and signed by JWB.

17. Provider & Program Data Maintained In 2-1-1 Database

Provider agrees to maintain accurate and up-to-date Provider and program data in the 2-1-1 Tampa Bay Cares database. The Provider will review and update (as necessary) this data at least once annually. The Provider will list data for newly funded program(s) within thirty (30) calendar days of the date that JWB funds the program. This requirement applies to all programs accepting 2-1-1 referrals.

18. Provider Staff Background Checks

All program staff and Provider staff (including employees, independent contractors and staff of subcontractors), volunteers and those who may have access to youth participants supported through JWB funding are required to undergo and pass a national Level 2 background check that complies with the standards set forth in F.S. 435. Those individuals must have no disqualifying offenses listed in Florida Statute 435.04 for which they have not received an exemption in accordance with Florida law. All staff and volunteers must continue to qualify to pass a Level 2 screening at all times and must notify their employer if at any time they no longer qualify to pass a Level 2 screening. Proof of Level 2 background clearance, including current executed affidavits/attestations of good moral character, must be maintained at all times in the appropriate files and the screening repeated every five (5) years or more often in accordance with law or as requested by JWB. This requirement applies to employees regardless of the funder supporting the position and all volunteers who may have access to youth. Volunteers or subcontractors who assist on an intermittent basis for less than ten (10) hours per month do not have to be screened if a person who meets the screening requirement of this section is always present and has the volunteer or subcontractor within his or her line of sight. The Provider is required to clearly document which volunteers meet the criteria for a Level 2 background screening and affidavits of good moral character, and which are exempt according to the terms of this Agreement. The Provider's policy and practice for background screening must provide for adequate protection and must comply with all applicable laws and implementing regulations including, but not limited to F.S. 435. Should a Provider not be statutorily authorized to receive a national Level 2 background check in accordance with F.S. 435, the Provider must still comply with the standards set forth in F.S. 435 through VECHS background screening. The Florida Department of Children and Families provides an exemption process under this statute. JWB does not provide an exemption or waiver process.

19. Attendance

Provider is required to keep attendance records. If Attachment 2 requires a program to track participant attendance, attendance may be tracked in the JWB Database or other approved data system.

20. Link to JWB's Websites

Provider website shall include links to the JWB website (www.jwbpinellas.org) and include the JWB logo.
21. Drug-Free Workplace

The Provider shall have and enforce a Drug and Alcohol Free Workplace Policy. This policy shall be submitted to JWB within thirty (30) calendar days of the execution of this Agreement.

22. Public Entity Crimes

Per Section 287.133, Florida Statutes, a person or affiliate who has been placed on the convicted vendor list following a conviction for a public entity crime may not submit a bid on a contract to provide any goods or services to a public entity, may not submit a bid on a contract with a public entity for the construction or repair of a public building or public work, may not submit bids on leases of real property to a public entity, may not be awarded or perform work as a contractor, supplier, subcontractor, or consultant under a contract with a public entity, and may not transact business with a public entity in excess of the threshold amount provided in Section 287.017, for CATEGORY TWO for a period of thirty six (36) months from the date of being placed on the convicted vendor list.

23. JWB Policies and Procedures

Provider agrees to follow all JWB policies and procedures which can be located on the JWB website at www.jwbpinellas.org and which are incorporated into this Agreement in their entirety. Said policies include, but are not limited to, all Board policies, funding policies, Financial Guidelines for Funded Programs, JWB Breach Procedures, research policies, security policies, JWB Data Quality Manual and policies that may be promulgated by JWB within its sole discretion from time to time. JWB reserves the right to change these policies from time to time within its sole discretion. JWB will provide a minimum of thirty (30) calendar days' notice to the Provider and it is the responsibility of the Provider to be in compliance with all policies and procedures at all times.

Provider is encouraged to provide certification of current accreditation by a recognized national accrediting body appropriate to the programming funded by JWB.

Regardless of accreditation, the Provider must meet the highest professional standards established through its specific field.

24. Insurance, Public Liability, Bodily Injury, and Property Damage

The Provider will procure, pay for, and maintain, throughout the period of this Agreement, on behalf of the Provider and JWB, the following MINIMUM limits of insurance coverage with responsible companies, eligible to do business in the State of Florida, which maintain a rating of A-IX or higher with AM Best.

**Commercial General Liability**

- Each occurrence: $1,000,000
- Personal Injury: $1,000,000
- General Aggregate: $1,000,000
- Property Damage: $1,000,000
Products & Completed Operations $1,000,000

Professional Liability
Each occurrence $1,000,000

Sexual Abuse and Molestation:
Each occurrence $1,000,000

Automobile (to include owned, hired and non-owned autos):
Bodily Injury and Property damage $500,000

Directors and Officers Liability
with Employment Practices Liability Insurance $1,000,000

Workers Compensation $500,000

Recommended, but not presently required coverage:
CyberLiability $1,000,000

JWB must be listed as an additional insured for the operations of the Provider on all coverage except Directors and Officers Liability, Workers Compensation and CyberLiability. The Provider shall submit to JWB a certificate of insurance which describes the insurance maintained by the Provider throughout the term of this Agreement. Provider will give JWB written notice within three (3) business days of it becoming aware that any of its insurance coverage will be cancelled, decreased or changed in any way.

25. Indemnification

Provider shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless JWB, its agents, and employees from and against any and all liabilities, claims, judgments, or actions including court costs and attorney's fees that may hereafter at any time be made or brought by any person or entity on account of any claim including but not limited to, personal injury, property damage, loss of monies, civil rights violation, or discrimination allegedly caused in whole or part by any act or omission, including but not limited to, breach of contract, negligent act, wrongful act,
intentional act, omission, and any acts of fraud or defalcation, of the Provider, its agents, employees, or subcontractors, arising out of or relating to its performance of this Agreement or for Provider's improper disclosure of confidential and/or exempt information, or failure to comply with F.S. 119 or any other applicable law, rule or regulation. In no event will the Provider be liable for or have any obligation to defend JWB against such liability, claims, judgments, or actions, including costs and attorney's fees, arising out of the sole negligent acts of JWB. This provision survives termination of the Agreement.

26. Certification that Provider is legally able to contract with JWB

In compliance with F.S. 287.135, for contracts of $1 million or more, a Provider is ineligible to and may not enter into a contract with JWB if the Provider is (a) on the Scrutinized Companies that Boycott Israel List, created pursuant to s. 215.4725 or is engaged in a boycott of Israel; (b) is on the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in Sudan List or the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in the Iran Petroleum Energy Section List, created pursuant to s. 215.473; or, (c) is engaged in business operations in Cuba or Syria. By entering into this Agreement, you are certifying that you are eligible to contract with JWB and are not participating in a boycott of Israel, are not on the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in Sudan List, are not on the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in the Iran Petroleum Energy Sector List and that you do not have business operations in Cuba or Syria. In addition, this Agreement may be terminated if Provider (1) has found to have submitted a false certification, (2) Has been placed on the Scrutinized Companies that Boycott Israel List, or is engaged in a boycott of Israel, (3) Has been placed on the Scrutinized Companies with Activities In Sudan List or the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in The Iran Petroleum Energy Sector List; or (4) Has been engaged in business operations in Cuba or Syria.
IX. SIGNATURES

Chief Executive Officer: Juvenile Welfare Board of Pinellas County

City Administrator: City of St. Petersburg

Dr. Marcie A. Biddleman

Gary Cornwell

Date

Date

ATTEST

City Clerk (Designee) (SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

City Attorney (Designee)
Attachment 1
Special Conditions of the Agreement

The following condition(s) applies to the following program(s):
--- TASCO Center Based Teen Programs-OST

JWB may report performance information such as, but not limited to: State Standardized Testing, GPA, or school disciplinary information. This data is reported as dashboard, baseline, or pilot information intended to gain a better understanding of the program's impact on specific community indicators.

The Provider shall maintain and submit documentation to JWB as evidence that JWB funding does not supplant City of St. Petersburg funding.

The second sentence in Section V. Method of Payment that reads as follows: "The Provider shall elect to submit a request for payment either every other week or once a month." is amended to read: "The Provider shall submit a request for payment on at least a quarterly basis."

General Condition # 11-Confidential Information- The second paragraph of this section that reads, "Provider shall adhere to JWB's written statement of purpose for collection of confidential data in compliance with Section 119.071(5), Florida Statutes. Provider must distribute a copy of this statement to recipients of JWB-funded programs and services and obtain the signature of the recipient or recipient's responsible parent or guardian. A copy of this signed statement must be maintained in case files for each participant for whom confidential data is collected. Once executed, this signed statement does not require subsequent renewal." is waived.

The Provider and all facilities legally required to be licensed must maintain valid licensure. Facilities not required to be licensed must maintain a Certificate of Substantial Compliance issued by the Pinellas County License Board (PCLB). Should any Provider or facility's license or certification be revoked, not renewed, or suspended during the time services are being provided hereunder, the Provider must immediately notify JWB within twenty-four (24) hours in writing and said Provider or facility is no longer qualified to provide services to the Recipients under the terms of this Agreement. Provider or facility's license must be considered in Good Standing, which is defined as having no probationary license status within the preceding twelve (12) months. Furthermore, the Provider is required to maintain a current written Agreement with the Pinellas County Licensing Board as an Out of School Time Provider throughout the term of this Agreement. If the Provider's Countywide Provider Agreement is suspended or terminated at any time, the Provider must, within twenty-four (24) hours, notify JWB in writing and said Provider or facility is no longer qualified to provide services to Recipients under the terms of this Agreement. Provider authorizes JWB to speak with PCLB and any other entity regulating the Provider about anything relevant to Provider's child care license, history of providing care or anything else deemed relevant by JWB.

Exception to the JWB Data Quality Manual for timely data entry is permitted to better align with the TASCO program model. All data shall be entered no later than 60 days after the participants' first or last date of service.

Data Quality Manual Exception-"Collection of Social Security Numbers" (SSN) is waived.

The following condition(s) applies to the following Agency (OPROV16):
--- City of St Petersburg
General Condition #2E - The last sentence is waived: Provider is not required to submit a Continuity of Operations Plan to JWB.

General Condition #3 - Audit and Management Letter are waived.

General Condition #25 - Indemnification is replaced with "The Provider agrees to be fully responsible for all claims arising out of its own acts of negligence or its respective employees' acts of negligence when acting within the scope of their employment and agrees to be liable for any damages proximately caused thereby; provided, however, that the Provider's liability is subject to the monetary limitations and defenses imposed by section 768.28, F.S. Nothing herein is intended to serve as a waiver of sovereign immunity by the Provider, nor shall anything herein be construed as consent by the Provider to be sued by any third party for any cause or matter arising out of or related to this Agreement except to the extent provided by 768.28, F.S."

General Condition #24 - Insurance, Public Liability, Bodily Injury, and Property Damage are waived.

General Condition #2F - Compensation Plan is waived.

General Condition #8 - Publicizing of JWB Support- Endorsements is waived.

General Condition #11 - Confidential Information- The third and fourth sentences of the fourth paragraph are replaced with: "JWB shall not be responsible for any damages caused by the Provider's improper disclosure of any confidential information as defined by law including, but not limited to personally identifiable information (PII) and protected health information (PHI) as required under HIPPA, HITECH and FIPA regulations or other information that is confidential and/or exempt from disclosure per F.S. 119."

General Condition #6 - Board Members, Training, and Financial Review are waived.

THIS AGREEMENT is contingent on adoption of the City of St. Petersburg FY 18 Budget that includes funding required by this Agreement.
Participant Level Performance Measurements

All Participants will be measured through the Performance Measurement reporting module in GEMS within the contract period. Measured is defined as each participant having all the appropriate administration points required during the fiscal year based on the language of each Performance Measurement.

---

### Targets Information for OPROG31

**Target(Measurable): Number of community engagement events**

- **TargetDefinitionId**: TRGT1142
- **ShortTargetTitle**: Number of community engagement events
- **TargetText**: Each site will participate or coordinate an average of 12 community engagement events.
- **TargetType**: Service Based Target
- **ProjectedValue**: 132
- **ProjectedStartDate**: 10/01/2017
- **ProjectedEndDate**: 09/30/2018
- **Notes**: 

**Target(Measurable): Academic Goals**

- **TargetDefinitionId**: TRGT1315
- **ShortTargetTitle**: Academic Goals
- **TargetText**: Percent of students who achieve 50% or more of their academic goals established at intake.
- **TargetType**: Surveys and Tools Based Target
- **ProjectedValue**: 90
- **ProjectedStartDate**: 10/01/2017
- **ProjectedEndDate**: 09/30/2018
- **Notes**: 

**Target(Measurable): Annual participation - child**

- **TargetDefinitionId**: TRGT1211

---
**ShortTargetTitle**: Annual participation - child  
**TargetText**: The percent of participants who continue in the afterschool program for more than one year  
**TargetType**: Surveys and Tools Based Target  
**ProjectedValue**: 50  
**ProjectedStartDate**: 10/01/2017  
**ProjectedEndDate**: 09/30/2018  
**Notes**

---

**Target(Measurable): Number of children receiving OST Survey**

**TargetDefinitionId**: TRGT932  
**ShortTargetTitle**: Number of children receiving OST Survey  
**TargetText**: Number of eligible participants will be given the OST Survey during the administration windows (May 2018). (Baseline)  
**TargetType**: Milestone Based Target  
**ProjectedValue**: 0  
**ProjectedStartDate**: 10/01/2017  
**ProjectedEndDate**: 09/30/2018  
**Notes**: JWB will provide a list of participants eligible to take the survey. Milestone Information will be entered by agency. Participants are eligible if: 1) active and enrolled in the program before January 1st, 2) enrolled in grades 6, 7, or 8th grade.

---

**Target(Measurable): Percent of days attended**

**TargetDefinitionId**: TRGT1360  
**ShortTargetTitle**: Percent of days attended  
**TargetText**: Percent of youth attend 70% of the program days tracked on a monthly basis as demonstrated by JWB database attendance report.  
**TargetType**: Milestone Based Target  
**ProjectedValue**: 70  
**ProjectedStartDate**: 10/01/2017  
**ProjectedEndDate**: 09/30/2018  
**Notes**

---

**Services Not Associated with Any Targets in Program's SOW**

---

**Milestones Not Associated with Any Targets in Program's SOW**

---

**Survey Definitions Information for OPROG31**
Attachment 3
Geographical Service Area

Provider agrees whenever possible to maintain service sites which are accessible by public transportation and convenient to the target participant group. Provider will advise JWB of any changes made in service sites.

The geographical service area for this agreement is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Participants are eligible Countywide</th>
<th>Participants are eligible who reside in the following zip codes or the following geographical areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TASCO Center Based Teen Programs-OST</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agency: City of St. Petersburg, Florida (OPROV16)
This page intentionally left blank
## JWB Reimbursement Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pay#</th>
<th>Submission Due Date</th>
<th>Reimbursement Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>09/29/17</td>
<td>10/06/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10/13/17</td>
<td>10/20/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10/27/17</td>
<td>11/03/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11/10/17</td>
<td>11/17/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11/24/17</td>
<td>12/01/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12/08/17</td>
<td>12/15/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12/22/17</td>
<td>12/29/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>01/05/18</td>
<td>01/12/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>01/19/18</td>
<td>01/26/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>02/02/18</td>
<td>02/09/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>02/16/18</td>
<td>02/23/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>03/02/18</td>
<td>03/09/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>03/16/18</td>
<td>03/23/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>03/30/18</td>
<td>04/06/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>04/13/18</td>
<td>04/20/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>04/27/18</td>
<td>05/04/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>05/11/18</td>
<td>05/18/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>05/25/18</td>
<td>06/01/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>06/08/18</td>
<td>06/15/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>06/22/18</td>
<td>06/29/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>07/06/18</td>
<td>07/13/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>07/20/18</td>
<td>07/27/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>08/03/18</td>
<td>08/10/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>08/17/18</td>
<td>08/24/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>08/31/18</td>
<td>09/07/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>09/14/18</td>
<td>09/21/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>09/28/18</td>
<td>10/04/18(September business)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>10/12/18</td>
<td>10/19/18(September business)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>10/26/18</td>
<td>11/01/18(September business)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Attachment 5
### Document Submittal Chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider Document</th>
<th>Time Frame</th>
<th>Submit To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program methodology update or certification that no updates are needed.</td>
<td>Within thirty (30) days of effective date of Agreement</td>
<td>Agency Specific JWB Secure Portal Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved program methodology</td>
<td>January 5th, 2017</td>
<td>Agency Specific JWB Secure Portal Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COOP - Waived</td>
<td>Within thirty (30) days of effective date of Agreement</td>
<td>Agency Specific JWB Secure Portal Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Approved Merit Compensation Plan (Board minutes showing approval) - Waived</td>
<td>If applicable, then prior to budget submission or subsequent amendment</td>
<td>Agency Specific JWB Secure Portal Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Recent Audit - Waived</td>
<td>Immediately upon receipt by the Provider's Board or not to exceed 180 days of the close of the Provider's fiscal year</td>
<td>Agency Specific JWB Secure Portal Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring, Site Visit, Accreditation and Licensing Reports</td>
<td>Upon receipt</td>
<td>Agency Specific JWB Secure Portal Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User Access</td>
<td>Notify the JWB Program Consultant and complete the designated form immediately upon involuntary termination and within five (5) business days upon voluntary separation or whenever a Provider staff person's access must be inactivated due to the reasons outlined in JWB's Data Quality Manual.</td>
<td>JWB Program Consultants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Member List - Waived</td>
<td>Within thirty (30) calendar days of approval or a change of Board composition</td>
<td>Agency Specific JWB Secure Portal Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy and procedure regarding Board review of Provider finances - Waived</td>
<td>Within thirty (30) calendar days of effective date of Agreement and upon revision and approval by the Provider's board</td>
<td>Agency Specific JWB Secure Portal Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Training (Outline of topics, members in attendance and who provided training) - Waived</td>
<td>For new board members, prior to issuance of subsequent year's contract or August 1st of current fiscal year, whichever occurs first</td>
<td>Agency Specific JWB Secure Portal Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontracts</td>
<td>Within thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of this Agreement and upon revision, amendment and execution thereafter.</td>
<td>Agency Specific JWB Secure Portal Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident Reports</td>
<td>Within one (1) business day of occurrence</td>
<td><a href="mailto:IRreviewteam@jwbpinellas.org">IRreviewteam@jwbpinellas.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-Free Workplace policy</td>
<td>Within thirty (30) calendar days of effective date of Agreement and upon revision and approval by the Provider's board</td>
<td>Agency Specific JWB Secure Portal Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liability Insurance Documentation - Waived</td>
<td>Throughout the period of the Agreement.</td>
<td>Agency Specific JWB Secure Portal Site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A resolution approving a rescission of an unencumbered appropriation in the amount of $385,000 from the Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects Fund (4013) Drainage Line Rehab/Repl FY17 Project (15630); approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $385,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects Fund (4013), resulting from the above rescission, to the Minor Storm Drainage FY17 Project (15632) to provide funding for identified replacement of stormwater culverts and associated infrastructures at various locations in the city to correct localized flooding conditions; and providing an effective date.

EXPLANATION: Engineering & Capital Improvements (ECID) and Stormwater, Pavement and Traffic Operations (SPTO) have focused on several areas of localized flooding to develop minor projects to address these concerns. The minor projects generally include the replacing of existing, undersized stormwater infrastructure with infrastructure that has increased capacity or the adding of culverts and drainage structures. The current areas of focus include the Broadwater neighborhood and the Crossroads neighborhood.

In order for ECID and SPTO to complete the design and construction of these infrastructure improvements for the various minor storm drainage project locations, funds are required to be re-appropriated from the Drainage Line Rehab Replacement to the Minor Storm Drainage. Drainage Line Rehab Replacement currently is funded with $1,550,000 in the Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects Fund (4013) and $700,000 in the Neighborhood & Citywide Infrastructure CIP Fund (3027).

On April 20, 2017, City Council accepted the bid from Shen-Line for CIPP lining of storm system culverts for $1,364,330. The contractor is currently conducting the work. Additional storm system culverts have been identified for lining and any additions to the contract will be brought to City Council for approval to amend the construction agreement. However, the minor storm drainage projects that have been identified are priorities for the localized areas and lining of the existing culverts will not relieve localized flooding concerns. Transferring of the funds will not affect the ongoing CIPP lining of culverts identified as needing rehab.

RECOMMENDATION: Administration recommends approving a rescission of an unencumbered appropriation in the amount of $385,000 from the Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects Fund (4013) Drainage Line Rehab/Repl FY17 Project (15630); approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $385,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects Fund (4013), resulting from the above rescission, to the Minor Storm Drainage FY17 Project (15632) and providing an effective date.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: Funding for the minor storm drainage projects will be available following a rescission in the amount of $385,000 from Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects Fund (4013) Drainage Line Rehab/Repl FY17 Project (15630) and a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $385,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects Fund (4013), resulting from the rescission, to the Minor Storm Drainage FY17 Project (15632).

ATTACHMENTS: Resolution

APPROVALS: [Signatures]

[Signatures for Budget]
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-____

A RESOLUTION APPROVING A RESCISSION OF AN UNENCUMBERED APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $385,000 FROM THE STORMWATER DRAINAGE CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4013) DRAINAGE LINE REHAB/REPL FY17 PROJECT (15630); APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $385,000 FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE STORMWATER DRAINAGE CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4013), RESULTING FROM THE ABOVE RESCISSION, TO THE MINOR STORM DRAINAGE FY17 PROJECT (15632) TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR IDENTIFIED REPLACEMENT OF STORMWATER CULVERTS AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURES AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN THE CITY TO CORRECT LOCALIZED FLOODING CONDITIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Engineering & Capital Improvements (ECID) and Stormwater, Pavement and Traffic Operations (SPTO) have focused on several areas of localized flooding to develop minor projects to address these concerns; and

WHEREAS, in order for ECID and SPTO to complete the design and construction of these infrastructure improvements for the various minor storm drainage project locations, rescinding funds from the Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects (4013), Drainage Line Rehab Replacement FY17 Project (15630) and appropriating funds to Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects (4013), Minor Storm Drainage (15632) is necessary.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg that an unencumbered appropriation in the amount of $385,000 in the Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects Fund (4013) Drainage Line Rehab Replacement FY17 Project (15630) is hereby rescinded.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there is hereby approved from the unappropriated balance of the Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects Fund (4013) the following supplemental appropriation for FY17:

Stormwater Drainage Capital Projects Fund (4013)  
Minor Storm Drainage FY17 Project (15632)  $385,000

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved by:  

Budget Director

Approved by:  

City Attorney (Designee)
00336799

Approved by:  

By Jesh Prayman, P.E., SP, ENV  
Engineering & Capital Improvements Director
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A resolution authorizing the Mayor or his designee to accept a grant from the National League of Cities in the amount of $15,000 to help support the City’s Financial Inclusion Systems and City Leadership (FISCL) initiative and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $15,000 from the unappropriated balance of the general fund (0001) to the Community Services Department (083-1081) resulting from this grant in support of the FISCL initiative.

BACKGROUND: The City of St. Petersburg is one of eight cohort cities working with the National League of Cities’ Institute for Youth, Education and Families (YEF) as part of a two year technical assistance grant for its Financial Inclusion Systems and City Leadership (FISCL) initiative. As a result of Mayor Kriseman’s participation in the Mayors’ Institute in Chicago on Financial Inclusion, the City had an opportunity to compete for grant dollars. The City’s financial inclusion system building goals presented were: 1) identify missed opportunities to engage youth by providing age appropriate interventions that allow the city to meet individuals where they are; and 2) to identify new approaches to integrate financial empowerment into existing city youth-focused efforts without duplicating resources and programs.

The dollars will be used toward a partnership with United Way Suncoast to create a 529 account, youth focus competition related to financial empowerment, partnership with Future Plans to provide online interactive access to include a financial stability section and branding/marketing for the city’s financial inclusion initiatives, specifically related to the future opening of the financial empowerment center in Campbell Park.

RECOMMENDATION: Administration recommends City Council adopt the attached Resolution.

COST/FUNDING/ASSESSMENT INFORMATION: In order for the $15,000 to be available, a supplemental appropriation for FY17 in the amount of $15,000 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Fund (0001) resulting from these additional revenues to the Community Services Department (083-1081) Project (15533) will be necessary.

ATTACHMENTS: Resolution

APPROVALS:

Administration
Legal 00335476

Budget
RESOLUTION NO. 2017 –

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO ACCEPT A GRANT FROM THE NATIONAL LEAGUE OF CITIES IN THE AMOUNT OF $15,000 TO HELP SUPPORT THE CITY’S FINANCIAL INCLUSION SYSTEMS AND CITY LEADERSHIP (FISCL) INITIATIVE AND TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $15,000 FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE GENERAL FUND (0001) TO THE COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT (083-1081) RESULTING FROM THIS GRANT IN SUPPORT OF THE FISCL INITIATIVE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, families financial stability are essential to successful economic and social outcome in the City; and

WHEREAS, high unemployment, and inadequate and insufficient affordable housing contribute to higher poverty rates; and

WHEREAS, there is a disproportionate and negative effect of poverty conditions on the City’s youth, particularly within the Southside Community Redevelopment Area; and

WHEREAS, families can achieve long term financial stability through building of financial skills and gaining relevant knowledge to make better informed life decisions; and

WHEREAS, the opportunity to incorporate financial inclusion strategies as a common thread through various programs and initiatives can be the catalyst to sustainable change in the lives of disenfranchised families and particularly, the youth.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to accept a grant from the National League of Cities in the amount of $15,000 to help support the City’s Financial Inclusion Systems and City Leadership (FISCL) Initiative and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there is hereby approved from the unappropriated balance of the General Fund (0001), the following supplemental appropriation for FY 2017 resulting from the National League of Cities grant award in support of the FISCL Initiative:
General Fund (0001)
Community Services Department (083-1081)
Project (15533) $15,000

This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

Approvals:

City Attorney (Designee)
00335399

Administraton

Budget

To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

Subject: Approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $15,350 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the Golf Course Capital Projects Fund (4063) resulting from a donation for landscape beautification at Mangrove Bay Golf Course to the Mangrove Bay Renovation Project (Oracle Project No. 15764).

Explanation: The city received a donation in the amount of $15,350 for landscape beautification at Mangrove Bay Golf Course. This donation was received from a resident of St. Petersburg and customer of the Mangrove Bay Golf Course who wishes to remain anonymous and desires the donation to be used for beautification of the landscaping at Mangrove Bay in conjunction with the current golf course renovations.

Cost/Funding/Assessment Information: Funds to purchase landscape materials will be available after the supplemental appropriation in the amount of $15,350 from the increase of the unappropriated balance of the Golf Course Capital Projects fund (4063) resulting from this donation to the Mangrove Bay Renovation Project (Oracle Project No. 15764).

Attachments: Resolution

Approvals:

____________________________________  ______________________________________
Administrative                           Budget
RESOLUTION NO. 2017 –

APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $15,350 FROM THE INCREASE IN THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE GOLF COURSE CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4063) RESULTING FROM A DONATION FOR LANDSCAPE BEAUTIFICATION AT MANGROVE BAY GOLF COURSE TO THE MANGROVE BAY RENOVATION PROJECT (15764); AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, an anonymous donor gave monies in the amount of $15,350 for landscape beautification at Mangrove Bay Golf Course; and

WHEREAS, a supplemental appropriation is necessary to purchase plants and other landscaping materials for Mangrove Bay Golf Course; and

WHEREAS, Administration recommends approval of this Resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that there is hereby approved from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the Golf Course Capital Projects Fund (4063), resulting from a donation for landscape beautification at Mangrove Bay Golf Course, the following supplemental appropriation for FY 2017:

| Golf Course Capital Projects Fund (4063) | Mangrove Bay Renovation Project (15764) | $15,350 |

This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

Approvals:

[Signature]
Legal Department
By: (City Attorney or Designee) 00335390

[Signature]
Budget
TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair, and Members of City Council

SUBJECT: A resolution ratifying and approving the agreement between Bob Gualtieri, Sheriff of Pinellas County, Florida ("Sheriff"), and the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") for the Sheriff to provide crime scene services for major crime scenes for the term which commenced on October 1, 2016 and expires on September 30, 2017; approving an agreement between the Sheriff and the City for the Sheriff to provide crime scene services for major crime scenes for the term commencing on October 1, 2017 and expiring on September 30, 2018; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate these transactions; and providing an effective date.

EXPLANATION: The City and the Sheriff entered into an agreement for a term which commenced October 1, 2016 and expires on September 30, 2017 and was administratively approved on August 23, 2016 for the Sheriff to provide: crime scene services for major crimes scenes to include documenting, photographing and processing; property and evidence collection, processing, storage and disposition services in conjunction with crime scene services; and to receive $5,000 for each crime scene worked. Since execution of such agreement, the City has paid the Sheriff $120,000 for services performed pursuant to the agreement. As such, Administration requests City Council ratify and approve such agreement. Additionally, Administration desires for the Sheriff to continue providing crime scene services for the City for the term commencing October 1, 2017 and expiring on September 30, 2018 and to pay the Sheriff $5,000 for each crime scene worked.

RECOMMENDATION: The administration recommends that City Council ratify and approve the Agreement with the Sheriff for services performed during the term that commenced on October 1, 2016 and expires September 30, 2017; approving the agreement between the Sheriff and the City for the Sheriff to provide crime scene services for a term commencing on October 1, 2017 and expiring September 30, 2018; and authorize the Mayor or his designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate these transactions; and provide an effective date.

COST/FUNDING INFORMATION: Funding for the current agreement has been previously appropriated in the General Fund, Police Department, Forensic Services Division (0001-140-1441), and funding the new agreement commencing on October 1, 2017 will be available after City Council approval of the fiscal year 2018 budget in the General Fund, Police Department, Forensic Services Division (0001-140-1441).
WHEREAS, Bob Gualtieri, Sheriff of Pinellas County, Florida ("Sheriff"), and the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") entered into an agreement on August 23, 2016, for the Sheriff to provide crime scene services for a term that commenced on October 1, 2016 and expires September 30, 2017; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to such agreement, the City pays the Sheriff $5,000 for each crime scene worked by the Sheriff; and

WHEREAS, to date, the City has paid the Sheriff $120,000 for services performed; and

WHEREAS, Administration requests that City Council ratify and approve such agreement with the Sheriff for services performed during the term that commenced on October 1, 2016 and expires September 30, 2017; and

WHEREAS, Administration desires for the Sheriff to continue providing crime scene services for the City and requests City Council to approve the agreement between the Sheriff and City for the Sheriff to provide crime scene services for a term commencing on October 1, 2017 and expiring September 30, 2018; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the new agreement, the City shall pay the Sheriff $5,000 for each crime scene worked by the Sheriff; and
WHEREAS, funding for the current agreement has been previously appropriated in the General Fund, Police Department, Forensic Services Division (0001-140-1441); and

WHEREAS, funding for the new agreement commencing on October 1, 2017, will be available in the General Fund, Police Department, Forensic Services Division (0001-140-1441) after City Council approval of the fiscal year 2018 budget.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that the agreement between Bob Gualtieri, Sheriff of Pinellas County, Florida ("Sheriff"), and the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City") for the Sheriff to provide crime scene services for major crime scenes for term which commenced on October 1, 2016 and expires on September 30, 2017 is hereby ratified and approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that an agreement between the Sheriff and the City for the Sheriff to provide crime scene services for major crime scenes for term commencing on October 1, 2017 and expiring on September 30, 2018 is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designee is authorized to execute all documents necessary to effectuate these transactions.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

[Signature]
City Attorney (designee)
00335762
Agreement

This Agreement ("Agreement") is made by and between Bob Gualtieri, Sheriff of Pinellas County, Florida ("Sheriff") and the City of St. Petersburg, Florida ("City"), (collectively referred to as the "Parties").

Whereas, the City desires to contract with the Sheriff for certain crime scene services; and

Whereas, the Sheriff has available personnel to perform such services for the City; and

Whereas, the Parties believe the provision of such services (i) is in the best interest of the safety and welfare of the citizens of St. Petersburg and Pinellas County and (ii) will facilitate the investigation of criminal activity and the apprehension of persons engaging in such activity.

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual covenants and promises set forth below, the Parties agree as follows:

1. Forensic Science Services

   A. The Sheriff will provide to the City crime scene services for major crime scenes as determined by the City. Said services shall include the documenting, photographing and processing of said crime scene as such may be appropriate, within the scope and capabilities of the Sheriff. Any processing or testing outside the Sheriff's capabilities shall be at the expense of the City but will be facilitated by the Sheriff with prior approval of the City. The Sheriff shall provide to the City copies of all crime scene reports generated by Sheriff's personnel pursuant to this Agreement.

   B. The Sheriff agrees to allow technicians employed by the City to be present and observe crime scene processing at the direction of the Sheriff.

   C. The Sheriff agrees to use best efforts and necessary resources available to the Sheriff to carry out the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

2. Property and Evidence Services

   A. The Sheriff agrees to provide property and evidence collection, processing, storage and disposition services in conjunction with the crime scene services as described above. Items obtained from a crime scene shall be transported to the Sheriff's property and evidence storage facility.

   B. The Sheriff shall store and maintain chain of custody of all evidence in accordance with current General Orders and Sheriff's Office standard operating procedures.

   C. All evidence and other property obtained at a crime scene shall be disposed of in accordance with Florida law or as otherwise ordered by a court of law.
3. COMPENSATION

The CITY agrees to pay the SHERIFF the sum of FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($5,000.00) for each crime scene worked by SHERIFF pursuant to this Agreement. No additional charges beyond the charge per crime scene shall be incurred by the CITY for the property and evidence services also provided. The SHERIFF shall invoice the CITY on a monthly basis for services provided pursuant to this Agreement and the CITY shall pay the SHERIFF within thirty (30) days upon receipt of such invoice.

4. CONTACT PERSONS

Both PARTIES agree that they will cooperate and work together to carry out the terms and conditions of this Agreement. To that end, the PARTIES agree that the following individuals shall be the contact persons for the CITY and SHERIFF respectively:

FOR THE CITY
ASST CHIEF JAMES PREVITERA
1300 1st Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33705
(727) 893-7088

FOR THE SHERIFF
MAJOR STEFANIE CAMPBELL
P. O. Drawer 2500
Largo, FL 33779-2500
(727) 453-7975

5. TERMINATION

Either party may terminate this Agreement without penalty upon thirty (30) days prior written notice to the other party. Should any crime scenes charges remain outstanding at the time of notice of termination for which the SHERIFF has not billed the CITY, said invoice will be prepared by the SHERIFF as soon as possible. The CITY agrees payment for said services shall be due and payable within thirty (30) days upon receipt of said invoice.

6. COMPLETE AGREEMENT

This Agreement constitutes the full and complete understanding of the PARTIES.

7. MODIFICATION

This Agreement may be modified or amended only by a document in writing signed by the PARTIES hereto.

8. ASSIGNMENT

Neither party shall assign any obligations or responsibilities under this Agreement to any third party.
9. RESPONSIBILITY

The CITY and the SHERIFF shall be responsible for their respective employees' acts of negligence when such employees are acting within the scope of their employment, and shall only be liable for any damages resulting from said negligence to the extent permitted by Section 768.28, Florida Statues. Nothing herein is intended to serve as a waiver of sovereign immunity by either the CITY or the SHERIFF. Nothing herein shall be construed as consent by the CITY or the SHERIFF to be sued by third parties in any matter arising out of this Agreement.

10. TERM OF AGREEMENT

This Agreement shall be effective on October 1, 2017, and remain in effect until September 30, 2018.

11. NON-APPROPRIATION

The obligations of the CITY as to any funding required pursuant to this Agreement shall be limited to an obligation in any given year to budget, appropriate and pay from legally available funds, after monies for essential CITY services have been budgeted and appropriated, sufficient monies for the funding that is required during that year. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the CITY shall not be prohibited from pledging any legally available non-ad valorem revenues for any obligations heretofore or hereafter incurred, which pledge shall be prior and superior to any obligation of the CITY pursuant to this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the PARTIES to this Agreement have caused the same to be signed by their duly authorized representatives this ____ day of ________, 2017.

CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA

Anthony Holloway, Chief of Police

ATTEST

City Clerk (SEAL)

SHERIFF, PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

Bob Gualtieri, Sheriff
MEMORANDUM

City of St. Petersburg City Council
Meeting of August 24, 2017

Consent Agenda

To: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and City Council Members

From: Michael Dema, Assistant City Attorney

Subject: Resolution Approving a Security Plan pursuant to Section 3-7(g) of the City Code for four (4) future EDGE Specialty Center Special Events

Request: Approve the attached Resolution that approves four (4) future EDGE District Special Events

On September 8, 2016, the City Council approved Ordinance 238-H creating City Code Section 3-7(g). Section 3-7(g) sets forth the procedures necessary for the EDGE Business District Association (“Association”) to obtain approval to stage EDGE District Special Events (“Events”). This approval provides for limited exemptions to the City’s general prohibition on the possession and consumption of alcoholic beverages in its public rights-of-way during these Events within the EDGE District, which is generally located within an applicable boundary between 16th Street and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Street, and between 1st Avenue North and 1st Avenue South, and which is legally defined in Section 3-7(g). A request to hold Events must be made by the Association, and approved by City Council, at least sixty (60) days prior to the first Event. Approval for multiple Events in a single resolution is permissible, and is sought by the current request.

Section 3-7(g) requires the following information to be submitted to City Council for each Event:

- start date and time,
- end date and time,
- a description of the type of event,
- applicable boundary, and
- reference to an attached Approved Security Plan (“Plan”).

The City Attorney’s Office, in conjunction with the St. Petersburg Police Department (“SPPD”), has reviewed the Association’s request for sufficiency and believes that the submission is timely and meets the informational requirements of Section 3-7(g). The Association is currently requesting approval for four (4) future Events, on the following dates: October 29, 2017, February 11, 2018, June 17, 2018, and August 6, 2018. Each of these Events will start at 12:00 p.m. and end at 4:00 p.m. The Association describes the Events as “Sip and Stroll” events. The
Events are more particularly described in the Plan, which is attached as Exhibit A to the attached Resolution. The Association has requested no amendment to the aforementioned applicable boundary. Finally, the Association has submitted a Plan, which has been reviewed and commented on by the SPPD, who has indicated their approval of the Plan. The limitations set forth in Section 3-7(g), and all other state and local laws applicable to the possession and consumption of alcohol shall be deemed to remain in full force and effect during the Events.

**Recommendation:**

For the above explained reasons, the Administration recommends APPROVAL of the attached Resolution that approves four (4) future EDGE District Special Events.

Attachments (2): Resolution
Exhibit A – Approved Security Plan
A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, APPROVING THE REQUEST OF THE EDGE BUSINESS DISTRICT ASSOCIATION TO HOLD FOUR (4) FUTURE EDGE DISTRICT SPECIAL EVENTS PURSUANT TO THE PROCEDURES AND LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN SECTION 3-7(G) OF THE CITY CODE; EXEMPTING THE EVENTS FROM THE PROHIBITION OF THE POSSESSION AND CONSUMPTION IN THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY IN THE EDGE DISTRICT SPECIALTY CENTER FOR ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES PURCHASED WITHIN THE EDGE DISTRICT SPECIALTY CENTER; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on September 8, 2016, the St. Petersburg City Council passed Ordinance 238-H, thereby creating a new Section 3-7(g) of the City Code, which sets forth procedures for limited exemptions to the City of St. Petersburg’s (“City”) prohibition of the possession and consumption of alcoholic beverages in the public right-of-way in the EDGE District Specialty Center; and

WHEREAS, Section 3-7(g)(5) requires approval by the City Council of a resolution, at least sixty (60) days prior to the start date of an EDGE District Special Event, which includes the following information: start date and time, end date and time, a description of the type of event, applicable boundary, and reference to an attached Approved Security Plan; and

WHEREAS, the EDGE Business District Association (“Association”), is seeking City Council approval to hold four (4) EDGE District Special Events (“Events”) on the following dates: October 29, 2017, February 11, 2018, June 17, 2018, and August 6, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the Events will all start at 12:00 p.m. and end at 4:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, the Events are described as “Sip and Stroll” events, and are described more particularly in the Approved Security Plan, attached hereto as Exhibit A which is hereby incorporated as a part of this resolution by this reference; and

WHEREAS, the Events will occur within the applicable boundary set forth in Section 3-7(g), without any amendment thereto; and

WHEREAS, the Events are subject to the limitations set forth in Section 3-7(g)(6) of the City Code; and

WHEREAS, the Association has submitted an Approved Security Plan, Exhibit A, which has been approved by the St. Petersburg Police Department.
NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the City Council does hereby approve the request of the EDGE Business District Association to hold four (4) EDGE District Special Events in accordance with the procedures and limitations set forth in Section 3-7(g) of the City Code and exempts the Events from the prohibition of possession and consumption of alcoholic beverages in the public right-of-way in the EDGE District Specialty Center for alcoholic beverages purchased within the EDGE District Specialty Center.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

_______________________________
City Attorney (designee)
00337424.docx
TO:   Chief Anthony Holloway and Sergeant Joe Pratt, St. Petersburg Police Department
FROM: Barbara Voglewede, EDGE Business District Association
RE: Application for Security Plan Approval per §3-7(g), St. Petersburg City Code
DATE: July 24, 2017

APPLICATION FOR SECURITY PLAN APPROVAL
EDGE DISTRICT SPECIALTY CENTER EVENTS

Subsection 3-7(g) of the St. Petersburg City Code creates an exemption for consumption of alcoholic beverages in the public right-of-way for EDGE District Specialty Center events. The exemption is conditioned upon approval of security plans by the POD and City Council, at least 60 days in advance of the event.

Given the requirements imposed by ordinance, the EDGE Business District Association (EBDA) hereby requests approval of security plans for four “sip and stroll” events which would occur on these dates: October 29, 2017, February 11, 2018, June 17, 2018, and August 6, 2018.

Approved Security Plan is defined in §3-7(g)(2)(b) as:

[A] plan developed by the EDGE Business District Association, tailored specifically to each EDGE District special event. An application shall be made to the POD which shall include the following information: a description of the type of event, including activities to occur, the dates and times for which security personnel is needed, whether off-duty officers of the St. Petersburg Police Department are requested, how many off-duty officers and/or civilian security personnel are required, location of security personnel, type and location of signage providing notice to a person of ordinary intelligence as to the applicable boundary, type and location of physical barriers, type and location of any additional sanitation containers required, and any other requirements deemed necessary by the City. An approved security plan shall be approved by the Chief of Police, or authorized designee, prior to approval of a Resolution by the City Council pursuant to subsection (g)(4) of this section. The security plan must be designed to minimize adverse impacts to the surrounding areas and to provide for the health, safety, and welfare of persons in the EDGE District Specialty Center.

To that end, EBDA Executive Director Barbara Voglewede met with Sergeant Joe Pratt to discuss logistics and security for the above-referenced four EDGE District
Special Events. Sergeant Pratt’s recommendations are hereby incorporated into the following Security Plan description.

EVENT DESCRIPTION

Four EDGE District Special Events will be held, to occur Sunday, October 29, 2017, Sunday, February 11, 2018, Sunday, June 17, 2018, and Sunday, August 6, 2018. All four events will conform to the following:

**Geographical Boundaries:** Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Street to 16th Street and north curb of 1st Avenue South to south curb of 1st Avenue North.

**Time of Event:** 12:00 pm-4:00 pm

**Number of Participants Per Event:** 250-500

**Event Format:**
A simple “Sip and Stroll” opportunity will be afforded to those who purchase an EDGE District plastic cup. All cups will be sold at up to six (6) pre-approved business locations within the event boundaries, managed by EBDA members.

Prior to receiving a cup, each purchaser will be checked for legal drinking age and then banded with an I.D. wristband. The cups will be no greater than 12 oz. size, clearly identifiable by both the EDGE logo and cup color designated for that date’s event, and translucent enough to show whether they contain any contents.

A list of stroll “stops” within the geographical boundaries will also be provided to each cup purchaser. Each stop will be a participating business that offers a beverage, food, service, or goods either complimentary or at a discounted price for event cup holders.

Event boundaries will be clearly marked by visible signage on sidewalks at Central Avenue and Martin Luther King, Jr. Street, Central Avenue and 16th Street, south side of 1st Avenue North and 11th Street, south side of 1st Avenue North and 13th Street, north side of 1st Avenue South and 11th Street, and north side of 1st Avenue South and 13th Street. Content of signs will include clear language that alcohol in open containers is not permitted beyond that point.

Throughout the duration of the event, EBDA volunteers will monitor the above major points of entering and exiting the event boundaries as well as general activity throughout the event, and will remind those exiting with event cups about the “no carry” rule. The EBDA will also employ two police officers and cruiser for general patrol during the event, and each will be given a list of participating “stops” on the stroll. EBDA volunteers and staff will report to these officers if they witness safety concerns caused by excessive intoxication.

Public and private trash receptacles on the sidewalks will be used for sanitation.
ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL
Consent Agenda
Meeting of August 24, 2017

TO: City Council Chair & Members of City Council

SUBJECT: City Council Minutes

EXPLANATION: City Council minutes of July 13 and July 20, 2017 City Council meetings.
A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE MINUTES
OF THE CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS HELD
ON JULY 13 AND JULY 20, 2017; AND
PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that
the minutes of the City Council meetings held on July 13 and July 20, 2017 are hereby approved.

This resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND SUBSTANCE:

City Attorney or Designee
REGULAR SESSION OF THE CITY COUNCIL HELD AT CITY HALL
Thursday, July 13, 2017, AT 8:30 A.M.

Chair Darden Rice called the meeting to order with the following members present: Amy Foster, Charles Gerdes, James R. Kennedy, Jr., Ed Montanari, Karl Nurse, Darden Rice and Lisa Wheeler-Bowman. Deputy Mayor Dr. Kanika Tomalin, City Attorney Jacqueline Kovilaritch, City Administrator Dr. Gary Cornwell, Chief Assistant City Attorney Jeannine Williams, City Clerk Chan Srinivasa and Senior Deputy City Clerk Cathy Davis were also in attendance. Absent. Steve Kornell.

In connection with the approval of the agenda, Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that Council approve the agenda with the following changes as amended:

MOVED CA-3 Approving an amendment to the contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Layne Inliner, LLC (Layne) dated May 28, 2015 (as amended) to increase the contract price in an amount not to exceed $1,000,000 for a total contract price not to exceed $6,220,000; authorizing the Mayor or his Designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction and providing an effective date. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-1(b)]

MOVED CB-5 Approving the purchase of dashboard cameras and accessories from CDW Government LLC for the Police Department, at a total cost of $87,000. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-5]

MOVED CB-12 Rapid Rehousing Initiative [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-6]

(a) Authorizing the Mayor to execute an interlocal agreement between the City of St. Petersburg and Pinellas County to implement a Rapid Rehousing Initiative to assist our homeless families and individuals with housing and supportive services and providing an effective date.

(b) Approving a four-year agreement between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida (City) and the Society of St. Vincent de Paul, South Pinellas, Inc. (St. Vincent) for St. Vincent to provide for the system teams component of the Rapid Rehousing Program at no cost to the City; authorizing the City Attorney to make non-substantive changes to the agreement; authorizing the Mayor or his Designee to execute the agreement and providing an effective date.

285
(c) Approving a four-year agreement between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida (City) and 2-1-1 Tampa Bay Cares, Inc. (2-1-1 TBC) for the City to provide funding for 2-1-1 TBC's Fiscal Administration of the Rapid Rehousing Program at an annual amount not to exceed $400,000, subject to annual appropriations; authorizing the City Attorney to make non-substantive changes to the agreement; authorizing the Mayor or his Designee to execute the agreement and providing an effective date.

(d) Approving a four-year agreement between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida (CITY) and 211 Tampa Bay Cares, Inc. (211 TBC) for the City to provide funding for 211 TBC's fiscal administration of the Rapid Rehousing Program at an annual amount not to exceed $100,000, subject to funding and annual appropriations; authorizing the City Attorney to make non-substantive changes to the agreement; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute the agreement and providing an effective date.

MOVED CB-16 A resolution approving the designation of that portion of I-375/S.R. 592 between I-275 and S.R. 595/4th Avenue North in Pinellas County as “C. Bette Wimbish Highway.” [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-7]

DEFER E-1(a) Approving Florida Department of Environmental Protection Consent Order OGC File No. 16-1280; authorizing the Mayor or his Designee to the same and providing an effective date. [DEFERRED TO JULY 20, 2017 CITY COUNCIL MEETING]

ADD E-4 ADA Day Proclamation

ADD G-3 Requesting the attached memorandum regarding LDR revisions to be read into the record on July 13, 2017 as part of first reading and on July 20, 2017 as part of the public hearing. (Councilmember Kornell)

ADD G-4 Requesting the attached memorandum regarding the FDEP Consent Order be read into the record at the July 13, 2017 City Council meeting. (Councilmember Kornell)

DEFER H-3 Energy, Natural Resources & Sustainability Committee Report [DEFERRED TO JULY 20, 2017 CITY COUNCIL MEETING]

ADD H-7 Land Use & Transportation Report
  a. Forward Pinellas
  b. Tampa Bay Transportation Management Rea (TBTMA)
  c. MPO Action Committee
  d. PSTA
  e. Tampa Bay Area Regional Transportation Authority

ADD I-1 Announcement of an Attorney-Client Session, pursuant to Florida Statute 286.011(8), to be held on Thursday, July 20, 2017 at 4:00 p.m., or soon thereafter, in conjunction with the lawsuit styled City of St. Petersburg v. Aude Smith Architecture, Inc. AIA f/k/a Aude, Shand & Williams, Inc., et al., Case No. 15-004928-CI.

In connection with approval of the Consent Agenda, Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Gerdes that the following resolutions be adopted approving the attached Consent Agenda as amended.


In connection with reports, Senator Darryl Rouson gave a presentation to Council regarding a resolution approving the designation of that portion of I-375/S.R. 592 between I-275 and S.R. 595/4th Avenue North in Pinellas County as “C. Bette Wimbish Highway.” Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-395 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG SUPPORTING SENATE BILL NO. 368, WHICH WOULD DESIGNATE I-375/STATE ROAD 592 FROM ITS INTERSECTION WITH I-275 TO ITS TERMINATION AT STATE ROAD 595/4TH AVENUE NORTH AS THE “C. BETTE WIMBISH HIGHWAY”, AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with the Open Forum portion of the agenda, the following person(s) came forward:

1. Susan Tuite, 840 Apalachee Drive Northeast, spoke regarding the importance of sustainability to St. Petersburg.
2. Joe Farrell, 4590 Ulmerton Road, spoke regarding Land Development Regulations.
3. Jennifer Griffin, spoke in support of the designation of “C. Bette Wimbish Highway”.
5. Sharon Winters, spoke regarding Campaign Finance reform.
6. Ruby Griffin, 4626 Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Street South, spoke in support of the designation of “C. Bette Wimbish Highway”.
7. Dan Harvey, Jr., 1425 Central Avenue, spoke regarding the Intown West Community Redevelopment Agency.
8. Linda Zamparelli, 1300 Crescent Lake Drive, spoke regarding Land Development Regulations.
9. Winnie Foster, 311 57th Avenue South, spoke in support of the designation of “C. Bette Wimbish Highway”.
10. Sue Blanshan, 241 10th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding Floor Area Ratios.

In connection with the Awards and Presentations portion of the agenda, City Administrator Dr. Gary Cornwell presented a Proclamation proclaiming the month of July 26, 2017 as Americans with Disabilities Act day.
In connection with public hearings, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinances 284-H. Rick Dunn, Building Official gave a presentation to Council regarding the proposed Ordinance. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

**BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that proposed Ordinance 284-H entitled: PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 284-H**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CITY CODE TO ADD A NEW SECTION 12-6(6) RELATING TO PERMITS AND FEES ONLY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES DIVISION; ESTABLISHING AND AMENDING THE SERVICES FOR WHICH PERMITS ARE REQUIRED TO BE OBTAINED; ESTABLISHING THE FEES CHARGED FOR SUCH PERMITS; REMOVING THE EXISTING SECTIONS 12-6(6) AND (7); AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.**

be adopted on second and final reading.


In connection with public hearings, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinances 285-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

**BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that proposed Ordinance 285-H entitled:**

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 285-H**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 5, ARTICLE 3 AND ARTICLE 4 OF THE CITY CODE RELATED TO WORKS OF ART IN PUBLIC CONSTRUCTION AND THE ACCEPTANCE PROCESS FOR DONATIONS TO THE CITY FOR ART; PERMITTING MONEYS FROM THE ART IN PUBLIC PLACES FUND TO BE UTILIZED FOR THE ACQUISITION OF WORKS OF ART, ADMINISTRATION COSTS OF THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMISSION, AND COSTS FOR INSURANCE, REPAIR, OR MAINTENANCE OF ANY WORKS OF ART IN THE CITY’S PUBLIC ART COLLECTION; PROVIDING A DEFINITION OF PUBLIC ART COLLECTION; REQUIRING CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL AND APPROPRIATIONS TO UTILIZE MONEYS FROM THE ART IN PUBLIC PLACES FUND FOR ANY COSTS RELATED TO DONATED ARTWORK IN THE CITY’S PUBLIC ART COLLECTION;**
MODIFYING THE PROCESS FOR ACCEPTING DONATED ARTWORK;
MODIFYING THE PROCESS FOR ACCEPTING DONATIONS OF MONEY TO
THE CITY FOR PUBLIC ART; PROVIDING FOR ADDITIONAL CLARIFYING
LANGUAGE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

be adopted on second and final reading.


In connection with public hearings, Derek Kilborn, Urban Planning and Historic Preservation Manager gave a presentation to Council regarding St. Petersburg Design Guidelines for Historic Properties. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, the following person(s) came forward:

1. Robin Reed, 705 16th Avenue Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed resolution.
2. Sharon Winters, 806 18th Avenue Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed resolution.

Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Foster that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-396  A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, APPROVING THE DESIGN GUIDELINES FOR HISTORIC PROPERTIES; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with reports, Cliff Smith, Veterans, Homeless and Social Services Manager gave a presentation to Council regarding the Rapid Rehousing Initiative. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolutions be adopted:

2017-397  A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG AND PINELLAS COUNTY TO IMPLEMENT A RAPID REHOUSING INITIATIVE TO ASSIST OUR HOMELESS FAMILIES AND INDIVIDUALS WITH HOUSING AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

2017-398  A RESOLUTION APPROVING A FOUR-YEAR AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA ("CITY") AND THE SOCIETY OF ST. VINCENT DE PAUL, SOUTH PINELLAS, INC. ("ST. VINCENT") FOR ST. VINCENT TO PROVIDE FOR THE SYSTEM TEAMS COMPONENT OF THE RAPID REHOUSING PROGRAM AT NO COST TO THE CITY; AUTHORIZING THE CITY ATTORNEY TO MAKE NON-SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES TO THE AGREEMENT; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS
DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

2017-399

A RESOLUTION APPROVING A FOUR-YEAR AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA ("CITY") AND 2-1-1 TAMPA BAY CARES, INC. ("2-1-1 TBC") FOR THE CITY TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR 2-1-1 TBC'S FISCAL ADMINISTRATION OF THE RAPID REHOUSING PROGRAM AT AN ANNUAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $400,000, SUBJECT TO ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS; AUTHORIZING THE CITY ATTORNEY TO MAKE NON-SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES TO THE AGREEMENT; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

2017-400

A RESOLUTION APPROVING A ONE-YEAR AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA ("CITY") AND 2-1-1 TAMPA BAY CARES, INC. ("2-1-1 TBC") FOR THE CITY TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR 2-1-1 TBC'S FISCAL ADMINISTRATION OF THE RAPID REHOUSING PROGRAM UTILIZING STATE HOUSING INITIATIVES PARTNERSHIP ("SHIP") GRANT FUNDS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $100,000; AUTHORIZING THE CITY ATTORNEY TO MAKE NON-SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES TO THE AGREEMENT; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with the Homeless Leadership Board report, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Foster that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Homeless Leadership Board report of June 15, 2017.


In connection with a new business item presented by Councilmember Amy Foster, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Foster moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council refer to the Budget, Finance and Taxation Committee for consideration to consider adding to the Weeki Wachee project list enhancements to Booker Creek Park.

In connection with a new business item presented by Councilmember Karl Nurse, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council request staff to prepare and bring to City Council for consideration, an ordinance to require the addition of sidewalks as part of new construction or major renovations, providing an alternative fee in specified cases.


In connection with a new business item presented by Councilmember Steve Kornell, requesting the attached memorandum regarding LDR revisions to be read into the record on July 13, 2017 as part of first reading and on July 20, 2017 as part of the public hearing, no action was taken.

In connection with a new business item presented by Councilmember Steve Kornell, requesting the attached memorandum regarding the FDEP Consent Order be read into the record at the July 13, 2017 City Council meeting, no action was taken.

In connection with new ordinances, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 1099-V. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that setting August 3, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 1099-V

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE VACATION OF A 20-FOOT EAST/WEST ALLEY IN THE BLOCK BOUNDED BY CENTRAL AVENUE AND 1ST AVENUE NORTH BETWEEN 16TH STREET NORTH AND 17TH STREET NORTH, AN INGRESS-EGRESS EASEMENT AND A STORMWATER DRAINAGE EASEMENT AS DESCRIBED IN OR BOOK 1990, PAGE 1941, AND A 5-FOOT WIDE PERPETUAL AND EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT FOR WATER METER(S), WATER DISTRIBUTION LINE(S) AND BACKFLOW PREVENTION DEVICE(S) WITHIN LOT 13 AS DESCRIBED IN OR BOOK 12688, PAGE 1910; SETTING FORTH CONDITIONS FOR THE VACATION TO BECOME EFFECTIVE; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE. (CITY FILE:17-33000006)
07/13/2017


In connection with new ordinances, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 286-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that setting July 20, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 286-H

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA AMENDING SECTION 16.70.040 I.H. OF THE CITY CODE REGULATING MODIFICATION OF PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS AND SITE PLANS WITH MULTIPLE PROPERTY OWNERS AND ESTABLISHING THE PROCESS FOR MODIFYING APPLICATIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with new ordinances, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 287-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, the following person(s) came forward:

1. Dillon John Alderman, 5000 17th Avenue North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
2. Anna Broshears, 226 17th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
3. Darrel Gordon, 2934 Burlington Avenue North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
4. Brenda Gordon, 2934 Burlington Avenue North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
5. Linda Kellett, 242 30th Street North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
6. Maria Herrera, 2058 3rd Avenue North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
7. Brooke Rosenberg, 436 12th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
8. Lucy Trimarco, 809 18th Avenue North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
9. Lisa Presnail, 2042 3rd Avenue North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
10. Nerverne Covington, 236 7th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
11. Robin Reed, 705 16th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
12. Diane Cardin-Kamleiter, 435 11th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
13. Mark S. Kamleiter, 435 11th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
14. Catherine Landstra, 515 11th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
15. Charlotte Meaders, 515 11th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
16. Gordon Powers, 1100 Beach Drive Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
17. Ed Komacka, 405 15th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
18. John Barie, 2121 1st Street Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
19. Bob Clarke, 446 11th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
20. Richard McGinnis, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
21. James Landers, 356 22nd Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
22. Martin Frame, 1052 6th Street North #3, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.

The following person(s) were present but did not wish to speak:

1. Joe Reed, 705 16th Avenue Northeast, was in support of the proposed Ordinance.

Elizabeth Abernethy, Zoning Official gave a presentation to Council regarding the proposed Ordinance. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that setting July 20, 2017 as the public hearing date for the following proposed Ordinance(s):

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 287-H

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG PROVIDING FOR THE AMENDMENT OF THE ST. PETERSBURG CITY CODE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS; AMENDING THE MAXIMUM DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL BY ESTABLISHING FAR LIMITATIONS AND BONUSES IN NT AND NS DISTRICTS; AMENDING SETBACK REQUIREMENTS, BUILDING AND SITE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS AND ACCESSORY STRUCTURE REQUIREMENTS IN NT AND NS DISTRICTS; PROVIDING ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR WATERFRONT YARDS, PARKING AND DRIVeways; AMENDING REGULATIONS RELATING TO ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS AND LIVING SPACES; AMENDING REGULATIONS RELATING TO ACCESSORY STORAGE STRUCTURES ON THROUGH LOTS; ANCILLARY EQUIPMENT, AND ROOF OR CANOPY STRUCTURES ON DOCKS; AMENDING THE GATE AND FENCING REQUIREMENTS FOR DOCKS ON NONCONTIGUOUS WATER LOTS AND CONTIGUOUS WATER LOTS LOCATED ACROSS A RIGHT OF WAY FROM THE PRINCIPAL USE; AMENDING THE METHOD THAT BUILDING HEIGHT IS MEASURED IN SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS; REVISING NONCONFORMING LOTS REQUIREMENTS; AMENDING THE
ALLOWABLE ENCROACHMENT INTO SETBACKS REQUIREMENTS FOR ARBORS, PATIOS, SCREEN ENCLOSURES, GARAGES, AND SHEDS; AMENDING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF ABANDONED USES AND REDEVELOPMENT OF GRANDFATHERED USES; PROVIDING FOR DRIVEWAY MAINTENANCE; PROVIDING FOR DOMESTIC EQUIPMENT REGULATIONS; PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS; PROVIDING FOR NEW AND REVISED DEFINITIONS; REVISING EXISTING GRAPHICS AND ADDING NEW GRAPHICS; MAKING INTERNAL LANGUAGE, TABLES AND CHARTS CONSISTENT; CODIFYING INTERPRETATIVE LANGUAGE AND CLARIFICATIONS; CORRECTING TYPOGRAPHICAL, GRAMMATICAL AND SCRIVENERS ERRORS; REMOVING OBSOLETE LANGUAGE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council limit the Floor Area Ratio (FAR) to NT-1, NT-2, NT-3 and NT-4.


Councilmember Foster moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council create a Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of .50 for NT-1 and NT-4 and a Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of .40 for NT-2 and NT-3 with a bonus of .20 for NT-1, NT-2, NT-3 and NT-4.


Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Foster that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council create a Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of .50 for NS-1 with a bonus of .20.

In connection with reports, Deborah Volk, Operations Analyst presented the St. Pete Stats Update. No action was taken.

In connection with the Public Services and Infrastructure Committee report, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-401  A RESOLUTION OF THE ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL SUPPORTING THE OPERATION OF A DOWNTOWN CIRCULATOR SERVICE, TO INCLUDE EXPANSION OF THE EXISTING LOOPER, WHICH IS FOCUSED ON ENHANCING MOBILITY IN THE GREATER DOWNTOWN AREA AND SERVING THE RESIDENTIAL, EMPLOYEE AND TOURIST MARKETS; REQUESTING ADMINISTRATION TO WORK WITH PSTA, FORWARD PINELLAS, THE LOOPER GROUP AND STAKEHOLDERS TO FINALIZE ROUTING; REQUESTING ADMINISTRATION TO IDENTIFY NECESSARY FUNDING AND NEGOTIATE AN OPERATING AND FUNDING AGREEMENT FOR CITY COUNCIL’S CONSIDERATION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Public Services and Infrastructure Committee report of June 15, 2017.


In connection with reports, Assistant Police Chief Michael Kovacsev gave a presentation to Council regarding dashboard cameras. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-402  A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE PURCHASE OF 15 NEW DASHBOARD CAMERAS AND ACCESSORIES FROM CDW GOVERNMENT LLC FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AT A TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED $87,000; UTILIZING THE NATIONAL JOINT POWERS ALLIANCE (NJPA) CONTRACT NO. 100614; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

In connection with reports, Claude Tankersley, Public Works Administrator presented the Sewer Report. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-403  A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA AND LAYNE INLINER, LLC ("LAYNE") DATED MAY 28, 2015 (AS AMENDED) TO INCREASE THE CONTRACT PRICE IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $1,000,000 FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT PRICE NOT TO EXCEED $6,220,000; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with reports, Sophia Sorolis, Economic Development Manager gave a presentation to Council regarding a resolution recommending that Project B7060136103 be approved as a Qualified Target Industry Business. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.

Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Gerdes that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-404  A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING THAT PROJECT B7060136103 ("PROJECT"), A CONFIDENTIAL PROJECT, PURSUANT TO SECTION 288.075, FLORIDA STATUTES BE APPROVED AS A QUALIFIED TARGET INDUSTRY ("QTI") BUSINESS PURSUANT TO SECTION 288.106, FLORIDA STATUTES WITH AN AVERAGE PRIVATE SECTOR WAGE COMMITMENT CALCULATION BASED ON 150% OF THE AVERAGE STATE OF FLORIDA WAGE; FINDING THAT THE COMMITMENTS OF LOCAL FINANCIAL SUPPORT NECESSARY FOR THE PROJECT EXIST; COMMITTING $36,000 AS THE CITY’S SHARE OF THE LOCAL FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR THE PROJECT BEGINNING IN STATE FY 2019, SUBJECT TO APPROPRIATION AND CONDITIONED ON THE PROJECT MEETING STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR, OR HIS DESIGNEE, TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS RESOLUTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

In connection with the Budget, Finance and Taxation report, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

**2017-405**  
A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO ADVERTISE THE CITY’S DRAFT FY 2017/18 ANNUAL ACTION PLAN AND TO EXECUTE ALL OTHER DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS RESOLUTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Nurse that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council create the Intown Citizens Advisory Council.


Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the June 15, 2017 and June 22, 2017 Budget, Finance and Taxation Committee reports presented by Councilmember James R. Kennedy, Jr.


In connection with the Committee of the Whole: Campaign Finance Reform report, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Committee of the Whole: Campaign Finance Reform report of June 22, 2017 presented by Chair Rice.


In connection with the Land Use and Transportation Committee report presented by Councilmember James R. Kennedy, Jr. and Chair Rice, Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:
BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the Land Use and Transportation report presented by Councilmember Kennedy and Chair Rice.


In connection with a Legal item, City Attorney Jacqueline Kovilaritch announced an Attorney-Client Session, to be held on Thursday, July 20, 2017 at 4:00 p.m. or soon thereafter, in conjunction with the lawsuit styled City of St. Petersburg v. Aude Smith Architecture, Inc. AIA f/k/a Aude, Shand & Williams, Inc. et al., Case No: 15-004928-CI.
NOTE: Business items listed on the yellow Consent Agenda cost more than one-half million dollars while the blue Consent Agenda includes routine business items costing less than that amount.

2017-380  1. Approving a blanket purchase agreement with Interconn Resources, LLC for the purchase of natural gas for City facilities and operations, in an annual amount not to exceed $550,000, for a total contract amount of $2,750,000.

2017-381  2. Awarding a blanket purchase agreement with the School Board of Pinellas County, a sole source supplier, to provide transportation services for the Parks and Recreation Department, for a total annual contract amount of $600,000.

3. Approving an amendment to the contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Layne Inliner, LLC (Layne) dated May 28, 2015 (as amended) to increase the contract price in an amount not to exceed $1,000,000 for a total contract price not to exceed $6,220,000; authorizing the Mayor or his Designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction and providing an effective date. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-1(b)]
NOTE: The Consent Agenda contains normal, routine business items that are very likely to be approved by the City Council by a single motion. Council questions on these items were answered prior to the meeting. Each Councilmember may, however, defer any item for added discussion at a later time.

1. Approving an increase in the allocation of chlorine to Allied Universal Corp. in the amount of $57,000, which increases the total contract amount to $405,460.

2. Approving a three-year cooperative purchase agreement with US Wholesale Pipe & Tube, Inc. for steel, aluminum and related materials, at an estimated annual cost of $110,000, for a total contract amount of $330,000.

3. Awarding a three-year blanket purchase agreement to Clark Sales Display, Inc. for holiday lighting display services for the Parks & Recreation Department, in an annual amount of $100,000, for a total contract amount of $300,000.

4. Accepting the bid from Midcoast Construction Enterprises, LLC for Seawall Repair at Venetian Isles Causeway - Grand Canal Boulevard NE Project, in the amount of $254,430 (Engineering Project No.17014-110; Oracle No.13733, 14642, and 15073); and providing an effective date.

5. Approving the purchase of dashboard cameras and accessories from CDW Government LLC for the Police Department, at a total cost of $87,000. [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-5]

6. Awarding contracts to Affiliated Engineers SE, Inc., Griner Engineering, Inc., Mashayekhi Consultants, Inc., and Voltair Consulting Engineers, Inc. for solar energy, energy efficiency, mechanical, electrical, plumbing and fire protection project professional consulting services, for the Engineering & Capital Improvements Department.

7. Authorizing the Mayor, or his Designee, to execute a License Agreement with Pinellas Opportunity Council, Inc., a Florida not-for-profit corporation, for use of ±512 sq. ft. of office space and classroom/lobby areas within City-owned Enoch Davis Center located at 1111 – 18th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, for a period of three (3) years, at a rental rate of $102.40 per month, plus $20.00 per month for telephone line access.
8. Authorizing the Mayor, or his Designee, to execute a License Agreement with United Way Suncoast, Inc., a Florida not-for-profit corporation, for the use of a modular building within a portion of City-owned Campbell Park located at approximately 601-12th Street South, St. Petersburg, for a period of two (2) years at an aggregate fee of $24.00; and waiving the reserve for replacement requirement of City Council Resolution No. 79-740A.

9. Approving the plat of Calebs Plat, generally located on the east side of 4th Street North between 40th Avenue North and 41st Avenue North. (City File: 16-2000016)

10. Confirming the appointment of Johnny Bardine as a regular member to the Civil Service Board to serve a three-year term ending June 30, 2020; confirming the appointment of Andrew J. Wilson as an alternate member to the Civil Service Board to serve a three-year term ending June 30, 2020.

11. Approving the minutes of the June 1, June 8, and June 15 City Council meetings.

12. Rapid Rehousing Initiative [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-6]
   
   (a) Authorizing the Mayor to execute an interlocal agreement between the City of St. Petersburg and Pinellas County to implement a Rapid Rehousing Initiative to assist our homeless families and individuals with housing and supportive services—and providing an effective date.

   (b) Approving a four-year agreement between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida (City) and the Society of St. Vincent de Paul, South Pinellas, Inc. (St. Vincent) for St. Vincent to provide for the system teams component of the Rapid Rehousing Program at no cost to the City; authorizing the City Attorney to make non-substantive changes to the agreement; authorizing the Mayor or his Designee to execute the agreement and providing an effective date.

   (c) Approving a four-year agreement between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida (City) and 2-1-1 Tampa Bay Cares, Inc. (2-1-1 TBC) for the City to provide funding for 2-1-1 TBC’s Fiscal Administration of the Rapid Rehousing Program at an annual amount not to exceed $400,000; subject to annual appropriations; authorizing the City Attorney to make non-substantive changes to the agreement; authorizing the Mayor or his Designee to execute the agreement and providing an effective date.

   (d) Approving a four-year agreement between the City of St-Petersburg, Florida (CITY) and 211 Tampa Bay Cares, Inc. (211 TBC) for the City to provide funding for 211 TBC’s Fiscal Administration of the Rapid Rehousing Program at an annual amount not to exceed $100,000; subject to funding and annual appropriations; authorizing the City Attorney to make non-substantive changes to the agreement; authorizing the Mayor or his Designee to execute the agreement and providing an effective date.

14. Approving polling places for the voting precincts that have been provided by the Pinellas County Supervisor of Elections for the August 29, 2017 Municipal Primary Election and providing an effective date.

15. Approving the poll workers selected to serve as election officers for the August 29, 2017 Municipal Primary Election and providing an effective date.


There being no further business, Chair Rice adjourned the meeting at 5:46 p.m.

________________________________________
Darden Rice, Chair-Councilmember
Presiding Officer of the City Council

ATTEST: ______________
Chan Srinivasa, City Clerk
Chair Darden Rice called the meeting to order with the following members present: Amy Foster, Charles Gerdes, James R. Kennedy, Jr., Ed Montanari, Karl Nurse, Darden Rice and Lisa Wheeler-Bowman. City Administrator Dr. Gary Cornwell, City Attorney Jacqueline Kovilaritch, Chief Assistant City Attorney Jeannine Williams, City Clerk Chan Srinivasa and Senior Deputy City Clerk Cathy Davis were also in attendance. Absent: Steve Kornell.

In connection with the approval of the agenda, Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that Council approve the agenda with the following changes as amended:

MOVED CA-1 Awarding a blanket purchase agreement to SAK Construction, LLC, for Sanitary Sewer Inflow/Infiltration Priority Area CIPP Lining FY17, in the amount of $2,250,000 (Engineering Project No. 17048-111; Oracle No. 15813). [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-4(d)]

ADD CB-15 Approving the professional services agreement between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (Consultant) for Consultant to develop an integrated sustainability action plan and provide other services for an amount not to exceed $247,450.

REVISED D-4 Ordinance 287-H amending the St. Petersburg City Code, Chapter 16, Land Development Regulations (LDRs) to modify residential development standards. (City File LDR-2017-01) (Corrected ordinance number only.)

REVISED D-5 Ordinance 286-H amending the St. Petersburg City Code, Chapter 16, Land Development Regulations (LDRs) to establish the process for modifying previously approved special exceptions and site plans with multiple property owners. (City File LDR-2017-06) (Corrected ordinance number only.)

DELETE D-6 Ordinance 1099-V approving the vacation of a 20-foot east/west alley in the block bounded by Central Avenue and 1st Avenue North between 16th Street North and 17th Street North, an Ingress-Egress Easement and a
Stormwater Drainage Easement as described in OR Book 18990, Page 1941, and a 5-foot wide Perpetual and Exclusive Easement for Water Meter(s), Water Distribution Line(s) and Backflow Prevention Device(s) within Lot 13 as described in OR Book 12688, Page 1910. (City File 17-33000006) [ITEM DEFERRED TO AUGUST 3, 2017 CITY COUNCIL MEETING.]

ADD E-4(b) Approving Florida Department of Environmental Protection Consent Order OGC File No. 16-1280; authorizing the Mayor or his Designee to the same and providing an effective date.

ADD E-4(c) A resolution approving Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF SW Capacity Upgrades FY17 Project (Engineering No. 16109-111; Oracle No. 15965) in an amount not to exceed $3,016,309; acknowledging funding available from bids received compared to estimates previously approved for WRF SW Capacity Upgrades FY17 Project; providing that the total Partial Control Estimate for WRF SW Capacity Upgrades FY17 Project (Engineering No. 16109-111; Oracle No. 15965) shall not exceed $11,413,668; approving Partial Control Estimate #3 for WRF SW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 16110-111; Oracle No. 15838) in an amount not to exceed $800,612; acknowledging funding available from bids received compared to estimates previously approved for WRF SW New Injection Wells FY17 Project; providing that the total Partial Control Estimate for WRF SW New Injection Wells FY17 Project (Engineering No. 16110-111; Oracle No. 15838) shall not exceed $4,786,308; approving the revised Partial Control Estimate for WRF SW New Filters FY17 Project (Engineering No. 16093-111; Oracle No. 15928) to modify the costs and increase the amount for a revised amount not to exceed $2,973,119; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute the Third Amendment to the Construction Manager Contract between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and the Haskell company dated March 22, 2017, as amended to incorporate the above referenced Partial Control Estimates into such Contract and modify other necessary sections; and providing an effective date.

ADD G-2 Requesting an amendment to Industrial Zoning category to include Churches. (Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman)

DELETE H-1 Homeless Leadership Board – (Oral) (Councilmember Foster)

DEFER H-2 Public Arts Commission – (Oral) (Councilmember Kornell) [ITEM DEFERRED TO AUGUST 3, 2017 CITY COUNCIL MEETING.]

DEFER H-3 Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council – (Oral) (Chair Rice) [ITEM DEFERRED TO AUGUST 3, 2017 CITY COUNCIL MEETING.]

ADD H-4 Energy, Natural Resources & Sustainability Committee (6/15/2017)

REVISE I-2 An Attorney-Client Session, to be heard at 4:00 p.m., or soon thereafter, pursuant to Florida Statute 286.011(8), in conjunction with the lawsuit styled City of St. Petersburg v. Aude Smith Architecture, Inc. AIA f/k/a Aude, Shand & Williams, Inc., et al., Case No. 15-004928-CI. (Title correction only.)

In connection with approval of the Consent Agenda, Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolutions be adopted approving the attached Consent Agenda as amended.


In connection with the Open Forum portion of the agenda, the following person(s) came forward:

1. Angela Davis, spoke regarding a Certificate of Use for First Mt. Pilgrim Evangelical Missionary Baptist Church.
2. Jessica Lewis, 3459 Iris Street, spoke regarding an integrated sustainability action plan.
3. Phil Compton, 1990 Central Avenue, spoke regarding an integrated sustainability action plan.

In connection with reports, Noah Taylor, Planner gave a presentation to Council regarding the Program for Public Information (PPI) report that provides education to the public regarding flooding hazards within the City of St. Petersburg. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council request staff bring back an Ordinance with a CRS Rating Score to Council.


In connection with reports, Tom Greene, Budget Director gave a presentation to Council regarding the Fiscal Year 2018 Budget. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Nurse that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-425 A RESOLUTION ADOPTING TENTATIVE MILLAGE RATE NECESSARY TO FUND THE TENTATIVE OPERATING BUDGET, OTHER THAN THE PORTION OF SAID BUDGET TO BE FUNDED FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN AD VALOREM TAXES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-426 A RESOLUTION SETTING THE DATES FOR PUBLIC HEARINGS UPON THE TENTATIVE OPERATING BUDGET AND PROPOSED MILLAGE RATE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with reports, Sharon Wright, Sustainability Manager gave a presentation to Council regarding the implementation of a City-wide Tree Planting Program. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, the following person(s) came forward:

1. Cathy Harrelson, 6051 48th Avenue North, spoke in support of the implementation of a City-wide Tree Planting Program.

Councilmember Montanari moved with the second of Councilmember Nurse that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-427 A RESOLUTION OF THE ST. PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL APPROVING THAT $475,000 OF FUNDS RESERVED FROM THE BP SETTLEMENT PROCEEDS BE APPROVED AS A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE GENERAL FUND (0001), DERIVED OF SETTLEMENT FUNDS FROM THE 2010 DEEPWATER HORIZON OIL SPILL (BP SETTLEMENT), TO THE MAYOR'S OFFICE (0001-020) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A CITY-WIDE TREE PLANTING PROGRAM; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with a Legal item, Chair Rice announced the commencement of an Attorney-Client Session, pursuant to Florida Statute 286.011(8), held in conjunction with the lawsuit styled City of St. Petersburg v. Aude Smith Architecture, Inc. AIA f/k/a Aude, Shand & Williams, Inc., et al., Case No. 15-004928-CI and announced those who would be in attendance.

The meeting was closed at 4:40 p.m.

The meeting was reopened at 5:20 p.m. and the Attorney/Client Session was terminated with the following members present: Amy Foster, Charles Gerdes, James R. Kennedy, Jr., Ed Montanari, Karl Nurse, Darden Rice and Lisa Wheeler-Bowman. Absent. Kornell.
Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:


In connection with a Legal item, Assistant City Attorney Ken MacCollom gave an update to Council regarding the lawsuit styled Mark Talafer and Janan Talafer v. City of St. Petersburg, Pinellas County Case No.: 16-005926-CI-8. Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Gerdes that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-429  A RESOLUTION APPROVING SETTLEMENT OF THE LAWSUIT OF MARK TALAFER AND JANAN TALAFER V. CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, CIRCUIT COURT FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA, CASE NO. 16-005926-CI; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with a new business item presented by Councilmember Lisa Wheeler-Bowman, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman moved with the second of Councilmember Gerdes that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council request staff bring forth an amendment to the Industrial Zoning Category to include Churches.


In connection with the Energy, Natural Resources and Sustainability report, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response.
Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council receive and file the June 15, 2017 Energy, Natural Resources and Sustainability report presented by Chair Rice.


In connection with the reports, Claude Tankersley, Public Works Administrator and Outside Counsel Attorney Doug Manson presented the Sewer Report. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, the following person(s) came forward:

1. Walter Donnelly, 6 Academy Way South, spoke in support of the Florida Department of Environmental Consent Order.

Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Gerdes that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-430 A RESOLUTION APPROVING FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION CONSENT ORDER OGC FILE NO. 16-1280; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO THE SAME; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-431 A RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO TASK ORDER NO. 16-06-KHA/T DATED MARCH 2, 2017, BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA (“CITY”) AND KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. (“A/E”) FOR A/E TO PROVIDE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE FINAL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PLANS OF THE SOUTHWEST WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY (SWWRF) ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $58,142.00, FOR A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $140,535.44 (ENGINEERING PROJECT NO. 17064-111; ORACLE NO. 15967); APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF $292,128 FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE WATER RESOURCES CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND (4003) TO THE WRF SW ACCESS IMPROVE FY17 PROJECT (15967) TO PROVIDE THE NECESSARY FUNDING FOR THIS TASK ORDER AND OTHER PROJECT RELATED COSTS SUCH AS ENGINEERING SERVICES, CONTINGENCY AND OTHER...
SOFT COSTS AND CONSTRUCTION COSTS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AMENDMENT NO. 1; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

2017-432  A RESOLUTION APPROVING PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #3 FOR WRF SW CAPACITY UPGRADES FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 16109-111; ORACLE NO. 15965) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $3,016,309; ACKNOWLEDGING FUNDING AVAILABLE FROM BIDS RECEIVED COMPARED TO ESTIMATES PREVIOUSLY APPROVED FOR WRF SW CAPACITY UPGRADES FY17 PROJECT; PROVIDING THAT THE TOTAL PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRF SW CAPACITY UPGRADES FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 16109-111; ORACLE NO. 15965) SHALL NOT TO EXCEED $11,413,668; APPROVING PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE #3 FOR WRF SW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 16110-111; ORACLE NO. 15838) IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $800,612; ACKNOWLEDGING FUNDING AVAILABLE FROM BIDS RECEIVED COMPARED TO ESTIMATES PREVIOUSLY APPROVED FOR WRF SW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT; PROVIDING THAT THE TOTAL PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRF SW NEW INJECTION WELLS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 16110-111; ORACLE NO. 15838) SHALL NOT TO EXCEED $4,786,308; APPROVING THE REVISED PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATE FOR WRF SW NEW FILTERS FY17 PROJECT (ENGINEERING NO. 16093-111; ORACLE NO. 15928) TO MODIFY THE COSTS AND INCREASE THE AMOUNT FOR A REVISED AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $2,973,119; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA AND THE HASKELL COMPANY DATED MARCH 22, 2017, AS AMENDED TO INCORPORATE THE ABOVE REFERENCED PARTIAL CONTROL ESTIMATES INTO SUCH CONTRACT AND MODIFY OTHER NECESSARY SECTIONS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Montanari that the following resolution be adopted:
A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE BID AND APPROVING THE AWARD OF A BLANKET AGREEMENT TO SAK CONSTRUCTION, LLC IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $2,250,000 FOR THE SANITARY SEWER INFLOW/INFILTRATION PRIORITY AREA CIPP LINING – FY17 PROJECT; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS TRANSACTION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


Chair Rice recessed the meeting at 6:31 p.m. for a dinner break.

Chair Rice reconvened the meeting at 7:06 p.m.

In connection with a new business item presented by Councilmember Steve Kornell, requesting the attached memorandum regarding LDR revisions to be read into the record as part of the public hearing, no action was taken.

In connection with a Sunshine Law matter, Councilmember Kennedy announced that while discussing with staff potential alternatives relating to Neighborhood Suburban-1 and 2, he was advised by staff that Councilmember Gerdes was intending to make a motion to remove Neighborhood Suburban-1 and 2. Before Councilmember Kennedy could stop the conversation that portion happened, and he wanted to put this matter on the record. City Attorney Jacqueline Kovilaritch advised Chair Rice that anything discussed regarding the matter be fully discussed in this City Council meeting so that nothing happened outside of the Sunshine Law. Ms. Kovilaritch then provided further clarification regarding the matter and the Sunshine Law.

In connection with public hearings, Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Nurse moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolutions be adopted:

2017-434 A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AND APPROVING PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR LOT CLEARING NO. 1579; PROVIDING FOR AN INTEREST RATE ON UNPAID ASSESSMENTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

2017-435 A RESOLUTION ASSESSING THE COSTS OF SECURING LISTED ON SECURING BUILDING NO. 1225 ("SEC 1225") AS LIENS AGAINST THE RESPECTIVE REAL PROPERTY ON WHICH THE COSTS WERE INCURRED; PROVIDING THAT SAID LIENS HAVE A PRIORITY AS ESTABLISHED BY CITY CODE SECTION 8-270; PROVIDING FOR AN INTEREST RATE ON UNPAID BALANCES; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND RECORD NOTICE(S) OF LIEN(S) IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF THE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
A RESOLUTION ASSESSING THE COSTS OF DEMOLITION LISTED ON BUILDING DEMOLITION NO. 451 ("DMO NO. 451") AS LIENS AGAINST THE RESPECTIVE REAL PROPERTY ON WHICH THE COSTS WERE INCURRED; PROVIDING THAT SAID LIENS HAVE A PRIORITY AS ESTABLISHED BY CITY CODE SECTION 8-270; PROVIDING FOR AN INTEREST RATE ON UNPAID BALANCES; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND RECORD NOTICE(S) OF LIEN(S) IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF THE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


In connection with public hearings, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 287-H. Elizabeth Abernethy, Zoning Official gave a presentation to Council regarding an Ordinance amending the St. Petersburg City Code, Chapter 16, Land Development Regulations (LDRs) to modify residential development standards. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, the following person(s) came forward:

1. Lee Allen, 926 18th Avenue Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
2. Martin Frame, 1052 6th Street North #3, spoke in opposition to the proposed Ordinance.
3. Benjamin Gelston, 1019 7th Street North, spoke in opposition to the proposed Ordinance.
4. Stephanie Pitts, 860 24th Avenue North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
5. John Brashears, 226 17th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
6. Andrew Muss, 330 15th Avenue Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
7. Frank A. Lewis, 406 15th Avenue Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
8. Earl Waters, 2901 3rd Avenue North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
9. Charla Cribb, 2218 8th Avenue North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
10. Julia Brazier, 217 20th Avenue North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
11. Joann Schmal, 2200 Burlington Avenue North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
12. William Heyen, 2420 7th Avenue North, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
13. Lucy Trimarco, 809 18th Avenue North, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
14. Sue Blanshan, 241 10th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
15. Don Strobel, 236 7th Avenue Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
16. Neverne Covington, 236 7th Avenue Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
17. James Garner, 3142 6th Avenue North, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
18. Joe O'Connor, 143 18th Avenue North, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
19. Denise Deja, 528 Dartmoor Street North, spoke in opposition to the proposed Ordinance.
20. Julia Rummel, 2428 Burlington Avenue North, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
21. Catherine Landstra, 515 11th Avenue Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
22. Mark Dye, 145 6th Avenue Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
23. Joe Farrell, 4590 Ulmerton Road, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
24. Ed Komacka, 405 15th Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
25. Jeff Danner, 2351 Dartmouth Avenue North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
26. Kimberley Wolfe, 600 1st Street North, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
27. Traci Boyle, 261 29th Street North, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
28. James Landers, 356 22nd Avenue Northeast, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
29. Jeremiah Rohr, 2267 6th Avenue North, spoke regarding the proposed Ordinance.
30. Mark Kamleiter, 435 11th Avenue Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
31. Diane Cardin-Kamleiter, 435 11th Avenue Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
32. Celia Clarke, 446 11th Avenue Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
33. Jack Spinard, 3421 16th Street North, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
34. Paul Boudreaux, 1000 North Shore Drive Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
35. Lynne Mormino, 235 10th Avenue North, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
36. John Barie, 3232 1st Street Northeast, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.
37. Sally Ann Lawson, 135 5th Avenue North, spoke in support of the proposed Ordinance.

The following person(s) were present but did not wish to speak:

1. Susan Lewis, 406 15th Avenue Northeast, was in support of the proposed Ordinance.
2. Ann Albert, 355 15th Avenue Northeast, was in opposition to the proposed Ordinance.
3. Gerald Meadevs, 515 11th Avenue Northeast, was in support of the proposed Ordinance.
4. Suzanne Dye, 145 6th Avenue Northeast, was in support of the proposed Ordinance.

Chair Rice recessed the meeting at 8:58 p.m. for a short break.

Chair Rice reconvened the meeting at 9:11 p.m.

Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Kennedy that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that the Council delete Neighborhood Suburban-1 (NS-1) from the Floor Area Ratio (FAR) table.


Councilmember Kennedy moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that proposed Ordinance 287-H entitled:
PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 287-H

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG PROVIDING FOR THE AMENDMENT OF THE ST. PETERSBURG CITY CODE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS; AMENDING THE MAXIMUM DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL BY ESTABLISHING FAR LIMITATIONS AND BONUSES IN NT AND NS DISTRICTS; AMENDING SETBACK REQUIREMENTS, BUILDING AND SITE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS AND ACCESSORY STRUCTURE REQUIREMENTS IN NT AND NS DISTRICTS; PROVIDING ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR WATERFRONT YARDS, PARKING, AND DRIVeways; AMENDING REGULATIONS RELATING TO ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS AND LIVING SPACES; AMENDING REGULATIONS RELATING TO ACCESSORY STORAGE STRUCTURES ON THROUGH LOTS, ANCILLARY EQUIPMENT, AND ROOF OR CANOPY STRUCTURES ON DOCKS; AMENDING THE GATE AND FENCING REQUIREMENTS FOR DOCKS ON NONCONTIGUOUS WATER LOTS AND CONTIGUOUS WATER LOTS LOCATED ACROSS A RIGHT OF WAY FROM THE PRINCIPAL USE; AMENDING THE METHOD THAT BUILDING HEIGHT IS MEASURED IN SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS; REvisING NONCONFORMING LOTS REQUIREMENTS; AMENDING THE ALLOWABLE ENCROACHMENT INTO SETBACKS REQUIREMENTS FOR ARBORS, PATIOS, SCREEN ENCLOSURES, GARAGES, AND SHEDS; AMENDING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF ABANDONED USES AND REDEVELOPMENT OF GRANDFATHERED USES; PROVIDING FOR DRIVEWAY MAINTENANCE; PROVIDING FOR DOMESTIC EQUIPMENT REGULATIONS; PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS; PROVIDING FOR NEW AND REVISED DEFINITIONS; REvising EXISTING GRAPHICS AND ADDING NEW GRAPHICS; MAKING INTERNAL LANGUAGE, TABLES AND CHARTS CONSISTENT; CODIFYING INTERPRETATIVE LANGUAGE AND CLARIFICATIONS; CORRECTING TYPOGRAPHICAL, GRAMMATICAL AND SCRIVENERS ERRORS; REMOVING OBSOLETE LANGUAGE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

be adopted on second and final reading as amended.


In connection with public hearings, the Clerk read the title of proposed Ordinance 286-H. Chair Rice asked if there were any person(s) present wishing to be heard, there was no response. Councilmember Gerdes moved with the second of Councilmember Wheeler-Bowman that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED By the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida, that proposed Ordinance 286-H entitled:
PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 286-H

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA
AMENDING SECTION 16.70.040.1.H. OF THE CITY CODE REGULATING
MODIFICATION OF PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS
AND SITE PLANS WITH MULTIPLE PROPERTY OWNERS AND
ESTABLISHING THE PROCESS FOR MODIFYING APPLICATIONS; AND
PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

be adopted on second and final reading.

None. Absent. Kornell.
NOTE: Business items listed on the yellow Consent Agenda cost more than one-half million dollars while the blue Consent Agenda includes routine business items costing less than that amount.

1. Awarding a blanket purchase agreement to SAK Construction, LLC, for Sanitary Sewer Inflow/Infiltration Priority Area CIPP Lining FY17, in the amount of $2,250,000 (Engineering Project No. 17048-111; Oracle No. 15813). [MOVED TO REPORTS AS E-4(d)]

2. Approving the purchase of vehicles and heavy equipment from Environmental Products Group, Inc., EFE, Inc., Nortrax, Inc., Palmetto Ford Truck Sales, Inc., Sun State International Truck Sales, LLC, Thompson Pump and Manufacturing Company, Inc., and Rick Croft Enterprises, Inc., for the Fleet Management Department, at a total cost of $1,844,825; and approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $278,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Stormwater Utility Operating Fund (4011) to the Stormwater, Pavement & Traffic Operations Department, Street Sweeping (400-1325).

3. Approving the purchase of 14 vehicles from Alan Jay Ford Lincoln Mercury, Inc., for the Fleet Management Department, at a total cost of $1,046,543.66; and approving a supplemental appropriation in the amount of $53,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Stormwater Utility Operating Fund (4011) to the Stormwater, Pavement & Traffic Operations Department, Mowing Operations (400-1321).

4. Approving the renewal of blanket purchase agreements with Ram Tool & Supply Co Inc, Fastenal Company, and Bert Lowe Supply Company for industrial supplies, at an estimated annual cost of $150,000 for a total contract amount of $565,000.

5. Approving the renewal of blanket purchase agreements for electrical supplies with Mayer Electric Supply Company, Inc., Rexel Inc., and Electric Supply of Tampa, Inc., at an estimated annual cost of $125,000 for a total contract amount of $520,000.
NOTE: The Consent Agenda contains normal, routine business items that are very likely to be approved by the City Council by a single motion. Council questions on these items were answered prior to the meeting. Each Councilmember may, however, defer any item for added discussion at a later time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017-410</td>
<td>Approving the purchase of a vacuum tanker truck from Environmental Products Group, Inc. for the Fleet Management Department, at a total cost of $385,106.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-411</td>
<td>Approving the renewal of a blanket purchase agreement with The School Board of Pinellas County, Florida for the after-school snack program for the Parks &amp; Recreation Department, at an estimated annual cost of $193,880 for a total contract amount of $372,600.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-412</td>
<td>Approving the purchase of a bucket truck from Altec Industries, Inc., for the Fleet Management Department, at a total cost of $208,480.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-413</td>
<td>Rescinding an award to Compuquip Technologies LLC in the amount of $155,324 and awarding a contract to PC Solutions &amp; Integration, Inc., for Next Generation Firewall Equipment for the Department of Technology Services at a total cost of $133,588.80.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-414</td>
<td>Approving the purchase of a trencher from Vermeer Southeast Sales &amp; Services, Inc., for the Fleet Management Department, at a total cost of $87,692.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-415</td>
<td>Authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute task order #4 with Thompson Consulting, LLC for disaster-related reimbursement assistance consulting services for the total amount of all task orders to exceed $82,950.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-416</td>
<td>Accepting the bid from Quality Roofing Inc., in the amount of $74,000 for replacing the corrugated metal roof at the Albert Whitted Airport Hangar #1 and approving a supplemental appropriation of $13,000 from the unappropriated fund balance of the Airport Capital Projects Fund (4033) to this project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-417</td>
<td>Approving the purchase of a steel drum roller from Ring Power Corporation for the Fleet Management Department, at a total cost of $52,215.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-418</td>
<td>Approving the purchase of a portable air compressor from Ring Power Corporation, for the Fleet Management Department, at a total cost of $22,521.00.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. Approving a two-year agreement with The Sembler Company, for property management services for Tangerine Plaza, for a monthly management fee of the greater of four percent of all receipts or $2,500, plus commission on leases.

11. A Resolution authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Florida Clean Vessel Act Program Agreement (“FDEP Agreement”) (No. MV210; Project No. CVA16-787) between the City of St. Petersburg and FDEP, which provides grant funding in the amount of $85,575 (75%) and requires City matching funds in the amount of $28,525 (25%) for the purchase of a pump-out boat and pump-out station; authorizing the Mayor or his designee to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; and providing an effective date.

12. Authorizing the Mayor, or his designee, to execute a one (1) year Lease Agreement with Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority ("PSTA"), an independent special district of the State of Florida, for the use of a portion of PSTA’s Grand Central Station bus terminal, generally located at 3180 Central Avenue, St. Petersburg, for the purpose of operating a Police Resource Center.

13. Resolution approving a supplemental appropriation of $400,000 from the increase in the unappropriated balance of the General Capital Improvement Fund to the US EPA Brownfield Assessment Grant Project, from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in the amount of $400,000 to inventory, characterize, assess, and conduct cleanup planning and community involvement related activities for brownfields sites in the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area; and providing an effective date.

14. Authorizing the Mayor or his designee to accept the proposal submitted by Brown & Brown of Florida, Inc. to provide property insurance coverage for Tangerine Plaza effective July 21, 2017 until March 31, 2018, at an estimated cost of $1,795, and to execute all documents necessary to effectuate this transaction; and providing an effective date.

15. Approving the professional services agreement between the City of St. Petersburg, Florida and Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (“Consultant”) for Consultant to develop an integrated sustainability action plan and provide other services for an amount not to exceed $247,450.

There being no further business, Chair Rice adjourned the meeting at 9:48 p.m.

Darden Rice, Chair-Councilmember
Presiding Officer of the City Council

Chan Srinivasa, City Clerk
MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Darden Rice, Chair and Members of City Council
FROM: Jacqueline M. Kovilaritch, City Attorney
DATE: August 17, 2017
RE: Vacant Assistant City Attorney Position

Since the recent retirement of Mark Winn, the Legal Department has been one attorney short of its normal strength. I have recommended, and the Mayor has appointed, an individual whom we feel is highly qualified for this open position.

Derrill McAteer graduated from the University of Florida Levin College of Law in 2002 and was admitted to The Florida Bar in 2003. Prior to attending law school, Derrill earned a Bachelor of Arts in Politics from Wake Forest University in 1999. Derrill has 15 years of experience working in private law firms as well as for local governments. He has represented both Sumter and Volusia counties in real estate, land use and other local government law matters.

Your August 24, 2017 City Council meeting agenda will include a consent agenda item requesting that you confirm Derrill McAteer as an Assistant City Attorney. This appointment will take effect immediately upon its adoption. Derrill will begin employment on Monday August 28, 2017.

This item is being included with Adds/Deletes because the offer and conditional acceptance process did not conclude until after the agenda deadline, and due to the need to commence Mr. McAteer’s employment as soon as possible.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Attachment

cc: Mayor Rick Kriseman
A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF
ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA CONFIRMING
THE APPOINTMENT OF DERRILL McATEER
AS ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY; AND
PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg, Florida that this Council confirms the appointment by the Mayor, made upon the recommendation of the City Attorney, of Derrill McAteer as Assistant City Attorney.

This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

Approved as to form and content:

[Signature]

City Attorney (designee)
TO: Members of City Council

SUBJECT: Time change for September 7, 2017 City Council Meeting

EXPLANATION:

Approval of changing the beginning time of the September 7, 2017 City Council meeting from 8:30 am to 1:30 pm.

Darden Rice
City Council Chair