From: Steve Leavitt
To: Abbaspour, David; Parks, John; Rosetti, Evelyn
CC: Wise, Charles
Date: 7/22/2013 9:40 PM
Subject: Re: SW Dewatering Analysis - 13062-111

Doing it as a separate project to avoid mixing funding is OK with me thanks

steve

>>> John Parks 7/22/2013 10:32 AM >>>
go ahead and charge to operating, should be able to handle it. thank you

>>> Evelyn Rosetti 7/22/2013 9:38 AM >>>
John,
There is another encumbrance showing in June for $32,900. It looks like it's for the ASR/Deep Injection Well. That leaves $9,914 in the unobligated balance. We can go over as long as we are covering it elsewhere. Nonetheless, I recommend that we create a separate Non-CIP project number for this $25,000 ($22K for consultant and $3K for Engineering) instead of showing it as an amendment to the SW Dewatering project. I can't mix CIP and operating money in the same project number. Let me know how you want to proceed, given the new encumbrance in John's budget. Ev

>>> Steve Leavitt 7/20/2013 9:32 AM >>>
lets use those funds for the analysis and then the money originally identified for the surge tank for the RCW pumps
thanks
steve

>>> John Parks 7/19/2013 11:18 AM >>>
regarding SW dewatering analysis:

Evelyn,
as long as there are no other requisitions for June/July I am not aware of, $43k is available in 2049 and can be used. current encumbrance of $68k is for CH2Mhill's work to repermit SW wells.

>>> Steve Leavitt 7/16/2013 5:20 PM >>>
We have a couple of projects that need funding and unfortunately both are time sensitive.

1. SW RCW Pumps
Project to replace/upgrade RCW pump capacity. Needs to be done and placed in service by the time we begin transferring AW flows to SW so that our disposal capacity matches our plant capacity. Engineering costs - $?? K. Construction Costs $?? K. John - please develop an estimate for total project cost - design and construction.

2. SW Dewatering Analysis
The original idea was to install screw presses. However, as the new screw presses at NE have not performed we need to reevaluate the available technology. Engineering Costs - $25 K. (Design for whatever we end up with is already funded in FY 14)

3. Pasadena Lift Station Surge Tank
I have decided not to proceed with this project. Its costs do not justify the perceived benefits. Thus, the previously identified funding can be used for other projects:
$355 K - NW SCADA Phase II - 13824
$ 21 K - PC Forest Lake - 13361
$ 30 K - Tierra Verde FM FY 14

Evelyn - Could we go to Council with one Agenda item to provide funding from the above sources for both projects? or would we need to have two Agenda items. I don't mind going to Council for the first project as a stand alone. Not liking going to Council with the second project for $25 K standing alone. Does John and/or Charlie have $25 K in their Engineering/Consulting Operating Budget that we could use? If they do that would be the cleanest way for the $25 K. We only have 3 months left in FY13 so "use it or loose it".

thanks
steve

>>> John Parks 7/16/2013 4:11 PM >>>
Steve,
we discussed earlier the need to increase reclaimed water pumping capacity at SW by the time AW flows are transferred, and to have a consultant evaluate options. Should we have Engineering start a task order? attached is a short description.