

City of St. Petersburg  
**Public Services & Infrastructure Committee**  
**Meeting of July 20, 2017, 9:15 am**  
City Hall, Room 100

---

Members: Chair Steve Kornell, Vice Chair Ed Montanari, Council Members Charlie Gerdes, Amy Foster; Alternate: Council Member Jim Kennedy

Support Staff: Judy Tenison, primary support staff; Cindy Sheppard, backup support staff

**1) Call to Order**

**2) Approval of Agenda**

**3) Approval of June 15, 2017 Minutes**

**4) New Business – July 20, 2017**

- a) Follow up on Capital Improvement Assessment on Bridges (from the 4/27 PS&I meeting), B. Prayman
- b) Possible Infiltration/Inflow language for Wholesale Sewer Customers, C. Tankersley
- c) Amendment to City Council Policy and Procedures Manual to restrict the drafting of substantive changes to documents on the dais that would require the agenda item to be suspended and continued later in the same meeting.

**5) Upcoming meeting dates with tentative agenda items**

**July 27, 2017**

- a) Vehicle for Hire Ordinance, H. Judd
- b) Plan Review and Inspection Services, D. Goodwin

**August 17, 2017**

- a) Medical Marijuana Dispensaries, D. Goodwin
- b) Conversion or Reparative Therapy Resolution, J. Williams, D. Martin

*Attachments:*

Minutes of the June 15, 2017 PS&I Committee Meeting  
New Business Item Support Material  
Pending and Continuing Referral List

City of St. Petersburg  
**Public Services & Infrastructure Committee**  
*MEETING MINUTES OF JUNE 15 2017*  
City Hall, Room 100

---

Members: Chair Steve Kornell, Vice-Chair Ed Montanari, Council Members Charlie Gerdes, Amy Foster;  
Alternate: Council Member Jim Kennedy

Also Present: Council Members Karl Nurse, Lisa Wheeler-Bowman, Darden Rice, City Attorney Jackie Kovilaritch

Support Staff: Judy Tenison, City Council Administrative Aide

**1. Call to order** - 9:30 a.m.

**2. Approval of Agenda** - Motion to approve by CM Gerdes, all in favor.

**3. Approval of June 8, 2017 Minutes** - Motion to approve by CM Gerdes, all in favor.

**4. New Business**

*a) Police Department report regarding Dashboard Cameras, Body Cameras and Shot Spotter-Police Chief Holloway*

Chief Holloway stated that the Police Dept. has put together a proposal that when new cars are purchased, they would like to have them equipped with Dash Cams. It is less expensive to purchase the cars with the Dash Cams, rather than having the Dash Cam installed later. The recording of the Dash Cam starts when the officer turns on the lights/siren. It goes back 30 seconds, so it will show exactly what the officer saw prior to making the stop. It is recording while in contact with the citizen during the traffic stop. After the person leaves, it is still recording for 30 seconds so we can actually see the person drive off. We can see everything that happens during that traffic stop. Will cost \$87,000 to equip 15 cars. Council put that on hold to look into alternatives.

Shot Spotter requires three elements: audio technology that determines where shots were fired, license plate reader, cameras. The cost for three square mile coverage is \$235,000; for 4.5 square mile is \$347,000 with a \$65,000 yearly recurring fee for data technology. This does not include the license plate reader or cameras.

Looking at two potential sources for funding: Urban Area Security Initiative and the State Homeland Security Grant, which is how Tampa got theirs. We have formed a partnership with our fellow law enforcement partners so they can help us get these grants.

Chief Holloway recommends moving forward with the Dash Cam because that is what we need and that is what we promised our citizens. PD will work with our Federal partners on getting the grant for Shot Spotter, the license plate reader and the cameras.

The Police Department is looking at testing one other piece of equipment for Body Cameras. Once that is done at the end of this year they will phase those onto the street for testing. Sometime next year we may come to Council to say we are ready to move forward with Body Cams. If we move forward with that, then we may have to take a step back from the Dash Cams to use Body Cams because the officers would be using them all the time.

CM Foster stated some concerns about moving forward with one technology and then pulling back and saying no, this is what we really need to do and then having to phase that in and the additional cost that would require. With limited resources, maybe we need to decide which way to go.

Chief Holloway stated that Dash Cams only capture traffic stops. They are not capturing the officers behind the house or behind the building. He also stated that once we field test the Body Cams and it shows over the steering wheel, we would stop there and say the Body Cam would suffice for both technologies. However, if the Body Cam cannot see over the steering wheel/dash board, then we would still need the Dash Cam because a lot of people question the officer on traffic stops. That's why we want to purchase these additional 15 Dash Cams, so we will have 45 in our fleet. Then we would evaluate when we get the next test back from the Body Cam.

George Lofton, PBA Official, stated that the Union is not really on board with Body Cameras. There is retention, storage and redaction issues as well as public/privacy concerns. The Shot Spotter has additional costs. As far as the City goes, although the area that CM Nurse is looking at is the high volume area, that is a very small percentage of the city. With limited funding, the most bang for the buck is with the Dash Cams. They support Dash Cams.

CM Nurse stated that the first thing that happens with cities using Shot Spotter is that gun fire goes down. If you have a 30% reduction in gun fire and nothing else happens, that would be worth the investment. Is the highest priority to identify Police officers who are acting improperly or to have the capacity to more quickly respond to gun fire? If what it takes for us is to get a grant for this, then that is what it takes.

Chief Holloway stated we do not have money in the budget to purchase Shot Spotter. Shot Spotter is one of three parts; would have to give you the cost of license plate reader and cameras and we don't know that cost.

CM Rice asked if we have solid independent data showing how this could serve a preventive role. No studies of other cities that have implemented this?

Chief Holloway stated that of the cities that have done the studies some are moving toward buying more; some cities are taking them down. Dash Cams make sense; Body Cams are maybe not a good way to go.

CM Montanari inquired about the back-end cost of Dash Cam, storage, management, personnel to operate? Chief Holloway stated that as time goes on we will need more people in records, and IT to maintain. Storage should not be much of a problem. CM Montanari asked if redaction is possible on Dash Cams? Chief Holloway stated no. There is no expectation of privacy at a traffic stop. If using a Body Cam, someone would have to go back and take certain things out. CM Montanari asked if the federal grant would cover all the costs of the Shot Spotter? Chief Holloway replied, yes, it would cover everything. CM Montanari queried the Chief on his recommendation to go after these grants and if we get them then to implement the Shot Spotter? Chief Holloway replied, yes. We need to move forward with the \$87,000 for the Dash Cams.

CM Gerdes inquired that if the funding was in the budget for next year for Shot Spotter and the Chief and the Mayor, hypothetically, decided that Shot Spotter is not effective, could that money be spent for something else? J. Kovilaritch stated that it depends on how the budget is set up. Council can authorize the Mayor to purchase Shot Spotter, you can't require the Mayor to do it. CM Gerdes asked the Chief hypothetically, if you have \$300,000 today, would you use the money to buy Shot Spotter? Chief Holloway replied yes, from initial testing, but again you would need all three components. CM Gerdes-it is my understanding that the Dash

Cams were implemented due to citizen input and that you (Holloway) made a commitment to the community that you would implement the Dash Cam in response to the community. Chief Holloway-that is correct. That is why we came up with that technology; it is already out there, tested and proven. CM Gerdes stated that Council held up the \$87,000 for the Dash Cams and made a motion to move forward with the \$87,000. Then come to budget workshop for the money for Shot Spotter.

CM Wheeler-Bowman stated if we can get a grant to get Shot Spotter with all three components and put it in the hot areas that would be a beginning to helping our residents. She does not want residents to feel that because we have Shot Spotter, they don't have to call to report gun shots. If it will reduce it 30% let's just see how it works with all three components. Supports the motion to find the money.

CM Kornell stated he supports the motion and also supports a friendly amendment to have Chief Holloway come back for Shot Spotter, but being very clear that we will talk about it at budget time.

CM Foster stated she would like to see Miami's results since they've had it for a while; have seen some reporting in some cities that over a three year period 75% of the alerts were false alarms. She would like to collect additional data from agencies that have been using this technology. We are a growing, changing city and when we have additional discussions at some point we are going to have to talk about capacity issues.

CM Kennedy stated that he supports going forward with purchasing the vehicles with the Dash Cams with the understanding that it's going to be an annual expenditure that makes budgetary sense. He also supports looking into Shot Spotter and whatever technologies maybe beneficial.

CM Kornell stated there is a motion on the floor to move forward with the \$87,000 allocation for the Dash Cams. Motion passed unanimously.

*b) St. Petersburg Downtown Partnership Looper Funding and Possible Expansion-Evan Mory, Dir. of Parking and Transportation Management, Cassandra Borchers, PSTA and Joni James, St. Petersburg Downtown Partnership*

E. Mory gave a brief introduction and reminded everyone this item came to committee due to the fact that the Looper has an aging fleet and something needs to be done to address that. Currently the Looper really serves only one market-the visitor market. They would like to serve more people who live and work downtown and locals who already know their way but are looking for public transportation. They also want to serve a larger geographic area. Streamlining the route will reach a greater geographic area. There are pros and cons to the proposed changes. The hardest part is the change in route that results in some stakeholders potentially losing front door service. What is a reasonable walk between these major destinations? The team put a lot of time into that. Within the past 16 months these three partnership agencies worked with stakeholders & the public to determine what would work well for the next circulator.

C. Borchers showed a Downtown St. Petersburg Circulator Study power point highlighting the circulator service. This will provide effective circulation within downtown with one system as well as support the multimodal transportation network; support economic and community development; and be financially feasible and cost effective. The project studied top downtown destinations and districts. After looking into alternatives, the consensus was to extend service to the west of the existing Looper, and provide longer service hours. The pros of Alternative 8 connects almost all destinations, is more direct, connects almost all local PSTA routes, connects to the Pier District and will be an effective circulator for the BRT. The cons are that it reduces front door service for some existing stops and removes activity from along Beach Drive. The proposed operating plan requires three vehicles to operate every 15 minutes; uses a combination of Looper

and electric vehicles and has an estimated annual cost of \$1.1 million annually. About ½ of that is already covered by current investments and the stakeholder investments in the Looper. The incremental cost is \$600,000. PSTA has applied to the FDOT for a Service Development grant that could cover the incremental cost with a 50/50 match. The remaining incremental costs would be \$300,000 if we get the FDOT grant. A target start date of October 2018.

J. James shared that the Looper provides a fleet of vehicles available for special events. Last year the Looper moved more people for special events than for daily service.

C. Borchers stated that PSTA has applied for a grant from FDOT, selected a supplier for electric vehicles and are looking at where a charging station might be. The charging station is funded by BP settlement funds from the County. We are looking to moving forward with City & PSTA agreement on general routing. Would like to have a conversation about funding strategy for the incremental service.

E. Mory-We would like to have direction that you (committee) generally like this plan and would like the city to work with partner agencies to identify the funding once we know the funding gap from FDOT and to bring a funding plan back to committee or full Council to move this forward over the next couple of months.

CM Rice stated she strongly supports moving forward. Emphasized PSTA cannot afford to currently support the Looper in its current form. A real need is to redesign the route to make it more efficient to gain more ridership. The key to the success of the Looper is to keep it moving; cannot let it get stuck in parking lots, etc. Alternative 8 is a well thought out plan.

CM Kennedy stated he is very supportive. What we do downtown with the circulator does have an effect on the BRT and the BRT is the first example of actual mass transit in our county so getting it right is important.

J. James said this will make it an official PSTA route; it will be integrated into their One Bus Away System and integrated into their app, it will be a better service for tourists.

CM Gerdes moved to approve Staff proceeding forward with this plan. Motion passed unanimously.

Meeting adjourned at 11:04.

**EXHIBIT [D]**

**INFLOW AND INFILTRATION AGREEMENT**  
Between  
**CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG and [WHOLESALE CUSTOMER]**

**THIS INFLOW AND INFILTRATION AGREEMENT** entered into this \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D. 20\_\_, by and between the CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG, a Florida municipal corporation, herein referred to as “St. Petersburg”, and [WHOLESALE CUSTOMER], a, herein referred to as “[CUSTOMER]”. Both St. Petersburg, as a provider of wholesale wastewater services, and [CUSTOMER], as a recipient of wholesale wastewater services, collectively hereafter sometimes referred to as the “Parties,” are located in Pinellas County Florida.

**WITNESSETH:**

**WHEREAS**, St. Petersburg owns, operates and maintains wastewater collection/transmission, treatment, and disposal systems [Northwest (FLA128821), Albert Whitted (FLA128830), Southwest (FLA128848), and Northeast (FLA128856) Water Reclamation Facilities (“Facilities”), and the St. Petersburg Master Reuse System (FLA012881)] ( “the System”), that are permitted by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP); and

**WHEREAS**, St. Petersburg provides wastewater transmission, treatment and disposal service (“Wastewater Service”) to [CUSTOMER] pursuant to an agreement dated \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_ (the “Agreement”); and

**WHEREAS**, both Parties recognize that St. Petersburg and FDEP entered into a consent order (OGC FILE NO. 16-1280) addressing unpermitted discharges of wastewater and effluent from several of the Facilities and System; and

**WHEREAS**, both Parties recognize that excessive inflow and infiltration (I/I) of stormwater into the Parties’ sanitary sewer systems contributes to unpermitted discharges; and

**WHEREAS**, St. Petersburg desires to operate at or below maximum Peaking Factors within the wastewater collection/transmission, treatment, and disposal systems; including contributory flow received from wastewater collection/transmission systems owned and operated by [CUSTOMER]; and

**WHEREAS**, both Parties recognize the need for cooperation to allow [CUSTOMER] to determine the most cost effective way to reduce I/I in order to remain below and no more than the

maximum Peaking Factors.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, St. Petersburg and [CUSTOMER] hereby enter the following agreement:

1. PURPOSE OF AGREEMENT

(a) The purpose of this Agreement is to cooperatively reduce unpermitted discharges of wastewater, preserve capacity in the existing System, and assist in the planning for new or expanded capacity in the future. This Agreement establishes standards for acceptance of Inflow and Infiltration (I/I) from wholesale wastewater customers through monitoring of the Peaking Factors and requirement of the preparation and implementation of annual I/I reduction plans and progress reports in order to protect the health, welfare and safety of the public and the environment by requiring the reduction of I/I and minimizing the risk of overflows in the System.

2. DEFINITIONS

(a) “Level of Service” means the maximum level of sewer conveyance capacity St. Petersburg commits to provide a Customer. For Wholesale Customers it is expressed as both an annual average daily and a peak hour flow to the city of St. Petersburg’s System.

(b) “Level of Service Measurement Point” means....

(c) “Peak Flow” means.....

(d) “MGD” means million gallons per day.

3. CAPACITY AND LEVEL OF SERVICE

(a) Level of Service is expected to be maintained up to and including a defined storm event, as defined in **Error! Reference source not found.****Error! Reference source not found.****Table 1**, below;

[Insert defined storm event criteria here]

(b) Level of Service Annual Average and Peak Flow Allocations

Table 112: Level of Service Peak Flows

[Insert table of Annual Average and Peak Flow Allocations here]

4. PEAK FLOW RATE REDUCTION REQUIREMENTS.

(a) [CUSTOMER] shall take appropriate action to ensure that its Peak Flow is reduced to a level no higher than the Level of Service specified in Paragraph 3(b) of this Agreement. If a Wholesale Customer has multiple Level of Service measurement points within the Wholesale Customer's system, the flow rate will be evaluated for each Level of Service measurement point. A Peak Flow or Level of Service exceedance occurs if the highest measured value of Customer's applicable flowrate, as recorded by St. Petersburg from flow data collected at monitoring locations defined in Exhibit [B], exceeds the Wholesale Customer's applicable Level of Service.

5. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

(a) Peak Flow/Level of Service Exceedance Report. If [CUSTOMER] has a Peak Flow which exceeds the Level of Service as defined in this Agreement, St. Petersburg will notify [CUSTOMER] of the exceedance in writing. Within 30 days of being notified of a Level of Service exceedance by St. Petersburg, the [CUSTOMER] shall provide a written evaluation of what caused the exceedance, what actions will be taken to correct the exceedance and when these actions will be completed. If the actions and timelines for correction of this exceedance are already contained within the [CUSTOMER] Annual Report (Section 5.(b)), the written evaluation must reference the applicable section of the Annual Report and provide written verification that the plans and timelines detailed in the Annual Report will eliminate further exceedances from this location.

(b) Annual I&I Reduction Plan and Progress Report ("Annual Report"). By February 15th of each year each [CUSTOMER] shall submit an Annual Report. This report must include an engineer's certification and be verified by an authorized representative of the Customer. The Annual Report must contain the following information:

- i) Detailed documentation of I/I identification and reduction activities carried out during the reporting year and amount of funds expended. This report should identify the manhole covers plugged and sealed, cross connections eliminated, service laterals lined, manholes rehabilitated or replaced, a description of sanitary sewer lines rehabilitated or replaced and other reduction activities. This report should also include the linear feet of sanitary sewer televised, smoke test results, flow monitoring information,



and other I/I identification tasks completed. This report must also include a summary of the previous year's budget for I/I reduction activities, comparing planned expenses to actual expenses and explaining the variance.

- ii) Detailed plans of I & I identification and reduction activities that the municipality will complete during the upcoming calendar year. These plans should include activities, expenditures, timelines and milestones.
- iii) A copy of the most recent version of [CUSTOMER'S] sewer ordinance, and a summary of any proposed revisions for the next 12 months.
- iv) Copies of any information distributed to the public concerning I/I reduction.

(c) Flow Monitoring. The Wholesale Customer shall provide a minimum of 15 minute frequency flow monitoring and access and connection to the flow monitor at the Level of Service Measurement Point for St. Petersburg's supervisory controls and data acquisition (SCADA) system.

[THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

ATTEST:

---

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

---

County Attorney (Designee)  
Pinellas County

ATTEST

CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG

---

Clerk of the City Council  
City of St. Petersburg, Florida

---

Mayor  
City of St. Petersburg, Florida

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

---

City Attorney (Designee)  
City of St. Petersburg

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

# PUBLIC SERVICES & INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE

July 20, 2017

Topic	Return Date	Referral Date	Prior Meeting	Referred By	Staff	Notes
<p>1 Capital Improvement Assessment (Maintenance &amp; Hurricane/Tropical Storm Preparedness)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Bridges</li> <li>b. Reclaimed Water &amp; possible expansion</li> <li>c. Roads / Sidewalks</li> <li>d. Seawalls</li> <li>e. Stormwater</li> <li>f. Potable Water</li> <li>g. Buildings</li> <li>h. Sewers / Wastewater</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. 07/20/17</li> <li>b. 08/24/17</li> <li>c. 09/28/17</li> <li>d. 10/26/17</li> <li>e. 01/11/18</li> <li>f. 02/08/18</li> <li>g. 03/08/18</li> <li>h. 04/08/18 (if needed)</li> </ul>	2/2/17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. 04/27/17</li> <li>b.</li> <li>c.</li> <li>d.</li> <li>e.</li> <li>f.</li> <li>g.</li> <li>h.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Kennedy</li> <li>b. Gerdes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Prayman</li> <li>b. Palenchar</li> <li>c.</li> <li>d.</li> <li>e.</li> <li>f.</li> <li>g.</li> <li>h.</li> </ul>	<p>4/27/17 a) Bridges-Staff to bring back analysis of long-term and pedestrian bridge funding.</p> <p>b) report to include possible reclaimed water system expansion</p>
<p>2 Discussion regarding requiring our wholesale sewer customers to make certain budget commitments on an annual basis to reduce the amount of infiltration/inflow in their sewer collection systems</p>	7/20/17	1/19/17		Kornell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>K. Streeter</li> <li>C. Tankersley</li> <li>J. Palenchar</li> </ul>	
<p>3 Requesting an amendment to the City Council Policy and Procedures Manual to restrict the drafting of substantive changes to documents on the dais that would require the agenda item to be suspended and continued later in the same meeting.</p>	7/20/17	5/4/17		Wheeler-Bowman	Legal	
<p>4 Vehicle for Hire Ordinance</p>	7/27/17	4/21/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8/25/16</li> <li>9/22/16</li> <li>10/13/16</li> <li>11/21/16 PH</li> <li>5/11/17</li> </ul>	Kennedy	H. Judd	<p>Discussion of Vehicle for Hire Ordinance with focus on Transportation Network Companies, Inc. background checks, insurance and handicap accessibility requirements.</p> <p>5/26/16-Mayor's office is working with Legal to draft ordinance, expect something within next month.</p> <p>11/21/16-1<sup>st</sup> Public Hearing; second PH in future</p> <p>5/11/17-Request Legal to come back with a proposed ordinance to level the playing field between taxes and state regulated transportation companies.</p>

	Topic	Return Date	Referral Date	Prior Meeting	Referred By	Staff	Notes
5	Discussion of Plan Review and Inspection Services for the Planning & Economic Development Dept. including information from Administration on strategies utilized to recruit and retain talent in this area.	7/27/17	4/20/17		Foster	D. Goodwin	
6	Local ordinance banning Conversion or Reparatative Therapy	8/17/17	4/6/17	6/8/17	Kornell	J. Williams D. Martin	CM Kornell requested Legal to research the issue and prepare a draft ordinance for the committee to consider. <b>5/8/17</b> -CM Gerdes moved to use Isabella Seidon's statement and have Legal bring back to Committee as a Resolution.
7	Dashboard Cameras, Body Cameras, Shot Spotters report		5/18/17	6/15/17	Council	A. Holloway	<b>6/15/17</b> -CM Gerdes made a motion to move forward with \$87,000 allocation for Dash Cams. <b>7/13/17</b> -Council approved purchase of dashboard cameras & accessories for \$87,000.
8	St. Petersburg Downtown Partnership Looper Funding & Possible Expansion		3/10/16	6/15/17	Kennedy Nurse	E. Mory	<b>5/5/16</b> -waiting on PSTA for a downtown circulation study. <b>5/25/16</b> -now that the grid system is in place, PSTA is doing study for downtown transportation. Report due before end of year-Nov. <b>6/15/17</b> -CM Gerdes moved to approve Staff to proceed with Alternative 8 Plan. <b>7/13/17</b> -Council approved resolution.
9	Water Quality Monitoring Communication Update			2/23/17 6/8/17		C. Tankersley J. Palenchar	<b>6/8/17</b> -Power point by Tankersley. Update from B. Logan, C. Frey, K. Riskowitz
10	Green Card Initiative Amendment to regulations for Pushcart Vending		6/16/16		Rice	D. Goodwin N. Capehart	<b>4/27/17</b> -Deferred to later date.
11	Mechanical Noise / DOWNTOWN NOISE		6/16/16	7/28/16	Kornell	D. Goodwin	Direct request to CM Kornell.
12	Urban Construction (mechanical noise concerns)		10/13/15	11/19/15 6/16/16 12/15/16* (ENRS)	Nurse	K. Dunn	<b>6/16/16</b> -Urban Construction Task Force Report; C. Tankersley to return with a report on cooling systems. <b>Rick Dunn to return with a report on how other cities handle noise/recommended changes.</b> <b>12/16/16</b> -Preliminary District Cooler Plant Analysis report at ENRS. <b>1/27/17</b> -Per Rick Dunn: Planning & Eco Dev is including mechanical noise issues as a component of a proposed change to existing noise ordinance. Not sure when it will be ready to return to PSI.

	Topic	Return Date	Referral Date	Prior Meeting	Referred By	Staff	Notes
13	Discussion regarding Brownfields & incentives for developers		8/4/16		Kornell	J. Kovilaritch	Legal to provide a report regarding FL Statutes and how to apply the state reg brownfield area.
14	Report on proposed South St. Petersburg Master Plan with a potential 2050 plan		8/4/16		Kornell	K. King	
15	Best Management Practices (BMP) presentation concerning reduction of nitrogen loading to Tampa Bay from community gardening activities		9/8/16		Kornell	Pinellas County Cooperative Extension	
16	Discussion regarding making the Manhattan Casino the new home of the Dr. Carter G. Woodson African American Museum		10/20/16		Kornell		5/25/17-CM Kornell informed PS&I Committee that Dr. Cornwell requested this be changed to a report item.
17	Report on flooding specified areas and along Dr. MLK, Jr. St. S. near Lake Maggiore		9/22/16		Kornell	J. Norris	2/28/17-to be a part of the stormwater master plan.
18	Private Laterals Pilot Rebate Program		3/2/17	4/13/17	Kornell	C. Tankersley	1) What has been discussed at County level 2) Pros/cons on programs they have seen 3) Issues that have to be dealt with from both perception level and policy level 4) Ideas of what next steps can be made 5) How many manholes have been repaired and how many are in need of repair in study area 6) How do we fund a program 7) Legal - is there a basis in the law if we are faced with a health safety issue, what rights do we have going on private property and inspecting (pipes) 4/13/17-Tankersley stated we will f/u on timing for the next PSI report 5/3/17-Waiting for County program
19	Consideration of regulating and limiting the use of commercial sized wood burning grills in residential zoning districts	9/14/17	5/4/17		Nurse	D. Goodwin	
20	Consideration of an ordinance to allow PSTA to enter into an agreement to permit the construction of 23 proposed additional bus shelters with advertising.		5/18/17		Nurse	B. Pettigrew	NBI was a result of 5/11/17 PSI.

Topic	Return Date	Referral Date	Prior Meeting	Referred By	Staff	Notes
21 Discussion of the quarterly report in regards to the diversion program for several misdemeanors which is being implemented by the Sheriff's Dept.	8/24/17 (FY Only)	Quarterly		Kornell	PSCO	2/6/16-Spoke to Sheriff's Dept. They are working on the report and will distribute as soon as complete. 4/26/17-Qtrly report received.
22 Report on utilization of the Hard to Hire and Apprenticeship Ordinance		9/22/16	3/23/17 5/25/17	Foster	C. Tankersley	5/1/17-Not ready for 5/11, per Tankersley & Prayman. Staff to report back on penalties, lower thresholds, pre-certification programs, Davis Bacon Act and streamlining, and the compliance program. 5/25/17-approved w/Legal to develop language that the penalty provision covers principles of a company and not just the corporate entity. CM Kornell suggested for future iteration to add provision giving an extra bonus if person is both disadvantaged worker and an apprentice; and dollar threshold for triggering ordinance.
23 Discussion regarding an ordinance amending Chapter 5, Article III and Article IV of the City Code related to works of art in public construction and the acceptance process for donations to the City for art; permitting moneys from the art in public places fund to be utilized for the acquisition of works of art, administration costs of the public arts commission, insurance costs or costs for repair or maintenance of any works of art in the City's public art collection; and also requesting that the City Attorney's Office prepare a draft ordinance for the Committee's consideration.		4/20/17	5/25/17	Kornell	S. Michnowicz	5/25/17-forwarded ordinance to full Council. 7/13/17-Council approved ordinance.
24 Sculpture Walk St. Pete		2/7/17		Kornell	W. Atherholt	2/7/17-verbally referred by CM Kornell at the Public Arts Commission meeting.
25						

PS&I Dates: 2017 - 5/11, 5/25, 6/8, 6/15, 7/20, 7/27, 8/17, 8/24, 9/14, 9/28, 10/12, 10/26, 11/9, 12/14 2018 - 1/11, 1/25