REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR
THE CITY-OWNED REAL PROPERTY
LOCATED AT
1794 - 22nd STREET SOUTH
ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, 33712

Issue Date
April 30, 2018

Due Date
July 2, 2018
10:00 A.M. ET
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR
THE CITY-OWNED REAL PROPERTY
LOCATED AT
1794 - 22nd STREET SOUTH
ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, 33712

The City of St. Petersburg ("City") invites proposals from qualified persons or entities interested (individually, a "Proposer", collectively "Proposers") in the city-owned property ("Proposal") located at 1794 - 22nd Street South, St. Petersburg, Florida, 33712 ("Property").

SECTION 1. PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The Property, illustrated in Exhibit "A" of this RFP, is a retail strip center ("Building"), formerly anchored by Sweetbay Supermarkets and subsequently Walmart. Additional information is contained in Exhibits "A-1" and "A-2". Per the Pinellas County Property Appraiser records, the Property contains ±48,500 square feet, and has an assessed valued of ~$4.24 million dollars. The current zoning of the Property is Commercial Corridor Traditional-1 (CCT-1) ("Zoning"). Additional zoning and development regulation information can be found on the City’s website by following this link: http://www.stpete.org/planning_zoning/index.php.

SECTION 2. INTENT

Notice is hereby given that the City invites proposals from Proposers interested in the Property as a valued asset of the city and neighborhood that preferably includes a grocery store or food hub component and is consistent with the Zoning ("Intent"). The City’s goal for development of the Property is to create jobs for South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area residents and improve their quality of life.

SECTION 3. OVERVIEW OF ST. PETERSBURG AND THE REGION

St. Petersburg is one of the brightest spots in the southeast – not only in attracting new residents and businesses, but as a home for growing and expanding business. St. Petersburg has everything necessary to run a successful company: a growing talent pool, an educated workforce, reasonable costs, and a city committed to seeing them succeed. St. Petersburg offers all the amenities of a large, thriving metropolis, and yet the charm and quality of life of a small town.

The city is located at the heart of the Tampa Bay market – the largest market in Florida, second only to Atlanta in the southeast. Site Selection Magazine ranked Tampa Bay 4th among South Atlantic states for corporate relocations in 2017. Also in 2017, St. Petersburg was recognized by Fobes as one of America’s fastest growing cities.

St. Petersburg is not just about business – the vibrant city also offers a quality of life that’s just as robust. Aside from its enviable climate, the city’s arts and museum scene is thriving, new restaurants and retail shops are opening regularly, and beautiful beaches are just minutes away. There are miles of waterfront parks, and the city offers the largest network of cycling and pedestrian trails in the southeast. Other accolades include:

➢ "One of the Best Places to Live"
Coast Living, 2017

- #1 Arts Destination for Mid-size Cities
  AmericanStyle Magazine

- "One of the Best Street Art Cities in the World"
  The Huffington Post, 2016

- #1 in Florida for Fiscal Health
  The Fiscal Times, 2017

- St. Petersburg is Florida’s First "Green City"
  Designated May 2007 by Florida Green Building Coalition

- #3 "Most Walkable Neighborhood in Florida" – Downtown St. Petersburg
  Redfin, 2016

- Bicycle Friendly Community
  League of American Bicyclists

The city is served by three airports: Tampa International, which ranks as one of the world’s favorites; St. Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport, which is anchored by UPS, FedEx, and the U.S. Coast Guard; and Albert Whitted Airport, a general aviation facility located in downtown. Interstate-275 provides easy access to I-75 and the Veterans Expressway/Suncoast Parkway. In downtown, I-175 and I-375 provide quick access to I-275.

Professional sports find a home here with the MLB Tampa Bay Rays, the NFL Buccaneers, the NHL Tampa Bay Lightning, and the USL Rowdies Soccer. The area also hosts annual bowl games, golf tournaments and the occasional Super Bowl and NCAA Final Four championships.

The region is home to the University of South Florida, one of Florida’s largest and best public universities, and the University of South Florida- St. Petersburg, a separately accredited campus adjacent to downtown, and a network of more than a dozen public and private post-secondary schools. The Princeton Review and Entrepreneur Magazine ranked USF’s Center for Entrepreneurship in the top 15 nationally. USF was the only Florida university to make that list. St. Petersburg’s public school district (Pinellas County Schools) is one of the largest in the United States and offers magnet programs, advanced placement curriculums and vocational offerings.

Despite its big-city amenities, residents enjoy quiet neighborhoods on brick streets, where neighbors congregate on front porches. It has one of the largest park systems and networks of bicycle and walking trails in the country. With seven miles of downtown waterfront parks, there’s always a quiet shady spot under a palm tree to enjoy Florida’s year-round outdoor climate, or take in a food or arts festival, or concert under the stars. Fort DeSoto Park, a pristine beach often voted one of America’s best by "Dr. Beach", is located within minutes, and the city is surrounded by bays, bayous and the Gulf of Mexico, offering unparalleled boating, fishing and
water sports opportunities. St. Petersburg offers everything under the sun, whether for business or for pleasure!

A Downtown of Renown
St. Petersburg’s distinctive waterfront community reflects the best of what Florida has to offer. It encompasses vast recreational amenities, world-class educational institutions, and more than 1,000 events a year. As the most popular destination city along Florida’s west coast, the city attracts 9.4 million national and international visitors annually.

Downtown has long been a destination noted for its amenities and cultural offerings. The Boston Globe published an article in October 2014 on the rejuvenation of downtown, entitled "St. Petersburg’s Fresh New Face." The Huffington Post soon recognized the city as "One of America’s Hottest Cities to Live and Visit" in 2016. Other accolades include:

➢ Top 10 Great Downtown Waterfront Districts in the South
   Southern Business & Development

➢ "Most Livable Downtown in the Southeast"
   Southern Business & Development

➢ "Best Summer Travel Destination"
   Travel + Leisure

The Downtown Entertainment District includes sidewalk cafes along premier Beach Drive, a 7-mile waterfront parks system that hosts a hub of leisure activities, and the resurgence of nightlife and entertainment venues has opened doors for an eclectic mix of shopping activity.

Visitors and residents alike enjoy world-class amenities such as, the newly opened Sundial Shops, the Firestone Grand Prix of St. Petersburg, the Blue Ocean Film Festival, the Salvador Dali Museum, and the Saturday Morning Market – the largest fresh farmer’s market in the Southeast. Home to nearly thirty art galleries, the city’s abundant art scene has earned a world-wide reputation, with five performing arts venues, and seven highly-acclaimed museums, including The Museum of Fine Arts, the Salvador Dali Museum and the $75 million James Museum of Western Art, which opened in April 2018. In 2019, Downtown will welcome the $90 million Museum of the American Arts and Crafts Movement, a 110,000-square-foot building designed by award-winning architect Alberto Alfonso for a comprehensive collection of the Arts and Crafts movement.

St. Petersburg’s downtown resident base is blossoming, with more than 3,500 dwelling units constructed since 2008 and an additional 1,688 units are planned or currently under construction. Housing offerings range from high-end Beach Drive condominiums overlooking the waterfront, townhouses near the Medical/Innovation District in south downtown, and adaptive reuse of historic commercial buildings.
Downtown is also home to a thriving student base, providing a bustling lifestyle. The University of South Florida-St. Petersburg campus hosts an enrollment of 6,500 and St. Petersburg College’s downtown campus welcomes 2,000+ students per semester. The city’s five collegiate institutes of higher learning collectively draw 110,000 students annually.

For more information on development activity in Downtown St. Petersburg and its wealth of amenities, follow these links:

Downtown St. Petersburg Information
http://www.stpete.org/economic_development/development_areas/downtown.php

Downtown Development Projects Map

St. Petersburg Development Updates

SECTION 4. SOUTH ST. PETERSBURG COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AREA

The Property is located within the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area ("CRA") on 22nd Street South in the heart of the City’s Midtown community. A map of the CRA is attached as Exhibit "C" to this RFP. The 7.4 square mile CRA includes Midtown, a 5.5 square mile area of central and south St. Petersburg between 2nd Avenue North and 30th Avenue South and between 4th Street South to the east and 34th Street South to the west, as well as the Greater Childs Park Strategic Planning Area, more than twenty neighborhood and business associations, and two Florida Main Street Districts. The CRA is also home to an estimated 33,620 people, or 14 percent of St. Petersburg’s total population. The CRA is governed by the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Plan ("Plan"), which centers on reinvigorating the housing market through rehabilitation and new construction; expanding opportunities for entrepreneurs, minority, women and disadvantaged business enterprises and small businesses; revitalizing commercial corridors to grow existing businesses and attract new ones; growing the manufacturing "belt" that bisects the CRA from east to west to create new jobs for residents; and improving the work readiness skills of residents.

A major component of Plan was the creation of a tax increment financing ("TIF") district that will be in effect until 2045 and will be a sustainable source of revenue to fund the City’s revitalization initiatives over the life of the Plan. The innovative approach of the Plan is to use most of its TIF revenues to provide direct assistance for private investment in residential and non-residential redevelopment in the form of grants, loans, TIF abatements or other vehicles that help businesses leverage capital from diverse sources. In FY2016, the first year of the Plan, City Administration allocated approximately $468,000 in TIF revenues for workforce development, business development and corridor revitalization and housing. Over $400,000 in grants were awarded to thirty businesses in the CRA, including several along the 22nd Street corridor, and this funding source will continue to grow over the life of the Plan providing invaluable access to capital for South St. Petersburg businesses and property owners. In FY2017, with a budget exceeding $1.2
million, the City of St. Petersburg awarded approximately $550,000 in commercial grant funding to over thirty businesses within South St. Petersburg. Another $600,000 is budgeted for workforce development initiatives. City Administration is currently preparing the FY2018 CRA budget to allocate over $2.1 million in TIF revenue. For more information on the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area, visit: http://www.stpete.org/city_departments/southside_cra.php.

SECTION 5. LOCAL COMMUNITY

The 22nd Street South and Midtown area near the Tangerine Plaza site has seen extensive public and private investment totaling more than $206 million in the last twenty years. On the Tangerine Plaza site itself more than $6.94 million in private and public funding has been spent acquiring, developing and improving the site between 2003 and 2016. In addition, the City invested approximately $3.14 million in land acquisition and infrastructure improvements to attract investment across 18th Avenue South from Tangerine Plaza to attract retail investment. The area now has a GTE Credit union and a Dollar General store. The final phase of this development is occurring as ground will soon be broken on a 4,500 SF convenience store with 8 gas pumps, a 2,500 SF retail building and a 6,000 SF medical office building. When constructed, the project will complete the creation of a "retail node" at the intersection of 18th Avenue South and 22nd Street South envisioned by the 2003 Tangerine Avenue Community Redevelopment Plan.

Other significant investment near Tangerine Plaza include the construction of neighboring Perkins Elementary ($9.4 million), the development of the Johnnie Ruth Clark Health Center ($4.71 million), St. Petersburg College’s 49,000-SF Midtown Campus ($14 million) and a $43-million dollar Job Corps facility with 300 students operated by the U.S. Department of Labor. For an overview of development activity near Tangerine Plaza, see Exhibit D.

Soon to be under construction is a major redevelopment project on City-owned vacant land in the 600 block of 22nd Street South, approximately one mile north of Tangerine Plaza on 22nd Street South. The 14-acre is currently under contract and will be the future site of a motorcycle dealership (Euro Cycles of St. Petersburg), and a mixed-use development consisting of workforce housing with ground floor retail, and marine industrial business complex (St. Petersburg Commerce Park, LLC). The two companies are required to create 65 new jobs with a total development of approximately 140,000 sq. ft. of retail, residential and office/light manufacturing. Euro Cycle will be required to create a minimum of 15 jobs and 20,000 sq. ft. and St. Petersburg Commerce Park, LLC, along with its tenants, will be required to create 50 jobs and 120,000 sq. ft. The lease agreement included requirements that one-third of all employees hired be CRA residents and/or low-to-moderate income residents. Additionally, the City-owned Historic Manhattan Casino, located at 642 – 22nd Street South, St. Petersburg, FL, is under a new lease agreement with The Callaloo Group, who has reinvigorated the historic property with two restaurants, live music and event venue.

Another major initiative by the City that will bring public improvements to the neighborhood near Tangerine Plaza is the Joint Action Plan for enhancements to the 22nd Street Deuces Live Corridor and the Warehouse Arts District. (The Deuces Live is a Florida Main Street organization to support development of the 22nd Street South corridor, while the Warehouse Arts District Association represents the burgeoning artist economy that has developed in the area.) The goal of the project is to develop vision, framework, and concept plans for the study area (see Exhibit
E). The City of St. Petersburg, in coordination with both Deuces Live and the Warehouse Arts District Association, hosted public meetings since November 2016 and have developed a $23 million public improvement plan for 22nd Street South and 5th Avenue South to attract new investment. The Joint Action Plan will be going to City Council for approval in May 2018.


SECTION 6. UTILITIES

The Property has access to all typical utilities, however, interested parties should rely on their own research and experts for counsel.

SECTION 7. PROPERTY TAXES

The selected Proposer will be responsible for property taxes commencing on the date the selected Proposer is contractually granted control of the Property by any agreement following the approval of the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg ("City Council").

SECTION 8. STATUS OF CURRENT LEASES

Tangerine Plaza currently has five tenants. A Rent Roll is included as Exhibit "A-2" to this RFP and a map and photographs of the tenant spaces are included as Exhibits "A-3" and "A-4". Walmart is the major anchor tenant leasing both the grocery store space as well as the adjacent liquor store inline space with a lease term that expires in the Fall of 2026. Walmart no longer occupies the space and for development to proceed, the selected Proposer will need to reach an agreement with Walmart.

SECTION 9. PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

Proposals MUST contain the following:

9.1. A description of the proposed use and identification of the end-user, if not the Proposer. Include a conceptual site plan or illustration;

9.2. Preferred real estate interest to be conveyed (i.e. Lease, Lease w/ Purchase Option etc.) and specific terms thereto;

9.3. A project pro-forma and construction budget;

9.4. Confirmed or verifiable sources of funds supporting the Proposer's financial capability of undertaking the proposed development, including company operating revenues and expenses, history of debt repayments, and letters of credit;

9.5. A project time line, including date specific milestones such as project approvals, commencing and completing construction and opening for business. Phased projects must include this information for each phase;

9.6. Estimated type and number of new jobs that the development will create, including a time line, and projected salaries;
9.7. Estimated type and number of existing jobs that will be relocated and/or retained at the Property, including salaries;

9.8. Affirmative agreement by Proposer to enter into a binding agreement to ensure the completion and occupancy of the development and to ensure the City’s objectives for the property are achieved;

9.9. A complete description of the Proposer’s entity (corporation, partnership, etc.) and identification of all parties including disclosure of all persons or entities having a beneficial interest in the proposal;

9.10. Resume of Proposer’s previous experience and a description of the scope and quality of past projects;

9.11. A list of any previous or current City-project that the Proposer or any member of the Proposer’s team was involved with, whether directly or indirectly;

9.12. A complete description of the development team including names, addresses, individual resumes of those individuals to be assigned to the project; the responsibilities of each team member or firm; and the experience of all those involved;

9.13. Any extraordinary terms or conditions;

9.14. An affirmative statement that Proposer is not party to or affected by any litigation, administrative action, investigation or other governmental or quasi-governmental proceeding which would or could have an adverse effect upon the Property or upon the ability of Proposer to fulfill its obligations under any agreement relating to this RFP, and there are no lawsuits, administrative actions, governmental investigations or similar proceedings pending or, to Proposer’s actual knowledge, threatened against or affecting the Proposer’s interest herein;

9.15. A primary contact name and numbers including phone, fax, and email;

9.16. A signed Proposal Form, attached as Exhibit "B" to this RFP, accompanied by a NON-REFUNDABLE payment of two hundred fifty ($250) dollars. Payment should be made in the form of a check, payable to the City of St. Petersburg.

**SECTION 10. PREFERRED PROPOSAL**

The **PREFERRED** Proposal should include:

10.1. A Lease term of 30 to 40 years;

10.2. A market driven annual base rent;

10.3. A purchase option, to be exercised upon completion of all development goals and jobs being retained for a reasonable period of time;

10.4. Development of a mixed-use complex with a grocery or other food-based element that will address the lack of healthy food options for residents of the community (Exhibit F provides a market study of Tangerine Plaza conducted in 2017 that was commissioned by the City);

10.5. Development that provides space for City or non-profit entities to deliver services to the South St. Petersburg community;
10.6. Creation of the most permanent full time jobs for residents of the South St. Petersburg Community Redevelopment Area.

10.7. Occupancy of all or some of the project site by a local South St. Petersburg business;

10.8. If housing is included in the Proposal, it must be affordable for CRA residents.

10.9. A development plan and a construction schedule that will allow the most timely occupancy of the site relative to other bidders;

10.10. Not rezoning of any part of the Property;

10.11. Working with the City’s Workforce Development Team on hiring CRA residents during the construction phase of the project as well for permanent jobs after completion;

10.12. A credible effort to engage businesses participating in the City’s Small Business Enterprise Program ("SBE"), with preference for St. Petersburg based SBE companies, during the design and construction phases of the project and in the ongoing operations of the end user business(es) with a SBE goal of thirty percent (30%). For more information on visit: [http://www.stpete.org/assistance/small_business_enterprise_program.php](http://www.stpete.org/assistance/small_business_enterprise_program.php)

**SECTION 11. PROPOSAL PACKAGE AND DELIVERY**

Submit one (1) unbound original of the Proposal IN A SEALED ENVELOPE. A copy of the signed Proposal Form (Exhibit "B") and the non-refundable payment of two hundred fifty ($250) dollars, in the form of a check made payable to the City of St. Petersburg, should be clipped to the front of the sealed envelope. Proposals should be marked "Proposal For Tangerine Center" and delivered either by: i) hand, courier, FEDEX or otherwise to the City of St. Petersburg Municipal Services Center, 9th Floor, Real Estate & Property Management, One Fourth Street North, St. Petersburg, Florida; or ii) United States Postal Service ("USPS") mail to City of St. Petersburg, Real Estate & Property Management, P.O. Box 2842, St. Petersburg, Florida 33731-2842.

**SECTION 12. DEADLINE JULY 2, 2018 AT 10:00 A.M. EDT**

Proposals received after the above specified time and date will not be considered. The City will not be responsible for failure of the USPS, private courier, or any other delivery means to deliver a proposal to the appointed place at the specified time in order to be considered.

**SECTION 13. SCHEDULE**

Advertise RFP ............................................................................................................ April 29, 2018

Issue RFP .................................................................................................................. April 30, 2018

Pre-Bid Walk Through 10:00 A.M. ET ................................................................. May 9, 2018

Last day for questions ................................................................................................June 1, 2018

Proposals due by 10:00 A.M. ET ............................................................................ July 2, 2018
SECTION 14. INQUIRIES AND QUESTIONS

All inquiries, questions, requests for interpretation, correction, or clarification must be submitted in writing, by e-mail, to the City Contact set forth in Section 18, and shall arrive not later than 1:00 P.M. ET, JUNE 1, 2018. All responses from the City shall be in writing, by email. All submitted questions, along with City’s responses thereto, will be available on the City’s website: www.stpete.org/realestate

SECTION 15. SELECTION

All qualified proposals that are determined to be in the public interest for uses in accordance with the Intent of this RFP, will be presented to the Mayor for his consideration for recommendation to the City Council for approval.

SECTION 16. AWARD WITHOUT DISCUSSION

The City may accept a proposal without discussion if, in its sole discretion, it is determined to be in the public interest for the intended use.

SECTION 17. AGREEMENT

Subsequent to the selection, a finalized agreement ("Agreement") between the City and the selected Proposer must be approved by the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg.

SECTION 18. CITY CONTACT

David Dickerson, Real Estate Coordinator
City of St. Petersburg, Real Estate & Property Management
Phone: 727-893-7500 / Toll Free: 800-874-9026 / Fax: 727-893-4134
Email: david.dickerson@stpete.org  (Note: Email is subject to public records law)
Additional information/copies of this RFP may be downloaded from www.stpete.org/realestate

SECTION 19. CITY RESERVATIONS

The City reserves the right to:

19.1. Modify, waive, or otherwise vary the terms and conditions of this RFP at any time, including but not limited to, the date schedule and proposal requirements;
19.2. Waive irregularities in the proposals;
19.3. Reject or refuse any or all proposals;
19.4. Cancel and withdraw this RFP at any time;
19.5. Negotiate with any or all Proposers in order to obtain terms most beneficial to the City;
19.6. Accept the proposal which, in its sole and absolute discretion, best serves the interest of the City.

SECTION 20. DISCLOSURE

Information included in this RFP regarding the Property is believed to be reliable; however, interested parties should rely on their own research and experts for counsel.
SECTION 21. PROPOSAL SUBJECT TO FLORIDA PUBLIC RECORDS LAWS AND 
PROCEDURE FOR INFORMATION DESIGNATED A TRADE SECRET AND/OR 
CONFIDENTIAL AND/OR PROPRIETARY:

All proposals (including all documentation and materials attached to proposals or provided in 
connection with this RFP) submitted to the City are subject to Florida’s public records laws (i.e., 
Chapter 119, Florida Statutes), which requires disclosure of public records, unless exempt, if a 
public records request is made. Proposals (including all documentation and materials attached to 
proposals or provided in connection with this RFP) submitted to the City cannot be returned. 
THE CITY WILL NOT CONSIDER PROPOSALS IF THE ENTIRE PROPOSAL IS LABELED 
A TRADE SECRET AND/OR CONFIDENTIAL AND/OR PROPRIETARY.

If Proposer believes that its proposal contains information that is a trade secret (as defined by 
Florida law) and/or information that is confidential and/or proprietary and therefore exempt from 
disclosure then such information must be submitted in a separate envelope and comply with the 
following requirements. In addition to submitting the information in a separate envelope, 
Proposer must include a general description of the information designated as a trade secret 
and/or confidential and/or proprietary and provide reference to the Florida statute or other law 
which exempts such designated information from disclosure in the event a public records request.

The City does not warrant or guarantee that information designated by Proposer as a trade secret 
and/or confidential and/or proprietary is a trade secret and/or confidential and/or proprietary 
and exempt from disclosure. The City offers no opinion as to whether the reference to the Florida 
statute or other law by Proposer is/are correct and/or accurate. The City will notify Proposer if 
a public records request is received and Proposer, at its own expense, will have forty-eight (48) 
hours after receipt of such notice (email notice is acceptable notice) to file the necessary court 
documents to obtain a protective order.

Please be aware that the designation of information as a trade secret and/or confidential and/or 
proprietary may be challenged in court by any person or entity. By designation of information as 
a trade secret and/or confidential and/or proprietary Proposer agrees to defend the City, its 
employees, agents and elected and appointed officials ("Indemnified Parties") against all claims 
and actions (whether or not a lawsuit is commenced) related to its designation of information as 
a trade secret and/or confidential and/or proprietary and to hold harmless the Indemnified Parties 
for any award to a plaintiff for damages, costs and attorneys’ fees, and for costs and attorneys’ 
fees (including those of the City Attorney’s office) incurred by the City by reason of any claim or 
action arising out of or related to Proposer’s designation of information as a trade secret and/or 
confidential and/or proprietary.

Failure to comply with the requirements above shall be deemed as a waiver by Proposer to claim 
that any information in its proposal is a trade secret and/or confidential and/or proprietary 
regardless if such information is labeled trade secret and/or confidential and/or proprietary. 
Proposer acknowledges and agrees that all information in Proposer’s proposal (not including 
information designated in a separate envelope as trade secret and/or confidential and/or 
proprietary) will be disclosed, without any notice to Proposer, if a public records request is made 
for such information.
SECTION 22. DISQUALIFICATION
The City reserves the right to disqualify Proposers before or after opening, upon evidence of collusion with intent to defraud or other illegal practices on the part of the Proposers.

SECTION 23. PUBLIC ENTITY CRIMES
A person or affiliate who has been placed on the convicted vendor list following a conviction for a public entity crime may not submit a bid on a contract to provide any goods or services to a public entity, may not submit a bid on a contract with a public entity for the construction or repair of a public building or public work, may not submit bids on leases of real property to a public entity, may not be awarded or perform work as a contractor, supplier, subcontractor, or consultant under a contract with any public entity, and may not transact business with any public entity in excess of the threshold amount provided in Section 287.017, for CATEGORY TWO for a period of 36 months from the date of being placed on the convicted vendor list.

SECTION 24. OUTSTANDING OBLIGATIONS TO THE CITY
City shall reject or disqualify a proposal from any person, entity or principal of an entity that currently has any outstanding indebtedness to City or unresolved claims with or by City, unless the indebtedness or unresolved claims have been satisfied prior to the submission of a proposal.

SECTION 25. CITY NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR PREPARATION COSTS
The City will not pay any costs associated with the preparation, submittal, presentation or evaluation of any proposal.

SECTION 26. PROHIBITED COMMUNICATION
Proposer and its employees, agents, contractors, and representatives are prohibited from lobbying City Council, the Mayor, and City staff, relative to Proposer's proposal or this RFP until the RFP selection and award processes have been completed. Non-compliance with this provision may result in disqualification of Proposer from consideration. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this provision shall not prohibit Proposer from providing public comment in accordance with applicable laws and City policies at public meetings where public comment is permitted.
EXHIBIT "A" – PREMISES

Parcel ID #:  25-31-16-66311-001-0010

Property Address:  1794 - 22nd Street South, St. Petersburg, Fl 33712

Legal Description:  PARKE SUB PARTIAL REPLAT AND ADDITION BLK 1, LOT 1
EXHIBIT "A-1"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ownership/Mailing Address</th>
<th>Site Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST PETERSBURG, CITY OF</td>
<td>1794 22ND ST S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO BOX 2642</td>
<td>ST PETERSBURG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST PETERSBURG FL 33731-2842</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Property Use: 1624 (Neighborhood Shopping Center (one or more major food stores with one or more strip type stores))

Living Units: 0

Legal Description
PARKE SUB PARTIAL, REPLAT AND ADDITION BLK 1, LOT 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemption</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homestead</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2017 Interim Value Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Just/Market Value</th>
<th>Assessed Value/ SOH Cap</th>
<th>County Taxable Value</th>
<th>School Taxable Value</th>
<th>Municipal Taxable Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$4,240,000</td>
<td>$4,240,000</td>
<td>$4,240,000</td>
<td>$4,240,000</td>
<td>$4,240,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$4,200,000</td>
<td>$4,199,500</td>
<td>$4,199,500</td>
<td>$4,199,500</td>
<td>$4,199,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$3,745,000</td>
<td>$3,745,000</td>
<td>$3,745,000</td>
<td>$3,745,000</td>
<td>$3,745,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$3,650,000</td>
<td>$3,650,000</td>
<td>$3,650,000</td>
<td>$3,650,000</td>
<td>$3,650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$3,625,000</td>
<td>$3,625,000</td>
<td>$3,625,000</td>
<td>$3,625,000</td>
<td>$3,625,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$3,550,000</td>
<td>$3,550,000</td>
<td>$3,550,000</td>
<td>$3,550,000</td>
<td>$3,550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$3,540,000</td>
<td>$3,540,000</td>
<td>$3,540,000</td>
<td>$3,540,000</td>
<td>$3,540,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$4,100,000</td>
<td>$4,100,000</td>
<td>$4,100,000</td>
<td>$4,100,000</td>
<td>$4,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$4,900,000</td>
<td>$4,900,000</td>
<td>$4,900,000</td>
<td>$4,900,000</td>
<td>$4,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$4,900,000</td>
<td>$4,900,000</td>
<td>$4,900,000</td>
<td>$4,900,000</td>
<td>$4,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$200,100</td>
<td>$200,100</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://www.popaa.org/
### 2017 Tax Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Taxable Value</th>
<th>Market Value</th>
<th>Adjusted Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tax District:** SP  
**2017 Final Millage Rate:** 22.0150

*Do not rely on current taxes as an estimate following a change in ownership. A significant change in taxable value may occur after a transfer due to a loss of exemptions, reset of the Save Our Homes or 10% Cap, and/or market conditions. Please use our new Tax Estimator to estimate taxes under new ownership.*

### 2017 Land Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Land Size</th>
<th>Unit Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Total Adjustments</th>
<th>Adjusted Value</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Shopping</td>
<td>605x259</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>$470,820</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2018 Building 1 Structural Elements

#### Building 1 Sub Area Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utility</th>
<th>Building Finished Ft²</th>
<th>Gross Area Ft²</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Effective Ft²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open Porch</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Building finished SF: 39,724  
Total Gross SF: 40,573  
Total Effective SF: 39,748

#### Property Appraiser General Information

- **Year:** 1997  
- **Status:** No  
- **Taxable Value:** $0  
- **Market Value:** $0  
- **Adjusted Value:** N/A

#### Ranked Sales

- **Sale Date**  
- **Book/Page**  
- **Price**  
- **O/U**  
- **V/I**

No recent sales on record

---

### 2018 Building 2 Structural Elements

#### Property Appraiser General Information

- **Year:** 1997  
- **Status:** No  
- **Taxable Value:** $0  
- **Market Value:** $0  
- **Adjusted Value:** N/A

#### Ranked Sales

- **Sale Date**  
- **Book/Page**  
- **Price**  
- **O/U**  
- **V/I**

No recent sales on record

---

**Compact Property Record Card**

---

**http://www.pcpeo.org/**
### Building 2 Sub Area Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Building Finished Pl²</th>
<th>Gross Area Pl²</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Effective Pl²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utility Unfinished</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base</td>
<td>8,644</td>
<td>8,644</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>8,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,840</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,840</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>8,722</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2018 Extra Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value/Unit</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Total Value as New</th>
<th>Depreciated Value</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASPHALT</td>
<td>$1.75</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>$147,000.00</td>
<td>$147,000.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRESHPRINK</td>
<td>$2.20</td>
<td>8,900</td>
<td>$20,470.00</td>
<td>$16,376.00</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRESHPRINK</td>
<td>$2.20</td>
<td>35,900</td>
<td>$82,639.00</td>
<td>$66,111.00</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FROG RAMP</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td>1,460</td>
<td>$20,440.00</td>
<td>$20,440.00</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Permit Data

Permit information is provided from the County and Cities. This data may be incomplete and may exclude permits that do not result in field reviews (for example for water heater replacement permits). We are required to list all improvements, which may include unpermitted construction. Any questions regarding permits, or the status of non-permitted improvements, should be directed to the permitting jurisdiction in which the structure is located.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Issue Date</th>
<th>Estimated Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-090000853</td>
<td>HEAT/ACR</td>
<td>07 Nov 2015</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-090000845</td>
<td>COMMERCIAL ADD</td>
<td>29 Oct 2013</td>
<td>$620,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-070000443</td>
<td>COMMERCIAL ADD</td>
<td>07 Aug 2012</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06-120000670</td>
<td>HEAT/ACR</td>
<td>17 Apr 2007</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05-120000426</td>
<td>COMMERCIAL ADD</td>
<td>03 May 2006</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04-120000702</td>
<td>NEW COMMERCIAL</td>
<td>25 Oct 2005</td>
<td>$2,554,110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://www.pcgeo.org/
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenant</th>
<th>Sq. Ft.</th>
<th>Total Gross Rent</th>
<th>Vacant</th>
<th>Occupied</th>
<th>Current Annual Rent</th>
<th>Rent Roll</th>
<th>Next 2 Years</th>
<th>Total-Next 2 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXHIBIT "A-2" – Rent Roll
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR
THE CITY-OWNED REAL PROPERTY
LOCATED AT
1794 - 22\textsuperscript{nd} STREET SOUTH
ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, 33712

Issue Date
April 30, 2018

The undersigned certifies that the enclosed proposal is being submitted and is subject to the terms and conditions as outlined in the Request for Proposal as issued by the City of St. Petersburg on April 30, 2018.

Name of Company/Organization

Proposal Contact Person

Signature of individual submitting proposal for above Company/Organization

Contact Person E-mail address

Printed name of individual

Contact Person Phone

Date

Contact Person Fax
EXHIBIT "C" – SOUTH ST. PETERSBURG COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AREA
EXHIBIT "D" – MAP OF MAJOR INVESTMENT NEAR TANGERINE PLAZA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Investment (SM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>St. Petersburg Commerce Park Assembly</td>
<td>$7.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pinellas Job Corps Facility</td>
<td>$43.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Manhattan Casino (including TI)</td>
<td>$3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DIP Stormwater</td>
<td>$0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Arlington Oil Site</td>
<td>$0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Landerhaven Subdivision</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pinellas Trail Extension</td>
<td>$2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Jordan School Renovation</td>
<td>$3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Jordan Park Renovation</td>
<td>$28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Calypso</td>
<td>$0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>SPC Achievement Center</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Royce Theater</td>
<td>$0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Johnnae Ruth Clark Health Center</td>
<td>$4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>22nd Main Street Infrastructure</td>
<td>$3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Perkins Elementary</td>
<td>$9.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Tangerine Plaza (Public/Private)</td>
<td>$8.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>GTE Federal Credit Union</td>
<td>$1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>TACRA Public Investment (Phase I-III)</td>
<td>$3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Wildwood Park</td>
<td>$4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Gibbs High School</td>
<td>$51.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>SPC Midtown Campus Expansion</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Gardener General</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>3 Daughters Brewing</td>
<td>$1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Mosaic Arts Center</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>American Freedom Distillery</td>
<td>$0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>St. Petersburg Opera</td>
<td>$0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>ArtHeritage</td>
<td>$0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Shakey Renovations</td>
<td>$0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>WADA/Deuces Joint Action Plan (draft)</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>South St. Petersburg Redevelopment Plan</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Investment (in nominal $s) $206.7

Map Legend
- Tangerine Plaza RFP Site
- Commerce Park Land Assembly
- Pinellas Trail
- Dome Industrial Park CRA
- Deuces Live Main Street
- Grand Central Main Street
- Infrastructure/Streetscaping
- Intersection Improvements
- Warehouse Arts District
- African American Heritage Trail

Major Private and Public Investment near Tangerine Plaza
1999-2017
EXHIBIT "E" – DEUCES LIVE/WAREHOUSE ARTS DISTRICT PLANNING AREA
EXHIBIT "F" – MARKET STUDY OF TANGERINE PLAZA

[FOLLOWS]
Summary, Conclusions, and Findings

This study is intended to provide a market scan of potential retail uses (with a focus on grocery) for the 40,000 sf space available at Tangerine Plaza in St. Petersburg (the former neighborhood Walmart Grocery site). The objective is to ascertain area neighborhood spending capacity related to the current patterns of retail spending and existing retail offerings. With this understanding one can begin to identify potential unmet community needs, which could be supported in full or in part by retail offerings that could be located in the available space at Tangerine Plaza.

Today, the households within the study / trade area around Tangerine Plaza can support about 30,000 sf of grocery / household retail services. The demand for this need is currently being met by a variety of small and miscellaneous grocery, convenience, and food market outlets within the neighborhoods, in conjunction with the impact of the nearby Wal-Mart Supercenters which offer comprehensive grocery and retail products.

This situation is not ideal for the community because local / independent grocery and convenience stores typically operate at a price premiums for household staple items, while quality and consistency of products are sometimes below market standards because of ‘off-brand’ offerings. Additionally, these types of stores struggle to offer fresh foods (meats, breads and produce) that enhance healthy diet and ‘home cooked’ meals for the neighborhoods. However, these stores are generally walkable / bikeable within a small neighborhood trade area and they do meet a significant portion of the retail spending capacity. To the extent the neighborhood desires (and can support) traditional grocery offerings, the presence of (2) Wal-Mart Supercenters just outside the study area covers comprehensive services at competitive pricing. Access to the Wal-Mart is not quite as convenient, but is achievable via public bus transit or a short drive for car owners.

Taken together, this analysis therefore identifies the presence of a possible ‘gap’ between the very small neighborhood convenience store, and the large, proximate Wal-Mart Supercenter models which together, meet the market spending capacity, if not the preferred level of neighborhood service. The opportunity to fill this gap is considered very narrow. An 18,000 – 20,000 sf retail grocer could be nestled within the community at Tangerine Plaza, providing a bit of the retail standardization of the regional Wal-Mart while enjoying the walkable access of the neighborhood convenience. There are models designed to fill this gap that provide comprehensive neighborhood scale grocery at discount pricing.

If the City desires to create a more beneficial environment for residents of this area by attracting a smaller grocery format, a financial incentive is most likely going to be required as an economic development strategy. The suggested 20,000 sf grocery model would gain its market share from both the Wal-Mart and the local retail stores, but would also remain in the same competitive environment within an area of limited spending capacity. An ‘incentive for success’ could represent an offset to operating costs (i.e. rent subsidy or lease based on a percentage of sales) that lowers overall selling, general, and administrative costs and improves profitability to a sustainable level.
Finally, to complement a smaller grocery format and increase overall occupancy at the Site, the City should pursue a number of discount retailers or other non-retail tenants identified in this report. An example of a non-retail tenant that could provide significant value to the community includes a model for a consolidated health care center.

The following are summary of more detailed findings from this analysis:

- Total annual food, retail, and entertainment spending of households in the defined trade area is estimated at $47 million.
- Based on that level of spending, households in the defined trade area are estimated to support approximately 30,000 square feet of grocery (food at home) products and services.
- Approximately 33,000 square feet of a variety of small and miscellaneous grocery, convenience, and food market outlets currently exist within the trade area, meeting some level of household demand.
- The current supply of small and miscellaneous stores would not be expected to meet the full range of products and services of a traditional grocery format, implying some level of deficiency exists within the defined trade area.
- Discounting existing supply of small and miscellaneous stores by 50% suggests a deficiency of grocery products and services in the defined trade area of nearly 16,000 square feet.
- Approximately 80,000-100,000 of other supply of traditional grocery store formats are outside of the trade area but within 2.5 miles of the Site and are accessible to a significant portion of households.
- Given the level of household demand in the defined trade area along with the supply of small and miscellaneous store formats accessible to households within the trade area, the Site is currently not capable of supporting a 40,000 square foot traditional grocery store format.
- A smaller format grocery brand in the range of 18,000 to 20,000 square feet could be supported but is likely to require some level of operating incentive given the low economic status of households within the trade area.
- Across all retail categories (apparel, grocery and specialty), a strong correlation exists between net margin (profit) and selling, general and administrative costs indicating that lower operating costs are the strongest factor in determining profitability.
- Other viable retail brands sharing the existing space would also need to be consistent with a lower income primary target market (i.e. discount store brands) and likely require some level of operating incentive.
- There are a number of non-retail tenants (e.g. Healthcare related) that could complement a smaller grocery store format and provide the opportunity to increase overall occupancy at the Site.
Introduction

GAI Consultants, Inc. ("GAI") has been requested by the City of St. Petersburg ("City") to provide a market analysis for a site located at 1794 22nd Street South, St. Petersburg, Florida ("Site" or "Tangerine Plaza"). The main focus of the analysis was to examine the market demand for a traditional grocery store format at the Site, as well as provide a scan of other potential retail uses which for which the neighborhood is underserved relative to its inherent spending capacity.

Study Area

A trade area in general represents the farthest distance consumers are willing to travel to purchase retail goods or services. A local convenience trade area is based on the purchase of products and services needed on a regular basis, such as gasoline or groceries. Because these purchases are relatively frequent, individuals find it more convenient to buy these products from businesses located close to their home or workplace. A convenience trade area is predominately supported by local residents but can also be impacted by individuals working within the trade area but commuting from other communities.

The size of a trade area is going to be affected by many factors including the size and retail mix of the overall market, the size and retail mix of competing locations, the transport network available to consumers, and physical and other barriers. Products and services related to groceries, gas, and eating places are generally the types of retail spending that consumers desire to have within relatively close proximity to their household. However, some types of retail formats that provide these goods and services (i.e. Big Box) can draw consumers from a longer distances than would be expected for a local convenience market. For the purpose of this site analysis, we have defined a study area of approximately 2.5 square miles to reflect a local convenience trade area. The area is bounded on the west by Martin Luther King Boulevard, on the south by 34th Street South, and the east and north by Interstate 275 (see Figure 1).
Market Area Demand

Because a convenience trade area is predominately supported by local residents, this analysis focused on the demand created by household spending. The impact of consumers commuting to and from or through the trade area is not considered material. In 2015, the defined trade area contained 4,778 households with a population of 13,992. Average household income in 2015 was $35,517 creating nearly $170 million in total annual household income. Using a model of consumer expenditures based on household income, Table 1 provides a summary of spending by retail category generated by the households within the trade area (See Appendix A).
At a level of total annual income of $170 million, households in the trade area are estimated to generate nearly $178 million in total annual consumer expenditures between food, housing, transportation, entertainment, and other categories. Specifically related to retail type goods and services (food at home, food away, retail, and entertainment), households are estimated to generate $47 million in consumer expenditures. Annual consumer expenditures for groceries (food at home) alone are estimated in excess of $15 million.

Annual retail grocery spending per square feet required to support a traditional grocery store format (typically a national brand) averages $500 with some brands and markets generating up to $700 per square feet. Using an average of $500 for a traditional grocery store format, consumer spending in the market area would be estimated to support approximately 30,000 square feet, assuming a significant capture of total household spending.

Required spending per square feet to support “retail” varies more significantly between geographies and type of store format. Table 2 provides a range of sales values per square feet for national brand retailers.

### TABLE 1: TANGERINE PLAZA MARKET AREA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Income (average)</th>
<th>$35,520</th>
<th>$169,785,600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumer expenditures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$37,216</td>
<td>$177,891,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food at home</td>
<td>3,234</td>
<td>9.3% 15,459,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food away from home</td>
<td>1,860</td>
<td>5.0% 8,890,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic beverages</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>0.7% 1,242,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing (incl taxes, utilities, and operations)</td>
<td>13,510</td>
<td>36.3% 64,577,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparel and services</td>
<td>1,340</td>
<td>3.6% 6,405,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>6,070</td>
<td>16.3% 29,014,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>9.8% 17,447,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment</td>
<td>1,820</td>
<td>4.9% 8,699,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal care products and services</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>1.3% 2,294,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.2% 334,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.4% 2,485,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco products and smoking supplies</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>0.9% 1,577,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.4% 2,485,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash contributions</td>
<td>1,270</td>
<td>3.4% 6,070,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal insurance and pensions</td>
<td>2,050</td>
<td>5.5% 9,799,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food, retail, entertainment</td>
<td></td>
<td>$47,389,876</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Retail Sales per Square Feet by Format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Retail Format</th>
<th>Retail Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large format</td>
<td>$175-225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium format</td>
<td>225-275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small format</td>
<td>375-425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurant</td>
<td>650-850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>75-125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>345-400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The determination of supportable general retail square footage based on household spending is less direct because of the relatively wide range of retail formats and the mixing of retail spending between consumer expenditure categories. However, a rough estimate of general retail demand could range between 75,000 to 150,000 square feet, assuming a significant capture of total household spending.

Existing Supply and Future Development Opportunities

The trade area is currently served by a variety of small and miscellaneous grocery, convenience, and food market outlets and discount retailers that offer a limited assortment of grocery items (i.e. Dollar General and Dollar Tree). The various miscellaneous grocery locations are small in format and are not concentrated in typical strip centers but are integrated in neighborhoods throughout the trade area (see Appendix B). Based on a scan of the trade area, approximately 33,871 square feet of grocery and food market supply currently exists within the trade area (see Table 3).

Table 3. Existing Study Area Grocery and Food Market locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Sq Ft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dollar General **</td>
<td>2216 18th Avenue South</td>
<td>2,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollar Tree **</td>
<td>1628 18th Avenue South</td>
<td>2,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>727 Food Market</td>
<td>3095 22nd Avenue South</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obama Express Food</td>
<td>1400 18th Avenue South</td>
<td>5,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South City Grocery and Meat</td>
<td>909 22nd Street South</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildwood Meat</td>
<td>1228 28th Street South</td>
<td>3,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redstone Market</td>
<td>1311 22nd Street South</td>
<td>2,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Brothers Market</td>
<td>1040 16th Street South</td>
<td>2,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midtown Supermarket</td>
<td>1856 18th Avenue South</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave's Meat Market</td>
<td>1664 15th Avenue South</td>
<td>1,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mair's Grocery</td>
<td>2230 Dr. Martin Luther King Blvd</td>
<td>3,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajex Market</td>
<td>2327 Dr. Martin Luther King Blvd</td>
<td>3,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>33,871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Note: Estimate of space dedicated to grocery type items.
The Dollar General and Dollar Tree plus the miscellaneous formats of grocery and food markets clearly serve some need within the trade area but would not be expected to meet the full range of products and services of a traditional grocery format. There is no standard metric used to convert these small and miscellaneous convenience and market store formats into an equivalent traditional grocery store square footage. However, a discount factor of 50% could be considered in adjusting the gross square footage supply of these formats when comparing with the demand for traditional grocery store demand. Not only do these types of stores lack a full range of products and services, high prices also play a limiting role in meeting the needs of resident households.

Based on our research, there is no industry standard for adjusting these types of small and miscellaneous grocery, convenience, and food market outlets to compare with traditional grocery demand. The 50% adjustment is based on our professional opinion. Therefore, discounting the existing supply of small and miscellaneous stores suggests a deficiency of grocery products and services in the defined trade area of nearly 16,000 square feet. Which is consistent with the general “feeling” that a traditional grocery store format is needed or would succeed.

In addition to the supply of small and miscellaneous convenience food market formats within the trade area, there are several notable locations just west of the defined trade area on the west side of Interstate 275 but within 2.5 miles of the Tangerine Plaza site (see Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Sq Ft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wal-Mart Supercenter</td>
<td>3501 34th Street South</td>
<td>212,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal-Mart Supercenter</td>
<td>201 34th Street North</td>
<td>105,065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldi</td>
<td>2900 24th Street South</td>
<td>31,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&amp;J Grocery</td>
<td>3698 18th Avenue South</td>
<td>4,265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>352,963</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Includes general retail square footage along with grocery

Excluding the retail components of the Wal-Mart Supercenter locations, there is up to an additional 80,000 to 100,000 square feet of traditional grocery store supply that is likely drawing consumers from the defined market area. As mentioned earlier, the larger format Supercenters can draw demand for local convenience shopping from a longer distance. These locations are likely contributing to the lack of recent success of grocery brands at the Site.

Therefore, the opportunity to fill this gap would be considered very narrow and likely reduces the number of potential national brands that operate within this scenario. A limited number of brands provide a discount grocery business model that could be viable for the Site. Some of the advantages of these types of business models include the following:
Exclusive private label brands: High-quality, *low-priced* private label assortment accounts for the majority of sales compared to about 20% in a conventional grocery store.

Fewer SKUs (stock keeping units): Reduced SKU assortment (approximately 2,500 items) but covers more than 90% of everyday shopper needs.

*Low prices*: Buying power of a national brand with multiple locations delivers lower prices compared with small and miscellaneous stores.

Stores in Target Neighborhoods: Locating stores in *diverse neighborhoods* and hiring from within those communities and stocking products tailored to the neighborhood.

Smaller Shopping experience: Stores average 15,000 sq ft

A similar business model and national or regional discount grocery format would appear to provide the best opportunity to capture household demand for food-at-home expenditures and provide the community with a more ideal situation.

The trade area is also currently served by a variety of retail outlets and formats with Dollar Tree and Dollar General representing national brand locations. These locations provide a mix of typical strip centers but are also freestanding locations integrated in neighborhoods throughout the trade area (see Appendix C). Based on a scan of the trade area, approximately 74,000 square feet of occupied general retail currently exists within the trade area (see Table 5).

### Table 5. Notable General Retail locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Sq Ft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dollar General **</td>
<td>2216 18th Avenue South</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollar Tree **</td>
<td>1628 18th Avenue South</td>
<td>9,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th Street Plaza (Multiple)</td>
<td>1566 16th Street South</td>
<td>16,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnes Building</td>
<td>1411 22nd Street South</td>
<td>1,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coin Laundry</td>
<td>1200 28th Street South</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carters Florist</td>
<td>2200 22nd Avenue South</td>
<td>2,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTE Financial</td>
<td>2190 18th Avenue South</td>
<td>2,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas/Convenience</td>
<td>900 16th Street South</td>
<td>1,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitey’s Transmission</td>
<td>3101 22nd Avenue South</td>
<td>1,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miz Daisy’s</td>
<td>901 16th Street South</td>
<td>3,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaners</td>
<td>2200 31st Street South</td>
<td>3,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Transmission</td>
<td>1008 16th Street South</td>
<td>4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Time</td>
<td>1727 16th Street South</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welch Accounting</td>
<td>1523 16th Street South</td>
<td>6,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Repair</td>
<td>901 16th Street South</td>
<td>7,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>74,316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Includes space dedicated to grocery assortment.
As mentioned earlier, the larger format Supercenters are likely drawing demand for local convenience shopping from a longer distance. Similar to the demand for grocery spending, these locations plus the existing supply of general retail is likely meeting the majority of household spending needs.

There are a limited number of vacant lots located within the defined study area. The most notable site that could directly compete with Tangerine Plaza is located directly across the street (Parcel 25-31-16-88981-001-0020) and is owned by the City of St Petersburg. The lot is approximately 2.25 acre and is identified for commercial use.

**Comparable Trade Area**

For this analysis, an additional trade area was defined around the intersection of 49th Street North and 9th Avenue North in order to compare differences in supportable demand. In 2015, the second defined trade area contained 5,688 households with a population of 13,309. Average household income in 2015 was $51,696 creating nearly $295 million in total annual household income. Using a model of consumer expenditures based on household income, Table 6 provides a summary of spending by retail category generated by the households within the trade area (See Appendix A).
A comparison of these trade areas illustrates the challenges with supporting various types of food, retail, and entertainment demand (see Table 7).

**Table 7. Comparison of Trade Areas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tangerine Plaza</th>
<th>Comparable</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trade Area (square mile)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Population</td>
<td>13,992</td>
<td>13,309</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average PPH</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Households</td>
<td>4,778</td>
<td>5,688</td>
<td>-15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Income (Average)</td>
<td>$35,517</td>
<td>$51,696</td>
<td>-31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Income (M)</td>
<td>$170</td>
<td>$294</td>
<td>-42.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income per sq mi (000’s)</td>
<td>$64,425</td>
<td>$117,619</td>
<td>-45.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income per capita (000’s)</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>$22</td>
<td>-45.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The comparable trade area reflects a consistent level of population (consumers), but significantly stronger levels of income and consumer expenditures. Total household income and income per capita is nearly double compared with the main trade area. As a result, this area is more capable of supporting traditional grocery formats and includes existing locations.

**Other Potential Occupants**

While grocery stores have become a strong focus for low-income communities, any retail format or category is going to face similar problems with operating locations that are below corporate profitability goals. The discount retail concept started as an effort to address some of these issues and any other viable retail brands sharing the existing space would also need to be consistent with a lower income primary target market. There are a variety of local, regional, or national discount store brands that could fulfill some level of market demand.

However, many of the major discounters now operate "supercenters", which add a full-service grocery store to the traditional format. Wal-Mart and Target have focused on this format beginning in the 1990’s as a key to their continued growth. In addition, the recent merger of Dollar General and the Dollar Store is generally viewed as a strategy to compete with Wal-Mart, which may not include larger retail formats, but it illustrates recent trends that continue to shrink options for discount retail locations. Regardless of the size of the market for discount retailers, other viable retail brands will also likely require some level of operating incentive in order to maintain sustainable profitability.

A viable alternative to discount retail could be provided with a non-retail tenant. There are a number of consolidated health care organizations that provide an example of a potential community partner. According to available information, these organizations are focused on building programs providing comprehensive health services beyond basic medical by including community centric activities that promote health lifestyles. As a result, they typically require larger space than a conventional physician’s office.
Conclusions and Observations

The root cause of retail store closures can involve a web of complex corporate strategies, but the single identifiable reason most likely comes down to profitability. A lack of market demand or top line revenue is almost certainly the reason for store closures, especially in the case of successive closures.

Across all retail categories (i.e. apparel, grocery and specialty), there is a strong correlation between net margin (profit) and selling, general, and administrative (“SG&A”) costs indicating that lower operating costs is the strongest factor in determining profitability (see Figure 3).

Figure 3. Grocery Net Margin % and SG&A %

Note: Sample of national grocery brands

As a result, some cities across the US are turning to providing incentives as an economic development strategy to encourage retailers to open and operate in low-income communities. This economic development strategy is clearly aimed at lowering operating costs in an effort to improve profitability because top line revenue is not sufficient. The goal of these incentives is to provide the ability of retailers to remain operating over the long-term. One recent case involves the city of Indianapolis (see “City to steer $500K into grocery development in low-income areas.”, IBJ.com) where the city is creating a fund of $500,000 to provide incentives to attract traditional grocery store formats in low-income communities. The program could provide assistance to a single location or involve multiple stores.
Appendix A – Detailed Market Table
## APPENDIX A: TANGERINE PLAZA MARKET AREA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Income</th>
<th>Less than $5,000</th>
<th>$5-9,999</th>
<th>$10-14,999</th>
<th>$15-19,999</th>
<th>$20-24,999</th>
<th>$25-34,999</th>
<th>$35-49,999</th>
<th>$50-74,999</th>
<th>$75-99,999</th>
<th>$100-$149,999</th>
<th>$150,000 or more</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Household Income (mean)</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>42,500</td>
<td>62,500</td>
<td>87,500</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>291,000</td>
<td>$35,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households (occupied)</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of households</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer expenditures (mean)</td>
<td>$18,314</td>
<td>$21,410</td>
<td>$24,474</td>
<td>$27,505</td>
<td>$30,503</td>
<td>$34,937</td>
<td>$42,162</td>
<td>$53,292</td>
<td>$66,460</td>
<td>$84,661</td>
<td>$142,869</td>
<td>$37,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing (Shelter)</td>
<td>5,676</td>
<td>6,412</td>
<td>7,086</td>
<td>7,705</td>
<td>8,273</td>
<td>9,039</td>
<td>10,129</td>
<td>11,520</td>
<td>12,937</td>
<td>15,014</td>
<td>31,226</td>
<td>9,146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food at Home</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,268</td>
<td>2,516</td>
<td>2,745</td>
<td>2,956</td>
<td>3,243</td>
<td>3,652</td>
<td>4,162</td>
<td>4,631</td>
<td>5,163</td>
<td>8,027</td>
<td>3,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food away from home</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,158</td>
<td>1,317</td>
<td>1,476</td>
<td>1,717</td>
<td>2,118</td>
<td>2,753</td>
<td>3,518</td>
<td>4,568</td>
<td>7,352</td>
<td>1,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household furnishings</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>1,583</td>
<td>2,045</td>
<td>2,668</td>
<td>4,022</td>
<td>1,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparel</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>1,132</td>
<td>1,369</td>
<td>1,738</td>
<td>2,184</td>
<td>2,822</td>
<td>5,133</td>
<td>1,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>1,141</td>
<td>1,295</td>
<td>1,449</td>
<td>1,680</td>
<td>2,067</td>
<td>2,679</td>
<td>3,425</td>
<td>4,475</td>
<td>7,687</td>
<td>1,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (transportation, healthcare)</td>
<td>7,912</td>
<td>9,507</td>
<td>11,149</td>
<td>12,829</td>
<td>14,543</td>
<td>17,166</td>
<td>21,627</td>
<td>28,857</td>
<td>37,720</td>
<td>49,951</td>
<td>79,422</td>
<td>18,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of consumer spending</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing (Shelter)</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food at Home</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food away from home</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household furnishings &amp; equipment</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparel</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>56.8%</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer expenditures ($, 000's)</td>
<td>7,948</td>
<td>7,536</td>
<td>12,800</td>
<td>15,650</td>
<td>11,622</td>
<td>26,587</td>
<td>33,561</td>
<td>31,922</td>
<td>12,760</td>
<td>10,075</td>
<td>7,429</td>
<td>$177,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing (Shelter)</td>
<td>2,463</td>
<td>2,257</td>
<td>3,706</td>
<td>4,384</td>
<td>3,152</td>
<td>6,879</td>
<td>8,063</td>
<td>6,900</td>
<td>2,484</td>
<td>1,787</td>
<td>1,624</td>
<td>43,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food at Home</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>1,316</td>
<td>1,562</td>
<td>1,126</td>
<td>2,468</td>
<td>2,907</td>
<td>2,493</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>15,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food away from home</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>1,307</td>
<td>1,686</td>
<td>1,649</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>8,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household furnishings &amp; equipment</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>4,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparel</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>1,090</td>
<td>1,041</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>5,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>1,278</td>
<td>1,645</td>
<td>1,605</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>8,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3,434</td>
<td>3,346</td>
<td>5,831</td>
<td>7,300</td>
<td>5,541</td>
<td>13,063</td>
<td>17,215</td>
<td>17,285</td>
<td>7,242</td>
<td>5,944</td>
<td>4,130</td>
<td>90,332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B – Non-traditional Grocery and Food Markets
South City Grocery and Meat – 909 22nd Street South
3 Brothers Groceries – 1040 16th Street South
Wildwood Meat Market – 1228 28th Street South
Redstone Markets – 1311 22nd Street South
Obama Express Food Market – 1400 18th Avenue South
Dave’s Meat Market – 1664 15th Avenue South
Mair’s Grocery – 2230 Dr. Martin Luther King Boulevard
Rajax Market – 2327 Dr. Martin Luther King Boulevard
727 Food Market – 3095 22nd Avenue South
Appendix C – Sample of Retail Locations
2216 18th Ave S
Saint Petersburg, FL 33712 - South Pinellas Submarket

BUILDING
Type: Retail
Subtype: Freestanding
Tenancy: Single
Year Built: 2011
GLA: 9,002 SF
Floors: 1
Typical Floor: 9,002 SF
Docks: None
Construction: Masonry

LAND
Land Area: 0.87 AC

EXPENSES PER SF
Taxes: $0.29 (2011)

PARCEL
25-31-16-56988-000-0010

LEASING
Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available

TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE
Traffic Volume:
- 8,662 on 22nd Ave S & 18th St S (2011)
- 93,657 on I-275 & 8th Ave S (2011)
Frontage:
- 216’ on 18th Ave S
- 234’ on 22nd St S

TRANSPORTATION
Parking: 40 free Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 4.44/1,000 SF
Airport: 20 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
Walk Score #: Somewhat Walkable (59)
Transit Score #: Some Transit (35)

PROPERTY CONTACTS
Title Owner: MBMD, LLC
Purp. True Owner: Foresight Property Services, LLC
Rented Owner: City Of St Petersburg Fl
1628 18th Ave S - Dollar Tree
Saint Petersburg, FL 33712 - South Pinellas Submarket

BUILDING
Type: Retail
Subtype: Freestanding
Tenancy: Multiple
Year Built: 2008
GLA: 9,900 SF
Floors: 1
Typical Floor: 9,900 SF
Docks: None
Construction: Masonry

LAND
Land Area: 0.76 AC
Zoning: Commercial

PARCEL
25-31-16-29664-005-0040

LEASING
Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available

TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE
Traffic Volume: 11,053 on 16th St S & 19th Ave S (2011)
15,300 on 9th St S & 20th Ave S (2016)
Frontage: 322' on 18th Ave (with 1 curb cut)

TRANSPORTATION
Parking: 40 free Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 4.04/1,000 SF
Walk Score: Somewhat Walkable (68)
Transit Score: Some Transit (36)

PROPERTY CONTACTS
True Owner: Tim English & Associates
Recorded Owner: Sand Dollar LLC

EXPENSES PER SF
Taxes: $1.41 (2016)
1566 S 16th St - 16th Street Plaza
Saint Petersburg, FL 33705 - South Pinellas Submarket

BUILDING
Type: Retail
Subtype: Storefront
Tenancy: Multiple
Year Built: 1952; Renov 2004
GLA: 16,803 SF
Floors: 1
Typical Floor: 16,803 SF
Construction: Metal

LAND
Land Area: 1.00 AC
Zoning: CG, Saint Petersb...

EXPENSES PER SF
Taxes: $0.67 (2016)
Opex: $2.26 (2005)

PARCEL
25-31-16-35442-002-0100, 25-31-16-35442-002-0110

AMENITIES
Common-area parking only, Freeway Visibility, Tenant Controlled HVAC

LEASING
Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available
Leasing Company: Affordable Realty & Property Management Inc
Contacts: Al Kadury (727) 328-8070

TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE
Traffic Volume: 11,053 on 16th St S & 15th Ave S (2016)
16,792 on 9th St S & 15th Ave S (2016)
Frontage: 450' on 16th (with 2 curb cuts)

TRANSPORTATION
Parking: 30 Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 1.79/1,000 SF
Airport: 22 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
Walk Score #: Somewhat Walkable (69)
Transit Score #: Some Transit (36)
1500-1566 16th St S
Saint Petersburg, FL 33705 - South Pinellas Submarket

BUILDING
Type: Retail
Center Type: Strip Center
Tenancy: Multiple
Year Built: 1956
GLA: 15,518 SF
Floors: 1
Typical Floor: 15,518 SF

LAND
Land Area: 3.20 AC
Zoning: CG

EXPENSES PER SF
Taxes: $3.06 (2012)

PARCEL
25-31-16-08326-001-0010

LEASING
Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available
Leasing Company: Morris Gregory Haas LLC
Contacts: Patricia Haas (813) 629-4459

TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE
Traffic Volume: 11,053 on 16th St S & 15th Ave S (2016)
37,600 on I-175 & 16th St S (2011)

TRANSPORTATION
Airport: 21 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
Walk Score ®: Very Walkable (73)
Transit Score ®: Some Transit (42)

PROPERTY CONTACTS
True Owner: 16th Street Dev., Inc.
Recorded Owner: 16th Street Dev., Inc.
1411 22nd St S
Saint Petersburg, FL 33712 - South Pinellas Submarket

BUILDING
Type: Retail
Subtype: Freestanding
Tenancy: Single
Year Built: 1976
GLA: 1,976 SF
Floors: 1
Typical Floor: 1,976 SF
Docks: None
Construction: Masonry

LAND
Land Area: 0.13 AC

EXPENSES PER SF
Taxes: $0.67 (2016)

PARCEL
26-31-16-20772-000-0030

LEASING
Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available

TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE
93,657 on I-275 & 8th Ave S (2011)
Frontage: 41' on 22nd St (with 1 curb cut)

TRANSPORTATION
Parking: 10 free Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 5.06/1,000 SF
Airport: 20 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
Walk Score: Very Walkable (74)
Transit Score: Some Transit (35)

PROPERTY CONTACTS
True Owner: Barnes Walter
Recorded Owner: Barnes Walter
1200 28th St S  
Saint Petersburg, FL 33712 - South Pinellas Submarket

**BUILDING**
- Type: Retail
- Subtype: Freestanding
- Tenancy: Single
- Year Built: 1950
- GLA: 3,008 SF
- Floors: 1
- Typical Floor: 3,008 SF
- Docks: None
- Construction: Masonry

**LAND**
- Land Area: 0.17 AC

**EXPENSES PER SF**
- Taxes: $0.63 (2016)

**PARCEL**
28-31-16-97560-000-0950

**LEASING**
- Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available

**TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE**
- Traffic Volume:
  - 87,544 on I-275 & Dayton St S (2011)
  - 91,000 on I- 275 & 18th Ave S (2016)
- Frontage:
  - 101’ on 12th Ave
  - 139’ on 28th St

**TRANSPORTATION**
- Parking: 10 free Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 3.32/1,000 SF
- Airport: 18 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
- Walk Score:
  - Somewhat Walkable (63)
- Transit Score:
  - Some Transit (40)

**PROPERTY CONTACTS**
- True Owner: Walker Jacquelyn D
- Recorded Owner: Walker Jacquelyn D
2200 22nd Ave S - Carters Florists & Greenhouses
Saint Petersburg, FL 33712 - South Pinellas Submarket

BUILDING
Type: Retail
Subtype: Garden Center
Tenancy: Multiple
Year Built: 1956
GLA: 2,301 SF
Floors: 1
Typical Floor: 2,301 SF
Docks: None

LAND
Land Area: 0.45 AC

EXPENSES PER SF
Taxes: $1.51 (2008)

AMENITIES
Bus Line

LEASING
Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available

TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE
Traffic Volume: 8,862 on 22nd Ave S & 18th St S (2011)
11,602 on 22nd Ave S & 17th St S (2016)
Frontage: 97' on 22nd Ave S (with 1 curb cut)

TRANSPORTATION
Parking: 6 free Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 2.61/1,000 SF
Airport: 21 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
Walk Score: Somewhat Walkable (52)
Transit Score: Some Transit (34)

PROPERTY CONTACTS
Recorded Owner: Carters Florist & Greenhouses
2190 18th Ave S
Saint Petersburg, FL 33712 - South Pinellas Submarket

BUILDING
Type: Retail
Subtype: Bank
Tenancy: Single
Year Built: 2009
GLA: 2,380 SF
Floors: 1
Typical Floor: 2,380 SF
Docks: None
Construction: Masonry

LAND
Land Area: 0.34 AC

EXPENSES PER SF
Taxes: $6.10 (2016)

PARCEL
25-31-16-88981-001-0010

AMENITIES
Drive Thru

LEASING
Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available

TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE
Traffic Volume: 8,862 on 22nd Ave S & 18th St S (2011)
93,657 on I-275 & 8th Ave S (2011)
Frontage: 138' on 18th Ave
135' on 22nd St

TRANSPORTATION
Parking: 20 free Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 8.40/1,000 SF
Airport: 21 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
Walk Score: Somewhat Walkable (59)
Transit Score: Some Transit (35)

PROPERTY CONTACTS
Recorded Owner: City Of St Petersburg
Property Summary Report

900 16th St S
Saint Petersburg, FL 33705 - South Pinellas Submarket

BUILDING
Type: Retail
Subtype: Convenience Store
Tenancy: Single
Year Built: 1954
GLA: 1,560 SF
Floors: 1
Typical Floor: 1,560 SF
Docks: None
Construction: Masonry

LAND
Land Area: 0.33 AC

EXPENSES PER SF
Taxes: $1.54 (2016)

PARCEL
25-31-16-17676-000-0050

LEASING
Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available

TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE
Traffic Volume:
- 11,053 on 16th St S & 15th Ave S (2016)
- 37,600 on I-175 & 16th St S (2011)
Frontage:
- 102’ on 10th
- 155’ on 16th
- 103’ on 9th

TRANSPORTATION
Parking: 5 free Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 3.21/1,000 SF
Airport: 21 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
Walk Score: Very Walkable (73)
Transit Score: Some Transit (44)

PROPERTY CONTACTS
Recorded Owner: C P Gas Station Inc
3101 22nd Ave S - Whitey's Transmission Service Inc.
Saint Petersburg, FL 33712 - South Pinellas Submarket

BUILDING
Type: Retail
Subtype: Auto Repair
Tenancy: Multiple
Year Built: 1964
GLA: 1,158 SF
Floors: 1
Typical Floor: 1,158 SF
Docks: None

LAND
Land Area: 0.18 AC

EXPENSES PER SF
Taxes: $1.26 (2016)

PARCEL
26-31-16-89712-007-0140

AMENITIES
Bus Line, Freeway Visibility, Signalized Intersection

LEASING
Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available

TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE
Traffic Volume: 2,800 on 21st Ave S & 31st St S (2011)
81,924 on I-275 & 24th Ave S (2011)
Frontage: 102' on 22nd Ave S

TRANSPORTATION
Parking: 4 free Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 3.45/1,000 SF
Airport: 19 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
Walk Score: Somewhat Walkable (55)
Transit Score: Some Transit (39)

PROPERTY CONTACTS
True Owner: Johnson Donald B
Recorded Owner: Johnson Donald B
901 16th St S - Building A
Saint Petersburg, FL 33705 - South Pinellas Submarket

**BUILDING**
- Type: Retail
- Subtype: Storefront
- Tenancy: Multiple
- Year Built: 1952
- GLA: 3,358 SF
- Floors: 1
- Typical Floor: 3,358 SF
- Docks: None
- Construction: Masonry

**LAND**
- Land Area: 0.65 AC

**EXPENSES PER SF**
- Taxes: $0.43 (2011)
- Opex: $0.67 (2011-Est)
- Total Expenses: $1.10 (2011-Est)

**PARCEL**
- 25-31-16-68310-000-1601

**AMENITIES**
- Pylon Sign

**LEASING**
- Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available
- Leasing Company: Excell Investment Realty, Inc
- Contacts: Burt C. Jagmohan (813) 969-3970

**TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE**
- Traffic Volume:
  - 11,053 on 16th St S & 15th Ave S (2016)
  - 37,600 on I-175 & 16th St S (2011)
- Frontage:
  - 98' on 16th St
  - 95' on 9th Ave

**TRANSPORTATION**
- Parking: 26 Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 7.70/1,000 SF
- Airport: 21 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
- Walk Score: Very Walkable (73)
- Transit Score: Some Transit (44)
Property Summary Report

1008 S 16th St - United Transmission & Auto Repairs
Saint Petersburg, FL 33705 - South Pinellas Submarket

BUILDING
Type: Retail
Subtype: Auto Repair
Tenancy: Single
Year Built: 1962
GLA: 4,500 SF
Floors: 1
Typical Floor: 4,500 SF
Construction: Metal

LAND
Land Area: 0.45 AC
Zoning: CG

EXPENSES PER SF
Taxes: $0.47 (2016)

PARCEL
25-31-16-17676-000-0010

LEASING
Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available

TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE
Traffic Volume: 11,053 on 16th St S & 15th Ave S (2016)
37,600 on I-75 & 16th St S (2011)
Frontage: 143' on 10th Ave
116' on 16th St

TRANSPORTATION
Parking: 20 free Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 4.40/1,000 SF
Airport: 21 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
Walk Score: Very Walkable (73)
Transit Score: Some Transit (44)

PROPERTY CONTACTS
True Owner: Winston Seeaught
Prior True Owner: Thuan & Tam Vantran

Reported Owner: Winston Seeaught

10/19/2017
1727 16th St S  
Saint Petersburg, FL 33705 - South Pinellas Submarket

**BUILDING**

- Type: Retail
- Subtype: Storefront Retail/Office
- Tenancy: Single
- Year Built: 1947
- GLA: 1,500 SF
- Floors: 1
- Typical Floor: 1,500 SF
- Docks: None
- Construction: Masonry

**LAND**

- Land Area: 0.23 AC

**EXPENSES PER SF**

- Taxes: $0.84 (2016)

**PARCEL**

25-31-16-19656-000-0160, 25-31-16-19656-000-0180, 25-31-16-19656-000-0190

**LEASING**

Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available

**TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE**

- Frontage: 39' on 16th St (with 1 curb cut)

**TRANSPORTATION**

- Parking: 5 free Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 3.33/1,000 SF
- Airport: 22 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
- Walk Score: Very Walkable (72)
- Transit Score: Some Transit (36)

**PROPERTY CONTACTS**

- Recorded Owner: Nakita Bell
- Prior True Owner: Erline Isaac
1523-1601 16th St S  
Saint Petersburg, FL 33705 - South Pinellas Submarket

BUILDING
Type: Retail
Subtype: Storefront
Tenancy: Single
Year Built: 1951
GLA: 6,150 SF
Floors: 1
Typical Floor: 6,150 SF
Docks: None

LAND
Land Area: 0.55 AC

EXPENSES PER SF
Taxes: $0.64 (2016)

PARCEL
25-31-16-80280-000-0030, 25-31-16-80280-000-0050

LEASING
Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available

TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE
Traffic Volume: 11,053 on 16th St S & 15th Ave S (2016)  
16,792 on 9th St S & 15th Ave S (2016)
Frontage: 143' on 16th

TRANSPORTATION
Parking: 16 Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 1.75/1,000 SF
Airport: 21 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
Walk Score: Somewhat Walkable (69)
Transit Score: Some Transit (36)

PROPERTY CONTACTS
True Owner: Pinellas Ex-offender Re-entry Coalition
Prior True Owner: Welch Alletha M

Recorded Owner: Pinellas Ex-offender Re-entry Coalition

10/19/2017  Copyrighted report licensed to GAI Consultants, Inc. - 682122.
901 16th St S - Building B
Saint Petersburg, FL 33705 - South Pinellas Submarket

BUILDING
Type: Retail
Subtype: Auto Repair
Tenancy: Single
Year Built: 1960
GLA: 7,200 SF
Floors: 1
Typical Floor: 7,200 SF
Docks: None
Construction: Metal

LAND
Land Area: 0.65 AC

EXPENSES PER SF
Taxes: $0.36 (2012-Est)
Opex: $0.32 (2012-Est)
Total Expenses: $0.68 (2012-Est)

PARCEL
25-31-16-68310-000-1601

LEASING
Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available
Leasing Company: Excel Investment Realty, Inc
Contacts: Burt C. Jagmohan (813) 969-3970

TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE
Traffic Volume: 11,053 on 16th St S & 15th Ave S (2016)
37,600 on I-175 & 16th St S (2011)
Frontage: 95' on 17th
148' on 9th

TRANSPORTATION
Parking: 38 Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 5.30/1,000 SF
Airport: 21 minute drive to Saint Petersbourg-Clearwater International Airport
Walk Score: Somewhat Walkable (66)
Transit Score: Some Transit (43)
Property Summary Report

2200-A-2200-B 31st St S - Skyway Business Center
Saint Petersburg, FL 33712 - South Pinellas Submarket

BUILDING
Type: Retail
Subtype: Freestanding
Center Type: Strip Center
Tenancy: Single
Year Built: 1989
GLA: 3,534 SF
Floors: 1
Typical Floor: 3,534 SF
Docks: None

LAND
Land Area: 0.51 AC

EXPENSES PER SF
Taxes: $1.05 (2012)

PARCEL
35-31-16-82874-001-0030

AMENITIES
Bus Line, Freeway Visibility, Pylon Sign, Signage, Signalized Intersection

LEASING
Available Spaces: No Spaces Currently Available

TRAFFIC & FRONTAGE
Traffic Volume:
- 11,044 on 31st St S & 24th Ave S (2011)
- 81,924 on I-275 & 24th Ave S (2011)
Frontage:
- 278' on 22nd Ave S
- 167' on 31st St S

TRANSPORTATION
Parking: 26 free Surface Spaces are available; Ratio of 7.36/1,000 SF
Airport: 21 minute drive to Saint Petersburg-Clearwater International Airport
Walk Score: Somewhat Walkable (55)
Transit Score: Some Transit (39)

PROPERTY CONTACTS
Recorded Owner: Skyway Bc Inc

Copyrighted report licensed to GAI Consultants, Inc. - 682122.